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## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project Brief and Objective

DES was commissioned to investigate a site for the possible development and operation of a filling station on Erf 3726, 3727 \& 3728, Mahikeng, North West Province.

It is planned that a filling station with a canopy-covered forecourt will be developed on the property and that the facility will have a modern convenience store and full petrol and diesel fuelling facilities.

The brief was to provide a considered estimation of the projected fuel and shop sales based on the trade area demographics and the current traffic count with the scope of work being to undertake a market assessment and demand study for the proposed filling station.

This study will take into account the traffic that can have access to the property and the impact that opposition stations in the area will have on the proposed development. The study will assume that the facility will be efficiently operated and will provide all the normal facilities available at a modern contemporary service station.

The following chapters provide an insight into the background of the project, the site description, volume calculations and the assumed parameters used in the calculations.

## 2 DEVELOPMENT PARTICULARS

### 2.1 Study Area

Mahikeng, commonly known as Mafikeng, is the capital city of the North West Province. It is situated roughly 240 km west of the City of Johannesburg and 25 km south of the border of Botswana and South Africa. Mahikeng rural/urban area with approximately $24.57 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of total coverage and a population of 15117 (2011 census).

The site is located at the intersection of the Nelson Mandela Drive (R503) and an unnamed road which will be referred to as 'Road A' in this report. The R503 is the main road running through the town of Mahikeng, it eventually becomes the N18 and runs north up to the border of Botswana. Road A connects the residential areas south east of the site to the R503.

Mahikeng consists of low to middle-income groups. It consists of many densely populated residential areas and a small industrial area. The population of the Mahikeng Municipality has been fast growing over the last two decades and this growth is most likely to continue into the future.

### 2.2 Traffic Growth within the Study Area

It is typical to consider a 5 to 7 -year period for potential growth within a study area but precise traffic growth patterns were not analysed nor calculated in-depth for this report, a full investigation of such would require a separate study.

When there is insufficient historic data or data quality is poor and adequate information to calculate expected traffic growth in a study is not available, typical growth rates may be obtained from recommendations by the Committee of Transport Officials (COTO). However, it can be expected that traffic growth in this area will at least keep pace with the National Average as the proposed development will be aimed at the lower to middle-income motorists in the trade area. The lower-income population sector is currently enjoying an increasing disposable income and a desire to acquire their own transport, so is showing the best growth in vehicle ownership. While the average growth rate has declined over recent years from around $7 \%$ pa to as low as $3 \%$ to $4 \%$, it is expected that this sector will at least retain this rate going into the future.

Due to the impact of Covid-19 on the economy its growth will be impaired and no increase in growth can be expected for the near future until everything has fully recovered from the effects of the pandemic. A 3.0\% pa traffic growth rate will be used to remain conservative during these conditions for the first five operational years (i.e., 2021 - 2026). The initial 3-Year annual forecast, the potential growth and estimated monthly sales for the proposed station are set out in Table 5 of this report.

### 2.3 Future Roads

There are no planned road upgrades around the site for the foreseeable future. The most recent road upgrade was the construction of a traffic circle at the intersection adjacent to the site.

## 3 EVALUATION OF THE STUDY SITE

A desktop study was conducted for the target property with a qualitative assessment of the most important filling station attributes being assessed on a scale of from VERY POOR (1) to VERY GOOD (5) as follows:


### 3.1 Visibility (5)

VERY GOOD. The proposed site is located adjacent to the R503 which is a straight road that allows good vision from oncoming vehicles in both directions. The area around the site is mostly clear open land with little visual obstructions which could reduce a driver's vision of the site.

### 3.2 Proposed Access (4)

GOOD. The proposed site will have a Left-In-Left-Out (LILO) access off the R503 which will allow direct access for north-westbound, towards border, transient traffic. There will be a Full Access from Road A which will allow direct access for traffic travelling in both directions along that road.

### 3.3 Trading Market (4)

GOOD. The proposed site is located along a main road which will give it access to transient traffic travelling into the town, towards the border. There are residential areas south and east of the site that could supply the site with residential traffic. The site will mainly be targeting transient traffic travelling into the town, since there are not many alternatives before this site it may encourage drivers to spend more money on fills and the onsite convenience store. The area consists of low to middle-income residents with a growing population which has good potential for future sales.

### 3.4 Traffic Volumes (5)

VERY GOOD. The traffic counts conducted on Thursday the $25^{\text {th }}$ February 2021 at the intersection of the R503 and Road A showed an Average Daily Traffic (ADT) of 19330 vehicles for a 24 -hour count which is a great number of vehicles. These numbers will be likely to increase once the impact of the Covid-19 regulations phase out.

