

APPENDIX F:

Pre-Application Consultation with the Competent Authority





APPENDIX F.1: PRE-APPLICATION MEETING REQUEST FORM	2
APPENDIX F.2: PRE-APPLICATION MEETING PRESENTATION	34
APPENDIX F.3: PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES	70
APPENDIX F.4: SUBMISSION OF THE PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES TO THE DFFE	80
APPENDIX F.5: DFFE APPROVAL OF THE PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES	84
APPENDIX F.6: DFFE REFUSAL OF THE COMBINATION AND MULTIPLE EA REQUEST	88

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX F.1: PRE-APPLICATION MEETING REQUEST FORM

App. F.1/...

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



forestry, fisheries & the environment Department: Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING REQUEST

Request for a pre-application meeting in terms of Regulation 8 of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended and the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014, as amended (the Regulations)

PROJECT TITLE

JECT TITLE <u>PROJECT 1:</u> Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Sol
Photovoltaic (PV) Facility and associated infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 1), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 2: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associate
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 2), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 3: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 150 MWac Solar PV Facility and associate
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 3), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 4: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 4), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 5: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 5), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 6: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 6), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 7: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 150 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 7), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 8: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 150 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 8), near De Aar, Northern Cape
<u>PROJECT 9:</u> Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 150 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 9), near De Aar, Northern Cape
<u>PROJECT 10:</u> Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 150 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 10), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 11: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 11), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 12: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 12), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 13: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 13), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 14: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 14), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 15: Scoping and EIA Process for the Proposed Development of a 100 MWac Solar PV Facility and associat
infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 15), near De Aar, Northern Cape
PROJECT 16: Basic Assessment (BA) for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132
Overhead Power Line from the Kudu Solar Facility 1 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmissi
Substation.
PROJECT 17: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Li
from the Kudu Solar Facility 2 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
PROJECT 18: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Li
from the Kudu Solar Facility 3 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
PROJECT 19: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Li
from the Kudu Solar Facility 4 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
PROJECT 20: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Li
from the Kudu Solar Facility 5 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
PROJECT 21: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Li
from the Kudu Solar Facility 6 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.

Page 1 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

- <u>PROJECT 22:</u> BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line
- from the Kudu Solar Facility 7 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 23:</u> BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line
- from the Kudu Solar Facility 8 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 24</u>: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line from the Kudu Solar Facility 9 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 25:</u> BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line
- from the Kudu Solar Facility 10 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 26:</u> BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line from the Kudu Solar Facility 11 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 27</u>: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line from the Kudu Solar Facility 12 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 28</u>: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line from the Kudu Solar Facility 13 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 29</u>: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line from the Kudu Solar Facility 14 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- PROJECT 30: BA for the Proposed Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station, and a 132 kV Overhead Power Line from the Kudu Solar Facility 15 to the proposed Collector Station or the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
- <u>PROJECT 31:</u> BA for the Proposed Development of an independent 400/132kV kV Main Transmission Substation (MTS), including
 associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with
 transformer bay(s).
- <u>PROJECT 32</u>: BA for the Proposed Development of a 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS

<u>Note from the CSIR</u>: This pre-application meeting request form is being submitted to discuss 32 projects (as listed above). Note that all 32 projects will be discussed in one pre-application meeting in order to maximise on efficiency. A request for combination of the projects, in terms of Regulation 11 of the 2014 National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended) (NEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (as amended), and the issuing of multiple Environmental Authorisation (EAs) (should they be granted) in terms of Regulation 25 (1) and (2) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended) will be discussed with the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) at the pre-application meeting. It is proposed to request for 32 EAs (should they be granted) for all of the projects listed above. Based on the outcome of the pre-application meeting and request for combination of reporting and multiple EAs, the required number of Application Forms for EA will be submitted to the DFFE once the projects commence. Note that the same process was recently followed by the CSIR for many other renewable energy projects, and this was accepted by the DFFE.

Kindly note the following:

- 1. This form must always be used for pre-application meeting requests where this Department is the Competent Authority.
- This application form is current as of April 2021. It is the responsibility of the Applicant / Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to ascertain whether subsequent versions of the form have been published or produced by the Competent Authority. The latest available Departmental templates are available at https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms.
- 3. The onus on Applicant/EAP to determine all applicable listed activities that would require Environmental Authorisation prior to the commencement of the construction activities. Should any revision of your development comprise any other activities that constitute a listed activity/ies as defined in GN R983, R984 and R98 of 04 December 2014, as amended, it must also form part of the Application for Environmental Authorisation.
- 4. Be reminded that it is not compulsory in terms of the EIA Regulations that a pre-application meeting must take place prior to an application being lodged with the Department.
- 5. This form must be lodged with the Department at least one (1) month prior to the requested meeting date.
- 6. Please note that the proposed date and time will be confirmed prior to the meeting.
- 7. A detailed motivation and agenda must be appended to this meeting request.
- 8. This form must be marked "for Attention: Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations" and submitted to the Department via email.

