



DRAFT ECOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

www.eoh.co.za

CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW HEYSERSKAND LOOP, NORTH WEST PROVINCE

Title: Construction of the new Heyserskand Loop Transnet Rail line,
North West Province: Ecological Impact Assessment

Prepared for: Transnet

Date: 08/05/2018

Contact Details: Ecological specialist

Name Roy de Kock
Designation Botanist, EOH Coastal and Environmental Services
Phone: 043 726 7809
Cell Phone 076 281 9660
E-mail roy.dekock@eoh.co.za
r.dekock@cesnet.co.za

25 Tecoma Street
Berea
East London
5203


Tel: +27 04 726 7809
www.cesnet.co.za
www.eoh.co.za

Contact Details: Report Reviewer

Name Dr Alan Carter
Designation Executive, EOH Coastal and Environmental Services
Phone: 043 726 7809
E-mail alan.carter@eoh.co.za
a.carter@cesnet.co.za

25 Tecoma Street
Berea
East London
5203


Tel: +27 04 726 7809
www.cesnet.co.za
www.eoh.co.za



EOH YOUTH
JOB CREATION
INITIATIVE

JOIN THE EOH YOUTH JOB
CREATION CHALLENGE

[READ MORE](#)



Contents of the specialist report

The contents of this specialist report complies with the legislated requirements as described in Appendix 6 of the National Environmental Management Act (No 107 of 1998; NEMA) Regulations of 2014 and updated in 2017 (GN R. 326 of 2017).

Appendix 6: Specialist Reports

1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain—
 - (a) details of—
 - (i) the specialist who prepared the report; and
 - (ii) the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae;
 - (b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;
 - (c) an indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;
 - (cA) an indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report;
 - (cB) a description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change;
 - (d) the duration, date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;
 - (e) a description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;
 - (f) details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;
 - (g) an identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;
 - (h) a map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;
 - (i) a description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;
 - (j) a description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity or activities;
 - (k) any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;
 - (l) any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;
 - (m) any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;
 - (n) a reasoned opinion—
 - (i) whether the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised;
 - (iA) regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities; and
 - (ii) if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan;
 - (o) a description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;
 - (p) a summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and
 - (q) any other information requested by the competent authority.
- (2) Where a government notice by the Minister provides for any protocol or minimum information requirement to be applied to a specialist report, the requirements as indicated in such notice will apply.

THE PROJECT TEAM

1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain—
- (a) details of—
 - (i) the specialist who prepared the report; and
 - (ii) the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae;
 - (b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;

Mr Roy de Kock M.Sc., Pri. Nat. Sci.
(Botanist)

SACNASP Registration Number: 400216/16

Roy is a Principal Consultant holding a BSc Honours in Geology and an MSc in Botany from the Nelson Mandela University in Port Elizabeth. He has recently started a PhD in Botany focussing on the impact of fracking fluids on vegetation and soils in the Karoo Basin. He has been working for EOH since 2010, and is based at the East London branch where he focuses on Vegetation, Biodiversity, Ecological and Agricultural Assessments, Geological and Geotechnical analysis, Environmental Management Plans, mining applications and various environmental impact studies. Roy has worked on numerous projects in South Africa and Africa at large. Roy is registered with the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professional (SACNASP).

Dr Alan Carter Pri. Nat. Sci.
(Report reviewer)

Alan has extensive training and experience in both financial accounting and environmental science disciplines with international accounting firms in South Africa and the USA. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (licensed in Texas) and holds a PhD in Plant Sciences. He is also a certified ISO14001 EMS auditor with the American National Standards Institute. Alan has been responsible for leading and managing numerous and varied consulting projects over the past 25 years.

Expertise:

Relevant projects Roy and Alan have worked on include:

Name of project	Description of responsibility	Date completed
Waterfall Citrus Farm EIA for the development of a new citrus farm outside Peddie, Eastern Cape	Ecological Impact Assessment	Current
Indwe Biodiversity Study on the development of a new essential oils farm outside Kidds Beach, East London, Eastern Cape	Biodiversity study for an essential oils farming development	December 2017
Earth Free (Pty) Ltd Biodiversity study for a housing development in Kei Road,	Biodiversity study for a housing development extension	October 2017

Name of project	Description of responsibility	Date completed
Eastern Cape		
City of Johannesburg Biodiversity Assessment and Conservation management Plans for 4 Nature Reserves	Vegetation and Ridgeline Biodiversity Study	January - April 2017
Terreco Butterworth Bypass Alternatives EIA (EC)	Botanical Impact Assessment	Oct 2016
Terreco Idutywa Bypass Alternatives EIA (EC)	Botanical Impact Assessment	Oct 2016
SANRAL N2 between Tetyana & Sitebe Komkulu EIA (EC)	Ecological Impact Assessment	June 2015
Laman Mining renewal of Mining License (EC)	Botanical Impact Assessment	February 2015
ACSA East London Airport Vegetation Study (EC)	Botanical Impact Assessment	February 2014
SANRAL R61 Baziya to Mthatha EIA (EC)	Ecological Impact Assessment	November 2014

Declaration:

Role on Study Team	Declaration of independence
Report Writing and Mapping	I, Roy de Kock , declare that I am an independent consultant and have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the proposed development, application or appeal in respect of which I was appointed other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with the activity, application or appeal. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.
Project Management and Report Review	I, Alan Carter , declare that I am an independent consultant and have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the proposed development, application or appeal in respect of which I was appointed other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with the activity, application or appeal. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	7
1.1.	Project description	7
1.2.	Project location	7
1.3.	Alternatives	8
1.4.	Objectives	8
1.5.	Approach	9
1.6.	Assumptions and limitations	9
1.7.	Public consultation	9
2.	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	10
2.1.	Species of conservation concern	10
2.2.	Sampling protocol	14
2.3.	Vegetation mapping	14
2.4.	Sensitivity assessment	15
2.4.1.	Biodiversity Regulations	16
2.4.1.	Protected areas	18
2.5.	Impact assessment	18
2.5.1.	Impact rating methodology	18
3.	RELEVANT LEGISLATION	2
4.	DESCRIPTION OF THE BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	24
4.1.	Background and Literature review	24
4.2.	Climate	24
4.3.	Topography	25
4.4.	Geology and Soils	25
4.5.	Land use	27
4.6.	Vegetation and floristics	28
4.6.1.	SANBI classification (Mucina and Rutherford, 2012)	28
4.6.2.	Forest classification (NFA)	29
4.6.3.	Protected species	29
4.7.	Biodiversity indicators	29
4.7.1.	North West Province Biodiversity Sector Plan	30
4.7.2.	Protected areas	32
4.7.3.	Threatened Ecosystems	32
4.8.	Fauna	33
4.8.1.	Birds	33
4.8.2.	Reptiles	33
4.8.3.	Mammals	34
5.	SITE INVESTIGATION	35
5.1.	Vegetation survey	35
5.1.1.	Plant species observed	39
5.2.	Faunal survey	39
6.	SENSITIVITY ASSESSMENT	41
6.1.	Conservation and spatial planning tools	41
6.2.	Sensitivity allocation	41
6.3.	Issues and impacts identified	44
7.	ALIEN INVASIVE SPECIES	45
7.1.	Discussion	45
7.1.1.	Category 1b invasive species	45
7.2.	Issues identified	46
8.	MANNER IN WHICH THE ENVIRONMENT MAY BE AFFECTED	47

8.1.	Issues identified	47
8.2.	Impact assessment	48
9.	IMPACT STATEMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION	4
9.1.	Impact statement	54
9.1.1.	No-Go areas	55
9.1.2.	Alternatives	55
9.1.3.	Cumulative impact	55
9.2.	Recommendation	55
9.2.1.	Mitigation measures	55
9.3.	Conclusion	57
9.3.1.	Ecological Statement and Opinion of the Specialist	57
10.	REFERENCES	8
11.	APPENDIX 1 – LIST OF ANIMAL SPECIES	6
12.	APPENDIX 2 – LIST OF PLANT SPECIES	7

1. Introduction

1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain—
 - (c) an indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;
 - (cA) an indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report;
 - (d) the duration, date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;
 - (i) a description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;
 - (o) a description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;
 - (p) a summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and
 - (q) any other information requested by the competent authority.

1.1. Project description

Transnet SOC Ltd have appointed EOH Coastal and Environmental Services (EOH CES) to conduct an Ecological Impact Assessment, in accordance with the National Environmental Management Act (107 of 1998; NEMA), for the proposed construction of the new 1.4km long Heyserskand Loop along the existing railway close to the village of Mogwase in the North West Province (Figure 1.1). Construction work includes a 1.4km long new rail parallel along the eastern side of the existing rail as well as moving the existing gravel service road to accommodate for the new rail. This will allow two trains to safely pass each other on the rail. This “passing” lane is called a loop. The construction of the new loop will be undertaken within the existing Transnet servitude and as a result some vegetation will be impacted.

Two additional areas adjacent to the Loop is proposed for a Construction camp site and a Laydown area. Each area is approximately 0.3ha in size. See Figure 5.1 for locations of these areas.

The project forms part of the Transnet Waterberg rail corridor expansion programme between Ermelo, located in the Mpumalanga province, and Lephalale, located in the Limpopo Province. The railway line is a key corridor to Transnet for the transportation of various commodities, including coal, chrome, ferrochrome, cement, lime, granite, iron ore, container and general freight. The construction activities focus specifically on the upgrades required for the coal expansion of the line.

1.2. Project location

The proposed new Heyserskand Loop is located near the village of Mogwase located east of the Pilanesberg National Park in the North West Province (Figure 1.1).




Figure 1.1: Location of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

1.3. Alternatives

As this is the expansion of an existing railway line, no site alternatives or layout alternatives are proposed.

1.4. Objectives

The objectives of the project were to:

- Identify any significant landscape features of rare or important vegetation/faunal associations such as seasonal wetlands, seeps or rocky areas that might support rare or important species;
- Place the project area within the biodiversity context of the wider area (i.e. provide the “broad overview”);
- Provide a detailed description of the ecological (fauna and flora) environment within the area and immediately surrounding the footprint of the proposed road and consider terrestrial fauna and flora;
- Review relevant legislation, policies, guidelines and standards;
- Assess the potential direct and indirect impacts resulting from the proposed construction works and associated infrastructure, both on the footprint and the immediate surrounding area during construction and operation;
- Provide a detailed description of appropriate mitigation measures that can be adopted to reduce negative impacts for each phase of the project, where required; and
- Check all faunal groups identified in the region to date, highlighting sensitive species and their possible areas of distribution.

This aspect of the report will specifically include the identification of –

- Areas of high biodiversity;
- The presence of species of conservation concern;
- Habitat associations and conservation status of the identified fauna and flora;
- The presence of areas sensitive to invasion by alien species; and
- The presence of conservation areas and sensitive habitats where disturbance should be avoided or minimised.

1.5. Approach

The study site and surrounding areas were assessed using a two-phased approach. Firstly, a desktop assessment of the site was conducted in terms of current vegetation classifications and biodiversity programmes and plans. This included the consideration of:

- The South African Vegetation Map (Mucina and Rutherford, 2012);
- North West Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015);
- Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Indigenous forest maps;
- National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) - Biodiversity Regulations; and
- Plant of South Africa (POSA) – Quarter degree square level.

A site visit was conducted between the 03rd to the 5th April 2018. The site visit was used to conduct ecological observations and to identify potential impacts of the proposed construction of the new Heyserskand Loop on the surrounding natural environment and to inform the significance of the potential impacts identified.

1.6. Assumptions and limitations

This report is based on currently available information and, as a result, is limited to the information provided. The presented ecological data was based on a single site survey of plants and animals conducted in April 2018 (late summer).

1.7. Public consultation

No consultation requirements were identified during the drafting of this specialist report. The findings should be presented to stakeholders and I&APs during a public meeting as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Public Participation Process (PPP).

No comments were received to date on this report.

2. Assessment methodology

Appendix 6 Specialist Reports

1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain—
 - (e) a description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;

The objective of this assessment is to identify areas of ecological importance and to evaluate these in terms of their conservation importance. In order to do so, the ecological sensitivity of the area is assessed in addition to identifying plant and animal Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) that may occur in habitats present in the area.

To achieve this, this study must identify areas of high sensitivity and assess this against possible impacts as a result of the proposed development layout. The SANBI Guidelines for a botanical assessment (Driver *et al*; 2009) was used for guidance.

Aspects that affect ecological impact significance include:

- Presence of plant SCC;
- Presence of animal SCC;
- Vegetation types (which also constitute faunal habitats) of conservation concern;
- Presence of threatened ecosystems;
- Areas of high biodiversity; and
- The presence of process areas:
 - Ecological corridors; and
 - Complex topographical features (especially steep and rocky slopes or aquatic environments that provide niche habitats for plants and/or animals).

It is not the aim of this study to produce a complete list of all plant and animal species occurring in the region, but rather to examine a representative sample. It is however, important to note that areas of high sensitivity as well as SCC have been identified as far as possible, either from records from the site or a review of their habitat requirements, and whether or not these habitats occur within the site.

2.1. Species of conservation concern

Plant SCC in terms of the project area is defined as:

1. Species listed in the revised South African Red Data Books (Driver *et al* 2009);
2. Species listed in Schedule 2 of the North West Biodiversity Management Act (Act No 4 of 2016)
3. Species listed in the NEMBA Threatened or Protected Species List (G.NR. 256 of 2015)
4. Species included in other international lists (e.g., 2010 International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Plants).

Animal SCC in terms of the project area is defined as:

1. Animal species listed in the Endangered or Vulnerable categories in the revised South African Red Data Books (SA RDB – amphibians, du Preez and Carruthers, 2009; reptiles, Branch 1988; birds, SA Birding, 2008; terrestrial mammals, Apps, 2017); and/or
2. Species included in other international lists (e.g., 2010 International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Animals).

Definitions:

The South African (SA) Red List system contains nine categories, with the main purpose of classifying species from lowest (Least Concern) to highest (Critically Endangered) threat in terms of risk of extinction (see Figure 2.1). Species that are at high risk of extinction are placed in one of three categories: Vulnerable (VU), Endangered (EN) or Critically Endangered (CR). If a species is classified into one of these three categories, it is considered as a SCC.