### 3.5 Competitor Investigation (4)

GOOD. There are seven other filling stations within the 3 km radius of influence. Only two of these stations will have a meaningful impact on the proposed site since these two stations are the only stations located on the same side of Nelson Mandela Drive (R503) as the proposed site. The other competitor sites are not situated along the same road as the proposed site or are situated on the opposite side of the shared road, therefore they will not share transient traffic with the site. The closest station before the proposed site heading towards the town is located over 14 km down the R503. Refer to Section 6 for more details regarding the competitor sites.

### 3.6 Overall Rating of Site (4.4)

Every aspect of the site location is considered above average. The site has great visibility and traffic volumes which raises the general rating of the site. The overall rating of all the categories is 4.4 .


## 4 VOLUME CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

In this section the methodology is discussed on how a fuel volume calculation is done to determine the expected first year of fuel volume.

The following formula is used by the fuel industry to calculate the expected average litres of fuel to be sold in a month:

$$
L=A D T \times \bar{F} \times p \times d
$$

Litres per month $(L)=(A D T)$ Vehicles passing the site per day
$(\bar{F}) \quad$ Average fuel fill per vehicle
(p) Percentage vehicles of pass-by traffic turning into the site
(d) Trading days in a calendar month

### 4.1 Vehicles Passing the Site per Day

The number of vehicles passing any proposed filling station is critical when determining the projected volumes, the station is expected to pump and therefore critical in determining its feasibility.

A traffic count was completed on Thursday $25^{\text {th }}$ February 2021 which showed an ADT of 19330 vehicles passing the proposed site. Refer to Section 13.2 Traffic Count Report for details.

The proposed filling stations' access diagram attached in Section 11 of this report shows that the filling station will have an opportunity to intercept eastbound traffic on the R503, via a Left-In-Left-Out access, and traffic travelling in both directions along Road A, via a Full-Access.

### 4.2 The ‘Average Fill'

The average fill at a site is dependent on the type of traffic that the site is exposed to with transient traffic generally giving a higher average fill, lower fills from sites exposed to residential traffic and still lower fills from sites in low-income areas. The average fills for low-income motorists was normally assumed at 12 litres per fill, but with an increasing disposable income and the use of credit cards, this average is increasing, and can these days be taken at approx. $14-15$ litres. The proposed station will serve an almost entirely low to middle-income local clientele, Table 4-1 shows an average fill of 14 litres is adopted in the volume calculations below for light vehicles and 100 litres for heavy vehicles.

Table 4-1 Average Fill

| VEHICLE TYPE | AVERAGE FILL (Litres) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Light | 14 |
| Heavy | 100 |

### 4.3 Percentage of Pass-By Traffic (Interception Rate)

The turn-in percentages (interception rates) are determined by several factors, the most important of which is the convenience of the station to passing motorists. Unless for a special reason, no motorist will make a specific trip to buy fuel but will rather buy when undertaking a trip for another reason, such as to do the monthly shopping or to travel to or from work. Service stations are thus 'traffic interceptors' rather than 'traffic generators'

The most important factor determining the interception rate is, therefore 'convenience' and is determined by the following factors

- Convenience (easily accessible),
- Visibility (adequate time to decide whether to use the facility or not),
- Type of traffic (transient, commuter or residential),
- Other nearby filling stations (competitor threat),
- Service provided to the public (car wash, convenience shop, ATM. etc),
- Good accesses (proper deceleration and acceleration lanes),
- Location (homebound or work bound), and
- Site layout (large enough to enable ease of movement on the forecourt)

It should be noted that if the average fill at a site is lower than usual because of a lower-income clientele, it stands to reason that the interception rate should marginally increase as motorists will still need to travel to their home or workplace destinations and, with fewer litres in the tank, they will need to fill more often.

The normal interception rate for positive and negative traffic in lower-income areas in past times was $12 \%$ and $10 \%$ respectively, but with the ever-increasing disposable income, average fills are increasing, leading to a decreasing need to fill and therefore a lower interception rate, now found to be around 10\% and $8 \%$ respectively. The traffic exposed to the proposed filling station will be almost entirely low-income so the interception rate will likely be close to the interception rates discussed above.

An interception rate of $4 \%$ and $0.5 \%$ for positive and negative traffic flow along the R503 respectively, is therefore assumed for light vehicles and taxis. An interception rate of $6 \%$ for traffic flow in both directions along Road A due to the Full Access. The interception rate for truck and busses is $\mathbf{1 . 5 \%}$ for positive and negative traffic flow.

Table 4-2 below indicates the interception rate for the positive and negative traffic flows for the site.

