

DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

And

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT

SUBMITTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATIONS IN TERMS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 AND THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT WASTE ACT, 2008 IN RESPECT OF LISTED ACTIVITIES THAT HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED BY APPLICATIONS IN TERMS OF THE MINERAL AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT, 2002 (MPRDA) (AS AMENDED).

NAME OF APPLICANT: Nanga Mining (Pty) Ltd

Reg No.: 2020/016542/07 Representative: Alexander Burden

CELL NO: 0741796302

E-Mail: izembitrading@gmail.com

POSTAL ADDRESS: P O BOX 328, Alexanderbay, 8290 PHYSICAL ADDRESS: No 4 Seemeeu Inn, Alexanderbay, 8290

FILE REFERENCE NUMBER SAMRAD: NC30/5/1/3/2/10937MP

Important Notice

In terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (Act 28 of 2002 as amended), the Minister must grant a prospecting or mining right if among others the mining "will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment".

Unless an Environmental Authorisation can be granted following the evaluation of an Environmental Impact Assessment and an Environmental Management Programme report in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA), it cannot be concluded that the said activities will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment.

In terms of section 16(3)(b) of the EIA Regulations, 2014, any report submitted as part of an application must be prepared in a format that may be determined by the Competent Authority and in terms of section 17 (1) (c) the competent Authority must check whether the application has taken into account any minimum requirements applicable or instructions or guidance provided by the competent authority to the submission of applications.

It is therefore an instruction that the prescribed reports required in respect of applications for an environmental authorisation for listed activities triggered by an application for a right or a permit are submitted in the exact format of, and provide all the information required in terms of, this template. Furthermore, please be advised that failure to submit the information required in the format provided in this template will be regarded as a failure to meet the requirements of the Regulation and will lead to the Environmental Authorisation being refused.

It is furthermore an instruction that the Environmental Assessment Practitioner must process and interpret his/her research and analysis and use the findings thereof to compile the information required herein. (Unprocessed supporting information may be attached as appendices). The EAP must ensure that the information required is placed correctly in the relevant sections of the Report, in the order, and under the provided headings as set out below, and ensure that the report is not cluttered with un-interpreted information and that it unambiguously represents the interpretation of the applicant.

Objective of the basic assessment process

The objective of the basic assessment process is to, through a consultative process—

- (a) determine the policy and legislative context within which the proposed activity is located and how the activity complies with and responds to the policy and legislative context;
- (b) identify the alternatives considered, including the activity, location, and technology alternatives;
- (c) describe the need and desirability of the proposed alternatives,
- (d) through the undertaking of an impact and risk assessment process inclusive of cumulative impacts which focused on determining the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage, and cultural sensitivity of the sites and locations within sites and the risk of impact of the proposed activity and technology alternatives on these aspects to determine:
 - (i) the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration, and probability of the impacts occurring to; and
 - (ii) the degree to which these impacts
 - (aa) can be reversed;
 - (bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and
 - (cc) can be managed, avoided or mitigated;
- (e) through a ranking of the site sensitivities and possible impacts the activity and technology alternatives will impose on the sites and location identified through the life of the activity to—
 - (i) identify and motivate a preferred site, activity and technology alternative;
 - (ii) identify suitable measures to manage, avoid or mitigate identified impacts; and
 - (iii) identify residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

DEFINITIONS

Alternatives - In relation to a proposed activity, means different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity, which may include alternatives to –

- i. The property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;
- ii. The type of activity to be undertaken;
- iii. The design or layout of the activity;
- iv. The technology to be used in the activity, and;
- v. The operational aspects of the activity.

Baseline - Information gathered at the beginning of a study which describes the environment prior to development of a project and against which predicted changes (impacts) are measured. **Basic Assessment Process** – This is the environmental assessment applied to activities listed in Government Notice No. R 983 (Listing 1) as amended by GNR 327 (dated 7/04/2017) and No. R985 (Listing 3) as amended by GNR 324 (dated 7/04/2017). These are typically smaller scale activities of which the impacts are generally known and can be easily managed. Generally, these activities are considered less likely to have significant environmental impacts and, therefore, do not require a full-blown and detailed Environmental Impact Assessment (see below).

Biodiversity - The diversity, or variety, of plants, animals and other living things in a particular area or region. It encompasses habitat diversity, species diversity and genetic diversity.

Borehole - Includes a well, excavation, or any other artificially constructed or improved groundwater cavity which can be used for the purpose of intercepting, collecting or storing water from an aquifer; observing or collecting data and information on water in an aquifer; or recharging an aquifer.

Community - Those people who may be impacted upon by the construction and operation of the project. This includes neighbouring landowners, local communities and other occasional users of the area.

Construction Phase - The stage of project development comprising site preparation as well as all construction activities associated with the development.

Consultation - A process for the exchange of views, concerns and proposals about a project through meaningful discussions and the open sharing of information.

Critical Biodiversity Area - Areas of the landscape that must be conserved in a natural or near-natural state in order for the continued existence and functioning of species and ecosystems and the delivery of ecosystem services.

Cumulative Impacts - Direct and indirect impacts that act together with current or future potential impacts of other activities or proposed activities in the area/region that affect the same resources and/or receptors.

Environment - The surroundings within which humans exist and that are made up of

- i. The land, water and atmosphere of the earth;
- ii. Micro-organisms, plant and animal life;
- iii. Any Part or combination of (i) and (ii) and the interrelationships among and between them; and
- iv. The physical, chemical, aesthetic and cultural properties and conditions of the foregoing that influence human health and wellbeing.

Environmental Authorisation (EA) – The authorisation by a competent authority of a listed activity.

Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) – The person responsible for planning, management and co-ordination of environmental impact assessment, strategic environmental assessments, environmental management plans or any other appropriate environmental instrument introduced through regulations.

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) – In relation to an application to which scoping must be applied, means the process of collecting, organizing, analysing, interpreting and communicating information that is relevant to the consideration of that application. This process necessitates the compilation of an Environmental Impact Report, which describes the process of examining the environmental effects of a proposed development, the anticipated impacts and proposed mitigatory measures.

Environmental Impact Report (EIR) - A report assessing the potential significant impacts as identified during the Scoping phase.

Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) - A management programme designed specifically to introduce the mitigation measures proposed in the Reports and contained in the Conditions of Approval in the Environmental Authorisation.

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by region - represents the value of all goods and services produced within a region, over a period of one year, plus taxes minus subsidies.

Hydrocarbons – Oils used in machinery as lubricants, including diesel and petrol used as fuel.

Impact - A change to the existing environment, either adverse or beneficial, that is directly or indirectly due to the development of the project and its associated activities.

Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) – Any individual, group, organization or associations which are interested in or affected by an activity as well as any organ of state that may have jurisdiction over any aspect of the activity.

Municipality -

- (a) Means a metropolitan, district or local municipality established in terms of the Local Government: Municipal Structures Act, 1998 (Act No. 117 of 1998); or
- (b) In relation to the implementation of a provision of this Act in an area which falls within both a local municipality and a district municipality, means
 - (i) The district municipality, or
 - (ii) The local municipality, if the district municipality, by agreement with the local municipality, has assigned the implementation of that provision in that area to the local municipality.

NEMA EIA Regulations - The EIA Regulations means the regulations made under section 24(5) of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (Government Notice No. R 982, R 983, R984 and R 985 in the Government Gazette of 4 December 2014 refer as amended by GNR 324, 325, 326 and 327 of 7 April 2017.

No-Go Alternative – The option of not proceeding with the activity, implying a continuation of the current situation / status quo

Public Participation Process (PPP) - A process in which potential Interested and Affected Parties are given an opportunity to comment on, or raise issues relevant to, specific matters.

Registered Interested and Affected Party – All persons who, as a consequence of the Public Participation Process conducted in respect of an application, have submitted written comments or attended meeting with the applicant or environmental assessment practitioner (EAP); all persons who have requested the applicant or the EAP in writing, for their names to be placed on the register and all organs of state which have jurisdiction in respect of the activity to which the application relates.

Scoping process - A procedure for determining the extent of and approach to an EIA, used to focus the EIA to ensure that only the significant issues and reasonable alternatives are examined in detail

Scoping Report – The report describing the issues identified during the scoping process.

Significant impact – Means an impact that by its magnitude, duration, intensity or probability of occurrence may have a notable effect on one or more aspects of the environment.

Spatial Development Framework (SDF) - A document required by legislation and essential in providing conservation and development guidelines for an urban area, which is situated in an environmentally sensitive area and for which major expansion is expected in the foreseeable future.

Specialist study - A study into a particular aspect of the environment, undertaken by an expert in that discipline.

Stakeholders - All parties affected by and/or able to influence a project, often those in a position of authority and/or representing others.

Sustainable development - Sustainable development is generally defined as development that meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. NEMA defines sustainable development as the integration of social, economic and environmental factors into planning, implementation and decision-making so as to ensure that development serves present and future generations.

Visibility - The area from which the project components would actually be visible and depends upon topography, vegetation cover, built structures and distance.

Visual Character - The elements that make up the landscape including geology, vegetation and land-use of the area.

Visual Quality - The experience of the environment with its particular natural and cultural attributes.

Visual Receptors - Individuals, groups or communities who are subject to the visual influence of a particular project.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

amsl Above mean sea level BA Basic Assessment

BPEO Best Practicable Environmental Option

CBA Critical Biodiversity Area
DM District Municipality

DMR Department of Mineral Resources
DWS Department of Water and Sanitation

DSR Draft Scoping Report

EA Environmental Authorisation

EAP Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EIA Environmental Impact Assessment
EIR Environmental Impact Report

EMPr Environmental Management Programme

ESA Ecological Support Area

EStA Early Stone Age

FoT "Free on Truck": means there is no processing and that it's a raw product.

FSR Final Scoping Report
GA General Authorisation
GDP Gross Domestic Product

GDPR Regional Gross Domestic Product

GGP Gross Geographic Product
GNR Government Notice Reference

ha Hectares

HIA Heritage Impact Assessment
I&APs Interested and Affected Parties
IDP Integrated Development Plan

IEM Integrated Environmental Management

km Kilometres

km² Square kilometres

LED Local Economic Development

LM Local Municipality

LoM Life of Mine
LN Listing Notice
L/s Litres per second
LSA Late Stone Age
m³ Metres cubed

MAP Mean Annual Precipitation

MAPE Mean Annual Potential Evaporation

MASMS Mean Annual Soil Moisture Stress (% of days when evaporation demand was

more than double the soil moisture supply)

MFD Mean Frost Days

MPRDA Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 of 2002

MSA Middle Stone Age

MSDS Material Safety Data Sheet

NEMA National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 as amended NEM:BA National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004 NEM:WA National Environmental Management: Waste Act 59 of 1998

NFEPA National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area

NHRA National Heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999

NWA National Water Act 36 of 1998

PES Present Ecological State

RDL Red Data List ROM Run of Mine

S&EIR Scoping and Environmental Impact Reporting
SAHRA South African National Heritage Resources Agency

SCC Species of Conservation Concern SDF Spatial Development Framework

SLP Social and Labour Plan
StatsSA Statistics South Africa
WMA Water Management Area
WML Waste Management License
WUL A Water Use License Application

Contents

1.	Contact	Person & Contact Details EAP	1
	1.1 Det	ails of EAP	1
	•	ertise of the EAP	
2.	Location	of the overall Activity	2
		ality map (show nearest town, scale not smaller than 1:250000)	
3.		ion of the scope of the proposed overall activity	
		ed and specified activities	
		scription of the activities to be undertaken	
	3.2.1	Construction phase: Development of infrastructure and logistics	
	3.2.2	Operational phase	
	3.2.3	Decommissioning phase	
4.		nd Legislative Context	
5.		d desirability of the proposed activities	
		ning and Biodiversity Guidelines (2013)	
		Iding Material Supply and Employment benefits	
		makwa District Municipality IDP	
		ma Khoi Local Municipality IDP	
		thern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (NCPSDF)	
		thern Cape Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (NCPGDS)	
		A Guideline on Need and Desirability (2017)	
6.		on for the overall preferred site, activities and technology alternative	
7.		of the Public Participation Process Followed	
		oduction	
		nmary of issues raised by I&Aps	
8.		to reach the proposed preferred alternative	
		Alternatives	
	8.1.1	Location	
	8.1.2	Type of activity	
	8.1.3	Design or Layout of activity	
	8.1.4	The technology to be used in the activity	
	8.1.5	Operational alternatives	
	8.1.6	The No-go Alternative	
		e sensitivity (Baseline Environment)	
	8.2.1		
	8.2.2	Geology	
	8.2.3	Soil and land capability	
	8.2.4	Landscape - Topography	
	8.2.5	Climate	
	8.2.6	Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	
	8.2.7	Aquatic biodiversity and Water Resources	
	8.2.8	Emissions	
	8.2.9	Socio-economic	
	8.2.10	Paleontological, Archaeological and Cultural and Heritage Resources	
	8.2.11	Description of specific environmental features and infrastructure on the site	
_	8.2.12	Environmental and current land use maps	
9.		d associated Impacts identified	
		ential Risks/impacts	41
	9.1.1	Potential risks associated with Soil (contamination, erosion, compaction) & Land	44
	capabilit	y (viable and sustainable land)	41

	9.1.2	2	Change in topography	42
	9.1.3	3	The potential Risks associated with Biodiversity, Flora & Fauna	42
	9.1.4	1	The potential Risks associated with Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources	42
	9.1.5	5	Potential Risks associated with visual intrusion, noise, vibration, light pollution and	air
	emis	ssions	S	43
	9.1.6	5	Potential Risks associated with the socio-economic environment	43
	9.1.7		Potential Risks associated with regard archaeological, cultural heritage or	
	pale	ontol	ogical sites	
	9.1.8	3	Potential Risks associated with the Preferred Alternative.	44
	9.1.9		Potential Risks associated with the No-Go Alternative	
9.	2		hodology used in assessing potential environmental impacts	
9.	3	Posi	tive and negative impacts of proposed activity and alternatives	
	9.3.1	l	Positive impacts	49
	9.3.2		Negative impacts	
9.	4	The	mitigation measures and the level of risk	
	9.4.1	l	Soil and Land Capability:	49
	9.4.2	2	Topography	51
	9.4.3	3	Biodiversity Flora and Fauna:	
	9.4.4	1	Aquatic Biodiversity and Water Resources:	52
	9.4.5	5	Emissions (Air quality, visual intrusion & Noise Generation):	
	9.4.6	õ	Socio- economic impact	53
	9.4.7	7	Palaeontological, Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resources	54
	9.4.8	3	Assessment of potential cumulative impacts	56
9.	5		ivation where no alternative sites were considered	
9.	6	State	ement Motivating the Preferred Sites	57
10.	Envi	ronm	ental impact assessment	57
).1		description of the process undertaken to identify, assess and rank the impacts and	
ris	ks th		civity will impose on the preferred site	
10).2		ssment of each identified potentially significant impact and risk	
10	0.3	Sum	mary of specialist reports.	57
11.	Envi		ental impact statement	
11	L. 1		mary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment	
11	L.2		l Site Map	73
11	L.3	Sum	mary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and	
id	entifi	ied al	ternatives	
	11.3	.1	Positive Impacts	73
	11.3		Negative Impacts	73
	L. 4		posed impact management objectives and the impact management outcomes for	
in	clusio	on in	the EMPr	
	11.4	.1	Management Objectives	
	11.4		Outcomes	
	L.5		cription of any assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge	
11	L.6		soned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should or should not be authoriz	ed
		75		
	11.6	.1	Reasons why the activity should be authorized or not	
	11.6		Conditions that must be included in the authorisation	
	11.6		Period for which the Environmental Authorisation is required	
	11.6		Undertaking	
			Provision	
	2.1	_	ll Framework	
	2.2		ulation	
12	2.3	Expl	ain how the aforesaid amount was derived	77

12.4	Confirm that this amount can be provided for from operating expenditure	.77
13. Spe	cific Information required by the competent Authority	. 77
13.1	Compliance with sections 24(4)(a) and (b) of NEMA	
13.2	Other matters required in terms of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) of the Act	. 77
14. Env	ironmental Management Program	. 78
14.1	Details of the EAP,	. 78
14.2	Description of the Aspects of the Activity	. 78
14.3	Composite Map	
14.4	Description of Impact management objectives including management statements	. 78
14.5	Determination of closure objectives	
14.6	Volumes and rate of water use required for the operation	. 78
14.7	Has a water use license been applied for?	
14.8	Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases	. 79
14.9	Impact Management Outcomes	.85
14.10	Impact Management Actions	.87
15. Fina	ancial Provision	. 88
15.1	Describe the closure objectives and the extent to which they have been aligned to the	
baselii	ne environment described under the Regulation	.88
15.2	Confirm specifically that the environmental objectives in relation to closure have been	
consu	Ited with landowner and interested and affected parties	
15.3	Rehabilitation plan that describes and shows the scale and aerial extent of the main min	_
activit	ies	
15.4	Explain why it can be confirmed that the rehabilitation plan is compatible with the closu	
object	ives.	.89
15.5	Calculate and state the quantum of the financial provision required to manage and	
rehabi	ilitate the environment in accordance with the applicable guideline	
15.6	Confirm that the financial provision will be provided as determined	.89
15.7	Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with and performance assessment against the	
enviro	nmental management program and reporting thereon, including	
15.8	Indicate the frequency of the submission of the performance assessment/ environmenta	
	report	
	ironmental Awareness Plan	.91
16.1	Manner in which the applicant intends to inform his or her employees of any	
	onmental risk which may result from their work	
16.2	Manner in which risks will be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of	
	vironment	
16.3	Specific information required by the Competent Authority	
17. Und	dertaking	.92

1. Contact Person & Contact Details EAP

1.1 Details of EAP

Name of The Practitioner: N.J. van Zyl EAPASA Reg. Number 2019/2034

Tel No.: 082 8898696; Fax No.: 086 6562942 e-mail address: vanzyl.eap@gmail.com

1.2 Expertise of the EAP

The qualifications of the EAP

Current qualifications in this field were obtained through formal studies at the Cape Town Technicon, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University and the University of the Orange Free State, which is the following:

- National Diploma Nature Conservation (1986)
- National Higher Diploma (B-Tech) Nature Conservation (1992)
- Master's Degree Environmental Management (MOB 750) (2001)

Further qualifications in this field were also obtained through short courses at the University of the Orange Free State, which is the following:

Environmental Impact Assessment (2001)

Wildlife Management through Veld Management (2001)

Resource evaluation and game ranch management (2003)

Arc GIS (2009)

Summary of the EAP's past experience.

(In carrying out the Environmental Impact Assessment Procedure)

With the implementation of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 of 2002 Mr. van Zyl has started assisting small scale miners with all facets of applications for mining permits in terms of section 27 and prospecting rights in terms of section 16 of the MPRDA. Mr van Zyl has an excellent knowledge of the relevant acts applicable to the mining sector including the following:

- Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 of 2002
- Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Amendment Act 49 of 2008
- ➤ Mineral and Petroleum Resources Regulations 2004
- National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 as amended
- National Environment Laws Amendment Act 25 of 2014 as amended
- ➤ NEMA: Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014
- NEMA: Financial Provisioning Regulations, 2015
- > NEMA: Waste Act 59 of 2008 as amended
- ➤ NEMA: Regulations regarding the Planning and Management of Residue Stockpiles and Residue Deposits, 2015
- National Water Act 36 of 1998 as amended (with special attention to section 21 water uses)

Since 2002 Mr. van Zyl completed more than 150 applications for mining permits and more than 100 applications for prospecting rights. The mineral regulations and environmental management for most of these projects were managed throughout the life of the project including:

- ➤ Applications manual and Samrad
- Prospecting work programs including financial and technical competence
- > Public participation process
- > EIA and EMP's now BAR and EMP's
- ➤ Annual Rehabilitation Plans
- Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plans including Risk Assessment Reports
- Execution and registration of rights including sec 42 diagrams for MPTRO

- ➤ Performance audits including reviews of Annual Closure Plans and Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plans together with financial quantum reviews.
- ➤ Application for closure certificate

Although Mr. van Zyl specializes in small scale mining operations and prospecting operations that requires investigation, assessment and communication according to the procedure as prescribed in regulations 19 and 20 of the EIA Regulations he also assists 5 mining rights with environmental management. Other sections of the MPRDA that Mr. van Zyl has experience in is:

- > Section 102 applications and Section 20 applications
- ➤ Section 53 Applications and Section 11 Applications

2. Location of the overall Activity

Table 1

Farm Name:	Portion of Portion 4 of the Farm Dikgat 195		
Application area (Ha)	4.93На		
Magisterial district:	Namakwaland Northern Cape Province Namakwa District Municipality Nama Khoi Local Municipality		
Distance from nearest town	6.5 Km south west of Kleinzee on the R355		
21-digit Surveyor General Code	C0530000000019500004		

2.1 Locality map (show nearest town, scale not smaller than 1:250000).

The proposed Mining Area is located on a 5Ha portion of Portion 4 of the Farm Dikgat 195 situated in the Namakwa District Municipality and Nama Khoi Local Municipality of the Northern Cape Province in extend 1765.6894Ha.

The property is registered in the name of West Coast Resources (Pty) Ltd (Reg 2011/007203/07) by virtue of Title deed T403/2015 filed in the Kimberley Deeds Office. LPI Code C05300000000019500004. The mining area is located approximately 6.5 Km south west of Kleinzee on the R355. Refer to the layout plan **Figure 2** that shows the properties and coordinates.

Farm	Portion	Size		LPI Code	Deed	Owner
Faiiii		Property	Application	LFICOUE	Deeu	Owner
Dikgat 195	4	1765.6894	4.93	C0530000000019500004	T1290/1923	West Coast Resources (Ptv) Ltd (Reg 2011/007203/07)

Figure 1: Locality map contemplated in regulation 2(2) read with regulation 2(3) of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 30 of 2002)





Figure 2: Layout plan

contemplated in regulation 2(2) read with regulation 2(3) of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 30 of 200 COORDINATES wgs 84: 1 S29.63496° E17.11779° MINING AREA: The figure numbered 1 to 6 2 S29.63330° E17.12062° Extent: ± 5 Ha Situated on a portion of Portion 4 of 3 S29.63400° E17.12190° the Farm Dikgat 195 Mag. Dist: Namakwaland S29.63463° E17.12054° S29.63661° E17.11914° S29.63524° E17.11874°

Figure 3: Site Plan (Showing outstanding rehabilitation as part of NC 30/5/1/3/2/10222MP now transferred to the Closure plan of NC30/5/1/3/2/10937MP



3. Description of the scope of the proposed overall activity

The proposed sand mining area is situated on a 5ha section of the Buffels River on Portion 4 of the Farm Dikgat 195. The sand mining operation is to be carried out by the Applicant, Nanga Mining (Pty) Ltd.

Mining is in the form of a simple process that only includes loading and hauling of river sand from the seasonal drainage channel. The excavations in the river bed will be on average 2 meters deep.

Refer to Figure 2: Site Plan which shows the location of the proposed sand mining permit area, stockpile area including the laydown area and access routes.

Refer section 3.2 for a description of the activities to be undertaken

3.1 Listed and specified activities **Table 2**

NAME OF ACTIVITY	Aerial extent of the Activity Ha or m ²	LISTED ACTIVITY	APPLICABLE LISTING NOTICE	WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORISATION
 Mining of river sand from the Buffels River, including: Removal of topsoil from laydown areas adjacent to river bank, access areas to river bed, and stockpiling of topsoil. Refer to Figure 2: Site Plan. Accessing the site via existing farm tracks. Refuse collection containers. Mobile ablution facilities. Removal of natural and alien vegetation. 	Total footprint is 5 hectares	X	GNR 983 Listing Notice 1 of 2014 (dated 8 December 2014), as amended by GNR 517 (dated 11 June 2021): Activity 21: Any activity including the operation of that activity which requires a mining permit in terms of section 27 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, as well as any other applicable activity as contained in this Listing	No
The rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of the sand mining site on the Buffels River, which will only be required at final decommissioning and closure.		X	Notice or in Listing Notice 3 of 2014, required to exercise the mining permit.";	No
Mining of river sand from the Buffels River will require the clearance of an area of 1 hectare or more of indigenous vegetation.		X		No
 Mining of river sand from the Buffels River, including: Removal of topsoil from laydown areas adjacent to river bank, access areas to river bed, and stockpiling of topsoil. Refer to Figure 2: Site Plan. 		X		No

3.2 Description of the activities to be undertaken

The methodology and technology to be employed in each phase is described below:

3.2.1 Construction phase: Development of infrastructure and logistics

- Due to the small scale of operations no permanent infrastructure will be developed and only existing farm tracks will be used. Upgrading of the existing tracks will be done as part of the construction phase. Refer to Figure 3 for the location of the existing farm tracks that provide access off the R355 to the proposed project site on the Buffels River. Existing access tracks to the mine area along the river to access the sections being worked in a phased manner. This is the method preferred to keep vehicles and roads out of the drainage channel as much as possible. With regard to access to the mine the existing roads will be used and must be upgraded and maintained as haul roads for trucks as needed by the mine.
- No buildings and infrastructure will be required as the operation will be run from the company headquarters where all logistics will be available.
- No water or electricity is used in the mining operation and no permanent infrastructure will be required due to the small scale and simple mining method to be employed.
- Domestic waste will be collected in plastic containers and transported daily to the company headquarters. A temporary storage area for used lubrication products and other hazardous chemicals will be provided for the collection of the small volume of waste before it is removed to the company headquarters. Only one 200-liter container is needed for the small amount of waste.
- Maintenance Oil/grease/diesel management systems will require a parking area/service bay, provided as part of the stockpile area outside the drainage channel and will provide for drip trays for stationary equipment.