Figure 2.1: The SA Red List system categorizes species according to their risk of extinction (Source: SA Red Data Guidelines).

A species' classification is guided by five criteria relating to different biological factors that indicate danger of extinction (Table 2.2). A species should always be evaluated against all five criteria, but available data only need to meet the requirements for at least one criterion in order to classify a species as threatened. A species is always classified in the highest category of threat for which it meets the quantitative thresholds of at least one criterion.

The following management guidelines for threatened species are provided in Table 2.1 below (Source: SA Red Data Guidelines):

Table 2.1: Guidelines for the management of the various categories

Status	Criterion*	Guidelines for Recommendation
^a Please notify the Threatened Species Programme immediately and provide details of the location, size and threats to the subpopulation. The fact that a subpopulation of the species was found at a site zoned for development means that its Red List status has to be reviewed and is likely to be upgraded.		
* Refer to Table 2.2 for criteria descriptions		
^a Critically Endangered	E	No further loss of natural habitat should be permitted as the species is on the brink of extinction, and all other known subpopulations have been lost. The subpopulation in question is likely to be newly discovered and the only remaining subpopulation of this species.
Critically Endangered	A,B,C,D	No further loss of natural habitat should be permitted as the species is on the verge of extinction.
Endangered	B,C,D	No further loss of habitat should be permitted as the species is likely to go extinct in the near future if current pressures continue. All remaining subpopulations have to be conserved if this species is to survive in the long term.
Endangered	A	If the species has a restricted range (< 2 000 km ²), recommend no further loss of habitat. If range size is larger, the species is possibly long-lived but widespread, and limited habitat loss may be considered under certain circumstances, such as the implementation of an offset whereby another viable, known subpopulation is formally conserved in terms of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (Act 57 of 2003), and provided that the subpopulation to be destroyed does not occur (i) within a threatened ecosystem or (ii) within an area required for biodiversity conservation in terms of a relevant spatial biodiversity plan or (iii) on a site associated with additional ecological sensitivities.
^a Vulnerable	D	This species either constitutes less than 1 000 individuals or is known from a very restricted range. No further loss of habitat should be permitted as the species' status will immediately become either Critically Endangered or Endangered, should habitat be lost.
Vulnerable	B,C	The species is approaching extinction but there are still a number of subpopulations in existence. Recommend no further loss of habitat as this will increase the extinction risk of the species.
Vulnerable	A	If the species has a restricted range, < 2 000 km ² , recommend no further loss of habitat. If range size is larger, the species is possibly long-lived but widespread, and limited habitat loss may be considered under certain circumstances, such as the implementation of an offset whereby another viable, known subpopulation is formally conserved in terms of the Protected Areas Act, and provided that the subpopulation to be destroyed does not occur (i) within a threatened ecosystem or (ii) within an area required for biodiversity conservation in terms of a relevant spatial biodiversity plan or (iii) on a site associated with additional ecological sensitivities.
^a Data Deficient	D	This species is very poorly known, with insufficient information on its habitat, population status or distribution to assess it. However, it is highly likely to be threatened. If a Data Deficient species will be affected by a proposed activity, the subpopulation should be well surveyed and the data sent to the Threatened Species Programme. The species will be reassessed

Status	Criterion*	Guidelines for Recommendation
		and the new status of the species, with a recommendation, will be provided within a short timeframe.
Data Deficient		There is uncertainty regarding the taxonomic status of this species, but it is likely to be threatened. Contact the taxonomist working on this group to resolve its taxonomic status; the species will then be reassessed by the Threatened Species Programme.
^a Near Threatened	D	Currently known from fewer than 10 locations, therefore preferably recommend no loss of habitat. Should loss of this species' habitat be considered, then an offset that includes conserving another viable subpopulation (in terms of the Protected Areas Act) should be implemented, provided that the subpopulation to be destroyed does not occur (i) within a threatened ecosystem or (ii) within an area required for biodiversity conservation in terms of a relevant spatial biodiversity plan or (iii) on a site associated with additional ecological sensitivities.
Near Threatened	B,C	The species is approaching thresholds for listing as threatened but there are still a number of subpopulations in existence and therefore there is need to minimise loss of habitat. Conservation of subpopulations is essential if they occur (i) within a threatened ecosystem or (ii) within an area required for biodiversity conservation in terms of a relevant spatial biodiversity plan or (iii) on a site associated with additional ecological sensitivities.
Near Threatened	A	If the species has a restricted range, < 2 000 km ² , then recommend no further loss of habitat. If range size is larger, the species is possibly long-lived but widespread, and limited habitat loss may be considered. Conservation of subpopulations is essential if they occur (i) within a threatened ecosystem or (ii) within an area required for biodiversity conservation in terms of a relevant biodiversity conservation plan or (iii) on a site associated with additional ecological sensitivities.
^a Critically Rare		This is a highly range-restricted species, known from a single site, and therefore no loss of habitat should be permitted as it may lead to extinction of the species. The Threatened Species Programme is not aware of any current threats to this species and should be notified without delay.
^a Rare		The species is likely to have a restricted range, or be highly habitat specific, or have small numbers of individuals, all of which makes it vulnerable to extinction should it lose habitat. Recommend no loss of habitat. The Threatened Species Programme is not aware of any current threats to this species and should be notified without delay.
Declining		The species is declining but the population has not yet reached a threshold of concern; limited loss of habitat may be permitted. Should the species is known to be used for traditional medicine and if individuals will not be conserved <i>in situ</i> , plants should be rescued and used as mother stock for medicinal plant cultivation programmes.

Table 2.2: The biological indicators of extinction risk as contained in each of the five SANBI criteria

Criterion	Biological indicator	Risk factor	Quantitative thresholds		
			CR	EN	VU
A	Large and rapid reduction in population size relative to the life history of the species	Proportion by which population is reduced	>80%	>50%	>30%
B	Small geographic range and decline, population fluctuation or fragmentation	Extent of occurrence (EOO)	<100 km ²	<5 000 km ²	<20 000 km ²
		Area of occupancy (AOO)	<10 km ²	<500 km ²	<2 000 km ²
C	Small population size and decline	Population size	<250	<2 500	<10 000
		Number of mature individuals in largest subpopulation	<50	<250	<1 000
		Proportion of population in largest subpopulation	>90%	>95%	100%
D	Critically small population size or very restricted distribution	Population size	<50	<250	<1 000
		Area of occupancy (AOO)			<20 km ²
		Number of locations			Five or fewer
E	Quantitative analysis of extinction risk	Probability of extinction over a specified time period	50%	20%	10%

2.2. Sampling protocol

The entire 1.4km length of the existing Heyserskand railway line where the new Loop is proposed was inspected to evaluate vegetation, animals and ecosystems and to provide more detailed information on the communities present. The site inspection took into account the amount of time available for the study and limitations such as the seasonality of vegetation.

Vegetation communities were described according to the dominant species recorded from each type. These were mapped and assigned a sensitivity score.

The assessment of animals was based on a general observation of species noted onsite during the site assessment, but with particular consideration of known potential animal SCC.

2.3. Vegetation mapping

Mucina and Rutherford (2012) developed the National Vegetation Map as part of a South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) funded project: *“It was compiled in order to provide floristically based vegetation units of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland at a greater level of detail than had been available before.”* This map (also called the SANBI Vegmap) was developed using a wealth of data from several contributors and has allowed for the best national vegetation map to date. This SANBI Vegmap project has two main aims:

- to determine the variation in and between units of southern African vegetation based on the analysis and synthesis of data from vegetation studies throughout the region; and
- to compile a vegetation map. The aim of the map was to accurately reflect the distribution and variation on the vegetation and indicate the relationship of the vegetation with the environment. For this reason the collective expertise of vegetation scientists from universities and state departments were harnessed to make this project as comprehensive as possible.

The SANBI Vegmap describes each vegetation type in detail, along with the most important species including endemic species and those that are biogeographically important. This is the most comprehensive data for vegetation types in South Africa. In this study the SANBI Vegmap is used to inform anticipated site conditions regarding the vegetation type occurring on the property.

2.4. Sensitivity assessment

The sensitivity assessment approach entails identifying zones of high, moderate and low sensitivity according to a system developed by EOH CES and used in numerous ecological studies. It must be noted that the sensitivity zonings in this study are based solely on ecological characteristics and social and economic factors have not been taken into consideration. The sensitivity analysis described here is based on 11 criteria which are considered to be of importance in determining ecosystem and landscape sensitivity. The method predominantly involves identifying sensitive vegetation or habitat types, topography and land transformation, biodiversity patterns (hotspots) and biodiversity process areas (ecological infrastructure and corridors) (Table 2.3).

Although very simple, this method of analysis provides a good, yet conservative and precautionary assessment of the ecological sensitivity.

Table 2.3: Criteria used for the analysis of the sensitivity of the area.

CRITERIA		LOW SENSITIVITY	MODERATE SENSITIVITY	HIGH SENSITIVITY
1	Topography	Level or even	Undulating; fairly steep slopes	Complex and uneven with steep slopes
2	Vegetation - Extent or habitat type in the region	Extensive	Restricted to a particular region / zone	Restricted to a specific locality / site
3	Conservation status of fauna / flora or habitats	Well conserved independent of conservation value	Not well conserved, moderate conservation value	Not conserved - has a high conservation value
4	Species of special concern - Presence and number	None, although occasional regional endemics	No endangered or vulnerable species, some indeterminate or rare endemics	One or more endangered and vulnerable species, or more than 2 endemics or rare species
5	Habitat fragmentation leading to loss of viable populations	Extensive areas of preferred habitat present elsewhere in region not susceptible to fragmentation	Reasonably extensive areas of preferred habitat elsewhere and habitat susceptible to fragmentation	Limited areas of this habitat, susceptible to fragmentation
6	Biodiversity contribution	Low diversity or species richness	Moderate diversity, and moderately high species richness	High species diversity, complex plant and animal communities
7	Erosion	Very stable and	Some possibility of	Large possibility of

CRITERIA		LOW SENSITIVITY	MODERATE SENSITIVITY	HIGH SENSITIVITY
	potential or instability of the region	an area not subjected to erosion	erosion or change due to episodic events	erosion, change to the site or destruction due to climatic or other factors
8	Rehabilitation potential of the area or region	Site is easily rehabilitated	There is some degree of difficulty in rehabilitation of the site	Site is difficult to rehabilitate due to the terrain, type of habitat or species required to reintroduce
9	Disturbance due to human habitation or other influences (alien invasive species)	Site is very disturbed or degraded	There is some degree of disturbance of the site	The site is hardly or very slightly impacted upon by human disturbance
10	Ecological function in the landscape (corridor, niche habitats)	Low ecological function. No corridors or niche habitats	N/A (There are NO moderate ecological functions. It is considered either high or low)	High ecological function. Portions of entire sections of the site contains corridors or niche habitats
11	Ecological services (food, water filter, grazing, etc.)	Low to no ecological services on site	Some sections of the site contains ecological services	Most of the site contains ecological services

A sensitivity map was developed with the aid of a satellite image so that the sensitive regions and vegetation types could be plotted (see Chapter 6). The following was also taken into account:

2.4.1. Biodiversity Regulations

National:

The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, (Act No. 10 OF 2004) (NEMBA) provides a National List of Ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection – GN 1002 of 2011. These areas are included in the sensitivity map.

Provincial:

The North West Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) identifies a network of Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) and Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) in the province based on a systematic biodiversity plan. Collectively, the CBAs and ESAs cover 57% of the province. The following biodiversity categories exist:

Category	Management objectives
Protected areas	As per protected areas management plan

Category	Management objectives
CBA1	<p>Maintain in a natural or near-natural state that maximises the retention of biodiversity pattern and ecological process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems and species fully or largely intact and undisturbed. • These are areas with high irreplaceability or low flexibility in terms of meeting biodiversity pattern targets. If the biodiversity features targeted in these areas are lost then targets will not be met. • These are biodiversity features that are at, or beyond, their limits of acceptable change.
CBA2	<p>Maintain in a natural or near-natural state that maximises the retention of biodiversity pattern and ecological process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems and species fully or largely intact and undisturbed. • Areas with intermediate irreplaceability or some flexibility in terms of meeting biodiversity targets. There are options for loss of some components of biodiversity in these landscapes without compromising the ability to achieve biodiversity targets, although loss of these sites would require alternative sites to be added to the portfolio of CBAs. • These are biodiversity features that are approaching but have not passed their limits of acceptable change.
ESA1	<p>Maintain in at least a semi-natural state as ecologically functional landscapes that retain basic natural attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystem still in a natural, near-natural state or semi-natural state, and has not been previously developed. • Ecosystems moderately to significantly disturbed but still able to maintain basic functionality. • Individual species or other biodiversity indicators may be severely disturbed or reduced. • These are areas with low irreplaceability with respect to biodiversity pattern targets only.
ESA2	<p>Maintain as much ecological functionality as possible (generally these areas have been substantially modified):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain current land use or restore area to a natural state. • Ecosystem NOT in a natural or near-natural state • Ecosystem significantly disturbed but still able to maintain some ecological functionality. • Individual species or other biodiversity indicators are severely disturbed or reduced and these are areas that have low irreplaceability with respect to biodiversity pattern targets only; • These are areas with low irreplaceability with respect to biodiversity pattern targets only. These areas are required to maintain ecological processes especially landscape connectivity.
Other Natural Areas and No Natural Habitat Remaining	<p>Production landscapes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manage land to optimise sustainability utilisation of natural areas

2.4.1. Protected areas

The National Environmental Management Protected Areas Act (Act No 57 of 2003; NEMPAA) was developed to provide for the protection and conservation of ecologically viable areas representative of South Africa's biological diversity and its natural landscapes and seascapes. All protected areas within 15km of the study site were listed. Impacts were identified and mitigations proposed.

The goal of the National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) is to achieve cost-effective protected area expansion for ecological sustainability and increased resilience to climate change. It sets targets for protected area expansion, provides maps of the most important areas for protected area expansion, and makes recommendations on mechanisms for protected area expansion. The NPAES has classified protected areas into three categories: formally protected areas, informally protected areas and focus areas. Focus areas are large, intact and unfragmented areas suitable for the creation or expansion of large protected areas.

