

**APPENDIX A6: COMMENTS AND RESPONSE
REGISTER**

GREATER SOUTPANSBERG PROJECT PROPOSED MOPANE PROJECT

DMR Reference:

Regulus Investment Holdings (Pty) Ltd - LP30/5/1/2/2/10035MR
Coal of Africa Limited - LP30/5/1/2/2/10034MR; LP30/5/1/2/2/10033MR
Kwezi Mining Exploration (Pty) Ltd - LP30/5/1/2/2/10029MR; LP30/5/1/2/2/10036MR;
LP30/5/1/2/2/10030MR; LP30/5/1/2/2/10031MR
Chapudi Coal (Pty) Ltd - LP30/5/1/2/2/10032MR



COMMENTS AND RESPONSE REGISTER

11 November 2013



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	MPRDA PROCESS & MINING RIGHT AREA	3
2	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	12
3	WATER & WASTE MANAGEMENT ASPECTS	23
4	SOILS, LAND USE AND CAPABILITY	34
5	ECOLOGY AND BIODIVERSITY ASPECTS	34
6	NOISE, AIR QUALITY AND VISUAL ASPECTS	37
7	HERITAGE AND CULTURAL RESOURCES	39
8	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASPECTS	39
9	CLOSURE AND REHABILITATION ASPECTS	49
10	CUMULATIVE IMPACT ASPECTS.....	50
11	CORPORATE ASPECTS.....	52
12	OLDER PROCESSES OR OTHER COAL PROJECTS	54

1 MPRDA PROCESS & MINING RIGHT AREA

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Is this an application for Prospecting or Mining Rights	Elise Tempelhoff Beeld	Meeting	20-Apr-13	It is a Mining Right Application.
Is a Prospecting Right a public document	Elise Tempelhoff Beeld	Meeting	20-Apr-13	The landowner of the property subject to the Prospecting Right has a right to see the Prospecting Right document.
How long is the Life of Mine on this Mopane Voorburg proposed mine	Elise Tempelhoff Beeld	Meeting	20-Apr-13	It could be about 30 years. POST MEETING NOTE: In subsequent presentations, the EAP's have discussed that the life of mine can be in excess of 50 years
What about power and the development of power lines in the area as well as power stations	Elise Tempelhoff Beeld	Meeting	20-Apr-13	Riaan van der Merwe answered that CoAL is an applicant for electricity supply from ESKOM but not directly involved in the current projects. The original planning of a Power Station at Vele has been placed on hold. Peter Marx explained that the two planned electricity supply lines of ESKOM on the farm Scott is purely to strengthen the existing service distribution network, which can stimulate further development - not just mine development, but also industrial development and agriculture.
You found coal in this area, we understand that you do all these studies, but which properties are now affected by the mine.	Gideon de Beer Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	Detailed maps were provided in the meeting which indicate the properties involved. Maps are also available in the Scoping Report.
What is the company's structure, if you are taken to court what is the chances that anything will be gained?	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	The company structure is on the website. Assets are listed in the annual report.
As per the latest information, landowners do not have any rights to minerals. The case has been lost in the constitutional court. We can apply for our own minerals but we cannot stop another company to apply on our properties. Reads from Newspaper clipping.	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	Unfortunately CoAL cannot become involved in the arguments between landowners and DMR. As landowners you still have surface rights, and if we want to mine on your land we still have to adhere to legislation related to your rights. We are a listed company and adhere to the law; if we want to establish a mine on your property there is a process to be followed. Independent valuations must be done, we must enter into negotiations with the landowner in order to reach agreement. At Vele we followed a model of purchasing properties and then leasing it back to the landowners until such time as we need access for mining, then we must notify before we can take occupation. This allowed co-existence between the landowners and CoAL. We also

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
You are paid by CoAL, how independent can the consultants be?	Jacques de Villiers Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	established a Forum of landowners where we regularly discuss matters that concern them. We are professional people and we sign a declaration of independence, as per requirement of the MPRDA process.
Can it happen that you are not ready to submit the studies within the 180 days, can this period be extended.	LA (Andre) Du Preez Pretorius 531 MS	Meeting	20-Apr-13	The period cannot be extended; we must submit a document within the 180 days, as required by law. The decision making phase however is an open period and if there are knowledge gaps the DMR can request additional work to be done.
Some proposed mining designs could be on the farm Pretorius 530MS. The portion affected belongs to the Government of South Africa. The land owner explains that he is the landowner on the Remainder of the farm Pretorius and for the past 19 years he has applied to the South African Government to purchase their portions. But to date has not received a positive response, because of the moratorium on state land. The moratorium still exists, how does CoAL manage to develop infrastructure of the mine on that land? Do you have agreements with Government? And if they manage to do so on Pretorius, then I will go to court, because I'm deprived.	LA (Andre) Du Preez Pretorius 531 MS	Meeting	20-Apr-13	At this stage there is no agreement in place between the company and Government regarding the Pretorius property.
The 180 day period is too short a time to conduct proper studies	Peta Jones Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	This is the timeframe allowed by DMR, we also make use of historical data where it is available, and report knowledge gaps and constraints in the report.
Will the Processing Plant be built before the rest of the mine?	Unknown stakeholder	Meeting	20-Apr-13	The Processing Plant is only needed once there is a product to process, therefore it will be built in parallel with the mine and other infrastructure construction.
How is access handled for Prospecting, there are many companies that want to prospect?	Unknown stakeholder	Meeting	20-Apr-13	An access agreement is entered into with the landowner before any drilling takes place. If other companies want to prospect, first ask for the prospecting right to ensure they do have a right to prospect.
I see from the maps that my farm is not directly affected, however from a noise/pollution point of view it will be.	Dr Dean Mc Gee Landowner Farm Grootpraat	Written Comment on the Registration Form	26-Apr-13	The Environmental Impact Assessment will determine the potential impacts on surrounding properties. We will contact you for information and will then make the Environmental Impact Assessment available to you once completed.
We take note of the Mopane Project. We have also seen that the Mining Right applications were submitted on 8 April. Has Regional Manager officially accepted the applications and if so on which date? If official acceptance has not occurred, please inform us when acceptance takes place.	Mr Francis Nicholson Makhado Action Group	Written Comment on the Registration Form	26-Apr-13	The applications were submitted but we have not received the administrative acknowledgment of receipt by DMR. Once this has happened we will be sending letters to registered IAPs again. POST MEETING NOTE We have since received the administrative acknowledgement from the DMR
My company Erwee Inc acts on behalf of Richmond Boerderye Pty Ltd, of which I am the only shareholder	Hennie Erwee Erwee Inc	Written Comment by	30-Apr-13	As part of the environmental impact study, we will contact you for further information about your activities on the said properties.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
and director. Richmond Boerderye is the owner of Maseripan 520MS and Richmond 492MS. A client of mine Brodsky Trading (Pty) Ltd, owns the farm Krige 495, and I also represent them in this regard. In principle we have no objection to the mining activities, provided that it does not interfere with our land use activities, environments, and so on. For any information please contact me.	Maseripan 520MS Richmond 492MS Krige 495	letter		
I am the owner of Barend 523 MS en Piet 509 MS, please indicate where my properties are located in respect of the planned Mopane project.	Nic Fourie Manager Projects Electrical Department Greater Tzaneen Municipality	Written Comment by Email	30-Apr-13	Your properties are located south-east of the planned Mopane Project. East of the Voorburg section of the Mopane Project and adjacent to Cavan, are the areas where we own prospecting Rights.
We need clarity on the map as only a part of my farm is in the margins on the map that we have, how will that affect me?	Mr Martin Pretorius Honeymoon Wildsplaas Mussina Otto 560 MS (now Honeymoon) RE	Written Comment by Email	10-May-13	Current planning indicates mining on the Voorburg and Jutland Section, which excludes mining activities on the farm Otto 560 MS (Honeymoon). MbeuYashu does however plan to conduct further exploration once the Mining Right has been granted by Department of Mineral Resources on the Mining Right area, and therefore cannot exclude future potential at this time.
When are they planning to start mining?	Mr Martin Pretorius Honeymoon Wildsplaas Mussina Otto 560 MS (now Honeymoon) RE	Written Comment by Email	10-May-13	MbeuYashu has conducted exploration upon which the proposed planning is currently based. It is however envisaged that a phased approach will be followed to gather further information, conduct a regional water availability study, conduct a bulk sample, compile a feasibility study and comply with other legislation, which will impact the implementation of the Mopane Project. A high-level programme is included in the Background Information Document indicating the various activities, studies and licenses planned for the following four and a half years (4 ½ years).
Are this mining activities definitely going to proceed or not?	Mr Martin Pretorius Honeymoon Wildsplaas Mussina Otto 560 MS (now Honeymoon) RE	Written Comment by Email	10-May-13	The future of the project depends on a number of aspects, including whether MbeuYashu is successful in obtaining all the required licenses, the outcome of the feasibility study and fund raising for the project. Please refer to the schedule in the Background Information Document for the long term planning of the project.
On your map you stated that Maseri Pan is the	Mr T J	Written	28-May-	This is an error on our side. The original plan labelled this specific farm as Maserie Pan, while

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
triangular farm to the upper west of your map. In the latest map it is called Krige which is exactly adjacent to Mafojani Lodge. Why have the names changed from your original notification to the latest one?	Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	comment on the BID	13	in fact it is the farm Krige. This was rectified in the Background Information Document (BID). We apologise for this.
Why is the farm Krige included, since it only forms a fragmented piece joint by small plots?	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written comment on the BID	28-May-13	The current mining plan does not include any mining or infrastructure development on the farm Krige. There is however a possibility of an economic resource through the Vera farms onto Krige, and to confirm mineral resource MbeuYashu plans to do further exploration drilling on this farm, thus the inclusion of this farm in the mining right application (MRA). In terms of the landownership of the farm Krige, please refer to the Scoping Report (once available) for this information.
These plots are also patchwork with some plots excluded? What is the current legal status of Krige and who is the current owner?	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written comment on the BID	28-May-13	As stated previously, further exploration is planned in the greater Mopane Project area to determine the mineral resource and finalise the viability of further mining. This will be undertaken as part of the Feasibility Phase for the Mopane Project once the mining licence is granted. Prospecting and mining rights are issued on farm boundaries, not on the footprint of a mineable resource.
How many hectares would the 2 mining pits consist of, after the 28 years of mining has expired?	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written comment on the BID	28-May-13	It is expected that no more than 300 ha will be open at any one time as we do concurrent backfilling and rehabilitation. At the end of the life of mine the final pit will be rehabilitated. Based on the current mining and infrastructure footprint as submitted with the MRA, the Mopane Project footprint covers an area of 1 572 ha for mining and a further 1 964 ha for infrastructure development. The Voorburg mining pits cover approximately 905 ha and the Jutland mining pits a further 667 ha. It is important to note that after the mining right has been granted there will be further exploration work and feasibility studies, which could potentially alter the footprints as currently indicated.
How high would the mine heap be and also its size in hectares at its peak?	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written comment on the BID	28-May-13	The discards stockpile at the Jutland Infrastructure Hub (plant) will be in the order of 35 m high, with a total footprint of approximately 185 hectares. This final layout and footprint of the overburden stockpiles at the mining pits will only be determined after completion of the Feasibility Phase.
What roads would be utilized to transport the Coal?	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written comment on the BID	28-May-13	No hauling will take place along existing farm roads or regional / national roads. It is planned that the run-of-mine (ROM) coal will be transported for short distances by truck, on the in-pit haul roads to the crushing and screening facilities. The crushed and screened ROM product will be transported to the coal beneficiation plant at the Infrastructure Hub via conveyor. It is further planned that the product will be loaded directly onto trains at the Rail Load-out Terminal situated at the Infrastructure Hub which links up with the existing Musina-Makhado railway line.
Ursa Minor is equally strange – please give details.	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written comment on the BID	28-May-13	MbeuYashu has acquired significant rights in the Soutpansberg Valley and is in the process of consolidation of these rights. Ursa Minor has neighbouring rights owned by others and MbeuYashu is in negotiations with them regarding potential consolidation of these as well.
Where will the stockpiles be placed and how will coal and overburden be transported to the stockpiles?	Mnr Jacques De Villiers	Questionnaire	12-Jul-13	The placement of stockpiles are indicated on Figure 8 in the Scoping Report. Internal haul roads will be utilised to transport the overburden material to the stockpiles. Coal will be transported via conveyor and rail.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>Attached hereto please find my objections with regard to the above project.</p> <p>JACK KLAFF INVESTMENTS (PTY) LIMITED is the registered owner of the following farms:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Farm Moulton 188, MS Limpopo 2. Rem Extent and the Farm Brewside 189, MS Limpopo 3. The Farm Rosentawitch 197, MS Limpopo 4. The Farm Florence 213, MS Limpopo 5. The Farm Floreat 210, MS Limpopo 6. Remaining Ext of the Farm Heidelberg 187, MS Limpopo <p>I am a director of this company and authorised to lodge this objection and comments.</p> <p>Born in Musina and having been in business since 1966 mainly in property and farming I feel that I have a fairly good knowledge of the district.</p> <p>Ad paragraph 1.2.1.</p> <p>This mine or development effects the whole area North of the Soutpansberg. Your comments refer to 20,602 ha. What about the rest of the area?</p> <p>±75 farms are affected by the project.</p> <p>Ad Paragraph 3.3.9.6.</p> <p>Your report only applies to a few farms in the area. Again my question: what about the area adjacent to where the mine will be??</p> <p>Ad Paragraph 4.2.2 Product Handling.</p> <p>Words cannot express the disgust that a company could think of putting conveyers to transport a product in a sensitive area such as the bush veld! This will be objected to in the strongest possible terms.</p> <p>The development of these mines North of the Soutpansberg, will be vigorously opposed. Although we have no rights to stop this we will use every possible method to hinder this development.</p> <p>Our letters of 26 April 2013 and 28 May 2013 and your reply dated 12 June 2013 have reference and should be read as if incorporated herein.</p> <p>Take notice that any mining activity in the proposed mining area will be vehemently opposed.</p> <p>Further to our initial objections, which stand recorded, we wish to point out that your mining right application</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>We take note of your objection. Please note that the properties listed do not form part of the Mining Right Application. We confirm the properties are located approximately 12km to the north of the Mining Right application area.</p>
<p>Ad paragraph 1.2.1.</p> <p>This mine or development effects the whole area North of the Soutpansberg. Your comments refer to 20,602 ha. What about the rest of the area?</p> <p>±75 farms are affected by the project.</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>The 20 602 ha refers to the MRA area only. The regional land coverage is shown in Figure 4 of the Scoping Report. The impact zone associated with the proposed mining activities will be determined during the EIA Phase, and will not only focus on the direct impacts, also indirect impacts associated with water resources, socio- and macro-economic aspects.</p>
<p>Ad Paragraph 3.3.9.6.</p> <p>Your report only applies to a few farms in the area. Again my question: what about the area adjacent to where the mine will be??</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>See above response.</p>
<p>Ad Paragraph 4.2.2 Product Handling.</p> <p>Words cannot express the disgust that a company could think of putting conveyers to transport a product in a sensitive area such as the bush veld! This will be objected to in the strongest possible terms.</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>From an environmental and social perspective, a conveyor is the least impeding/destructive of the alternative options available, i.e. road transport and rail.</p>
<p>The development of these mines North of the Soutpansberg, will be vigorously opposed. Although we have no rights to stop this we will use every possible method to hinder this development.</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
<p>Our letters of 26 April 2013 and 28 May 2013 and your reply dated 12 June 2013 have reference and should be read as if incorporated herein.</p> <p>Take notice that any mining activity in the proposed mining area will be vehemently opposed.</p> <p>Further to our initial objections, which stand recorded, we wish to point out that your mining right application</p>	<p>Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>We take note of your objection. The Mopane Project has the potential to produce good quality hard coking coal and a domestic thermal coal product. The technical studies of the Mopane Project show that the project has an economically viable return. It is important to note that the approach for the Mopane Project is to first apply for a NOMR and follow the required Regulations in conducting the EIA and compile an EMP in terms of the MPRDA. Once this process is completed and the applicant has obtained further detail in respect of its planned development, the applications for environmental authorisation in terms</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>and intentions to mine the entire area are premature, since one can hardly apply for a mining right on property where one has no idea what the nature and extent (including economic viability) of the resource, presumably to be mined, is. In your letter of 12 June 2013 you state that “As stated above, further exploration is planned in the greater Mopane Project area to determine the mineral resource and finalise the viability of further mining.” Surely, this means that you are applying for a mining right over property of which you have absolutely no idea whether it should or could be mined, by any stretch of the imagination. Your application over at least these portions should for this reason alone be refused.</p> <p>In addition, it is noted that your application also precedes a feasibility study which again and in turn means that you are still not sure whether the resource on the entire property can or should be mined, or on what economic basis. Your entire application must for this reason be refused.</p> <p>We conclude that your applications can for many reasons not be compliant with the Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, no 28 of 2002, as amended or with the Regulations promulgated in terms thereof.</p>				<p>of the NEMA and an IWUL in terms of the NWA will be conducted as separate processes.</p> <p>The exploration drilling planned is to obtain further information to enable the company to do detail planning and design for the project. The feasibility study done will utilise the additional information and project designs to compile the feasibility study which is used for the further applications as mentioned above.</p>
<p>We further wish to be placed in possession of the content of all of your mining right applications (referenced above), including all plans, the lodged mining work programmes and social and labour plans, with specific reference to the financial provisions and undertakings including proof of financial ability in each case. You will appreciate that we require these so as to properly assess the applications.</p>	<p>Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>Please note that the Department of Mineral Resources is the regulator and custodian of the legislative framework for the mining industry. The department is responsible to assess the mining right application in terms of viability, as well as the company's financial ability to implement the project. The documents you require are not public documents and cannot therefore be made available as they contains confidential commercial information.</p>
<p>We address you on behalf of the recently formed Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum (“The Forum!”) and, inter alia, certain of its founding – and other members, including but not limited to: Bertie van ;Zyl (Pty) Ltd, the Makhado Action Group, the Mudimeli Community and the various interested and affected opposition groups represented by Messrs W M Schultz, J Klaff, J H Dreyer, P Dreyer, G Downie, J O Bosman, PG</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>Please provide Power of Attorney documentation for the landowners being represented by your company.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>Carshagen, J Fourie, C Jvd Merwe, D v Niekerk, C Minnaar, J A Hennrig, R Otto, DJ Dewsnap, J Hayes, C J Willemse, D .Johnsen, K Osmer and A Taylor who hereby note opposition to the abovementioned applications / projects. A copy of the Forum's constitution and the minutes of the founding meeting are attached hereto for your attention, respectively marked Annexure 'A' and Annexure "B". For the avoidance of doubt, this letter is directed to you on behalf of the aforementioned stakeholders specifically consequent upon recent purported public meetings (including last Saturday, 24 August 2013) which merely served to exacerbate our clients' concerns. Pending resolution of the issues raised herein, our clients' rights remain reserved.</p> <p>The provisions of Regulation 3 are woefully inadequate as a mechanism for bringing to the attention of IAP's the acceptance by the DMR of any of the aforementioned rights applications. Under the circumstances, little purpose will be served by submitting a BID which baldly announces; the acceptance of an application as aforementioned, however which fails to provide either the date of acceptance or a copy of the DMR's acceptance letter. In fact, so simplistic would it be to provide this information that your failure to do so appears to be a deliberate ploy in order to frustrate IAP's timeously and effectively exercising their rights.</p> <p>Our clients have no knowledge of the date of acceptance of the applications to which you refer. There is no indication of the locality of the noticeboards at whichever Magistrate's Courts the various acceptance notices might have appeared. Suffice it to say that in Polokwane the Magistrate's Court has been destroyed by fire and fully 3 (three) buildings now accommodate court activities. We utilise the services of a local law firm to inspect whichever noticeboards might be available and we have been unable to locate any of the prescribed Regulation 3 notices.</p> <p>During the founding meeting of the Forum, details emerged of what appears to be yet another project intended to be advanced by your client, albeit not</p>				
<p>The provisions of Regulation 3 are woefully inadequate as a mechanism for bringing to the attention of IAP's the acceptance by the DMR of any of the aforementioned rights applications. Under the circumstances, little purpose will be served by submitting a BID which baldly announces; the acceptance of an application as aforementioned, however which fails to provide either the date of acceptance or a copy of the DMR's acceptance letter. In fact, so simplistic would it be to provide this information that your failure to do so appears to be a deliberate ploy in order to frustrate IAP's timeously and effectively exercising their rights.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>This concern is to be addressed to DMR, MbeuYashu does not have influence over legislative requirements. The dates of acceptance from the DMR are included in the Scoping Report, under Chapter 2, and clearly stipulate the MPRDA process and the required submissions dates.</p>
<p>Our clients have no knowledge of the date of acceptance of the applications to which you refer. There is no indication of the locality of the noticeboards at whichever Magistrate's Courts the various acceptance notices might have appeared. Suffice it to say that in Polokwane the Magistrate's Court has been destroyed by fire and fully 3 (three) buildings now accommodate court activities. We utilise the services of a local law firm to inspect whichever noticeboards might be available and we have been unable to locate any of the prescribed Regulation 3 notices.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>This concern is to be addressed to DMR, as the regulator and custodian of the legislative framework for the mining industry. MbeuYashu has no role or influence of the regulatory requirements.</p>
<p>During the founding meeting of the Forum, details emerged of what appears to be yet another project intended to be advanced by your client, albeit not</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>Please note that MbeuYashu, or CoAL or its subsidiaries do not own prospecting rights on the farm Sitapo. The Jutland Section forms part of the Mopane project, close to the Mopane town.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>formally brought to the attention of the broad base of IAP's, viz. the Jutland Project. This project appears to affect the farm, Sitapo 690 MS, the property of Bertie van Zyl (Pty) Ltd. The same property is the subject matter of a competing application for a NOMR submitted, to the DMR by a third party. Plainly there is confusion and various applications appear to have been accepted in respect of the same property. The same situation might obtain in respect of other properties affected by the various abovementioned projects. Howsoever constituted, the situation stands to be clarified forthwith and until it has, any requests for access to any properties affected by any of the aforementioned projects for "specialist assessments" are premature and we are advising our clients not to accede thereto, pending the resolution of the confusion that has arisen, the meeting which is proposed and the completion of the RSEIA.</p>	<p>Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>			<p>The regional study, as mentioned, will be done during the pre-feasibility and feasibility studies as noted in the schedule included in the Background Information Document. During these studies and phases more detailed information will be available regarding water requirements, project phasing and potential impacts of the various projects.</p>
<p>We note from the BID that you intend following a staggered approval process in relation to at least the ancillary activities which would require environmental authorisation under the NEMA EIA regulations and an integrated water use license under the National Water Act, No. 36 of 1.998. As you are aware, in the event that the mining rights which form the subject matter of the abovementioned applications (and others referred to) should be granted, mining operations ought to commence within 12 (twelve) months after the date of grant. At this early stage we warn you that your proposed process is unacceptable in view of the objections and opposition which will follow not only the abovementioned applications, but also the ancillary applications aforementioned. Under the circumstances, it is highly unlikely that the ancillary processes will have been completed and approved before your clients will become obliged to commence mining operations. Any attempts to commence any mining activities without the prerequisite ancillary approvals will be strenuously opposed as also any attempt to ex post facto regularise the commencement of any unapproved listed activity in terms of Section 24G of</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>It is important to note that the approach for the Mopane Project is to first apply for a NOMR and follow the required Regulations in conducting the EIA and compile an EMP in terms of the MPRDA. Once this process is completed and the applicant has obtained further detail in respect of its planned development, the applications for environmental authorisation in terms of the NEMA and an IWUL in terms of the NWA will be conducted as separate processes. The staggered approach, feasibility studies, as well as other permitting requirements was included in the implementation plan as submitted to DMR. The MPRDA requirement is to commence with implementation of the Implementation Plan within 12 months. Seeing it was included in the Implementation Plan, time is allowed for it's completion.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
NEMA. As you are aware, we act on behalf of the Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum and its constituent members. We refer to our letter of 27 August 2013 to which we received merely a cursory response late last week. In the interim, your undated letter directed to the Waterpoort Mine Committee on 30 August 2013 has been handed to us for reply.	Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys	Written Comment by Email	09-Sep-13	Noted
I am just emailing you with regard to the above mining project that is undergoing an EIA. Please could you advise if the Final Scoping Report has received approval yet?	Marlaine Andersen Researcher Private Projects	Written Comment by Email	19-Sep-13	Please note that the DMR who is the lead authority in the MPRDA process do not approve the Scoping Report. The main purpose of the Scoping Report is to allow comments and inputs from the IAPs and authorities on the proposed Plan of Study.
What are the status of the rail line upgrade, we heard that Transnet is busy with such a process, has CoAL been engaged by Transnet?	Mr Andre Du Preez Landowner	Meeting	18-Oct-13	CoAL has engaged with Transnet and they are aware of the developments and CoAL's requirements.
How capable is the Government Departments of ensuring compliance with the conditions of the license?	Peta Jones Landowner	Meeting	18-Oct-13	The Environmental Management Programme Report becomes part of the conditions of the Mining Right. If the mine does not comply with the conditions the Mining Right can be revoked or a directive can be issued to the mine to ensure compliance. Government do actually come and do audits; if Vele is taken as an example, the Blue and Green Scorpions have come to the mine on several occasions to do compliance audits. Noted, but let's not continue with comments of that nature.
Joseph started by making a point of correction to one person who initially claimed to be the chairperson of Mulambwane and said he, Joseph is the real chairperson. He went further that they understand and welcome the project. He commented that people who are against the mining project are mostly current land owners who have title deeds and care less for the development of the area and the people. He also commented that in areas where there are mining projects, local people are benefiting and the economy of the whole country is growing as a result thereof.	Joseph Mariba Mulambwane CPA	Meeting	19-Oct-13	
How many prospecting rights does CoAL have in hectares?	Elise Tempelhoff – Beeld	Meeting	19-Oct-13	The Prospecting Right area, and not the development areas of GSP Project, covers around 78 000 hectares. With about 3000 hectares being the directly affected area, because when applying for rights you have to apply on farm boundaries.
What does bulk sampling mean?	Method Gundidza – Mupo Foundation	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Vertical holes are drilled and samples are extracted from the ground. These samples are sent away to laboratories to determine the volume and quality of the coal. Then a geological and financial model is built to determine whether the mine will be financially viable to open.
Will CoAL agree to pay for independent consultants to verify the findings of the consultants appointed by	Philé van Zyl – Chairman of the	Meeting	19-Oct-13	CoAL has appointed independent consultants to undertake the specialist studies. We will allow a discussion about the findings, but cannot pay for a second opinion on your behalf.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
them, if there is a good enough motivation?	Vhembe Mineral Resources Forum			
Been attending meetings and hear people are threatened with another mine. Water problems are clear. Why did Rio Tinto sell their Prospecting Rights?	Elise Tempelhoff Beeld	Meeting	19-Oct-13	We cannot speak on behalf of Rio Tinto - we don't have the reasons why they sold their rights.
Our community never agreed to have a mining project in our area.	Simon Ntsandeni Mupo Foundation	Meeting	19-Oct-13	We welcome Mupo, and recognize the need for Mupo's voice in the developmental industry. Mupo has to make their ideas available. Mupo has come with a set position that they will say no to mining. That is their right. They are the voice of the environment and as such they must continue to talk about it.