Table 4-2: Expected Interception Rate

| ADT $= \pm 19330$ TOTAL VEH/DAY PAST THE SITE |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| VEHICLE TYPE AND DIRECTION | EXPECTED INTERCEPTION RATE |
| R503 - Positive Vehicles | $4 \%$ |
| R503 - Negative Vehicles | $0.5 \%$ |
| Road A - All Vehicles | $6 \%$ |
| All Heavy Vehicles | $1.5 \%$ |

### 4.4 Number Trading Days

The definition of full normal trading days in a month is the number of typical weekday sales in a month. A typical weekday is a Tuesday, Wednesday or a Thursday with no public holidays. If there was no variation in the traffic there would be $365 / 12$ or 30.5 full normal trading days in a month, but if the weekend traffic demand is lower than during the week, the full normal trading days in a month would be less. It is thus wrong to assume a default value of 30.5 days just because a 24 -hour facility will be operated each day of the month. Traffic patterns have an impact on the number of trading days per month.

As the trade area is essentially low to middle-income residential, the residents can be expected to travel more during weekdays than over weekends, such as to get to and from their places of employment, so a 30-day month is assumed for light vehicles and taxis, but $\mathbf{2 5}$ days for heavy vehicles as there will be less travelling over weekends.

## 5 CALCULATION OF ESTIMATED FUEL AND SHOP SALES

### 5.1 Expected Monthly Fuel Sales

Table 5-1 below indicates the expected fuel volume for the first year of operations. Note that the factors used in the table is derived from the previous sections. Refer to Section 4 for the table factors used.

Table 5-1: Theoretical Fuel Volume in First Year of Sales

| MONTHLY SALES POTENTIAL | R503 |  | ROAD A | ALL ROADS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PETROL |  | PETROL | DIESEL |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EASTBOUND } \\ \text { TRAFFIC } \\ \text { (POSITVE) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WESTBOUND } \\ & \text { TRAFFIC } \\ & \text { (NEGATIVE) } \end{aligned}$ | NORTH+ SOUTHBOUND TRAFFIC | ALL TRAFFIC |
| Traffic Flow (Veh/day) Average Fill (Litres/Veh) | 3385 | 3460 | 11600 | 884 |
|  | 14 | 14 | 14 | 100 |
| Trading Days (Days/Month) Interception Rate (\%) Monthly Sales Potential (LPM) | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 |
|  | 4 | 0,50 | 6,00 | 1,50 |
|  | 56868 | 7266 | 243600 | 33150 |
| Total Estimated Monthly Sales Potential (1 Year) |  |  |  | 340884 |

It is generally found that service stations only reach $80 \%$ and then $95 \%$ of their projected volume during the first two years and only achieve full mature volume at the end of year three. To represent more realistic fuel sales, the 391604 litres calculated in the first year in Table 5-1, is reduced for the first 3 years and a growth rate, discussed in Section 2.2, is applied to represent the growth in sales after the station becomes operational.

Table 5-2 below indicates the calculated volumes for Year-1 at $80 \%$ of expected fuel volume and Year3 at $100 \%$ of expected fuel volume sales.

Table 5-2: Realistic/Expected Fuel Sales Year 1-3

| PERIOD |  | POTENTIAL GROWTH |  | ESTIMATED LITRES | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR |  | PERCENTAGE <br> OF <br> POTENTIAL | GROWTH <br> RATE | PETROL | DIESEL | LITRES <br> PER MONTH |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $85 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | 269421 | 29023 | $\mathbf{2 9 8 4 4 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $95 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | 310151 | 33410 | $\mathbf{3 4 3} 562$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $100 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | 336269 | 36224 | 372493 |

The calculations above show that 298444 litres of fuel can be expected at the end of Year-1 with Table 3 showing the estimated monthly fuel sales at maturity (Year 3) will be 372493 litres per month.

### 5.2 Expected Monthly Shop Sales

The ratio of fuel sold to Rands generated in the convenience store is a function of the type of traffic which the site attracts and the area within which it is located. It is assumed that the site will have a branded convenience store with a minimum of an in-store food offer, and it will lie in a low to middle - income essentially local trade area. It is proposed that the convenience store would generate about R1.20 for every litre of fuel sold.

The estimated Shop Sales in Year-3 is therefore:
$372493 \times 1.2= \pm \mathbf{R 4 4 6} 992$ per month

## 6 IMPACT ON EXISTING FUEL MARKET

To determine the impact on surrounding sites in an urban environment it is typical to look at all local sites within a $\mathbf{3 k m}$ radius. This guideline is used by various departments and agencies. However, a filling station outside of the 3 km radius and on the same road and on the same side of the road, should also be considered as these filling stations would also be impacted by the proposed new filling station.

Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2 below illustrate the competitor site locations.


Figure 6-1 Competitor Sites


Figure 6-2 Closest Station East Along R503

### 6.1 Total - Mafikeng:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 51.30^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 47.04^{\prime \prime}$, is located 2.27 km from the proposed site and will most likely be its biggest competitor. This station will share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is located on the same side of the R503. The proposed site will have first opportunity to intercept north-westbound traffic traveling into the town.

### 6.2 Shell - Nelson Mandela Drive:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 43.55^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 42.60^{\prime \prime}$, is located 2.53 km from the proposed site. This station will share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is located on the same side of the R503. The proposed site will have first opportunity to intercept north-westbound traffic traveling into the town.

### 6.3 Other Stations:

These stations are all situated within the 3 km radius of influence but will not have a meaningful impact on the site and therefore will only be mentioned in this section and will not be considered in further calculations.

### 6.3.1 Caltex - Molopo Service Centre:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 52.58^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 50.56$ ", is located 2.19 km from the proposed site. This station will not share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is located on the opposite side of the R503 and north-westbound traffic will not be able to legally turn into this station due to a solid line preventing right turn movements.

### 6.3.2 Sasol - Mafikeng:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 59.78^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 41.78^{\prime \prime}$, is located 2.24 km from the proposed site. This station will not share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is not located along the R503.

### 6.3.3 Engen - Supreme Service Station:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 59.78^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 41.78^{\prime \prime}$, is located 2.26 km from the proposed site. This station will not share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is not located along the R503.

### 6.3.4 Caltex - Vryburg Road:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 9.75^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 17.06^{\prime \prime}$, is located 2.72 km from the proposed site. This station will not share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is not located along the R503.

### 6.3.5 Sasol - Riviera Park:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 20.25^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 50.13^{\prime \prime}$, is located 2.48 km from the proposed site. This station will not share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is not located along the R503.

### 6.3.6 Caltex - Rooigrond:

This station, at $\mathrm{S} 25^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 52.06^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathrm{E} 25^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 19.03^{\prime \prime}$, is located 14.78 km from the proposed site. This station may share transient traffic with the proposed site since it is located along the R503 but due to the distance between the two sites the shared traffic will be reduced. This site is on the opposite side of the road to the proposed site and even though it is accessible for north-westbound traffic it is still not as convenient as a direct access that the proposed site has.

### 6.4 Conclusions on Competitor Stations

There are seven competitor sites within the 3 km radius, most of the sites will have little to no impact on the proposed site due to market barriers and different target markets. There are only two competitor stations which will share a significant amount of traffic with the proposed site.

## 7 CALCULATION OF FUEL VOLUME LOSS FOR EXISTING FILLING STATIONS IN FUEL MARKET

In this section, the methodology is discussed on how to calculate the fuel volume loss for the existing filling stations when the proposed filling station is developed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ls }=\boldsymbol{S A D T} \times \overline{\boldsymbol{F}} \times \boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{d} \\
& \text { Litres per month lost }(L s)=\quad(S A D T) \text { Shared Traffic Volume } \\
& \\
& (\bar{F}) \quad \text { Average fuel fill per vehicle for the affected site } \\
& \text { (p) Interception Rate (\% vehicles turning into the site) } \\
& \text { (d) Trading days in a calendar month }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.1 Shared Traffic Volumes

To assess the impact the proposed new filling station will have on other existing filling stations, the shared traffic must be determined. The process starts by estimating the number of vehicles passing the affected filling station per day. Then an estimation is made on what will be the percentage of vehicles shared between the existing filling station and the new filling station. By multiplying the potential passby traffic with the percentage of total traffic shared, the shared traffic vehicles can be determined. The volumes are summarised in Table 7-1. Please note that a qualitative assessment was done to determine the shared traffic volumes.

Table 7-1: Shared Traffic for Affected Filling Station and Proposed Filling Station

| SITE | FILLING STATION | POTENTIAL <br> PASS-BY <br> TRAFFIC <br> (VEH/DAY) | TRAFFIC <br> OF TOTAL <br> TRAFFIC <br> SHARED (\%) | SHARED <br> FILLING <br> STATION <br> (VEH/DAY) | GENERAL COMMENT |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Total - Mafikeng | $20000^{*}$ | $20^{* *}$ | 4000 | Same transient traffic |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Shell - Nelson Mandela Drive | $20000^{*}$ | $20^{* *}$ | 4000 | Same transient traffic |

[^0]
### 7.2 Average Fill for Affected Filling Station

In Table 7-1 above, the shared traffic was calculated. The figures in red indicates the shared vehicles per day between the affected filling stations and the proposed new filling station. To calculate the fuel volume loss of each affected filling station the author had to determine the average fill. Table 7-2 below indicates the average fill for each of the affected filling stations. Please note that a qualitative assessment was done to determine the average fill.