2.5. Impact assessment

2.5.1. Impact rating methodology

To ensure a direct comparison between various specialist studies, a standard rating scale has been defined and will be used to assess and quantify the identified impacts. This is necessary since impacts specific parameters that need to be assessed. Five factors need to be considered when assessing the significance of impacts, namely:

- Relationship of the impact to **temporal scales** - the temporal scale defines the significance of the impact at various time scales, as an indication of the duration of the impact.
- Relationship of the impact to **spatial scales** - the spatial scale defines the physical extent of the impact.
- The **severity of the impact** - the **severity/beneficial scale** is used in order to scientifically evaluate how severe negative impacts would be, or how beneficial positive impacts would be on a particular affected system (for ecological impacts) or a particular affected party.
- The severity of impacts can be evaluated with and without mitigation in order to demonstrate how serious the impact is when nothing is done about it. The word 'mitigation' means not just 'compensation', but also the ideas of containment and remedy. For beneficial impacts, optimization means anything that can enhance the benefits. However, mitigation or optimization must be practical, technically feasible and economically viable.
- The **likelihood** of the impact occurring - the likelihood of impacts taking place as a result of project actions differs between potential impacts. There is no doubt that some impacts would occur (e.g. loss of vegetation), but other impacts are not as likely to occur (e.g. vehicle accident), and may or may not result from the proposed development. Although some impacts may have a severe effect, the likelihood of them occurring may affect their overall significance.
- Each criterion is ranked with scores assigned as presented in Table 2.4 to determine the overall significance of an activity. The criterion is then considered in two categories, viz. effect of the activity and the likelihood of the impact. The total scores recorded for the effect and likelihood

are then read off the matrix presented in Table 2.5, to determine the overall significance of the impact. The overall significance is either negative or positive.

- The **significance** scale is an attempt to evaluate the importance of a particular impact. This evaluation needs to be undertaken in the relevant context, as an impact can either be ecological or social, or both. The evaluation of the significance of an impact relies heavily on the values of the person making the judgment. For this reason, impacts of a social nature need to reflect the values of the affected society.

Cumulative Impacts:

Cumulative impacts affect the significance ranking of an impact because the impact is taken in consideration of both onsite and offsite sources. For example, pollution making its way into a river from a development may be within acceptable national standards. Activities in the surrounding area may also create pollution which does not exceed these standards. However, if both onsite and offsite activities take place simultaneously, the total pollution level may exceed the standards. For this reason it is important to consider impacts in terms of their cumulative nature.

Seasonality:

Although seasonality is not considered in the ranking of the significance, it may influence the evaluation during various times of the year. As seasonality will only influence certain impacts, it will only be considered for these, with management measures being imposed accordingly (i.e. dust suppression measures being implemented during the dry season).

Table 2.4. Significance Rating Table.

Temporal Scale (The duration of the impact)	
Short term	Less than 5 years (many construction phase impacts are of a short duration).
Medium term	Between 5 and 20 years.
Long term	Between 20 and 40 years (from a human perspective almost permanent).
Permanent	Over 40 years or resulting in a permanent and lasting change that will always be there.
Spatial Scale (The area in which any impact will have an affect)	
Individual	Impacts affect an individual.
Localised	Impacts affect a small area of a few hectares in extent. Often only a portion of the project area.
Project Level	Impacts affect the entire project area.
Surrounding Areas	Impacts that affect the area surrounding the development
Municipal	Impacts affect either the Local Municipality, or any towns within them.

Regional	Impacts affect the wider district municipality or the province as a whole.
National	Impacts affect the entire country.
International/Global	Impacts affect other countries or have a global influence.
Will definitely occur	Impacts will definitely occur.
Degree of likelihood of an impact occurring (The confidence with which one has predicted the significance of an impact)	
Definite	More than 90% likely of the impact occurring. Should have substantial supportive data.
Probable	Over 70% sure of a particular fact, or of the likelihood of that impact occurring.
Possible	Over 40% sure of a particular fact, or of the likelihood of an impact occurring.
Unsure	Less than 40% sure of a particular fact, or of the likelihood of an impact occurring.

Table 2.5. Impact Severity Rating.

Overall Significance (The combination of all the above criteria as an overall significance)	
VERY HIGH NEGATIVE	VERY BENEFICIAL
<p>These impacts would be considered by society as constituting a major and usually permanent change to the (natural and/or social) environment, and usually result in severe or very severe effects, or beneficial or very beneficial effects.</p> <p>Example: The loss of a species would be viewed by informed society as being of VERY HIGH significance.</p> <p>Example: The establishment of a large amount of infrastructure in a rural area, which previously had very few services, would be regarded by the affected parties as resulting in benefits with VERY HIGH significance.</p>	
HIGH NEGATIVE	BENEFICIAL
<p>These impacts will usually result in long term effects on the social and/or natural environment. Impacts rated as HIGH will need to be considered by society as constituting an important and usually long term change to the (natural and/or social) environment. Society would probably view these impacts in a serious light.</p> <p>Example: The loss of a diverse vegetation type, which is fairly common elsewhere, would have a significance rating of HIGH over the long term, as the area could be rehabilitated.</p> <p>Example: The change to soil conditions will impact the natural system, and the impact on affected parties (such as people growing crops in the soil) would be HIGH.</p>	
MODERATE NEGATIVE	SOME BENEFITS
<p>These impacts will usually result in medium to long term effects on the social and/or natural environment. Impacts rated as MODERATE will need to be considered by society as constituting a fairly important and usually medium term change to the (natural and/or social) environment. These impacts are real but not substantial.</p>	

Example: The loss of a sparse, open vegetation type of low diversity may be regarded as MODERATELY significant.

LOW NEGATIVE

FEW BENEFITS

These impacts will usually result in medium to short term effects on the social and/or natural environment. Impacts rated as LOW will need to be considered by the public and/or the specialist as constituting a fairly unimportant and usually short term change to the (natural and/or social) environment. These impacts are not substantial and are likely to have little real effect.

Example: The temporary changes in the water table of a wetland habitat, as these systems are adapted to fluctuating water levels.

Example: The increased earning potential of people employed as a result of a development would only result in benefits of LOW significance to people who live some distance away.

NO SIGNIFICANCE

There are no primary or secondary effects at all that are important to scientists or the public.

Example: A change to the geology of a particular formation may be regarded as severe from a geological perspective, but is of NO significance in the overall context.

DON'T KNOW

In certain cases it may not be possible to determine the significance of an impact. For example, the primary or secondary impacts on the social or natural environment given the available information.

Example: The effect of a particular development on people's psychological perspective of the environment.

3. Relevant legislation

The proposed new Heyserskand Loop will be subject to the requirements of various items of South African legislation. These are described below.

Table 3.1. Environmental legislation considered in the preparation of the Ecological Assessment for the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

Title of Environmental legislation, policy or guideline	Implications for the proposed new Heyserskand Loop
Constitution Act (No. 108 of 1996)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Obligation to ensure that the proposed development will not result in pollution and ecological degradation; and - Obligation to ensure that the proposed development is ecologically sustainable, while demonstrating economic and social development.
National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (No. 107 of 1998)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The developer must apply the NEMA principles, the fair decision-making and conflict management procedures that are provided for in NEMA; and - The developer must apply the principles of Integrated Environmental Management and consider, investigate and assess the potential impact of existing and planned activities on the environment, socio-economic conditions and the cultural heritage.
National Environment Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) (No. 10 of 2004)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The proposed development must : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o conserve endangered ecosystems and protect and promote biodiversity; o assess the impacts of the proposed development on endangered ecosystems; - No protected species may be removed or damaged without a permit; and - The proposed site must be cleared of alien vegetation using appropriate means.
North West Biodiversity Management Act (NWBMA) (No 4 of 2016)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All species of plants listed as Schedule 2 specially protected species must be identified on site; - Identifying and listing alien and listed invasive species occurring onsite that required management and control; and - All species identified must be removed/relocated for site after the issuing of a permit by the provincial Department: Rural, Environment and Agricultural Development (READ).
North West Biodiversity Sector Plan (NW BSP; 2015)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All areas considered as critical for biodiversity conservation including corridors identified to support ecological functioning, that occur on site must be identified and relevant mitigation to limit the impact on these areas must be recommended.
Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (CARA) (No. 43 Of 1983)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The objects of this Act are to provide for the conservation of the natural agricultural resources by the maintenance of the production potential of land, by the combating and prevention of erosion and weakening or destruction of the water sources, and by the protection of the vegetation and the combating of weeds and invader plants.
National Environmental	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The objective of this Act is to provide for the protection and

Title of Environmental legislation, policy or guideline	Implications for the proposed new Heyserskand Loop
Management: Protected Areas Act (NEMPAA) (No. 57 of 2003)	<p>conservation of ecologically viable areas representative of South Africa's biological diversity and its natural landscapes and seascapes; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In terms of Section 50 (1)(a)(ii) of this Act, the management authority may <i>"Carry out or allow an activity in the reserve aimed at raising revenue"</i>. However, Section 50 (2) states that such activity may not negatively affect the survival of any species in, or significantly disrupt the integrity of the ecological system of the nature reserve. Furthermore, in terms Section 51 (a), the Minister or MEC is responsible for the regulations or restrictions of the development and other activities in a protected environment, <i>"which may be inappropriate for the area, given the purpose for which the area was declared"</i>.
National Forest Act (84 of 1998)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Requires that a permit be obtained should any forests or protected trees be removed during the construction phase of the project.

4. Description of the biophysical environment

As mentioned, the proposed new Heyserskand Loop was described using a two-phased approach. Firstly, a desktop assessment of the site was conducted in terms of current vegetation classifications and biodiversity programmes and plans (This Chapter). This was followed by a site visit between the 03rd and the 05th April 2018 in order to assess the actual ecological state, current land-use, identify potential sensitive ecosystems and identify plant species associated with the proposed project activities (Chapter 5).

4.1. Background and Literature review

Published literature on the ecology of the area was referenced in order to describe the study site in the context of the region and the North West Province. The following documents/plans are referenced:

- SANBI vegetation (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012);
- North West Biodiversity Sector Plan (NW BSP);
- The National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA);
- National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (NEMPAA);
- National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES);
- Review of the SANBI Red Data List (Plants and animals);
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES);
- International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN);
- North West Biodiversity Management Act (NWBMA);
- Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (CARA)
- National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) List of Threatened or Protected Species;
- National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) List of Alien Invasive Vegetation;
- National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) National List of Ecosystems that are Threatened and in need of protection; and
- National Forestry Act (NFA): List of Protected Trees.

4.2. Climate

Mogwase, the nearest town to the site with climate data (located approx. 3.1km towards the north) receives about 497mm of rain per year, with most rainfall occurring mainly during mid-summer. Figure 4.1(a) shows the average rainfall values for Mogwase per month. It receives the lowest rainfall (0mm) in June and the highest (98mm) in January. The monthly distribution of average daily maximum temperatures (Figure 4.19b)) shows that the average midday temperatures for Mogwase range from 20.3°C in June to 30.3°C in January. The region is the coldest during July when temperatures drops to 2°C on average during the night (Figure 4.1(c)).

(a) Average rainfall (mm)	(b) Average midday temperature	(c) Average night-time
---------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------




Figure 4.1 Climate conditions of Mogwase, the nearest town to the Heyserskand Loop (SA Explorer; 08 May 2018)

4.3. Topography

The proposed new Heyserskand Loop is found on a level area at 1 030 meters above sea level.




Figure 4.2 Topography showing contours with height above sea level in meters

4.4. Geology and Soils

The proposed new Heyserskand Loop falls within the Western Limb of the Rashoop Granophyre Suite of rocks that forms the upper layers of the Bushveld Complex (Figure 4.3). The rocks of the Bushveld Complex constitute the most voluminous preserved mafic layered intrusion in the world underlying an area of 65 000 km². Surface rocks consist of granophyric rocks that comprise a significant component of the acid phase of the Bushveld Complex.




Figure 4.3 Regional Geology of the Heyserskand Loop and surrounding areas

Soils have minimal development and are usually shallow on hard or weathering rock (Figure 4.4). Erosion varies from low in shallow and gravelly soils to high in more sandy soils.



Figure 4.4 Regional soils of the Heyserskand Loop and surrounding areas

4.5. Land use

The proposed new Heyserskand Loop is located within the existing Transnet servitude for the railway line. Included in this servitude is a single track rail and a 3.5m wide gravel road immediately adjacent to the rail. The area to the east of the Heyserskand Loop is covered by transformed land (Figure 4.4). Up until 2010 this area was used to grow crops (as seen in historical aerial images) and is currently used for informal grazing for cattle (observed during the site assessment). The area west of the Heyserskand Loop consist of natural unimpacted vegetation.




Figure 4.5 Land use of the Heyserskand Loop and surrounding areas

4.6. Vegetation and floristics

4.6.1. SANBI classification (Mucina and Rutherford, 2012)

According to the South African National Biodiversity Institute Map (Mucina and Rutherford; 2012) the proposed new Heyserskand Loop is located in the Savanna biome. This biome is defined by an herbaceous layer dominated by grass species and a discontinuous to sometimes very open tree layer. The proposed new Heyserskand Loop only occur on a single savanna vegetation type namely:

- Central Sandy Bushveld

Central Sand Bushveld occur on low undulating areas and sandy plains and support tall, deciduous *Combretum* dominated woodlands on shallow rocky or gravelly soils. *Acacias*, *Ziziphus* and *Euclea* species are found on flat areas while *Acacia tortilis* may dominate some areas along valleys. The herbaceous layer is dominated by grasses (Figure 4.6). SANBI considers this vegetation type as **Vulnerable** as less than 3% is statutory conserved across many smaller nature reserves. Approximately 24% is transformed including 19% by cultivation and 4% by urban and built-up areas. Large areas are heavily populated by rural communities. Several alien plants occur but are widely spread in low densities.




Figure 4.6: Vegetation found at the Heyserskand Loop and surrounding areas.

4.6.2 Forest classification (NFA)

The NFA identified trees that is considered as protected and therefore requires approval from the Department of Forestry prior to impact. The following chapter listed protected tree species that will be impacted by the proposed Heyserskand Loop development and will require permits. No natural forests occur within or close to the site.

4.6.3. Protected species

Table 4.1 below list all plant SCC that may potentially occur on site (taken from <http://posa.sanbi.org/search spp.php> on the 08/05/2018). This list was used to assist in the location and identification of any SCC that may be found during the site visit (see Appendix 2 for a full list of plant species found on site).