2 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Kindly be informed that we are acting on behalf of Waterpoort Community Development Trust. The instructions we hold are that we are requesting an urgent meeting with you as the Directors of Coal of Africa in order for us to propose for a contract between yourselves and the above community. There has been no formal contract entered into with them ever since as they are the affected party in this area. Further and above, their interest cannot be protected in the absence of the intended contract. Further take notice that copies of this letter are being sent to the Department of Mineral Resources in Polokwane and Pretoria for their attention. Attached hereto find the copy of the appointment letter in my respect by the Waterpoort Community Development Trust. Kindly take further notice that the Waterpoort Community Development Trust has been excluded from the list of the communities the new mining company is going to consult with. May you please give us grounds of such a gross negligence?	Adv Hlungwani Advisor to the Waterpoort Community Development Trust	Written Comment by letter	23-Apr-13	In response to your request to hold a meeting with the directors of Coal of Africa Limited (CoAL), we would like to advise you that the process of public consultation has not yet commenced. We are awaiting the acceptance of our Mining Right Application (MRA) from the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR), after which the process of consultation with Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) will be implemented. Should you be an Interested and Affected Party, we would like to encourage you to register accordingly to ensure that you are included in the engagement process. It may be helpful if you could provide further detail on the composition and constituency of the Waterpoort Community Development Trust.
What if the impact indicates that the mine will only have	Jack Klaff	Meeting	20-Apr-13	The Environmental team presents the facts in their reports, and detailed mine designs

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
negative impacts?	Regional Landowner			attempt to mitigate the impacts identified. The DMR must review these reports and then make a decision. All aspects negative and positive must be weighed against each other.
Will we get the minutes of meetings and notices of future meetings?	Jacques de Villiers Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	Lizinda Dickson explained that minutes will be emailed or posted depending on the preferred method of contact, and will also form part of the documents submitted to DMR.
Need to give CoAL a compliment about the transparent and ethical manner the questions and process has been handle in this meeting.	Johan Tempelhoff Researcher	Meeting	20-Apr-13	CoAL accepted the compliment with thanks.
Your decision to cut me off from CoAL notices re meetings in our district is only ensuring that my burning desire to rid this Valley of the scourge of coal mining - is intensified. We have only just begun our opposition to your so-called Greater Soutpansberg Project. I could not make the Mopane meeting as my father had a bad fall and I had to attend to his needs. He is an icon in this district and he too is vehemently opposed to CoAL's unwanted presence in our beautiful valley. The tide of opposition to your large scale mining plans has turned. Locals who were apathetic at the beginning are now opposed to the very idea!!! I will be at your next meetings, invited or not!!! In case it is necessary (I have registered before and should be getting all notices and info), I wish to be registered as an Interested & Affected party regarding the Greater Soutpansberg Project.	Wally Schultz Chairman – Afriforum Louis Trichardt Chairman – Save our Beautiful Limpopo Valley from coal mining. Editor – Soutpansberg Life Newspaper	Written Comment by Email	25-Apr-13	Your comments have been received and have been taken into consideration. You are registered as an organisation on the Interested and affected database and according to our records, you did receive the notification letter and registration form for the Mopane Project. As a registered I & AP you will receive public information on the project, be notified of public documents as they become available and be invited to public meetings. The meeting to which you refer was not a public meeting but a meeting requested by land owners of the Mopane project. This meeting does not form part of the legislated public participation programme.
We are a member of the Sand River Mopane Landowners Association and agree with their comments/inputs. We confirm that we are aware of the planned Mopane project by Coal of Africa Limited, and that we are actively participating in the consultation process.	LA (Andre) Du Preez Pretorius 531 MS	Written comment on the BID	10-Jun-13	We appreciate your participation in the process and all your inputs and recommendation will be evaluated during the Environmental Impact Assessment.
With reference to the recent notice received on 27 May regarding the submission of written comments. We feel that the period provided between 27 May 2013 and 12 June 2013 was insufficient to give proper inputs and request that we be given more time. Initially the impression was created with us during the meeting in December 2012 that the mining project would only come into effect in 10 or even 15 years and since then the developments have been taking place	Danie Johnsen Chairperson Sand River Mopane Landowners Association	Written comment on the BID	12-Jun-13	As described in the Background Informational Document, the Department of Mineral Resources provides 180 days for the Environmental Impact Assessment and Management Plan. During this process there will be continuous opportunity for stakeholder parties to provide inputs in the process. In the immediate future, we would like to indicate that you will receive a 30 day comment period to carefully go through the Scoping Report and to give comments.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>much faster.</p> <p>With reference to the abovementioned matter, the author confirms that our instructions stand on behalf of the Sand River Mopani Land Owners' Association. Any communication occurs without prejudice to our clients' rights in this matter, including the attached documentation accompanying this letter. Your letter dated 27 May 2013 in which is your communication regarding our clients' written comment, which comments should reach you no later than 12 June 2013, creates a feeling of limited timing, since our clients do not want to present you with hasty and incomplete comments on your background information document. The reasons that we accept are also of importance to you since important social and environmental aspects have to be dealt with and considered in totality. We therefore accept that you would provide us with a further opportunity to submit supplementary comments, even after publication of your scoping report, should we would want to expand on our comments or alternatively want to bring new facts to your attention. We would therefore appreciate your attitude and willingness to accommodate our request stated herein and we look forward to hearing from you by return post.</p> <p>We also refer to your undated Background Information Document ("BID") in respect of the Generala Project and your letter of 24 July 2013 which purports to advise of the acceptance by the Department of Mineral Resources of the relevant abovementioned applications. To your knowledge a critical element of a public participation process is the advice to interested and affected parties ("IAP's") of the date of acceptance of an application for any of the rights available under the Mineral and Petroleum Resources and Development Act, No. 28 of 2002 (The MPRDA") allied to a copy of the acceptance letter, the purpose of which is, inter alia:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the exercise by IAP's of their rights under Section 10(2) of the MPR[A within the prescribed 30 (thirty) day timeframe; • the calculation of the prescribed timeframes as set out 	<p>Mr Arno Jacobs Attorney of the Sandrivier- Mopane Landowners Association</p>	<p>Written comment on the BID</p>	<p>12-Jun-13</p>	<p>As described in the Background Information Document, the Department of Mineral Resources provides 180 days for the Environmental Impact Study and Management Plan. During this process there will be continuous opportunity for stakeholder parties to provide inputs in the process. In the immediate future, we would like to indicate that you will receive a 30 day comment period to carefully go through the Scoping Report and to give comments.</p>
<p>We also refer to your undated Background Information Document ("BID") in respect of the Generala Project and your letter of 24 July 2013 which purports to advise of the acceptance by the Department of Mineral Resources of the relevant abovementioned applications. To your knowledge a critical element of a public participation process is the advice to interested and affected parties ("IAP's") of the date of acceptance of an application for any of the rights available under the Mineral and Petroleum Resources and Development Act, No. 28 of 2002 (The MPRDA") allied to a copy of the acceptance letter, the purpose of which is, inter alia:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the exercise by IAP's of their rights under Section 10(2) of the MPR[A within the prescribed 30 (thirty) day timeframe; • the calculation of the prescribed timeframes as set out 	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>The dates of acceptance from the DMR is included in the Scoping Report, under Chapter 2, and clearly stipulates the MPRDA process and the required submissions dates. The process of engagement is clearly addressed in Section 8.3 of the Scoping Report. Please note that this process relates to the Mopane Project, not the Generala Project.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>in, inter alia, Sections. 16(4), 23(4) (as the case may be) and: 39(1) as read with Section 394).</p> <p>As appears from the Generala Project BID, the various applications cover vast tracts of land and the collective impact of your clients' proposed mining activities cannot conceivably be assessed by mere reference to merely the Generala BID, or for that matter, to any of the BID's that relate to any of the remaining abovementioned projects. In the result, the unilaterally imposed date of 9 August 2013 by which "written comments, inputs and concerns on the project with regard to the Generala BID must be submitted is practically untenable and cannot be achieved unless significantly more detailed information is provided. Precisely the same situation obtains also to all the remaining abovementioned projects in respect of which you equally unilaterally simply announce compliance dates (including but not limited to the dates, September 2013 and 18. September 2013 in respect of comments upon the scoping reports of the Generala and Chapudi Projects) without the collective group of IAP's having any inkling of your fractured discussions with selective interest groups with whom you meet, on an exclusive basis. You will recall that in: respect of the Makhado Project we requested you a year ago to inform us of the legislative framework upon which you relied for the announcement of these dates a request to which we did not as much as receive an acknowledgement of receipt, much less any substantive response.</p> <p>As appears from what we say herein, there are more questions than answers and we propose that your clients consent to a meeting in order to address our clients' concerns, particularly in respect of material which does not form part of any of the BID's. Once this meeting, has occurred, our clients will then formulate detailed comments in respect of your clients' proposals and in as much as our clients remain unaware of the date of acceptance of the abovementioned applications, we reserve our clients' rights to submit comments in terms of Section 10(2) of the MPRDA aforementioned and to participate in the subsequent prescribed</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>The MPRDA does not provide for regulated I&AP comment periods on the Scoping Report but only refers to a comment period for organs of state, being 30 days. This period coupled with public participation good practice in allowing an I&AP comment period, 30 days was allowed for comment on the Scoping Report.</p> <p>Please note that this process relates to the Mopane Project, and not the Generala Project.</p>
<p>As appears from what we say herein, there are more questions than answers and we propose that your clients consent to a meeting in order to address our clients' concerns, particularly in respect of material which does not form part of any of the BID's. Once this meeting, has occurred, our clients will then formulate detailed comments in respect of your clients' proposals and in as much as our clients remain unaware of the date of acceptance of the abovementioned applications, we reserve our clients' rights to submit comments in terms of Section 10(2) of the MPRDA aforementioned and to participate in the subsequent prescribed</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>MbeuYashu requested a meeting under certain conditions (without legal representation) to facilitate open discussion with the Forum. The Forum has declined a meeting under the requested conditions.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>processes, irrespective of whether the initial timeframes or the dates by which you have unilaterally demanded comment upon the various submissions have lapsed.</p> <p>Without undertaking a detailed analysis of your response, merely the aforementioned abbreviated overview, allied to the passage of 12 (twelve) months, reveal serious and fundamental flaws in your understanding of matters, the processes which you have followed hitherto, the veracity of your statements and the practical implementation of your various proposals. The Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum was formed precisely since its members have found the divisive and fractured consultation process you have elected to follow to be unacceptable (the "isolated silo process" to which you referred and in fact appears to have been followed, notwithstanding contrary suggestions). We have had ample opportunity to consult with the various members and it transpires that a consistent objection amongst all the members is that none of the various stakeholder groupings is aware of the status of your consultations with other stakeholder groupings and in particular, the cumulative impact upon the collective group of stakeholders of all your proposed activities.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>The process being followed is as prescribed by the MPRDA. The public participation process and more specifically the planned Open Days are open to the public to attend for all three projects to obtain the required information. The EIA/EMP's for the three projects will also be made public for review by stakeholders.</p>
<p>If anything, mailers are exacerbated by the failure of both you and your EAP to respond to critical correspondence. You will note that this letter is replete with references to correspondence to which there has been no response.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>Responses have been provided where possible and where aspects related to the Greater Soutpansberg Project Mining Right Application process in terms of the MPRDA.</p>
<p>Under the circumstances, little purpose will be served by feigning surprise and dismay at the stakeholders now insisting upon a process which you had yourself suggested more than a year ago. In order to place this matter on an appropriate footing, we suggest you respond in detail to our letter of 27 August 2013; where after our clients will further consider the meeting which you proposed.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>Responses have been provided where possible and where aspects related to the Greater Soutpansberg Project Mining Right Application process in terms of the MPRDA.</p>
<p>We refer to the Greater Soutpansberg Project and in</p>	<p>Vhembe</p>	<p>Written</p>	<p>20-Sep-13</p>	<p>The status of the Greater Soutpansberg Projects is that we are currently conducting</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>particular to the following correspondence:</p> <p>a) our letter of 9 September 2013</p> <p>b) your letter of 10 September 2013</p> <p>c) the Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum's letter to you of 12 September 2013</p> <p>d) the Waterpoort Mine Committee's letter to your Public Participation Manager dated 27 August 2013</p> <p>several requests for information received directly by Forum members from your Public Participation Manager after 12 September 2013</p> <p>During the past three (3) weeks, apart from the above captioned correspondence, we have directed extensive correspondence to both you and your Public Participation Manager in which we raised a number of aspects which ought to be addressed formally in the course and scope of your Public Participation Process ("PPP") with all interested and affected parties and other stakeholders; however, which hitherto have not been addressed; either not satisfactorily or not at all. In this regard we refer to certain correspondence that has been directed to you as long as 18 August 2011, as well as the recordals made during a meeting on 19 August 2013.</p>	<p>Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Comment by Email</p>		<p>Environmental Impact Assessments and compiling Environmental Management Plans as directed by the MPRDA and enforced by DMR. During this process it is required of the applicant of a Mining Right to consult with and solicit comments from all Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs). Until such time that an I&AP notifies CoAL that a body or entity represents their interests, and that any communication from CoAL should be directed to a secondary party, it is incumbent upon CoAL to correspond directly with any and all I&APs.</p>
<p>It is customary that in matters of this nature the comments of IAPs are to be subsumed into formal reports and then resubmitted to IAPs in order to satisfy them that their concerns have been adequately addressed. This commonly accepted procedure appears not have been followed in several instances.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>20-Sep-13</p>	<p>Copies of all written comments received on the Greater Soutpansberg up to the date of the Scoping Report completion was attached to the Scoping Report made available for comment.</p>
<p>Your aforementioned replying correspondence do no more than merely suggest yet a further meeting and notwithstanding being called upon to substantively deal with the issues we have raised, you persist in your failure to do so. No purpose whatsoever will be service by convening yet a further meeting if, as has been the case historically, the discussions are never reflected in any subsequently amended formal documents. Irresistibly, your failure must now be construed as a refusal to address the legitimate queries which are of</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>20-Sep-13</p>	<p>In terms of our records all correspondence in terms of the Greater Soutpansberg Project received from your office has been responded to where applicable.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>importance to the IAPs and other stakeholders. The mere fact that the issues raised in the recent correspondence remain alive in the submissions of the IAPs must unequivocally impute that you management of these issues is unsatisfactory.</p> <p>Under the circumstances, we contend that your PPP is inadequate and therefore defective. In this regard, we refer also to the PPP in respect of the Makhado Project in which you have been placed on terms by the Regional Manager at a REMDEC meeting during August 2012, notwithstanding which you persist in your refusal to engage in a meaningful and transparent PPP with particularly those IAPs.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>20-Sep-13</p>	<p>CoAL must disagree with your statement that the company has been placed on terms by the Regional Manager, this is untrue. We further disagree that the Public Participation Processes is flawed. The Public Participation Process followed is compliant with what is required by legislation.</p>
<p>With effect from 12 September 2013 your Public Participation Manager has recommenced establishing direct contact with landowners who are members of our client. The sole conclusion which stands to be distilled from these actions is that you have decided to circumvent our client because it has entirely reasonably declined to submit to the unilaterally imposed onerous conditions to which you wanted to subject any meeting with our client. In this regard, we hold instructions to convey to you that individual landowners will not meet with your Public Participation Manager pending your detailed response to our outstanding requirements and correspondence; the detailed response which will form the basis of an agenda for any further and future meetings with you.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>20-Sep-13</p>	<p>The status of the Greater Soutpansberg Projects is that we are currently conducting Environmental Impact Assessments and compiling Environmental Management Plans as directed by the MPRDA and enforced by DMR. During this process it is required of the Applicant of a Mining Right to consult with and solicit comments from all Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs). Until such time that an I&AP notifies CoAL that a body or entity represents their interests, and that any communication from CoAL should be directed to a secondary party, it is incumbent upon CoAL to correspond directly with any and all I&APs. The Public Participation Manager will therefore continue to dispatch notifications, invitations to meetings and availability of reports to parties registered on the Interested and Affected Party list.</p>
<p>As we had done in respect of the Makhado Project, we reiterate the warning that your present stance renders your PPP fatally flawed and we are advising the Regional Manager accordingly. Unless we receive your substantive response to outstanding issues and correspondence by close of business on Friday 27 September 2013, we intend approaching the Regional Manager for the purpose of convening yet another REMDEC meeting so that your obligations in respect of consultation can be formally explained to you and placed on record.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>20-Sep-13</p>	<p>We would like to engage with your client, and will continue to issue requests to facilitate this, in order to promote meaningful and collaborative engagement between both parties. We trust this may take place quite soon.</p>
<p>Your summary of your obligations to establish contact with IAPs during the initial phases of an application is</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral</p>	<p>Written Comment by</p>	<p>30-Sep-13</p>	<p>We disagree with your statement. Until such a time as we have received correspondence from each and every interested and affected party requesting that correspondence not be</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>correct, save for the fact that in this instance you have now been advised of the formation of the Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum and notwithstanding the addressing that you liaise with the Forum Chairperson in respect of your requirements and the manner in which you are to acquit yourself of your legislative obligations, you persist in liaising directly with interested and affected parties. The latter have no commenced directing correspondence to you which informs you that all correspondence should be directed to Mr Philè van Zyl, the Forum's Chairperson. Please confirm in writing that you understand that henceforth correspondence with regard to the Greater Soutpansberg Projects and the interests of interested and affected parties is to be directed to Mr Van Zyl as set out above.</p>	<p>Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Email</p>		<p>sent to them but in their stead to their Power of Attorney Mr Philè van Zyl, we will continue to comply with legislation and engage with interested and affected parties directly.</p> <p>Also note that some of the individuals whom you purport to represent have indicated their distancing from the Forum, notably even members of the Forum committee.</p>
<p>There is no aspect of paragraphs 2 and 3 of our letter of 20 September 2013 that requires clarification. Numerous letters have been directed to you since the beginning of 2011 and we have electronic delivery reports which evince your receipt of each and every letter. Having "interrogated" your records, you must have come across our correspondence and also your responses thereto in order for you to assert, by necessary analogy, that each and every one of our letters had received a reply. Under the circumstances, kindly provide the following details regarding your alleged responses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The date of each of your letters, - the date of despatch of each of the letters - the details of the addressees in each instance, - Proof of delivery of each of your alleged letters. <p>The sparse responses that we have received (such as your letter under reply) constitute no more than meaningless rejoinders and fail to address the detailed concerns enumerated in our earlier correspondence. Rather than being obtuse and evasive, please let us have copies of each of the replying letters you claim to have dispatched to us, including your responses to those letters specifically mentioned in our letter of 20</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>30-Sep-13</p>	<p>In terms of correspondence with regards to the Greater Soutpansberg Project, you have confirmed in your correspondence receipt of all our letters.</p>
<p>The sparse responses that we have received (such as your letter under reply) constitute no more than meaningless rejoinders and fail to address the detailed concerns enumerated in our earlier correspondence. Rather than being obtuse and evasive, please let us have copies of each of the replying letters you claim to have dispatched to us, including your responses to those letters specifically mentioned in our letter of 20</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>30-Sep-13</p>	<p>In terms of correspondence with regards to the Greater Soutpansberg Project, you have confirmed in your correspondence receipt of all our letters.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>September 2013</p> <p>We are constrained to emphasise that our client is perfectly amenable to engage with you; however, a prerequisite to that engagement is your detailed and substantive response to the issues raised pertinently in recent correspondence. Your persistent refusal to do so remains a significant cause of concern. Equally alarming is your unilateral imposition of terms of engagement, including but not limited to dictating the identity of individuals who may attend the engagement sessions. In this regard, we place on record that there have been detailed discussions between our client's Mr Van Zyl and your Mr Van der Merwe with regard to the convening of a meeting which meeting was cancelled by Mr Van der Merwe at the last moment for no better reason than that you refused to accommodate all the members of our client's delegation.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>30-Sep-13</p>	<p>All the issues raised by the VMRSF in relation to the GSP have been adequately dealt with.</p> <p>The company initiated several attempts to engage, and proposed that this be done without legal representation for the purpose of meaningful engagement. The company's view is it that wishes to conduct open and transparent engagement, which is not necessarily a process towards litigation.</p>
<p>Under the circumstances, it constitutes yet another significant misstatement on your part to impute that our client is unwilling to engage with you. Quite the contrary is true, hence, as matters currently stand, it is in fact your unwillingness to engage with our client other than on you unilaterally imposed onerous terms that serves to frustrate the "meaningful and collaborative engagement" which you seek to achieve.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>30-Sep-13</p>	<p>See above response.</p>
<p>As has been the case in respect of previous correspondence, we are also copying the office of the Regional Manager on this correspondence so that there can in due course be no dispute with regard to correspondence that we have directed to you, your failure to respond thereto and your failure to conduct a transparent public participation process.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>30-Sep-13</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p>Impressed and encouraged by the presentation. CoAL however has certain legacy issues which were not addressed properly, and as a result damage was done. The company will have to demonstrate its ability to deliver on its promises. It is important that the communities confidence in CoAL be restored, as community leaders led to their communities. He suggested that a co-ordinating structure be established</p>	<p>Silas Sebola Mulwambane CPA</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>14-Sep-13</p>	<p>Mashudu Ramano voiced his appreciation for the openness of communities. Promised to distribute the policy document. He urged communities to be patient, and allow the company to prove itself.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
for engagement Concurred with Silas and proposed that a framework for engagement be developed, which is to be shared with everyone. Shared his concern about consultations outside of the Forum. Also proposed a forum for engagement	Calvin Leshiba Leshivha CPA	Meeting	14-Sep-13	MbeuYashu cannot take responsibility for things that happened in the past. Shares are being held in trust for communities, and upon finalisation of the land claim process by the Regional Land Claims Commission (RLCC), a strategy to finalise the shareholding will be presented to the communities. Communities will provide input and feedback in the process. The company does not promote the use of intermediary structures, but prefers to deal with the communities directly.
So many things have been promised in the past, that any agreements made now should be in black and white. Shares within the projects to be given to communities, The communities hear about meetings taking place in Midrand.	Samuel Raselabe Leshivha CPA	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Shares are being held in trust for communities, and upon finalisation of the land claim process by the Regional Land Claims Commission (RLCC), a strategy to finalise the shareholding will be presented to the communities. Communities will provide guidance and feedback in the process. CoAL has many stakeholders in its various projects and operations. The company must engage with all stakeholders at all levels. The meeting in Midrand was to deal with an issue specific to the Mulambwane community, who were in possession of title deeds. He added that there was a need to gather as a bigger forum to share information
Proposed that Chairpersons of CPA's and Spokespersons of Royal Councils be the point of contact He was not in favour of a structure.	Joe Mariba Mulwambane CPA	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Noted
Proposed that presentations be distributed to the meeting participants. He requested more information on how CoAL would empower the communities. He asked for clarity on whether the communities would participate in individual projects or the whole GSP.	Tshifwa Ncube Tshivhula Community	Meeting	14-Sep-13	The intention of MbeuYashu is to bring development to the area, and the broader province.
Raised the issue of the timing of the meeting. He proposed starting a bit later to accommodate the needs of all those involved. He requested that the agenda also be provided beforehand so that people could be informed. He expressed appreciation for what MbeuYashu was doing, and he encouraged the leaders to return to their communities and brief them, and to enable them to make an informed decision. The process must be about deepening democracy and capacity building.	Dr Phaswana Musekwa Land Claims Trust	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Thanked Dr Phaswana and accepted the input and advice provided.
Requested that all notes and reports from the community meetings be distributed to communities, through e-mail address supplied on the attendance registers. He asked if there were any risks or threats to the projects, and what role communities could play to mitigate those risks and support the developments	Tshifwa Ncube Tshivhula Community	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Agreed that reports and minutes would be distributed To the meeting about the newly formed "Vhembe Mineral Resource Stakeholders Forum" who were opposing the project, and who had formally registered their opposition

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
proposed in the meeting. They would be willing to meet with land owners as well put the position and view of the community to them. He encouraged communities to educate themselves about their rights				
Expressed appreciation for the opportunity and the way in which the meeting was conducted. Emphasised the principle of punctuality. He suggested that senior members of CoAL be part of the meeting.	MR Setjie	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Agreed that Mr David Brown would be invited to attend one of the meetings. Advise the meeting that both Florence Duval and Baldwin formed part of the executive management of CoAL, and were also board members of MbeuYashu.
Expressed his happiness with the spirit displayed in the meeting. Communities were now ready to move forward.	Calvin Leshiba Leshivha CPA	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Thank you
Seconded the proposal to involve senior people from RLCC. He proposed that a resolution be sent to the Minister.	Chief Musekwa Musekwa Royal Council	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Noted
Who was consulted before you applied for this mining right?	Eddie Raselabe Leshivha CPA	Meeting	19-Oct-13	The prospecting rights were owned by Rio Tinto who decided not to continue with mining activities in this area. The prospecting rights were available for anyone to buy, MbeuYashu decided to purchase the Prospecting Rights from Rio Tinto. The rights do not belong to the communities, it belongs to the government. We applied to government to get the mining rights; we have to follow the process set by government. Anyone could have purchased or applied for the rights. Please focus on Mopane Project only as the properties you refer to do not form part of the Mopane Project.
Two municipalities are affected (Musina and Makhado) – are all communities going to be considered? And if we are not affected – why were we invited here?	Sameul Emmanuel Phuntsi Community Member	Meeting	19-Oct-13	MbeuYashu needs to interact and consult with all I&APs, that is the process which we need to follow and that is why you are here – to be part of the consultation process.
We understand that CoAL is consulting with all other communities on different farms. There is a rumor that there is not a community staying at Waterpoort, but there is, so how are the Waterpoort community consulted?	Waterpoort Community Development Trust	Meeting	19-Oct-13	CoAL received a letter several months ago from an attorney informing the company that he was representing the Waterpoort Trust and they asked why they were not consulted. CoAL replied by asking for further clarification on the representative and constituency of the Waterpoort Trust so that consultation could take place. To date no response has been received. Please respond so that we can consult with you.
A note was read from Danie Johnsen, Chairperson of the Mopane-Sand river Landowners Association which stated that he has formally withdrawn from the Vhembe Forum. They support the process and look forward to all the issues being addressed and further studies completed.	Danie Johnsen Chairperson Mopane-Sand river Landowners Association	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted
Thank you for your hospitality. You are talking about not bringing legal representatives but CoAL has access to legal and other specialists. CoAL wants to consult	James Hayes Interested Party Cape Town	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Mashudu corrected James by what he meant by his previous statement about legal representatives. Just meant that communications then goes through only lawyers and CoAL would rather do direct consultation.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
individuals rather than collective.				