Table 7-2: Competitor Average Fill

| SITE | FILLING STATION | AVERAGE FILL <br> $(\ell)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Total - Mafikeng | 14 |
| 2 | Shell - Nelson Mandela Drive | 14 |

### 7.3 Interception Rate of Existing Filling Stations

The turn-in percentages (interception rates) are determined by the following factors:

- $\quad$ Convenience (easily accessible);
- Visibility (adequate time to decide whether to use the facility or not);
- $\quad$ The amount of passing traffic (as per the traffic count);
- Type of traffic (transient or local; income level of the area also a factor);
- Proximity or absence of other filling stations (competitor sites);
- $\quad$ Service provided to the public (car wash, convenience shop, ATM etc);
- Good accesses (proper deceleration and acceleration lanes);
- Location (homebound or work bound);
- $\quad$ Site layout (large enough to provide proper site circulation and turning circles)

From previous studies at similar filling station developments, the author has determined the relationship between the interception rate and the passing traffic volumes of a site subject site.

The expected interception rates for the affected filling station will vary from $3 \%$ to $4 \%$ depending on the location of the site and the specific fuel market area. The interception rate for the existing filling stations are assumed to be lower than the proposed filling station because they are not in such a prime location and newer filling stations generally attract more traffic than older ones. The mentioned interception rates are the same as for the fuel volume calculation. Table 7-3 below indicates the interception rate for the affected filling station.

Table 7-3: Affected Filling Station Interception Rate

| SITE | FILLING STATION | INTERCEPTION RATE <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Total - Mafikeng | 3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Shell - Nelson Mandela Drive | 3 |

### 7.4 Fuel Volume Loss of the Competitor Sites

To calculate the fuel volume loss; the shared traffic of each filling station must be multiplied by the average fill of the same filling station and the interception rate. This answer must then be multiplied by 30 trading days. Table 7-4 below indicates the fuel volume loss in what can be considered as the fuel market of the proposed site.

Table 7-4: Impact on Surrounding Sites

| SITE | FILLING STATION | AVERAGE <br> FILL <br> $(\ell)$ | SHARED <br> TRAFFIC <br> VOLUME | TRADING <br> DAYS | INTERCEPTION <br> RATE (\%) | VOLUME <br> LOST <br> $(\ell)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Total - Mafikeng | 14 | 4000 | 30 | 3 | 50400 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Shell - Nelson Mandela Drive | 14 | 4000 | 30 | 3 | 50400 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To determine the recovery period of the fuel volume lost by the affected filling station, a growth rate of $3 \%$ is assumed over a 5-year period. This recovery period is also indicated in Table 7-5 below.

Table 7-5: Fuel Volume Loss Recovery

| SITE | FILLING STATION | PRESENT <br> ESTIMATED <br> FUEL SALE <br> VOLUME (LPM) | FUEL <br> VOLUME <br> LOST <br> $(\ell)$ | (PRESENT <br> FUEL SALES) - <br> (LOST FUEL) <br> (LPM) | 5 YEAR FUTURE <br> SALES FROM <br> LOST FUEL <br> SALES (LPM) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Total - Mafikeng | 252000 | 50400 | 201600 | 233710 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Shell - Nelson Mandela Drive | 252000 | 50400 | 201600 | 233710 |

The development and operation of the proposed filling station will have an initial impact on all filling stations in the study area, including those outside the critical radius of influence. All affected filling stations will recover most of the lost sales within 3 to 5 years.

The proposed new filling station will obtain $100 \mathbf{8 0 0}$ litres from the fuel market as indicated in Table 7.4 above. Furthermore, the new filling station will generate 340884 litres in its first year of operation, thus 240084 litres will be generated outside of the fuel market.

## 8 ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

The engineering requirements for the development of the station will not pose a problem. The traffic flow passing the property, both during and after construction, will be accommodated by the carefully designed entrance and exit roads adhering to the BB2, Guidelines for Access to Filling Stations, 2003. The delivery tanker will be routed through the property to maximise ease of delivery but minimise inconvenience to customers.

Proper signage before and on the property, itself will ensure that all engineering considerations will be adequately catered for.