Table 4.1. Protected plants that may be found within the Heyserskand Loop

Family	Species	Threat status	Growth forms
ASPHODELACEAE	<i>Aloe greatheadii</i>	Important species	Succulent

4.7. Biodiversity indicators

South Africa's policy and legislative framework for biodiversity is well developed, providing a strong basis for the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity. South Africa is one of the few countries in the world to have a Biodiversity Act and a National Biodiversity Institute.

Key components of the policy and legislative framework for biodiversity include:

- The White Paper on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of South Africa's Biological Diversity (1997);
- The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004) (NEMBA);
- NEMBA List of Ecosystems in need of Protection;
- NEMBA List of Threatened or Protected Species;
- NEMBA List of Alien Invasive Species;
- The National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (Act 57 of 2003) (NEMPAA);
- The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) (2015);
- The National Biodiversity Assessment (2011) (NBA);
- The National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (2008) (NPAES); and
- Important Bird Areas (2015) (IBAs).

In addition, some of South Africa's nine provinces have their own provincial biodiversity legislation, as nature conservation is a concurrent function of national and provincial government in terms of the Constitution (Act 108 of 1996). The North West Biodiversity Sector Plan (NW BSP; 2015) covers the entire North West Province.

4.7.1. North West Province Biodiversity Sector Plan

According to the NW BSP (2015) the Heyserskand Loop area is located on the boundary between CBA2 and an ESA2 areas (Figure 4.7). The management requirements for CBA2 and ESA2 areas are as follows (taken from the Technical Guidelines for CBA Maps, 2015):

Table 4.1. CBAs identified within the Heyserskand Loop

CBA area	Description	Management requirements
CBA 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecosystems and species fully or largely intact and undisturbed. • Areas with intermediate irreplaceability or some flexibility in terms of meeting biodiversity targets. There are options for loss of some components of biodiversity in these landscapes without compromising the ability to achieve biodiversity targets, although loss of these sites would require alternative sites to be added to the portfolio of CBAs. • These are biodiversity features that are approaching but have not passed their limits of acceptable change. 	Maintain in a natural or near-natural state that maximises the retention of biodiversity pattern and ecological process

CBA area	Description	Management requirements
ESA2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ecosystem NOT in a natural or near-natural state Ecosystem significantly disturbed but still able to maintain some ecological functionality. Individual species or other biodiversity indicators are severely disturbed or reduced and these are areas that have low irreplaceability with respect to biodiversity pattern targets only; These are areas with low irreplaceability with respect to biodiversity pattern targets only. These areas are required to maintain ecological processes especially landscape connectivity. 	Maintain as much ecological functionality as possible (generally these areas have been substantially modified)




Figure 4.7: North West Province Terrestrial CBA Map (2015) for the Heyserskand Loop.

4.7.2. Protected areas

Two areas that is protected by legislation are located within 50km from the proposed new Heyserskand Loop (Figure 4.8). Protected areas in the vicinity include:

Table 4.2. Protected areas found within 50km of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop

Name of protected area	Distance from site
Pilanesberg Provincial Nature Reserve	3.2km towards the west
Vaalkop Dam Nature Reserve	20km towards the east




Figure 4.6: Illustrating the distances of various protected areas to the proposed new Heyserskand Loop

4.7.3. Threatened Ecosystems

The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA) published a national list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection (GN. 1002 of 2011). The proposed new Heyserskand Loop is **NOT located in any threatened ecosystems** as legislated by NEMBA. The nearest threatened ecosystem is Marikana Thornveld located 17 km towards the south of the site.

The proposed new Heyserskand Loop site is located within 2.5km of the Magaliesberg Important Bird Area (IBA) (located towards the south and east of the site).

4.8. Fauna

The following tables list potential fauna (birds, reptiles and mammals) that may occur within the Heyserskand Loop and surrounding areas.

4.8.1. Birds

The following protected bird species occur within the South African Bird Atlas Project 2 (SABAP2; 2017) 2515_2710 (QDGC: 2527AC) pentad that includes the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. A full bird list can be found in Appendix 1:

Table 4.3. Protected birds that may be observed within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop (<http://sabap2.adu.org.za> downloaded 09/05/2018)

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Bateleur	<i>Terathopius ecaudatus</i>	Endangered	Near Threatened
Kori Bustard	<i>Ardeotis kori</i>	Near Threatened	Near Threatened
Martial Eagle	<i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i>	Endangered	Near Threatened
Tawny Eagle	<i>Aquila rapax</i>	Endangered	Least Concerned
Verreaux's Eagle	<i>Aquila verreauxii</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Lanner Falcon	<i>Falco biarmicus</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
African Finfoot	<i>Podica senegalensis</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Greater Flamingo	<i>Phoenicopterus ruber</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Lesser Flamingo	<i>Phoenicopterus minor</i>	Near Threatened	Near Threatened
Half-collared Kingfisher	<i>Alcedo semitorquata</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Melodious Lark	<i>Mirafrā cheniana</i>	Least Concerned	Near Threatened
Pink-backed Pelican	<i>Pelecanus rufescens</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
European Roller	<i>Coracias garrulus</i>	Near Threatened	Near Threatened
Yellow-throated Sandgrouse	<i>Pterocles gutturalis</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Secretary bird	<i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
Abdim's Stork	<i>Ciconia abdimii</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Black Stork	<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Marabou Stork	<i>Leptoptilos crumeniferus</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Yellow-billed Stork	<i>Mycteria ibis</i>	Endangered	Least Concerned
Caspian Tern	<i>Sterna caspia</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Cape Vulture	<i>Gyps coprotheres</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
Lappet-faced Vulture	<i>Torgos tracheliotus</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
White-backed Vulture	<i>Gyps africanus</i>	Endangered	Endangered

4.8.2. Reptiles

Southern African endemic reptiles that are found in the region include:

Table 4.5. Reptiles that may be observed within the Heyserskand Loop (Marnewick *et al.*; 2015)

Reptile	Common name
<i>Psammobates oculiferus</i>	Kalahari tent tortoise
<i>Atractaspis duerdeni</i>	Duerden's burrowing asp
<i>Leptotyphlops distanti</i>	Distant's thread snake
<i>Prosymna bivittata</i>	Two-striped shovel-snout
<i>Aspidelaps scutatus</i>	Shield-nose snake
<i>Acontias gracilicauda</i>	Thin-tailed legless skink
<i>Python sebae natalensis</i>	Southern African python

4.8.3. Mammals

Of the 112 mammal species that occur in the region, brown hyaena (*Hyaena brunnea*) and leopard (*Panthera pardus*) are the major large predators (Marnewick *et al.*, 2005). The leopard is the apex predator, while the brown hyaena shares the scavenging guild with the vultures – with, however, a temporal separation in that the hyaena is largely nocturnal. It is however highly unlikely that any of these mammal species will occur within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. Smaller mammals like field mice, porcupines, aardvark etc. may occur although they were not observed during the site assessment.

5. Site investigation

A site investigation was conducted between the 3rd and 5th March 2018 in order to:

- Verify desktop findings;
- Assess the actual ecological state;
- Assess the current land-use;
- Identify potential sensitive ecosystems;
- Identify plant species communities associated with the proposed project activities; and
- Identify animal species associated with the proposed project activities.

The site visit also served to inform potential impacts of the proposed project and to inform the significance of these impacts on the surrounding ecological environment. Vegetation was assessed along the entire length of the Heyserskand Loop. Although the site assessment was conducted in late summer, specific flowering times of geophytic species (like Amaryllidaceae and Orchidaceae) may have been missed. Only animal species that was observed during the site assessment were recorded as part of the assessment.

5.1. Vegetation survey

The proposed new Heyserskand Loop study area is almost entirely cleared of any vegetation as it is covered by an existing rail and a gravel road (Figure 5.1; Plate 5.1). Natural vegetation occur on either sides of the railway track and road and are concentrated on the edges of the length of the proposed construction site. The section that was covered by natural vegetation consist of a low, broad-leafed *Combretum* dominated woodland with a grass-dominated herbaceous layer that shows signs of degradation (Plate 5.2). Construction will only occur on the eastern side of the railway track and road, impacting some vegetation by clearing.

Both proposed site camp and laydown areas are located on degraded vegetation on the eastern side of the existing railway track and road (Figure 5.1; Plate 5.2). No tree species occur and only 0.3ha of grasses will be cleared during construction within each area. The proposed lay down area has an existing gravel road linking it to the railway service road. The site camp will not require an access road as the proposed site is immediately adjacent to the proposed Heyserskand Loop construction area.





Figure 5.1: Aerial image of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop (Source: GoogleEarth)








Plate 5.1: Photographs showing the extent of development of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.





The proposed site camp site does not contain any tree species while ground cover is a mix of grasses and bare ground. White lines represent the boundary of the proposed site.



The proposed lay down area does not contain any tree species while ground cover is a mix of grasses and bare ground. White lines represent the boundary of the proposed site.

Plate 5.2: Photographs showing existing vegetation within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

Vegetation composition consist of dense woodland vegetation with various woody species close to the existing access road with a sudden change to open savanna eastwards where vegetation consist of grassland/bare ground interspersed with *Acacias* trees (Figure 5.2; Plate 5.2). Alien & invasive plants occur in places but are not dominant.



Figure 5.2: Aerial image of a typical section through the proposed new Heyserskand Loop (Source: GoogleEarth)

5.1.1. Plant species observed

A total of 45 plant species were identified along the 1.4km section proposed for the new Heyserskand Loop. A full list of plants can be found in Appendix 2. Even though literature lists *Aloe greatheadii* as a SCC that may occur on site (Table 4.1), none were found during the site assessment.

5.2. Faunal survey

Chapter 4, Section 4.8 list various faunal species (birds, reptiles and mammals) that may potentially occur within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. Few animal species were however recorded during the site visit (Table 5.1). These were mostly limited to birds. That does not mean that faunal species did not occur on site but merely that they were not observed at the time.

Table 5.1. Animals observed during the site assessment of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Birds			
Babbler, Southern Pied	<i>Turdoides bicolor</i>	-	-
Bee-eater, European	<i>Merops apiaster</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Bee-eater, Little	<i>Merops pusillus</i>	-	-
Bishop, Southern Red	<i>Euplectes orix</i>	-	-
Bush-shrike, Grey-headed	<i>Malaconotus blanchoti</i>	-	-
Chat, Familiar	<i>Cercomela familiaris</i>	-	-
Coucal, Burchell's	<i>Centropus burchellii</i>	-	-
Dove, Laughing	<i>Streptopelia senegalensis</i>	-	-
Dove, Red-eyed	<i>Streptopelia semitorquata</i>	-	-
Dove, Rock	<i>Columba livia</i>	-	-
Drongo, Fork-tailed	<i>Dicrurus adsimilis</i>	-	-
Egret, Cattle	<i>Bubulcus ibis</i>	-	-
Finch, Red-headed	<i>Amadina erythrocephala</i>	-	-
Flycatcher, Fiscal	<i>Sigelus silens</i>	-	-
Francolin, Coqui	<i>Peliperdix coqui</i>	-	-
Guineafowl, Helmeted	<i>Numida meleagris</i>	-	-
Heron, Grey	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	-	-
Ibis, Hadedda	<i>Bostrychia hagedash</i>	-	-
Kestrel, Lesser	<i>Falco naumanni</i>	-	-
Mousebird, Red-faced	<i>Urocolius indicus</i>	-	-
Pipit, Striped	<i>Anthus lineiventris</i>	-	-
Plover, Common Ringed	<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>	-	-
Wagtail, African Pied	<i>Motacilla aguimp</i>	-	-
Waxbill, Common	<i>Estrilda astrild</i>	-	-
Reptiles			
Tropical gecko	<i>Hemidactylus mabouia</i>	-	-
Striped skink	<i>Mabuya s. punctatissimus</i>	-	-

No animal SCC were observed on site during the site assessment.

6. Sensitivity assessment

Appendix 6

Specialist Reports

1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain—
 - (f) details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;
 - (g) an identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;
 - (h) a map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;

6.1. Conservation and spatial planning tools

Several conservation planning tools are available for the study area. These tools allow for the potential identification of any sensitive and important areas from an ecological perspective at the early stage of a development and allow for the fine-tuning of plans and infrastructure layouts.

The following tools were identified as relevant to the site and are summarised below:

- SANBI Vegetation threat status;
- NEMBA Protected Ecosystems; and
- North West Biodiversity Sector Plan;

The conservation status of Central Sand Bushveld, the only vegetation type identified on site is considered as **Vulnerable** by SANBI. The site assessment however indicated vegetation within the Heyserskand Loop is degraded (possibly by agriculture). The proposed new Heyserskand Loop is **NOT located in any threatened ecosystems** as legislated by NEMBA.

The North West Biodiversity Sector Plan (2015) describes the area as containing important biodiversity needed to meet national biodiversity targets. The area towards the west of the existing railway line is classified as a CBA2 while the area east of the existing railway line is an ESA2. The proposed new Heyserskand Loop, including all temporary construction areas like the site camp site and laydown area, will be located on the eastern side of the existing railway line. Therefore all activities will occur in an ESA2 classified area. The management requirement is that as much ecological functionality as possible must be maintained.

These tools together with the field survey have been used to assess the sensitivity of the study area. Sensitivity of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop is shown on a sensitivity map (Figure 6.1 below).

6.2. Sensitivity allocation

A sensitivity map was developed based on the methodology presented in Table 6.1, for the entire study area. The following sensitivity criteria were allocated for the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. The allocation of criteria were based on both the desktop biophysical description of the site as well as observations made during the site visit.