3 WATER & WASTE MANAGEMENT ASPECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Will the Sand river downstream of the project be affected?	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	Specialist studies will be done on Surface Water and Groundwater to determine the extent of the impact. The detailed mine design will aim to minimising these impacts.
The biggest concern in this area is the impact on water	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	No answers can be given until such time as the studies have been done.
Water is a major concern, there is not a person that can say what is going on underneath the ground. If the Sand River and the Tshipise fault are affected it will have a major impact. We've been here for many years and many of us invested in the building of dams, and our water is excellent, so it's a big concern to us.	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	We realize water is a major concern in the area, therefore all the impacts will be considered and special attention given to ground and surface water. We can look at water that is not suitable for agriculture, but co-operation is needed between all water users in the area to seek a solution. A working group could be established with the experts to find solutions. The Makhado Working Group led to an agreement with existing water users to co-exist and share water but to also collaborate in finding new water in the Catchment. CoAL is working on an extensive study to create new water in line with this agreement.
Will there be any acid mine drainage?	Johan Tempelhoff Researcher	Meeting	20-Apr-13	The process plant will be designed as a closed process. Specialist geo-hydrological studies and tests will show if there is any possibility of contamination. Based on these studies it will show what the risks are and how to mitigate the risks. At this stage the composition of the coal in this area shows that there will be no acid mine drainage effects.
The pollution of scarce water resources and the destruction of tourism and agriculture is a high concern.	Me Inga Giffilan Voorsittersvere ninging	Written Comment on the Registration Form	23-Apr-13	Noted, will be further investigated during the Environmental Impact Assessment Phase.
My fear is that other mining groups will also want to open mines in the area. Where is the regional plan regarding water supply and utilization? Without such a plan mining development cannot happen, because then it's the one type of development at the expense of another.	Dr Ben van der Waal Vhembe Biosphere Reserve	Written comment on the BID	27-May-13	The Department of Water Affairs is currently doing this work and MbeuYashu is participating in the development of Regional Water Management Plan for the Vhembe Region, together with other stakeholders.
The new proposed mine (Mopane) lies near the Sand River and may have a direct effect thereon.	Dr Ben van der Waal Vhembe	Written comment on the BID	27-May-13	This is part of the scope of the EIA. Detail surface and groundwater studies will be undertaken over the next 6 months to determine the potential impacts associated with the proposed Mopane Project. Specific attention will be given to the Sand River and its

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>Another aspect that must be investigated is the groundwater, which in our opinion will be polluted, even if all known methods of containment is implemented. The Bosbokpoort geological phenomena are a well known water resource for many landowners in the area, east and west, as well as the Mopane town and school with residence.</p>	<p>Biosphere Reserve LA (Andre) Du Preez Pretorius 531 MS</p>	<p>Written comment on the BID</p>	<p>10-Jun-13</p>	<p>associated flood-plain. The Environmental Impact Assessment will investigate, describe and quantify the possible impacts on the groundwater, as well as proposing mitigation and management actions to minimize these impacts.</p>
<p>A) WATER It is a well-known fact that Mopani is situated in a very arid area with very little subsurface water. We are in an arid area with an annual rainfall of only 300-340mm per year on average as recorded by the Musina weather station. The water is used for food production, human consumption, as well as widely distributed to cattle and game watering places. Drinking water is currently transported from Musina for use by Mopani and Sand River residents. At our recent workshop it was clearly illustrated that starting an open cast mine that goes to a depth of 40-200m will be severely detrimental to water supply in the waterline area of about 20km and various subsurface water sources will dry up. If CoAL cannot prove where it will get approximately 1 million litres per day to replenish all this water and to distribute it to affected areas, we request that the mining license not be approved.</p>	<p>Danie Johnsen Chairperson Sand River Mopane Landowners Association</p>	<p>Written comment on the BID</p>	<p>12-Jun-13</p>	<p>In terms of the concerns regarding water, we can assure you that the aspects mentioned in your letter will receive attention by the groundwater and economic specialists during the environmental impact assessment.</p>
<p>Water: 1. Currently drinking water is being transported from Musina by Mopani and Sand River for human consumption and mining activities will reduce these already scarce water sources; 2. In terms of general farming activities, how do you anticipate preventing the reduction of food production due to the danger of insufficient water supply? 3. Have you made any calculations regarding the replacement and distribution of the current water consumption to consumers that will be lost should the mining activities indeed continue?</p>	<p>Mr Arno Jacobs Attorney of the Sandrivier- Mopane Landowners Association</p>	<p>Written comment on the BID</p>	<p>12-Jun-13</p>	<p>In terms of the concerns regarding water, we can assure you that the aspects mentioned in your letter will receive the attention of the ground water and economic specialists during the environmental impact study.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>4. Protection of wetlands because of their unique positions along the tributaries that feed the Sand River and the prevention of pollution to man and animal, lower down along the Sand River.</p> <p>Warning: The Mopane Project will be an exceptionally destructive project that will threaten the livelihood of thousands of people, destroy jobs and even threaten our neighbouring Mozambique. It is situated right at the convergence of the Sand and Brak River systems, which supports agriculture and many communities by way of groundwater, both up- and downstream. If the project uses 7,600 m² (m³) of water per day, then by assumptions in your own report, it will utilize the water of 7,600 farms (7600 farms?). The Brak and Sand River catchment area is much larger than other rivers in the area and one of the only systems that cuts through the Soutpansberg.</p> <p>In simpler terms, look at the map of the catchment area in your report and imagine it being a chicken, the Mopane Project is right at its neck. You will choke the chicken and leave yourselves open to claims from thousands of those who will be left destitute. There are other coal deposits where you can pluck the feathers without killing the chicken.</p> <p>I note a few other aspects concerning your report and herewith notify that this is an official complaint which is not limited to this document or the issues mentioned therein.</p>	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment by letter	02-Jul-13	<p>We take note of your concerns and will address this in detail in the EIA/EMP submission for the Mopane Project. Amongst others, the following is planned for the EIA Phase: Groundwater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime. • Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed. • Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine. • Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer. <p>Surface water:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers • Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans • Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining • Water monitoring programme <p>Aquatic systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delineation of rivers and wetlands • Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses <p>Biodiversity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area • Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area • Protected / conservation areas <p>The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year flood line of major streams / rivers • Due consultation within environmentally sensitive / protected areas
<p>On page 145 you clearly state that you will utilize "seepage" into the mining pits. How can you guarantee that the water table will stay intact?</p>	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment by letter	02-Jul-13	<p>A detailed groundwater impact assessment has been undertaken as part of the EIA, and the results will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs. Also refer to the response above.</p>
<p>Although you admit that your borehole survey has yet to be completed, your analysis will only provide a comparison in hindsight after the boreholes have been</p>	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game	Written Comment by letter	02-Jul-13	<p>A hydrocensus of the Mopane Project area was undertaken as part of the EIA Phase, and both water levels and water quality measured at all existing boreholes to which we had access. A detail monitoring programme will be implemented once a mining licence is</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
contaminated. Can you guarantee that the boreholes will not in fact be contaminated? You describe the Sand River as not being suitable for dams. Do you agree that this river system supports many smaller dams that, in essence, has more value than one large dam for the affected communities?	Lodge Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment by letter	02-Jul-13	granted to supplement and verify the baseline information. A detailed dam feasibility and regional water supply study does not form part of the EIA requirements.
Do you agree that the downstream effect, including Musina, Pafuri and Mozambique, will be negatively impacted on all fronts bearing similarities with the Witbank-area?	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment by letter	02-Jul-13	As indicated above, a number of specialist impact assessment has been undertaken during the EIA Phase, the results which will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs.
Deep drilling and mining may affect the groundwater tables and cause levels to drop which will negatively affect our water supply, how will this be handled? Where will the project obtain water from? Our properties are located next to the Tolwe geological fault that carries good water, will this fault be affected? Have you done any studies with regard to the impact on water and your water sources / requirements?	Dr Maria v d Walt (Farm Klein Eden)	Written Comment on the Registration Form	07-Jul-13	We take note of your concerns and will address this in detail in the EIA/EMP submission for the Mopane Project. Amongst others, the following is planned for the EIA Phase: Groundwater: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime. • Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed. • Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine. • Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer. Surface water: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers • Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans • Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining • Water monitoring programme Aquatic systems: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delineation of rivers and wetlands • Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses Biodiversity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area • Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area • Protected / conservation areas The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year floodline of major streams / rivers • Due consultation in environmentally sensitive areas The water supply to the mine will come from the following sources:

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Groundwater (boreholes and seepage into the mining pits; • Storm water run-off impounded on site; and • An external water source piped to site. <p>Storm water run-off on site is seasonal and, although it will be utilised, it is not a constant water supply and has therefore not been included in the water-supply chain.</p> <p>For the Makhado Colliery Feasibility Study, a new water initiative was conceptualised between landowners and CoAL to identify sources of new water, to protect jobs in the agricultural sector, and to improve assurance of supply.</p>
<p>How will our boreholes and farm dams be affected? How much water per day will the mine require, where will this water be sourced from?</p>	<p>Mnr Jacques De Villiers</p>	<p>Questionnaire</p>	<p>12-Jul-13</p>	<p>We take note of your concerns and will address this in detail in the EIA/EMP submission for the Mopane Project. Amongst others, the following is planned for the EIA Phase: Groundwater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime. • Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed. • Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine. • Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer. <p>Surface water:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers • Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans • Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining • Water monitoring programme <p>Aquatic systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delineation of rivers and wetlands • Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses <p>Biodiversity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area • Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area • Protected / conservation areas <p>The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year floodline of major streams / rivers • Due consultation in environmentally sensitive areas <p>The water supply to the mine will come from the following sources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Groundwater (boreholes and seepage into the mining pits; • Storm water run-off impounded on site; and • An external water source piped to site.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Water is a major issue in the area. What are the implications for the Sand River and surrounds?	Mr David Clark (Vera 815 P40)	Written Comment by Email	12-Jul-13	<p>Storm water run-off on site is seasonal and, although it will be utilised, it is not a constant water supply and has therefore not been included in the water-supply chain. For the Makhado Colliery Feasibility Study, a reconnaissance was done of all potential water sources to support the mine water requirements. Recently supply from the Nzhelele Dam has been formalised and CoAL has reached an agreement with the Nzhelele irrigation farmers to obtain 7.7% of their irrigation allocation for mining purposes. A further agreement with the farmers is that CoAL will invest significant effort into developing “new water” by investigating the possibilities to increase the yield from the Nzhelele River catchment. The yield of the dam can also be increased by either raising the dam or other methods of increasing the capacity of the dam. One such method that was considered was to de-silt the dam and all of these will be considered in more detail as part of the new water initiative.</p> <p>The abstraction rights obtained by CoAL from the Nzhelele Dam is such that some spare water will be available from this system (even during the early stages of the mine) which can be utilised for the early stages of the Mopane Project. It will thus be possible to, if conservative assumptions concerning both demand and availability of groundwater and rain water harvesting in the Makhado Colliery has been made, to distribute the excess water to the Mopane Project.</p> <p>We take note of your concerns and will address this in detail in the EIA/EMP submission for the Mopane Project. Please refer to response under point 12.Amongst others, the following is planned for the EIA Phase:</p> <p>Groundwater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime. • Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed. • Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine. • Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer. <p>Surface water:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers • Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans • Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining • Water monitoring programme <p>Aquatic systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delineation of rivers and wetlands • Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses <p>Biodiversity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area • Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area • Protected / conservation areas

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>Ad paragraph 1.3 (Brief Project Description) This is mind boggling that any company would have the audacity to develop an open pit mine of 905ha and 607ha being a total area of 1,572ha. See Annexure "A" to give an idea of the size of these pits. The town in the map is Musina. This equates to 3,144 rugby fields. These pits would be to a depth of 200m. This pit or these pits will have a devastating irreplaceable effect on the water North of the Soutpansberg. Most of the water in this area channels towards the Sand River basin. There are no experts in the world that can give any indication of what the effect will be when these pits are developed. It makes common sense that once you go 200m which is roughly a 170m below the water level in the district the water will pour into these pits having devastating effect for the farmers that are left in the area. Whether Coal of Africa or any other company gives guarantees they are worthless in this sense as what does one do with the farms that have no water. There are 2 major faults namely the Tolkwe fault and Tshipise fault which both run very closely to this mining area. One must also bear in mind that should the Tshipise fault be diluted of its water it will have a major effect on the Tshipise holiday resort which is hot water spring. Again nobody can give any guarantees that this will not happen. I find it strange that this application was not submitted with the Makhado application at the same time. The water which was going to be affected by the Makhado Mine will have the same effect on this mine. Most of the applicants are shelf companies with no fixed assets as per Deeds Office search on the 13th of July 2013.</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year floodline of major streams / rivers • Due consultation in environmentally sensitive areas <p>We take note of your concerns and will address this in detail in the EIA/EIMP submission for the Mopane Project. Please refer to response under point 12.Amongst others, the following is planned for the EIA Phase: Groundwater:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime. • Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed. • Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine. • Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer. <p>Surface water:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers • Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans • Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining • Water monitoring programme <p>Aquatic systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delineation of rivers and wetlands • Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses <p>Biodiversity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area • Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area • Protected / conservation areas <p>The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year floodline of major streams / rivers • Due consultation in environmentally sensitive areas <p>Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Ad Paragraph 3.2.2 on Page 44. "The area is known locally to be water scarce therefore livelihoods in the project area largely rely on water sources to be able to sustain their socio-economic activities". In your own words on this page you talk about the scarcity of water!!	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP. Noted. Refer to the response above.
Ad Paragraph 3.3.8. Surface Water; Ad Paragraph 3.3.8.1: "Figure 38 below shows the Jutland and Voorburg Sections in relation to the lower quaternary catchments areas of the Sand River. "The Sand River Basin is regarded by far the Driest of the River Basins in the Limpopo River Water Management Area. The Surface Water Resources are thus regarded as very limited and there is no scope for construction of dams." Comment: in your own words. There is no water in this area. How are you going to mine the area?	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	Noted. See response below.
Ad Paragraph 3.3.9. Groundwater. "A borehole census was conducted on the NOMR application area and adjacent farms. The farms include the Vera, Ancaster, Banff, Voorburg, Zwarttrand, Ryswyk, Erasmus, Du Toit, Erasmus, Faure, Verdi-tn, Pretorius, Hermanus, Jutland and Sonskyn." Of what value would this be when a pit of 1,500ha and 200m deep has been dug? This water will disappear overnight. Please bear in mind that 7,600,000 litres of water per day is required for this mine. Where will this water come from?	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	The water supply to the mine will come from the following sources: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Groundwater (boreholes and seepage into the mining pits); • Storm water run-off impounded on site; and • An external water source piped to site. Storm water run-off on site is seasonal and, although it will be utilised, it is not a constant water supply and has therefore not been included in the water-supply chain. For the Makhado Colliery Feasibility Study, a new water initiative was conceptualised between landowners and CoAL to identify sources of new water, to protect jobs in the agricultural sector, and to improve assurance of supply. The abstraction rights obtained by CoAL from the Nzhelele Dam is such that some spare water will be available from this system (even during the early stages of the mine) which can be utilised for the early stages of the Mopane Project. It will thus be possible to, if conservative assumptions concerning both demand and availability of groundwater and rain water harvesting in the Makhado Colliery has been made, to distribute the excess water to the Mopane Project.
Ad Paragraph 3.3.9.4. Groundwater Conceptual Model. Secondary Aquifers. "The consolidated rock component consists of basement rocks of the LMB and stratified Karoo sediments and lavas and is the only aquifer type for most of the study area. In the unfractured state these rocks are impermeable and of low groundwater	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	Noted. See previous response in this regard.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>potential. Groundwater is associated with faults, shear zones and dykes." Again I emphasize that with this massive hole the water will rush into the pit.</p> <p>Ad Paragraph 3.3.9.5.2. Groundwater storage.</p> <p>"The water held in the Sand River alluvium will definitely be impacted on during mining and consequently irrigation farming who are the main users of this water? No attempt is made in this report to quantify the alluvium at scoping report stage." Why not?</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>A scoping evaluation is based on a desk-top study and available information in the area of concern. Limited baseline information is available for the Mopane Project area and further fieldwork and assessments have been undertaken during the EIA Phase. The quantification of the aquifers and the potential impacts associated with the mining operations will be addressed in detail in the EIA/EMP report which will be made available to all IAPs.</p>
<p>Ad Paragraph 4.5. Bulk Water.</p> <p>A maximum water of 7,600m³/ day will be required. This equals 7,600,000 litres of water per day. How long is a day? If the mine works for 24 hours will this be double the amount? What happens when the water is not available as in your report you talk about water from the Njelele dam. What happens when the Njelele dam is dry and no water is available? Will the mine cease operation or will the mine drill further boreholes and thus further devastate the area?</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>As indicated in the Scoping Report, a reconnaissance was done to identify potential water sources to support the mine water requirements, of which the Nzhelele Dam is only one. The final option will only be selected after completion of the Feasibility Study and addressed in the IWULA for the Mopane Project. Water (surface & groundwater) may only be abstracted with the necessary water use authorisation; thus, should the bulk water source of the Mopane Project dry up, the mine cannot just start abstracting groundwater for this purpose without having the necessary water use licence.</p>
<p>Ad Paragraph 5.3.5.1. Ground Water Inflows.</p> <p>This is the crux of this whole operation. Your own report:</p> <p>"The mining method will be open cast and down dip. The aquifer material will eventually be removed during the mining process. Inflows will therefore occur from the floor and sidewalls of the pit. Other structures which may cause groundwater inflows are fault systems which transact the planned open pit area and possibly a few dykes. Where mining takes place in close proximity to the Sand River significant seepage from the alluvial Sand River aquifer could occur. The low groundwater potential and the low recharge of the area would suggest that inflows into the pit area will be low. However in mining areas adjacent to the Sand River, as at Voorburg Section, inflows could be significant due to seepage from the alluvial Sand River Aquifer. Inflows will increase with depth until aquifer penetration has occurred followed by a tapering off of inflow as the storage within the higher transmissivity zones are depleted.</p> <p>COMMENT: In your own report you indicate that the</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>Noted. See previous response in this regard.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>massive sulphides from the fault system will not be controllable.</p> <p>Ad Paragraph 8.1.5. Groundwater. How can you possibly have the nerve to only inspect the well points in a radius of 5km of the mining and infrastructure foot print? Surely water flows from many, many kilometres around the area into these systems and will be definitely be effected by this mine</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>The 5 km radius is a first step in obtaining the baseline water level and water qualities in the area. Should the groundwater model show a larger impact zone, the hydrocensus will be extended to include other farms that may be impacted. This will be included in the monitoring programme for the mine once a mining licence has been granted.</p>
<p>We also wish to enquire as to the status of your Water Use Licence Application and wish to be placed in possession of such application/s, if any.</p>	<p>Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>19-Jul-13</p>	<p>It is important to note that the approach for the Mopane Project is to first apply for a NOMR and follow the required Regulations in conducting the EIA and compile an EMP in terms of the MPRDA. Once this process is completed and the applicant has obtained further detail in respect of its planned development, the applications for environmental authorisation in terms of the NEMA and an IWUL in terms of the NWA will be conducted as separate processes. It is further important to note that the construction and mining activities cannot commence without compliance to all the legislative requirements and before all the necessary permits / licenses are issued by the regulating authorities.</p>
<p>We refer to previous exchanges of correspondence with you in respect of the Makhado Project. It is plainly apparent from the water studies which accompanied the incomplete EMP submitted in respect of the aforementioned application that your client has been unable to identify adequate water resources to sustain merely this project, much less any of the other projects which are being proposed. The water studies carried out in respect of the Makhado Project have been characterised by strong criticism emanating from the University of the Free State who conducted the peer review. It is simply inconceivable that adequate water resources can be identified in order to render any of the abovementioned projects viable, much less as a collective whole, taking into account the close proximity of certain of the proposed projects to one another. Little if any purpose will be served by proceeding with the various applications until and unless there are unequivocal and empirically verifiable data which would suggest that the available water resources can sustain the totality of the projects that are proposed to be undertaken by your clients and concomitantly continue to sustain our clients' commercial interests. Disturbingly, your client and several other corporate</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>As indicated in the Scoping Report, a reconnaissance was done to identify potential water sources to support the mine water requirements, of which the Nzhelele Dam is one. The final option will only be selected after completion of the Feasibility Study, which will quantify the overall (cumulative) water requirements of the GSP projects. The regional study, as mentioned, will be done during the pre-feasibility and feasibility studies as noted in the schedule included in the Background Information Document, in consultation and collaboration with provincial and local government, as well as other affected stakeholders. During these studies and phases more detailed information will be available regarding water requirements, project phasing and potential impacts of the various projects. Please refer all queries about CoAL's other projects to CoAL directly. This process relates to the Mopane Project</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>entities who are applicants for prospecting / mining rights in the Limpopo Province rely on waste water from the Polokwane Local Authority to supplement the shortfall in water resources that would be required to render all the projects viable. It is for this reason, inter alia, that we propose the RSEIA aforementioned, allied to the submission of IWULA's in respect of all the proposed projects prior to finalising the NOMR applications and as a component of the public participation process so that our clients are in a position to fully assess the viability of your clients' proposals.</p> <p>Where will MbeuYashu get water from for the Mopane Project?</p>	<p>Mopane-Sand River Landowners Association</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>18-Oct-13</p>	<p>The water supply to the mine will potentially come from the following sources:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Groundwater (boreholes and seepage into the mining pits); • Storm water run-off impounded on site; and • An external water source piped to site. <p>For the Makhado Colliery Feasibility Study, a reconnaissance was done of all potential water sources to support the mine water requirements. Recently supply from the Nzhelele Dam has been formalized and CoAL has reached an agreement with the Nzhelele irrigation farmers to obtain 7.7% of their irrigation allocation for mining purposes. A further agreement with the farmers is that CoAL will invest significant effort into developing "new water" by investigating the possibilities to increase the yield from the Nzhelele River catchment.</p> <p>Further studies will be done during the Feasibility Phase of the Mopane Project to finalise water sources.</p>
<p>My comment relates to page 133 of the Scoping Report. It is said that a pit the size of 3000 rugby fields will be dug. This pit will be 200m deep. A pit of this size will impact on groundwater. How can you dig a hole this big, who will guarantee the water level, you cannot with certainty say where the water will flow to. How will impacts on groundwater be mitigated?</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>We know about the scarcity of water and how valuable an asset it is. We showed what the pit will look like without mitigation. MbeuYashu will use strip mining, meaning that there will not be such a big open pit at any one time. Modeling is done to show impact, which is based on current information. The possibility is still open to go back to change and adapt the mine design to try and minimize impacts.</p>
<p>Throughout the presentation it shows that there is poor water, we will have 50 years of impacts and it will take 100 years to repair. Where will the money come from for those 100 years?</p>	<p>Method Gundidza – Mupo Foundation</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>Mining and other businesses can co-exist, they do elsewhere. We would welcome people who ensure we remain concerned about impacts. Need voices to give perspective about impacts on nature. Mistakes will be made but we will learn and minimize risks where possible. Will look at minimizing the pit size – we realize now that it might be a big problem and final mitigation measures will be discussed in the EIA/EMP. Provision will be made for financial resources to mitigate impacts.</p>
<p>Amazed how people from Mupo still talking about mine development, when we have been saying no to mining all along. Where will the water come from?</p>	<p>Method Gundidza - Mupo Foundation</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>Water is a scarce resource. The model will be recalibrated with further data and we will then look at the design to mitigate risk and minimize impacts.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
We are aware of the statements that mining can co-exist with other businesses but also a clear answer is given on impacts on water. If figures are correct and these impacts cannot be mitigated, this project is a no-go. How will you prove in terms of water that we can co-exist?	Philé van Zyl – Chairman of the Vhembe Mineral Resources Forum	Meeting	19-Oct-13	The request for a regional study has been taken under advisement. The process of obtaining additional information on the impacts as well as possible mitigations is ongoing. We are willing to sit around a table and discuss how to take it forward.
It has been indicated that there will be a major impact on groundwater, why does CoAL not enter into an agreement with communities, farmers and locals in terms of impacts; that if a property is damaged or impacted upon that CoAL will compensate them. I also support the comment that communities should be consulted before the mining rights are applied for.	Leshivha CPA	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted

4 SOILS, LAND USE AND CAPABILITY

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
There are mistakes in your report, just like the previous one I pointed out where you got the names of the respective farms wrong, but this time referring to the hectares of suitable soils that do not correspond with the colours on the map. Ad Paragraph 3.3.4 (Present Land Use). Your report only covers the area around the Mopane area and covers an area of 20,602ha. What about the rest of the area North of the Soutpansberg???	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by letter Written Comment by Email	02-Jul-13 19-Jul-13	Noted. This will be rectified in the EIA/EMP report. The detailed land use maps referred to focus on the MRA area, as will the soil surveys. The regional land coverage is shown in Figure 4 of the Scoping Report.