3.2.2 Operational phase

This operation will only involve the loading and hauling of raw river sand and no processing will take place. The only surface disturbance that will take place, except for the mining excavation within the drainage channel, is a small stockpile area and service bay (parking) for equipment outside the drainage channel. During operations mining will only consist of loading and hauling of river sand. Only temporary product stockpiles will be developed as sand will be transported to the Applicant's headquarters for stockpiling and distribution as it is loaded.

The depth of the mining operations will be on average 2m as only the top layer of sand is mined. The total footprint will be 5 hectares and sand will be removed over the total area. Backfilling is not an option as the sand is completely removed as it is washed in from upstream.

No industrial or mine waste is generated during the mining process. All material consisting mainly of river sand is removed from the seasonal drainage channel to a depth of 2m and sold as a Free on Truck (FoT) product. No processing is taking place except for limited stockpiling so no mining waste or overburden and Fine Residue Deposits (FRD) will be created.

Domestic or any other waste generated during the mining operation will be stored in a temporary storage area provided as part of the service bay from where it will be removed to the company HQ.

Only minor repairs are done on site. A PVC lining and drip trays are used during maintenance and accidental spills are cleaned up immediately by removing of the contaminated sand. The small volume of contaminated sand is sold with the rest of the sand to be used in the building industry.

Equipment used in the mining process is transported to the company headquarters for major repairs. The trucks will transport sand from the site 6 days a week, operating between 7h00 and 18h00. No operations will take place on Sundays or during the builder's break at year end.

As part of this phase training of personnel in the implementation of the EMPr will be undertaken and the implementation of the environmental awareness plan as part of the EMPr will be an ongoing process.

3.2.3 Decommissioning phase

Planning for closure and restoration from the beginning of an operation makes the process easier; waste can be removed as it is created, excavation can be planned so that topography restoration is less complicated, and topsoil can be re-used at shorter intervals. Site rehabilitation can make the land more valuable and attractive for resale. Additionally, establishing a closure strategy (and communicating that activity to the public) can help enhance the company's reputation as a socially-responsible operation. The decommissioning and closure phase at the end of the life of the mine will consist of implementing the Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure Plan (attached at Annexure 1).

4. Policy and Legislative Context Table 3: Policy and Legislative Context

APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND GUIDELINES USED TO COMPILE THE REPORT	REFERENCE WHERE APPLIED	HOW DOESTHIS DEVELOPMENT COMPLIY WITH AND RESPOND TO THE LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONTEXT
Legislation		
Constitution of South Africa, specifically everyone has a right; a. to an environment that is not harmful to their health or wellbeing; and b. to have the environment protected, for the benefit of present and future generations, through reasonable legislative and other measures that: i. prevents pollution and ecological degradation; ii. promote conservation; and iii. Secure ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources while promoting justifiable economic and social development.	Mining activities	The mining activities shall be conducted in such a manner that significant environmental impacts are avoided, where significant impacts cannot all together avoided be minimised and mitigated in order to protect the environmental right of South Africans.
Minerals and Petroleum Development Resources Act, Act 28 of 2002 (MPRDA) section 16 (as amended)	Application to the DMR for a mining permit in terms of Section 27 for an area not	The conditions and requirements attached to the granting of the Mining Permit will apply to the mining activities.
MPRDA Regulations as amended by GNR349 of 18 April 2011.	exceeding 5 hectares in extent.	DMR is the Competent Authority (CA) for this NEMA and NEM:WA application.
Mine Health and Safety Act, 1996 (No. 29 of 1996) (MHSA) and Regulations	Mining activities	Mining operations will be governed by the MHSA and associated Regulations.

National Environmental Management Act, No 107 of 1998 (as amended) (NEMA)

Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (EIA Regulations 2014) and Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Listing notices 1, 2 and 3 published in terms of NEMA in Government Notices 982, 983, 984 and 985 of 4 December 2014 (as amended by GN No. 517 of 11 June 2021)

Regulation 16{1)(b)(v) submission of a report generated from the national web based environmental screening tool report will be compulsory when submitting an application for environmental authorisation in terms of regulation 19 and regulation 21.

"Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on identified Environmental Themes in terms of Section 24(5) (a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA, 1998, when applying for EA ("the Protocols"), in Government Gazette (GG) 43110 (dated 20 March 2020) and Government Notice (GN) 320.Protocols in GG 43855 of GN No. 1150 dated 30 October 2020 provide for Terrestrial and Animal Plant Species.

Regulations pertaining to the Financial Provision for Prospecting, Exploration, Mining or Production

National Guideline on minimum information requirements for preparing Environmental Impact Assessments for mining activities that require environmental authorisation, published in terms of NEMA in Government Notice 86 of 2018

Application to the DMR for Environmental Authorisation in terms of the 2014 EIA Regulations

An Application for Environmental Authorisation must be submitted to DMR for an Environmental Authorisation. The application for EA including screening tool report must be acknowledged by the competent authority before the BAR process can start.

The listed activities (Listing Notice 1, Activity 21) that are triggered determine the Environmental Authorisation (EA) application process to be followed.

Where specialist assessments were identified the protocols for compiling the identified specialist assessments for specific environmental themes provided as part of the screening tool will form part of the terms of reference for specialist as this protocol replaces the requirements of Appendix 6 of the EIA Regulations. Refer Section 10.3 Summary of specialist reports

These regulations have informed the Final Closure Plan and financial provisioning for the Project. The disturbed area shall be rehabilitated in such a way that is stable, non-polluting, non-eroded, free from alien invasive species and suitable for the agreed post closure land use.

The compilation of this Basic Assessment Report including a Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan and the Public Participation Process are required in terms of NEMA.

National Environmental Management: Waste Act, Act 59 of 2008 (NEMWA)NEM: WA (as amended) National Waste Information Regulations published in GN 625 of 2012 Waste Classification and Management Regulations in GN 634 of 2013 Waste listed activities in GN 921 of 2013 National Norms and Standards for the Storage of Waste, in GN 926 of 2013 National Norms and Standards for the Sorting, Shredding, Grinding, Crushing, Screening or Baling of General Waste, in GN 1093 of 2017 National Norms and Standards for the Assessment of Waste for Landfill Disposal, in GN 635 of 2013 Regulations regarding the planning and management of residue stockpiles and residue deposits from a prospecting, mining, exploration or production operation in GN 632 of 24 July 2015. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004) [NEMBA] National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection, 2011 (in GN 1002 dated 2 December 2011) Alien and Invasive Species List, 2016 (in GN No. 864 dated 29 July 2016)	Part B: EMP and Sections 13.8; 13.9; 13.10 & Section 15 General waste manage-ment measures as part of environmental awareness plan Section 8 Figure 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 & 12.	These regulations have informed the planning and management of waste for the Project. No listed activities are triggered or included as part of the Environmental Authorisation (EA) application process. The generation of potential waste will be minimized through ensuring employees of the Applicant are subjected to the appropriate environmental awareness campaign before commencement of sand mining. All waste generated during the mining activities will be disposed of in a responsible legal manner. Proof of legal disposal will be maintained on site. There are no listed Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable ecosystems on site. The site is located within in a Critical Biodiversity Area CBA1 although the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines sourced off SANB BGIS Map Viewer the area identify as Cat D with Moderate Biodiversity Importance" and moderate risk for mining
		Alien invasive vegetation management is included in the EMPr.
National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act 39 of 2004). National Dust Control Regulations in GN R827 of 1 November 2013 List of Activities which Result in Atmospheric Emissions, published in GN 893 of 2013 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), in GN 1210 of 2009 National Atmospheric Emission Reporting Regulations, in GN 283 of 2015	Section 8	These regulations have informed the planning and management of emissions from the Project. Dust control measures are included in the EMPr
National Heritage Resources Act, 25 of 1999 ("NHRA")	Section 8	Refer to Annexure 3 for a copy of the Heritage Impact Assessment

	T~	
National Water Act (Act 36 of 2008)	Section 8	These regulations have informed the planning and
Regulations on Use of Water for Mining and Related Activities aimed at the		management of water and stormwater arising from
Protection of Water Resources in GNR 704 of 1999	Appendix 5	the Project.
Regulations Regarding the Procedural Requirements for Water Use License		
Applications and Appeals in GNR 267 of 2017		The applicable Water Use activities are Section
Several General Authorisations have been published in terms of Section 39 of the		21(c) related to impeding or diverting the flow of
NWA (various dates)		water in a watercourse, and Section 21(i) related to
Purification of Waste Water or Effluent, published in GNR 991 of 1984		altering the bed, banks, course or characteristics of
Regulations for the erection, enlargement, operation and registration of Water		a watercourse.
Care Works, published in GNR 2834 of February 1986		
cure it criss, published in State 200 t of Feetung 1900		A General Authorisation in terms of GN 509 of
		2016 for Section 21(c) and (i) is in place refer
		Annexure 4.
Promotion of Administrative Justice Act, 2000 (Act 3 of 2000) [PAJA]	Decision by the	Gives effect to section 33 of the Constitution that
Tromodon of Administrative Justice Act, 2000 (Act 3 of 2000) [1 AJA]	Competent Authority	requires that "Everyone has the right to
	Competent Authority	administrative action that is lawful, reasonable and
		*
		procedurally fair". All administrative actions must
D 1 0D 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		be based on the relevant considerations
Protection of Personal Information Act, 2013 (Act No. 14 of 2013) (POPIA)	Annexure 2: PPP Report	The guidance document provided by the
		Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the
Clarity On Applicability of The Protection of Personal Information Act, 2013		Environment was used to determine the
To Requirements of The Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014		information to be included or excluded from the
Relating to Registers of Interested and Affected Parties and The Inclusion of		public domain to protect private or personal
Comments in Reports (circulated on 3 September 2021)		information.
Land Use Planning Act, 2014 (Act 3 of 2014) (LUPA)	Comments required from	Consent use in terms of the Municipal Planning
	the Nama Khoi Local	By-Law, 2015 is required to permit mining on
	Municipalities.	properties that are zoned for Agricultural
		purposes.
Hazardous Substances Act, 1973 (Act No. 15 of 1973) (HAS)		These regulations have informed the planning and
1200 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		management of hazardous substances for the
		Project.
National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) (NFA)		Permit(s) will be required if any protected species
Provincial Environmental Legislation: The Northern Cape Nature Conservation		are cut, removed and/or translocated from the
Act, 2009 (Act No. 9 of 2009) (NCNCA)		Project footprints.
Act, 2007 (Act 190. 9 01 2009) (NCNCA)		1 Toject Tootpinits.

National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 (No. 57 of 2003) (NEM:PAA)		These regulations have informed the planning and management of the Project. The Project footprint does not overlap with any existing protected areas, or any areas identified for protected area expansion.
Municipal Plans and Policies		
Namakwa District Municipality Integrated Development Plan (IDP) 2017/2022	Section 5.2	The Need & Desirability of the project is referenced in terms of the District Municipality IDP, specifically relating to employment creation, and ensuring the implementation of environmentally sustainable practices, along with an integrated approach to addressing climate change response, which are included in the EMPr
Nama Khoi Local Municipality Integrated Development Plan (IDP), 2018/2019	Section 5.3	The Need & Desirability of the project is referenced in terms of the IDP, specifically relating to employment creation and sustainable resource utilisation. Relevant mitigation measures are included in the EMPr.
Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (NCPSDF)	Section 5 & 8.	Sustainable development is a key consideration as addressed in this impact assessment report.
Northern Cape Provincial Growth and Development Strategy 2004-2014 (NCPGDS)	Section 5 & 8.	Sustainable development is a key consideration as addressed in this impact assessment report.
Standards, Guidance and Spatial Tools		
Department of Environmental Affairs, Department of Mineral Resources, Chamber of Mines, South African Mining and Biodiversity Forum, and South African National Biodiversity Institute. 2013. Mining and Biodiversity Guideline: Mainstreaming biodiversity into the mining sector. Pretoria.	Section 5.1 & 8. Figure 4	The mitigation measures to address and mitigate the potential impacts of the mining are included in the EMPr.
DEA Guideline on Need & Desirability (2017)	Section 5.7	Refer to Section 5.7
DEA Guideline on PPP DMR Guideline on Consultation with Communities and I&APs (undated)	Section 7 & Table 4	Refer to Section 7 & Table 4
DEAT Integrated Environmental Management Information Series 5: Impact Significance (2002)	Section 8	Refer Impact Assessment Tables
DEAT Integrated Environmental Management Information Series 7: Cumulative Effects Assessment (2004)	Section 8	Refer Impact Assessment Tables
Namakwa District Biodiversity Sector Plan (2008) BGIS (www.bgis.sanbi.org)	Baseline environmental description	Used during desktop research to identify sensitive environments within the mining right area.

SANS 10103:2008 The Measurement and Rating of Environmental Noise with		Used to set the standard allowable for noise
Respect to Land Use, Health, Annoyance and to Speech Communication	Management /	mitigation measures are included in the EMPr.
SANS 1929:2005 Edition 1.1 – Ambient Air Quality Limits for Common	Monitoring measures	Standard for dust fallout. Dust mitigation measures
Pollutants	_	are included in the EMPr.

5. Need and desirability of the proposed activities

5.1 Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2013)

The Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2013)¹ state that: "Sustainable development is enshrined in South Africa's Constitution and laws. The need to sustain biodiversity is directly or indirectly referred to in a number of Acts, not least the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (No. 10 of 2004) (hereafter referred to as the Biodiversity Act) and is fundamental to the notion of sustainable development. International guidelines and commitments as well as national policies and strategies are important in creating a shared vision for sustainable development in South Africa".

DMR, as custodian of South Africa's mineral resources, is tasked with enabling the sustainable development of these resources. This includes giving effect to the constitutional requirement to "prevent pollution and ecological degradation; promote conservation; and secure ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources while promoting justifiable economic and social development"².

The primary environmental objective of the MPRDA is to give effect to the "environmental right" contained in the South African Constitution. The MPRDA further requires the Minister to ensure the sustainable development of South Africa's mineral resources, within the framework of national environmental policies, norms and standards, while promoting economic and social development.

The Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2013) document identifies four categories of biodiversity priority areas in relation to their biodiversity importance and implications for mining. The categories of relevance to this Mining area as shown in Figure 4 are: "Category D: Moderate Biodiversity Importance" – moderate risk for mining.

These categories have since been super-ceded by the Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA) map (refer to **Figure 8b**), which would be interpreted as Category B is now CBA 1, Category C is now CBA 2 and Category D is now Ecological support areas.

These categories basically require an environmental impact assessment process to address the issues of sustainability.

-

¹ Department of Environmental Affairs, Department of Mineral Resources, Chamber of Mines, South African Mining and Biodiversity Forum, and South African National Biodiversity Institute. 2013. Mining and Biodiversity Guideline: Mainstreaming biodiversity into the mining sector. Pretoria.

² Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (No. 108 of 1996).

³ Section 24 of the Constitution states that "everyone has the right (a) to an environment that is not harmful to their health or well-being; and (b) to have the environment protected, for the benefit of present and future generations, through reasonable legislative and other measures that: prevent pollution and ecological degradation; promote conservation; and secure ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources while promoting justifiable economic and social development."

CAT D Mining Area CAT B

Figure 4: Location of Mining area in terms of Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines sourced off SANB BGIS Map Viewer

5.2 Building Material Supply and Employment benefits

Building sand is commonly used for the manufacture of plaster, mortar and concrete. Springbok fulfils an important urban niche in the Northern Cape region, where the Applicant's building material and sand supply company is located. The project site is located within 95km of Springbok with direct access to the R355 road corridor.

The area's development potential in terms of renewable energy has seen an increase in the need for construction materials.

The proposed sand mining activity is considered to be a temporary land use, and the area will be rehabilitated in accordance with the Mining Closure and Rehabilitation Plan, attached as Annexure 1. The benefits of the project can be divided into social and economic classifications. The mine will provide limited direct employment for local persons. The operation further creates indirect employment opportunities in equipment supply industries, transport and sand mining, and the construction environment.

5.3 Namakwa District Municipality IDP

The vision of the Namaqua District Municipality IDP is: "Namakwa District Municipality, a centre of excellence!"

The Mission Statement is:

- The stimulation of radical economic and social transformation;
- The fostering of partnership with relevant role-players;
- Supporting and capacitating of local municipalities;
- Transparent and accountable processes; and
- Providing of local leadership

The Strategic Objectives are

- Monitor and support local municipalities to deliver basic services which include water, sanitation, housing, electricity and waste management
- Support vulnerable groups
- Improve administrative and financial viability and capability
- Promote and facilitate Local Economic development
- Enhance good governance
 - ✓ Promote and facilitate spatial transformation and sustainable urban development
 - ✓ Improve communication and communication systems
 - ✓ Establish a customer care system
 - ✓ Invest in the improvement of ICT systems
 - ✓ To render a municipal health service
 - ✓ To coordinate the disaster management and fire management services in the district
 - ✓ Implement the climate change response plan
 - ✓ Caring for the environment

Values

- The Namakwa District Municipality adheres to the values contained in the Batho Pele Principles.
- Caring for the environment and the effects of climate change, such as flood events, on the proposed mining project will be mitigated as per the measures contained in the EMPr. The mitigation for emissions of greenhouse gases from vehicles and machinery associated with the mining activities are addressed in the EMPr.

5.4 Nama Khoi Local Municipality IDP

In the Constitution of South Africa (108 of 1996) the objectives of a municipality or local government structure are described as follows under "section 152. (1) The objects of local government are-

- (a) to provide democratic and accountable government for local communities;
- (b) to ensure the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner;
- (c) to promote social and economic development;
- (d) to promote a safe and healthy environment; and
- (e) To encourage the involvement of communities and community organisations in the matters of local government".

The vision of the Nama Khoi Local Municipality is: "To proudly deliver sustainable local economic development & climate resilient quality services to the Nama Khoi Municipality"

The IDP states that it is important that economic opportunities are expanded in local areas, in a way that takes both people and biodiversity into account to ensure sustainable livelihoods.

The report highlights that the Industrial mining corridor as indicated in the PSDF must be investigated for opportunities and exploited where possible.

The IDP identifies its strengths which include high quality & unspoiled environment, diversity of scenic landscapes and vast amounts of open land, a large labour pool and solar energy; weaknesses which include undiversified economy: over-dependence on mining and government services sectors, lack of jobs and economic development, lack of skills and poor quality of education; opportunities which include unexploited mineral opportunities' and Ecotourism initiatives; and threats as water supply, water quality and brain-drain as individuals from the Northern Cape migrate from scarcity of business, finance and technical skills.

The proposed mining operation will assist in providing job security, local employment, local skills transfer and economic upliftment, in a sustainable manner.

5.5 Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (NCPSDF)

The NCPSDF states that the: "Northern Cape is not one of South Africa's richest provinces in monetary terms. Accordingly, there is a need for coherent prioritisation of projects within a spatial economic framework that takes due cognisance of environmental realities and the imperative to create a developmental state". The NCPSDF was designed as an integrated planning and management tool for all spheres of government to facilitate on-going sustainable development throughout the province.

The NCPSDF, together with the Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (PGDS), is set to fulfil an important role as a spatial and strategic guideline that addresses the key challenges of poverty, inequality and environmental degradation through the innovative use of the resources (capital) of the province for the benefit of all concerned."

The potential for job security, employment and skills transfer are identified as positive environmental impacts in this impact assessment. The potential negative environmental impacts will be mitigated through the implementation of the EMPr and the Closure and Rehabilitation Plan, to ensure a sustainable mining activity.

5.6 Northern Cape Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (NCPGDS)

The NCPGDS has the following vision for the province: "Building a prosperous, sustainable growing provincial economy to reduce poverty and improve social development." The strategy for the growth and development of the province is guided by the following key principles:

- Equality notwithstanding the need to advance persons previously disadvantaged, development planning should ensure that all persons should be treated equally;
- Efficiency –the promotion of the optimal utilisation of existing physical, human and financial resources;
- Integration the integration of spatially coherent regional and local economic development and improved service delivery systems.
- Good Governance the promotion of democratic, participatory, cooperative and accountable systems of governance and the efficient and effective administration of development institutions;
- Sustainability the promotion of economic and social development through the sustainable management and utilisation of natural resources and the maintenance of the productive value of the physical environment;
- Batho Pele the placement of people and their needs at the forefront of its concern and serve their physical, psychological, developmental, economic, social and cultural interests equitably.

5.7 DEA Guideline on Need and Desirability (2017)

As referenced in the DEA Guideline on Need and Desirability (2017), NEMA defines "evaluation" as "the process of ascertaining the relative importance or significance of information, in the light of people's values, preferences and judgements, in order to make a decision." In evaluating each impact (negative and positive) in terms of each of the aspects of the environment, "need and desirability" must specifically be considered in the analysis of each impact of the proposed activity. However, to determine if the proposed activity is the best option when considering "need and desirability", it must also be informed by the sum of all the impacts considered holistically. In this regard "need and desirability" also becomes the impact summary with regard to the proposed activity.

These Guidelines state that: "In considering the impact summary it must be remembered that ultimately the aim of EIA is to identify, predict and evaluate the actual and potential risks for and impacts on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic and cultural aspects of the environment, in order to find the alternatives and options that best avoid negative impacts altogether, or where negative impacts cannot be avoided, to minimise and manage negative impacts to acceptable levels, while optimising positive impacts, to ensure that ecological sustainable development and justifiable social and economic development outcomes are achieved".

The **principles of Integrated Environmental Management (EIM)** as set out in Section 23 of NEMA have been considered in this environmental assessment as explained below.

- Environmental management placing people and their needs at forefront of its concern, and serve their physical, physiological, developmental, cultural and social interests equitably This process is being undertaken in a transparent manner and all effort is being made to involve all the relevant stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties. I.e., Public participation is being undertaken to obtain the issues / concerns / comments of the affected people for input into the process. Refer to Section 7 in this report.
- Socially, environmentally and economically sustainable development All aspects of the receiving environment and how this will be impacted have been considered and investigated to ensure a minimum detrimental impact to the environment. Where the impact could not be avoided, suitable and effective mitigation measures have been proposed to ensure that the impact is mitigated, and these are detailed in Table 14, and included in the EMPr.