Table 6.1. Criteria used for the analysis of the sensitivity of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

CRITERIA		LOW SENSITIVITY	MODERATE SENSITIVITY	HIGH SENSITIVITY
1	Topography	Level or even	Undulating; fairly steep slopes	Complex and uneven with steep slopes
2	Vegetation - Extent or habitat type in the region	Extensive throughout the region	Restricted to a particular region / zone	Restricted to a specific locality / site
3	Conservation status of fauna / flora or habitats	Well conserved independent of conservation value	Not well conserved, moderate conservation value	Not conserved - has a high conservation value
4	Species of conservation concern - Presence and number	None, although occasional regional endemics	No Species of Conservation Concern, some indeterminate or rare endemics	One or more Species of Conservation Concern, or more than 2 endemics or rare species
5	Habitat fragmentation leading to loss of viable populations	Extensive areas of preferred habitat present elsewhere in region not susceptible to fragmentation	Reasonably extensive areas of preferred habitat elsewhere and habitat susceptible to fragmentation	Limited areas of this habitat, susceptible to fragmentation
6	Biodiversity contribution	Low diversity or species richness	Moderate diversity, and moderately high species richness	High diversity and species richness
7	Erosion potential or instability of the region	Very stable and an area not subjected to erosion	Some possibility of erosion or change due to episodic events	Large possibility of erosion, change to the site or destruction due to climatic or other factors
8	Rehabilitation potential of the area or region	Site is easily rehabilitated	There is some degree of difficulty in rehabilitation of the site	Site is difficult to rehabilitate due to the terrain, type of habitat or species required to reintroduce
9	Disturbance due to human habitation or other influences (alien invasive species)	Site is very disturbed or degraded	There is some degree of disturbance of the site	The site is hardly or very slightly impacted upon by human disturbance
10	Ecological function	Habitat widely represented in the landscape not	Intermediate role in ecological function	Key habitat involved in ecological processes (ecological corridors and

CRITERIA		LOW SENSITIVITY	MODERATE SENSITIVITY	HIGH SENSITIVITY
		specifically harbouring any unique habitat features...etc.		network areas or key niche habitats)
11	Ecological Services	Little to no ecological services	Some ecological services.	Various ecological services. Areas should be conserved.

Site sensitivity was determined based on the following criteria as classified in Table 6.1 above:

Table 6.2: List of criteria contributing to the sensitivity map

Ecological element	Sensitivity mapping rule	Sensitivity allocation
- All elements	None	Low sensitivity for entire site

The following map reflects ecological sensitivity identified within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop:




Figure 6.1: Ecological sensitivity within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop

High sensitivity:

No areas have been identified as high sensitive areas.

Moderate sensitivity:

No areas have been identified as moderate sensitive areas.

Low sensitivity:

The entire site have been identified as a low sensitive area. This is mainly as a result of the degraded status of vegetation and the absence of both animal and plant SCC.

6.3. Issues and impacts identified

Various issues have been identified that will impact the local ecology along the proposed new Heyserskand Loop during all phases of development (including Planning and Design, Construction and Operational phases)

The following issues were identified during the sensitivity assessment:

Table 6.2: Issues identified during the sensitivity assessment of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop

ISSUES IDENTIFIED	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACTS
Loss of natural vegetation	The clearing of indigenous vegetation will lead to the permanent loss of natural savanna.
Rehabilitation of disturbed areas	Poor rehabilitation of disturbed areas after clearing and establishment may lead to the permanent degradation of ecosystems as well as allow invading alien vegetation species to establish.

Various mitigations are recommended (based on the various levels of sensitivity) to reduce the impacts of the proposed development on the natural environment within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. These are discussed in more detail in Chapter 8.

7. Alien invasive species

An “*invasive species*” is any species whose establishment and spread outside of its natural distribution range (i) threatens ecosystems, habitats or other species or has a demonstrable potential to threaten ecosystems, habitats or other species; and (ii) may result in economic or environmental harm or harm to human health. Invasive alien plant species are globally considered as one of the greatest threats to the environment, biodiversity, ecosystem integrity and the economy.

According to the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (No. 43 of 1983 - Regulation 15, 30 March 2001) (CARA), for agricultural land, and the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA), for natural areas, invasive alien plant species should be controlled and eradicated with an emphasis on urgent action in biodiversity priority areas. NEMBA published a list of Alien and Invasive Species (No 599) in 2014 which regulates the management of alien and invasive plants in natural environments.

Alien and Invasive plant species were identified within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. These include:

Table 7.1: List of Alien and Invasive Plant Species identified within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

<i>Plant name</i>	<i>Common name</i>	<i>Category</i>
<i>Datura ferox</i>	Large thorn apple	1b
<i>Lantana camera</i>	Lantana	1b
<i>Verbena bonariensis</i>	Verbena	1b

Other non-declared alien vegetation recorded within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop area include:

- *Bidens bipinnata* (Blackjacks)
- *Tagetes minuta* (khaki-weed)

7.1. Discussion

All alien and invasive plants identified within the Heyserskand Loop area were classified as Category 1b invasives as per Notice 1 of GN. 599 of 2014 of NEMBA.

7.1.1. Category 1b invasive species

Plants classified as Category 1b alien invasive species are prohibited from:

- Being imported into the Republic;
- growing or in any other way propagating any specimen;
- conveying, moving or otherwise translocating any specimen;

- spreading or allowing the spread of any specimen; and
- releasing any specimen

All Category 1b alien and invasive plant species must be controlled during all phases of development according to a Management Plan. It is recommended that an Alien Vegetation Management Plan be developed and implemented for the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

7.2. Issues identified

The following issues were identified during the Alien and invasive Species assessment:

Table 7.2: Issues identified during the Alien and Invasive Species assessment of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

ISSUES IDENTIFIED	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACTS
Control of alien plant species	The lack of an effective alien vegetation management plan may exacerbate the problem of alien plant invasion.

Various alien invasive control measures are recommended in Chapter 8 to reduce the impact of alien invasive plant species within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

8. Manner in which the environment may be affected

Appendix 6

Specialist Reports

1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain—
- (j) a description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity or activities;
 - (k) any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;

8.1. Issues identified

Table 8.1 below list all the issues identified during the assessment of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop:

Table 8.1. Issues identified during all development phases of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop

MIND MAP: Ecological Impacts for the proposed new Heyserskand Loop				
THEMES	CATEGORIES/ISSUES	PLANNING & DESIGN PHASE	CONSTRUCTION PHASE	OPERATIONAL PHASE
Legislation	Legal and policy compliance	X		
Terrestrial environment	Loss of natural savanna	X	X	
	Rehabilitation of disturbed areas	X	X	X
	Control of alien species	X	X	X

Ecological impacts that were identified during the Planning and Design, Construction and Operational Phases of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop and are described below:

Table 8.2. Impacts identified during all phases of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop

Categories/Issue	Description of Impact		
	Planning and Design	Construction	Operation
Legislation			
Legal and policy compliance	Non-compliance with the laws and policies of South Africa as they pertain to the ecological environment could lead to damage of the environment, unnecessary delays in establishment activities, and potentially criminal	N/A	N/A

Categories/Issue	Description of Impact		
	Planning and Design	Construction	Operation
	cases, based on the severity of the non-compliance, being brought against the proponent and his/her contractors.		
Terrestrial environment			
Loss of natural vegetation	Inappropriate design of the project infrastructure will lead to the unnecessary loss of natural vegetation and habitat for other taxonomic groups.	Clearing of natural vegetation outside the planned development footprint will lead to the unnecessary loss of natural vegetation and habitat for other taxonomic groups.	N/A
Rehabilitation of disturbed areas	Failure to plan for the rehabilitation of impacted areas may lead to the permanent degradation of ecosystems as well as allow alien vegetation species to expand.	Poor rehabilitation of disturbed areas may lead to the permanent degradation of ecosystems as well as allow alien vegetation species to expand.	Poor rehabilitation of disturbed areas may lead to the permanent degradation of ecosystems as well as allow alien vegetation species to expand.
Control of alien species	Failure to plan for the removal and management of alien vegetation could result in the invasion of alien vegetation throughout the site during construction and operational phases.	Removal of natural vegetation creates 'open' habitats that will favour the establishment of undesirable alien plant species in areas that are typically very difficult to eradicate and may pose a threat to neighbouring natural ecosystems.	Loss of natural vegetation will increase the potential invasion by alien plant species. This coupled with the lack of an effective alien vegetation management plan may result in large scale alien plant invasion.

8.2. Impact assessment

The impacts identified in Section 8.1 are assessed in terms of the criteria described in Section 2.5 and are summarised in Tables 8.3- 8.5 below.

Table 8.3. Assessment of impacts during the Planning & Design Phase

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE-MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANCE POST-MITIGATION
PLANNING & DESIGN PHASE									
<i>Legislation</i>									
Legal and policy compliance	During the planning and design phase non-compliance with the laws and policies of South Africa as they pertain to the ecological environment could lead to damage of the ecological environment, unnecessary delays in establishment activities, and potentially criminal cases, based on the severity of the non-compliance, being brought against the proponent and his/her contractors.	Direct, Cumulative	Localised	Short-term	Probable	Moderately severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All legal matters pertaining to permitting must be completed prior to any construction activity. - A qualified and independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO) must be appointed prior to commencement of any activity on site to monitor all legal and policy compliance. 	Low Negative
<i>Terrestrial environment</i>									
Loss of natural vegetation	During the planning and design phase the inappropriate design of the project infrastructure will lead to the unnecessary loss of natural vegetation and habitat supporting other taxonomic groups.	Direct, indirect, cumulative	Localised	Permanent	Definite	Moderately severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Project infrastructure must be designed in such a way as to minimise the impact on natural vegetation. 	Low Negative
Rehabilitation of Disturbed Areas	During the planning and design phase the failure to plan for the rehabilitation of impacted areas may lead to the permanent degradation of ecosystems as well as	Indirect	Project Level	Medium-term	Probable	Moderately severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A Rehabilitation Management Plan must be developed to manage rehabilitation during all phases of the project. - The Rehabilitation Management Plan must be approved by the appointed ECO prior to implementation. 	Low Negative

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE-MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANCE POST-MITIGATION
	allow alien vegetation species to expand.								
Control of alien species	During the planning and design phase the failure to plan for the removal and management of alien vegetation could result in the invasion of alien vegetation in sensitive areas during the construction and operational phases.	Indirect	Project Level	Medium-term	Probable	Moderately severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be developed to mitigate the establishment and spread of undesirable alien plant species during all phases of the project. - The Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be approved by the appointed ECO prior to implementation. 	Low Negative

Table 8.4. Assessment of impacts during the Construction Phase

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE-MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANCE POST-MITIGATION
CONSTRUCTION PHASE									
<i>Ecological environment</i>									
Loss of Natural Vegetation	During the construction phase the clearing of natural vegetation outside the approved development footprint will lead to the unnecessary loss of natural vegetation and habitat for other taxonomic groups.	Direct, Indirect, Cumulative	Localised	Medium-term	Possible	Moderately severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The construction footprint must be surveyed and demarcated prior to construction commencing. - No construction activities will be allowed outside the demarcated footprint. - No construction activities will be allowed on the western side of the existing railway line. - Where vegetation has been cleared, site rehabilitation in terms of soil stabilisation and vegetation must be undertaken. - Cleared vegetation must not be piled on top of natural vegetation but must be stockpiled temporarily on bare ground and removed to a registered landfill site. Alternatively, cleared vegetation may be mulched and used as ground cover during rehabilitation. - The contractor's staff must not poach or trap wild animals. - The contractor's staff must not harvest any natural vegetation. 	Low Negative
Rehabilitation of Disturbed Areas	During the construction phase poor rehabilitation of disturbed areas may lead to the permanent degradation of ecosystems as well as allow alien vegetation species to expand.	Direct, Indirect, Cumulative	Localised	Long-term	Probable	Moderately severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All temporarily impacted areas must be rehabilitated with indigenous vegetation as soon as construction in the particular area or phase of work is complete, i.e. rehabilitation is on-going throughout construction. 	Low Negative

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE-MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANCE POST-MITIGATION
								<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Restoration must be conducted as per the approved Rehabilitation Management Plan. - Only topsoil from the development site, which has been appropriately stored, must be used for rehabilitation. 	
Control of Alien Species	During the construction phase the removal of natural vegetation creates 'open' habitats that will favour the establishment of undesirable alien plant species in areas that are typically very difficult to eradicate and may pose a threat to neighbouring natural ecosystems.	Indirect	Study Site	Long-term	Probable	Moderately severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The approved Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be implemented during the construction phase to reduce the establishment and spread of undesirable alien plant species. - Alien plants must be removed from the site through appropriate methods such as hand pulling, application of chemicals, cutting, etc. as in accordance to the NEMBA: Alien Invasive Species Regulations. 	Low Negative

Table 8.5. Assessment of impacts during the Operational Phase

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE-MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	SIGNIFICANCE POST-MITIGATION
OPERATIONAL PHASE									
<i>Terrestrial environment</i>									
Rehabilitation of disturbed areas	During the Operational Phase, poor rehabilitation of disturbed areas may lead to the permanent degradation of ecosystems as well as allow alien vegetation species to expand.	Direct, Indirect, Cumulative	Study Site	Long-Term	Possible	Moderately Severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All cleared areas must be continuously rehabilitated with indigenous vegetation post-establishment. - The site will be considered as rehabilitated when 75% or more of the impacted areas are covered by primary growth (grasses and/or scrubs) 	Low Negative
Invasion of Alien Species	During the operational phase the loss of natural vegetation will increase the potential invasion by alien plant species. This, coupled with the lack of implementation of the Alien Vegetation Management Plan may result in large scale alien plant invasion.	Direct, Indirect, Cumulative	Study Site	Long-Term	Possible	Moderately Severe	Moderate Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The approved Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be implemented during the operational phase to reduce the establishment and spread of undesirable alien plant species. - Alien plants must be removed through appropriate methods such as hand pulling, application of chemicals, cutting, etc. as in accordance to the NEMBA: Alien Invasive Species Regulations. 	Low Negative

9. Impact statement, recommendations and conclusion

Appendix 6

Specialist Reports

1. (1) A specialist report prepared in terms of these Regulations must contain—
 - (l) any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;
 - (m) any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;
 - (n) a reasoned opinion—
 - (i) whether the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised;
 - (iA) regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities; and
 - (ii) if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan;

9.1. Impact statement

A total of 45 plant species were identified within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. Savanna is the only vegetation biome present with vegetation consisting of a low, broad-leafed *Combretum* dominated woodland with a grass-dominated herbaceous layer. No plant SSC has been identified within the proposed new Heyserskand Loop and therefore there is no permit application requirement for plants. Vegetation throughout the project site is considered as low sensitive.