5 ECOLOGY AND BIODIVERSITY ASPECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
When Marietjie Eksteen from Jacana Environmentalists does the field visits for the Environmental Impact Study on our farm, Pretorius 531 MS, I would like to be	Mnr J.L Du Preez JL Du Plirrz & Seuns	Written Comment on the	06-May-13	You will be informed of all field visits planned on your property.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
present.	Verhurings BK	Registration Form		
It will be a tourism and ecological disaster to allow mining activity in this area as I have stated previously in my letter dated 26 April 2013. We herewith vigorously oppose this development in the light of the potential threat against the conservation area that Mafojani forms part of. It will leave the whole area virtually worthless.	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written comment on the BID	28-May-13	We take note of your concern. This is part of the scope of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), which will include determining the cumulative impact associated with the Greater Soutpansberg Project.
We cannot accept the permanent destruction of our environment and natural resources without a clear voice against it. We would like to see how the job creation and other motivating factors in the short and long term compare against the impacts. What will be lost compared to the benefits? In our view the environment will loose.	LA (Andre) Du Preez Pretorius 531 MS	Written comment on the BID	10-Jun-13	The Environmental Impact Assessment will quantify all impacts, positive and negative, and will propose mitigatory and management actions to reduce the negative impacts and optimize the positive impacts. As registered Interested and Affected Party you will be provided with opportunities to give inputs into these reports.
In terms of our properties, Du Preez 834 MS, previously remaining extent of the farm Pretorius 531 MS, as well as the neighbouring property belonging to the state, want to request that in all future planning, planned mining activities be moved to another locality, seeing as large parts of these properties are classified as wetlands (as per previous Environmental Impact Study done by the Musina Municipality)	LA (Andre) Du Preez Pretorius 531 MS	Written comment on the BID	10-Jun-13	We take note of the information on Wetlands and will investigate this further in the Environmental Impact Assessment. We would appreciate a copy of the report done by the Musina Local Municipality. We have also included in the Scoping Report, your recommendation to move the infrastructure as a possible alternative to the current planning.
B) ECOLOGICAL IMPACT This region in our area is known for its beautiful scenery. The ecological timeline in this arid area reaches over thousands of years and cannot be rehabilitated in a short period of a few years; we are of the opinion that any damage that is done would be of a permanent nature. The Voorburg Farm forms part of the Baobab Nature Reserve initiative which is already almost completed. See attached Government Notice Annexure A, B & C. The farm Fontainebleau is already proclaimed as a Private Nature Reserve and will now be incorporated in the greater Baobab Nature Reserve. The reserve is approved according to strict selection criteria in terms of protection of scarce and threatened species, ecological systems and biodiversity.	Danie Johnsen Chairperson Sand River Mopane Landowners Association	Written comment on the BID	12-Jun-13	In terms of the concerns regarding ecological and conservation areas, we would like to thank you for the information provided. This information will be included in the Ecological and Biodiversity Specialist Study.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>We are already busy with further investigations and reserve the right to submit further findings and professional comments as soon as more information is received from experts.</p> <p>With this letter we refer to a preliminary list of protected fauna and flora that will be affected if the area is mined. See Annexure D.</p>				
<p>Ecological and Conservation Areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The existing inventory, with Wikipedia as source reference, which gives an explanation of scarce and threatened species that occur specifically in the areas you plan to mine, namely the proclaimed nature reserves named "Baobab Nature Reserve" and "Fontainebleau 212 Reserve"; Please find attached annexures of copies of: Department of Economic Development, Environment and Tourism, who confirms the abovementioned nature reserves' status; Further annexure identifying threatened species in the proposed mining area. 	Mr Arno Jacobs Attorney of the Sandrivier-Mopane Landowners Association	Written comment on the BID	12-Jun-13	In terms of the concerns regarding ecological and conservation areas, we would like to thank you for the information provided. This information will be included in the Ecological and Biodiversity Specialist Study.
<p>In figure 51 you describe the photograph as "typical vegetation". Do you agree that the photograph that I will attach is also "typical" and that it would be malicious to omit details regarding the pristine Sand Riverbed?</p>	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment by letter	02-Jul-13	Noted. The Sand River bed forms only a very small portion of the total MRA area and the Mopane veld (photo we included in the report) would therefore be regarded as the more typical representation.
<p>We have a fear of the disturbance of the ecology and poor rehabilitation later. The Bushveld biome with its unique plants, quiet and sense of place will be negatively affected.</p>	Dr Maria v d Walt (Farm Klein Eden)	Written Comment on the Registration Form	07-Jul-13	We note your concerns. A number of specialist impact assessments have been undertaken during the EIA Phase, the results which will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs. These include a biodiversity impact assessments. The rehabilitation plan will be presented in the EIA/EMP report.
<p>The EIA/EMP indicates that there will be an impact on tourism and cape vultures – there is currently limited food for vultures.</p>	Mrs Mosemapele – LEDET	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted
<p>Information comes from the mining company only, about impacts, we want to present our own ideas about what we want done before Mining Right is approved.</p>	Tshepo Moraka – Muopo Foundation	Meeting	19-Oct-13	There are various levels of communication. We involve and consult and do not just feed you information. You have opportunities now to give feedback and suggestions. The policy is set out to incorporate issues and inputs received from anyone.
<p>Did CoAL take into account the Baobab forest within the proposed prospecting area – no other forest like this in the world? South Africa cannot lose that.</p>	Elise Tempelhoff Beeld	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Not aware of any Baobab forest. Would appreciate your inputs on this. Endangered trees and species will form part of the Rescue and Relocation Project.
<p>Statement: Both Cape Vultures and Mottled Spine tails are endangered. Mottled Spine tails only roost in</p>	Dudley Pound – Deputy	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Baobab trees. Baobab trees themselves are endangered but live for 100s of years. Tourism is one of South Africa's main plus factors and attracts 100s of bird lovers to the Limpopo area yearly. Wetlands support hundreds of bird species.	Surveyor General in Limpopo (Acting in personal capacity)			
If Baobabs are removed they cannot be put back into that state for more than 100 years, what about the spine tails?	Dudley Pound – Deputy Surveyor General in Limpopo (Acting in personal capacity)	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted
One Cape Vulture lost is one too many and because of them being endangered how is their protection going to be handled?	Dudley Pound – Deputy Surveyor General in Limpopo (Acting in personal capacity)	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted
How are the wetlands that are home to much more than just birds going to be put back to the condition they are now?	Dudley Pound – Deputy Surveyor General in Limpopo (Acting in personal capacity)	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted

6 NOISE, AIR QUALITY AND VISUAL ASPECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
In your definition of noise, you do not include the value that unspoilt natural sounds have for eco-tourism. Do you agree that the noise of mining will be heard for at least a 30 km-radius thus affecting many more farms than what you anticipate?	Mr T J Duvénage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment by letter	02-Jul-13	During the EIA Phase, a noise impact assessment was performed by Gudani Consulting. The purpose of an environmental noise impact assessment is to determine and quantify the acoustical impact on the surrounding environment and identified noise-sensitive developments using a sound propagation model known as the Concave model, as defined in SANS 10357:2004. In addition, the noise emission into the environment due to additional traffic will be calculated using the sound propagation model described in SANS 10210. The result will be a quantification of projected noise levels considering the likely and

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
				<p>projected ambient sound levels. The significance will be determined considering the defined magnitude of the noise level, the extent as well as the duration of the projected noise impact, as well as the probability that this impact may take place.</p> <p>The total projected cumulative noise level will be compared to the appropriate acceptable rating levels as defined in SANS 10103:2008. Should the significance of the impact be high, recommendations will be made regarding the implementation of reasonable mitigation measures to reduce the impact.</p> <p>The results will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs.</p>
<p>You list a threat of emissions as being veld fires and methane excreted by animals. Do you agree that you should rather list the potential dust as being hazardous? (Emission figures are not available according to your report)</p>	<p>Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge</p>	<p>Written Comment by letter</p>	<p>02-Jul-13</p>	<p>During the EIA Phase an air quality assessment of the operational phases of the proposed project was undertaken by evaluating (where possible) both fugitive and point source emissions, utilising the AerMod View dispersion model to predict the off-site air quality impacts. Pollutants that will be assessed from mining activities are Particulate Matter and Nuisance Dust, sources to be investigated include all stack emissions, and fugitive sources such as exposed storage piles, material transfer activities and vehicle entrained dust.</p> <p>An assessment of compliance will be conducted using available health risk screening levels obtained for the pollutants identified. Comparison will be made to both locally and internationally available health risk levels for these pollutants. Once impacts have been identified, recommendations will be made highlighting the methods available to be implemented to limit these impacts.</p> <p>The results will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs.</p>
<p>Both farms are hunting operations and we farm with scarce game. Noise, dust and the increase of people will negatively influence our business and will further make hunting dangerous. Increase in air pollution which will cause an increase in Asthma and other diseases.</p>	<p>Dr Maria v d Walt (Farm Klein Eden)</p>	<p>Written Comment on the Registration Form</p>	<p>07-Jul-13</p>	<p>We note your concerns. A number of specialist impact assessments have been undertaken during the EIA Phase, the results which will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs. These include air quality, noise and other socio-economic impact assessments.</p>
<p>Unlike some of the others that want their money and go, I want to stay. I don't want to leave this area. You mention all the impacts that may occur, but what will be done about these impacts?</p>	<p>Peta Averil Jones – Donkey Power</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>The impacts as presented have various mitigation measures. With current technology there are many ways in which impacts can be mitigated. For example instead of reverse hooters, at Vele we implemented a hooter that sounds like a duck; For the conveyor belt there is a special plastic lining to drop noise levels; For dust, we look at wind directions, use a special by-product of paper mills, a resin that is mixed with water and sprayed on roads which binds with the dust.</p> <p>We also welcome any inputs / ideas that can help us improve on mitigation measures and the mine design.</p>

7 HERITAGE AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
There are old ruins at Verdun and on all the koppies in the area that have not been thoroughly described or investigate – and is of great cultural value. Such sites may be destroyed or infringed in the mining process.	Dr Ben van der Waal Vhembe Biosphere Reserve	Written comment on the BID	27-May-13	The team is aware of the Verdun Ruins and a detailed heritage impact assessment forms part of the EIA planning. The current mining footprint lies outside of the Verdun Ruins, and potential social offsets in respect of developing this heritage site for tourism will be investigated during the EIA studies.
Thank you for the notification regarding this particular project. At SAHRA we no longer accept paper copies of reports and have migrated to an online application system called SAHRIS. I attach a .pdf file on how you can go about creating cases and uploading documents onto SAHRIS.	Mr Phillip Hine SAHRA	Written Comment by Email	31-May-13	Your comments have been received and have been taken into consideration. We have also forwarded it to the Environmental Assessment Practitioner who will ensure the system is utilised.
I have read the Scoping Report and found it to be detailed and in order but I have a concern that the tracing technology could only locate 4 graves which could be the large ones with headstones. Locating the smaller ones covered with just heaps of stones will need a different approach, I suppose.	Mr Adolph Phupheli	Written Comment by Email	05-Jul-13	Thank you for your comment. A detailed heritage impact assessment was performed during the EIA Phase and the results of this will be presented in the EIA/EMP report. Note that a number of other burial sites have been identified, which will be confirmed with the landowners and land claimants during the next phase.
Heritage: Before the grave figures are finalized, the graves must be confirmed with the families involved.	Silas Tshivhula – Tshivhula CPA	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted, will handle it in a dignified manner.

8 SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASPECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Land claims must be verified with the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform	Musekwa Royal Council; Tshivhula Royal Council	Meeting	10-Apr-13	Letter written to Department of Rural Development and Land Reform to obtain information
Further engagements regarding the BEE aspects with the communities	Musekwa Royal Council; Tshivhula Royal Council	Meeting	10-Apr-13	Noted
Further engagements regarding the BEE aspects with the communities	Mulwanbane CPA	Meeting	13-Apr-13	Noted

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Land claims must be verified with the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform	Tshivhula CPA; Mulwanbane CPA	Meeting	13-Apr-13	Letter written to Department of Rural Development and Land Reform to obtain information
Land claims must be verified with the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform	Mamuhohi Royal Council	Meeting	15-Apr-13	Letter written to Department of Rural Development and Land Reform to obtain information
Further engagements regarding the BEE aspects with the communities	Mamuhohi Royal Council	Meeting	15-Apr-13	Noted
Land claims must be verified with the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform	Leshivha CPA	Meeting	16-Apr-13	Letter written to Department of Rural Development and Land Reform to obtain information
Land claims must be verified with the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform	Musekwa Land Claims Trust	Meeting	19-Apr-13	Letter written to Department of Rural Development and Land Reform to obtain information
Further engagements regarding the BEE aspects with the communities	Musekwa Land Claims Trust	Meeting	19-Apr-13	Noted
Will the real value of land be taken into account? This land's market value is higher than its agricultural value because the area has a social stance, the nostalgia of the Bushveld.	Cecil-Henry Nel Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	MbeuYashu is in the process of developing a policy on land acquisition and valuation. This policy will be discussed with those affected landowners.
People have done a lot to object to township development here at Mopane. There are areas that have been identified for townships.	Danie Johnsen Chairperson Sand River Mopane Landowners Association	Meeting	20-Apr-13	As a mining company we prefer not to develop a township but to rather participate in existing towns and their development and then transport our workers to and from the mine.
Will the mine also develop a town close to the mine?	Gideon de Beer Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	The mine will not develop a town, housing needs will be addressed with the municipality as part of their township developments.
Where will the labour come from, how much labour?	Johan Taute Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	CoAL does not support the development of new mining towns, but will provide housing support programmes, and the company will look at existing towns and the sourcing of local labour. For example at Vele the contractors that operate the mine have strict requirements that they employ local people. In the beginning of a mine you need people with skills while training people. At Vele, 82% of the labourers are local. There is a Bus service that runs from Musina every day. We interact with the Municipality to obtain information about the skills and labour force of the area. Labour at the Mines at this stage: Vele - about 380 people. Makhado about 1000 people planned. Mopane - it is envisaged that about 400 people will be employed. POST MEETING NOTE :

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Where mines are developed, there is always the risk that the farm workers are attracted to the mines for work. Will it happen in this area as well? Further engagements regarding the BEE aspects with the communities	Johan Taute Landowner Mamuhohi CPA	Meeting Meeting	20-Apr-13 22-Apr-13	The Socio Economic Report for Mopane indicates that 917 jobs will be created CoAL would not choose to be in competition with agriculture for labour. Adverts will go out for interviews and it is free for all to apply. Noted. The company, in partnership with Rothe Investments, will engage in discussions relating to BEE.
Mafojani Lodge and the bigger Brakrivier Bewaria, is a tourism-based Lodge catering for mainly European and American guests. One of the main attractions is the unspoilt nature of the wider area and not only the actual conservation area itself. Although Mafojani Lodge, which lies within the Brakrivier Bewaria, is a fairly luxurious facility, no Eskom power is utilized to enhance the African Bush experience. It is furthermore build on an outcrop from which geographical futures more than 100 kilometres away can be viewed. Any mining activity in this fragile ecosystem will have a negative impact on tourism and will be opposed.	Mr T J Duvenage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment on the Registration Form	26-Apr-13	The Environmental Impact Assessment will determine the potential impacts on surrounding properties. We will contact you for information and will then make the Environmental Impact Assessment available to you once completed.
I support the Greater Soutpansberg and Mopane Project. I am on the Exco of the Mulambwane CPA under Chief SA Sithagathaga. We have farms next to Mapuri e.g. Lekkerlag.	Mr RA Ramuhala CPA Executive	Written Comment on the Registration Form	06-May-13	Noted.
I, Chief S A Sithagathaga, am the chief of the Mulambwane tribe. The area of Mopane is also under my jurisdiction There are other farms for which I have title deeds. There are farms like Lekkerlag where we are benefiting from game farming and a lodge. Responding on notice of mining rights application (Mopane Project) which was advertised in the Limpopo Mirror newspaper dated 26 April 2013. I have a concern about the project, as it does not include people from Musina. We as the people of this soil are not part of the project done in our motherland. I am born and bread in this soil, of which I believe this where we as the community of Musina have the rights. I hope you consider this in good faith and understand.	Chief S.A. Sithagathaga Mulambwane tribe Mrs Margareth Madima	Written Comment on the Registration Form Written Comment by Email	08-May-13 08-May-13	Thank you for your registration document that we have received. Please note that we are also engaging with the Mulambwane CPA regarding the properties mentioned in the Mopane area and meetings are arranged with them regarding the properties. As a registered party you will receive information on the project, be invited to public meetings and be notified of the availability of public documents. The advertisement as well as the attached letter is a request for people who have an interest in or who believe they are affected by the project to register. Once you are registered you will receive information regarding this project, meetings to be held and documents that are available for comments.
We are currently busy with big projects on my said farm and I want to know if I must proceed with it or what I must do because it is costing a lot of money so I need to	Mr Martin Pretorius Honeymoon	Written Comment by Email	10-May-13	All existing activities and plans must continue until such time as MbeuYashu can indicate with certainty whether mining development is planned on your property. Please note that only "bona-fide" improvements will be considered during surface rights negotiations.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
know if I must proceed in giving out that money or what the negotiations will be!	Wildsplaas Mussina Otto 560 MS (now Honeymoon) RE			
When are they going to start with negotiations?	Mr Martin Pretorius Honeymoon Wildsplaas Mussina Otto 560 MS (now Honeymoon) RE	Written Comment by Email	10-May-13	As indicated above there is still further exploration work, feasibility studies and licensing processes planned. Negotiations on those properties required for mining development will start once these processes are complete.
We are in the darkness with our future there, because we don't know if we must still go on with our project of if we must stop with it; as we already spend a lot of money on the project;	Mr Martin Pretorius Honeymoon Wildsplaas Mussina Otto 560 MS (now Honeymoon) RE	Written Comment by Email	10-May-13	We understand the uncertainty potential mining development brings to the area, but a mining project of this size requires careful consideration of technical, environmental and socio-economic aspects, and we therefore need to complete all the required studies and processes in terms of current legislation before a final decision can be taken.
Being a local resident I would like to be informed of any development as a small business and entrepreneur, who is interested in mining and security business opportunities.	RJ Malovhele Businessman	Written Comment on the Registration Form	13-May-13	The project is still in a planning phase and will not at this stage procure services. You are registered and will receive regular updates as the project progresses.
FOOD SECURITY in the winter pantry is of cardinal importance to the country and without sufficient and the right quality of water, all the farmers in the area will not be able to produce food and to make a living any longer. Wetlands have to be carefully examined, especially along the Sand River where various tributaries connect and natural pans are formed. It appears that the mine is envisioning to mine all over the whole ecosystem and all the wetlands and smaller feeder tributaries and catchment area of the Sand River will be adversely affected as regards pollution and water supply, which will have catastrophic consequences for the rest of the river system and residents downstream.	Danie Johnsen Chairperson Sand River Mopane Landowners Association	Written comment on the BID	12-Jun-13	The socio-economic impacts will be studied in the socioeconomic specialist study, as well as the macroeconomic study. The specialists are already busy with a survey in the area, which will provide information for identifying possible impacts and proposing mitigating and controlling measures. The concerns raised will also be taken into account by these specialists.
C) SOCIAL IMPACT	Danie Johnsen	Written	12-Jun-13	The socioeconomic impacts will be studied in the socioeconomic specialist study, as well as

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>We are of the opinion that the mine will lead to great social disruption. Various families have been established here for generations and they improved their properties over many years and are not prepared to start over elsewhere and to try to earn a living somewhere else. Many residents and landowners are already too old to start over.</p> <p>All the people working on the various farms, either in food production, cattle farming or game farming or related practices are trained in their specific trades and will all be without work the moment these farms are occupied by the mine.</p> <p>The association also feels that the mine will have a relatively short lifetime, which will only bring employment for a relatively short period with a lot of damage to the environment.</p> <p>We are not convinced that the mine will use local people in the mine, because they will not have the necessary skills. The local people will then be without employment, which will not necessarily lead to more work being created.</p> <p>We are also concerned that CoAL will want sell this mining license to Chinese investors and that the mine will then employ many Chinese workers which will further set back the country's unemployment.</p> <p>Social Impact:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your approach regarding long established landowners, who, due to their age, would not be able to resettle and start with new activities; 2. Employees who are only trained for farm labour and the danger of using foreign labourers to be employed on the mines; 3. For example, should you decide to sell the mining license to a Chinese company, who has a record of disregarding communities' needs for the protection of the local labour force, local per capita income will drastically decline. <p>Kindly take notice that we are acting on the behalf of our client Mamuhohi (Maitzwitoma CPA) who instructed us to respond to your letter dated on the 27 day of May 2013.</p>	<p>Chairperson Sand River Mopane Landowners Association</p>	<p>comment on the BID</p>		<p>the socioeconomic study. The specialists are already busy with a survey in the area which will provide information for identifying possible impacts and proposing mitigating and controlling measures. The concerns raised will also be taken into account by these specialists.</p>
<p>Social Impact:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your approach regarding long established landowners, who, due to their age, would not be able to resettle and start with new activities; 2. Employees who are only trained for farm labour and the danger of using foreign labourers to be employed on the mines; 3. For example, should you decide to sell the mining license to a Chinese company, who has a record of disregarding communities' needs for the protection of the local labour force, local per capita income will drastically decline. <p>Kindly take notice that we are acting on the behalf of our client Mamuhohi (Maitzwitoma CPA) who instructed us to respond to your letter dated on the 27 day of May 2013.</p>	<p>Mr Arno Jacobs Attorney of the Sandrivier- Mopane Landowners Association</p>	<p>Written comment on the BID</p>	<p>12-Jun-13</p>	<p>The socioeconomic impacts will be studied in the socio-economic specialist study as well as in the socioeconomic study. The specialists are already busy with a survey in the area, which will provide information for identifying possible impacts and proposing mitigating and management measures. The concerns raised will also be taken into account by these specialists.</p>
<p>Kindly take notice that we are acting on the behalf of our client Mamuhohi (Maitzwitoma CPA) who instructed us to respond to your letter dated on the 27 day of May 2013.</p>	<p>Mpho Makhuvha Attorneys on behalf of</p>	<p>Written comments via Fax</p>	<p>14-Jun-13</p>	<p>Noted. MbeuYashu will engage with communities to discuss ways to optimize the benefits of this project in the local area. The Mamuhohi community are included in the community engagement process</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>The Mamuhohi (Maitazwitoma CPA) has no objection to the Proposal of a Coal Mine on the land in which it is affected but on the following Conditions:</p> <p>i. Mamuhohi (Maitazwitoma CPA) be part of the negotiations</p> <p>ii. To give preference to Mamuhohi community members when it comes to jobs from the onset.</p>	Maitazwitoma CPA			
<p>The harvesting of Mopani worm by the local communities will be disrupted.</p> <p>All this notwithstanding the project will have immense socio-economic benefits for the community and the Scoping Report therefore has my and my family's approval</p>	Mr Adolph Phupheli	Written Comment by Email	05-Jul-13	Noted. The impact on the harvesting of Mopani worm will be addressed in the socio-economic impact assessment.
<p>Our roads are not suitable for higher traffic numbers; there will also be an impact on road safety if large trucks are placed on the road and an increase in traffic.</p>	Dr Maria v d Walt (Farm Klein Eden)	Written Comment on the Registration Form	07-Jul-13	ROM coal and discard will be transported by truck on internal roads only. Between the Voorburg and Jutland Sections, ROM coal will be transported via conveyor. The final product will be transported via rail to its destination. Thus, the increase in traffic will be limited to the construction phase (deliveries) and an increase in light duty vehicles / buses for employee transport during the operational phase.
<p>How will you handle logistics, where to and how will coal be transported?</p>	Mnr Jacques De Villiers	Questionnaire	12-Jul-13	ROM coal and discard will be transported by truck on internal roads only. Between the Voorburg and Jutland Sections, ROM coal will be transported via conveyor. The final product will be transported via rail to its destination. Thus, the increase in traffic will be limited to the construction phase (deliveries) and an increase in light duty vehicles / buses for employee transport. Refer to Section 4.6 of the Scoping Report that deals with the logistics.
<p>Where will the workforce of the mine stay? Will houses be constructed for workers / employees?</p>	Mnr Jacques De Villiers	Questionnaire	12-Jul-13	CoAL does not support the establishment of new mining towns, the company will look at existing towns and the sourcing of local labour. For example at Vele the contractors that operate the mine have strict requirements that they employ local people. In the beginning of a mine you need people with skills while training people. At Vele, 82% of the labourers are local. There is a Bus service that runs from Musina every day.
<p>Will CoAL negotiate for the land purchase or compensation of the Vera portions? When will negotiations begin?</p> <p>Even though I am not living there at present I always intended to do so. You can see that the development of a coal mine in the area puts a spanner in the works. So for future planning I should like to know CoAL's intentions as far as Farm Vera is concerned.</p>	Mr David Clark (Vera 815 P40)	Written Comment by Email	12-Jul-13	We understand the uncertainty potential mining development brings to the area, but a mining project of this size requires careful consideration of technical, environmental and socio-economic aspects, and we therefore need to complete all the required studies and processes in terms of current legislation before a final decision can be taken. All existing activities and plans must continue until such time as MbeuYashu can indicate with certainty whether mining development is planned on your property.
<p>Ad paragraph 1.1 (Paragraph Background and</p>	Jack Klaff	Written	19-Jul-13	During the EIA Phase an economic impact assessment will be performed by Mosaka

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Introduction) It is stated that the Mopane Project can give a robust return and contribute responsibly to the developing the Limpopo mineral wealth. What about the existing farms and existing people that have lived in this area for many, many years? This has not been taken into consideration.	Regional Landowner	Comment by Email		Economists. The focus of the economic impact analysis is macro-economic, stressing linkages between the project and the remainder of the relevant economy. Environmental externalities may affect other economic sectors and are included in the tools of the macro-economic impact assessment. Also, the local, regional and national socio-economic impact is assessed. It is necessary to establish a baseline for the current economic activities in and adjacent to the Chapudi Project area and do an estimation of the potential impact of the proposed development. Issues to be investigated and reported upon, include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible impacts on local population including the quality of life; • Impacts on the natural environment and associated costs including the cost of possible mitigation measures; • Potential impacts on the local municipality, the Limpopo Province and South Africa as an entity; and • The economic sustainability of the project taking into consideration the associated economic risks. As such a comparison of the impacts that the project will have on the agricultural sector will be weighed against the positive economic development that the project will bring to the region, as is essential in projects of this nature. This will be performed in current monetary units and converted to macro-economic parameters like Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Employment and Payments to Households.
Ad.Paragraph 3.2.1.3 (Economic Profile). I cannot understand what these mines North of the Soutpansberg Mountain have got to do with Louis Trichardt/Makhado?	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	The Greater Soutpansberg Projects are located partly in the Makhado Local Municipality with the main economic node being the Makhado town (previously Louis Trichardt).
Ad Paragraph 3.2.1.3 (Economic Profile) “Agriculture is stagnant at best, but with a tendency to shrink. Important commodities include fruit, timber and meat.” This area has become a prime game breeding area with buffalo, sable and all kinds of exquisite game being bred in the area. One farmer in the district owns ±400 buffalo’s. Adjoining this proposed mine farmers own buffalo and sable. Farmers own big herds of cattle. With the water devastated what will happen to these animals? In the Waterpoort area, Z22 the world’s biggest private producer of tomatoes has major tomato lands and investments as well as many winter vegetables growing in this area. What happens when the water is gone?	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	As indicated above, a number of specialist impact assessments have been undertaken during the EIA Phase, the results which will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs. Also refer to response below that deals with the potential impacts on the existing land use activities in the area.
Ad Paragraph 3.2.1.6 (Unemployment). There is no doubt that when 72 farms which are affected by this proposed mine have been devastated	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	During the EIA Phase an economic impact assessment will be performed by Mosaka Economists. The focus of the economic impact analysis is macro-economic, stressing linkages between the project and the remainder of the relevant economy. Environmental