- Consideration for ecosystem disturbance and loss of biodiversity the project site is identified as a Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA) 1 but all invasive activities will be restricted to the drainage channel devoid of vegetation (refer Figure 8b). The vegetation type found on site is not listed in the "National List of Threatened Ecosystems that are Threatened and in Need of Protection" in GN 1002 dated 9/12/2011. Ecosystem disturbance and loss of biodiversity are considered in the impact assessment. The mining process is considered to be a relatively benign type of operation. Rehabilitation back to the natural state is a key component and will be undertaken in a phased manner as the activities progress. This EMPr and Closure Plan (Annexure 1) proposes mitigation measures which will minimise the impacts of the mining on the environment.
- **Pollution and environmental degradation** The implementation of recommendations made and proposed mitigations are detailed in Table 14 the EMPr, and Closure Plan **Annexure 1** to ensure minimum environmental degradation.
- Landscape disturbance All aspects of the receiving environment and how this will be impacted have been considered and investigated to ensure a minimum detrimental impact to the environment. Where the impact could not be avoided, suitable and effective mitigation measures have been detailed in Table 14 the EMPr, and Closure Plan Annexure 1 to ensure that the impacts are mitigated. For example, landscape disturbance impacts associated with the mining operation, erosion and dust have been identified and detailed mitigation measures are included in the EMPr to minimise the impacts.
- Waste avoidance, minimisation and recycling These aspects were considered and incorporated into in Table 14 the EMPr, and Closure Plan Annexure 1.
- **Responsible and equitable use of non-renewable resources** These aspects have been considered and there is not much scope to reduce the use of non-renewable resources, such as vehicle transport.
- Avoidance, minimisation and remedying of environmental impacts All aspects of the receiving environment and how this will be impacted have been considered and investigated to ensure a minimum detrimental impact to the environment. Where the impact could not be avoided, suitable and effective mitigation measures will be proposed to ensure that the impact is mitigated. A number of mitigation measures have been included in Table 14 the EMPr, and Closure Plan Annexure 1.
- Interests, needs and values of Interested and Affected Parties This process has been undertaken in a transparent manner and all effort is being made to involve all the relevant stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs). Comments received from I&APs on the Draft Basic Assessment Report to be included as part of the Final Basic Assessment Report are summarised in Section 7, Table 4.
- Access of information Potential Interested and Affected Parties were notified of the proposal and the availability of the DBAR. They were also notified of having the opportunity to register as an I&AP and registered I&APs have been kept informed of the commencement of the Basic Assessment process.
- **Promotion of community well-being and empowerment** This process is being undertaken in a transparent manner and all effort is being made to involve all the relevant stakeholders and registered I&APs.

Potential impacts on the biophysical environment and socio-economic conditions have been assessed, and steps have been taken to mitigate negative impacts, and enhance positive impacts. Adequate and appropriate opportunity is being provided for public participation. Environmental attributes have been considered based on the available information, and environmental management practices have been identified and established to ensure that the proposed activities will proceed in accordance with the principles of IEM.

6. Motivation for the overall preferred site, activities and technology alternative.

The site was selected as it contains good quality building sand located in a convenient position in close proximity to transport routes to the Applicant's business premises where the building material is manufactured. The layout and technology of this sand mining project has been determined by the shape, position and orientation of the mineral resource, which is the sand in the Buffels River. Refer to the Site Plan attached as **Figure 3**. The operational approach is practical and based on best practice to ensure a phased approach of mining followed by rehabilitation in sequential stages.

- The preferred and only location of the sand mining activity is on the earmarked section of the Buffels River on Portion 4 of the Farm Dikgat 195.
- The preferred and only activity is the mining of sand.
- The preferred and only technology is the use of machinery to remove the sand from the river, and for trucks to transport the sand to the clients.

The Site Plan or layout of the activity on the site is shown in **Figure 3**.

There are therefore no other reasonable or feasible sites, layouts, activities, technologies, or operational alternatives for further consideration in the impact assessment component, other than the mandatory "no-go" alternative that must be assessed for comparison purposes as the environmental baseline.

7. Details of the Public Participation Process Followed

7.1 Introduction

The public participation process has been conducted according to the requirements as prescribed in Regulations 40 to 44 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The formal public participation process, which meets the requirements of the NEMA EIA Regulations and the MPRDA will be followed and include the following activities: (Refer **Annexure 2** Public Participation Process- to be included in the F-BAR)

Potential I&APs will be notified about the project and of commencement of the Basic Assessment (BA) process and invited to registration as stakeholders by means of:

- Letters of notification to directly affected landowners;
- Written notifications to other stakeholders including neighbours, Local and District Municipalities (including traditional authorities where applicable); and
- Media advertisements and site notices.
- Circulation of a Background Information Document (BID) with the notification letter to the landowner, neighbouring landowners and potential I&APs;
- Registered I&APs including the Relevant Government Department will be given the opportunity to review and comment on the Draft Basic Assessment Report.
- Registered I&APs will be notified of the outcome of the environmental authorisation, and if required the appeal process to be followed.

7.2 Summary of issues raised by I&Aps

Table 4 Summary of issues raised by I&Aps to be included in the Final BAR

Table 4 Sullillial y of issues fais	cu by	Temps to be	metaded in the Final BAK		
Interested and Affected Parties, pe consulted is marked with an		Date Comments Received	Issues raised	EAPs response to issues as mandated by the applicant	Reference in this report where the issues and or response were incorporated.
ORGANS OF STATE					
Landowners or Lawful occupier/s	of the	land			
Landowners or lawful occupiers or	n adja	cent properties			
	•				
Municipality	•				
Communities					
Traditional Leaders					
nterested parties - Reply on advertisement and site notices					

8. Process to reach the proposed preferred alternative

8.1 Site Alternatives

8.1.1 Location

This site was selected because it contains good quality building sand and it is located in a convenient position close to the R355 and Kleinzee, where the Applicant's business operations are located. The proposed site is located within a section of the Buffels River on Portion 4 of the Farm Dikgat 195 based on the landowners' willingness to permit sand mining activities on their farm, and due to the fact that the river sand is suited for building purposes. The section of the river selected for sand mining has a flat gradient and an average width of >50 metres providing a large surface area suitable for excavation, with no permanent surface water and little vegetation. The rural nature of the area effectively means that the proposed mining activities will not disturb any local communities. There are no reasonable or feasible location alternatives for further consideration.

8.1.2 Type of activity

The Applicant is not the land owner, so it would not be realistic for this company to propose another type of activity, as their core business is the supply of building materials. The holder of a mining permit is required to rehabilitate the environment affected by mining to its natural state or to another predetermined land use. The mining activity takes place over a relatively short time period, so the selection of the best post-mining long term land use is an important consideration. In the case of this application the best post-mining land use alternative is to return the river to its natural state. Other activity alternatives have therefore not been considered as the purpose of the proposed project is to mine sand from the section of the Buffels River as indicated. The only other activity required to be assessed in terms of NEMA is the "donothing" alternative, as detailed further below.

8.1.3 Design or Layout of activity

The design or layout of a mining project is determined by the shape, position and orientation of the mineral resource, which in this case is linear along an existing river bed lying in an east-west orientation. There would be two feasible ways of mining this resource. It could be mined from east to west or in the opposite direction. Best practice dictates that it is better to mine and rehabilitate the area sequentially in mining blocks from upstream, as this minimises the disturbance to the mining blocks once they have been rehabilitated. The significance of the environmental impacts associated with different possible design or layout alternatives would be very similar, therefore layout alternatives have not been assessed in the impact ratings table.

8.1.4 The technology to be used in the activity

The technology used in a mining project is determined by the shape, position and orientation of the mineral resource, with the technology alternative for sand mining being restricted to the use of machinery to remove the sand to an average depth of 2 metres, and includes trucks for the hauling of the sand to the clients. There are no technology alternatives for further consideration.

8.1.5 Operational alternatives

The proposed sand mining activities will take place during normal working hours from 07h00 to 18h00 on week days and Saturdays. The hauling of the sand will therefore also take place during these hours. There are no operational alternatives for further consideration.

8.1.6 The No-go Alternative

The No-Go Alternative will result in the status quo remaining of the section of the Buffels River earmarked for sand mining. The Buffels River is considered to be a Category D NEFEPA River, which means that it is largely natural (refer to Section 8.2.8). The alien vegetation that is present in the river is required by the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act to be removed by the landowners, with or without the sand mining operation in the river.

The assessment of alternatives must at all times include the "no-go" option as a baseline against which all other alternatives must be measured. The "no go" alternative is therefore assessed together with the preferred alternative.

The No-Go Alternative will mean that sand mining will not take place. There will be no supply of sand for the building and renewable energy industry from this site, resulting in the need to look for suitable sand deposits in other river channels. There will be no new employment opportunities or guaranteed job security provided for those people that the Applicant currently employs.

8.2 Site sensitivity (Baseline Environment)

8.2.1 Regional setting

The project site is located within the Succulent Karoo Biome. The Succulent Karoo Biome is found mostly west of the western escarpment from the Luderitz District of Namibia through the western belt of the Northern Cape and Western Cape Provinces, and inland of the Fynbos Biome to the Little Karoo. Much of the terrain is flat to gently undulating, such as the western coastal platform, Knersvlakte and Tanqua Karoo. Hilly and more rugged topography occurs in Namaqualand, the Robertson Karoo and Little Karoo and parts of the western escarpment. The extreme altitudinal range is from sea level to about 1 500 m, but most of the area lies below 800 m.


8.2.2 Geology

The bedrock slope of the area consists of unfossiliferous granite and gneiss (Dikgat Granite and Steinkopf Gneiss Figure 5). To the west is a flat marine platform formed on bedrock of the steeply dipping, pale, flaggy quartzites and interbedded conglomerates of the Vredefontein Formation (Gariep Supergroup, Port Nolloth Group, Stinkfontein Subgroup). These metasediments were deposited in a shallow, near-shore environment about 740-730 Ma (Ma = million years ago) (Marais et al., 2001; Gresse et al., 2006).

The formations which overlie the bedrock of the Namaqualand coastal plain are collectively called "The West Coast Group".

The youngest aeolian sands are loose or slightly consolidated and of pale hues (white, grey, yellow, pink), the older terrestrial deposits are compact, yellow-brown or reddened sands with a hard surface under the loose cover sands, colloquially termed "dorbank".

Figure 5: Geology of Mining area



8.2.3 Soil and land capability

According to the screening report (DEA) no nearby wind or solar developments or intersections with Environmental Management Framework areas are present. For the Agriculture Theme the sensitivity is also rated as low with no sensitivity features.

The proposed project site is located within a 900m section of the Buffels River, which is a non-perennial river. There are no irrigation activities in this stretch of the river floodplain and the only other land use except for existing sand mines is grazing. The R355 road runs parallel to the Buffels River stream next to the mine site. The property is boarded by mostly undeveloped natural areas although large scale diamond mining is present. There is no adjacent development upstream of the project site.

For the Agriculture Theme the sensitivity is regarded as low and is used for livestock grazing and production (Refer Figure 6a and Table 5a)

Table 5a: Agriculture theme Sensitivity Features

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Land capability;01. Very low/02. Very low/03. Low-Very low/04. Low-Very low/05. Low

Figure 6a: Map of relative agriculture theme sensitivity




Note that current land cover indicators do not take into account degradation due to, for example, spread of alien plants, secondary impacts of mining (e.g., sand mobilization) or overgrazing by livestock. The Land Capability map is provided as Figure 6b.

Table 5b: A summary of the extent (% of total LM area) of different land cover categories for local municipalities based on 2005 SPOT5 satellite imagery.

LM Name	Natural	Cultivated Dryland	Cultivated Irrigated	Mining	Settlement
DMA Bushmanland	99.75	0.17	0.02	0.04	0.02
DMA Tankwa	98.69	1.06	0.24	0	0.01
Hantam	97.71	2	0.21	0.01	0.07
Kamiesberg	97.35	2.14	0	0.43	0.07
Karoo Hoogland	99.49	0.32	0.13	0.01	0.06
Khâi-Ma	99.63	0.02	0.18	0.07	0.11
Nama Khoi	98.85	0.36	0.08	0.52	0.19
Richtersveld	97.7	0	0.06	2.15	0.09

As can be seen from Figure 6a the small footprint of mining activities 5Ha will not have an impact on other land uses or agricultural production.

<u>Figure 6b: Location of Mining area in terms of Land Cover sourced off SANB BGIS Map Viewer</u>



8.2.4 Landscape - Topography


Figure 1 and 2 which shows the contours at 20-meter intervals indicate the location of the site at 20m above mean sea level.

8.2.5 Climate


Climate Arid, seasonal climate with MAP around 100 mm on the coast. Most of the erratic rainfall occurs between June and August. Hot summers (marked by extremely high evapotranspiration) and cool winters, with fairly frequent frost. MAT 18.1°C. See also climate diagram for AZi 1 Namaqualand Riviere and Namaqualand Strandveld SKs 7 (Figure 7).

Figure 7: Climate Figure

AZi 1 Namaqualand Riviere



SKs 7 Namagualand Strandveld



8.2.6 Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna

Biodiversity

According to the screening report (DEA) the mining area is regarded as very high sensitivity with regard to Terrestrial Biodiversity as it is located within a Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA 1) and part of the Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (Refer Table 6 and Figure 8a).

Table 6: Terrestrial biodiversity theme Sensitivity Features

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	Critical biodiveristy area 1
Very High	Protected Areas Expansion Strategy

According to the 2016 Northern Cape Critical Biodiversity Areas the mining area is located in a Critical Biodiversity Area 1 (CBA1) (Refer Figure 8b). The reasoning behind this is not clear as the rest of the area covered by the same vegetation unit is regarded as other natural areas.

Even the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2013) document identify the area as Category D: Moderate Biodiversity Importance" – moderate risk for mining (Refer Figure 4).

However, a portion of this CBA 1 including the mining area is located within a FEPA River the Buffels River that merit the CBA 1 classification for the drainage channel although the drainage channel to the east is classified as an Ecological support area. Within this non-perennial river unchanneled valley-bottom wetlands are present with FEPA Code 1 Water Management Areas in close proximity (Refer Figure 8c).

This does not mean that CBA's need to be fenced off from human use, but rather that they should be supported by good planning, decision-making and management to ensure that human use does not impact on the condition of the ecosystem. It must be pointed out that the 2016 Northern Cape Critical Biodiversity Areas has not been approved and still need some ground truthing.





Figure 8a: Map of relative terrestrial biodiversity theme sensitivity

According to the National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) Department of Environment Affairs (DE) 2009 the area is however not included in the NPAES with the closest focus area the Richtersveld focus area (Refer Figure 8c). No protected areas are located within a 10Km radius of the mining area.

Figure 8b: Critical Biodiversity Areas



Figure 8c: Sensitive Ecosystems




Fauna

According to the screening report (DEA) the mining area is regarded as medium sensitivity with regard to Animal Species (Refer Table 7 and Figure 9)

Table 7: Animal Species theme Sensitivity Features

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Invertebrate-Brinckiella mauerbergerorum
Medium	Aves-Circus maurus
Medium	Insecta-Chrysoritis trimeni
Medium	Sensitive species 13

Figure 9: Map of relative Animal Species theme sensitivity



With reference to Table 7 the following species is regarded as having a potential distribution within the mining area and regarded as having a medium sensitivity

Circus maurus (Black harrier) and Chersobius signatus (Speckled Dwarf Tortoise, Speckled padloper) that is regarded as Vulnerable in terms of TOPS 2015 list.

The other species listed *Chrysoritis trimeni* (Trimen's opal), *Brinckiella mauerbergerorum* (Mauerberger's Winter Katydid) is no longer listed in terms of TOPS 2015.

Although no sensitive mammal species are listed for the area the area form part of the distribution area of the Grant's golden mole that cover most of the Namaqualand coastline (Figure 8c). No signs of golden mole activity have been recorded in the drainage channel as the habitat include sand dunes closer to the coastline.

Sand mining activities will have a medium significant impact on these species due to the small areas to be disturbed and short duration of activities. Mitigation of the disturbance is also

possible and after mitigation the impact will be regarded as insignificant.

Flora


The mining area is located within the Buffels River drainage channel and therefore regarded as Azonal and not included in any specific biome. The mining area is covered by the Namaqualand Riviere (AZi 1) vegetation unit (Refer Figure 10b).

According to the screening report (DEA) the mining area is rated as having a medium sensitivity regarding plant species due to the presense of the species listed below (Refer Table 8 and Figure 10a and 10b)

Table 8: Plant Species theme Sensitivity Features

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Leipoldtia frutescens
Medium	Manulea cinerea
Medium	Sensitive species 590
Medium	Sensitive species 169

Figure 10a: Map of relative Plant Species theme sensitivity



None of the listed species are legally protected in terms of the listed threatened or protected species (TOPS) regulations in terms of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004).

The project will have a medium significant impact with regard to Flora due to the small areas to be disturbed and short duration of activities. Mitigation of the disturbance is also possible and after mitigation the impact will be regarded as insignificant.

Namaqualand Riviere (AZi 1)

The conservation status of the Namaqualand Riviere, according to Driver et al. 2005 and Mucina et al. 2006 is given as Least Threatened. Target 24% with only a very small portion statutorily protected in nature reserves (Lutzville). Almost 20% transformed for cultivation (vineyards along the entire lower reaches of the Olifants River) or by building of dams (Driekoppies Dam). Exotic shrubs *Nicotiana glauca* and *Prosopis species* are often found in riverbeds. The latter is probably the most important woody invader species found in Namaqualand. In some years invasive indigenous *Gomphocarpus fruticosus* may appear in abundance in the alluvia, while in other years it would disappear completely. Another invasive indigenous species is *Galenia africana* which can be dominant along some of the water courses, especially in the south.

With regard to the specific mining area the vegetation is sparce as it is located within an active drainage channel (Refer photo 1, 2 and 3 below).

Figure 10b: Vegetation




Photo 1: Landscape view from public road



Photo 2 Old excavation in the south-western part of the site which has been washed by recent river flow.



Photo 3 Old excavation in the southern part of the site showing the nature of the sand being targeted for mining.

8.2.7 Aquatic biodiversity and Water Resources


The property is located within the Department of Water & Sanitation's Lower Orange Water Management Area (14) and quaternary drainage area F30G. Within this F30G quaternary drainage area the major river system is the Buffels River a non-perennial river, classed as Category B: Largely Natural as referenced from the SANBI BGIS NFEPA Database Map Viewer. Surface water only accumulates in the drainage channels after exceptionally good rains. The Mean Annual Run-off (MAR) is in any event very low given the low rainfall average is 106mm occurring mainly in the winter months, high evaporation rates, and shallow grade of the slope toward the drainage channels and the permeability of the soils. The surface water quality (when available) is suitable for animal consumption but not for potable water. There are no strategig water source areas for surface water near the proposed project site and the nearest strategic water source areas for underground water is the Port Nolloth Cluster approximately 23Km North of the mining area and the Komaggas Cluster approximately 33Km East of the mining area.

According to the screening report (DEA) the mining area is rated as having a very high sensitivity regarding Aquatic biodiversity as inland aquatic ecosystems according to the "Classification System for Wetlands and other Aquatic Ecosystems in South Africa are present. According to the classification system distinction is made between seven Hydrogeomorphic (HGM) units the finest spatial scale (Level 4) namely Floodplain wetlands, Un-channeled valley-bottom wetlands, Wetland flats, Channeled valley-bottom wetlands, Depressions, Seeps and Rivers (Table 9 & Figure 11a)

Table 9: Aquatic biodiversity theme Sensitivity Features

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low sensitivity
Very High	Wetlands and Estuaries

Figure 11a: Map of relative Aquatic biodiversity theme sensitivity



Within the mining area two Aquatic Ecosystems units namely Unchanneled valley-bottom wetlands and Rivers are present Figure 11b & 11c. The Buffels River is classified as a NFEPA River (FEPA 1)⁴. River FEPAs achieve biodiversity targets for river ecosystems and threatened/near-threatened fish species, and were identified in rivers that are currently in a good condition (A or B ecological category). Their FEPA status indicates that they should remain in a good condition in order to contribute to national biodiversity goals and support sustainable use of water resources.

The idea is not for FEPAs to be fenced off from human use, but rather that they should be supported by good planning, decision-making and management to ensure that human use does not impact on the condition of the ecosystem⁵.

This mining operation will also require Water Use Authorization (WUA) in terms of the National Water Act, Act 36 of 1998, but as it falls within the ambit of the General Authorisation no. 4229: Government notice 509 of 2016 it is regarded as permissible in terms of Section 22 (1) (a) (iii) of the NWA. A general authorisation for section 21 c & 21i water uses of the NWA (36, 1998) were obtained for Impeding or diverting the flow of water in a watercourse (21c) and Altering the Bed, Banks, Course or Characteristics of a Watercourse (21i) (Annexure 4).

⁴ FEPAs are strategic spatial priorities for conserving freshwater ecosystems and supporting sustainable use of water resources. FEPAs were determined through a process of systematic biodiversity planning and were identified using a range of criteria for conserving ecosystems and associated biodiversity of rivers, wetlands and estuaries. FEPA maps are suitable to use at a desktop level for planning and decision-making processes at the national or water management area level. In general, confidence in the FEPA maps at a national level is high but decreases at more local levels of planning.

⁵ "Implementation Manual for Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas Report to the Water Research Commission" (WRC Report No. 1801/1/11; AUGUST 2011)

Figure 11b: Location of Mining area in relation to Aquatic biodiversity and Water Resources





Figure 11c Location of Mining area within the Buffels Rivers



8.2.8 Emissions

Air Quality

Dust is generated by wind over un-vegetated or denuded areas and given the surrounding extent of semi-desert dust generation is high under windy conditions (dust storm). Dust is generated off un-surfaced roadways on site and during the existing mining operations from the adjacent mines which has transported the finer sand over the adjacent areas. Mining activities will take place in a very remote area and dust generation will be limited to a small radius around the operation.

Noise

Farm traffic-generated noise occurs in the area and such noise levels are low (observed estimate at $\pm 55 dBA$). Noise from earth moving equipment and machinery associated with the existing mining operation on the adjacent mine will be within the norm and due to the remote locality of the operation will have no impact.

8.2.9 Socio-economic

The Namaqua District is sparsely populated, with a population of 115 842 and is the least populated district in the Northern Cape Province (and Country, although geographically the largest) with a population comprising 10,11% of the province's total population.

- The average growth rate for GGP in the area from 1996-2011 was 5.4 % and in 2007-2011 this has slowed down slightly to an average growth rate of 4.8%.
- The largest contributing sector to employment in the local economy (21.12% of total employment in the formal sector) is the Retail, Catering and accommodation sector

8.2.10 Paleontological, Archaeological and Cultural and Heritage Resources

According to the screening tool the relative archaeological and cultural heritage sensitivity is rated as low as verified in the specialist report attached as Annexure 3 (Refer Table 10 and Figure 12a).

The only recommendation according to the Heritage Impact Assessment (Annexure 3) that is included as part of the EMPr is that at closure, all waste must be removed and the site left in a tidy state, and if any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.

According to the screening tool the relative Palaeontological sensitivity is rated as low and according to the SAHRA Palaeontological (fossil) Sensitivity Map the sensitivity is also rated as insignificant/zero and no palaeontological studies are required

Authorisation will be obtained from SAHRA confirming whether or not mining may proceed.

Table10: Archaeological and Cultural and Heritage theme Sensitivity Features


Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low sensitivity



Figure 12a: Map of relative Archaeological and Cultural and Heritage theme sensitivity

Figure 12b: Map of relative Palaeontological theme Sensitivity (Extract from the SAHRIS Palaeosensitivity Map)

Low



8.2.11 Description of specific environmental features and infrastructure on the site Refer to Figure 3 to 12 which provides an overview of the position of the propose project site in the Buffels River, the existing access tracks, and the extent of the vegetation on the river banks and in the river itself.

The farmstead dwellings and other farm infrastructure will be avoided. The area also has a number of farm tracks that traverse the site from the R355. The invasive activities will seek to use existing roads in order to access the property and it is not expected that any new access roads will be opened up. The map Figure 1 and 3 above gives an overview of the mining area, settlements and roads that traverse the site.

8.2.12 Environmental and current land use maps

Refer to Figure 4 to 12 and section 8.2. provided as part of the specific attributes

9. Risks and associated Impacts identified

As described earlier in this report, the mining activities are restricted to the removal of river bed sand up to an average depth of 2 meters from the Buffels River.

The impact assessment focuses only on the invasive aspects (associated activities) as these will have the potential to impact on the biophysical and social environment. The impact assessment (Table 14) is furthermore separated into three distinct phases, namely:

- Construction phase (Site establishment);
- Operational phase (Sampling and Drilling), and.
- Decommissioning

9.1 Potential Risks/impacts

- 9.1.1 Potential risks associated with Soil (contamination, erosion, compaction) & Land capability (viable and sustainable land)
- Uncontrolled expansion of mining footprint by not restricting the area disturbed by mining and the associated activities/infrastructure, resulting in loss of land with agricultural potential.
- Uncontrolled development of roads, where existing farm roads are not used for mining operations and redundant internal roads are left behind.
- Post-mining landform not compatible with the surrounding landscape and not capable of productive land use that achieves a land capability equal to that of pre-mining conditions.
- The post-mining landscape increases the requirement for long-term monitoring and management.
- Destruction of vegetation on the banks will lead to scouring, ncreased soil erosion causing loss of topsoil.
- Long term changes in land use are caused by not implementing prompt rehabilitation and maintenance of disturbances when possible as part of the annual rehabilitation plan.
- Unsuccessful rehabilitation can reduce the post-mining land use options. Rehabilitated areas could be too unstable to support post-mining land use objectives compatible with surrounding areas.
- The potential risks related to waste management practices that will require implementing of mitigation and management actions to limit the residual impact after mine closure.
- Sub-surface infrastructure remaining behind, limiting the intended post-closure land use including footings and foundations, power supply and water installations including pumps and pipelines.