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 2 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

- 9. All fields are compulsory.
- 10. The required information must be typed within the spaces provided in the form. The sizes of the spaces provided are not necessarily indicative of the amount of information to be provided. Spaces are provided in tabular format and will extend automatically when each space is filled with typing. A legible font type and size must be used when completing the form. The font size should not be smaller than 10pt (e.g. Arial 10).
- 11. Note that the EAP is required to submit minutes of the meeting to the Department for approval as per the timeframes agreed to in the meeting.
- 12. The Department reserves the right to refuse the pre-application meeting based on the information provided in this request.

Departmental Details

Online Submission: EIAapplications@environment.gov.za

Please read the process for uploading files to determine how files are to submitted to this Department.

Physical address: Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment Attention: Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations Environment House 473 Steve Biko Road Arcadia

Queries must be directed to the Directorate: Coordination, Strategic Planning and Support at: Email: EIAAdmin@environment.gov.za

<u>Note from the CSIR</u>: The template of this form was downloaded from the DFFE website in April 2022. The template noted "Pre-Application Meeting Request – June 2020" in the footer. We have updated this to "Pre-Application Meeting Request – April 2021" as per point 2 above.

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 3 of 31

1. APPLICANT CONTACT DETAILS

Name of the Applicant:	 <u>PROJECT 1:</u> Kudu Solar Facility 1 (Pty) Ltd
	 <u>PROJECT 2</u>: Kudu Solar Facility 2 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 3: Kudu Solar Facility 3 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 4: Kudu Solar Facility 4 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 5: Kudu Solar Facility 5 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 6: Kudu Solar Facility 6 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 7: Kudu Solar Facility 7 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 8: Kudu Solar Facility 8 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 9: Kudu Solar Facility 9 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 10: Kudu Solar Facility 10 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 11: Kudu Solar Facility 11 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 12: Kudu Solar Facility 12 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 13: Kudu Solar Facility 13 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 14: Kudu Solar Facility 14 (Pty) Ltd
	 PROJECT 15: Kudu Solar Facility 15 (Pty) Ltd
	 <u>PROJECTS 16 - 32</u>: ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
RSA Identity/ Passport	Not Applicable
Number:	
Name of contact person for	Robert Invernizzi
applicant (if other):	
RSA Identity/ Passport	7611035145082
Number:	
Responsible position, e.g.	General Manager
Director, CEO, etc.:	
Company/ Trading name (if	Not Applicable
any):	
Company Registration	2011/135507/07
Number:	
BBBEE status:	Not Applicable
Physical address:	Unit B1, Mayfair Square, Century Way, Century City, Cape Town, 7441
Postal address:	Unit B1, Mayfair Square, Century Way, Century City, Cape Town
Postal code:	7441 Cell: 073 265 8575
Telephone:	021 276 3620 Fax: 086 595 4668
E-mail:	Rob.Invernizzi@abo-wind.com
	Du-toit.malherbe@abo-wind.com

2. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER CONTACT DETAILS

Company of Environmental Assessment Practitioner:	Council for Scientific and Indu	strial Resea	arch (CSIR)		
B-BBEE	Contribution level (indicate 1	1	Percenta	age	135%
	to 8 or non-compliant)		Procurer	nent	
	1841 B		recogniti	on	
EAP name:	Paul Lochner				
EAP Qualifications:	 B.Sc. Civil Engineering (a 	awarded wit	n Honours),	University of	Cape Town
	 M. Phil. Environmental So 	cience, Univ	ersity of Cap	be Town	
Professional	Registered EAP (2019/745) with the	e Environm	ental Asses	ssment Practitioners
affiliation/registration:	Association of South Africa (E	APASA)			
Physical address:	11 Jan Celliers Street, Stellen	bosch, 759	9		
Postal address:	PO Box 320, Stellenbosch				
Postal code:	7599	Ce	l:	084 442 36	546
Telephone:	021 888 2486	Fa	C	021 888 26	593
E-mail:	PLochner@csir.co.za				
	RAbed@csir.co.za				

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 4 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

3. COMPETENT AUTHORITY

Identified Competent Authority to consider the application: Reason(s) in terms of S24C of NEMA: National Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE)

The National DFFE, based in Pretoria, will be the Competent Authority (CA). This is based on a directive that was issued by the Department of Minerals and Energy in 2009 indicating that all energy-related Environmental Assessments must be fast-tracked and that the National Department of Environmental Affairs (now the DFFE) must be the CA. This is also based on the Government Gazette 40110, Government Notice 779, dated 1 July 2016, published by the then Minister of Environmental Affairs, which stipulates that the Minister of Environmental Affairs (now Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) is the CA for the consideration and processing of EAs and amendments thereto for activities related to the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) 2010 – 2030, and any updates thereto.