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
and all these work opportunities are gone there will be less jobs created. I am sure that in your next report, this will be dealt with.				externalities may affect other economic sectors and are included in the tools of the macro-economic impact assessment. Also, the local, regional and national socio-economic impact is assessed. It is necessary to establish a baseline for the current economic activities in and adjacent to the Chapudi Project area and do an estimation of the potential impact of the proposed development. Issues to be investigated and reported upon, include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible impacts on local population including the quality of life; • Impacts on the natural environment and associated costs including the cost of possible mitigation measures; • Potential impacts on the local municipality, the Limpopo Province and South Africa as an entity; and • The economic sustainability of the project taking into consideration the associated economic risks. As such a comparison of the impacts that the project will have on the agricultural sector will be weighed against the positive economic development that the project will bring to the region, as is essential in projects of this nature. This will be performed in current monetary units and converted to macro-economic parameters like Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Employment and Payments to Households.
Proposed that a new way be found to deal with the issues of land claims. He requested help from MbeuYashu to get the land claims commission more directly involved in the process	Sammy Mulaudzi	Meeting	14-Sep-13	The Land Claims Commissioner can be invited to the next community meeting
When would land claimants be entitled to shares? He suggested that meetings be held on Saturdays, so as not to impact on work commitments.	Silas Sebola Muliwambane CPA	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Shares are being held in trust for communities, and upon finalisation of the land claim process by the Regional Land Claims Commission (RLCC), a strategy to finalise the shareholding will be presented to the communities. Communities will provide guidance and feedback in the process, Agreed to take this under advisement
In terms of the Bursary, the communities would like to have preferential access to the fund. The same principle could be applied to job opportunities.	David Mulaudzi Nethengwe Community	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Contributions will be considered
Explained that his community has a pending land claim on Mount Stuart, which is a citrus farm. He is pleased to see that MbeuYashu will be mining underground, and will therefore have minimal impact on the land.	Patrick Nemamiliwe Nemamiliwe CPA	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Noted
Expressed his support for the project, and looks forward to the benefits which the projects will bring. He urged the communities to work together to make the project a reality.	MR Nesane Nedondo Community	Meeting	14-Sep-13	
When their claims were first lodged with RLCC, it was a dream come true for communities, however, things have not gone smoothly. Shared his gratitude for the opportunity to participate in the meeting. He was	Samuel Makhallimela Mamuhohi Community	Meeting	14-Sep-13	It's very difficult to say if CoAL would be willing to fund land claims. CoAL is not purchasing any land within the GSP project. MbeuYashu was very willing for the youth to participate.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>concerned that the participants at the meeting were not able to go back and adequately explain the project, the issues and benefits as discussed in the meeting. He felt that it was important that the youth begin to participate in the process as they were the ones who would have to continue the process once the elders no longer could. The benefits, he explained, would flow to the next generation, and it was better for them to be involved at an early stage, so that as future beneficiaries, they would be able to engage meaningfully with MbeuYashu. He commended MbeuYashu for a wellorganized meeting.</p>	<p>Danie Johnsen Chairman Mopane-Sandriver Landowners Association</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>18-Oct-13</p>	<p>The establishment of the Vhembe Forum and their approach to engaging MbeuYashu / CoAL has hampered the progress as discussed with landowners. We are in a difficult situation as all the organizations and landowners are said to belong to the Forum and all engagements need to be done through the Vhembe Forum's attorney, Mr Reeders. MbeuYashu / CoAL is still prepared to work with the landowners to address the issue of valuations, as was discussed previously to value a limited number of properties that are representative of land use in the Mopane area. It was agreed that CoAL will place this commitment in writing to the Chairperson.</p>
<p>In previous meetings a commitment was made regarding valuations of certain properties. The Committee has had discussions with valuers and then the process was stopped to see if Waterpoort wants to align with the Mopane process. We would like to request MbeuYashu that this is now continued and the valuations conducted. The properties that have been selected totals to approximately 27 and if the previous quotes are used it should not exceed R108 000 to conduct the valuations.</p>	<p>Mr Andre Du Preez Landowner</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>18-Oct-13</p>	<p>These impacts will be considered and mitigation measures proposed in the Social Impact Assessment.</p>
<p>This mine will not create jobs, but will take jobs away because the area will die.</p>	<p>Jack Klaff Regional Landowner</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>Other businesses can co-exist with mining. It has Happened around other mines, why not here?</p>
<p>How are you going to distribute the money in the communities? This will create conflict – do your research.</p>	<p>Eddie Raselabe Leshivha CPA</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>What money? Investors will have to be paid their returns before the project starts to generate any money. Employment and business opportunities will be available for communities and local business if they qualify.</p>
<p>Will MbeuYashu provide housing for their employees?</p>	<p>Silas Tshivhula – Tshivhula CPA</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>It is not MbeuYashu policy to establish mining towns, but instead to provide housing support. We will work closely with the municipality to see how we can fit into their development plans.</p>
<p>In terms of employment – what happens after the 50 years of mining – employment will be given to ±900 people – what about the rest?</p>	<p>Mrs Mosemapele - LEDET</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>When a mine is started it is started with the end in mind. Procurement opportunities will be available for communities and other SME's. We are committed to sustainable mining. We looked at impact after life of mine. We will look at how community wealth and opportunities can be created. When opportunities arise the communities will be consulted first. Only if they cannot provide the skills we need will we look wider.</p>
<p>The project contributes R1.8 billion in taxes, but did</p>	<p>Method</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>The social impact assessment will detail social impacts and propose mitigation measures.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
anyone calculate how much money the government will need to tend to socio economic problems like increase in crime, prostitution etc.?	Gundidza – Mupo Foundation			These measures become the responsibility of MbeuYashu. We will look into this comment. MbeuYashu considers health and safety impacts.
Consider students from the local area to benefit from bursary programmes. If someone in the community is studying in line with any of the specialist studies being done – they could be given an opportunity to learn with them.	Moses – Community Member	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Noted, can look at bursaries
How many jobs will CoAL create in Limpopo region as a whole in these 50 years?	Elise Tempelhoff – Beeld	Meeting	19-Oct-13	±917 jobs at full operation, but secondary industries might develop and this number could multiply. Post meeting note: Approximate other jobs in the region at full operation of the various project is Makhado Project: 1106, Mopane Project: 917, Generaal: 300 and Chapudi: 1000; which adds up to approximately 3323 for the Region
According to the 26% - 74% shareholder agreement, what percentage will belong to the community?	Michael Basaya, Maphari Family – Waterpoort Community	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Rothe Investments have 26%. Within Rothe there are three parties involved; Vibrant Veterans owns 30%, Terra Cotta Resources own 30%, with 40% to be owned by communities. How this 40% will be divided will still be decided.
How can we be sure that locals will be prioritized when it comes to job opportunities?	Maphari Family – Waterpoort Community	Meeting	19-Oct-13	A Local Recruitment Procedure will be developed that will include advertising and interviewing local work seekers.
Before 2002 the Mineral Rights were owned by land owners, now they are held by government in trust. CoAL currently owns the prospecting rights, but the mining rights are still owned by the Government. Previously land owners could ask for money when minerals are mined, but in terms of the current legislation government does not ask for money from Mining Companies. Money made from mining in South Africa, mostly end up overseas. Once the mine becomes profitable the money will go to overseas investors. Little proceeds come back to local communities. CoAL really has to be honest on how communities will benefit.	Francis Nicholson – Makhado Action Group	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Government sets certain local content, need to promote local content. We are still in early stages, but MbeuYashu does comply. Yes, we do not have the Mining Right yet – we will not operate outside of the law. There are very few local mining equipment companies like Bell. We are looking at supply chain development locally. CoAL is committed to human capital; we need accountants, civil engineers, mechanical engineers, etc. We are also looking at linking with the University of Venda and Limpopo for possibly opening a technical center for skills development.
Taxes contributed should be a percentage of the GDP production figure of R1.8 billion in tax does not make sense.	Philé van Zyl – Chairman of the Vhembe Mineral Resources Forum	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Both the economic assessment and other reports will be available mid-November for comments. Also available in the report is the national GDP production numbers. R1.8 billion based only on provincial contribution.
Want the development in the short term. When will the project start?	David Malaudzi Nethwengwe	Meeting	19-Oct-13	We are in the hands of the legal framework which is responsible for the timeframes – if all goes according to plan we will start in 2020.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
40% is said to go to the community – will farmworkers and tenants be considered in this structure?	Community Phillemon Maphari Waterpoort Community	Meeting	19-Oct-13	If and when you are part of the community you will participate to agree on distribution once it is time.

9 CLOSURE AND REHABILITATION ASPECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
What guarantees can CoAL give to land owners that the area will not be destroyed? Government controls the minerals, they took our rights away, and then they come and tell us what to do.	Jack Klaff Regional Landowner	Meeting	20-Apr-13	CoAL must follow legislation, and conduct the Environmental Impact Assessments. Provision for rehabilitation of disturbed areas is given as a guarantee to DMR.
Johan explained that he is the husband of Elise Tempelhoff and he is a researcher, and not an Activist like Elise Templehoff, he would like to know if the mine is already looking at closure plans during the planning and development of the mine. How is the Guarantee calculated?	Johan Tempelhoff Researcher	Meeting	20-Apr-13	Mining in Mpumalanga is already more than 100 years old. What we learned in the last five to ten years, the modeling of groundwater, etc., gives us a better understanding and planning to reduce the impacts to a minimum. CoAL's policy is to design the mine with closure in mind, it's all about how do CoAL and the stakeholders want this area to look like afterwards. Here we start with a clean slate and we can learn from Mpumalanga errors of the past. CoAL must submit a guarantee to DMR for closure costs and rehabilitation. The bank guarantee is submitted for the first ten years, and then it could be reviewed. In the past, a Mining Company could pay guarantees off over a period of time, currently the bank guarantee must be in place before any mining can take place.
In terms of rehab – master tables from DMR or a Quantity Surveyor needs to calculate rehabilitation costs. Rehabilitation can happen for life of mine but needs to be guaranteed.	James Hayes Interested Party Cape Town	Meeting	19-Oct-13	CoAL has adopted a responsible approach in as far as rehabilitation is concerned, and changes to those numbers are due to exchange rate fluctuations and a new international system of calculation being adopted by the company

10 CUMULATIVE IMPACT ASPECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
The cumulative effect of mining on the Limpopo valley's water resources. I believe that there will be huge pressure on water resources, seeing that the Makhado mine is already operational without having long term water resources available.	Dr Ben van der Waal Vhembe Biosphere Reserve	Written comment on the BID	27-May-13	This is incorrect. For one, the Makhado Mine is not operating, nor has it received a mining licence to continue. In respect of the water requirements for the mine, a Memorandum of Agreement (MoA) has been signed between the Nzhelele Irrigation farmers and CoAL and is based on the acquisition of Existing Lawful Use (ELU) from the farmers to supply the mine. In terms of the MoA the farmers will relinquish 7.7% of their ELU in terms of section 25(2) of the National Water Act 36 of 1998. The total water entitlement that will be surrendered by the farmers amount to the equivalent of 226 ha, 7.7% of the total water allocation from the Nzhelele Dam or 1 896 478 m3 per annum. In addition, one of the conditions of the MoA is the creation of "New Water" which will improve the overall availability of water in the catchment. MbeuYashu will also be conducting a significant study on sustainable water supply during the Feasibility Phase for the Mopane Project.
Why is minerals not mined systematically?	Dr Ben van der Waal Vhembe Biosphere Reserve	Written comment on the BID	27-May-13	It is the intention to phase the mining in the Greater Soutpansberg area and MbeuYashu is in consultation with the Department of Mineral Resources with respect to this as they are constrained by the "use it or lose it" rule as stipulated in the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 of 2002. The intention is to commence mining in the Mopane Project area only in 2019, after further exploration and the conclusion of the Feasibility Phase. It is also important to note that the mining at the Jutland section only commences during 2032 as we strive to stagger the mining of the resources and the life of mine could extend past the 30 year Mining Right applied for.
For example one mine after another over a 500 year period, and then only as raw material instead of coal energy source utilised to our shame (and to enrich a few people) Why not focus on the development of renewable energy sources and invest in it. Think of future generations.	Dr Ben van der Waal Vhembe Biosphere Reserve	Written comment on the BID	27-May-13	The product is primarily coking coal for the steelmaking processes, with thermal coal as a by-product. Whilst work is currently continuing in the fields of renewable energy, it is not yet a cost effective alternative. In the meantime, in order to sustain the economy and provide for growth, the country still has to produce energy from thermal coal.
Cumulative impact on the aesthetic by light pollution, noise, traffic, chemicals. The character of the bushveld is gone forever and not attractive for camping or hunting anymore.	Dr Ben van der Waal Vhembe Biosphere Reserve	Written comment on the BID	27-May-13	This is part of the scope of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), which will include determining the cumulative impact associated with the Greater Soutpansberg Project
This is a wonderful area of South Africa. Whilst I can understand: Coal Africa digs coal for economic gain. The government clearly sees its coffers being filled for various reasons. However, is it worthwhile? What amounts to destroying our beautiful valley for us and	Mr David Clark (Vera 815 P40)	Written Comment by Email	12-Jul-13	Noted.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
future generations? I understand there are also plans to dig up Tshipise. More destruction of a stunning place. Just a thought.				
We reiterate our objections as to the enormous negative impact which any mining will have on the water supply in the area, the debasement of the ecology, and the impact which your intentions will have on the social economic region as a whole.	Mr T J Duvénage Mafojani Game Lodge	Written Comment by Email	19-Jul-13	We take note of your objection. Please refer to our previous responses in this regard.
We can tell you that at the very least our clients hold the view that in relation to the abovementioned applications and also the remaining abovementioned projects which your clients are intent to undertake, a Regional Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment ("RSEIA") ought to be undertaken in order to allow IAP's to fully assess the collective impact of the proposed mining activities in the Province.	Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys	Written Comment by Email	27-Aug-13	The regional study, as mentioned, will be done during the pre-feasibility and feasibility studies as noted in the schedule included in the Background Information Document. During these studies and phases more detailed information will be available regarding water requirements, project phasing and potential impacts of the various projects.
In the first numbered paragraph of your letter under reply you appear to refer to our letter of 27 August 2013 in which we made reference to the advisability of conducting a Regional Strategic Environmental Impact Assessment. The proposal that such a study be undertaken is of course not new. In this regard we refer you to the Section 10(2) objections submitted by the Makhado Action Group ("MakAG") almost 3 (three) years ago on or about 24 March 2011.	Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys	Written Comment by Email	09-Sep-13	The regional study, as mentioned, will be done during the pre-feasibility and feasibility studies as noted in the schedule included in the Background Information Document. During these studies and phases more detailed information will be available regarding water requirements, project phasing and potential impacts of the various projects. The feasibility study and therefore regional study will be conducted prior to application for environmental authorisation in terms of NEMA and application for an Integrated Water Use License in terms of the National Water Act is made.
Regarding the process – governed by the regulated framework - do we have an undertaking by CoAL that they will respect and perform the process legally? We will look at the development as an integrated approach.	Philé van Zyl – Chairman of the Vhembe Mineral Resources Forum	Meeting	19-Oct-13	CoAL undertakes to continue with the regulatory process. It is a large project area as indicated on the map there are 3 project areas. We will have a holistic approach. From an investment perspective it does not make sense not to look at the three projects holistically. If you oppose us and we lose the rights, somebody else will come who may not care. Talk to us – we are not your enemy.

11 CORPORATE ASPECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>To your knowledge and that of the DMR grave environmental misgivings exist in respect of both the Makhado and Vele projects. Under the circumstances there is no basis for contending that the new pending applications / projects pass muster under section 23 of the MPRDA.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>In terms of Section 23 the Minister must make a decision based on the Environmental Impact Assessment conducted. The EIA for the Greater Soutpansburg Projects are still underway upon which the Minister will make a decision.</p>
<p>A further issue requires address. Your client has recently announced that it intends suspending operations at Phase 1 of the Vele Project, ostensibly in order to progress to Phase 2. It is common knowledge that Phase 1 is beset by practical and commercial difficulties, including certain flood damage that occurred earlier this year and IAP's are entitled to be fully informed of the prevailing circumstances and your clients' plans in order to assess the level of financial exposure your clients have in relation to the discontinued mining activities at the Vele Project and the viability of simply progressing to Phase 2; in turn an important consideration in order to establish whether your client is indeed capable of complying with any rehabilitation obligations it may have in relation to existing projects and the impact thereof upon future operations. Our clients' concerns are: exacerbated by the qualified audit your client received last year and the apparent suggestion that your client may well be trading under insolvent circumstances, there being expressed doubt as to its ability to continue trade as a going concern. In this respect, we are constrained to inform you that your client's corporate identity remains nebulous and hence, problematical. You will recall that we have previously requested details of the applicant in the Makhado Project which appeared not be registered in South Africa. An off shore entity was registered in South Africa only on 10 March 2012, however, the correspondence</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>27-Aug-13</p>	<p>Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansburg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.</p> <p>In terms of financial ability, again it is the responsibility of the Department of Mineral Resources to ascertain whether a company has the financial ability to develop a project prior to the granting of a Mining Right.)</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>which you direct to IAP's remain devoid of critical corporate information as is required: by the prevailing companies legislation. The abovementioned projects appear to involve a bewildering array of corporate entities and we require from you a full exposition of the corporate structure involved in all these projects. In addition, the commercial interests which stand to be prejudiced by the proposed mining activities have an annual value of many hundreds of millions of Rands. Of obvious concern to our clients is your clients' financial ability and whether it they indeed have the financial wherewithal to meet the requirements of section 54 of the MPRDA</p>				
<p>He doubts if CoAL has changed, it is still the same company. An introductory meeting was held at Bergwater Hotel, and the company never came back again. CoAL has employed experts to manipulate and manage the communities. Questions around the land claim issues still require resolution.</p>	MS Mafela	Meeting	14-Sep-13	Policy to be translated and distributed. The company does not promote the use of intermediaries.
<p>What happens if the company is financially bankrupt, who rehabilitates the mine?</p>	Mr Andre Du Preez Landowner	Meeting	18-Oct-13	The company must provide a bank guarantee for the rehabilitation of a project and this is revised annually. The company cannot touch that money and if something happens to the company, Government can only use the guarantee to rehabilitate that specific mine. Vele for example has a bank guarantee of R62 million.
<p>Audited Financial Statements were qualified, according to Year Ending 2013 auditors financial statements of CoAL quantifies. Does CoAL have the money to comply with the commitments made?</p>	James Hayes – Interested Party, Cape Town	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Financial Statements were not qualified, although the company has posted losses. Yes, there has been a repositioning and restructuring of the company, but the company is solid. CoAL will need to raise funds for the implementation of the Makhado Project.
<p>Will MbeuYashu themselves be the developer or sell the right once approved?</p>	James Hayes – Interested Party, Cape Town	Meeting	19-Oct-13	MbeuYashu envisages developing the mines with investors.
<p>Was MbeuYashu really able to get enough data and research done on the allocated budget?</p>	James Hayes – Interested Party, Cape Town	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Despite the company's financial position, sufficient budget has been allocated for the studies; CoAL has the funds to provide for it. In terms of enough data – timelines are set by the legislation and we will allow for further studies in the future. In relation to research and data – it is not a once off. Available data was used, which will be presented in the EIA/EMP. In the Mopane area we received limited information from the land owners.
<p>I looked at financial statements – most benefitted are the executive members. Company has reduced directors and newly joined like David Brown and Mr-Meester.</p>	James Hayes Interested Party Cape Town	Meeting	19-Oct-13	The directors and CoAL executives are not the only ones going to benefit from the project. Communities and local people will also benefit. As far as assisting to develop people in other activities which are outside the mining scope, he said CoAL only operates in the

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
Why was financials decreased?				mining field and should they deviate from their area of operation, the shareholders would punish them.
The CEO of CoAL used to work for Lonmin which was involved in the Marikana incident, how can you put your faith in a CEO that couldn't resolve Lonmin's issues	James Hayes Interested Party Cape Town	Meeting	19-Oct-13	Mr Mashudu corrected James Hayes statement that David Brown is actually from Impala Platinum and not Lonmin. James Hayes retracted his statement.

12 OLDER PROCESSES OR OTHER COAL PROJECTS

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
The issue of cumulative impacts was again raised in the MakAG's draft scoping report submitted to your environmental assessment practitioner ("EAP") on 17 May 2011 to which no response was received.	Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys	Written Comment by Email	09-Sep-13	Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.
On 24 July 2012 the MakAG submitted comments in respect of EMP amendments to 6 (six) prospecting rights which form part of the Greater Soutpansberg Project and apart from reiterating your failure to identify and describe the anticipated environmental, social and cultural impacts, including the cumulative effects, the following objections were articulated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • limited public participation; • the scarcity of water in the region which cannot support its heavy industrialisation; • the failure of the various EMP's to describe the impact of the proposed activities on freshwater ecosystem priority areas; and • that all your activities in the area have been conducted without proper consultation with affected communities as far as the long term sustainable development of the region is concerned. While no response to these comments was received, on 24 August 2012 you caused a statement to be published in the Zoutpansberger to the effect that no formal	Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys	Written Comment by Email	09-Sep-13	Comments on the Prospecting EMP amendments were received and responded to. Neither CoAL nor MbeuYashu caused a statement, we do not have control or influence over how journalists decide to report in newspapers. Please refer back to the actual Final EMP Amendment for the Prospecting Programme, regarding what was actually stated in the report.

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>objections had been received to the Greater Soutpansberg Project. This was of course untrue in view of what we say below.</p> <p>On or about 4 July 2012 and following events during an earlier RMDEC meeting, the MakAG released a media statement in respect of the process that had characterised your various projects. Immediately upon the publication of the media statement, on 5 July 2012 you disseminated a response to the MakAG in which you stated, inter alia, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “CoAL acknowledges and respects the rights and actions of members of civil society to engage through the media on issues of concern. In the interest of achieving mutually acceptable goals, however, we urge the MakAG to continue to engage directly with the company and indeed with the numerous stakeholders in the area, with discussions and inputs based on fact.” • “We are fully committed to complying with the extensive suite of legislation that governs activities in South Africa and we believe that mining companies can, and should, contribute to the social, economic and environmental sustainability of the communities and the natural environment in which they operate.” • “The projects in this coalfield (the Greater Soutpansberg Project) are not new and their integration may be a positive development for the region — it will mean that the economic and social contributions can be maximised and coordinated. It also means that environmental planning and management may be undertaken on a regional scale, with consideration for potential regional impacts and offsets, rather than in isolated silos.” <p>Significantly, the same response refers in detail to the Vele Colliery situated 43 (forty three) kilometres west of Musina and the fact that during its construction phase, Vele would offer direct employment to 400 (four hundred) people on site which would increase to 600 (six hundred) employment opportunities in due course. Disturbingly, and without any SENS announcement, phase one of the Vele Colliery has been terminated; a scant year after the glowing promises which had but a</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>The regional study, as mentioned, will be done during the pre-feasibility and feasibility studies as noted in the schedule included in the Background Information Document. During these studies and phases more detailed information will be available regarding water requirements, project phasing and potential impacts of the various projects. The feasibility study and therefore regional study will be conducted prior to application for environmental authorisation in terms of NEMA and application for an Integrated Water Use License in terms of the National Water Act is made.</p>
<p>Significantly, the same response refers in detail to the Vele Colliery situated 43 (forty three) kilometres west of Musina and the fact that during its construction phase, Vele would offer direct employment to 400 (four hundred) people on site which would increase to 600 (six hundred) employment opportunities in due course. Disturbingly, and without any SENS announcement, phase one of the Vele Colliery has been terminated; a scant year after the glowing promises which had but a</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>single purpose, viz, to coerce concerned stakeholders into subscribing to your proposals and submit to your overtures. Lately a broad spectrum of divergent and disjointed reasons have been advanced in the media for this decision; however, the IAP's / stakeholders are entitled to and in fact, insist upon a full and unfettered disclosure in this regard in order to assess the overall impact of the decision on remaining projects and indeed, the viability of those projects in view of the obvious consequences of the decision and the effect on your apparent precarious financial position.</p>				
<p>Under the heading "Community Engagement" you made much of the leadership dispute in respect of the Mudimeli Community and how "the communities have expressed their full support for the Makhado Project through a memorandum of understanding". Nothing could be further from the truth and to your knowledge, at a RMDEC meeting during August 2012, you were instructed to enter into a public participation process with the Mudimeli Community, which you subsequently failed to do. During yet a further RMDEC meeting on 14 February 2013, you conceded your failure to the Regional Manager whereupon that meeting was promptly adjourned. It is a matter of recorded history that since February 2013 the Mudimeli Community has heard nothing further from you; your failure now extending to in excess of 12 months as calculated from August 2012.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.</p>
<p>Under the heading "New Order Mining Right Application and Integrated Water Use License Application Process", you asserted that "CoAL complied with the requirements set out in the notification from the Regional Manager, and submitted an EMP, which substantially complied with the provisions of Section 39 (of the) MPRDA read with MPRDA Regulation 50 and 51. The EMP was submitted on 24 August 2011 (within the 180 day period)."</p> <p>To your knowledge this is untrue. Copious correspondence has been exchanged with regard to your failures, none of which has elicited any response from you. Furthermore, at 2 (two) belatedly convened</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>RMDEC meetings this aspect of the application was argued in full. On the second occasion on 7 June 2012, we submitted detailed heads of argument. You were represented by an attorney on the day who undertook to submit written answering heads of argument to the Regional Manager in due course. Notwithstanding the effluxion of some 15 (fifteen) months thereafter, nothing further has been heard from either you or your attorney, or for that matter, from the Regional Manager; hence, our submissions remain unchallenged.</p> <p>While you correctly state further that the Minister is required to approve the EMP within 120 (one hundred and twenty) days from its submission date if it complies with the requirements set out in Section 39(4) of the MPRDA, to your knowledge, and on the written advice of your EAP, the submission on 24 August 2011 was incomplete and there was a written undertaking that parts 2 and 3 of the EMP would be submitted during November 2011 and February 2012. To your knowledge, parts 2 and 3 have not been filed, either timeously or at all. Under the circumstances, the EMP as submitted never complied with and still does not comply with the provisions of Section 39 of the MPRDA, the Regional Manager has not issued any recommendation to the Minister that the EMP may be approved and the Minister has not approved the EMP, notwithstanding that the prescribed 120 (one hundred and twenty) day period to which you refer expired on 23 December 2011.</p> <p>Yet a further misstatement in your aforesaid response deserves scrutiny. You alleged at the time that the MakAG had failed to draw a distinction between the approval of the EMP and the application for a New Order Mining Right. While you have correctly quoted the provisions of Section 23(5) [incorrectly referred to in your response as Section 23(4)], the fallacious nature of your expectation becomes apparent when read in conjunction with the provisions of Section 23(1)(d) as read further with Section 23(3).</p> <p>By way of example, Mr Taylor recently received an advice from your EAP regarding the Generala project</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.</p>
<p>By way of example, Mr Taylor recently received an advice from your EAP regarding the Generala project</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral</p>	<p>Written Comment by</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.</p>