- Unwanted ruins, buildings, foundations, footings and waste management practices creating or leaving legacies.
- Equipment and other items used during the mining operation were left behind.
- Incomplete removal of re-usable infrastructure.
- Rubble from demolished infrastructure left behind.
- No industrial or mine waste is generated during the mining process and all material consisting mainly of river sand will be removed from the site and sold as a FoT product. No processing will take place so no mining waste or overburden and fine residue dumps will be created and there will be only limited product stockpiles present on site.

9.1.2 Change in topography

- Change in topography due to excavations and stockpiles remaining after mining.
- Potentially dangerous areas like excavations incorrectly rehabilitated including uncontrolled access to potentially unsafe post-mining areas.
- The risk of deep and unstable excavations that can be detrimental to the safety and health of humans and animals can be regarded as insignificant given the extremely low rainfall in the area and small size of the excavations. The drainage channel is only in flood on average once a year and during flood events any excavations are filled naturally with sand washed in from upstream.
- Due to the simple mining process that only includes loading and hauling, there will be no unsafe areas like steep slopes that would require demarcation to prevent access by humans and animals.
- No infrastructure, sub-surface voids, fine residue dams or evaporation ponds will be developed that can lead to potentially unsafe post-mining areas; therefore, no post mining access control would be required.
- To prevent significant negative effects the post-mining topography must be adjusted where possible to minimise the effect on water flow and increase potential for re-vegetation.

9.1.3 The potential Risks associated with Biodiversity, Flora & Fauna

- Disturbance to sensitive environments such as Critical Biodiversity areas and any associated biodiversity corridors, land with historical or conservation value part of NPAES, Wetlands and other Aquatic Ecosystems, terrestrial habitats for species of conservation concern (SCC) and high potential agricultural land.
- Disturbance of ecology due to loss of habitat and cumulative impact of illegal collecting during long-term or life of mine can degrade areas and reduce the viability of adjacent areas.
- Inadequate control of alien invasive vegetation species can result in the establishment of populations or seed sources that threaten adjacent areas.
- Loss of indigenous vegetation due to disturbed footprints at mining area.

9.1.4 The potential Risks associated with Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources

- Inadequate topsoil restoration or creation of unnatural surface topography or slope which could impact lower or adjacent slopes due to increased runoff velocity.
- Altered storm water runoff response due to large impervious areas and concentrated runoff in drainage systems. Concentrated storm runoff from infrastructure areas is erosive, causing sheet, rill and donga erosion features.
- Impact on surface water through modification of infiltration rates by increasing the extent of hardened surfaces.
- Potential contamination of groundwater from unmanaged use of hydrocarbons on-site, and incorrect storage of hazardous substances.

- Oil fuel leaks onto soil through the earthmoving and transport equipment and machinery or spillage of fuel during the transfer from fuel bowser to equipment.
- Chemical contaminants impacting surface and/or groundwater quality or resulting in discharge that exceeds the concentrations permitted.
- Vehicle wash bays and workshop facilities produce petrochemical and solvent contaminated runoff.
- Sanitary conveniences, fuel depots or storage facilities of potentially polluting substances can contaminate surface water.
- Waste classes are not kept in separate streams and incomplete removal of waste.
- The potential risks arising after mine closure are changes in the quantity of surface water compared to pre-mining quantities that may negatively affect the area.

9.1.5 Potential Risks associated with visual intrusion, noise, vibration, light pollution and air emissions.

- Terrain morphology plays a critical role in defining the visual envelope of mine developments and can either reduce or enhance visual impact. Apart from visual intrusion, there is also the risk of a reduced sense of place. The visual intrusion impact of mining activity would be on nearby roads, homesteads, settlements, tourist accommodation, and along tourism routes or corridors.
- The visual disturbance would be caused by mining activities such as excavations. Buildings provide a colour contrast, as do disturbed areas against adjacent natural areas.
- Nuisance effects of air emissions due to a lack of implementation of dust suppression activities could impact on communities.
- Dust generated on haul roads reduces visibility, representing a safety hazard.
- Dust can retard vegetation growth and reduce the palatability of vegetation.
- The cumulative effect of a rise in the ambient noise levels or high noise levels in specific areas that exceed specified levels would impact on communities in close proximity.
- Noise disturbance and light pollution would result from night-time activities (if applicable) in areas that are in close proximity to communities.

9.1.6 Potential Risks associated with the socio-economic environment.

- Disturbance of local communities in urban and rural areas caused by noise and dust emissions and increase in heavy vehicles along transport routes.
- Safety of personnel operating large earth-moving equipment.
- Dust, noise and vibration associated with mining activities, in relation to surrounding communities.
- An influx of people into the local communities looking for work, with an increase in demand for housing, schooling and services. Such an influx of workers into a community often results in a change in social dynamics.
- Positive impacts include, for example, the creation of both formal and informal businesses to supply additional needs, whilst negative social impacts include, for example, an increase in substance abuse, HIV transmission and unwanted pregnancies.
- Staff losing their jobs at mine closure can have devastating effects on communities that are reliant on mine-based income.
- Job losses of secondary industries, businesses and contractors and contractual agreements with service providers surpassing mine closure date.
- Lack of compliance with the approved EMPr and a lack of auditing of the EMPr.
- Closure stalled due to non-compliance with relevant legislation (national, provincial and local).

- Insufficient funds for complete rehabilitation.
- 9.1.7 Potential Risks associated with regard archaeological, cultural heritage or paleontological sites
- Disturbance of identified surface, or unknown sub-surface sites, if mitigation and monitoring is not implemented as per mitigating measures in the Heritage and Palaeontology Impact Assessment (Annexure 3)
- Progressive development can encroach upon or disturb identified sites.

9.1.8 Potential Risks associated with the Preferred Alternative.

Refer to Section 3, Section 5 and Section 6 above, which describes the location, type of activity, design or layout, technology and operational alternatives, and the preliminary result of having a preferred and only alternative. The potential impact from this preferred and only alternative are listed in Table 11 below.

9.1.9 Potential Risks associated with the No-Go Alternative

There would be no change to the biophysical environment with the No-Go Alternative. The No-Go Alternative implies that the Applicant would forgo an opportunity to provide employment opportunities in an area and sector identified for opportunities for job provision and economic growth, and the sourcing of minerals. This potential would not be reached with the "no-go" option.

Table 11: Preferred Alternative: Potential Risks per Phase and Activity

Phase	Activities	Potential Impacts
		Disturbance to river bank at access point
	Site access	Dust generation from vehicles using existing access and haul roads
	Site access	Disturbance of vegetation and fauna
		Soil compaction from repeated use of access track
CONSTRUCTION PHASE	Site Establishment Activities	Contamination and disturbance of soil from compaction and soil disturbance due to
H/	(Including associated	topsoil stockpiling
I I	infrastructure, Water and	Soil and sand contamination from hydrocarbons
	wastewater infrastructure,	Change in topography due to excavations and stockpiles
Ĭ	Electricity infrastructure, Waste	Biodiversity (wildlife and vegetation) disturbance from vehicles and offroad driving
\mathbf{C}	management, Storm water control,	Removal of alien invasive plant species such as Prosopis sp. (positive impact)
K	Topsoil stripping and stockpiling	Destruction of Aquatic biodiversity from activities within drainage channels and wetlands
SI	for lay down areas, Waste	Altering Water Resources (Quality & Quantity) water abstraction and groundwater
	generation and management)	pollution from hydrocarbons.
C		Emissions (Dust and light), Noise and Vibration causing nuisance from topsoil stripping,
		site establishment activities and vehicles & visual intrusion from development
		Socio-economic impact on job security, employment creation and economic spin-offs
		(positive impact)
		No impact on heritage artefacts, heritage sites or grave yards – Refer to Annexure 3
		Soil and sand contamination from hydrocarbon spills
1	Removal of sand to a depth of 2	Compaction of soil on access tracks and in river bed due to sand mining activities
\mathbf{Z}	metres in the river bed; movement	Wildlife and vegetation disturbance from front end loader and trucks
OPERATIONAL PHASE	of trucks on site to collect sand for	Ongoing removal of alien invasive plant species such as Prosopis sp. (positive impact)
AT HA	removal; waste generation and	Removal of sand from river bed impacting on river ecosystem
R/PE	management	Dust emissions from general site activities (vehicle entrained dust)
PE		Socio-economic impact on job security, employment creation and economic spin-offs
0		(positive impact)
		No impact on heritage artefacts, heritage sites and grave yards – Refer to Annexure 3

	Rehabilitation of the sand mining	Shaping of river profile and replacing topsoil
5		Ongoing removal of alien invasive plant species such as Prosopis sp. (positive impact)
DECOMMISSIONIN PHASE	and vehicle tracks	Closure stalled due to non-compliance with relevant legislation (national, provincial and
		local).
SE		Insufficient funds for complete rehabilitation
IIS		Staff losing their jobs at mine closure can have devastating effects on communities that
		are reliant on mine-based income.
Ö		Job losses of secondary industries, businesses and contractors and contractual agreements
EC		with service providers surpassing mine closure date.
D [Socio-economic impact on job security, employment creation and economic spin-offs
		(positive impact)

9.2 Methodology used in assessing potential environmental impacts

Refer to Table 12 below, which provides the impact assessment criteria applied in the rating of the impacts associated with each phase of the proposed mining activity for the Preferred and Only Alternative.

Table 12: Impact Assessment Criteria

	Nature
Rating	Criteria
Positiv e	Beneficial to the receiving environment
Neg ativ e	Harmful to the receiving environment
Neu tra 1	Neither beneficial or harmful
veu iiai	S everity
Rating	Criteria
6	The impact is result in a complete loss of all resources. Irreparable damage to highly valued species, habitat or
Very High	ecosystem.
	The impact will result in significant loss of resources. Very serious, long-term environmental impairment of ecosyste
_	function that may take several years to rehabilitate
5	Very serious widespread social impacts.
High	Irreparable damage to highly valued items.
	The impact will result in marginal loss of resources. Serious medium term environmental effects. Environmental
4	damage can be reversed in less than a year.
Medium	On-going social issues.
	Damage to structures/items of cultural resources of low significance, mostly repairable.
	Moderate, short- term effects but not affecting ecosystem function.
-	Rehabilitation requires no intervention of external specialists and can be done in less than a month.
3	
Low	On-going social issues.
	Some damage to insignificant cultural resiurces.
	Minor effects on biological or physical environment. Environmental damage can be rehabilitated internally with/
2	without help of external consultants.
Very low	Minor medium-term social impacts on local population.
very row	Low-lev el repairable damage to commonplace historical structures
	
1	The impact will not result in the loss of any resources. Limited damage to minimal area of low significance, (e.g. ad
None	hoc spills within plant area). Will have no impact on the social environment.
	Cultural functions and processes not affected.
	Spatial Scale
Rating	Criteria
6	Will a ffect areas across international boundaries
Very High	
5	Will a ffect the entire country
High	
4	Will a ffect the entire province or region
Medium	
3	Will a ffect the local area or district
Low	
2	The impact will only affect the site
Very low	· '
1	The impact will only affect portions of the site
None	The impact will enter portions of the one
1,0116	Donation
D. C.	Duration
Rating	Criteria Description of the control
6	Permanent no mitigation possible
Very High	
5	Permanent but mitigation possible
High	
4	Long term (6-15 years)
Medium	
3	Medium term (1-5 years)
Low	
2	Short term (Less than 1 year)
Very low	
1	Immediate (Less than 1 month)
-	
None	47

								Proba	shilite								
Rating	Criter	ia						11002	willty								
6	Certain/Definite Impact will certainly occur (100% probability of occurring)																
Very High																	
5 U:-1	Almost certain/ High probability Impact will occur (>75% probability of occurring)																
High 4	Impaci	 t likely	to occ	ur (50	- 75% t	orobab	ility of	foccurr	 in σ)								
Medium	impue.		10 000	ur (50	,5,01	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	 , 0.	· occurr	6/								
3	Impac	t may	occur (25-50%	proba	ability (of occi	ırring)									
Low										-:							
2 Very low	Unlike	ly/ Lov	w prob	ability.	Impac	t unlik	ely to	occur(0 - 25% p	robabi	lity of o	occumi	ng)				
1	Highly	Unlik	elv/No	ne Im	act ur	likely	to occ	nr (0% 1	probabili	tv of o		a)					
None	11151117	Onni	.0197110	, ii c iiii	puet ur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ur (0/0]	proodom	., 01 0	ccurrin	5)					
			SIGN	IFICA	NCEC	onsequ	uence	x Pr oba	bility Pr	esente	d as a s	core c	out of 1	108			
Rating	Criter																
84-108 High	Long-	term er	nvironn	nental	ch an g	e with	great s	ocial in	nportance	ē.							
50-83	Mediu	m to lo	ong ten	m envi	ronme	ntal ch	ange v	vith fair	social in	nportan	ice.						
Medium							- 0			•							
27-49	Short	to med	lium ter	m envi	ironme	ntal ch	ange	with littl	le social	importa	ance.						
Low	_					:-		 - –									
12-26 Very low	Short-	term er	nvironi	mental	chang	e with	nosoc	cial impo	ortance								
3-11	No en	vironm	nental c	hange													
None																	
I In Im arres	Due to lack of information																
Unknown	Due to	lack o				-											
Olikilowii	Due to		Co	nsequ	en ce =				Scale +D								
Unknown		3	4	onsequ 5		7	8	9	10	ur ation	Pres	ented :	as a sc	ore out	of 18	17	18
Onknown	Due to		Co	nsequ	en ce =											17 17	18 18
		3	4	onsequ 5	ence=	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		
	1	3	4 4	onsequ 5 5	ence = 6 6	7	8	9	10 10	11	12	13 13	14 14	15 15	16 16	17	18
	1 2	3 3 6 9	4 4 8 12	5 5 10 15	6 6 12 18	7 7 14 21	8 8 16 24	9 9 18 27	10 10 20 30	11 11 22 33	12 12 24 36	13 13 26 39	14 14 28 42	15 15 30 45	16 16 32 48	17 34 51	18 36 54
Probability	1 2 3 4	3 3 6 9	4 8 12 16	5 5 10 15 20	6 6 12 18 24	7 7 14 21 28	8 8 16 24 32	9 9 18 27 36	10 10 20 30 40	11 11 22 33 44	12 12 24 36 48	13 13 26 39 52	14 14 28 42 56	15 15 30 45 60	16 16 32 48 64	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72
	1 2 3 4 5	3 3 6 9 12 15	4 4 8 12	5 5 10 15 20 25	6 6 12 18	7 7 14 21 28 35	8 8 16 24 32 40	9 18 27 36 45	10 10 20 30	11 11 22 33	12 12 24 36 48 60	13 13 26 39 52 65	14 14 28 42 56 70	15 15 30 45	16 16 32 48	17 34 51	18 36 54
	1 2 3 4	3 3 6 9	4 8 12 16	5 5 10 15 20	6 6 12 18 24	7 7 14 21 28	8 8 16 24 32	9 9 18 27 36	10 10 20 30 40	11 11 22 33 44	12 12 24 36 48	13 13 26 39 52	14 14 28 42 56	15 15 30 45 60	16 16 32 48 64	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72
Probability	1 2 3 4 5	3 6 9 12 15 18	4 8 12 16 20	5 5 10 15 20 25	ence = 6 6 12 18 24 30	7 7 14 21 28 35	8 8 16 24 32 40 48	9 18 27 36 45 54	10 10 20 30 40 50	11 11 22 33 44 55 66	12 12 24 36 48 60	13 13 26 39 52 65	14 14 28 42 56 70	15 15 30 45 60 75	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Probability	1 2 3 4 5 6	3 3 6 9 12 15 18	4 8 12 16 20 24	5 5 10 15 20 25 30	ence = 6 6 12 18 24 30 36	7 7 14 21 28 35 42	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUM	9 9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIV	10 10 20 30 40 50 60 VE EFFE	11 11 22 33 44 55 66	12 12 24 36 48 60	13 13 26 39 52 65	14 14 28 42 56 70	15 15 30 45 60 75	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Probability	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter	3 3 6 9 12 15 18	4 8 12 16 20 24	5 5 10 15 20 25 30 esult in	6 6 12 18 24 30 36	7 7 14 21 28 35 42	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUM	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIV	10 20 30 40 50 60 VE EFFEO	11 11 22 33 44 55 66	12 12 24 36 48 60	13 13 26 39 52 65	14 14 28 42 56 70	15 15 30 45 60 75	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The in The in	3 6 9 12 15 18 ia npact w	4 8 12 16 20 24	5 5 10 15 20 25 30 esult ir esult ir	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 1 signification of the significa	7 7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant o	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUM	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIV	10 20 30 40 50 60 VE EFFEO	11 11 22 33 44 55 66	12 12 24 36 48 60	13 13 26 39 52 65	14 14 28 42 56 70	15 15 30 45 60 75	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Medium Low	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The in The in	3 6 9 12 15 18 ia ipact wipact	4 8 12 16 20 24	5 5 10 15 20 25 30 esult ir esult ir	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 1 signification of the significa	7 7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant o	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUM	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIV	10 20 30 40 50 60 VE EFFEO	11 22 33 44 55 66 CTS	12 12 24 36 48 60	13 13 26 39 52 65	14 14 28 42 56 70	15 15 30 45 60 75	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Medium Low Rating	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The in The in The in	3 6 9 12 15 18 ia ipact wipact	4 8 12 16 20 24 vould revould	5 5 10 15 20 25 30 esult ir esult ir	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 1 signification model	7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant of remaining	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUMI	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIV	10 20 30 40 50 60 WE EFFECTS Cts BILITY	11 22 33 44 55 66 CCTS	12 12 24 36 48 60 72	13 13 26 39 52 65 78	14 14 28 42 56 70	15 15 30 45 60 75	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Medium Low Rating Reversible	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The in The in The in	3 6 9 12 15 18 ia ipact wipact	4 8 12 16 20 24 vould revould revo	5 5 10 15 20 25 30 esult ir esult ir esult ir esult ir	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 n signification of though	7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant curate	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUMI	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIVE effects EVERS	10 20 30 40 50 60 WE EFFECTS Cects CHBILITY	11 22 33 44 55 66 CTS tion me	12 12 24 36 48 60 72	13 13 26 39 52 65 78	14 14 28 42 56 70 84	15 15 30 45 60 75 90	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Medium Low Rating Reversible	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The in The in The in	3 6 9 12 15 18 ia ippact wippact wippac	4 8 12 16 20 24 vould revould	5 10 15 20 25 30 esult ir esult ir esult ir ent and	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 n signification of though dean't	7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant corrate current cumulthe impose the rev	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUMI	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIVE effects EVERS	10 20 30 40 50 60 WE EFFECTS Cts BILITY	11 22 33 44 55 66 CTS	12 12 24 36 48 60 72 easures of miti	13 13 26 39 52 65 78	14 28 42 56 70 84 measu	15 30 45 60 75 90	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Medium Low Rating Reversible Irreversible Rating	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The im The im The im Impact Impact Impact	3 6 9 12 15 18 ia inpact wipact wipac	4 8 12 16 20 24 vould revould	5 10 15 20 25 30 esult irresult irresul	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 1 signification modes a minor	7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant corrate cur cumul	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUMI	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIVE effects EVERS	10 20 30 40 50 60 WE EFFECTS CESTS CHILITY OF mitigal implements BE AVO	11 22 33 44 55 66 CTS tion mentation DIDED//	12 12 24 36 48 60 72 easures of miti	13 13 26 39 52 65 78	14 28 42 56 70 84 measu	15 30 45 60 75 90	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Low Rating Reversible Irreversible Rating High	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The im The im Impact Impact Impact The im	3 3 6 9 12 15 18 ia mpact wipact wipa	4 8 12 16 20 24 vould revould revould reperman DEGRE	5 10 15 20 25 30 esult ir ent and esigni	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 1 significant discount ficant discount fica	7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant corrate current the improvement to be reversely avoice of the correction of th	8 16 24 32 40 48 CUM Cumula Innulative of R Plemer ers ed	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIVE effects EVERS Intation by the OULD	10 20 30 40 50 60 VE EFFE ects cts GBILITY of mitigal implement BE AVO	11 22 33 44 55 66 CTS tion mentation DIDED//	12 12 24 36 48 60 72 easures of miti	13 13 26 39 52 65 78	14 28 42 56 70 84 measu	15 30 45 60 75 90	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Medium Low Rating Reversible Irreversible Rating High Medium	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The in The im Impact Impact Impact The in The	3 3 6 9 12 15 18 ia mpact wipact wipa	4 8 12 16 20 24 vould r vould r vould r could b could b	5 10 15 20 25 30 esult ir esult ir ers ed the ent and efficient and efficient efficien	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 1 signification models a minor	7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant curate curate curate curate improvement in the	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUM cumulation and a mulation of the control of	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIVE effects EVERS Intation by the OULD	10 20 30 40 50 60 VE EFFE ects cts BILITY of mitigal implement BE AVO	11 22 33 44 55 66 CTS tion mentation DIDED/	12 24 36 48 60 72 easures of miti	13 13 26 39 52 65 78	14 28 42 56 70 84 measu	15 30 45 60 75 90	16 16 32 48 64 80	17 34 51 68	18 36 54 72 90
Rating High Medium Low Rating Reversible Irreversible Rating High	1 2 3 4 5 6 Criter The in The im Impact Impact Impact The in	3 3 6 9 12 15 18 ia ipact wipact wipa	4 8 12 16 20 24 vould r	5 10 15 20 25 30 esult iresult iresult iretainees esult i	6 6 12 18 24 30 36 1 signification models minored mino	7 14 21 28 35 42 ficant current curren	8 8 16 24 32 40 48 CUM Cumulation and a mulative of the control of	9 18 27 36 45 54 ULATIVE effects EVERS Intation by the OULD an aged/ mitig ated ated to a	10 20 30 40 50 60 VE EFFE ects cts BILITY of mitigatement BE AVO	11 22 33 44 55 66 CTS tion mentation DIDED/	12 24 36 48 60 72 easures of miti	13 13 26 39 52 65 78 gation	14 28 42 56 70 84 measu MITIG.	15 30 45 60 75 90	16 16 32 48 64 80 96	17 34 51 68 85 102	18 36 54 72 90

9.3 Positive and negative impacts of proposed activity and alternatives

9.3.1 Positive impacts

- Creation of employment and job security and economic spin-offs (positive impact)
- Provision of materials for construction industry to support local and regional economic growth related to the renewable energy industry.
- Removal of alien invasive plant species, such as Prosopis and Tamarisk spp.

9.3.2 Negative impacts

The key potential negative impacts associated with the sand mining activity include the following:

- Site access:
 - Disturbance of onsite fauna and flora
 - Soil compaction from repeated use of access tack
- Site Establishment Activities (including: topsoil stripping and stockpiling, erection of temporary equipment laydown area, waste generation and management)
 - Noise Generation
 - Visual intrusion
 - Dust fall and nuisance from activities, dust emission from top soil stripping
 - Wildlife and vegetation disturbance from site preparation
 - River bed contamination from hydrocarbons
 - Contamination and disturbance of river sand from compaction and soil disturbance due to topsoil stockpiling
- Removal of sand to an average depth of 2 metres in the river bed; movement of trucks on site to collect sand for removal; waste generation and management:
 - Noise caused by the machinery and vehicles on site, and by vehicles going to and from the mining site
 - Visibility of the sand mining operations
 - Dust emissions from general site activities (vehicle entrained dust)
 - Removal of sand from river bed impacting on river ecosystem
 - Wildlife and vegetation disturbance from front end loader and trucks
 - Impact of storm water run-off during infrequent rainfall events
 - River sand contamination from hydrocarbon spills
 - Compaction of soil on access tracks and in river bed due to sand mining activities
- Rehabilitation of the sand mining area, scarifying compacted areas and vehicle tracks
 - Dust emission from decommissioning activities (vehicle entrained dust)
 - Soil erosion of topsoil
 - Ongoing removal of alien invasive plant species such as Prosopis sp. (positive impact)
 - Socio-economic impact on job security, employment creation and economic spin-offs (positive impact)

9.4 The mitigation measures and the level of risk.

Refer to Table 14 for the impact assessment and the key measures to mitigate the potential impacts.