Most of the study area has been transformed as there is an existing railway line as well as a service gravel road immediately adjacent to the railway line. Vegetation occur on either sides of the railway track and are concentrated on the edges of the length of the proposed construction site. The section that was covered by natural vegetation consist of a low, broad-leafed *Combretum* dominated woodland with a grass-dominated herbaceous layer that shows signs of degradation. Approximately 1.4 hectares of natural vegetation will be permanently removed along the entire length of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop during construction.

Both proposed site camp and laydown areas are located on degraded vegetation on the eastern side of the existing railway track. No tree species occur and only 0.3ha of grasses will be cleared during construction within each area.

Vegetation tends to be dense woodland vegetation with various woody species occurring close to the existing access road with a sudden change to open savanna eastwards where vegetation consist of grassland/bare ground interspersed with *Acacias* trees. Alien & invasive plants occur in places but are not dominant. Alien species present on site and their category according to the NEMBA Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (published 1 August 2014) are presented in Section 8.1 above. It is advised that an Alien Vegetation Management Plan is generated and implemented during the construction phase (for clearing) AND operation phase, throughout the life of the project, and that active management of alien species is carried out.

Few animal species were recorded during the site visit. These were mostly limited to birds. That does not mean that faunal species did not occur on site but merely that they were not observed at the time. No animal SCC were observed.

9.1.1. No-Go areas

No area within the study site was identified as a No-Go area. The construction site (including temporary impacted areas like site camps and laydown areas) must be demarcated prior to commencement of construction. All vegetated areas outside the demarcation, including all areas west of the existing railway line, will be considered as No-Go areas and must be avoided at all times during construction.

9.1.2. Alternatives

No alternatives were presented and therefore were not assessed.

9.1.3. Cumulative impact

The project entails the permanent removal of approximately 2ha (1.4 for the new Loop and 0.3 respectively for the site camp and laydown area) of natural vegetation for the development of the new Heyserskand Loop. The removal of vegetation will occur within the Transnet railway line servitude and vegetation outside the servitude should not be affected. The clearing of vegetation will occur along a 1.4 km length of already cleared railway line and gravel road. Animals should not be affected by the development of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop other than temporary migration out of the local area during construction.

9.2. Recommendation

The following recommendations must be included into the final EMPr:

- The project construction site must be demarcated prior to commencement of activities on site. All areas outside the demarcation will be considered as No-Go areas during construction.
- A qualified, independent ECO must be appointed prior to commencement of any activity on site.
- All mitigation measures indicated in this report must be included into the EMPr
- The following Management Plans must be developed prior to clearing and implemented during construction and operations of the proposed development. These management plans must be incorporated into the EMPr:
 - o Rehabilitation Management Plan
 - o Alien Vegetation Management Plan

9.2.1. Mitigation measures

All the mitigation measures provided below are to be implemented during the planning and design, construction and operational phases of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop.

During planning and design:

- All legal matters pertaining to permitting must be completed prior to any construction activity;
- A qualified and independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO) must be appointed prior to commencement of any activity on site to monitor all legal and policy compliance;
- Project infrastructure must be designed in such a way as to minimise the impact on natural vegetation;

- A Rehabilitation Management Plan must be developed to manage rehabilitation during all phases of the project;
- The Rehabilitation Management Plan must be approved by the appointed ECO prior to implementation;
- An Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be developed to mitigate the establishment and spread of undesirable alien plant species during all phases of the project; and
- The Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be approved by the appointed ECO prior to implementation.

During the construction phase:

- The construction footprint must be surveyed and demarcated prior to construction commencing;
- No construction activities will be allowed outside the demarcated footprint.;
- No construction activities will be allowed on the western side of the existing railway line;
- Where vegetation has been cleared, site rehabilitation in terms of soil stabilisation and vegetation must be undertaken;
- Cleared vegetation must not be piled on top of natural vegetation but must be stockpiled temporarily on bare ground and removed to a registered landfill site. Alternatively, cleared vegetation may be mulched and used as ground cover during rehabilitation;
- The contractor's staff must not poach or trap wild animals;
- The contractor's staff must not harvest any natural vegetation;
- All temporarily impacted areas must be rehabilitated with indigenous vegetation as soon as construction in the particular area or phase of work is complete, i.e. rehabilitation is on-going throughout construction;
- Restoration must be conducted as per the approved Rehabilitation Management Plan;
- Only topsoil from the development site, which has been appropriately stored, must be used for rehabilitation;
- The approved Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be implemented during the construction phase to reduce the establishment and spread of undesirable alien plant species; and
- Alien plants must be removed from the site through appropriate methods such as hand pulling, application of chemicals, cutting, etc. as in accordance to the NEMBA: Alien Invasive Species Regulations.

During operational phase:

- All cleared areas must be continuously rehabilitated with indigenous vegetation post-establishment;
- The site will be considered as rehabilitated when 75% or more of the impacted areas are covered by primary growth (grasses and/or scrubs);
- The approved Alien Vegetation Management Plan must be implemented during the operational phase to reduce the establishment and spread of undesirable alien plant species; and
- Alien plants must be removed through appropriate methods such as hand pulling, application of chemicals, cutting, etc. as in accordance to the NEMBA: Alien Invasive Species Regulations.

9.3. Conclusion

Table 9.1 summarises the change in impacts from pre- to post- mitigation during development of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop. All impacts were identified as moderate and will be reduced to a low significance if the mitigation measures as proposed in this report is adhered to.

Table 9.1: Assessment of pre- and post-mitigation impact significance.

	PRE-MITIGATION				POST-MITIGATION			
	LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	UN-KNOWN	LOW	MODERATE	HIGH	UN-KNOWN
Planning and Design	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0
Construction	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0
Operational	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	0

9.3.1. Ecological Statement and Opinion of the Specialist

The ecological impacts of all aspects for the construction of the proposed new Heyserskand Loop were assessed and considered to be ecologically acceptable, provided that mitigation measures provided in this report are implemented. All impacts are rated as MODERATE pre-mitigation (Table 9.1), therefore implementation of recommended mitigation measures coupled with comprehensive rehabilitation and monitoring in terms of re-vegetation and restoration is an important element of the mitigation strategy. Implementing the recommended mitigations measures will reduce all impacts to LOW.

The proposed development is **NOT considered to be Fatally Flawed**.

The **No-Go option** refers to the proposed Heyserskand Loop not being constructed. This option will therefore have no impact (positive or negative) on the local ecology if it is not established.

10. References

ACOCKS, J.P.H. 1953, 1988. Veld types of South Africa. Memoir of the Botanical Survey of South Africa 57: 1-146.

BREDENKAMP, G.J. & BROWN, L.R. 2003. A reappraisal of Acocks' Bankenveld: origin & diversity of vegetation types. South African Journal of Botany 69: 7-26.

BROMILOW, C. 2010. Probleem plante en Indringeronkruid van Suid-Afrika. Briza Publications, Pretoria.

CAMPBELL, P.L. 2000. Rehabilitation recommendations after alien plant control. PPRI Handbook No. 11. ARC.

COATES-PALGRAVE, K & COATES-PALGRAVE, M. 2003. Trees of southern Africa. 3rd edition. Struik, Cape Town.

Driver, M., Raimondo, D., Maze, K., Pfab, M.F. and Helme, N.A. 2009. Applications of the Red List for conservation practitioners. In: D. Raimondo, L. Von Staden, W. Foden, J.E. Victor, N.A. Helme, R.C. Turner, D.A. Kamundi and P.A. Manyama (eds). Red List of South African Plants. Strelitzia 25:41-52. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

DRIVER, A. *et al.* 2011. National Biodiversity Assessment 2011: an assessment of South Africa's biodiversity and ecosystems. Synthesis Report. SANBI and DEAT, Pretoria.

GERMISHUIZEN, G. & MEYER, N.L. (Eds). 2003. Plants of southern Africa: an annotated checklist. Strelitzia 14. NBI, Pretoria.

GERMISHUIZEN, G., MEYER, N.L. STEENKAMP, Y. & KIETH, M. (Eds). 2006. A checklist of South African plants. SABONET Report no 41. Pretoria

GIBBS RUSSELL, G.E. *et al.* 1990. Grasses of southern Africa. Memoir of the Botanical Survey of South Africa 58: 1 – 437.

GOLDING, J. (Ed.). 2002. Southern African Plant Red Data Lists. Southern African Botanical Diversity Network report no. 14. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria.

HENDERSON, L. 2001. Alien weeds and invasive plants. Plant Protection Research Institute Handbook no. 12, Agricultural Research Council, Pretoria.

IUCN. 2012. Red List of Threatened Species. IUCN Species Survival Commission, Cambridge Available: <http://www.iucnredlist.org/> (Accessed 03/03/2017).

KLOPPER, R.R. *et al.* 2006. Checklist of the flowering plants of Sub-Saharan Africa. An index of accepted names and synonyms. SA Botanical Diversity Network No. 42. SABONET, SANBI, Pretoria.

LOW, A & REBELO, A. 1998. Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Department of Environmental Affairs & Tourism, Pretoria.

MARNEWICK MD, RETIEF EF, THERON NT, WRIGHT DR, ANDERSON TA. (2015) Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas of South Africa. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.

MUCINA, L. & RUTHERFORD, M.C. 2012. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. SANBI, Pretoria.

NEM:BA. 2004. National Environmental Management Act: Biodiversity Act (No. 10 of 2004).

NEM:BA. 2011. National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection. Government Notice No. 1002, 9 December 2011.

NEM:BA. 2014. National Environmental Management Act: Biodiversity Act (No. 10 of 2004) – Draft Alien and Invasive Species lists, 2014. Government Gazette, No. 37320, 12 February 2014.

NFA. 1998. National Forests Act (No. 84 of 1998).

NFA. 2013. List of protected trees: Notice of the list of protected tree species under the National Forest Act (No. 84 of 1998). Government Gazette No 37037, Notice 877, 22 November 2013.

RAIMONDO, D. *et al.* (eds) 2009. Red lists of South African plants 2009. Strelitzia 25. SANBI, Pretoria.

RUTHERFORD, M.C. & WESTFALL, R.H. 1994. Biomes of southern Africa: an objective categorization. Memoir of the Botanical Survey of South Africa 63. 2nd edition. NBI, Pretoria.

VAN OUDTSHOORN, F. 1999. Guide to grasses of southern Africa. Briza, Pretoria.

VAN WYK, A.E. & SMITH, G.F. 1998. Regions of Floristic Endemism in southern Africa. Umdaus Press, Pretoria.

VAN WYK, A.E. & VAN WYK, P. 2013. Field guide to trees of southern Africa. 2nd edition. Struik, Cape Town.

VAN WYK, B-E, VAN OUDTSHOORN, B. & GERICKE, N. 1997. Medicinal plants of South Africa. Briza, Pretoria.

UNESCO/AETFAT/UNSO vegetation map of Africa. UNESCO, Paris.

11. Appendix 1 – List of Animal species

The following lists of animal species (birds and reptiles) may occur within the Heyserskand Loop:

Birds (Source: <http://sabap2.adu.org.za/> 09/06/2018):