Comment	Stakeholder / Organisation	Comment source	Date received	Response
<p>which abuts / overlaps the Makhado Project. The advice stated that the investigation into the most cost-effective water supply in respect of the General project remains incomplete. While reference is thereafter made to the studies in respect of the Makhado Project, significantly, the directly affected IAP's in respect of the Makhado Project have not been appraised of the outcome of these studies. It is simply inconceivable that what is likely a single water resource will not be severely impacted by both these projects. The fact that these studies remain incomplete notwithstanding you having devoted several years thereto; the results which ought to form part of the EMP which is now long overdue, is ominous to say the least. If anything, it serves as significant support for the contention raised in our letter of 27 August 2013 that the ancillary EIA's, the water studies and IWULA's ought to be completed before further time and resources are wasted on the NOMR applications.</p> <p>A critical failure on the part of your EAP occurred in relation to our letter of 4 October 2012. Also to that letter we received no response. It follows that on an overall conspectus of what is set out herein and in the substantial raft of earlier correspondence, your application process in respect of the Makhado Project remains flawed and the persistent rumours which you disseminate to the effect that your "rights" will be granted before the end of the year contribute handsomely to the rising sense of foreboding our clients have in relation to the bona fides of your approaches in relation also to the other 5 (five) projects to which we refer in our letter of 27 August 2013.</p> <p>Don't know how long Florence has been with CoAL, but if she read UNESCO report she would see that they were not happy.</p>	<p>Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Email</p>		<p>In terms of the Greater Soutpansberg Project, as mentioned previously a regional study, including water resources, will be done during the pre-feasibility and feasibility studies as noted in the schedule included in the Background Information Document. During these studies and phases more detailed information will be available regarding water requirements, project phasing and potential impacts of the various projects. The feasibility study and therefore regional study will be conducted prior to application for environmental authorisation in terms of NEMA and application for an Integrated Water Use License in terms of the National Water Act is made.</p>
<p>A critical failure on the part of your EAP occurred in relation to our letter of 4 October 2012. Also to that letter we received no response. It follows that on an overall conspectus of what is set out herein and in the substantial raft of earlier correspondence, your application process in respect of the Makhado Project remains flawed and the persistent rumours which you disseminate to the effect that your "rights" will be granted before the end of the year contribute handsomely to the rising sense of foreboding our clients have in relation to the bona fides of your approaches in relation also to the other 5 (five) projects to which we refer in our letter of 27 August 2013.</p> <p>Don't know how long Florence has been with CoAL, but if she read UNESCO report she would see that they were not happy.</p>	<p>Vhembe Mineral Resources Stakeholders Forum: Represented by Christo Reeders Attorneys</p>	<p>Written Comment by Email</p>	<p>09-Sep-13</p>	<p>The Makhado Colliery Project's Environmental Impact Assessment and Management Programme Process was concluded at the end of 2012. A final EIA/EMP report was submitted to DMR on 24 September 2012 as per the DMR's directive to submit a revised document. Please refer all questions which do not relate to the Greater Soutpansberg to Coal of Africa directly. This process relates to the Mopane project which is located within the GSP.</p>
<p>Don't know how long Florence has been with CoAL, but if she read UNESCO report she would see that they were not happy.</p>	<p>Elise Tempelhoff Beeld</p>	<p>Meeting</p>	<p>19-Oct-13</p>	<p>I have been with CoAL since 2009 and have been extensively involved. Response given from the Professor from UNESCO was that there has been extensive debate but the final report showed satisfaction.</p>

**APPENDIX A7: COMMENTS, RESPONSES AND
REGISTRATION FORMS**

Appendix A7-1 Registration Forms

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pos <input type="checkbox"/>
		Faks <input type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	
	<i>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</i>

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
	EIENAAR VAN BUURPLAAS		

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MR	Naam/Voorletters:	JOHAN. J. F	Van:	WOLVAARDT
Organisasie:	SAND RIVIER JAFARIS				
Eiendom:	PLAAS DOROTHY				
Telefoon:	015 534 2449	Faks:			
Selfoon:	082 852 3065	E-pos:	johan@sandriver.co.za		
Posadres:	P. O. Box 422				
	MUSINA, 0900				

J. F. WOLVAARDT
Naam


Handtekening

29 APRIL 2013
Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/> Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	
<i>Please feel free to attach a separate document</i>	

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
If yes, specify below				
SERVICE PROVIDER				

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Mr	Name / Initials:	S	Surname:	WESSELS
Organisation:	SENTIA WESSELS ARCHITECT TECHNOLOGIST				
Property:	-				
Telephone:		Fax:			
Cellphone:	079 522 0003	Email:	wsenta@gmail.com		
Postal Address:	PO BOX 43 LOUIS TRICHAARDT 0920				

Senta Wessels		29/4/2013
Name	Signature	Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJIEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.
 Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672
 E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Ja	<input type="radio"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pos <input type="checkbox"/>
		Faks <input type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	
------------	--

Heq gerus 'n aparte dokument aan

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Ja	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<input type="radio"/> Nee	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
GROUPE EIENARA - VERABISMS GEDEELTE SH. BETCOR BOERDELY BK.				

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MR	Naam/Voorletters:	S. A.	Van:	VISSEL.
Organisasie:	BETCOR BOERDELY BK.				
Eiendom:	VERABISMS GEDEELTE SH.				
Telefoon:	035-8747300 W.	Faks:	0866872668		
Selfoon:	083 453 4117	E-pos:	berryyu@zulac.co.za.		
Posadres:	WALKER STRAAT 102, RENSBURG DORP. HEIDELBERG, GAUTENG, 1441.				

BERRY VISSEL (SA)
 Naam


 Handtekening

24/04/2013.
 Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
		<input type="checkbox"/> Faks

Kommentaar	
	<i>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</i>

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MNR	Naam/Voorletters:	J.W.	Van:	VENTER
Organisasie:	PRIVAAT				
Eiendom:	KITCHENER 504 MS - CED 2				
Telefoon:		Faks:	086 536 7678		
Selfoon:	082 895 7627	E-pos:	willieventer69@gmail.com		
Posadres:	P.O. Box 257				
	LEVUBU 0929				

J.W. VENTER
Naam


Handtekening

30/04/2013
Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

Lizinda

From: Karien Van Heerden <katrin.x@hotmail.com>
Sent: 06 May 2013 03:40 PM
To: Greater Soutpansberg Project E-mail Address
Subject: KENNISGEWING VIR DIE INDIENING VAN AANSOEKE OM MYNREG VIR DIE MOPANE SRM0005045

Registreer ons asseblief, ek kan nie die vorm uitprint en invul nie.

Besonderhede

K Booyens

084 208 7527

katrin.x@hotmail.com

Wanneer behoort ons opvolg inligting oor die vordering van die myn naby Nzhelele te kry?

Groete

Karien

From: coal.gsp@gmail.com
Date: Mon, 6 May 2013 04:36:44 -0700
Subject: KENNISGEWING VIR DIE INDIENING VAN AANSOEKE OM MYNREG VIR DIE MOPANE SRM0005045

Geagte Belangstellende en/of Geaffekteerde Party of Mnr/Me

CoAL of Africa Beperk ("CoAL") en Rothe Investments (Edms.) Bpk. ("Rothe") besit gesamentlik 100% van MbeuYashu se uitgereikte kapitaal. MbeuYashu het by die Departement van Mineraalhulpbronne (DMH) aansoek gedoen om 'n mynreg vir die Mopane Project as deel van die Groter Soutpansberg Project (GSP) in die Limpopo-provinsie. Hierby aangeheg is 'n Uitnodigingsbrief om aan die Openbare Deelnameproses deel te neem. Maak asseblief van die Registrasievorm gebruik om as 'n belangstellende en/of geaffekteerde party vir die voorgestelde projek te registreer.

Indien u enige verdere inligting verlang, versoek ons u vriendelik om sodanige versoek skriftelik aan ons te rig.

Vriendelike groete

Lizinda Dickson
Posbus 15283.
Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182
Tel: 012 543 9093
Faks: 086 236 1672
E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

MOPANE PROJEK
REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLELENDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.nalidedev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pos <input type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan
------------	----------------------------------

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja <input type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
--	-----------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrekk asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van: Organisasie: Kontaknommer/ E-posadres: Belang:
---	---

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	WIEKA	Naam/Voorletters:	A.E.	Van:	VAN DEVENTER
Organisasie:					
Eiendom:	VERA No 6 EM 27				
Telefoon:		Faks:	pbs-impopo@john.com		
Selfoon:	078 426 8902	E-pos:			
Posadres:	POSBUS 1997				
	MUSINA 0900				

A.E. VAN DEVENTER A.E. Dickson
 Naam Handtekening

2 MEI 2013 Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJEK KOMMENTAARVORM

Vul asseblief die Kommentaarvorm vir die Mopane Projek in en stuur dit voor 19 Julie 2013 terug aan ons.

Stuur hierdie Kommentaarvorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.
 Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093; Faks: 086 236 1672
 E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Titel:	DR	Voornaam	M A R I A	
Voorletters	M.E.C	Van	V. D. WART (Me NORDEN)	
Instansie	Owner farms Klein Eden MS 272 Little Paradise MS 493			
Eiendom (indien van toepassing)	Soos bo.			
Tel. no.	083 306 0576	Faks:		
E-pos:	atlokrystal@lantic.net	Sel:		
Die Bestekopnameveslag is beskikbaar by die openbare plekke of dit kan van Naledi se webwerf afgelaai word by: www.naledidev.co.za				

Kommentaar:	<p>1. Diep beet en diep myne kan die wafes tafel laat daal en ons watervoorsiening benadeel.</p> <p>2. Beide by plase is jagplase en daar word met skaars wild gejaag. Lawaai, stof, trane in veel-gangers sal ons besigheid benadeel, en jag gevaarliker maak.</p> <p>3. Lugbesoedeling: Toename in Asma e.g.</p> <p>4. Terstering van die ekologie en swak rehabilitasie later word gevrees.</p> <p>5. Die Bosveld broom met sy besondere plante, sy silte en besondere karakter, sal skade ly.</p> <p>6. Ons paaië is nie geskik vir groter verkeer nie en padgebruik sal gevaarliker word a.g.v. groot lopies en meer voertuie op die pad.</p> <p>7. Waar gaan die projek water kry vir gebouke? Hierdie plase lê langs die tekwe geologiese braak wat goed water dra. Is die glite vas of studies gemaak?</p>
-------------	--

Mae van der Wall (Norden)

25 06 2013

Naam:

Handtekening

Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

ANNEXURE

The registration as an Interested and affected Party is not exclusively representative of the Mudimeli Community interests and concerns. In due course the Mudimeli Community itself and as yet undetermined number of individual community members will register as I&AP

Mr. N T Tshilongo

654 Ndamulelo Street

Mudimeli Village

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
		<input type="checkbox"/> Faks

Kommentaar	
------------	--

Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:		Naam/Voorletters:	EW	Van:	STOIS
Organisasie:					
Eiendom:					
Telefoon:	0826511845	Faks:	086 578 3074		
Selfoon:		E-pos:	pieter@inyala.co.za		
Posadres:	FLAMWOOD 7025 KIEKSDORP 2572				

Pieter

26/04/2013

Naam Handtekening Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
		<input type="checkbox"/> Faks

Kommentaar	<p>Ek wil graag akkommodasie verskaf vir die projek. Ek is lid van die voorgestelde gebied</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;"><i>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</i></p>
------------	--

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
			Ek besit 'n plaas in die Sandrivier

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	GW Steyn
	Organisasie:	Gwanzi Akkommodasie
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	082 823 5068
	Belang:	Akkommodasie

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	Naam/Voorletters:	GW	Van:	Steyn
Organisasie:	Placas Awie			
Telefoon:	082 823 5068	Faks:	017648 5011	
Selfoon:	017648 4953	E-pos:	awanzi@telkomsa.net	
Posadres:	Posbus 1094, Kned 2271			

GW Steyn
Naam


Handtekening

10/05/13
Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

PS **PAUL SMIT**
EIENDOMME (EDMS)BPK


Posbus 58
Tzaneen 0850
Tel: (015)307 1000
Fax: (015)307 1826

TO : LIZINDA DICKSON
FAX : 086 236 1672
DATE : 26 APRIL 2013-04-26
PAGE 1 OF 8

Dear Lizinda,

Please find herewith documentation for Paul Smit's properties: Delft MS499 and Frans MS833.

Regards



MANDIE DE NYSSCHEN
P.A. TO MR SMITH

MOPANE PROJIEK

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Faks

Kommentaar	<p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHED NOTE TO LET EXACT DESCRIPTION OF FARM.</p> <p>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</p>
------------	---

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
	LEONO ETEHAAR.		

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	N.V.T.
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:


Titel:	MNK	Naam/Voorletters:	PAUL J.	Van:	SMIT
Organisasie:	PAUL SMIT EIENDOMME (EDMS) Bpk Reg. 1977/03842/07				
Eiendom:	DELFT MS499 (PORTION TWO)				
Telefoon:	015 307 1000	Faks:	0866-123-591		
Selfoon:	083 290 9990	E-pos:	paul@pharmacies.co.za		
Posadres:	POSBUS 58, TZANEEN, 0850				

PAUL JACOBUS SMIT
Naam

Handtekening

26/4/2013
Datum

Dankie vir u deelname



Delft MS499, Portion 2, Registration Division MS, Limpopo Province, measuring 342,6128 (three four two comma six one two eight) hectares and held under deed of transfer no T64224/2006.

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
		<input type="checkbox"/> Faks

Kommentaar	<p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-family: cursive;">PLEASE SEE ATTACHED NOTE TO GET EXACT DESCRIPTION OF FARM.</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: 0.8em;">Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</p>
------------	--


Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
	LANDOETENAAR.			

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	N.V.T.
	Organisasie:	/
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MNR	Naam/Voorletters:	PAUL J.	Van:	SMIT
Organisasie:	CONSOLATA ESTATE SA (Pty) Ltd 2005/026746/06				
Eiendom:	FRANS MS 833				
Telefoon:	015 307 1000	Faks:	0866 123 591		
Selfoon:	083 290 9990	E-pos:	paul@pharmacies.co.za		
Posadres:	POSBUS 58, TZANEEN, 0850				

PAUL JACOBUS SMIT
Naam


Handtekening

26 / 4 / 2013
Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

SEËLREG	/
STAMP DUTY R.
FOCI	
FEEES R.	400,00

Opgestel deur my,

TRANSPORTBESORGER.

Van en Voorletters.
NICOLAAS FRANS MYBURGH

Para. 2.

VERBIND	MORTGAGE	BC009038 12
VIR FOR R 750 000,00		GEKANSELLEER CANCELLED
B 081688 /06		REGISTRATEUR/REGISTRAR
06 06 06		16 02 12
	REGISTRAR	

Transportakte

T 64224 06

Hierby word bekendgemaak:

DAT NICOLAAS FRANS MYBURGH

voor my, Registrateur van Aktes

verskyn het te PRETORIA, GAUTENG

hy, die genoemde komparant synde behoorlik daartoe gemagtig deur 'n volmag aan

hom verleen deur -

VIR VERDERE ENDOSSEMENTE SIEN FOR FURTHER ENDORSEMENTS SEE	P6
---	----

DIE TRUSTEES VAN TYD TOT TYD VAN

DIE SONSKYN TRUST

Nr IT 47/1995

gedateer die 28 ste dag van MAART 2006 en geteken

te LOUIS TRICHARDT.

SHAF

2006-06-14

2006-06-17

FR

Kroongrondbrief Nr. 140/1945 deur die Spoorweg-administrasie vir sy werksaamhede en ondernemings geokkupeer of in gebruik was, sonder betaling van vergoeding, mag onteien.

- D. Kragtens Notariële Akte Nr. 678/72 gedateer 29 November 1971 is die hieringemelde eiendom onderhewig aan 'n Serwituut van Waterpypleiding 6.10 meter wyd met bykomende regte ten gunste van die Resterende Gedeelte van Gedeelte 4 van gemelde plaas, groot 82.1096 hektaar gehou onder Sertifikaat van Geregistreerde Titel Nr. 1056/40. Die Oostelike grenslyn van welke serwituut gebied aangedui word deur die lyn A B op Kaart L.G. Nr. A.4713/70 geheg aan en soos meer volledig sal blyk uit gemelde Notariële Akte.
- E. Die voormalige Gedeelte 1 (SONSKYN) van die plaas KITCHENER 504 Registrasie Afdeling M.S., aangedui deur die figuur ABCKL op die Kaart L.G. Nr. 738/1997 is onderhewig aan die volgende voorwaarde :

Die gesegde plaas KITCHENER 504, Registrasie Afdeling M.S. distrik Messina is SPESIAAL ONDERHEWIG aan Artikels 31 en 34 van die Kroongronn Nedersettings Wet, 1912, waarby inter alia, alle regte tot Minerale op die eiendom voorbehou is deur die Staat.

EN VERDER ONDERHEWIG aan sodanige voorwaardes soos in genoemde Aktes vermeld staan of na verwys word.



Frans MS833, Registration Division MS, Limpopo Province, measuring 734,4080 (seven three four comma four nil eight nil) hectares and held under deed of transfer no T64224/2006.

THANDELA YA MOPANE FOMO YA U DI NVALISA SA MUTHU A RE NA DZANGALELO KANA A KWAMEAHO

Kha vha di nawlise sa muthu a re na dzangalelo kana a kwameaho nga Thandela ya Mopane

Kha vha humisele bambiri heli la u di nwalisa kha Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Kha vha nwalise sa muthu a re na dzangalelo kana a kwameaho na u dovha u di nnekedza zwinzhi na dzindivhadzo zwezwi mushumo wa tsedzuluso ya masiandaitwa kha mupo na dzudzanyo dza ndango ya mupo zwi tshi itelwa Ndugelo ya u sinwa ha mugodi u tshi khou shumiwa	Ee	Hai
Ndi takelela u davhidzaniwa na nne nga	Email	Poswo
		Fekisi

Maambiwa	Nne ndi S.A. Sithayathaya kha, ya manu na lusaka, lwa mulembwane. Hanyetho mepani hukha di wela kha nne na lusaka. Ndzinwee bulasi ndi nnetitthe deeds <i>Kha vha pfe vha vhotholowa u nga tou zwi nwa kha linwe bambiri vha rumela nalo</i>
----------	--

Vha na vhunwe vho vhubinduzi, hu nga vha nga tshelede, sa muthu kana zwinwe vho zwine vha nga bindula nga u tendelwa kana u haniwa ha khumbelo heyi	Ee	Hai	Arali zwi hone kha vha tandavhudze uri ndi zwiifho <i>Huna bulasi elzo nanya tseksetlag vi bindula nga phulcha na Lorege</i>
---	----	-----	---

Hu na vhanwe vho vhanwe vha vhona u nga vho tewa u davhidzaniwa navho nga fhungo ili, kha vha nwale zwidombedzwa zwavho	Dzina na Tshifani	Chief S.A. Sithayathaya
	Tshimiswa kana Dzangano	Chief
	Nomboro dzine vha kwamiwa khadzo / email	0715088859
	Dzangalelo	Ndikho u zwitender

Kha vha nwale zwidombedzwa zwine vha nga davhidzaniwa navho ngazwo uri zwi vhe hone kha mutevhe wa thandela

Vhune:	Dzina:	Tshifani:	
Dzangano / Tshimiswa:	Chief S.A. Sithayathaya		
Ndaka:			
Lutingo:	0715088859	Fekisi:	
Lutingokhwalwa:		Email:	
Diresi ya poswo:	Box 21 Sithayathaya 0991		

S.A. Sithayathaya **06-05-2013**
Dzina Tsalno Datumu

Ri livhuwa u di dzhenisa havho kha hezwi.

Lizinda

From: Carolyn Ah Shene-Verdoorn <advocacy@birdlife.org.za>
Sent: 16 May 2013 10:34 AM
To: coal.gsp@gmail.com
Cc: 'Ernst Retief'
Subject: FW:Registration of BirdLife South Africa as an IAP - MINING RIGHT APPLICATIONS FOR THE MOPANE PROJECT SRM0005019

Importance: High

Dear Lizinda,

Kindly register BirdLife South Africa as an IAP for this application. We will submit comments shortly.

Regards,

Carolyn Ah Shene-Verdoorn
Policy & Advocacy Manager



Lewis House, 239 Barkston Drive, Blairgowrie 2194, Gauteng
P.O. Box 515, Randburg 2125, Gauteng, South Africa
Tel: +27 (0)11 789 1122 / 0860 BIRDER
Fax: +27 (0)11 789 5188
Cell: +27 (0) 82 776 8333
E-mail: advocacy@birdlife.org.za
<http://www.birdlife.org.za> <http://birdingroutes.co.za>

From: Greater Soutpansberg Project E-mail Address [<mailto:coal.gsp@gmail.com>]
Sent: 24 April 2013 11:39 AM
Subject: NOTICE OF THE SUBMISSION OF MINING RIGHT APPLICATIONS FOR THE MOPANE PROJECT SRM0005019

Dear Interested and/or Affected Party or Sir/Madam

Coal of Africa Limited ("CoAL") and Rothe Investments (Pty) Ltd ("Rothe") jointly own 100% of MbeuYashu Issued Capital. MbeuYashu has applied to the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) for a mining right for the Mopane Project as part of the Greater Soutpansberg Project (GSP) in the Limpopo Province. Please find attached herewith an Invitation Letter to participate in the Public Participation process. Please use the Registration Form to register as an interested and/or affected party for the proposed project.

If you require any additional information, we kindly request that you submit your request to us in writing.

Kind Regards

Lizinda Dickson
P O Box 15283,

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fax <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document


Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	If yes, specify below
<i>Mr Rech from Jaubert & May represents the owners of farms in the area.</i>			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	<i>AE Rech</i>
	Organisation	<i>Jaubert & May Attorneys</i>
	Contact number / email	<i>aldo@jaubertmay.co.za</i>
	Interest	<i>Representing land owners</i>

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	<i>Mr</i>	Name / Initials:	<i>Aldo AE</i>	Surname:	<i>Rech</i>
Organisation:	<i>Jaubert & May Attorneys</i>				
Property:	<i>Represent land owners in the area</i>				
Telephone:	<i>015-3073660</i>	Fax:	<i>015-3071787</i>		
Cellphone:	<i>083 3777415</i>	Email:	<i>aldo@jaubertmay.co.za</i>		
Postal Address:	<i>PO Box 35, Tzaneen 0850</i>				
	<i>50 Boundary Street Tzaneen</i>				

Aldo E Rech
Name


Signature

26 April 2013
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.
 Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pos <input type="checkbox"/>
		Faks <input type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	
<i>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</i>	


Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja <input type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	N. J. Ras
	Organisasie:	VERA 61
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	nras67@gmail.com
	Belang:	Erewanne

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	Mnr	Naam/Voorletters:	N. J.	Van:	Ras
Organisasie:					
Eiendom:	VERA 61				
Telefoon:	0	Faks:			
Selfoon:	083 265 0600	E-pos:	nras67@gmail.com		
Posadres:	PO Box 216 Louis TRICHARAT.				

N. J. Ras


Handtekening

20/4/13
Datum

Naam

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email	<input type="checkbox"/> Post
	<input type="checkbox"/> Fax	

Comments	
<i>Please feel free to attach a separate document</i>	

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
<i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.</i>			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	I	Surname:	PURDON
Organisation:	MARENGA PHANDA				
Property:	N/A.				
Telephone:	015 516 4647	Fax:	086 515 3124.		
Cellphone:	083 377 0984.	Email:	IPURDON@OFFICENATIONAL.CO.ZA		
Postal Address:	P.O Box 1556 LOUIS TRICHARDT 0920				

I. Purdon
Name

[Signature]
Signature

25/04/2013.
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>
		Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	<p style="font-size: 1.5em;">SEE Attached DOCUMENT</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-style: italic; font-size: 0.8em;">Please feel free to attach a separate document</p>
----------	---

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
			FARMER.