9.4.1 Soil and Land Capability:

The impacts of soil and land capability have been assessed as being of low significance even before mitigation. The activities and actions associated with achieving a stable, free draining post mining landform, which is compatible with the surrounding landscape and which is capable of a productive land use that achieves a land capability equal to that of pre-mining

conditions are discussed below. It is important to note that for the mine to meet the key objective of economically viable and sustainable grazing, it is imperative that its other key objectives, viz. a safe post-mining area with limited residual impacts and optimal post-mining social opportunities are met.

The building block of viable and sustainable small stock production on the disturbed areas created by excavations is the shaping of the slope and ripping of compacted areas. All remaining unsafe areas like excavations needs to be profiled to form an even depression to prevent injury to humans and animals.

The risks associated with stability are the formation of erosion gulley's and a collapsing slope of any remaining excavations. The risk can be regarded as insignificant given the extremely low rainfall in the area (outside forces) and small size and even slope of any remaining excavation. The risk will be mitigated by the shaping of excavation and ripping of compacted areas due to stockpiling and movement to facilitate natural re-vegetation. Furthermore, no overburden or product stockpiles will remain on site.

The above soil preparation will be combined with sound management practices through application of the land use principles, guidelines and recommendations with regard to carrying capacity. These actions should mitigate the risks of erosion and inferior agricultural results due to improper farming practices.

The impact on soil compaction can be reduced to very low by limiting the activities and clearance to the smallest area that is necessary. No clear scraping (dozing) will be carried out unless absolutely necessary and in this case the compacted area will be scarified and any topsoil stockpiled removed will be spread over the disturbed area immediately after completion of the activity. Incremental clearing of ground cover should take place to avoid unnecessary exposed surfaces. Where clear scraping (dozing) or removal of vegetation cannot be avoided areas should be kept to an absolute minimum. All compacted areas that are not required for aftercare access shall be scarified. All tracks (twee-spoor) will be scarified and any topsoil stockpiled removed to be spread over the disturbed area. Dual use access roads must be handed back to the landowner in a good state of repair. The impact can be further reduced only using existing farm roads and tracks.

The impact on soil contamination can be reduced to very low by the mitigating measure applicable to waste management. In order to ensure that waste classes are kept in separate streams, communication will be passed on and people will be trained on the different waste classes. Separation of wastes into classes will ensure that waste is disposed of safely and according to the correct procedure. Implementation of the following tasks to manage the risks associated with mining activities will ensure that waste management practices do not create and/or leave legacies and will limit the residual impact of mine closure. Regular inspections and audits will be used as management system to ensure compliance.

All equipment and other items used during the mining operation needs to be removed from the site. Waste material of any description, including receptacles, scrap, rubble and tires, will be removed entirely from the mining area and disposed of at a recognised landfill facility. It will not be buried or burned on the site. Implementing screening as part of the cleaning activities before materials are moved from the mine. The infrastructure area will be screened for petrochemical spills and cleaned and waste from the temporary storage facility will be removed and the area cleaned. Any compacted movement areas will be screened for petrochemical spills

and cleaned before it is ripped and levelled. Redundant structures will be removed for use elsewhere or demolished and discarded. All steel structures and reinforcing will be discarded or sold as scrap. All equipment and other items used during the mining operation needs to be removed from the site. Remove all power and water supply installations not to be retained by landowner in terms of section 44 of the MPRDA. Final walk through of complete mining lease area to ensure no mining related waste and of re-usable infrastructure remain on site.

9.4.2 Topography

The impacts of topography have been assessed as being of very low significance before mitigation. As part of ensuring slope stability re-shaping (sloping) of any remaining excavations will be done where required and the land-use plan will be to create an even depression and prepare the area for natural re-vegetation by implementing erosion control measures including waterways, drainage lines and storm water infrastructure if necessary. Actions to mitigate the risk also include restricting the depth of the excavations to an average depth of 2m and ensuring stability of the bank of the drainage channel by re-shaping and backfilling of the access point with suitable material where required.

Another potential risk arising from the mining area after mine closure are changes in the quantity of surface water compared to pre-mining quantities that may negatively affect the area. To prevent significant negative effects arising from changes in post-mining surface water quantities, the post-mining topography will be adjusted where possible to minimise the effect on water flow and increase potential for re-vegetation. Actions to mitigate the risk of erosion will be through implementation of practices such as leaving the profiling contours. All spoils and leftover product need to be returned to the excavations for backfilling. Having these actions in place should ensure that there is no negative effect on surface water flow and will assist in achieving the aim of limited residual impact.

The focus of topographic rehabilitation may not be obvious at the time of mine planning and must be addressed as the mine develops and the Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure Plan must be reviewed periodically for continued relevance in the light of changed prospecting path or long-term plans.

9.4.3 Biodiversity Flora and Fauna:

The impacts of mining have been assessed as being of medium significance before mitigation. The impact can be reduced to very low significance by prior delineation of the area via geophysical characterisation in order to limit the activities and clearance to the smallest area that is necessary and rehabilitating the disturbed area as soon as possible.

The concomitant impacts on soil, land capability, topography and vegetation will be addressed through the reshaping of the landscape and the protection of the area until fully re-vegetated. Unnecessary destruction of vegetation should be avoided by ensuring that traffic and personnel movement be restricted to demarcated areas. Movement and stockpile areas must be rehabilitated by scarifying trampled and compacted areas to a dept of ± 300 mm areas. Windrows created by scarifying needs to be left in place to create a rough surface that can act as seed trap and create a micro-habitat to promote natural re-vegetation. No traffic should be allowed on the rehabilitated areas and vehicle's speed must take into account the possibility of collisions with fauna.

9.4.4 Aquatic Biodiversity and Water Resources:

Potential Impacts on Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources is assessed as being of low significance even before mitigation. The impact can be avoided by ensuring that measures are put in place to prevent contamination of surface and groundwater with hydrocarbons.

The mine will not produce any residue that could lead to water contaminated. Should the attenuation measures for prevention of soil pollution as described be implemented, the effect on surface water will be insignificant. The most important of these is that any oil or fuel leaks caused during operations must be removed immediately with the saturated soil and placed in bags or drums for disposal at a suitable site.

Fuel storage must be contained in mobile bowsers and refuelling will be done with care to minimise the chance of spillages. Only re-fuel machines at fuelling station, if possible, and construct structures to trap fuel spills at fuelling station. Oils and lubricants must be stored within sealed containment structures and minimise storage of hazardous substances onsite.

Only emergency repairs to mechanical equipment will take place onsite. Maintaining all equipment as per supplier specification and using drip trays or UPVC sheets to prevents spills/leaks onto the soil. When not in use, a drip tray must be placed beneath mechanical equipment and vehicles. Ensure vehicles and equipment are in good working order and regularly inspected for leaks and drivers and operators are properly trained. Any spillages will be cleaned up immediately and dispose contaminated material (soil, etc.) at licensed sites only. A spill kit will be available on each site where prospecting activities are in progress. No water will be abstracted in terms of section 21(a) of National Water Act, 1998 (Act no. 36 of 1998) without the necessary authorisations

9.4.5 Emissions (Air quality, visual intrusion & Noise Generation):

The impact of emissions is assessed as being of low significance before mitigation. If the mitigation measure below is put in place the significance rating can be reduced to insignificant. It is important to note that people experience dust deposition as a nuisance effect, and that there are no direct human health implications because the dust is not inhaled. Heavy dust deposition can have detrimental effects on plants if the leaves are smothered to the extent where transpiration and photosynthesis are affected.

The proposed operation falls within the boundaries of the Namakwa District Municipality's and the company may be required to operate within the air quality requirements of the Municipality's Air Quality Management Plan.

The impact of dust generated by vehicles travelling over unpaved areas can be readily mitigated by enforcement of low vehicle speeds and wet suppression.

Acceptable dust fall rates in terms of the National Dust Control Regulations (GN R. 827 of 1 November 2013) are presented in the table below. In terms of these regulations, the local air quality officer may prescribe a dust fall monitoring programme, the implementation of dust control measures and continuous ambient air quality monitoring. The method to be used for measuring dust fall rate and the guideline for locating sampling points shall be ASTM D1739: 1970, or equivalent method. Acceptable dust fall rates are provided in the table below.

Restriction Areas	Dust fall rate (D) (mg/m²/Day, 30- day average)	Permitted frequency of exceeding dust fall rate
Residential area	D < 600	Two within a year, not sequential months
Non-residential area	600 < D < 1 200	Two within a year, not sequential months

The nuisance (visual) impact of the mining activities is also assessed as being of Low Significance. The nuisance (visual) impact can be reduced by taking into account available vegetation screening, the locations of visual receptors on the mining area and adjacent properties and locating the equipment in a way that it is screened from points of visual reception wherever possible. Visual impact can be reduced to one of insignificance by appropriate location of visually prominent items on the site and placement in consultation with the landowner.

Taking into account the existing background noise levels of the general area which is rural in nature, the significance of the noise caused by the earth moving equipment, vehicles going to and from the mining area is also rated as low significance before mitigation. Typical noise levels generated by various types of construction equipment are listed in the table below.

Equipment	Typical operational Noise level at given offset (dBA)									
	5m	10m	25m	50m	100m	250m	500m	100m		
Air compressor	91	85	77	71	65	57	51	46		
Crane (mobile)	93	87	79	73	67	59	53	47		
Dozer	95	89	81	75	69	61	55	49		
Pump	86	80	72	66	60	52	46	40		
Rock Drill	108	102	94	88	82	74	68	62		
Trucks	87	81	73	67	64	60	57	54		

In South Africa, the noise impact on human receptors is evaluated in terms of the SANS 10103 guidelines for sound pressure levels as listed in the table below.

10100 guidennes for sound pressure to vers as listed in the table below.										
	Equivalent continuous rating level for ambient noise - dBA									
Type of District	Outdoors			Indoors with windows open						
	Day-night	Daytime	Night	Day-	Daytime	Night-				
Rural districts	45	45	35	35	35	25				
Suburban district	50	50	40	40	40	30				
Urban traffic	55	55	45	45	45	35				
Urban districts	60	60	50	50	50	40				
Central business district	65	65	55	55	55	45				
Industrial district	70	70	60	60	60	50				

Daytime and night-time refer to the hours from 06h00 to 22h00 and 22h00 to 06h00 Respectively

Mitigation if required will include limiting the site establishment activities to daylight hours (06h00 to 18h00) and not undertaking such activities at all on Sundays and public holidays. If mining on Sundays and public holidays cannot be avoided then agreements with any potential noise recipient must be put in place at least 7 days before activities. The vehicles on site will be limited to the absolute minimum required. It must be noted that the speed limit for driving within the prospecting area shall be limited to 40Km/h.

If intrusive noise levels are experienced by any person at any point, the source of the noise will be moved if practical, or it will be placed in an acoustic enclosure, or an acoustic barrier will be erected between the source and the recipient.

9.4.6 Socio- economic impact

The impact on Socio- economic impact is of medium significant and even with mitigation, the impact will remain one of medium significance due to the impact off job losses, ccontractual agreements with service providers surpassing mine closure date.

Job creation and local economic spin offs through increased income earned, and through purchasing of local materials is a positive impact and outweigh the insignificant negative impacts below. The mining area is located in a rural farming area with farm dwellings.

Some landowners cherish the peaceful and quiet lifestyle of the area and friction between local residents and a crew of strangers is very possible. Conflict with other mining companies or land users on the same property is also a possibility. The potential for conflict is assessed as being significant. The impact can be reduced to one of medium significance by taking appropriate social management measures.

All access will be arranged beforehand with landowner and a supervisor will be present at all times and will report to the landowner when accessing and leaving the property. Indemnity will be signed by all mining personnel entering the property to protect the landowner against claims regarding personal loss and injury. Landowner will be updated with regard to the progress of mining and concurrent rehabilitation will be planned in consultation with landowner. Agreements between any existing mining operations or other land users and landowner will be respected and adopted as part of this operation.

Other impacts like not undertaking environmental management according to approved EMPr and plans and no auditing of the environmental management systems as well as insufficient funds for complete rehabilitation can however be mitigated to some degree as follow.

A review of the final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure plan must be done annually to ensure all outstanding environmental liabilities are covered and sufficient funds is available to implement the closure plan.

Contract durations with service providers will be limited to address the risk of contractual agreements with service providers surpassing the mine closure date. Maintain positive and transparent relationships with stakeholders as well as maintaining communication channels and undertaking environmental management in accordance with the approved EMPr and Closure Plan.

9.4.7 Palaeontological, Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resources

The impact on Cultural and Heritage Resources is assessed as being of medium significance before mitigation. The impact can be avoided by ensuring that recommendations from specialist studies listed below are implemented.

- At closure, all waste must be removed and the site left in a tidy state; and
- If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.

Regardless of the above recommendations, all mining areas should be carefully inspected by project staff to ensure that no heritage features are present. Equipment moving on site will, where ever possible, be confined to established roads and tracks. Where this is not possible, access routes will be walked prior to entry of equipment to ensure that there are no graves present. Should graves be identified, the access route will be realigned to avoid such heritage resources, which will then be clearly marked with stakes and Chevron tape to minimise risk of accidental damage

If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.

Any identified heritage feature will be cordoned off with stakes and Chevron tape and measures put in place to prevent any activities within 100m. All personnel including contractors involved in the construction activities will be made aware of the locations of all identified heritage resources, the necessity of avoiding impacts on such resources and the penalties for damaging them. Personnel will be informed about the consequences of unlawful removal of cultural and historical remains and artefacts associated with heritage sites. It will be emphasised that archaeological artefacts such as potsherds, stone tools, grinding stones, etc. must be left in situ and undisturbed.

No-go areas of particular palaeontological sensitivity are not identified in the Project Area. The only requirement is that the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) for the project must inform staff of the need to watch for potential fossil occurrences at the sample sites and implementing the fossil Chance Finds Procedure in the event of any chance finds of fossils. In the context under consideration, it is improbable that fossil finds will require delineation of "no go" zones. At most a temporary pause in activity at a limited locale may be required. The strategy is to rescue the fossil material as quickly as possible. The procedures below are in general terms, to be adapted as befits a context. They are couched in terms of finds of fossil bones that usually occur sparsely. However, they may also serve as a guideline for other fossil material that may occur. Bone finds can be classified as two types: isolated bone finds and bone cluster finds.

Isolated Bone Finds

In the process of sampling and excavations, isolated bones may be spotted in the hole sides or bottom, or as they appear on the spoil heap. By this is meant bones that occur singly, in different parts of the excavation. If the number of distinct bones exceeds 6 pieces, the finds must be treated as a bone cluster (below).

Response by personnel in the event of isolated bone finds

- Action 1: An isolated bone or tooth exposed in an excavation or spoil heap must be retrieved before it is covered by further spoil from the excavation and set aside. This also applies to potential fossils of any kind embedded in broken chunks of cemented deposit.
- Action 2: The Project Manager/Geologist/Environmental Control Officer (ECO) must be informed.
- Action 3: The responsible field person (geologist or ECO) must take custody of the fossil. The following information to be recorded:
 - Location co-ordinates (such as obtained by GPS in decimal degrees).
 - Digital images of excavation showing vertical section (mine face) and position of the find.
 - Digital images of fossil.
 - Geological context obtained from the mine geologist.
- Action 4: A loose fossil should be placed in a bag (e.g., a Ziplock bag), along with any detached fragments. A label must be included with the date of the find, position info., depth. Cemented deposit chunks with an embedded fossil must also be labelled (e.g., with a paint marker) and appropriately stored for safekeeping.
- Action 5: Geologist/ECO contacts the standby palaeontologist and/or SAHRA to describe the occurrence and provide images asap. by email.

Response by Palaeontologist in the event of isolated bone finds

The palaeontologist or SAHRA will assess the information and liaise with the prospecting rights holder, the land owner and the ECO/geologist and a suitable response will be established. On the discovery of conservation-worthy fossils, a collection permit must be applied for from the South African Heritages Resources Agency (SAHRA).

With the passage of time arrangements must be made to transport fossil material deemed worthy of conservation and study to an appropriate curatorial institution.

Cluster Finds

A bone cluster is a major find of bones, i.e., several bones in close proximity or bones resembling part of a skeleton. These bones will likely be seen in broken sections of the sides of the hole and as bones appearing in the bottom of the hole and on the spoil heap.

On the basis of existing observations of the fluvial deposits it is unlikely that a major bone cluster find will be encountered.

Response by personnel in the event of a bone cluster find

- Action 1: Immediately stop excavation in the vicinity of the potential material. Mark (flag) the position and also spoil that may contain fossils.
- Action 2: Inform the pit foreman and the ECO.
- Action 3: ECO contacts the standby archaeologist and/or palaeontologist. ECO to describe the occurrence and provide images asap. by email.

Response by Palaeontologist in the event of a bone cluster find

The palaeontologist will assess the information and a suitable response will be established. It is likely that a Field Assessment by the palaeontologist will be carried out asap.

It will probably be feasible to "leapfrog" the find and continue the excavation farther along, or proceed to the next excavation, so that the work schedule is minimally disrupted. The response time/scheduling of the Field Assessment is to be decided in consultation with the rights holder, the owner and the environmental consultants.

The field assessment could have the following outcomes:

- If a human burial, the appropriate authority is to be contacted. The find must be evaluated by a human burial specialist.
- If the fossils are in an archaeological context, an archaeologist must be contacted to evaluate the site and decide if Rescue Excavation is required.
- If the fossils are in a palaeontological context, the palaeontologist must evaluate the site and decide if Rescue Excavation is required.

Rescue Excavation

Rescue Excavation refers to the removal of the material from the excavation. This would apply if the amount or significance of the exposed material appears to be relatively circumscribed and it is feasible to remove it without compromising contextual data. The time span for Rescue Excavation should be reasonably rapid to avoid any undue delays to the mining schedule.

In principle, the strategy during mitigation is to "rescue" the fossil material as quickly as possible. The strategy to be adopted depends on the nature of the occurrence, particularly the density of the fossils. The methods of collection would depend on the preservation or fragility of the fossils and whether in loose or in lithified sediment. These could include:

- On-site selection and sieving in the case of robust material enclosed in loose material.
- Fragile material in loose/crumbly sediment would be encased in blocks using Plaster-of Paris or reinforced mortar and removed for preparation in a laboratory.
- Chunks of cemented rock with embedded fossils would be carefully trimmed of unnecessary excess rock and removed for preparation in a laboratory.

If the fossil occurrence is dense and is assessed to be a significant find then carefully controlled excavation is required.

9.4.8 Assessment of potential cumulative impacts

Cumulative impacts are the successive, incremental and combined impacts of one, or more, activities on society, the economy and the environment. Cumulative impacts result from the aggregation and interaction of impacts on a receptor and may be the product of past, present or future activities.

In this case the potential cumulative impacts will be insignificant due to the small scale of operations. The total mining area is ± 5 Ha the total footprint of all disturbance planned.

9.5 Motivation where no alternative sites were considered

Alternatives have been considered for this project, as described in Section 6 above. Where alternatives are not likely to be considered in the Impact Assessment Phase, reasons have been provided in Section 6 above.

9.6 Statement Motivating the Preferred Sites

The site was selected as it contains good quality building sand located in a convenient position in close proximity to transport routes. The layout and technology of this sand mining project has been determined by the shape, position and orientation of the mineral resource which is the sand in the Buffels River. Refer to the Site Plan attached as Figure 2 and 3.

10. Environmental impact assessment

10.1 Full description of the process undertaken to identify, assess and rank the impacts and risks the activity will impose on the preferred site

This BAR and EMPr were compiled through a detailed desktop investigation and site assessment in order to determine the environmental setting in which the project is located. Input from stakeholders during the public participation process also assist the EAP in the identification of any additional impacts associated with the proposed sand mining activities. The methodology described above was used to assess the significance of the potential impacts of the sand mining activities. The assessment of impacts is based on the experience of the EAP. The mitigation measures proposed are considered to be reasonable and based on the location of the mining area and must be implemented in order for the outcome of the assessment to be accurate.

10.2 Assessment of each identified potentially significant impact and risk The supporting impact assessment is provided in Table 14.

10.3 Summary of specialist reports.

The Screening Report in terms of Regulation 16(1)(v) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2014 was developed to allow a proponent intending to submit an application for environmental authorisation in terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2014, as amended to screen their proposed site for any environmental sensitivity and enable the applicant to manipulate the development footprint on a site to avoid environmental sensitivities before submitting the application. The Screening Report also identify specialist assessments for inclusion in the assessment report based on the environmental sensitivities of the proposed development footprint.

It is however the responsibility of the EAP to confirm the list of specialist assessments and to motivate in the assessment report, the reason for not including any of the identified specialist study including the provision of photographic evidence of the site situation. The site sensitivity assessment report form part of section 8.2 in this BAR and the specialist studies identified is listed in table 13 (to be confirmed by the competent authority).

For mining operations, the position of the mineral resource to be mined is fixed therefore the Screening Report required to accompany any application for Environmental Authorisation is not applicable as there are no alternative footprints for screening and comparison.

For small scale mining and prospecting operations where there will be no permanent infrastructure development and where the location of development is informed by historical prospecting and production records for the area, as well as the most likely position of potential mineral deposits no reasonable and feasible alternatives can be investigated.

In the case of prospecting the location of these sample sites will also not be known at the time that the application for EA is lodged. For prospecting areas, that normally covers a large area it is accepted that some areas will be of high or even very high sensitivity and no specialist assessments is needed to verify this. For this reason, mining operations that is a short-term change in land use must provide mitigation measures and financial provision to return the site to it pre-prospecting during the closure phase not applicable to other development.

For mining operations, the initial list of environmental attributes will be compiled based on experience of the EAP in similar development types and through site visits and appraisals, desktop screening via Geographical Information System (GIS) and aerial photography, incorporating existing information from previous studies, and input received from authorities and l&APs.

Further to this, the Screening Tool identifies related exclusions e.g., industrial development zones that is not applicable to minerals as the state is the custodian of all minerals and is responsible for the screening process as part of the acceptance process of applications taking into account any section 53 applications by other land users.

Table 13: Summary of specialist studies

LIST OF STUDIES UNDERTAKEN	RECOMMENDATION S OF SPECIALIST REPORTS	SPECIALIST RECOM. HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE EIA REPORT	SECTION WHERE SPECIALIST RECOMMENDATIO NS HAVE BEEN INCLUDED.
Heritage Impact Assessment (Attached as Appendix 3)	No mitigation is required. Should any heritage resources be found SAHRA should be contacted immediately.	Yes	Section 8.2 Table 14 Impact Assessment

Table 14: Significance of Impacts per Activity per Phase

overgrazing by livestock.