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Apalis, Bar-throated	<i>Apalis thoracica</i>	-	-
Avocet, Pied	<i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i>	-	-
Babbler, Arrow-marked	<i>Turdoides jardineii</i>	-	-
Babbler, Southern Pied	<i>Turdoides bicolor</i>	-	-
Barbet, Acacia Pied	<i>Tricholaema leucomelas</i>	-	-
Barbet, Black-collared	<i>Lybius torquatus</i>	-	-
Barbet, Crested	<i>Trachyphonus vaillantii</i>	-	-
Bateleur	<i>Terathopius ecaudatus</i>	Endangered	Near Threatened
Batis, Chinspot	<i>Batis molitor</i>	-	-
Bee-eater, Blue-cheeked	<i>Merops persicus</i>	-	-
Bee-eater, European	<i>Merops apiaster</i>	-	-
Bee-eater, Little	<i>Merops pusillus</i>	-	-
Bee-eater, White-fronted	<i>Merops bullockoides</i>	-	-
Bishop, Southern Red	<i>Euplectes orix</i>	-	-
Bishop, Yellow-crowned	<i>Euplectes afer</i>	-	-
Bittern, Dwarf	<i>Ixobrychus sturmii</i>	-	-
Bittern, Little	<i>Ixobrychus minutus</i>	-	-
Bokmakierie	<i>Telophorus zeylonus</i>	-	-
Boubou, Southern	<i>Laniarius ferrugineus</i>	-	-
Brubru	<i>Nilaus afer</i>	-	-
Buffalo-weaver, Red-billed	<i>Bubalornis niger</i>	-	-
Bulbul, African Red-eyed	<i>Pycnonotus nigricans</i>	-	-
Bulbul, Dark-capped	<i>Pycnonotus tricolor</i>	-	-
Bunting, Cape	<i>Emberiza capensis</i>	-	-
Bunting, Cinnamon-breasted	<i>Emberiza tahapisi</i>	-	-
Bunting, Golden-breasted	<i>Emberiza flaviventris</i>	-	-
Bunting, Lark-like	<i>Emberiza impetuani</i>	-	-
Bush-shrike, Grey-headed	<i>Malaconotus blanchoti</i>	-	-
Bush-shrike, Orange-breasted	<i>Telophorus sulfureopectus</i>	-	-
Bustard, Kori	<i>Ardeotis kori</i>	Near Threatened	Near Threatened
Buttonquail, Kurrichane	<i>Turnix sylvaticus</i>	-	-
Buzzard, Jackal	<i>Buteo rufofuscus</i>	-	-
Buzzard, Lizard	<i>Kaupifalco</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
	<i>monogrammicus</i>		
Buzzard, Steppe	<i>Buteo vulpinus</i>	-	-
Camaroptera, Grey-backed	<i>Camaroptera brevicaudata</i>	-	-
Canary, Black-throated	<i>Crithagra atrogularis</i>	-	-
Canary, Yellow	<i>Crithagra flaviventris</i>	-	-
Canary, Yellow-fronted	<i>Crithagra mozambicus</i>	-	-
Chat, Anteating	<i>Myrmecocichla formicivora</i>	-	-
Chat, Familiar	<i>Cercomela familiaris</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Cloud	<i>Cisticola textrix</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Desert	<i>Cisticola aridulus</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Lazy	<i>Cisticola aberrans</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Levaillant's	<i>Cisticola tinniens</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Rattling	<i>Cisticola chiniana</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Tinkling	<i>Cisticola rufilatus</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Wing-snapping	<i>Cisticola ayresii</i>	-	-
Cisticola, Zitting	<i>Cisticola juncidis</i>	-	-
Cliff-chat, Mocking	<i>Thamnolaea cinnamomeiventris</i>	-	-
Cliff-swallow, South African	<i>Hirundo spilodera</i>	-	-
Coot, Red-knobbed	<i>Fulica cristata</i>	-	-
Cormorant, Reed	<i>Phalacrocorax africanus</i>	-	-
Cormorant, White-breasted	<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	-	-
Coucal, Burchell's	<i>Centropus burchellii</i>	-	-
Coucal, White-browed	<i>Centropus superciliosus</i>	-	-
Cursorer, Temminck's	<i>Cursorius temminckii</i>	-	-
Crake, African	<i>Crecopsis egregia</i>	-	-
Crake, Black	<i>Amaurornis flavirostris</i>	-	-
Crombec, Long-billed	<i>Sylvietta rufescens</i>	-	-
Crow, Pied	<i>Corvus albus</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, African	<i>Cuculus gularis</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, Black	<i>Cuculus clamosus</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, Diderick	<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, Great Spotted	<i>Clamator glandarius</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, Jacobin	<i>Clamator jacobinus</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, Klaas's	<i>Chrysococcyx klaas</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, Levaillant's	<i>Clamator levaillantii</i>	-	-
Cuckoo, Red-chested	<i>Cuculus solitarius</i>	-	-
Cuckoo-shrike, Black	<i>Campephaga flava</i>	-	-
Darter, African	<i>Anhinga rufa</i>	-	-
Dove, Laughing	<i>Streptopelia senegalensis</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Dove, Namaqua	<i>Oena capensis</i>	-	-
Dove, Red-eyed	<i>Streptopelia semitorquata</i>	-	-
Dove, Rock	<i>Columba livia</i>	-	-
Drongo, Fork-tailed	<i>Dicrurus adsimilis</i>	-	-
Duck, African Black	<i>Anas sparsa</i>	-	-
Duck, Comb	<i>Sarkidiornis melanotos</i>	-	-
Duck, Fulvous	<i>Dendrocygna bicolor</i>	-	-
Duck, White-backed	<i>Thalassornis leuconotus</i>	-	-
Duck, White-faced	<i>Dendrocygna viduata</i>	-	-
Duck, Yellow-billed	<i>Anas undulata</i>	-	-
Eagle, Booted	<i>Aquila pennatus</i>	-	-
Eagle, Martial	<i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i>	Endangered	Near Threatened
Eagle, Tawny	<i>Aquila rapax</i>	Endangered	Least Concerned
Eagle, Verreaux's	<i>Aquila verreauxii</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Eagle, Wahlberg's	<i>Aquila wahlbergi</i>	-	-
Eagle-owl, Spotted	<i>Bubo africanus</i>	-	-
Eagle-owl, Verreaux's	<i>Bubo lacteus</i>	-	-
Egret, Cattle	<i>Bubulcus ibis</i>	-	-
Egret, Great	<i>Egretta alba</i>	-	-
Egret, Little	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	-	-
Egret, Yellow-billed	<i>Egretta intermedia</i>	-	-
Eremomela, Burnt-necked	<i>Eremomela usticollis</i>	-	-
Eremomela, Yellow-bellied	<i>Eremomela icteropygialis</i>	-	-
Falcon, Amur	<i>Falco amurensis</i>	-	-
Falcon, Lanner	<i>Falco biarmicus</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Falcon, Peregrine	<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	-	-
Finch, Cuckoo	<i>Anomalospiza imberbis</i>	-	-
Finch, Cut-throat	<i>Amadina fasciata</i>	-	-
Finch, Red-headed	<i>Amadina erythrocephala</i>	-	-
Finch, Scaly-feathered	<i>Sporopipes squamifrons</i>	-	-
Finfoot, African	<i>Podica senegalensis</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Firefinch, African	<i>Lagonosticta rubricata</i>	-	-
Firefinch, Jameson's	<i>Lagonosticta rhodopareia</i>	-	-
Firefinch, Red-billed	<i>Lagonosticta senegala</i>	-	-
Fiscal, Common (Southern)	<i>Lanius collaris</i>	-	-
Fish-eagle, African	<i>Haliaeetus vocifer</i>	-	-
Flamingo, Greater	<i>Phoenicopterus ruber</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Flamingo, Lesser	<i>Phoenicopterus minor</i>	Near Threatened	Near Threatened
Flufftail, Buff-spotted	<i>Sarothrura elegans</i>	-	-
Flycatcher, Fairy	<i>Stenostira scita</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Flycatcher, Fiscal	<i>Sigelus silens</i>	-	-
Flycatcher, Marico	<i>Bradornis mariquensis</i>	-	-
Flycatcher, Pale	<i>Bradornis pallidus</i>	-	-
Flycatcher, Southern Black	<i>Melaenornis pammelaina</i>	-	-
Flycatcher, Spotted	<i>Muscicapa striata</i>	-	-
Francolin, Coqui	<i>Peliperdix coqui</i>	-	-
Francolin, Crested	<i>Dendroperdix sephaena</i>	-	-
Go-away-bird, Grey	<i>Corythaixoides concolor</i>	-	-
Goose, Egyptian	<i>Alopochen aegyptiacus</i>	-	-
Goose, Spur-winged	<i>Plectropterus gambensis</i>	-	-
Goshawk, Gabar	<i>Melierax gabar</i>	-	-
Goshawk, Southern Pale Chanting	<i>Melierax canorus</i>	-	-
Grass-owl, African	<i>Tyto capensis</i>	-	-
Grebe, Black-necked	<i>Podiceps nigricollis</i>	-	-
Grebe, Great Crested	<i>Podiceps cristatus</i>	-	-
Grebe, Little	<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	-	-
Greenbul, Yellow-bellied	<i>Chlorocichla flaviventris</i>	-	-
Green-pigeon, African	<i>Treron calvus</i>	-	-
Greenshank, Common	<i>Tringa nebularia</i>	-	-
Guineafowl, Helmeted	<i>Numida meleagris</i>	-	-
Gull, Grey-headed	<i>Larus cirrocephalus</i>	-	-
Hamerkop, Hamerkop	<i>Scopus umbretta</i>	-	-
Harrier-Hawk, African	<i>Polyboroides typus</i>	-	-
Hawk, African Cuckoo	<i>Aviceda cuculoides</i>	-	-
Hawk-eagle, African	<i>Aquila spilogaster</i>	-	-
Helmet-shrike, White-crested	<i>Prionops plumatus</i>	-	-
Heron, Black	<i>Egretta ardesiaca</i>	-	-
Heron, Black-headed	<i>Ardea melanocephala</i>	-	-
Heron, Goliath	<i>Ardea goliath</i>	-	-
Heron, Green-backed	<i>Butorides striata</i>	-	-
Heron, Grey	<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	-	-
Heron, Purple	<i>Ardea purpurea</i>	-	-
Heron, Squacco	<i>Ardeola ralloides</i>	-	-
Hobby, Eurasian	<i>Falco subbuteo</i>	-	-
Honeybird, Brown-backed	<i>Prodotiscus regulus</i>	-	-
Honeyguide, Greater	<i>Indicator indicator</i>	-	-
Honeyguide, Lesser	<i>Indicator minor</i>	-	-
Hoopoe, African	<i>Upupa africana</i>	-	-
Hornbill, African Grey	<i>Tockus nasutus</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Hornbill, Red-billed	<i>Tockus erythrorhynchus</i>	-	-
Hornbill, Southern Red-billed	<i>Tockus rufirostris</i>	-	-
Hornbill, Southern Yellow-billed	<i>Tockus leucomelas</i>	-	-
House-martin, Common	<i>Delichon urbicum</i>	-	-
Ibis, African Sacred	<i>Threskiornis aethiopicus</i>	-	-
Ibis, Glossy	<i>Plegadis falcinellus</i>	-	-
Ibis, Hageda	<i>Bostrychia hagedash</i>	-	-
Indigobird, Dusky	<i>Vidua funerea</i>	-	-
Indigobird, Purple	<i>Vidua purpurascens</i>	-	-
Indigobird, Village	<i>Vidua chalybeata</i>	-	-
Jacana, African	<i>Actophilornis africanus</i>	-	-
Kestrel, Greater	<i>Falco rupicoloides</i>	-	-
Kestrel, Lesser	<i>Falco naumanni</i>	-	-
Kestrel, Rock	<i>Falco rupicolus</i>	-	-
Kingfisher, Brown-hooded	<i>Halcyon albiventris</i>	-	-
Kingfisher, Giant	<i>Megaceryle maximus</i>	-	-
Kingfisher, Grey-headed	<i>Halcyon leucocephala</i>	-	-
Kingfisher, Half-collared	<i>Alcedo semitorquata</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Kingfisher, Malachite	<i>Alcedo cristata</i>	-	-
Kingfisher, Pied	<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	-	-
Kingfisher, Striped	<i>Halcyon chelicuti</i>	-	-
Kingfisher, Woodland	<i>Halcyon senegalensis</i>	-	-
Kite, Black	<i>Milvus migrans</i>	-	-
Kite, Black-shouldered	<i>Elanus caeruleus</i>	-	-
Kite, Yellow-billed	<i>Milvus aegyptius</i>	-	-
Korhaan, Northern Black	<i>Afrotis afraoides</i>	-	-
Korhaan, Red-crested	<i>Lophotis ruficrista</i>	-	-
Lapwing, African Wattled	<i>Vanellus senegallus</i>	-	-
Lapwing, Blacksmith	<i>Vanellus armatus</i>	-	-
Lapwing, Crowned	<i>Vanellus coronatus</i>	-	-
Lark, Eastern Clapper	<i>Mirafra fasciolata</i>	-	-
Lark, Eastern Long-billed	<i>Certhilauda semitorquata</i>	-	-
Lark, Fawn-coloured	<i>Calendulauda africanoides</i>	-	-
Lark, Flappet	<i>Mirafra rufocinnamomea</i>	-	-
Lark, Melodious	<i>Mirafra cheniana</i>	Least Concerned	Near Threatened
Lark, Monotonous	<i>Mirafra passerina</i>	-	-
Lark, Pink-billed	<i>Spizocorys conirostris</i>	-	-
Lark, Red-capped	<i>Calandrella cinerea</i>	-	-
Lark, Rufous-naped	<i>Mirafra africana</i>	-	-
Lark, Sabota	<i>Calendulauda sabota</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Lark, Spike-heeled	<i>Chersomanes albofasciata</i>	-	-
Longclaw, Cape	<i>Macronyx capensis</i>	-	-
Mannikin, Bronze	<i>Lonchura cucullatus</i>	-	-
Marsh-harrier, African	<i>Circus ranivorus</i>	-	-
Martin, Banded	<i>Riparia cincta</i>	-	-
Martin, Brown-throated	<i>Riparia paludicola</i>	-	-
Martin, Rock	<i>Hirundo fuligula</i>	-	-
Masked-weaver, Lesser	<i>Ploceus intermedius</i>	-	-
Masked-weaver, Southern	<i>Ploceus velatus</i>	-	-
Moorhen, Common	<i>Gallinula chloropus</i>	-	-
Mousebird, Red-faced	<i>Urocolius indicus</i>	-	-
Mousebird, Speckled	<i>Colius striatus</i>	-	-
Mousebird, White-backed	<i>Colius colius</i>	-	-
Myna, Common	<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	-	-
Neddicky, Neddicky	<i>Cisticola fulvicapilla</i>	-	-
Night-Heron, Black-crowned	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	-	-
Nightjar, Fiery-necked	<i>Caprimulgus pectoralis</i>	-	-
Nightjar, Freckled	<i>Caprimulgus tristigma</i>	-	-
Nightjar, Rufous-cheeked	<i>Caprimulgus rufigena</i>	-	-
Olive-pigeon, African	<i>Columba arquatrix</i>	-	-
Openbill, African	<i>Anastomus lamelligerus</i>	-	-
Oriole, Black-headed	<i>Oriolus larvatus</i>	-	-
Osprey, Osprey	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	-	-
Ostrich, Common	<i>Struthio camelus</i>	-	-
Owl, Barn	<i>Tyto alba</i>	-	-
Owl, Marsh	<i>Asio capensis</i>	-	-
Owlet, Pearl-spotted	<i>Glaucidium perlatum</i>	-	-
Oxpecker, Red-billed	<i>Buphagus erythrorhynchus</i>	-	-
Palm-swift, African	<i>Cypsiurus parvus</i>	-	-
Paradise-flycatcher, African	<i>Terpsiphone viridis</i>	-	-
Paradise-whydah, Long-tailed	<i>Vidua paradisaea</i>	-	-
Parakeet, Rose-ringed	<i>Psittacula krameri</i>	-	-
Parrot, Meyer's	<i>Poicephalus meyeri</i>	-	-
Peacock, Common	<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	-	-
Pelican, Pink-backed	<i>Pelecanus rufescens</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Penduline-tit, Cape	<i>Anthoscopus minutus</i>	-	-
Petronia, Yellow-throated	<i>Petronia superciliaris</i>	-	-
Pigeon, Speckled	<i>Columba guinea</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Pipit, African	<i>Anthus cinnamomeus</i>	-	-
Pipit, Buffy	<i>Anthus vaalensis</i>	-	-
Pipit, Bushveld	<i>Anthus caffer</i>	-	-
Pipit, Long-billed	<i>Anthus similis</i>	-	-
Pipit, Plain-backed	<i>Anthus leucophrys</i>	-	-
Pipit, Striped	<i>Anthus lineiventris</i>	-	-
Plover, Common Ringed	<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>	-	-
Plover, Kittlitz's	<i>Charadrius pecuarius</i>	-	-
Plover, Three-banded	<i>Charadrius tricollaris</i>	-	-
Pochard, Southern	<i>Netta erythrophthalma</i>	-	-
Prinia, Black-chested	<i>Prinia flavicans</i>	-	-
Prinia, Tawny-flanked	<i>Prinia subflava</i>	-	-
Puffback, Black-backed	<i>Dryoscopus cubla</i>	-	-
Pygmy-Kingfisher, African	<i>Ispidina picta</i>	-	-
Pytilia, Green-winged	<i>Pytilia melba</i>	-	-
Quail, Common	<i>Coturnix coturnix</i>	-	-
Quail, Harlequin	<i>Coturnix delegorguei</i>	-	-
Quailfinch, African	<i>Ortygospiza atricollis</i>	-	-
Quelea, Red-billed	<i>Quelea quelea</i>	-	-
Reed-warbler, African	<i>Acrocephalus baeticatus</i>	-	-
Reed-warbler, Great	<i>Acrocephalus arundinaceus</i>	-	-
Robin-chat, Cape	<i>Cossypha caffra</i>	-	-
Robin-chat, White-browed	<i>Cossypha heuglini</i>	-	-
Robin-chat, White-throated	<i>Cossypha humeralis</i>	-	-
Rock-thrush, Cape	<i>Monticola rupestris</i>	-	-
Rock-thrush, Short-toed	<i>Monticola brevipes</i>	-	-
Roller, European	<i>Coracias garrulus</i>	Near Threatened	Near Threatened
Roller, Lilac-breasted	<i>Coracias caudatus</i>	-	-
Roller, Purple	<i>Coracias naevius</i>	-	-
Ruff, Ruff	<i>Philomachus pugnax</i>	-	-
Rush-warbler, Little	<i>Bradypterus baboecala</i>	-	-
Sandgrouse, Double-banded	<i>Pterocles bicinctus</i>	-	-
Sandgrouse, Yellow-throated	<i>Pterocles gutturalis</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Sandpiper, Common	<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	-	-
Sandpiper, Curlew	<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>	-	-
Sandpiper, Marsh	<i>Tringa stagnatilis</i>	-	-
Sandpiper, Wood	<i>Tringa glareola</i>	-	-
Scimitarbill, Common	<i>Rhinopomastus cyanomelas</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Scops-owl, African	<i>Otus senegalensis</i>	-	-
Scops-owl, Southern White-faced	<i>Ptilopus granti</i>	-	-
Scrub-robin, Kalahari	<i>Cercotrichas paena</i>	-	-
Scrub-robin, White-browed	<i>Cercotrichas leucophrys</i>	-	-
Secretarybird	<i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i>	Vulnerable	Vulnerable
Seedeater, Streaky-headed	<i>Crithagra gularis</i>	-	-
Shelduck, South African	<i>Tadorna cana</i>	-	-
Shikra, Shikra	<i>Accipiter badius</i>	-	-
Shoveler, Cape	<i>Anas smithii</i>	-	-
Shrike, Crimson-breasted	<i>Laniarius atrococcineus</i>	-	-
Shrike, Lesser Grey	<i>Lanius minor</i>	-	-
Shrike, Magpie	<i>Corvinella melanoleuca</i>	-	-
Shrike, Red-backed	<i>Lanius collurio</i>	-	-
Shrike, Southern White-crowned	<i>Eurocephalus anguitimens</i>	-	-
Snake-eagle, Black-chested	<i>Circaetus pectoralis</i>	-	-
Snake-eagle, Brown	<i>Circaetus cinereus</i>	-	-
Snipe, African	<i>Gallinago nigripennis</i>	-	-
Sparrow, Cape	<i>Passer melanurus</i>	-	-
Sparrow, Great	<i>Passer motitensis</i>	-	-
Sparrow, House	<i>Passer domesticus</i>	-	-
Sparrow, Southern Grey-headed	<i>Passer diffusus</i>	-	-
Sparrowhawk, Black	<i>Accipiter melanoleucus</i>	-	-
Sparrowhawk, Little	<i>Accipiter minullus</i>	-	-
Sparrowhawk, Ovambo	<i>Accipiter ovampensis</i>	-	-
Sparrowlark, Chestnut-backed	<i>Eremopterix leucotis</i>	-	-
Sparrow-weaver, White-browed	<i>Plocepasser mahali</i>	-	-
Spoonbill, African	<i>Platalea alba</i>	-	-
Spurfowl, Natal	<i>Pternistis natalensis</i>	-	-
Spurfowl, Swainson's	<i>Pternistis swainsonii</i>	-	-
Starling, Burchell's	<i>Lamprotornis australis</i>	-	-
Starling, Cape Glossy	<i>Lamprotornis nitens</i>	-	-
Starling, Pied	<i>Spreo bicolor</i>	-	-
Starling, Red-winged	<i>Onychognathus morio</i>	-	-
Starling, Violet-backed	<i>Cinnyricinclus leucogaster</i>	-	-
Starling, Wattled	<i>Creatophora cinerea</i>	-	-
Stilt, Black-winged	<i>Himantopus himantopus</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Stint, Little	<i>Calidris minuta</i>	-	-
Stonechat, African	<i>Saxicola torquatus</i>	-	-
Stork, Abdim's	<i>Ciconia abdimii</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Stork, Black	<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Stork, Marabou	<i>Leptoptilos crumeniferus</i>	Near Threatened	Least Concerned
Stork, White	<i>Ciconia ciconia</i>	-	-
Stork, Yellow-billed	<i>Mycteria ibis</i>	Endangered	Least Concerned
Sunbird, Amethyst	<i>Chalcomitra amethystina</i>	-	-
Sunbird, Marico	<i>Cinnyris mariquensis</i>	-	-
Sunbird, White-bellied	<i>Cinnyris talatala</i>	-	-
Swallow, Barn	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	-	-
Swallow, Greater Striped	<i>Hirundo cucullata</i>	-	-
Swallow, Lesser Striped	<i>Hirundo abyssinica</i>	-	-
Swallow, Pearl-breasted	<i>Hirundo dimidiata</i>	-	-
Swallow, Red-breasted	<i>Hirundo semirufa</i>	-	-
Swallow, White-throated	<i>Hirundo albigularis</i>	-	-
Swampphen, African Purple	<i>Porphyrio madagascariensis</i>	-	-
Swamp-warbler, Lesser	<i>Acrocephalus gracilirostris</i>	-	-
Swift, African Black	<i>Apus barbatus</i>	-	-
Swift, Alpine	<i>Tachymarptis melba</i>	-	-
Swift, Common	<i>Apus apus</i>	-	-
Swift, Horus	<i>Apus horus</i>	-	-
Swift, Little	<i>Apus affinis</i>	-	-
Swift, White-rumped	<i>Apus caffer</i>	-	-
Tchagra, Black-crowned	<i>Tchagra senegalus</i>	-	-
Tchagra, Brown-crowned	<i>Tchagra australis</i>	-	-
Teal, Cape	<i>Anas capensis</i>	-	-
Teal, Red-billed	<i>Anas erythrorhyncha</i>	-	-
Tern, Caspian	<i>Sterna caspia</i>	Vulnerable	Least Concerned
Tern, Whiskered	<i>Chlidonias hybrida</i>	-	-
Tern, White-winged	<i>Chlidonias leucopterus</i>	-	-
Thick-knee, Spotted	<i>Burhinus capensis</i>	-	-
Thick-knee, Water	<i>Burhinus vermiculatus</i>	-	-
Thrush, Groundscraper	<i>Psophocichla litsipsirupa</i>	-	-
Thrush, Karoo	<i>Turdus smithi</i>	-	-
Thrush, Kurrichane	<i>Turdus libonyanus</i>	-	-
Tinkerbird, Yellow-fronted	<i>Pogoniulus chrysoconus</i>	-	-
Tit, Ashy	<i>Parus cinerascens</i>	-	-
Tit, Southern Black	<i>Parus niger</i>	-	-
Tit-babbler, Chestnut-vented	<i>Parisoma subcaeruleum</i>	-	-

