



3Foxes Biodiversity Solutions
23 De Villiers Road
Kommetjie
7975

Cape Environmental Assessment Practitioners (Pty) Ltd.
17 Progress Street
George
PO Box 2070
George
6530
ATT: Dale Holder

18 September 2020


RE: PROPOSED BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM FOR THE POSTMASBURG SOLAR PV ENERGY FACILITY 2 ON THE REMAINDER OF FARM KAPSTEWEL 436, POSTMASBURG, NORTHERN CAPE

Cape Environmental Assessment Practitioners (Cape EAPrac) have been appointed by Postmasburg Solar PV Energy Facility 2 (Pty) Ltd. to apply for an amendment to the EA for the authorised project. The applicant intends to amend the EA to make provision for a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) within the authorised footprint, adjacent to the on-site sub-station. The applicant intends including provision for a BESS with an extent of up to 3.9 hectares within the authorised footprint. As part of the amendment application, Cape EAPrac has requested input from 3Foxes Biodiversity Solutions to provide an assessment of the proposed changes in the context of the original Ecological Impact Assessment and to determine any novel impacts resulting from the proposed amendment. The request includes the following:

1. Whether the inclusion of a BESS adjacent to the on-site substation will change the nature or significance of any of the impacts as assessed in the original ecological study.
2. Whether the BESS is likely to result in any additional impacts that were not previously assessed.
3. Whether any additional management outcomes or mitigation measures would be applicable

1.1.1 Change in Impact Due to the Proposed Inclusion of the BESS

The location of the BESS within the previously assessed footprint area of the project as shown in the image below. The BESS is located adjacent to the facility substation and is within a medium - low sensitivity area with no features of concern in close proximity to the BESS.



In the original ecological assessment, it was assumed that the habitat within the facility would be largely lost in its entirety to the development. As such, the addition of the BESS within the assessed footprint would not increase direct habitat loss. In terms of additional risks, there do not appear to be any significant additional risks to ecology associated with the BESS. The original impacts associated with the Postmasburg Solar Energy Facility 2 are illustrated in the Table below.

Based on the footprint and technical specifications of the BESS as provided for this statement, there are no changes to the assessed impacts that are warranted based on the inclusion of the BESS into the Postmasburg Solar Energy Facility 2.

Table 1. The pre- and post-mitigation ecological impacts associated with the Postmasburg Solar Energy Facility 2 as originally assessed which remain applicable.

Phase & Impact	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Planning & Construction		
Impacts on vegetation and listed or protected plant species resulting from construction activities	Medium Negative	Medium-Low Negative
Direct Faunal Impacts During Construction	Medium Negative	Medium-Low Negative
Avifaunal impacts due to habitat loss and construction activities	Medium Negative	Medium-Low Negative
Soil Erosion Risk During Construction	Medium Negative	Low Negative
Operation		
Alien Plant Invasion Risk During Operation	Medium Negative	Low Negative

Soil Erosion Risk During Operation	Medium Negative	Low Negative
Faunal impacts during operation:	Medium-Low Negative	Low-Negative
Avifaunal impacts due to operational activities	Medium-Low Negative	Low Negative

Cumulative Impacts

Impact on broad-scale ecological processes due to cumulative loss and fragmentation of habitat	Medium-Low Negative	Low Negative
--	---------------------	--------------

1.1.2 Potential for Novel Impacts Associated with the BESS

The BESS consists of battery storage units in containers and would not change the nature of impacts associated with the solar facility. However, the BESS would include cooling systems which presumably would include fans that would generate some noise above that which would have occurred at the substation alone. As such, the BESS may increase noise associated with the facility to a small degree. However, since this is likely to be of a low intensity, this is not seen as adding significant impact to the existing development. Overall, there are no additional or novel impacts associated with the BESS that were not already assessed for the existing solar facility.

1.1.3 Additional Mitigation Measures

No additional mitigation measures or changes to the EMPr mitigation measures would be required in terms of this amendment, as no significant change to impacts or new impacts will occur. All the original avoidance and mitigation measures as indicated in the original botanical and faunal study are still relevant and applicable to the amended layout and must be implemented.

Prepared by Simon Todd

18 September 2020



Pr.Sci.Nat
SACNASP 400425/11.