Table 14. Significance of impacts per Activity per l'hase			
Site Access and Site Establishment - Potential Impacts on other land uses	Significance	Before	After
No Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area identified.	Nature	Neutral	Neutral
No intersection with Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application	Severity		ı
Development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions and their implications are not applicable to mining operations as the state is the custodian of all	Spatial Scale		ı
minerals and is responsible for the screening process as part of the acceptance process of applications taking into account any section 53 applications by other land	Duration		ı
users.	Consequence		
The impact on Civil Aviation and Defence is also not applicable to this application as no high structures will be constructed and no defence installations or test areas are	Probability		
present in close proximity to this project.	Significance		
Indirect impacts:	Cumulative Effects		ı
None	Reversibility		ı
Residual impacts:	Degree to which the	impact can be	ı
None	avoided/managed/mi	tigated:	<u>İ</u>
<u>Mitigation</u>			
None as prospecting will only be a temporary change in land use			
Site Access and Site Establishment - Potential Impacts on Soil (contamination, erosion, compaction) & Land capability	Significance	Before	After
Regarding Land capability for Agriculture the sensitivity of the area is regarded as low and is used for livestock grazing and production.	Nature	Negative	Negative
The clearing of laydown areas for site establishment and clearing of site access points will result in the removal of existing vegetation, which will disturb the soil	Severity	4	1
increasing the potential for soil erosion by wind and loss of soil in the event of rainfall.	Spatial Scale	2	1
Soil compaction will result from repeated use of access tracks.	Duration	2	1
Potential contamination of soil from unmanaged use of hydrocarbons on-site, and incorrect storage of hazardous substances.	Consequence	8	3
Accidental spills not cleaned up immediately.	Probability	4	1 1
<u>Indirect impacts:</u>		4	+
Windblown litter will cause visual blight. Hydrocarbons are toxic and will cause vegetation die-back and soil poisoning. A lack of waste food management encourages	Significance	32	12
vermin.		32	12
Dust impacting on adjacent vegetation and causing a nuisance to workers.	Cumulative Effects	Low	Very Low
Compaction of topsoil where vehicles drive outside demarcated areas damages seed bank and habitat for invertebrates.			r
Residual impacts:	Reversibility		Reversible
Recycling of waste material creates employment.			₋
Potential loss of invertebrates that live in the top layers of the soil.	Degree to which the	impost con bo	Ì
Current land cover indicators do not take into account degradation due to, for example, spread of alien plants, secondary impacts of mining (e.g., sand mobilization) or	avoided/managed/mi	•	High

avoided/managed/mitigated:

- After clearing, the affected area shall be stabilized to prevent any erosion or sediment runoff, Stabilized areas shall be demarcated accordingly.
- Incremental clearing of ground cover should take place to avoid unnecessary exposed surfaces.
- Reasonable measures must be undertaken to ensure that any exposed areas are adequately protected against the wind and stormwater run-off.
- Top soil shall be removed separately and stockpiled separately from other soil base layers.
- Stockpiles should ideally be located to create the least visual impact and must be maintained to avoid erosion of the material.
- Topsoil storage areas must be convex and should not exceed 2m in height.
- Topsoil must be treated with care, must not be buried or in any other way be rendered unsuitable for further use (e.g. by mixing with spoil) and precautions must be taken to prevent unnecessary handling and compaction.
- In particular, topsoil must not be subject to compaction greater than 1 500 kg/m² and must not be pushed by a bulldozer for more than 50 metres. Trucks may not be driven over the stockpiles.
- Reduce drop height of material to a minimum. Temporarily halt material handling in windy conditions.
- A speed limit of 30km/hour will be displayed and enforced through a fining system. All vehicle drivers using the access road and entering the site will be informed of the speed limit.
- Compacted areas that are not required for access shall be scarified after use during decommissioning and rehabilitation.
- Contaminated soil must be treated by first removing the source of contamination removing the source of contamination should allow the system to recover without further clean-up required.
- Petrochemical spillages to be collected in a drum and store excavated spill affected soil for disposal at a registered facility or onsite treatment.
- Fuel storage must be contained in mobile bowsers and refuelling will be done with care to minimise the chance of spillages
- The most promising techniques for in on-site treatment involve bioremediation. Bioremediation involves the use of microorganisms to destroy hazardous contaminants.

Waste Management

- Separation of wastes into classes will ensure that waste is disposed of safely and according to the correct procedure. In order to ensure that waste classes are kept in separate streams, people will be trained on the different waste classes. Recycling and reusing materials may reduce garbage haul fees or generate income through the sale of scrap metal and old equipment.
- All waste should be stored in a temporary waste storage area with pollution prevention measures and unwanted steel, sheet metal and equipment need to be stored in a demarcated salvage yard.
- Used oils / hydrocarbons fuels / liquids are to be collected in sealed containers (stored on concrete slabs) and removed from site for recycling by a reputable company.
- All waste in the temporary storage area for used lubrication products and other hazardous chemicals will be disposed of at a collection point from where it will be collected by a waste recycling company.
- Mobile generators or fuel bowser to be supplied with bunded facility or necessary pollution control measures (drip trays).
- Clean out content of oil traps and dispose of waste at registered and purpose designed landfill sites.
- Tyres to be return to supplier or a company that uses old tyres for making door mats, shoes, swings, etc.
- Batteries to be return to supplier or dispose at a permitted hazardous waste facility.
- Fluorescent tubes to be collected in sealed containers (stored on concrete slabs) and removed from site for disposal at a permitted hazardous waste facility.
- Chemical containers to be returned to supplier or disposed of at a legal, permitted facility that is capable of disposing of the waste. (DO NOT sell chemical containers to workers or communities).
- Laboratory waste (chemicals) Returned to supplier or disposed of at a permitted facility that is capable of disposing of the waste. These liquid wastes cannot be disposed of on the waste dumps.
- Domestic waste (i.e., waste that is generated from the accommodation and offices) separated at source into recyclable products. These must then be removed and recycled by recognised contractors. (Note that the mine is responsible for the waste from cradle to grave). Domestic waste generated by workers needs to be sorted and all biodegradable waste must be stored in separate drums. This biodegradable waste will be dumped in a landfill provided for onsite.
- Disposal non-biodegradable waste at a registered and officially permitted commercial or municipal landfill site is the most cost-effective option for materials that cannot be recycled.

Site Access and Site Establishment - Potential Impacts on topography	Significance	Before	After
No change in topography during Site Access and Site Establishment	Nature	Neutral	Neutral
Indirect impacts:	Severity		
None	Spatial Scale		
Residual impacts:	Duration		
None	Consequence		
	Probability		
	Significance		
	Cumulative Effects		
	Reversibility		Reversible
	Degree to which the i	-	High
Midisarian	avoided/managed/mit	igated:	Tilgli

• None

Site Access and Site Establishment - Potential Impacts on Biodiversity, Flora & Fauna

Limited loss of natural vegetation and ecological functioning in an critical biodiversity area 1 (CBA1): Existing disturbed areas have been identified for the laydown areas for site establishment and clearing of existing vegetation in the river bed will result in the loss of vegetation (mostly alien invasive species) and impact on localised ecological functioning. According to the (NPAES) (DEA) 2009 the area is not included in the NPAES and no protected areas are located within a 10Km radius.

Regarding Fauna the species of conservation concern (SCC) is the Circus maurus (Black harrier) and Chersobius signatus (Speckled padloper) that is regarded as Vulnerable in terms of TOPS list. Although no sensitive mammal species are listed for the area the area form part of the distribution area of the Grant's golden mole that cover most of the Namaqualand coastline. No signs of golden mole activity has been recorded in the drainage channel as the habitat include sand dunes closer to the coastline.

Regarding Flora no SCC is present within the drainage channel and the vegetation units present are also regarded as Least threatened.

The clearing of areas for laydown areas for site establishment will result in the removal of existing vegetation.

Soil compaction slowing natural re-vegetation will result from ongoing repeated use of movement areas and driving off-road.

Indirect impacts:

Soil disturbance caused by vegetation clearing will provide suitable conditions for the establishment and spreading of alien invasive vegetation.

Removal of alien invasive vegetation is a positive impact, and will benefit the ecological functioning.

Residual impacts:

Laydown areas have been earmarked for existing disturbed areas where clearing would be minimal, resulting in little impact on ecological functioning at a local level

Nature	Negative	Negative
Severity	5	2
Spatial Scale	2	1
Duration	2	1
Consequence	9	4
Probability	6	2
Significance	54	8
Cumulative Effects	Medium	Very Low
Reversibility		Reversible
Degree to which the avoided/managed/mi	-	Medium

Before

Manatira

After

Significance

Maturna

- Mitigation measures for soil erosion & soil compaction will also be applicable to promote natural revegetation:
- Identify existing disturbed patches for laydown areas, and demarcate areas for clearing. Existing farm tracks will be used, and disturbed areas have been earmarked for laydown areas.
- Remove alien invasive vegetation and ensure ongoing alien vegetation clearing in the area. No indigenous plants outside of the demarcated work areas may be damaged.
- The noise and vibration caused by the earthmoving equipment will disturb smaller animals (e.g. snakes). These will move away whilst operations are in progress. Should any animals be encountered these should be moved away by a suitably trained nature conservation officer, if necessary.
- Movement of vehicles and machinery will be restricted to demarcated areas and roads with no off-road driving permitted. Vehicles speed must take into account the possibility of collisions with fauna. .
- Provide all workers with environmental awareness training. Ensure all workers comply with the requirements of the EMPr.

Site Access and Site Establishment - Potential Impacts on Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources	Significance	Before	After
The removal of sand from the river bank at the access points could impact on flow regime, water quality and quantity, and aquatic biota. Mining will take place within a	Nature	Negative	Negative
River FEPAs the Buffels River classified as a NFEPA River (FEPA 1) with scattered Channelled valley-bottom wetlands along the banks identified as very high	Severity	2	1
sensitivity. Groundtruthing however shown no wetlands as mining will only take place within the drainage channel and the Buffels River is, non-perennial and impacts	Spatial Scale	1	1
will have little effect on water resource functionality as a whole. Water uses that require authorisation will however take place.	Duration	2	1
Potential contamination of groundwater from unmanaged use of hydrocarbons on-site, and incorrect storage of hazardous substances.	Consequence	5	3
Accidental spills not cleaned up immediately.	Probability	6	2
Indirect impacts:	Significance	30	6
Rainfall is very seldom and evaporation rate is very high.	Cumulative Effects	Low	Insignificant
Indirect impacts on surface water are very unlikely.	Reversibility		Reversible
Residual impacts:	Degree to which the	-	High
None	avoided/managed/mi	tigated:	8

- No water will be abstracted in terms of section 21(a) of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act no. 36 of 1998) without the necessary permission. Potable and process water to be obtained from legal source and brought on site.
- A Water Use Authorisation (License or GA) in terms of Sec 21 of the NWA for Impeding or diverting the flow of water in a watercourse (Sec 21c) and Altering the Bed, Banks, Course or Characteristics of a Watercourse (Sec 21i) is required.
- Implement and follow water saving procedures and methodologies.
- Provide mobile ablution facilities and take care that temporary onsite sanitation facilities are well maintained and serviced regularly.
- Draw-up and strictly enforce procedures for the storage, handling and transport of different hazardous materials and ensure that good housekeeping rules are applied. Minimise storage of hazardous substances onsite
- Ensure vehicles and equipment are in good working order and drivers and operators are properly trained. Place oil traps under stationary machinery, only re-fuel machines at fuelling station, construct structures to trap fuel spills at fuelling station, immediately clean oil and fuel spills and dispose contaminated material (soil, etc.) at licensed sites only.
- Fuel storage must be contained in mobile bowsers and refuelling will be done with care to minimise the chance of spillages
- Any mechanical equipment maintenance must be undertaken on drip trays or UPVC sheets to prevents spills/ leaks onto the soil.
- A spill kit will be available on each site where mining activities are in progress.
- Waste materials generated on site must be stored in suitable lidded containers and removed off site to a suitable disposal facility. Waste separation must be undertaken if practical for recycling.
- Provide all workers with environmental awareness training and comply with the requirements of the EMPr.

	:11 1		
Site Access and Site Establishment - Potential Impacts from Emissions (Air Quality, Visual intrusion & Noise Generation)	Significance	Before	After
Visual intrusion caused by the front end loader, topsoil stockpiles, cleared areas, and movement of trucks on site during preparation of site access and site	Nature	Negative	Negative
establishment. The site is however, remote and rural in nature with no receptors (people) as it is located on private property. Noise and dust will be created by mining	Severity	2	1
equipment (e.g. front end loaders) and vehicles, which will emit Greenhouse Gases.	Spatial Scale	3	1
Indirect impacts:	Duration	1	1
There are few indirect impacts as the area is remote and rural, with no people (receptors) living near the site.	Consequence	6	3
Residual impacts:	Probability	4	2
Good housekeeping will ensure a neat and well-maintained construction area reducing visual impact.	Significance	24	6
Carbon emissions have impact on climate change.	Cumulative Effects	Low	Insignificant
	Reversibility		Reversible
	Degree to which the avoided/managed/mit	-	High

- The laydown areas shall be kept neat and tidy at all times. Equipment must be kept in designated areas and storing/stockpiling shall be kept orderly.
- The Applicant shall adhere to the local by-laws and regulations regarding the noise and associated hours of operations and limit noise levels (e.g. install and maintain silencers on machinery). The provisions of SANS 1200A Sub clause 4.1 regarding 'built-up' area shall apply to all areas within audible distance of residents whether in urban, peri-urban or rural areas.
- Activities generating output of 85dB or more, shall be limited to normal working hours and not allowed during weekends to limit the impact of noise on neighbours. No amplified music shall be allowed on site. Engines shall be turned off when the vehicle is temporarily parked or stationery for long periods.
- Noise abatement equipment, such as mufflers on diesel engines, will be maintained in good condition.
- Minimise use of reverse alarms by proper route planning
- If intrusive noise levels are experienced by any person at any point, the source of the noise will be moved if practical, or it will be placed in an acoustic enclosure, or an acoustic barrier will be erected between the source and the recipient.
- On public roads the vehicles shall adhere to municipal and provincial traffic regulations including speed limits. At the mining area a speed limit of 40km/hour will be displayed and enforced through a fining system. All vehicle drivers using the access road and entering the site will be informed of the speed limit. The wetting of the roads helps reduce dust generation as will applying dust suppression and/or hardening compound.
- Stockpiles must be maintained (covered where necessary) to avoid wind erosion of the material and incremental clearing of ground cover should take place to avoid unnecessary exposed surfaces.
- Temporarily halt material handling in windy conditions. Health and safety equipment is required for workers.
- Vehicles used on site for the construction related activities shall be maintained and in a good working condition so as to reduce emissions.
- The earth moving or sampling equipment and other visually prominent items on the site will be located in consultation with the landowner.
- Stockpiles should ideally be located to create the least visual impact and must be maintained to avoid erosion of the material.

Site Access and Site Establishment - Potential Impacts on Socio-economic features	Significance	Before	After
Conflict with landowner and other land users	Nature	Negative	Negative
Employment can be lost by an individual due to non-performance but the loss of job provision is irreversible	Severity	5	1
<u>Indirect impacts:</u>	Spatial Scale	5	1
Upskilling	Duration	6	1
Local economic spin-offs through increased income earned, and through purchasing of local materials	Consequence	16	3
Income generation for landowners in a time of severe drought where livestock farming is not sustainable.	Probability	4	1
Residual impacts:	Significance	64	3
The upliftment of unemployed people, with positive impact on standard of living for their families.	Cumulative Effects	Medium	Insignificant
Local and regional economic spin-offs from investment through Social Labour Plan.	Reversibility		Irreversible
	Degree to which the in	mpact can be	High
	avoided/managed/miti	gated:	nign

- All access will be arranged beforehand with landowner and a supervisor will be present at all times and will report to the landowner when accessing and leaving the property.
- Indemnity will be signed by all mining personnel entering the property to cover the landowner against any claims regarding injuries or damage to equipment.
- Any other mining companies or land users operating legally will be regarded as affected parties and consulted. Areas of operations will be demarcated and no overlapping will be allowed or agreements regarding environmental liabilities need to be put in place.
- Agreements between any existing mining operations or other land users and landowner will be respected and adopted as part of this operation.
- Employment of local previously disadvantaged labour wherever possible, with provision of training (upskilling)

The site was inspected and found to be entirely within the modern river floodplain. No archaeological or other heritage resources were seen with the only heritage relevant to the study being the cultural landscape. Since the site is somewhat remote, and the surrounding area has been compromised by other mining activities, the potential impacts are rated as being of low significance.

The SAHRIS Palaeosensitivity map which indicates the site as zero sensitivity, with the area better considered as medium sensitivity, the assessment has shown that in practice the riverine sands are of low sensitivity and the specialist thus agrees with the screening tool report.

Indirect impacts:

Loss of archaeological resources, graves and precolonial cultural landscape

The material fossil evidence of "deep time" is embedded in the creation of the sacred landscape and contributes to the "sense of place" cultural aesthetic of the region. The loss of fossils and concomitant interpreted knowledge impoverishes the tangible testimony of the prehistoric landscape and ecological context of ancient humans. Residual impacts:

Negative residual impact arises from the unavoidable loss of fossils of unknown significance in spite of mitigation efforts. Positive residual impact arises from the successful rescue of fossil material for posterity, resulting in material for future research, employment opportunities for budding, young researchers and enhanced insights into the prehistory of the Northern Cape.

Nature	Negative	Negative
Severity	5	1
Spatial Scale	5	1
Duration	1	1
Consequence	11	3
Probability	1	1
Significance	11	3
Cumulative Effects	Insignificant	Insignificant
Reversibility		Irreversible
Degree to which the avoided/managed/mi	-	High

Mitigation

- The impact can be avoided by ensuring that recommendations from specialist studies listed below are implemented.
- At closure, all waste must be removed and the site left in a tidy state; and
- If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.

Regardless of the above archaeological opinion, all sample sites should be carefully inspected by project staff to ensure that no heritage features are present:

- The fossil Chance Finds Procedure must be implemented in the event of any chance finds of fossils, and
- If any archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.
- A safe distance of at least 100 metres will be maintained between the identified heritage resource and sampling or any other development associated with the prospecting activities.
- Any identified heritage feature will be cordoned off with stakes and Chevron tape. All personnel including contractors involved in the construction activities will be made aware of the locations of all identified heritage resources, the necessity of avoiding impacts on such resources and the penalties for damaging them.
- Personnel will be informed about the consequences of unlawful removal of cultural and historical remains and artefacts associated with heritage sites. It will be emphasised that archaeological artefacts such as potsherds, stone tools, grinding stones, etc. must be left in situ and undisturbed.
- The best mitigating measure is to try and avoid as many archaeological sites as possible, so mitigation as described here will only be required for those sites that cannot be avoided. Management measures are also required like careful planning by the developer of the project layout. Maps should be prepared showing all areas that will require disturbance.

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts on other land uses	Significance	Before	After
No Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area identified.	Nature	Neutral	Neutral
No intersection with Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application	Severity		
Development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions and their implications are not applicable to mining operations as the state is the custodian of all	Spatial Scale		
minerals and is responsible for the screening process as part of the acceptance process of applications taking into account any section 53 applications by other land	Duration		
users.	Consequence		
The impact on Civil Aviation and Defence is also not applicable to this application as no high structures will be constructed and no defence installations or test areas are	Probability		
present in close proximity to this project.	Significance		
<u>Indirect impacts:</u>			
None	Cumulative Effects		
Residual impacts:	Reversibility		
None			
	Degree to which the	-	
	avoided/managed/mi	tigated:	

• None

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts on Soil (contamination, erosion, compaction) & Land capability

Mining is taking place in an active drainage channel and the limited extend of mining 5Ha will not lead to a loss of agricultural production.

Potential contamination of soil from unmanaged use of hydrocarbons on-site, and incorrect storage of hazardous substances and accidental spills not cleaned up immediately.

The clearing of areas for new overburden and topsoil stockpiles with movement areas will result in the removal of existing vegetation and topsoil, which will disturb the soil increasing the potential for soil erosion by wind and loss of soil in the event of rainfall. Soil compaction will result from ongoing repeated use of access tracks.

Indirect impacts:

Windblown litter will cause visual blight. Hydrocarbons are toxic and will cause vegetation die-back and soil poisoning. A lack of waste food management encourages vermin.

Dust impacting on adjacent vegetation and causing a nuisance to workers or residents.

Compaction of topsoil where vehicles drive outside demarcated areas damages seed bank and habitat for invertebrates.

Residual impacts:

Mitigation

The same mitigating measures as for Site Access and Site Establishment and topography below will be applicable as well as the following:

- After clearing, the affected area shall be stabilized to prevent any erosion or sediment runoff. Stabilized areas shall be demarcated accordingly.
- Incremental clearing of vegetation in river bed should take place to avoid unnecessary exposed surfaces.
- Reasonable measures must be undertaken to ensure that any exposed areas are adequately protected against the wind and stormwater run-off.
- Stockpiles should ideally be located to create the least visual impact and must be maintained to avoid erosion of the material.
- Reduce drop height of material to a minimum.
- Temporarily halt material handling in windy conditions.
- A speed limit of 30km/hour will be displayed and enforced through a fining system. All vehicle drivers using the access road and entering the site will be informed of the speed limit.
- Compacted areas that are not required for access shall be scarified after use during decommissioning and rehabilitation.
- To ensure long-term stability, the restored topsoil cover should attempt to mimic the pre-mining distribution of soil texture and thickness.

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts on topography	Significance	Before	After
Change in topography due to excavations and overburden dumps if not backfilled.	Nature	Negative	Negative
With mitigation the change in topography from prospecting activities would be slight depressions created in the landscape.	Severity	2	1
<u>Indirect impacts:</u>	Spatial Scale	1	1
None	Duration	1	1
Residual impacts:	Consequence	4	3
Very slight visual change in landscape and topography following rehabilitation.	Probability	6	1
	Significance	24	3
	Cumulative Effects	Very low	Insignificant
	Reversibility		Reversible
	Degree to which the	Degree to which the impact can be	
	avoided/managed/mi	tigated:	Medium

- All spoils and leftover product need to be returned to the excavations for backfilling.
- Remaining excavation slopes needs to be profiled to form an even depression 18°

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts on Biodiversity, Flora & Fauna	Significance	Before	After
Refer site establishment above regarding potential impact on CBA's and SCC	Nature	Negative	Negative
<u>Indirect impacts:</u>	Severity	5	2
Soil disturbance caused by vegetation clearing will provide suitable conditions for the establishment and spreading of alien invasive vegetation.	Spatial Scale	1	2
Removal of alien invasive vegetation is a positive impact, and will benefit the ecological functioning.	Duration	3	2
Residual impacts:	Consequence	9	6
The noise and vibration caused by the earthmoving equipment will disturb smaller animals (e.g., snakes). These will move away whilst operations are in progress.	Probability	6	2
	Significance	54	12
	Cumulative Effects	Medium	Very low
	Reversibility		Reversible
	Degree to which the	impact can be	N

Medium

avoided/managed/mitigated:

- Mitigation measures for soil erosion & soil compaction will also be applicable to promote natural revegetation:
- Identify existing access tracks. Demarcate areas for clearing in the river bed.
- The mining area and stockpile areas must be demarcated and the footprint contained within the demarcated area.
- Mining areas to be limited to blocks of 500m at a time with rehabilitation of the bank and access areas required before moving upstream to the next block.
- The annual rehabilitation plan must be implemented.
- Remove alien invasive vegetation, and ensure ongoing alien vegetation clearing in the area.
- No indigenous plants outside of the demarcated work areas may be damaged.
- Identify protected tree species, and leave these intact.
- The noise and vibration caused by the earthmoving equipment will disturb smaller animals (e.g. snakes). These will move away whilst operations are in progress. Should any animals be encountered these should be moved away by the ECO, if necessary

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts on Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources	Significance	Before	After
The removal of sand from the river channel could impact on flow regime, water quality and quantity, and aquatic biota. Mining will take place within a River FEPAs the	Nature	Negative	Negative
Buffels River classified as a NFEPA River (FEPA 1) with scattered Channelled valley-bottom wetlands along the banks identified as very high sensitivity.	Severity	2	1
Groundtruthing however shown no wetlands as mining will only take place within the drainage channel and the Buffels River is, non-perennial and impacts will have little	Spatial Scale	1	1
effect on water resource functionality as a whole. The River is however, non-perennial and impacts will have little effect on water resource functionality as a whole, as	Duration	2	1
there is no permanent surface water, and storm water run-off events are very seldom in the arid climate. Sand will be transported downstream into the mined area over	Consequence	5	3
time. Water uses that require authorisation will however take place.	Probability	6	2
Potential contamination of groundwater from unmanaged use of hydrocarbons on-site, and incorrect storage of hazardous substances.	Significance	30	6
Accidental spills not cleaned up immediately.	Cumulative Effects	Low	Insignificant
Indirect impacts:	Reversibility		Reversible
Rainfall is very seldom and evaporation rate is very high.	Degree to which the i	mpact can be	TT: 1
Indirect impacts on surface water are very unlikely.	avoided/managed/mit	tigated:	High

The same mitigating measures as for Site Access and Site Establishment will be applicable especially waste management.

- No equipment may be parked within the drainage channel when not in use.
- No stockpiling to take place within the drainage channel.
- Shaping of river bed to avoid diversion of stormwater towards banks to prevent erosion of river banks, and to prevent channeling of water that would increase erosive capacity of stormwater.
- Sand will be washed from upstream to the mining site over time.