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	MARTIN M.C	Surname:	PRETORIUS
Organisation:	FARMER				
Property:	HONEYMOON FARM 594 MS				
Telephone:	015 491 1709	Fax:	015 491 1709		
Cellphone:	083 406 4036	Email:	bosveldcp@webmail.co.za		
Postal Address:	P.O. BOX 77, MOKOPANE, 0600				

MARTIN
Name


Signature

10/05/2013
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pos
	<input type="checkbox"/> Faks	

Kommentaar	<p>MY OERS HET OP DIE PLAAS VERBLYF EN EK IS OP DIE PLAAS (JUTLAND 536MS) GEROE</p> <p>MY BROER IS OP DIE PLAAS (JUTLAND 536MS) DOOD EN DAAR BEGRawe .</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</i></p>
------------	--

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
Nee.	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	ML	Naam/Voorletters:	A.N	Van:	PHUPHELI
Organisasie:	FAMILY				
Eiendom:					
Telefoon:		Faks:			
Selfoon:	084 226 0876	E-pos:			
Posadres:	P.O. BOX 175, DZANANI, 0955				

A.N. PHUPHELI

Naam

A.N. PHUPHELI

Handtekening

18/05/2013

Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

FAX

To:
Company:
Fax: 0862361672
Phone:

From: WM Opperman
Fax: 100
Phone: 0155751632
E-mail: WMFOODS@LANTIC.NET

NOTES:

WM OPPERMAN

MOPANE PROJIEK

REGISTRASIEVORM BELANGSTELLENDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0181, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 336 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBP vir die Mynregte	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pos <input type="checkbox"/>
		Faks <input type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	
Hier net 'n aparte dokument aan	

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
LAAS DANFF BEHOORT AAN ONS			

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	M. OPPERMAN
	Organisasie:	MDE ERENAAB MANZTECOM
	Kontaknommer/	
	E-posadres:	MDE@TDC.plumbing.co.za
	Belang:	MDE ERENAAB MANZTECOM

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MDE	Naam/Voorletters:	MDE	Van:	OPPERMAN
Organisasie:	MANZTECOM CC				
Elendom:	LAAS DANFF MOPANE				
Telefoon:	083 662 1803	Faks:	0866 753 030		
Selfoon:	083 112 1503	E-pos:	MDE@TDC.plumbing.co.za		
Posadres:	105545 96 WATERPOORT 0906				

M. OPPERMAN
 MDE
 27/5/13
 Naam Handtekening Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Ja	<input type="radio"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> E-pos	<input type="radio"/> Pos
		<input type="radio"/> Faks

Kommentaar	
------------	--


Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Ja	<input type="radio"/> Nee	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
			BOER IN DIE OMGEWING

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MR.	Naam/Voorletters:	FRANCES	Van:	NICHOLSON
Organisasie:	MARKADO ACTION GROUP				
Eiendom:	ARIELODANE ESTATES				
Telefoon:		Faks:			
Selfoon:	082 336 8900	E-pos:	fnicholson@telkomsa.net		
Posadres:	POSBUS 18 TSHEPESI 0901				

FRANCIS NICHOLSON
Naam


Handtekening

26 APRIL 2013
Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights			Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post	Fax	

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
	If yes, specify below <i>I am an interested and affected Land claimant registered by Land Claim Commission Limpopo</i>			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	<i>N.H. MUSEKWA</i>
	Organisation	<i>MUSEKWA LAND CLAIM</i>
	Contact number / email	<i>0822988141</i>
	Interest	<i>Land restoration.</i>

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	<i>Mr</i>	Name / Initials:	<i>N.E</i>	Surname:	<i>TSHIVHIDZO</i>
Organisation:	<i>MUSEKWA LAND CLAIM</i>				
Property:	<i>Land restoration</i>				
Telephone:		Fax:			
Cellphone:	<i>0824288148</i>	Email:	<i>tshivhidzo@webmail.co.za</i>		
Postal Address:	<i>P.O. Box 38 DZANAMI, 0955</i>				

Tshivhidzo N. Ewart

Name

[Signature]
Signature

14-05-2013
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights			Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>	Fax <input type="checkbox"/>	

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes		No		If yes, specify below

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	LB	Surname:	MPURU
Organisation:					
Property:	VERA 815MSPOETON 1.				
Telephone:	015 516 5875	Fax:	015 516 3483		
Cellphone:	082 8990051	Email:	ben@ltholt.co.za		
Postal Address:	BOX 1055 LOUIS REICHAERTS 0920				

LB MPURU
Name


Signature

08/05/2013
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>
		Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application?	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
	N/A				

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	M.F. MPHIDI
	Organisation	LEDET
	Contact number / email	082 371 4336 mphidimf@ledet.gov.za
	Interest	environmental management

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MRS	Name / Initials:	M.F.	Surname:	MPHIDI
Organisation:	LEDET				
Property:					
Telephone:	015 962 4722/7	Fax:	086 5141 718		
Cellphone:	082 371 4336	Email:			
Postal Address:	P.O. Box 6874 TLOHOYANDOU 0950				

MPHIDI M.F.
Name


Signature

2013/4/25
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email	<input type="checkbox"/> Post
		<input type="checkbox"/> Fax

Comments	
----------	--

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	M. J	Surname:	MOTIMELE
Organisation:	DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ENVIRONMENT + TOURISM				
Property:					
Telephone:	@15)293-8603	Fax:	@15)293-8824		
Cellphone:	0824427654	Email:	motimelanj@ledet.gov.za		
Postal Address:	P.O. Box 1574 Mahwelereug; 0626				

JOHN MOTIMELE
Name

Signature

2013/04/25
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post	Fax

Comments	<p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-family: cursive;">I see from the maps that my farm is not directly affected however from a noise/pollution point of view it will be.</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: 0.8em; font-style: italic;">Please feel free to attach a separate document</p>
----------	--

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		If yes, specify below

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Dr.	Name / Initials:	D.C.	Surname:	Mc Gee
Organisation:	Private land owner.				
Property:	Great praat 564 MS				
Telephone:	018 2908430	Fax:	018 2975121		
Cellphone:	082 829 5368	Email:	dean@fourmacheef.co.za		
Postal Address:	Box 147 Pitskopstroom - 2520				

Dean Mc Gee

Name
Lizinda Dickson
Signature
26/4/13
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>
		Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	Being the local residence of this area I would to be involved of any development as small business and entrepreneurs or who is interested in mining and security business. <small>Please feel free to attach a separate document</small>
----------	--

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
			I would like to participate as a business partner to the mining house with my experience of mining

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	R.J. Malouhete
	Organisation	Kliminyano Mining Projects
	Contact number / email	malouhetejr@yahoo.co.uk 083 497 8709
	Interest	BUSINESS,

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	R.J.	Surname:	Malouhete
Organisation:	Kliminyano Mining and Projects				
Property:	NONE				
Telephone:	0155342849	Fax:	0866 133435		
Cellphone:	0834998709	Email:	malouhetejr@yahoo.co.uk		
Postal Address:	P.O Box 1009 MUSINA 0900 Limpopo				

Malouhete JONAS 13/05/2013
 Name Signature Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>	Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
	LETHAHA FUNERALS				

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MRS	Name / Initials:	MM	Surname:	MADIMA
Organisation:					
Property:					
Telephone:		Fax:			
Cellphone:	0824062423 / 0723417449		Email:	margarethmadima@gmail.com	
Postal Address:	P.O Box 1121 MUSINA, 0900				

M.M MADIMA
Name

M.M. Madima
Signature

2013.05.15
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/> Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	<p style="text-align: center;">Any comments to follow after the VBR board has discussed.</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-style: italic; font-size: small;">Please feel free to attach a separate document</p>
----------	---

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
<p style="font-style: italic;">The Vhembe Biosphere Reserve is involved in development and conservation planning in the area of interest.</p>			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Mr.	Name / Initials:	J.D.	Surname:	LINDEN
Organisation:	VHEMBE BIOSPHERE RESERVE				
Property:	N/A				
Telephone:		Fax:			
Cellphone:	079 164 0465	Email:	jabu.linden@vhembebiosphere.org		
Postal Address:	c/o P.O. Box 1536 Makhado 0920				

Jabu Linden		30 th April 2013
Name	Signature	Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY



Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Faks <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	<p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-family: cursive;">Ons verkennwoordig alle SDCU lede in die Soutpansberg Distrik.</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: 0.8em;">Heq gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</p>
------------	--

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja <input type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	Mnr.	Naam/Voorletters:	T. L.	Van:	1e Rant
Organisasie:	Soutpansberg Distriks landbou - unie.				
Eiendom:					
Telefoon:	015-5163963	Faks:	015-5163963		
Selfoon:	0823028762	E-pos:	sdlu@xnets.co.za		
Posadres:	Postbus 3885 Louis Trichardt 0920				

namens

H. Bayes (sebetaresse)

Naam

H. Bayes

Handtekening

30/4/2013

Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>
		Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	<p style="font-size: 1.2em;">Please Note:</p> <p style="font-size: 1.2em;">I am NOW the NEW OWNER ON Farm Faure 562.</p> <p style="font-size: 1.2em;">P.J. Kotze.</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: 0.8em;">Please feel free to attach a separate document</p>
----------	--

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	N/A @ this stage.
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Mr.	Name / Initials:	P. J.	Surname:	Kotze
Organisation:	Private Owner.				
Property:	Die Plaas Faure 562 M.S. Nimpopo				
Telephone:	082 326 2494	Fax:	086 659 9225		
Cellphone:	079 057 6060	Email:	homefronttrading409@gmail.com		
Postal Address:	Theo Street 36, Wilkopies, KleiSkopp				
	North West Prov. 2570.				

<p style="font-size: 1.5em; margin: 0;">P.J. Kotze</p> <p style="font-size: 0.8em; margin: 0;">Name</p>	<p style="font-size: 0.8em; margin: 0;">Signature</p>	<p style="font-size: 1.2em; margin: 0;">2013-08-30</p> <p style="font-size: 0.8em; margin: 0;">Date</p>
---	---	---

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>
		Fax <input type="checkbox"/>

Comments	<div style="text-align: right; font-style: italic; font-size: small;">Please feel free to attach a separate document</div>
----------	--

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
			As a close neighbour, environmental issues will also affect me.

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Dr	Name / Initials:	Peta A.	Surname:	JONES
Organisation:	DONKEY POWER				
Property:	Portion 69 of Vera MS 815				
Telephone:		Fax:			
Cellphone:	083 686 7539	Email:	asstute@lantic.net		
Postal Address:	PO Box 1695 MUSINA 0900				

PETA JONES



25 April 2013

Name

Signature

Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	Ja	Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos	Pos
		Faks

Kommentaar	
------------	--

Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja		Nee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MNR	Naam/Voorletters:	P.J.	Van:	GOOSEN.
Organisasie:	MOPANE BOEREYERENIGING.				
Eiendom:	BLAAUWKOP.				
Telefoon:	—	Faks:			
Selfoon:	082 853 0401.	E-pos:			
Posadres:	POSBUS 190 NRESINA. 0900.				

 P.J. GOOSEN Naam	 Handtekening	25/4/2013. Datum
--	--	---------------------

Dankie vir u deelname

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<u>E-pos</u>	Pos <input type="checkbox"/> Faks <input type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	<p><i>Die besedeling van Oklaas Waterbronne en vernietiging van toerisme en landbou is Hoogs Bekommentarwaardig!</i></p> <p><i>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</i></p>
------------	---

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja <input type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	Me	Naam/Voorletters:	Inga	Van:	Gilfillan.
Organisasie:	Belastingbetalersvereniging & Voorsherevereniging.				
Eiendom:					
Telefoon:	015 576 5455	Faks:	015 576 5477.		
Selfoon:	072 5093939	E-pos:	ingagilf@yabo.co.za		
Posadres:	Bus 362 Louis Tichardt				

INGA GILFILLAN
Inga
23 APRIL 2013
 Naam Handtekening Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: cool.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
		<input type="checkbox"/> Faks

Kommentaar	<p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-family: cursive;">Eienaar van plaas Barend 523 MS en Piet 509 MS aangewensend aan die plaas Cavan 508 MS.</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: 0.8em;">Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</p>
------------	---

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
--	-----------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	N. U. T.
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	UINR	Naam/Voorletters:	N. G.	Van:	FOURIE
Organisasie:					
Eiendom:	PLAAS BAREND 523 MS EN PIET 509MS.				
Telefoon:	015 307 8161	Faks:			
Selfoon:	082 3225528	E-pos:	NIC.FOURIE@TZANEEN.GOV.ZA		
Posadres:	POSBUS 1882, TZANEEN, 0850				

N. G. FOURIE		30/04/2013
Naam	Handtekening	Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.
 Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
	<input type="checkbox"/> Faks	<input type="checkbox"/>

Kommentaar	
------------	--

Heb gerus 'n aparte dokument aan

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
Dit gaan oorlygende beslydinge betrek.			

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	NA
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	Mw	Naam/Voorletters:	HAM	Van:	ETHEE
Organisasie:	Ethel AAA				
Eiendom:	MASERTIPAN 50MS				
Telefoon:	(015) 534 3344	Faks:	Reclimend ms492 (015) 534 3402		
Selfoon:	082 875 3641	E-pos:	hekwee@lontu.net		
Posadres:	Box 1609, Mafisa, 0900				

Naam	Handtekening	Datum
------	--------------	-------

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.

Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	Ja	Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	E-pos	Pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Faks

Kommentaar	
	<i>Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</i>

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja	Nee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	
	Organisasie:	
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	
	Belang:	

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	MHR	Naam/Voorletters:	THEO	Van:	ERZSMUS
Organisasie:	BOMMATHURNE Motors				
Eiendom:	Scott Ged 1 van HC 567 Rusina Dist.				
Telefoon:		Faks:	—		
Selfoon:	0834621809	E-pos:	—		
Posadres:	Pos Bus 69 Louis TRICHARDT 0920				

T.F. ERZSMUS

14.5.2013

Naam
Handtekening
Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email	<input type="checkbox"/> Post
		<input type="checkbox"/> Fax


Comments	<p>See attached letter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Please feel free to attach a separate document</i></p>
----------	---

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
	If yes, specify below Mafajani Game lodge - Braakrivier Bewatering			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	Dr. Pieter Scholtz
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	0832637137 ppr@lantic-net
	Interest	Braakrivier Bewatering.

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Mr.	Name / Initials:	T. J.	Surname:	Duvenage
Organisation:	Mafajani Game Lodge				
Property:	Richmond				
Telephone:	012 2503103	Fax:			
Cellphone:	082 7774747	Email:	theunis@ssprofiling.co.za		
Postal Address:	P.O. Box 2162, Brits, 0250				



 Name Signature Date

Thank you for your participation

Mafojani

AFRICA'S BEST



www.mafojani.com

26/04/2013

Without prejudice

RE: MOPANE PROJECT

Mafojani Lodge and the bigger Brakrivier Bewaria, is a tourism-based Lodge catering for mainly European and American guests.

One of the main attractions is the unspoilt nature of the wider area and not only the actual conservation area itself. Although Mafojani Lodge, which lies within the Brakrivier Bewaria, is a fairly luxurious facility, no Eskom power is utilized to enhance the African Bush experience. It is furthermore build on an outcrop from which geographical futures more than 100 kilometers away can be viewed.

Any mining activity in this fragile ecosystem will have a negative impact on tourism and will be opposed.

Regards,


Theunis Duvenage

MOPANE PROJEK

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLEDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Lizinda Dickson van Naledi Development Restructured (Edms.) Bpk.
 Posbus 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: 012 543 9093, Faks: 086 236 1672

E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.co.za

Registreer my asseblief formeel as 'n belangstellende en geaffekteerde party (B&GP) en verskaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die OIE-proses/OBPr vir die Mynregte	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings soos volg ontvang:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-pos	<input type="checkbox"/> Pos
		<input type="checkbox"/> Faks

Kommentaar	<p style="font-size: 1.2em;">Wanneer Marietjie Eksteen van _____ Sacana Enviromentals die Omgewings- inpakstudie / Plan van ons eiendom onderneem, Wil ek graag teenwoordig wees.</p> <p style="font-size: 0.8em; text-align: right;">/Heg gerus 'n aparte dokument aan</p>
------------	--

Het u enige regstreekse sake, finansiële, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of weiering van 'n aansoek?	Ja	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
<p style="font-size: 1.2em;">Grondeienaar: Plaas "Pretorius" 531MS (Nou gekonsolideer en nuwe titel n! "Du Preez" (JL du Preez + Seuns Verhuurings BK)</p>				

Is daar enige rolspelers wat u voel ons mee oorleg moet pleeg (verstrek asseblief hul name en kontakbesonderhede)?	Voorletters & Van:	Alle organisasies en verenigings
	Organisasie:	(ook Beeld Koerant) wat
	Kontaknommer/ E-posadres:	teenwoordig was op die Vergadering
	Belang:	te Mopane op Saterdag 20 April 2013

Vul asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek se databasis:

Titel:	Mar.	Naam/Voorletters:	L.A. (Andri)	Van:	DU PREEZ
Organisasie:	Pvt. Grondeienaar (JL du Preez + Seuns Verhuurings BK)				
Eiendom:	Plaas n Pretorius 531MS (Nou nuwe titel n! DU PREEZ)				
Telefoon:	012-548 7956	Faks:	012-548 7006		
Selfoon:	082 375 28 22	E-pos:	digitata@telkomsa.net		
Posadres:	Posbus 14240, Sinoville, 0129 Pretoria				

Andri du Preez

Naam



Handtekening

6/5/2013

Datum

Dankie vir u deelname

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email	<input type="checkbox"/> Post	<input type="checkbox"/> Fax	

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Mr	Name / Initials:	Brian	Surname:	du Plooy
Organisation:	Democratic Alliance				
Property:					
Telephone:	015 5161026	Fax:	015 5161193		
Cellphone:	082 8210075	Email:	bduplooy@nexusonline.co.za		
Postal Address:	Makua Str. 30 Louis Trichardt.				

Name

Signature

Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Email	<input type="radio"/> Post
		<input type="radio"/> Fax

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
				If yes, specify below <i>OWNER OF PROPERTY, FARMING ACTIVITIES</i>

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	<i>MR</i>	Name / Initials:	<i>JACQUES</i>	Surname:	<i>DE VILLIERS</i>
Organisation:	<i>EXCLUSIVE ACCESS TRADING (Pty) Ltd Trading as KROMETAART BOERDERY</i>				
Property:	<i>FARM KITCHENGR, 506MS, MUSSINA.</i>				
Telephone:	<i>011 867 1400</i>	Fax:	<i>08660 38383</i>		
Cellphone:	<i>082 459 0897</i>	Email:	<i>jacquesdr@ntonki-eg.com</i>		
Postal Address:	<i>P.O. Box 1363, ALBERTON, 1450</i>				

JACQUES DE VILLIERS
Name


Signature

2013-04-24
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Email	<input type="radio"/> Post
		<input type="radio"/> Fax

Comments	
	<i>Please feel free to attach a separate document</i>

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
	If yes, specify below <i>OWNER OF PROPERTY , FARMING ACTIVITIES.</i>			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	<i>MR</i>	Name / Initials:	<i>IZAK , J</i>	Surname:	<i>DE VILLIERS</i>
Organisation:	<i>EXCLUSIVE ACCESS TRADING (Pty) Ltd Trading as KROMETART BOERDERY</i>				
Property:	<i>FARM KITCHENER 506 MS , MUSSINA.</i>				
Telephone:	<i>0118671400</i>	Fax:	<i>086 545 7990</i>		
Cellphone:	<i>0832831824</i>	Email:	<i>izakdv@nkanti-eg.com</i>		
Postal Address:	<i>P.O. Box 1363 , ALBERTON , 1450</i>				

IZAK DE VILLIERS
Name

[Signature]
Signature

2013-04-24
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email	Post
		Fax

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	—
	Organisation	MOPANE PRIMARY SCHOOL.
	Contact number / email	—
	Interest	Situating @ Mopane Village.

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	D.M.	Surname:	CRESSWELL
Organisation:	SYFERFONTEIN DOLOMITE (PTY) LTD.				
Property:	PORTION 11 FARM ERASMUS 529MS				
Telephone:	012 345 4539	Fax:	012 - 345 4058		
Cellphone:	082 447 5132	Email:	dmcresswell@syferfontein.co.za		
Postal Address:	PO Box 30 Jene 0062				

D.M. CRESSWELL

26/04/2013

Name
Signature
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes	No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email	Post
		Fax

Comments	<p style="font-size: 1.2em;">I am interested in the fauna aspect of the EIAs.</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: 0.8em; color: gray;"><i>Please feel free to attach a separate document</i></p>
----------	--

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	X	No	If yes, specify below
				AS ABOVE.

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MRS	Name / Initials:	L	Surname:	COMBRINK
Organisation:	THE ENDANGERED WILDLIFE TRUST				
Property:					
Telephone:		Fax:	086 543 1553		
Cellphone:	081 476 9790	Email:	oxpecker@ewt.org.za		
Postal Address:	P.O. BOX 352, SKUKUZA, 1350				

LEIGH COMBRINK
Name

Leigh Combrink
Signature

10/05/2013
Date

Thank you for your participation

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd

P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672

E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Email	<input type="radio"/> Post
		<input type="radio"/> Fax

Comments <p style="font-size: 1.2em;">See Attached.</p>	<p style="font-size: 1.2em;">① NEED TO KNOW WHEN NEGOTIATIONS WILL START SO AS TO PLAN FUTURE</p> <p style="color: red; font-size: 0.8em; text-align: right;">Please feel free to attach a separate document</p>
--	--

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below
<p style="font-size: 1.2em;">DIRECTLY AFFECTED LANDOWNER</p>			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	D G	Surname:	CLARK
Organisation:					
Property:	PORTION 40 FARM VERA 815MS				
Telephone:		Fax:			
Cellphone:	00447816387912	Email:	david@clarkta22.fsnet.co.uk		
Postal Address:					

DAVID GORDON CLARK 12 July 2013

Name Signature Date

Thank you for your participation

REGISTRASIEVORM: BELANGSTELLELENDE EN GEAFFEKTEERDE PARTY

Registreer asseblief as 'n Belangstellige en Geaffekteerde Party vir die Mopane Projek.

Stuur hierdie registrasievorm terug aan Luce de Gooijer van Naledi Development Restructured (Sms) Bpk.

Postbus 12489, Simonsville, Pretoria, 0001, Tel: +27 12 342 9099, Faks: +27 12 342 1671

E-pos: nali@ndp.com

Webwerf: www.naledidev.com

Registreer my asseblief formaal as 'n belangstellige en geaffekteerde party (BEGP) en versaf verdere inligting en kennisgewings tydens die O&E-proses/O&P vir die Myrenga	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ja	<input type="checkbox"/> Nee
Ek wil graag my kennisgewings ook volg ontvang:	E-pos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fos <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Faks <input type="checkbox"/>	

Kommentaar:
 Ek het enige probleme gehad met die gebruik van die Mutamisa opsluit. Ek het my persoonlike besonderhede aan die wêreld stigting King's Kloof aangetoon. Met die opsluit van e-mailreëls - want ek het alreeds kennisgewings ontvang deur e-pos. Dit plaas 'n uitdaging op my om my kennisgewings te ontvang.

het u enige registrasie sake, tevreemde, persoonlike of ander belang by die goedkeuring of uitvoering van 'n aanloop?	Ja <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Nee <input type="checkbox"/>	Indien ja, verduidelik hieronder
wêreld stigting - Mutamisa opsluit			

Is daar enige inligting wat u wil ons ook sien soos naam, adres, e-pos, kontakbesonderhede?	Voorletters & Van	
	Organisasie	Ministerie Oop - Durbanville
	Kontaknommer	021 444 4151
	Belang	faciliteit om te gebruik

Vol asseblief u kontakbesonderhede hieronder in vir die projek te databasis:

Titel	Naam/Voorletters	Surin	Jaar	Van	Rekening
Organisasie	King's Kloof Minerals / = Berg van Oop (nyl) Ltd				
Eiendom	King's Kloof m/a 730				
Telefoon	021 444 4151	Faks:			
Seloon	072 499 4430	E-pos:			ndp@ndp.com
Postadres:	Postbus 12489				
	Luce de Gooijer				

Lucy de Gooijer Handtekening 12 June 2013
 Naam Handtekening Datum

MOPANE PROJECT INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
I would like to receive my notifications by:	Email <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Post <input type="checkbox"/>
	Fax <input type="checkbox"/>	

Comments	
----------	--

Please feel free to attach a separate document

Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>		No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	If yes, specify below

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	
	Interest	

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	MR	Name / Initials:	BERNIE	Surname:	BADENHORST
Organisation:	DWA				
Property:					
Telephone:	015-2901218	Fax:	086 654 1600		
Cellphone:	083 6275912	Email:	badenhb@dwa.gov.za		
Postal Address:	P/BAG X 9506 POLOKWANE, 0700				

B. BADENHORST	<i>Badenhorst</i>	2013-04-30
Name	Signature	Date

Thank you for your participation

Lizinda

From: John Schultz <jcrschultz@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: 15 May 2013 05:01 PM
To: coal.gsp@gmail.com
Subject: Theo Erasmus

Dear Lizinda,

Here is an e-mail from Bokmakierie Motors.

Please could register me also.

Mr John Schultz

Consolata Estate North Partnership

Farm Delft 499 MS where the prickly pears are at Mopane.

083 326 6518

P.O. Box 346, Musina.0900

Yours,

John

John Schultz

P.O. Box 346,

Musina

0900

083 326 6518

**Appendix A7-2 Copies of the Comments and
Responses**

David Gordon Clark
Farm Vera. Portion 40 815 MS

Are the Farm Vera portions negotiations for land purchase or compensation?

When would negotiations begin?

Even though I am not living there at present I always intended to do so. You can see that the development of a coal mine in the area puts a spanner in the works. So for future planning I should like to know coal Africa's intentions as far as Farm Vera. Is concerned?

Water is a major issue in the area. What implications for the Sand River and surrounds?

This is a wonderful area of South Africa. Whilst I can understand :-

Coal Africa digs coal for economic gain.

The government clearly sees its coffers being filled for various reasons.

However, is it worthwhile? what amounts to destroying our beautiful valley for us and future generations?

I understand that there are also plans to dig up Tshipsie.

More destruction of a stunning place.

Just a Thought

David. G Clark



MOPANE PROJECT

7 November 2013

Dear Mr Clark,

WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED ON MOPANE PROJECT

Coal of Africa Limited ("CoAL") and Rothe Investments (Pty) Ltd ("Rothe") who jointly own 100% of MbeuYashu would like to thank you for your participation and comments provided to date on the Mopane Project's MPRDA process.

Your comments have been received and have been taken into consideration. In short please find a response from MbeuYashu on your contribution below:

We take note of your concerns and will address this in detail in the EIA/EMP submission for the Mopane Project.

Water and Waste Management

Groundwater:

- Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime.
- Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed.
- Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine.
- Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer.

Surface water:

- 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers
- Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans
- Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining
- Water monitoring programme

Aquatic systems:

- Delineation of rivers and wetlands
- Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses

Biodiversity:

- Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area
- Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area
- Protected / conservation areas

The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply:

- All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year flood line of major streams / rivers
- Due consultation in environmentally sensitive areas

Socio-economic Aspects

We understand the uncertainty potential mining development brings to the area, but a mining project of this size requires careful consideration of technical, environmental and socio-economic aspects, and we therefore need to complete all the required studies and processes in terms of current legislation before a final decision can be taken. All existing activities and plans must continue until such time as MbeuYashu can indicate with certainty whether mining development is planned on your property.

Thank you for your contribution. Please do not hesitate to contact us for any further clarification.

Best Regards,



LIZINDA DICKSON

Public Participation Manager

(Naledi Development (Pty) Ltd)

Tel: (012) 543 9093, Fax: 0862361672; coal.gsp@gmail.com



GROTER SOUTPANSBERGPROJEK

Deelnameproses deur Belanghebbendes: Beplanningsfase

GRONDEIENAAR VRAELYS/KOMMENTAARVORM

NALEDI DEVELOPMENT
OPENBARE DEELNAMEKANTOOR VIR
BELANGHEBBENDES

Vanessa Viljoen/Rowena Slack
Posbus 13509, Sinoville, 0129
Tel: 012 543 9093 of Sel: 083 628 3720
Faks: 086 236 1672
E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

Vul asseblief in en stuur terug aan die Openbare Deelnamekantoor vir Belanghebbendes (adres hierbo)

1. BESONDERHEDE VAN GRONDEIENAAR

Titel	Mnr	Voornaam	JACQUES
Voorletters	J	Van	DE VILLIERS
Instansie			
Naam van Geregisteerde Grondeienaar	Exclusive Access Trading 138 (Pty) Ltd		
Posadres	P.O. Box 1363, ALBERTON, 1450.		
		Poskode	1450.
Vastelyn Telefoonnommer	011 867 1400	Selnommer	082 459 089 7.
Faksnommer	08660 38383	E-posadres	jacquesdv@konki-eg.com
Is u lid van 'n Boerevereniging / Unie?	Ja / Nee	Naam	
Is u lid van 'n Waterverbruikersvereniging?	Ja / Nee	Naam	
Is u by enige Produsentevereniging geregistreer?	Ja / Nee	Naam	
Regstreeks Geaffekteerde Grondeienaar		S-nommer	
Inligting Ingevoer?	Ja	Nee	Verwysing

2. BESONDERHEDE VAN EIENDOM

Skrif asseblief die plaasname wat u besit neer (deel van projek / onder Prospekterreg en ander¹)

Plaasnaam	Plaasnommer	Porsie	Geaffekteer deur Prospekterreg	Bewus van enige grondeise
FARM KITCHENER	504 MS			?