## 9 FINDINGS

Following the property evaluation, the following findings were arrived at:

- While South Africa has many similar characteristics to developed international markets in terms of political institutions and economic openness, its demographics are more similar to African markets. The total population is growing at a rapid rate and while it is getting older, it is still young compared with developed markets and is much more on par with African countries where young adults will represent the largest sector over the period 2015-2050. The trade area surrounding the proposed station is one such area, presenting a growing market and potential for good future growth in residential and vehicle ownership.
- The trade area comprises of a low to middle - income local residential market with a presently low per capita vehicle ownership, but this is changing with time as this sector of the population is experiencing a rapidly growing disposable income and an urge to acquire own-transport. Because of this, the area has an exciting potential.
- The proposed filling station is situated adjacent to the R503 and Road A. The R503 is the main east- west roads running through the area also connecting the town to other areas. Road A is a north-south running road connecting many residential areas to the R503.
- There are only two (2) meaningful opposition stations in the area, with five (5) other less meaningful stations within the 3 km radius of influence.
- The station will have a Left-In-Left-Out (LILO) access from the R503 and a Full-Access from Road A.
- The expected fuel sales (petrol and diesel) for the proposed stations' third year of operation is estimated at $\mathbf{3 7 2} \mathbf{4 9 3}$ litres per month.
- Expected shop sales will be approximately R446 992 monthly.
- If developed, the proposed station will take approximately $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{8 0 0}$ litres of fuel per month from the surveyed competitor stations.


## 10 CONCLUSION

The general observation around the proposed site can be summarised as follows:

- The 298444 litres of fuel sales in the stations' $1^{\text {st }}$ year of operation, rising to approximately $\mathbf{3 7 2} \mathbf{4 9 3}$ litres in its 3rd year, is above the normally assumed benchmark of 300'000 litres per month, and indeed above 350'000 litres monthly.
- Estimated $3^{\text {rd }}$ year monthly shop sales of approx. R446 992 which is also a good shop turnover.

It is concluded that the proposed filling station will be FEASIBLE to develop.
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Figure 1 TRAFFIC MOVEMENT


Traffic Count:
Rtersection: R503 and Road A
Peak Hour Period:
Date:
25 February 2021
ALL VEHICLES

| Time | South |  |  | East |  |  | North |  |  | West |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { PEAK } \end{gathered}$ | 614 |  | 76 | 116 | 346 |  |  |  |  |  | 290 | 444 | 1886 |
| PM PEAK | 442 |  | 49 | 37 | 206 |  |  |  |  |  | 338 | 535 | 1607 |
| TOTAL | 4655 |  | 501 | 511 | 2597 |  |  |  |  |  | 3115 | 4729 | 16108 |
| 24 HOUR | 5586 |  | 601 | 613 | 3116 |  |  |  |  |  | 3738 | 5675 | 19330 |
| 06:00 | 37 |  | 11 | 4 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 132 |  |
| 06:15 | 43 |  | 13 | 8 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 32 |  |
| 06:30 | 74 |  | 9 | 16 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 47 |  |
| 06:45 | 131 |  | 19 | 23 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 81 |  |
| 07:00 | 203 |  | 17 | 32 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 107 |  |
| 07:15 | 163 |  | 19 | 32 | 83 |  |  |  |  |  | 94 | 123 |  |
| 07:30 | 117 |  | 21 | 29 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 133 |  |
| 07:45 | 119 |  | 12 | 16 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 119 |  |
| 08:00 | 102 |  | 12 | 13 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 91 |  |
| 08:15 | 107 |  | 14 | 18 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 89 |  |
| 08:30 | 109 |  | 16 | 8 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 65 |  |
| 08:45 | 95 |  | 5 | 9 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 54 |  |
| 09:00 | 85 |  | 5 | 6 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 75 |  |
| 09:15 | 86 |  | 2 | 6 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 43 |  |
| 09:30 | 88 |  | 8 | 10 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 57 |  |
| 09:45 | 73 |  | 7 | 13 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 59 |  |
| 10:00 | 104 |  | 11 | 12 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 72 |  |
| 10:15 | 91 |  | 8 | 5 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 73 |  |
| 10:30 | 97 |  | 7 | 11 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 64 |  |
| 10:45 | 96 |  | 16 | 8 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 65 |  |
| 11:00 | 89 |  | 6 | 9 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 63 |  |
| 11:15 | 84 |  | 4 | 6 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 44 |  |
| 11:30 | 77 |  | 8 | 6 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 88 |  |
| 11:45 | 77 |  | 14 | 5 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 81 |  |
| 12:00 | 79 |  | 7 | 6 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 84 |  |
| 12:15 | 73 |  | 7 | 5 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 70 |  |
| 12:30 | 83 |  | 7 | 5 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 64 |  |
| 12:45 | 111 |  | 4 | 12 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 84 |  |
| 13:00 | 118 |  | 7 | 9 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 89 |  |
| 13:15 | 90 |  | 3 | 7 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 83 |  |
| 13:30 | 96 |  | 9 | 14 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 78 | 93 |  |
| 13:45 | 105 |  | 7 | 16 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 94 |  |
| 14:00 | 89 |  | 7 | 7 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 105 |  |
| 14:15 | 91 |  | 13 | 6 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 130 |  |
| 14:30 | 104 |  | 20 | 8 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 | 107 |  |
| 14:45 | 116 |  | 17 | 3 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 128 |  |
| 15:00 | 110 |  | 13 | 14 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 78 | 115 |  |
| 15:15 | 114 |  | 11 | 9 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | 147 |  |
| 15:30 | 82 |  | 14 | 3 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 115 |  |
| 15:45 | 107 |  | 8 | 7 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 109 |  |
| 16:00 | 133 |  | 11 | 11 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 101 | 133 |  |
| 16:15 | 105 |  | 16 | 13 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 71 | 144 |  |
| 16:30 | 97 |  | 14 | 6 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 87 | 149 |  |
| 16:45 | 103 |  | 5 | 12 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | 186 |  |
| 17:00 | 78 |  | 11 | 11 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 114 | 163 |  |
| 17:15 | 83 |  | 7 | 11 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 102 | 183 |  |
| 17:30 | 68 |  | 9 | 6 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 151 |  |
| 17:45 | 73 |  | 10 | 5 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 146 |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Traffic Count:
Intersection:
Peak Hour Period:
R503 MAHIKENG
R503 and Road A
Date:
12 Hour
25 February 2021
CARS