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts from Emissions (Air Quality, Visual intrusion & Noise Generation)	Significance	Before	After	
Visual intrusion caused by the front end loader, topsoil stockpiles, cleared areas, and movement of trucks on site during preparation of site access and site	Nature	Negative	Negative	
establishment. The site is however, remote and rural in nature with no receptors (people) as it is located on private property. Noise and dust will be created by mining	Severity	2	1	
equipment (e.g. front end loaders) and vehicles, which will emit Greenhouse Gases.	Spatial Scale	3	1	
<u>Indirect impacts:</u>	Duration	1	1	
There are few indirect impacts as the area is remote and rural, with no people (receptors) living near the site.	Consequence	6	3	l
Carbon emissions from vehicle exhausts have a negative impact on the ozone layer.	Probability	4	2	
Residents and occupants of work places along the access tracks and roads would be impacted on by noise, dust and vehicle	Significance	24	6	
Residual impacts:	Cumulative Effects	Low	Insignificant	
Good housekeeping will ensure a neat and well-maintained construction area reducing visual impact.	Reversibility		Reversible	
	Degree to which the i	mpact can be	III ala	
	avoided/managed/mit	igated:	High	

- •The laydown areas shall be kept neat and tidy at all times. Equipment must be kept in designated areas and storing/stockpiling shall be kept orderly.
- Restrict working hours to normal work day hours with no work over weekends when holidays occur to minimize hauling trucks along access roads. Minimise use of reverse alarms by proper route planning
- Temporarily halt material handling in windy conditions and or reduce drop height of material to a minimum.
- Incremental clearing of ground cover should take place to minimise exposed surfaces.
- No amplified music shall be allowed on site.
- On public roads the vehicles shall adhere to municipal and provincial traffic regulations including speed limits.
- Vehicles used on site for the construction related activities shall be maintained and in a good working condition so as to reduce emissions.
- Trucks shall have tarpaulins to prevent sand from blowing off in transit.

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts on Socio-economic features	Significance	Before	After
Conflict with landowner and other land users	Nature	Negative	Negative
Creation Of Employment & Job Security During Operational Phase with Local And Regional Economic Spin-Offs	Severity	5	1
<u>Indirect impacts:</u>	Spatial Scale	5	1
Upskilling	Duration	6	1
Local economic spin-offs through increased income earned, and through purchasing of local materials required for operational activities.	Consequence	16	3
Income generation for landowners in a time of severe drought where livestock farming is not sustainable.	Probability	4	1
Residual impacts:	Significance	64	3
The upliftment of unemployed people, with positive impact on standard of living for their families.	Cumulative Effects	Medium	Insignificant
Local and regional economic spin-offs from investment through Social Labour Plan.	Reversibility		Irreversible
	Degree to which the	impact can be	TT: -1-
	avoided/managed/mi	tigated:	High

- Co-ordinate invasive activities with existing mining activities or land uses to reduce the time of disturbances
- Landowner will be updated with regard to the progress of implementing the PWP and any invasive operation and concurrent rehabilitation will be planned in consultation with landowner.
- All operations will be carried out under the guidance of strong, experienced manager and ECO with proven skills in public consultation and conflict resolution.
- All personnel will be made aware of the local conditions and sensitivities in the prospecting area and the requirements of the local residents.
- There will be a strict requirement to treat local residents with respect and courtesy at all times.
- Employment of local previously disadvantaged labour wherever possible, with provision of training (upskilling)

Operational Phase - Potential Impacts on Paleontological, Archaeological and Cultural and Heritage Resources	Significance	Before	After
Cultural and Heritage Resources	Nature	Negative	Negative
Direct impacts to archaeological resources would occur primarily during the construction phase y (e.g. if an excavator drives beyond the demarcated area during	Severity	5	1
construction).	Spatial Scale	5	1
Impacts to graves could occur during the construction phase.	Duration	6	1
The impact on paleontological resources takes place during all earthmoving activities.	Consequence	16	3
Indirect impacts:	Probability	4	1
Loss of archaeological resources, graves and precolonial cultural landscape	Significance	64	3
The material fossil evidence of "deep time" is embedded in the creation of the sacred landscape and contributes to the "sense of place" cultural aesthetic of the region.	Cumulative Effects	Medium	Insignificant
The loss of fossils and concomitant interpreted knowledge impoverishes the tangible testimony of the prehistoric landscape and ecological context of ancient humans.	Reversibility		Reversible
Residual impacts:	spite of mitigation efforts. Positive residual impact arises from the		Madium
Negative residual impact arises from the unavoidable loss of fossils of unknown significance in spite of mitigation efforts. Positive residual impact arises from the			Medium

Mitigation

The same mitigating measures as for Site Access and Site Establishment will be applicable.

Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts on other land uses	Significance	Before	After
No Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area identified.	Nature	Neutral	Neutral
No intersection with Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application	Severity		
Development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions and their implications are not applicable to mining operations as the state is the custodian of all	Spatial Scale		
minerals and is responsible for the screening process as part of the acceptance process of applications taking into account any section 53 applications by other land	Duration		
users.	Consequence	0	0
The impact on Civil Aviation and Defence is also not applicable to this application as no high structures will be constructed and no defence installations or test areas are	Probability		
present in close proximity to this project.	Significance	0	0
Indirect impacts:	Cumulative Effects		
After closure certificate has been issued the area will once again be available for other land uses	Reversibility		
Residual impacts:	Degree to which the	mpact can be	
None	avoided/managed/mi	tigated:	
<u>Mitigation</u>			

Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts on Soil (contamination, erosion, compaction) & Land capability

Implementation of Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan

Indirect impacts:

None.

• None

Residual impacts:

Increase in natural habitat following rehabilitation processes.

Significance	Before	After
Nature	Positive	Positive
Severity		
Spatial Scale		
Duration		
Consequence	0	0
Probability		
Significance	0	0
Cumulative Effects		
Reversibility		
Degree to which the avoided/managed/mi		
a. oraca managea mi		

- Compacted areas that are not required for aftercare access shall be scarified. Dual use access roads must be handed back to the landowner in a good state of repair.
- Implementing screening as part of the cleaning activities before materials are moved from the mine. The infrastructure area will be screened for petrochemical spills and cleaned and waste from the temporary storage facility will be removed and the area cleaned. Any compacted movement areas will be screened for petrochemical spills and cleaned before it is ripped and levelled.
- Redundant structures will be removed for use elsewhere or demolished and discarded.
- All steel structures and reinforcing will be discarded or sold as scrap.
- All equipment and other items used during the mining operation needs to be removed from the site.
- Remove all power and water supply installations not to be retained by landowner in terms of section 44 of the MPRDA.
- Final walk through of complete mining lease area to ensure no mining related waste and of re-usable infrastructure remain on site.

Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts on topography	Significance	Before	After
Implementation of Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan	Nature	Positive	Positive
Indirect impacts:	Severity		
Historic disturbances rehabilitated	Spatial Scale		
Residual impacts:	Duration		
Increase in natural habitat following rehabilitation processes.	Consequence	0	0
	Probability		
	Significance	0	0
	Cumulative Effects		<u> </u>
	Reversibility		
	Degree to which the im	pact can be	
	avoided/managed/mitig	ated:	

- All mitigation will be addressed as part of the annual rehabilitation plan as part of the operational phase.
- The focus of topographic rehabilitation may not be obvious at the time of mine planning and must be addressed as the mine develops and the Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure Plan must be reviewed periodically for continued relevance in the light of changed prospecting path or long-term plans.

	Ct. LO	T. 0	1 4 0
Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts on Biodiversity, Flora & Fauna	Significance	Before	After
Implementation of Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan	Nature	Positive	Positive
Indirect impacts:	Severity		<u> </u>
Biodiversity of area will improve due to removal of alien invasive vegetation.	Spatial Scale		<u> </u>
Fauna will return to the disturbed areas.	Duration		<u> </u>
Sand will move into the mined areas from upstream areas over time.	Consequence	0	0
Residual impacts:	Probability		
Net loss of river sand in the mined area, until sand from upstream is brought downstream by storm events over time.	Significance	0	0
	Cumulative Effects		<u> </u>
	Reversibility		<u> </u>
	Degree to which the i	impact can be	
	avoi ded/managed/mit	tigated:	

- All outstanding rehabilitation not completed as part of the Annual Rehabilitation plan needs to be completed as part of the final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan
- Compacted areas shall be scarified after use during decommissioning and rehabilitation.
- Any stored topsoil shall be spread over the scarified surface.
- Shaping of river bed to avoid steep profiles and hollows.
- Ongoing removal of alien invasive vegetation

Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts on Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources	Significance	Before	After
None during decommissioning activities	Nature	Neutral	Neutral
Indirect impacts:	Severity	LL	
None	Spatial Scale	LL	
Residual impacts:	Duration	<u> </u>	
None	Consequence	0	0
	Probability		
	Significance	0	0
	Cumulative Effects	ll	
	Reversibility		
	Degree to which the		
	avoided/managed/mi	tigated:	
<u>Mitigation</u>			
None			
Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts from Emissions (Air Quality, Visual intrusion & Noise Generation)	Significance	D - £	After
		Before	Atter
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase	Nature Nature	Neutral	Neutral
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase	Nature		
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts:	Nature Severity		
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration Consequence		
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None Residual impacts:	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration	Neutral	Neutral
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None Residual impacts:	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration Consequence	Neutral	Neutral
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None Residual impacts:	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration Consequence Probability	Neutral 0	Neutral0
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None Residual impacts:	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration Consequence Probability Significance	Neutral 0	Neutral0
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None Residual impacts:	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration Consequence Probability Significance Cumulative Effects Reversibility	Neutral 0 0	Neutral0
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None Residual impacts:	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration Consequence Probability Significance Cumulative Effects	Neutral 0 0 impact can be	Neutral0
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase Indirect impacts: None Residual impacts:	Nature Severity Spatial Scale Duration Consequence Probability Significance Cumulative Effects Reversibility Degree to which the	Neutral 0 0 impact can be	Neutral0

Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts on Socio-economic features	Significance	Before	After
Staff losing their jobs	Nature	Negative	Negative
Contractual agreements with service providers surpassing mine closure date	Severity	4	4
Poorly defined transition from mining to farming activities within different legislation	Spatial Scale	3	3
Not undertaking environmental management according to approved EMPr and plans and no auditing of the environmental management system.	Duration	3	3
Insufficient funds for complete rehabilitation	Consequence	10	10
Indirect impacts:	Probability	3	3
Job losses of secondary industries, businesses and contractors	Significance	30	30
Mine closure stalled due to non-compliance with South African legislation (national, provincial and local)	Cumulative Effects	Low	Low
Residual impacts:	Reversibility		Irreversible
Closure standards not accepted and/or are changing Mine closure being jeopardised by other land uses	Degree to which the i	-	Medium
Mitigation • Contract durations with service providers will be limited to address the risk of contractual agreements with service providers surpassing the mine closure data.	ite.		

Undertaking environmental management in accordance with the approved EMPr and Closure Plan.			
Decommissioning and closure - Potential Impacts on Paleontological, Archaeological and Cultural and Heritage Resources	Significance	Before	After
None during decommissioning activities or less than for operational phase	Nature	Neutral	Neutral
Indirect impacts:	Severity		
None	Spatial Scale		
Residual impacts:	Duration		
None	Consequence	0	0
	Probability		1
	Significance	0	0
	Cumulative Effects		
	Reversibility		
	Degree to which the	impact can be	
	avoi ded/managed/m	itigated:	
<u>Mitigation</u>			
None			

• Maintain positive and transparent relationships with stakeholders and maintaining communication channels.

11. Environmental impact statement

11.1 Summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment

The assessed impact ratings are as follows:

Site Access and Site Establishment

- Potential Impacts on other land uses No impact (Neutral)
- Potential Impacts on Soil (contamination, erosion, compaction) & Land capability Low significance, reduced to Very Low with mitigation
- Potential Impacts on topography No impact (Neutral)
- Potential Impacts on Biodiversity, Flora & Fauna Medium significance, reduced to Very Low with mitigation
- Potential Impacts on Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources Low significance, reduced to insignificant with mitigation
- Potential Impacts from Emissions (Air Quality, Visual intrusion & Noise Generation) –
 Very low significance, reduced to Insignificant with mitigation
- Socio economic impact Medium significance, reduced to Insignificant with mitigation
- Potential Impacts on Paleontological, Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resources Insignificant, stay Insignificant with mitigation

Operational Phase

- Potential Impacts on other land uses No impact (Neutral)
- Potential Impacts on Soil (contamination, erosion, compaction) & Land capability Low significance, reduced to Very Low with mitigation
- Potential Impacts on topography Very Low, reduced to Insignificant with mitigation
- Potential Impacts on Biodiversity, Flora & Fauna Medium significance, reduced to Very Low with mitigation
- Potential Impacts on Aquatic biodiversity & Water Resources Medium significance, reduced to Insignificant with mitigation
- Potential Impacts from Emissions (Air Quality, Visual intrusion & Noise Generation) Very Low significance, reduced to Insignificant with mitigation
- Socio economic impact Medium significance, reduced to Insignificant with mitigation
- Potential Impacts on Paleontological, Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Resources Medium significance, reduced to Insignificant with mitigation

All of the identified impacts will occur for a limited period and the extent of the impacts will be localised. All of the identified impacts can be suitably mitigated with the residual impact ratings being of Insignificant to Very Low. The main impacts associated with mining can be suitable mitigated. After mining have been completed and rehabilitated, the impacts will cease to exist.

11.2 Final Site Map

Please refer to **Figure 3 to 12** for the Environmental Sensitivities Map including the target area of interest for proposed mining activities.

11.3 Summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and identified alternatives

11.3.1 Positive Impacts

Mining would contribute to one of the main employment sectors of the Local Municipality.

11.3.2 Negative Impacts

- Noise Generation from construction / set-up and operational activities;
- Visual intrusion caused by the mining activities in the largely rural setting;

- Dust fall & nuisance from construction and site establishment;
- Wildlife and vegetation disturbance during the construction / set-up and operational phase;
- Surface water and groundwater contamination from hydrocarbons during the construction/set-up and operational activities; and
- Socio-Economic impact due to conflicting land uses during the construction / set-up and operational phase.

11.4 Proposed impact management objectives and the impact management outcomes for inclusion in the EMPr

11.4.1 Management Objectives

The impact management objectives are listed below:

- Objective 1 To create a safe and rehabilitated post-mining environment.
 - Ensure safe mining area with no potentially dangerous areas like deep excavations.
 - The site in the river bed is to be shaped and levelled at each stage of closure and rehabilitation.
 - Topsoil to be stockpiled and replaced during decommissioning and closure, and rehabilitation.
- Objective 2 To minimise pollution or degradation of the environment
 - Provide sufficient information and guidance to plan the sand mining activities in a manner that would reduce impacts as far as practically possible.
 - Limit residual environmental impact with no surface water or soil contamination by ensuring that no fuel or oil spills occur in the mining area.
 - Ensure that no solid waste or rubble is dumped on the site.
 - Ensure that portable toilets are used.
- Objective 3 To minimise impacts on the community and to provide optimal post-mining social opportunities
 - Ensure that workers remain within the mining permit area.
 - Ensure access control measures are implemented.
 - Operate during normal working hours only.
 - Minimise the generation of noise and dust.
 - Respond rapidly to any complaints received.
 - Minimal negative aesthetic impact
 - Optimised benefits for the social environment

11.4.2 Outcomes

- By providing sufficient information to strategically plan the sand mining activities, unnecessary social and environmental impacts be avoided.
- Ensure an approach that will provide the necessary confidence in terms of environmental compliance.
- Provide a management plan that is effective and practical for implementation.
- Through the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures it is anticipated that the identified social and environmental impacts can be managed and mitigated effectively.
- Noise generation can be managed through consultation and restriction of operating hours and by maintaining equipment and applying noise abatement equipment if necessary.
- Dust fall can be managed by reducing driving speeds when driving on unpaved roads.
- Wildlife disturbance and clearance of vegetation will be limited to the absolute minimum required and disturbed areas will be re-vegetated with locally indigenous species as soon as possible.

• Surface water and groundwater contamination by hydrocarbons can be managed by conducting proper vehicle maintenance, refueling with care to minimise the chance of spillages and by having a spill kit available on each site where sand mining activities are in progress.

11.5 Description of any assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge.

This report has been completed to the best of the EAPs ability, based on his experience and on information currently available to the EAP as well as provided by the applicant.

- The desk-top research included reference to the SANBI BGIS database map viewer for the various baseline environmental attributes, and any assumptions or gaps in knowledge expressed by SANBI in the provision of this information would be applicable to this information as referenced.
- The latest Google EarthTM reference available is outdated (2016) for purposes of current land use identification in close proximity to the proposed site on adjacent properties upstream and downstream of the site.
- It is assumed that the proposed mitigation measures as listed in this report and included in the EMPr will be implemented and adhered to. Mitigation measures are proposed which are considered to be reasonable and must be implemented in order for the outcome of the assessment to be accurate.

11.6 Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should or should not be authorized

11.6.1 Reasons why the activity should be authorized or not

It is the opinion of the EAP that the proposed sand mining activity should be authorised. In reaching this conclusion the EAP has considered that:

- The "preferred alternative" takes into account location alternatives, activity alternatives, layout alternatives, technology alternatives and operational alternatives.
- The approach taken is that it is preferable to avoid significant negative environmental impacts, wherever possible.
- The site is located in a Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) River with a Category B (Largely Natural). It is the opinion of the EAP that the underlying biodiversity objectives and ecological functioning will not be compromised, subject to the strict adherence to the EMPr and Closure Plan.
- No negative impacts have been identified that are so severe as to prevent the proposed mining activity from taking place. The activity has been assessed to have a positive socio-economic impact, especially in terms of the creation of employment and the provision of building sand at a local and district level for the construction sector.
- Provided the recommended mitigation measures are implemented and mining activities are managed in accordance with the stipulations of the EMPr, and Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan (Annexure 1), in an environmentally sound manner, the potential negative impacts associated with the implementation of the preferred alternative can be reduced to acceptable levels.

11.6.2 Conditions that must be included in the authorisation

- All mining and rehabilitation to be conducted as per the approved EMPr, and Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Closure Plan (Annexure 1).
- Concurrent mining and rehabilitation must be done according to the annual rehabilitation plan.
- The proposed mining area must be clearly demarcated with semi-permanent markers.
- Eradicate all alien vegetation in the area regularly during and after mining.

- The sand mining operator must appoint a suitably qualified ECO who will be responsible
 for ensuring compliance with the requirements of the EMPr during the mine operation and
 decommissioning.
- Should any burials, fossils or other historical material be encountered during construction, work must cease immediately and SAHRA must be contacted. Refer to Appendix 4.
- The mine operation must follow an Integrated Waste Management approach. Control measures must be implemented to prevent pollution of any water resource or soil surface by oil, grease, fuel or chemicals. Appropriate pollution prevention measures must be implemented to prevent dust.
- A speed limit of 40km/hour will be displayed and enforced through a fining system. All vehicle drivers will be informed of the speed limit applicable to the length of the access road off the N7 where after the national speed limits will be applicable for hauling trucks. The access road will be maintained during operational activities.

11.6.3 Period for which the Environmental Authorisation is required

The authorisation is required for the duration of the sand mining permit which is an initial 2 years plus a potential to extend the permit by an additional 3 years. Normally there is also a time delay in the granting of applications for renewal therefore a total period of 10 years may be required.

11.6.4 Undertaking

It is confirmed that the undertaking required to meet the requirements of this section is provided at the end of the EMPr and is applicable to both the Basic Assessment Report (BAR) and the Environmental Management Programme report (EMPr).

12. Financial Provision

12.1 Legal Framework

Regulations pertaining to the financial provision for prospecting, exploration, mining or production operations under section 44, read with sections 24 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998) were issued in 2015.

According to the Financial Provisioning regulations, 2015 as amended (Reg. 7) the applicant or holder of a right or permit must ensure that the financial provision is, at any given time, equal to the sum of the actual costs of implementing the plans and report contemplated in regulation 6 and regulation 11.

In terms of regulation 11(1) the holder of a right or permit must ensure that a review is undertaken of the requirements for (a) annual rehabilitation, as reflected in an annual rehabilitation plan; (b) final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of the prospecting, exploration, mining or production operations at the end of the life of operations as reflected in a final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan; and (c) remediation of latent or residual environmental impacts which may become known in the future, as reflected in an environmental risk assessment report.

12.2 Calculation

Financial provision in terms of Regulation 6 of the Financial Provisioning Regulations, 2015 as amended, is covered by the requirements for the actual costs of implementation of the measures required for final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of the mining operations at the end of the life of operations as reflected in the final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan attached as Annexure 1.

12.3 Explain how the aforesaid amount was derived.

According to regulation 6 an applicant must determine the financial provision through a detailed itemisation of all activities and costs, calculated based on the actual costs of implementation of the measures required for— (a) annual rehabilitation, as reflected in an annual rehabilitation plan; (b) final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure of the prospecting, exploration, mining or production operations at the end of the life of operations, as reflected in a final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan; and (c) remediation of latent or residual environmental impacts which may become known in the future, as reflected in an environmental risk assessment report (Refer Annexure 1).

12.4 Confirm that this amount can be provided for from operating expenditure.

The amount needed for the implementation of the final rehabilitation, decommissioning and closure plan will be provided to DMR in the form of a bank guarantee and the plan will be revised on an annual basis in terms of regulation 11(1) of the Nema Financial Provisioning Regulations 2015 as amended.

Provision for implementation of annual rehabilitation plan to be provided as part of the environmental audit report in terms of Regulation 34 (1)(b) of the NEMA EIA Regulations (2014) will be provided as part of the operational budget and proof of access to the necessary fund were provided as part of the PWP together with proof of access to the necessary financial resources.

13. Specific Information required by the competent Authority

13.1 Compliance with sections 24(4)(a) and (b) of NEMA

According to the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998). the EIA report must include the impact on:

The socio-economic conditions of any directly affected person

A full consultation process was implemented during the environmental authorisation process. The purpose of the consultation is to provide affected persons the opportunity to raise any potential concerns. Concerns raised have been captured and addressed within the public participation section of this report to inform the decision-making process.

<u>Impact on any national estate referred to in section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources</u>
Act

The potential impact on heritage resources is unlikely due to the nature of the sand mining activity in a river bed, as confirmed by the Heritage Impact Assessment attached at annexure 3.

13.2 Other matters required in terms of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) of the Act.

A motivation for not investigating reasonable and feasible alternatives is provided in Section 9.4 above.

14. Environmental Management Program

14.1 Details of the EAP,

This has already been covered. Refer Section 1 of this document.

14.2 Description of the Aspects of the Activity

This has already been covered. Refer Section 9 & 10 of this document.

14.3 Composite Map

This has already been covered. Refer Figure 1 to 3.

14.4 Description of Impact management objectives including management statements This has already been covered. Refer Section 10 of this document.

14.5 Determination of closure objectives.

This has already been covered. Refer Annexure 1 and Section 15 of this document.

14.6 Volumes and rate of water use required for the operation.

The proposed sand mining activity does not require water for operation

14.7 Has a water use license been applied for?

A general authorisation for section 21 c & 21i water uses of the NWA (36, 1998) were obtained for Impeding or diverting the flow of water in a watercourse (21c) and Altering the Bed, Banks, Course or Characteristics of a Watercourse (21i) (Annexure 4).

14.8 Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases **Table 15:** Measures to rehabilitate the environment affected by the undertaking of any listed activity

Tubic 15. Micabares	table 15: Measures to Tenatoritate the environment affected by the undertaking of any fisted activity					
ACTIVITY 1	SITE ACCESS (use of existing farm tracks;	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE of disturbance			
	access points to river bed) & SITE	CONSTRUCTION	Total footprint is 5ha			
	ESTABLISHMENT					
COMPLIANCE	NEMA Section 2 Principles	TIME PERIOD	Start of activity and continuous as mining progresses over the site			
WITH	Environmental Authorisation	FOR IMPLEMEN	during construction period (site access and site establishment			
STANDARDS		TATION	activities)			
			Upon cessation of each activity where applicable.			
			Immediately in the event of spills			

Impact 1: Soil erosion & soil compaction

- After clearing, the affected area shall be stabilized to prevent any erosion or sediment runoff. Stabilized areas shall be demarcated accordingly.
- Incremental clearing of ground cover should take place to avoid unnecessary exposed surfaces.
- Reasonable measures must be undertaken to ensure that any exposed areas are adequately protected against the wind and stormwater runoff
- Top soil shall be removed separately and stockpiled separately from other soil base layers.
- Stockpiles should ideally be located to create the least visual impact and must be maintained to avoid erosion of the material.
- Topsoil storage areas must be convex and should not exceed 2m in height.
- Topsoil must be treated with care, must not be buried or in any other way be rendered unsuitable for further use (e.g., by mixing with spoil) and precautions must be taken to prevent unnecessary handling and compaction.
- In particular, topsoil must not be subject to compaction greater than 1 500 kg/m² and must not be pushed by a bulldozer for more than 50 metres. Trucks may not be driven over the stockpiles.
- Reduce drop height of material to a minimum.
- Temporarily halt material handling in windy conditions.
- A speed limit of 30km/hour will be displayed and enforced through a fining system. All vehicle drivers using the access road and entering the site will be informed of the speed limit.