¹Eiendomme onder Prospekterreg moet op kantoor ingevul word vóór die vergadering met grondeienaars



GROTER SOUTPANSBERGPROJEK

Deelnameproses deur Belanghebbendes: Beplanningsfase

7. HOE OM GEKONTAK TE WORD

Wat is die beste manier om u te kontak?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-Pos / Pos / SMS	Watter tye van die dag?	—
Hoe stuur ons vir u skrywes/dokumente met betrekking tot die projek?		Epos	
Waar kan ons verslae los vir besigtiging – openbare plekke?		Bokmalene - epos	
Watter plaaslike koerant lees u?		Beeld.	
Kan ons 'n openbare kennisgewing by u eiendom se ingang aanbring?		—	
Waar sou u verkies moet vergaderings gehou word?		Mopane Beersaal.	

8. KOMMENTAAR

(Gebruik gerus aparte bladsye indien u wil)

Spesialis vrae? - Peter Marx - Rieël vergadering
Logistiek - waarheen en hoe.
Vlaei van myself na dump - waar + hoe
Werkseverblyf - waar?
ⓐ - werkskuipe. ⓑ boorgate. ⓓ gronddeur
c gronddeur.
Water → Hoeveelheid per dag; Vanaf waar?
Gronddeur $\frac{2}{3}$ op ~~watter~~ hele gebied - wat doen?
.....
.....

DANKIE VIR U DEELNAME

J. DE VILLIERS

NAAM:

HANDTEKENING:

12/7/2013

DATUM:



MOPANE PROJECT

7 November 2013

Dear Mr J de Villiers,

WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED ON MOPANE PROJECT

Coal of Africa Limited ("CoAL") and Rothe Investments (Pty) Ltd ("Rothe") who jointly own 100% of MbeuYashu would like to thank you for your participation and comments provided to date on the Mopane Project's MPRDA process.

Your comments have been received and have been taken into consideration. In short please find a response from MbeuYashu on your contribution below:

We take note of your concerns and will address this in detail in the EIA/EMP submission for the Mopane Project.

Infrastructure

The placement of stockpiles is indicated on Figure 8 in the Scoping Report. Internal haul roads will be utilised to transport the overburden material to the stockpiles. Coal will be transported via conveyor and rail.

ROM coal and discard will be transported by truck on internal roads only. Between the Voorburg and Jutland Sections, ROM coal will be transported via conveyor. The final product will be transported via rail to its destination. Thus, the increase in traffic will be limited to the construction phase (deliveries) and an increase in light duty vehicles / buses for employee transport. Refer to Section 4.6 of the Scoping Report that deals with the logistics.

CoAL does not support the establishment of new mining towns, the company will look at existing towns and the sourcing of local labour. For example at Vele the contractors that operate the mine have strict requirements that they employ local people. In the beginning of a mine you need people with skills while training people. At Vele, 82% of the labourers are local. There is a Bus service that runs from Musina every day.

Water and Waste Management

Groundwater:

- Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime.
- Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed.
- Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine.
- Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer.

Surface water:

- 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers
- Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans
- Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining
- Water monitoring programme

Aquatic systems:

- Delineation of rivers and wetlands
- Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses

Biodiversity:


- Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area
- Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area
- Protected / conservation areas

The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply:

- All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year flood line of major streams / rivers
- Due consultation in environmentally sensitive areas

Thank you for your contribution. Please do not hesitate to contact us for any further clarification.

Best Regards,



LIZINDA DICKSON

Public Participation Manager

(Naledi Development (Pty) Ltd)

Tel: (012) 543 9093, Fax: 0862361672; coal.gsp@gmail.com

Lizinda

From: Andre du Preez <digitata@telkomsa.net>
Sent: 10 June 2013 01:35 PM
To: Gerhard Minnaar; Greater Soutpansberg Project E-mail Address
Subject: Kommentaar: Bestekopname Verslag: Jutland Projek, Coal of Africa Ltd.

Peter Marx
Projek Bestuurder
Jutland, Voorburg, Sandrivier-Mopane areas, Limpopo Prov.
Coal of Africa Ltd.

Geagte Mnr. **KOMMENTAAR VIR INSLUITING IN DIE BESTEKOPNAME VERSLAG: SANDRIVIER-MOPANE GEBIED (VOORBURG/JUTLAND EN ANDER AREAS IN DIE ONMIDDELIKE OMGEWING).**

Met hierdie skrywe wil ons aan u bevestig dat ons lid is van die gestigde "**Sandrivier Mopane Grondeienaars Vereniging**" en dat ons akkoord gaan met **alle insette/kommentaar/verslae/sieninge** deur die vereniging gelewer en moet alle sodanige aksies van die vereniging gesien word, **met ons inbegrepe!**.... hetsy as **samewerking of kritiek teen Coal of Africa Ltd.** se voorgename eksplorasiemynplisensie aansoek of fisiese mynbou aktiwiteite in die Sandrivier-Mopane gebied (Musina distrik), Limpopo Prov. Verder wil ons bevestig dat ons bewus is van die voorgename mynbou-aansoeke en aksies in die genoemde gebied deur Coal of Africa Ltd. (alhoewel ons NIE noodwendig daarmee saamstem nie!) en dat ons aktief deelneem aan die konsultasie-proses en "werkswinkels/vergaderings" met Coal of Africa Ltd.

Ons kan NIE die **geweldige "permanente" omgewings vernietiging en besoedeling van alle natuurlike bronne** as 'n gegewe aanvaar nie en sal derhalwe 'n duidelike STEM DAARTEEN wees. Ons sal graag wil sien hoe die somme van werkskepping en ander sg. "motiverings faktore" in die kort- en langtermyn hierteenoor staande gaan bly?..... Wie of wat gaan verloor en wie of wat gaan wen ? Lyk of moeder-aarde beslis gaan verloor !!

Wat ons eie plaas, DU PREEZ 834MS, voorheen resistent van PRETORIUS 531MS (en die aangrensende gedeelte wat aan die staat/provinsie behoort) betref, wil ons **versoek** dat in **toekomstige beplanning**, alle voorgename mynbou en verwante aktiwiteite na **'n ander lokaliteit verskuif moet word**, aangesien groot gedeeltes van genoemde eiendomme as **"VLEILAND GEBIEDE"** geklassifiseer is (vorige omgewings-impakstudie deur Musina munisipaliteit). 'n Ander aspek wat deeglik nagevors sal moet word, is die **ondergrondse waterbronne** wat na ons opinie, beslis besoedel sal word, al word all bekende metodes van afsluiting ens. ingespan! Die sg. **"Bosbokpoort Verskuiwing"** is 'n **bekende geologiese verskynsel** en goed gebruikte waterbron deur **talle boere** (Oos en Wes), **asook die hele Mopane-dorpie met inbegrip van die skool met koshuis.**

Ons versoek dat hierdie skrywe, asook gepaardgaande skrywes van die "Sandrivier Mopane Grondeienaars Vereniging" gesamentlik en afsonderlik opgeneem sal word in die bestekopname verslag (scoping report) in u mynplisensie-aansoeke aan die owerheid (Dep. van Mineraal & Energie Sake).

Byvoorbaat dank,
Die uwe,
L.A. du Preez (namens J.L. du Preez & Seuns Verhurings BK)
Posbus 14240,
0129 Sinoville, Pretoria. digitata@telkomsa.net
Tel. 012-5487946 of 0823752822..Faks 012-5487006.

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 8431
(20130610) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

<http://www.eset.com>



MOPANE PROJEK

12 Junie 2013

Geagte Mnr Du Preez,

SKRIFTELIKE KOMMENTAAR ONTVANG AANGAANDE DIE INDIENING VAN AANSOEKE OM MYNREG VIR DIE MOPANE PROJEK

Coal of Africa Beperk ("CoAL") en Rothe Investments (Edms.) Bpk. ("Rothe") wat gesamentlik oor 100% van MbeuYashu beskik, wil u graag bedank vir u deelname en kommentaar tot op hierdie stadium aangaande die Mopane MPRDA proses.

U kommentaar is ontvang en het oorweging geniet. Hieronder volg 'n kort antwoord op u bydrae:

- Ons waardeer u aktiewe deelname en sal al julle insette en voorstelle evalueer gedurende die Omgewingsimpakstudies wat volg.
- Die Omgewingsimpakstudies sal alle impakte, beide positief en negatief, kwantifiseer sowel as versagende en bestuursaksies voorstel om die negatiewe impakte te verminder en positiewe impakte te optimaliseer. As geregistreerde belanghebbende sal geleentheid gebied word om insae te gee tot die verslae.
- Ons neem kennis van die inligting oor die Vleilande, en die impakstudies sal deeglik daarna kyk. Ons sal dit waardeer as u 'n kopie van die Vleilandverslag aan ons omgewingspesialiste beskikbaar kan maak.
- Ons het ook reeds u voorstel om die Infrastruktuur te skuif opgeneem as 'n moontlike alternatief in die Bestekopname (Scoping) verslag.
- Die Omgewingsimpakstudie sal ook moontlike impakte op die grondwater in die gebied bestudeer, beskryf en kwantifiseer, asook bestuursaksies voorstel om die impakte te verminder en te bestuur.
- U en alle skrywes wat ontvang word sal in die Kommentaar-en Antwoordverslag weergegee word aan die Departement van Minerale Hulpbronne, en soos hierbo reeds aangedui, sal u insette gereflekteer word in the Bestekopname (Scoping) verslag.

Dankie vir u bydrae. Moet asseblief nie skroom om met ons in verbinding te tree vir enige verdere duidelikheid nie.

Vriendelike groete

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lizinda Dickson', written in a cursive style.

LIZINDA DICKSON

Openbare Deelnamebestuurder

(Naledi Development (Edms.) Bpk.)

Tel: 012 543 9093; Faks: 086 236 1672; E-pos: coal.gsp@gmail.com

MOPANE PROJECT

INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY REGISTRATION FORM

Please Register for the Mopane Project as an Interested and Affected Party.

Return this registration sheet to Lizinda Dickson of Naledi Development Restructured (Pty) Ltd
 P.O. Box 15283, Sinoville, Pretoria, 0182, Tel: (012) 543 9093; Fax: 086 236 1672
 E-mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com
 Website: www.naledidev.co.za

Please formally register me as an interested and affected party (I&AP) and provide further information and notifications during the EIA/EMP Process for the Mining Rights	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
I would like to receive my notifications by:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Email	<input type="checkbox"/> Post
		<input type="checkbox"/> Fax

Comments	<p>See attached letter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Please feel free to attach a separate document</i></p>
----------	---


Do you have any direct business, financial, personal or other interests in the approval or refusal of an application	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
	If yes, specify below Mafajani Game lodge - Braakrivier Bewatering			

Are there any role-players that you feel we should consult with (please state their names and contact details)?	Initials & Surname	Dr. Pieter Scholtz
	Organisation	
	Contact number / email	0832637137 ppr@lantic-net
	Interest	Braakrivier Bewatering.

Please fill-in your contact details below for the project database:

Title:	Mr.	Name / Initials:	T. J.	Surname:	Duvenage
Organisation:	Mafajani Game Lodge				
Property:	Richmond				
Telephone:	012 2503103	Fax:			
Cellphone:	082 7774747	Email:	theunis@ssprofiling.co.za		
Postal Address:	P.O. Box 2162, Brits, 0250				

T.J. Duvenage


 Signature

26 April 2013

Name
Signature
Date

Thank you for your participation

Mafojani

AFRICA'S BEST



www.mafojani.com

26/04/2013

Without prejudice

RE: MOPANE PROJECT

Mafojani Lodge and the bigger Brakrivier Bewaria, is a tourism-based Lodge catering for mainly European and American guests.

One of the main attractions is the unspoilt nature of the wider area and not only the actual conservation area itself. Although Mafojani Lodge, which lies within the Brakrivier Bewaria, is a fairly luxurious facility, no Eskom power is utilized to enhance the African Bush experience. It is furthermore build on an outcrop from which geographical futures more than 100 kilometers away can be viewed.

Any mining activity in this fragile ecosystem will have a negative impact on tourism and will be opposed.

Regards,


Theunis Duvenage

Mafojani

AFRICA'S BEST



28 May 2013

To: Lizinda Dickson and

all other interested parties including the relevant Government Departments

Without prejudice

RE: MOPANE PROJECT

We were seriously misled by your notification of the Mopane Project that we received on 25 April 2013. On your map you stated that Maseri Pan is the triangular farm to the upper west of your map. In the latest map it is called Krige which is exactly adjacent to Mafojani Lodge. It will be a tourism and ecological disaster to allow mining activity in this area as I have stated previously in my letter dated 26/04/2013. We herewith vigorously oppose this development in the light of the potential threat against the conservation area that Mafojani forms part of. It will leave the whole area virtually worthless.

I urgently request your response on the following:

1. Why have the names changed from your original notification to the latest one?
2. Why is the farm Krige included since it only forms a fragmented piece joint by small plots? These plots are also a patchwork with some plots excluded? What is the current legal status of Krige and who is the current owner?
3. Why would some large area be demarcated for mining if the intention is only to mine the Voorburg and Jutland mining pits?
4. How many hectares would the 2 mining pits consist of, after the 28 years of mining has expired?
5. How high would the mine heap be and also its size in hectares at its peak?
6. What roads would be utilized to transport the coal?
7. Ursha Minor is equally strange – please give details.

I would like you to confirm in writing how and when do you require our input.

As stated in my letter of 26/04/2013, one of the main features of Mafojani is based on the pristine view of the area that is effected, unspoilt noise levels, clean air etc.

Regards


Theunis Duvenage



MOPANE PROJECT

12 June 2013

Dear Mr Duvenhage,

WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE SUBMISSION OF MINING RIGHT APPLICATIONS FOR THE MOPANE PROJECT

Coal of Africa Limited ("CoAL") and Rothe Investments (Pty) Ltd ("Rothe") who jointly own 100% of MbeuYashu would like to thank you for your participation and comments provided to date in the Mopane Mining Right Applications process.

Your comments have been received and have been taken into consideration. In short please find a response to your contribution below:

1. *Why have the names changed from your original notification to the latest one?*

This is an error on our side. The original plan labelled this specific farm as Maserie Pan, while in fact it is the farm Krige. This was rectified in the Background Information Document (BID). We apologise for this.

2. *Why is the farm Krige included since it only forms a fragmented piece joint by small plots? These plots are also a patchwork with some plots excluded? What is the current legal status of Krige and who is the current owner?*

The current mining plan does not include any mining or infrastructure development on the farm Krige. There is however a possibility of an economic resource through the Vera farms onto Krige, and to confirm mineral resource MbeuYashu plans to do further exploration drilling on this farm, thus the inclusion of this farm in the mining right application (MRA).

In terms of the landownership of the farm Krige, please refer to the Scoping Report (once available) for this information.

3. *Why would some large area be demarcated for mining if the intention is only to mine the Voorburg and Jutland mining pits?*

As stated above, further exploration is planned in the greater Mopane Project area to determine the mineral resource and finalise the viability of further mining. This will be undertaken as part of the Feasibility Phase for the Mopane Project once the mining licence is granted. Prospecting and mining rights are issued on farm boundaries, not on the footprint of a mineable resource.

4. *How many hectares would the 2 mining pits consist of, after the 28 years of mining has expired?*

It is expected that no more than 300 ha will be open at any one time as we do concurrent backfilling and rehabilitation. At the end of the life of mine the final pit void will be filled and rehabilitated. Based on the current mining and infrastructure footprint as submitted with the MRA, the Mopane Project footprint covers an area of 1 572 ha for mining and a further 1 964 ha for infrastructure development. The Voorburg mining pits cover approximately 905 ha and the Jutland mining pits a further 667 ha.

It is important to note that after the mining right has been granted there will be further exploration work and feasibility studies, which could potentially alter the footprints as currently indicated.

5. *How high would the mine heap be and also its size in hectares at its peak?*

The discards stockpile at the Jutland Infrastructure Hub (plant) will be in the order of 35 m high, with a total footprint of approximately 185 hectares. This final layout and footprint of the overburden stockpiles at the mining pits will only be determined after completion of the Feasibility Phase referred to in point 3 above.

6. *What roads would be utilized to transport the coal?*

No hauling will take place along existing farm roads or regional / national roads.

It is planned that the run-of-mine (ROM) coal will be transported for short distances by truck, on the in-pit haul roads to the crushing and screening facilities. The crushed and screened ROM product will be transported to the coal beneficiation plant at the Infrastructure Hub via conveyor.

It is further planned that the product will be loaded directly onto trains at the Rail Load-out Terminal situated at the Infrastructure Hub which links up with the existing Musina-Makhado railway line.

7. *Ursha Minor is equally strange –please give details.*

MbeuYashu has acquired significant rights in the Soutpansberg Valley and is in the process of consolidation of these rights. Ursha Minor has neighbouring rights owned by others and MbeuYashu is in negotiations with them regarding potential consolidation of these as well.

Thank you for your contribution. Please do not hesitate to contact us for any further clarification.

Best Regards,



LIZINDA DICKSON

Public Participation Manager

(Naledi Development (Pty) Ltd)

Tel: (012) 543 9093, Fax: 0862361672; coal.gsp@gmail.com

Mafojani

AFRICA'S BEST



www.mafojani.com

2 July 2013

To: Lizinda Dickson and

all other interested parties including the relevant Government Departments

Without prejudice

RE: MOPANE PROJECT

WARNING: The Mopane Project will be an exceptionally destructive project that will threaten the livelihood of thousands of people, destroy jobs and even threaten our neighbouring Mozambique. It is situated right at the convergence of the Sand and Brak River systems, which supports agriculture and many communities by way of groundwater, both up- and downstream. If the project uses 7,600 m³ of water per day, then by assumptions in your own report, it will utilize the water of 7,600 farms. The Brak and Sand River catchment area is much larger than other rivers in the area and one of the only systems that cuts through the Soutpansberg.

In simpler terms, look at the map of the catchment area in your report and imagine it being a chicken, the Mopane Project is right at its neck. You will choke the chicken and leave yourselves open to claims from thousands of those who will be left destitute. There are other coal deposits where you can pluck the feathers without killing the chicken.

I note a few other aspects concerning your report and herewith notify that this is an official complaint which is not limited to this document or the issues mentioned therein.


1. On page 145 you clearly state that you will utilize "seepage" into the mining pits. How can you guarantee that the water table will stay intact?
2. In figure 51 you describe the photograph as "typical vegetation". Do you agree that the photograph that I will attach is also "typical" and that it would be malicious to omit details regarding the pristine Sand Riverbed?
3. In your definition of noise, you do not include the value that unspoilt natural sounds have for eco-tourism. Do you agree that the noise of mining will be heard for at least a 30 km-radius thus affecting many more farms than what you anticipate?
4. You list a threat of emissions as being veld fires and methane excreted by animals. Do you agree that you should rather list the potential dust as being hazardous? (Emission figures are not available according to your report)

Theunis Duvenage (Owner) : 0827774747, Lourens Louw : 0835549692

5. Although you admit that your borehole survey has yet to be completed, your analysis will only provide a comparison in hindsight after the boreholes have been contaminated. Can you guarantee that the boreholes will not in fact be contaminated?
6. You describe the Sand River as not being suitable for dams. Do you agree that this river system supports many smaller dams that, in essence, has more value than one large dam for the affected communities?
7. Do you agree that the downstream effect, including Musina, Pafuri and Mozambique, will be negatively impacted on all fronts bearing similarities with the Witbank-area?
8. There are mistakes in your report, just like the previous one I pointed out where you got the names of the respective farms wrong, but this time referring to the hectares of suitable soils that do not correspond with the colours on the map.

Regards

Theunis Duvenage



Theunis Duvenage (Owner) : 0827774747, Lourens Louw : 0835549692

Mafojani

AFRICA'S BEST



19 July 2013

Lizinda Dickson

By e mail: coal.gsp@gmail.com

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

and

Mr Aaron Kharivhe

Regional Manger

DMR: LIMPOPO

By fax: 015 – 2874729

Your references:

LP10029MR/LP10030MR/LP10031MR/LP10031MR/LP10032/LP10033MR/LP10034MR/LP10035MR/LP10036MR

Dear Ms. Dickson/Directors of Coal of Africa

MOPANE MINING PROJECT

1. Our letters of 26 April 2013 and 28 May 2013 and your reply dated 12 June 2013 have reference and should be read as if incorporated herein.
2. Take notice that any mining activity in the proposed mining area will be vehemently opposed.
3. Further to our initial objections, which stand recorded, we wish to point out that your mining right application and intentions to mine the entire area are premature, since one can hardly apply for a mining right on property where one has no idea what the nature and extent (including economic viability) of the resource, presumably to be mined, is. In your letter of 12 June 2013 you state that *“As stated above, further exploration is planned in the greater Mopane Project area to determine the mineral resource and finalise the viability of further mining.”* Surely, this means that you are applying for a mining right over property of which you have absolutely no idea whether it should or could be mined, by any stretch of the imagination. Your application over at least these portions should for this reason alone be refused.
4. In addition, it is noted that your application also precedes a feasibility study which again and in turn means that you are still not sure whether the resource on the entire property can or

Theunis Duvenage (Owner) : 0827774747, Lourens Louw : 0835549692

Mafojani

AFRICA'S BEST



www.mafojani.com

should be mined, or on what economic basis. Your entire application must for this reason be refused.

5. We reiterate our objections as to the enormous negative impact which any mining will have on the water supply in the area, the debasement of the ecology, and the impact which your intentions will have on the social economic region as a whole.
6. We further wish to be placed in possession of the content of all of your mining right applications (referenced above), including all plans, the lodged mining work programmes and social and labour plans, with specific reference to the financial provisions and undertakings including proof of financial ability in each case. You will appreciate that we require these so as to properly assess the applications.
7. We also wish to enquire as to the status of your Water Use Licence Application and wish to be placed in possession of such application/s, if any.
8. We conclude that your applications can for many reasons not be compliant with the Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, no 28 of 2002, as amended or with the Regulations promulgated in terms thereof.
9. We look forward to your urgent reply.

Yours faithfully

Theunis Duvenage

Theunis Duvenage (Owner) : 0827774747, Lourens Louw : 0835549692



MOPANE PROJECT

7 November 2013

Dear Mr Duvenhage,

WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED ON MOPANE PROJECT

Coal of Africa Limited ("CoAL") and Rothe Investments (Pty) Ltd ("Rothe") who jointly own 100% of MbeuYashu would like to thank you for your participation and comments provided to date on the Mopane Project's MPRDA process.

Your comments have been received and have been taken into consideration. In short please find a response from MbeuYashu on your contribution below:

MPRDA Process & Mining Right area

We take note of your objection. The Mopane Project has the potential to produce good quality hard coking coal and a domestic thermal coal product. The technical studies of the Mopane Project show that the project has an economically viable return.

It is important to note that the approach for the Mopane Project is to first apply for a NOMR and follow the required Regulations in conducting the EIA and compile an EMP in terms of the MPRDA. Once this process is completed and the applicant has obtained further detail in respect of its planned development, the applications for environmental authorisation in terms of the NEMA and an IWUL in terms of the NWA will be conducted as separate processes.

The exploration drilling planned is to obtain further information to enable the company to do detail planning and design for the project. The feasibility study done will utilise the additional information and project designs to compile the feasibility study which is used for the further applications as mentioned above.

Please note that the Department of Mineral Resources is the regulator and custodian of the legislative framework for the mining industry. The department is responsible to assess the mining right application in terms of viability, as well as the company's financial ability to implement the project. The documents you require are not public documents and cannot therefore be made available as they contain confidential commercial information.

Water and Waste Management

Groundwater:

- Define the aquifer characteristics and develop a conceptual model of the groundwater regime.
- Set up a numerical groundwater model based on the conceptual model developed.
- Model the impact of mining on the groundwater system, determining inflows to the pits and water level variations over the life of mine.
- Determine the groundwater/surface water interaction with specific reference to the Sand River and the interaction with the alluvial aquifer.

Surface water:

- 1:100 flood-lines for all major streams / rivers
- Clean & dirty water separation / storm water management plans
- Impact on water quality & quantity as a result of mining
- Water monitoring programme

Aquatic systems:

- Delineation of rivers and wetlands
- Determination of PES/EIS of wetlands and water courses

Biodiversity:

- Ecosystem services of the Mopane Project area
- Biodiversity proxy (sensitivity) of the Mopane Project area
- Protected / conservation areas

The layout of the proposed mining and infrastructure layout plans will be revisited once the above impact assessments are completed in order to minimise the negative impacts and optimise the positive impacts associated with the project. In general the following principles will apply:

- All mining and infrastructure will be placed outside of the 1:100 year flood line of major streams / rivers
- Due consultation in environmentally sensitive areas

Ecological & Biodiversity Aspects

The Sand River bed forms only a very small portion of the total MRA area and the Mopane veld (photo we included in the report) would therefore be regarded as the more typical representation.

Noise, Visual and Air quality

During the EIA Phase, a noise impact assessment was performed by Gudani Consulting. The purpose of an environmental noise impact assessment is to determine and quantify the acoustical impact on the surrounding environment and identified noise-sensitive developments using a sound propagation model known as the Concave model, as defined in SANS 10357:2004. In addition, the noise emission into the environment due to additional traffic will be calculated using the sound propagation model described in SANS 10210.

The result will be a quantification of projected noise levels considering the likely and projected ambient sound levels. The significance will be determined considering the defined magnitude of the noise level, the extent as well as the duration of the projected noise impact, as well as the probability that this impact may take place.

The total projected cumulative noise level will be compared to the appropriate acceptable rating levels as defined in SANS 10103:2008. Should the significance of the impact be high, recommendations will be made regarding the implementation of reasonable mitigation measures to reduce the impact.

The results will be presented in the EIA/EMP report that will be made available to the IAPs.

Thank you for your contribution. Please do not hesitate to contact us for any further clarification.

Best Regards,



LIZINDA DICKSON

Public Participation Manager

(Naledi Development (Pty) Ltd)

Tel: (012) 543 9093, Fax: 0862361672; coal.gsp@gmail.com