Common name	Taxon name	Conservation status	
		SA Red Data List	IUCN Red Data List
Tit-flycatcher, Grey	<i>Myioparus plumbeus</i>	-	-
Turtle-dove, Cape	<i>Streptopelia capicola</i>	-	-
Vulture, Cape	<i>Gyps coprotheres</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
Vulture, Lappet-faced	<i>Torgos tracheliotus</i>	Endangered	Vulnerable
Vulture, White-backed	<i>Gyps africanus</i>	Endangered	Endangered
Wagtail, African Pied	<i>Motacilla aguimp</i>	-	-
Wagtail, Cape	<i>Motacilla capensis</i>	-	-
Warbler, Icterine	<i>Hippolais icterina</i>	-	-
Warbler, Marsh	<i>Acrocephalus palustris</i>	-	-
Warbler, Sedge	<i>Acrocephalus schoenobaenus</i>	-	-
Warbler, Willow	<i>Phylloscopus trochilus</i>	-	-
Waxbill, Black-faced	<i>Estrilda erythronotos</i>	-	-
Waxbill, Blue	<i>Uraeginthus angolensis</i>	-	-
Waxbill, Common	<i>Estrilda astrild</i>	-	-
Waxbill, Orange-breasted	<i>Amandava subflava</i>	-	-
Waxbill, Violet-eared	<i>Granatina granatina</i>	-	-
Weaver, Cape	<i>Ploceus capensis</i>	-	-
Weaver, Red-headed	<i>Anaplectes rubriceps</i>	-	-
Weaver, Thick-billed	<i>Amblyospiza albifrons</i>	-	-
Weaver, Village	<i>Ploceus cucullatus</i>	-	-
Wheatear, Capped	<i>Oenanthe pileata</i>	-	-
Wheatear, Mountain	<i>Oenanthe monticola</i>	-	-
White-eye, Cape	<i>Zosterops virens</i>	-	-
Whitethroat, Common	<i>Sylvia communis</i>	-	-
Whydah, Pin-tailed	<i>Vidua macroura</i>	-	-
Whydah, Shaft-tailed	<i>Vidua regia</i>	-	-
Widowbird, Long-tailed	<i>Euplectes progne</i>	-	-
Widowbird, Red-collared	<i>Euplectes ardens</i>	-	-
Widowbird, White-winged	<i>Euplectes albonotatus</i>	-	-
Wood-dove, Emerald-spotted	<i>Turtur chalcospilos</i>	-	-
Wood-hoopoe, Green	<i>Phoeniculus purpureus</i>	-	-
Woodpecker, Bearded	<i>Dendropicops namaquus</i>	-	-
Woodpecker, Bennett's	<i>Campethera bennettii</i>	-	-
Woodpecker, Cardinal	<i>Dendropicops fuscescens</i>	-	-
Woodpecker, Golden-tailed	<i>Campethera abingoni</i>	-	-
Wren-warbler, Barred	<i>Calamonastes fasciolatus</i>	-	-
Wryneck, Red-throated	<i>Jynx ruficollis</i>	-	-

Reptiles (Source: Marnewick *et al*; 2015):

Reptile	Common name
---------	-------------

Reptile	Common name
<i>Psammobates oculiferus</i>	Kalahari tent tortoise
<i>Atractaspis duerdeni</i>	Duerden's burrowing asp
<i>Leptotyphlops distanti</i>	Distant's thread snake
<i>Prosymna bivittata</i>	Two-striped shovel-snout
<i>Aspidelaps scutatus</i>	Shield-nose snake
<i>Acontias gracilicauda</i>	Thin-tailed legless skink
<i>Python sebae natalensis</i>	Southern African python

12. Appendix 2 – List of Plant species

The following list of plant species may occur within the Heyserskand Loop (Source: <http://posa.sanbi.org/searchsp.php>; 08/05/2018):

Family	Species	Threat status	Growth forms
ACANTHACEAE	<i>Barleria macrostegia</i>	LC	Dwarf shrub, shrub
	<i>Crabbea angustifolia</i>	LC	Herb
	<i>Justicia anagalloides</i>	LC	Dwarf shrub, herb
	<i>Justicia betonica</i>	LC	Dwarf shrub, herb
ANACARDIACEAE	<i>Searsia leptodictya</i>	LC	Shrub, tree
	<i>Searsia lancea</i>	LC	Shrub, tree
APOCYNACEAE	<i>Sarcostemma viminale</i>	LC	Climber, succulent
ASPARAGACEAE	<i>Asparagus buehneri</i>	LC	Shrub
ASPHODELACEAE	<i>Aloe greatheadii</i>	Important species	Succulent
ASTERACEAE	<i>Felicia clavipilosa</i>	LC	Shrub
	<i>Felicia muricata</i>	LC	Shrub
	<i>Helichrysum rugulosum</i>	LC	Herb
CAESALPINIACEAE	<i>Burkea africana</i>	LC	Tree
COMBRETACEAE	<i>Combretum zeyheri</i>	LC	Tree
	<i>Terminalia sericea</i>	LC	Tree
COMMELINACEAE	<i>Commelina africana</i>	LC	Herb
CONVOLVULACEAE	<i>Ipomoea magnusiana</i>	LC	Herb
EBENACEAE	<i>Euclea crispa</i>	LC	Shrub, tree
FABACEAE	<i>Acacia tortilis</i>	LC	Shrub, tree
	<i>Acacia burkei</i>	LC	Tree
	<i>Acacia nigrescens</i>	LC	Shrub, tree
	<i>Indigofera vicioides</i>	LC	Herb
MALVACEAE	<i>Grewia monticola</i>	LC	Shrub, tree
	<i>Hermannia lanceifolia</i>	LC	Climber, herb
	<i>Waltheria indica</i>	LC	Herb
OCHNACEAE	<i>Ochna pulchra</i>	LC	Tree
PEDALIACEAE	<i>Dicerocaryum senecioides</i>	LC	Herb
POACEAE	<i>Brachiaria nigropedata</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Digitaria eriantha</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Elionurus muticus</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Eragrostis racemosa</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Eragrostis rigidior</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Hyparrhenia anamesa</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Hyperthelia dissoluta</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Melinis repens</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Panicum maximum</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Schmidtia pappophoroides</i>	LC	Graminoid
	<i>Themeda triandra</i>	LC	Graminoid

Family	Species	Threat status	Growth forms
	<i>Trachypogon spicatus</i>	LC	Graminoid
RUBIACEAE	<i>Agathisanthemum bojeri</i>	LC	Herb, shrub
SOLANACEAE	<i>Datura ferox</i>	Alien invasive	Herb, shrub
STRYCHNACEAE	<i>Strychnos pungens</i>	LC	Shrub, tree
VERBENACEAE	<i>Lantana camara</i>	Alien invasive	Shrub
	<i>Verbena bonariensis</i>	Alien invasive	Herb