Compacted areas that are not required for access shall be scarified after use during decommissioning and rehabilitation.

Impact 2: Water resource functionality

- Topsoil at access point to be removed prior during construction phase, and replaced during rehabilitation.
- After clearing, the affected area shall be stabilized to prevent any erosion or sediment runoff. Stabilized areas shall be demarcated accordingly.
- Incremental clearing of ground cover should take place to avoid unnecessary exposed surfaces.
- Top soil shall be removed separately and stockpiled separately from other soil base layers.
- Stockpiles should ideally be located to create the least visual impact and must be maintained to avoid erosion of the material.
- Topsoil storage areas must be convex and should not exceed 2m in height.
- Topsoil must be treated with care, must not be buried or in any other way be rendered unsuitable for further use (e.g., by mixing with spoil) and precautions must be taken to prevent unnecessary handling and compaction.
- In particular, topsoil must not be subject to compaction greater than 1 500 kg/m² and must not be pushed by a bulldozer for more than 50 metres. Trucks may not be driven over the stockpiles.
- Temporarily halt material handling in windy conditions.
- Rehabilitation of the river banks at each access point as soon as that section of the river has been mined.
- Compacted areas are to be scarified.
- Shaping of river bank to be returned to original profile.

Impact 3: Impact on biodiversity

- Identify existing disturbed patches for laydown areas, and demarcate areas for clearing. Refer to Figure 3 which indicates that existing farm tracks will be used, and disturbed areas have been earmarked for laydown areas.
- Remove alien invasive vegetation and ensure ongoing alien vegetation clearing in the area.
- No indigenous plants outside of the demarcated work areas may be damaged.
- The noise and vibration caused by the earthmoving equipment will disturb smaller animals (e.g., snakes). These will move away whilst operations are in progress. Should any animals be encountered, these should be moved away by ECO, if necessary.

Impact 4: Contamination & Pollution

- Oils and lubricants must be stored within sealed containment structures if kept on site.
- Any mechanical equipment maintenance must be undertaken on drip trays or UPVC sheets to prevent spills/ leaks onto the soil.
- When not in use, a drip tray must be placed beneath mechanical equipment and vehicles.
- Machinery must be kept in good working order and regularly inspected for leaks.
- A spill kit will be available on each site where mining activities are in progress.
- Any spillages will be cleaned up immediately.
- Waste materials generated on site must be stored in suitable lidded containers and removed off site to a suitable disposal facility.
- Waste separation must be undertaken if practical for recycling
- Provide all workers with environmental awareness training.
- Provide a bin at the site.
- Regularly dispose of any solid waste at a municipal waste disposal site.
- Ensure all workers comply with the requirements of the EMPr.
- Provide a mobile ablution facility.

Impact 5: Visual landscape

- The laydown areas shall be kept neat and tidy at all times. Equipment must be kept in designated areas and storing/stockpiling shall be kept orderly.
- Restrict working hours to normal work day hours with no work on Sundays and public holidays.

Impact 6: Emissions

- The Contractor shall adhere to the local by-laws and regulations regarding the noise and associated hours of operations.
- The Contractor shall limit noise levels (e.g., install and maintain silencers on machinery). The provisions of SANS 1200A Sub clause 4.1 regarding "built-up" area shall apply to all areas within audible distance of residents whether in urban, peri-urban or rural areas.
- Construction and demolition activities generating output of 85dB or more, shall be limited to normal working hours and not allowed during Sundays and public holidays to limit the impact of noise of neighbours. Should the Contractor need to work outside normal working hours, the surrounding neighbours shall be informed prior to the work taking place.
- On public roads adjacent to the site vehicles shall adhere to municipal and provincial traffic regulations including speed limits.
- Vehicles used on site for the construction related activities shall be maintained and in a good working condition so as to reduce emissions.
- Stockpiles must be maintained (covered where necessary) to avoid wind erosion of the material.
- Incremental clearing of ground cover should take place to avoid unnecessary exposed surfaces.

Impact 7: Heritage resources

- 38(4)a The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorites (APM) Unit has no objections to the proposed development;
- 38(4)b The recommendations of the specialists are supported and must be adhered to as follows:
- The development footprint (mining and associated roads) must be kept as small as possible;
- Existing farm roads should be reused as much as possible;
- If any paleontological material, archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.
- Further additional specific conditions are provided for the development as follows:
- All decisions regarding heritage resources as defined by section 34 of the NHRA i.e., built structures, must be directed to the Northern Cape Provincial Heritage Resources Authority;
- 38(4)c(i) If any evidence of archaeological sites or remains (e.g., remnants of stone-made structures, indigenous ceramics, bones, stone artefacts, ostrich eggshell fragments, charcoal and ash concentrations), fossils or other categories of heritage resources are found during the proposed development, SAHRA APM Unit (Natasha Higgitt/Phillip Hine 021 462 5402) must be alerted as per section 35(3) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51 (1) e of the NHRA and item 5 of the Schedule;
- 38(4)c(ii) If unmarked human burials are uncovered, the SAHRA Burial Grounds and Graves (BGG) Unit (Thingahangwi Tshivhase/Mimi Seetelo 012 320 8490), must be alerted immediately as per section 36(6) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51 (1) e of the NHRA and item 5 of the Schedule;
- 38(4)d See section 51(1) of the NHRA;
- 38(4)e The following conditions apply with regards to the appointment of specialists: i) If heritage resources are uncovered during the course of the development, a professional archaeologist or palaeontologist, depending on the nature of the finds, must be contracted as soon as possible to inspect the heritage resource. If the newly discovered heritage resources prove to be of archaeological or palaeontological significance, a Phase 2 rescue operation may be required subject to permits issued by SAHRA;
- The Final BAR and EMPr must be submitted to SAHRA for record purposes;
- The decision regarding the EA Application must be communicated to SAHRA and uploaded to the SAHRIS Case application.

Impact 8: Socio-economic

- No amplified music shall be allowed on site.
- Employment of local previously disadvantaged labour wherever possible, with provision of training (upskilling)

ACTIVITY 2	Mining of sand material (extraction, loading	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE of disturbance		
	and hauling)	OPERATION	Total footprint is 5ha: average depth of 2 metres		
COMPLIANCE	NEMA Section 2 Principles	TIME PERIOD	During the estimated 5-year lifespan of the mine.		
WITH	Environmental Authorisation	FOR	Start of activity and continuous as mining progresses over the site		
STANDARDS		IMPLEMENTA	during operational period.		
		TION	Upon cessation of each activity where applicable.		
			Immediately in the event of spills.		
MITIGATION	Impact 1: Soil erosion & soil compaction				
MEASURES	• After clearing, the affected area shall be s accordingly.	ll be stabilized to prevent any erosion or sediment runoff. Stabilized areas shall be dema			
	• Incremental clearing of vegetation in river b				
	• Reasonable measures must be undertaken to off.	ensure that any expo	sed areas are adequately protected against the wind and stormwater run-		
		e the least visual imp	act and must be maintained to avoid erosion of the material.		
	 Reduce drop height of material to a minimum 		det and must be maintained to avoid crosson of the material.		
	 Temporarily halt material handling in windy 				
			gh a fining system. All vehicle drivers using the access road and entering		
	the site will be informed of the speed limit.		5-11		
	•	t are not required for access shall be scarified after use during decommissioning and rehabilitation.			
	Planting of indigenous vegetation in areas up				
	Impact 2: Water resource functionality				
	No equipment may be parked within the dra	inage channel when	not in use.		
	No stockpiling to take place within the drain	age channel.			
	• Shaping of river bed to avoid diversion of	stormwater towards	banks to prevent erosion of river banks, and to prevent channelling of		
	water that would increase erosive capacity of				
	• Sand will be washed from upstream to the m	nining site over time.			
	Impact 3: Impact on biodiversity				
	• Identify existing access tracks. Refer to Fig		es that existing farm tracks will be used.		
	• Demarcate areas for clearing in the river bed				
			footprint contained within the demarcated area.		
		n at a time with rehal	pilitation of the bank and access areas required before moving upstream		
	to the next block.				
	• The annual rehabilitation plan must be imple		station also single and		
	Remove alien invasive vegetation, and ensure No indicators and allowed the demonstrations of the demonstration of the demonstrati		*		
	No indigenous plants outside of the demarca The price and vibration covered by the court.	•			
	•	0 1 1	vill disturb smaller animals (e.g., snakes). These will move away whilst		
	operations are in progress. Should any anim	iais be encountered, t	these should be moved away by the ECO, if necessary.		

Impact 4: Contamination & Pollution

- Oils and lubricants must be stored within sealed containment structures if kept on site.
- Any mechanical equipment maintenance must be undertaken on drip trays or UPVC sheets to prevent spills/ leaks onto the soil.
- When not in use, a drip tray must be placed beneath mechanical equipment and vehicles.
- Machinery must be kept in good working order and regularly inspected for leaks.
- A spill kit will be available on each site where mining activities are in progress.
- Any spillages will be cleaned up immediately.
- Waste materials generated on site must be stored in suitable lidded containers and removed off site to a suitable disposal facility.
- Waste separation must be undertaken if practical for recycling
- Provide all workers with environmental awareness training.
- Provide a bin at the site.
- Regularly dispose of any solid waste at a municipal waste disposal site.
- Ensure all workers comply with the requirements of the EMPr.
- Provide a mobile ablution facility.

Impact 5: Visual landscape

- The laydown areas shall be kept neat and tidy at all times. Equipment must be kept in designated areas and storing/stockpiling shall be kept orderly.
- Restrict working hours to normal work day hours with no work over weekends when holidays occur to minimize hauling trucks along access roads.

Impact 6: Emissions

- Ensure sand hauling is during normal working hours and not on Sundays
- No amplified music shall be allowed on site.
- On public roads the vehicles shall adhere to municipal and provincial traffic regulations including speed limits.
- Vehicles used on site for the construction related activities shall be maintained and in a good working condition so as to reduce emissions.

Impact 7: Heritage resources

• Refer to Appendix 3 and activity 1

Impact 8: Socio-economic

• Employment of local previously disadvantaged labour wherever possible, with provision of training (upskilling)

ACTIVITIES	Final Rehabilitation and removal of temporary	PHASE DECOM-	SIZE AND SCALE of disturbance	
	infrastructure	MISIONING Less than 5ha		
COMPLIANCE	NEMA Section 2 Principles	TIME PERIOD	During the estimated 5-year lifespan of the mine.	
WITH	Environmental Authorisation	FOR IMPLEMEN Start of activity and continuous as mining progresses over		
STANDARDS		TATION during operational period.		
			Upon cessation of each activity where applicable.	
			Immediately in the event of spills.	
MITIGATION	Implementation of Final Rehabilitation, Decommissioning and Mine Closure Plan.			
MEASURES	Compacted areas shall be scarified after use during decommissioning and rehabilitation.			
	Any stored topsoil shall be spread over the scarified surface.			
	Shaping of river bed to avoid steep profiles and hollows.			
	Ongoing removal of alien invasive vegetation.			
	Planting of indigenous vegetation.			

14.9 Impact Management Outcomes **Table 16:** Impact Management Outcomes

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE In which impact is anticipated	MITIGATION TYPE	STANDARD TO BE ACHIEVED
cess	Disturbance of river bank at access points	Water resources functionality in a FEPA	Construction	Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation	Impacts minimised and mitigated.
Site access	Disturbance of fauna and flora	Biodiversity in an ESA		Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation	End use objectives achieved through
	Soil compaction and erosion	Soil resource		Control through monitoring and management	rehabilitation.
including management	Visibility	Visual intrusion	Construction	Control through monitoring and management	Impacts minimised and mitigated.
	Emissions (dust, noise & vehicles)	Noise & Air quality		Control through monitoring and management	End use objectives
	Disturbance of fauna and flora	Biodiversity in an ESA		Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation	achieved through rehabilitation.
establishment, generation and	Soil, sand contamination, soil compaction and disturbance	Soil resource		Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation & control through monitoring and management	
Site esta waste gene	Destruction or loss of Heritage resources	Cultural and Heritage		Avoidance by relocation of activity if required. Refer to Appendix 3 – no mitigation required for project site	Impact avoided
Š.				assessed	

	Visibility	Visual	Operation	Control through monitoring and management	Impacts minimised and mitigated.
and	Emissions (dust, noise &	Noise &		Control through monitoring and	
ng on	vehicles)	Air quality		management	End use objectives
loading neratior ent	Disturbance of fauna and	Biodiversity in an ESA		Remedy through restriction and	achieved through
log	flora			rehabilitation	rehabilitation.
of sand, loading waste generation	Soil and sand	Soil resource		Remedy through restriction and	
sa aste nag	contamination, soil			rehabilitation & control through	
l of wa	compaction and			monitoring and management	
Removal hauling, '	disturbance				
aulj	Disturbance of river bed;	Water resources			
Re ha	sand extraction	functionality in a FEPA		D.C. A. H. A	T
	Destruction or loss of	Cultural and Heritage		Refer to Appendix 3 – no mitigation	Impact avoided
	Heritage resources	Coil massaumes	Dagammigaianina	required for project site investigated.	Turnosto minimios don d
temporary e and site n	Dust emissions (vehicle entrained dust)	Soil resource	Decommissioning	Control through monitoring and management	Impacts minimised and mitigated.
and s	Soil erosion due to slow	Soil resource &		Remedy through restriction and	
tem e ai	recovery of vegetation	biodiversity		rehabilitation & control through	End use objectives
Removal of te infrastructure rehabilitation		·		monitoring and management	achieved through
val val ruc lita					rehabilitation.
Removal infrastruc rehabilita	River bed profile	Water resources			
Re ₁ infi		functionality in a FEPA			

14.10 Impact Management Actions **Table 17:** Impact Management Actions

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	MITIGATION TYPE	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS
	Disturbance of river bank at	Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation	Concurrently with site	Remain within the ambit of the
Site	access points		access activities	Mining Permit Programme and
Site	Disturbance of fauna and flora	Control through monitoring and management		Environmental Authorisation
	Soil compaction and erosion		Upon cessation of activity	
	Visibility	Control through monitoring and management		
nt, e I	Emissions (dust, noise &			
Site establishment, including waste generation and management	vehicles)			
e establishme Icluding wast eneration and management	Disturbance of fauna and flora	Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation		
abl ling atic	Soil and sand contamination, soil	Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation		
est luc ner	compaction and disturbance	& control through monitoring and		
ite inc inc ge ge		management		
S	Destruction or loss of Heritage	Avoidance by relocation of activity if		
	resources	required		
8	Visibility	Control through monitoring and management	Concurrently with site	Remain within the ambit of the
ldir e	Emissions (dust, noise &	Control through monitoring and management	access activities	Mining Permit Programme and
Removal of sand, loading and hauling, waste generation and management	vehicles)			Environmental Authorisation
val of sand, lo I hauling, wa eneration and management	Disturbance of fauna and flora	Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation	Upon cessation of activity	
wal of sanc d hauling, generation managem	Soil and sand contamination, soil	Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation		
of aultera era mag	compaction and disturbance	& control through monitoring and		
val d ha gen ma	Disturbance of river bed; sand	management		
no'n anc	extraction			
Rei	Destruction or loss of Heritage	Avoidance by relocation of activity if		
	resources	required.		
pr uc	Dust emissions (vehicle entrained	Control through monitoring and management	Upon cessation of activity	Remain within the ambit of the
of y e an atic	dust)			Mining Permit Programme and
ral rar ture	Soil erosion due to slow recovery	Remedy through restriction and rehabilitation		Environmental Authorisation
nov npo nuci	of vegetation	& control through monitoring and		
Removal of temporary infrastructure and site rehabilitation	River bed profile	management		
F Infra				
.n				

15. Financial Provision

- 15.1 Describe the closure objectives and the extent to which they have been aligned to the baseline environment described under the Regulation.
- Objective 1 To create a safe and healthy post-mining environment
 - > Safe mining area
 - Maintain affected environment in a stable condition that will not be detrimental to the safety and health of humans and animals and that will not pollute the environment or lead to the degradation thereof.
 - No potentially dangerous areas; secured if required
 - ➤ Limited residual environmental impact
 - No surface and/or groundwater contamination
 - Waste management practices not creating or leaving legacies
 - Develop a landscape that reduces the requirement for long term monitoring and management
- Objective 2 To create a stable, free draining post mining landform, which is compatible with the surrounding landscape
 - Economically viable and sustainable land fit for grazing, as close as possible to its natural state.
 - Improve Land use with an increased production with regard to grazing.
 - Minimise disturbance of ecology due to loss of habitat and noise/visual/dust
 - Minimise risk of erosion from either increased base flow or prospecting operations:
 - Management of air emissions to minimise nuisance effects; implementation of dust suppression activities.
 - Increase of land with agricultural potential: profiling and sloping of remaining drill sumps and removal of all drill spoils and ripping of all compacted areas to facilitate recovery of natural vegetation through colonization by dispersing species (patch dynamics)
 - Prevent long term changes in land use: revert back to mainly stock farming (grazing).
 - Prepare area to promote natural re-establishment of vegetation that is self-sustaining, perpetual and provides a sustainable habitat for local fauna and successive flora species
- Objective 3 To provide optimal post-mining social opportunities
 - > Optimised benefits for the social environment
 - Maintain positive and transparent relationships with stakeholders: maintaining communication channels to all stakeholders and forums.
 - Provide stakeholders with relevant information: making all information available to stakeholders and providing information to authorities as per legislative requirements.
 - Undertaking environmental management in accordance with the implementation, maintenance and auditing of an environmental management system.
 - ➤ Minimal negative aesthetic impact
 - Maintain affected environment in an improved state containing no foreign debris or other materials.

The legal framework within which all the above lies entails:

- Defining and meeting closure standards.
- Complying with legislation.

- Sufficient financial provision for mine closure activities.
- Monitoring and plan for latent environmental impact.
- 15.2 Confirm specifically that the environmental objectives in relation to closure have been consulted with landowner and interested and affected parties.

The closure objectives were reported in the draft BAR as well as the Final Rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan Including Environmental Risk Assessment and was made available to all registered interested and affected parties.

15.3 Rehabilitation plan that describes and shows the scale and aerial extent of the main mining activities

Refer Final Rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan Including Environmental Risk Assessment Annexure 1.

15.4 Explain why it can be confirmed that the rehabilitation plan is compatible with the closure objectives.

The closure objectives are to return the land disturbed by sand mining activities back to its original condition. The rehabilitation plan provides the detail on how this will be achieved as detailed in Annexure 1.

- 15.5 Calculate and state the quantum of the financial provision required to manage and rehabilitate the environment in accordance with the applicable guideline As per Paragraph 11 of this report and Annexure 1.
- 15.6 Confirm that the financial provision will be provided as determined. As per Paragraph 11 of this report and Annexure 1.

15.7 Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with and performance assessment against the environmental management program and reporting thereon, including

Table 18: Mechanisms for Monitoring Compliance

SOURCE ACTIVITY	IMPACTS REQUIRING MONITORING PROGRAMMES	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MONITORING	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	MONITORING AND REPORTING FREQUENCY and TIME PERIODS FOR IMPLEMENTING IMPACT MANAGEMENT ACTIONS
All mining activities	All commitments contained in the BA Report and accompanying EMP.	Ensure commitments made within the approved BAR and EMPr are being adhered to.	Site Manager and EAP.	Annual Undertake and submit an environmental performance audit to DMR
Site access and site establishment	Visual inspection of soil erosion and/or compaction	All exposed areas, access roads and soil stockpiles must be monitored for erosion on a regular basis, specifically after rainfall events.	Site Manager and Independent EAP	Weekly, and after rain-fall events Weekly monitoring reports to be signed-off by the Site Manager Corrective action to be confirmed and signed-off by the Site Manager. Consolidated monthly monitoring reports (including confirmation of corrective action taken, with photographic evidence) to be submitted to the Site Manager.
Sand Mining	Visual inspection of biodiversity impacts Visual inspection of water resource functionality Visual inspection of waste management, housekeeping and maintenance.	 Visual inspection of sand mining activities and other possible secondary impacts Control and prevent the development of new access tracks. Control and prevent growth of alien vegetation in cleared areas and on stockpiles. Standard waste management practices must be implemented to prevent contamination and littering. All spill incidents will be reported and corrective action taken in accordance with an established spill response procedure. 	Site Manager & Contractor (or subcontractors)	Daily Weekly monitoring reports to be signed-off by the Site Manager. Corrective action to be confirmed and signed-off by the Project Site Manager. Consolidated monthly monitoring reports (including confirmation of corrective action taken, with photographic evidence) to be submitted. Report incidents in terms of the relevant legislation, including the MPRDA, NWA and NEMA.
Closure & Rehabilitation	Revegetation; Stability; River profile; Soil erosion; Alien invasive species	Inspection of all rehabilitated areas to assess whether soil erosion is occurring and to implement corrective action where required.	Site Manager	Annual A final audit report for site closure must be submitted to the DMR for approval.

15.8 Indicate the frequency of the submission of the performance assessment/ environmental audit report.

An external environmental performance audit shall be conducted annually by an independent environmental assessment practitioner that include an annual rehabilitation plan for implementation during the next reporting period. A review of the Final decommissioning, rehabilitation and mine closure Plan will also be done on an annual basis together with an update of the quantum calculations for financial provision for rehabilitation.

16. Environmental Awareness Plan

16.1 Manner in which the applicant intends to inform his or her employees of any environmental risk which may result from their work.

Training is part of its Induction process and environmental Management System (EMS). The induction includes:

- Awareness training for contractors and employees;
- Job specific training training for personnel performing tasks which could cause
- potentially significant environmental impacts;
- EMS training;
- Comprehensive training on emergency response, spill management, etc;
- Specialised skills; and
- Training verification and record keeping

Before commencement of the mining activities all employees and contractors who are involved with such activities should attend relevant induction and training. It is standard practice for employees and the employees of contractors that will be working on a new project or at a new site to attend an induction course where the nature and characteristics of the project and the site are explained.

The training course should include key information abstracted from the EMP pertaining to the potential environmental impacts, the mitigation measures that will be applied, the monitoring activities that will be undertaken and the roles and responsibilities of contractors' and personnel.

The full EMP document is also made available to attendees.

16.2 Manner in which risks will be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment.

Environmental risks and how to manage them are dealt with in the induction course referred to in section (m) (i) above. If an incident of environmental pollution or damage does occur it is analysed and appropriate prevention and/or mitigation measures are developed. These measures are added to the EMP and conveyed to the relevant personnel.

All unplanned incidents with the potential to cause pollution or environmental degradation or conflict with local residents will be reported to the Mineral Resources Manager within 24 hours.

Hydrocarbon Spills

Hydrocarbon spills that are considered to be emergency incidents are large-scale spills (cover a surface area >1m2), resulting from situations such as; a leaking diesel bowser, an oil drum that is knocked over, large spillages from equipment, etc.

Activities that are involved in the clean-up of such instances include:

- The containment of the spill,
- The removal of all contaminated material, and
- The disposal (at a licenced hazardous disposal facility) or bioremediation (at a licenced facility) of this material.

Fire

There is the potential for fire to occur in the following locations of the drill site:

- Veld fires across vegetated areas; and
- Vehicles and equipment.

Veld fires: Any person who observes the fire must report it to the fire brigade immediately and then to their supervisor. If possible, additional personnel may be sent to contain the fire, but only if the lives of the personnel will not be endangered.

Vehicles and Equipment: Fire extinguishers will be available at the site where drilling activities will take place and in the vehicles. All staff members will be trained in the use of fire-fighting equipment.

16.3 Specific information required by the Competent Authority Not applicable at this stage

17. Undertaking

The EAP herewith confirms

the correctness of the information provided in the reports

the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APs

the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports where relevant; and that the information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested and affected parties are correctly reflected herein.

Signature of the environmental assessment practitioner:

N.J. van Zyl

Reg. EAP (EAPASA 2019/2034)

January 2022

-END-

Annexure 1: Final Rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan

Including Environmental Risk Assessment and quantum calculations

Annexure 2: PPP summary

Annexure 3: Desktop HIA