| Time | South |  |  | East |  |  | North |  |  | West |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| AM PEAK | 507 |  | 69 | 92 | 322 |  |  |  |  |  | 256 | 364 | 1610 |
| PM PEAK | 374 |  | 47 | 33 | 175 |  |  |  |  |  | 310 | 471 | 1410 |
| TOTAL | 3856 |  | 442 | 433 | 2260 |  |  |  |  |  | 2776 | 4033 | 13800 |
| 24 HOUR | 4627 |  | 530 | 520 | 2712 |  |  |  |  |  | 3331 | 4840 | 16560 |
| 06:00 | 29 |  | 10 | 4 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 124 |  |
| 06:15 | 28 |  | 12 | 7 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 19 |  |
| 06:30 | 54 |  | 8 | 15 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 32 |  |
| 06:45 | 98 |  | 17 | 16 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 66 |  |
| 07:00 | 177 |  | 15 | 24 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 83 |  |
| 07:15 | 140 |  | 17 | 28 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | 103 |  |
| 07:30 | 92 |  | 20 | 24 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 112 |  |
| 07:45 | 94 |  | 10 | 16 | 77 |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 97 |  |
| 08:00 | 87 |  | 9 | 11 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 60 |  |
| 08:15 | 84 |  | 11 | 18 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 61 | 67 |  |
| 08:30 | 89 |  | 15 | 7 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 51 |  |
| 08:45 | 75 |  | 5 | 7 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 39 | 38 |  |
| 09:00 | 69 |  | 5 | 5 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 60 |  |
| 09:15 | 70 |  | 2 | 6 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 32 |  |
| 09:30 | 75 |  | 8 | 9 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 47 |  |
| 09:45 | 59 |  | 7 | 10 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 42 |  |
| 10:00 | 83 |  | 10 | 9 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 63 |  |
| 10:15 | 74 |  | 6 | 4 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 53 |  |
| 10:30 | 82 |  | 4 | 10 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 53 |  |
| 10:45 | 74 |  | 15 | 7 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 58 |  |
| 11:00 | 71 |  | 4 | 6 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 54 |  |
| 11:15 | 70 |  | 3 | 4 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 35 |  |
| 11:30 | 64 |  | 5 | 4 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 72 |  |
| 11:45 | 64 |  | 13 | 4 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 76 |  |
| 12:00 | 60 |  | 6 | 4 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 74 |  |
| 12:15 | 57 |  | 4 | 4 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 60 |  |
| 12:30 | 71 |  | 7 | 5 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 50 |  |
| 12:45 | 99 |  | 3 | 8 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 80 |  |
| 13:00 | 105 |  | 7 | 8 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 74 |  |
| 13:15 | 79 |  | 2 | 6 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 61 | 72 |  |
| 13:30 | 76 |  | 7 | 13 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 80 |  |
| 13:45 | 92 |  | 6 | 15 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 84 |  |
| 14:00 | 77 |  | 7 | 6 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 87 |  |
| 14:15 | 80 |  | 11 | 6 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 112 |  |
| 14:30 | 90 |  | 16 | 7 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 83 | 86 |  |
| 14:45 | 104 |  | 17 | 2 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 113 |  |
| 15:00 | 96 |  | 11 | 12 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 102 |  |
| 15:15 | 93 |  | 8 | 8 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 132 |  |
| 15:30 | 63 |  | 13 | 3 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 103 |  |
| 15:45 | 89 |  | 7 | 6 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 95 |  |
| 16:00 | 112 |  | 11 | 11 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | 90 | 117 |  |
| 16:15 | 92 |  | 15 | 11 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 126 |  |
| 16:30 | 81 |  | 14 | 5 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | 133 |  |
| 16:45 | 86 |  | 5 | 11 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 167 |  |
| 17:00 | 63 |  | 10 | 8 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 107 | 149 |  |
| 17:15 | 69 |  | 7 | 9 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 93 | 163 |  |
| 17:30 | 58 |  | 8 | 6 | 33 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 76 92 | 143 |  |
| 17:45 | 62 |  | 9 | 4 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 134 |  |
| 18:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Traffic Count:
Intersection:
Peak Hour Period:
R503 MAHIKENG
R503 and Road A
Date:
12 Hour
25 February 2021
MINIBUS TAXIS

| Time | South |  |  | East |  |  | North |  |  | West |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { PEAK } \end{gathered}$ | 96 |  | 6 | 17 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 73 | 218 |
| PM PEAK | 59 |  | 1 | 2 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 57 | 138 |
| TOTAL | 693 |  | 23 | 39 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  | 107 | 620 | 1571 |
| 24 HOUR | 832 |  | 28 | 47 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  | 128 | 744 | 1885 |
| 06:00 | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |
| 06:15 | 14 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 10 |  |
| 06:30 | 20 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 15 |  |
| 06:45 | 32 |  | 2 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 13 |  |
| 07:00 | 24 |  | 2 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 23 |  |
| 07:15 | 20 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 16 |  |
| 07:30 | 20 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 21 |  |
| 07:45 | 24 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 19 |  |
| 08:00 | 14 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 25 |  |
| 08:15 | 17 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 21 |  |
| 08:30 | 20 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 14 |  |
| 08:45 | 17 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |
| 09:00 | 16 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 |  |
| 09:15 | 15 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10 |  |
| 09:30 | 13 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |
| 09:45 | 12 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 14 |  |
| 10:00 | 18 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |
| 10:15 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 17 |  |
| 10:30 | 12 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 10 |  |
| 10:45 | 20 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |
| 11:00 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |
| 11:15 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |
| 11:30 | 11 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 15 |  |
| 11:45 | 12 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |
| 12:00 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |
| 12:15 | 13 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |
| 12:30 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 |  |
| 12:45 | 9 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| 13:00 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 12 |  |
| 13:15 | 9 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 11 |  |
| 13:30 | 12 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10 |  |
| 13:45 | 12 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |
| 14:00 | 9 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| 14:15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 17 |  |
| 14:30 | 12 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 19 |  |
| 14:45 | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  |
| 15:00 | 13 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 13 |  |
| 15:15 | 16 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 |  |
| 15:30 | 13 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 12 |  |
| 15:45 | 16 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |
| 16:00 | 19 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 13 |  |
| 16:15 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 18 |  |
| 16:30 | 14 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13 |  |
| 16:45 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |
| 17:00 | 14 |  | 1 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 11 |  |
| 17:15 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 19 |  |
| $17: 30$ $17: 45$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 8 |  |
| 17:45 | 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 12 |  |
| 18:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Traffic Count: R503 MAHIKENG
Intersection: R503 and Road A
Peak Hour Period:

$$
12 \text { Hour }
$$

Date: 25 February 2021
BUSES

| Time | South |  |  | East |  |  | North |  |  | West |  |  | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AM } \\ \text { PEAK } \end{gathered}$ | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| PM PEAK | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 20 |  | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 15 | 48 |
| 24 HOUR | 24 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 18 | 58 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 06:00 } \\ & 06: 15 \\ & 06: 30 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 06:45 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 07:00 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 07:15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 07:30 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 09:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 10:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 13:15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 13:30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 14:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 15:15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 15:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 16:15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 17:00 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 17:15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:45 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Traffic Count:
Intersection:
Peak Hour Period:
R503 MAHIKENG
R503 and Road A
Date:
12 Hour
25 February 2021
TRUCKS


## R503 MAHIKENG TRAFFIC COUNT




[^0]:    * The potential pass-by traffic is the best estimate of the author for filling stations of similar nature.
    ** The \% shared traffic is based on the author's experience of filling stations within a similar environment.