4. MEETING DETAILS

Purpose of the meeting request	The purpose of the meeting request is to introduce and discuss the following proposed 32 projects with the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) and to obtain confirmation on the proposed scope, approach, and schedule of the Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Basic Assessment (BA) processes to be undertaken. Refer to Pages 1 and 2 of this Pre-Application Request Form for the titles of the proposed projects.
	In particular, the purpose of the pre-application meeting includes the following:
	 To provide the DFFE with an overview of the project description for the abovementioned projects; To discuss and confirm the specialist assessments and compliance statements to be undertaken; To discuss and confirm the approach towards the specialist reporting; To discuss and confirm the approach towards the BA and Scoping and EIA reporting, including a request for combination of the projects, in terms of Regulation 11 of the 2014 National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 or 1998, as amended) (NEMA) EIA Regulations (as amended) and the issuing of multiple (i.e. 32) Environmental Authorisation (EAs) (should they be granted) in terms or Regulations (25 (1) and (2) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended); To confirm the approach towards including Lithium Ion or Redox Flow Battery Energy Storage Systems in the project description; To discuss the proposed Public Participation Plan (PPP which will be submitted to the DFFE for approval; and To discuss and confirm the proposed project schedule and overall process for the EIAs and BAs, including the applicable Listed Activities and Cumulative Impact Assessment approach (i.e. assess cumulative Impact Based on a 30 km buffer and based on Renewable Energy projects with a positive EA issued at the start of this BA and EIA (i.e. March 2022)).

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 5 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Any advice requested before from the Department on this project i.e. from IQ or via email (attached response received)	No 🗸	
Applicant Category	Application by Parastatal Organ of State	
Application type	Private Individual/Parties Application for EA	✓ ✓
	Application for Integrated EA Application for Amendment EA	

Proposed meeting date and time-slot. Provide three alternative dates and time-slots (note that the Department requires at least a month due to logistical arrangements)

Note from the CSIR: The CSIR acknowledges that the DFFE has a considerable number of applications to process. We are humbly requesting alternative dates prior to the one-month clause above based on the strategic nature of the project and the approaching Bidding Window of the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP).

Date	Time-slot	
	Start time	End time
1. 11 April 2022	10H00	12H00
2. 14 April 2022	10H00	12H00
3. 19 April 2022	10H00	12H00
Duration of the meeting	2 Hours	
Estimated number of people attending meeting	5 to 6	

Please attach a proposed agenda as **APPENDIX 1**. If the Applicant or EAP intends to discuss several projects in one meeting, separate agendas must be drafted for each proposed project and the project details for each project. Please note that a detailed agenda is required.

Note from the CSIR: This pre-application meeting request form is being submitted to discuss 32 projects (as listed above). Note that all 32 projects will be discussed in one pre-application meeting in order to maximise on efficiency. A request for combination of the projects, in terms of Regulation 11 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended), and the issuing of multiple (i.e. 32) EAs (should they be granted) in terms of Regulations 25 (1) and (2) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended), will be discussed with the DFFE at the pre-application meeting. Based on the outcome of the pre-application meeting and request for combination and multiple EAs, the required number of Application Forms for EA will be submitted to the DFFE once the projects commence. Note that the same process was recently followed by the CSIR for many other renewable energy projects, and this was accepted by the DFFE. The proposed Solar PV projects have the same project components, and they take place on adjacent farm properties. The same applies to the Electrical Grid Infrastructure (EGI) projects. Based on this, one single combined, detailed proposed agenda for the pre-application meeting has been compiled and included in **Appendix 1** of this pre-application meeting request form.

5. PROJECT DETAILS

Project	ABO Wind renewable energies (PTY) Ltd ¹ (hereinafter referred to as ABO Wind), is proposing to
description	develop the Kudu Solar Photovoltaic (PV) cluster and associated Electricity Grid Infrastructure (EGI)
	near De Aar in the Northern Cape. The Kudu project will entail the proposed development of up to 15
	Solar PV Facilities, as well as associated infrastructure and EGI. The proposed projects will make use
	of PV solar technology to generate electricity from energy derived from the sun. Each solar PV facility
	will have a range of associated infrastructure, including, but not limited to, an on-site substation

¹ ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd is the holding company. Various subsidiary companies will serve as the Project Applicants. Details of the subsidiary companies have been indicated in Section 1 of this Pre-Application Meeting Request Form and will be detailed in the Application Forms for Environmental Authorisation.

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 6 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

	amploy	battery energy storage systems (PESS) and is proposed to ap	anost to on o	victing 100 k	
		e via dedicated 132 kV power lines		inect to an e	KISUNG 400 K	
ge fai (E sp	eneratior icilities w EA) (i.e.	ated that each PV facility will have n capacity of 1 750 MWac. There w would be its own project and wou Kudu PV1 to Kudu PV15). The sa roject Applicant, as detailed below	will be 15 x "Up to 150 MWac" Id require its own, separate E ame applies to the EGI projec	PV projects. Invironmenta ts. Each proj	Each of the P I Authorisatic ect will have	
Th	 PROJECTS 1 TO 15: The proposed development of 15 x Up to 150 MWac Solar PV Facilities 					
	and a North Proce PRO. at eac kV O ¹ or up Corric PRO. Subsi feede propo PRO. Hydra within	JECTS 1 TO 15: The proposed of associated infrastructure (i.e. Kudi ern Cape. These projects require asses. JECTS 16 TO 30: The proposed of the On-Site Substation Complex at verhead Power Lines running from to the proposed Main Transmiss dors to be assessed. These project JECT 31: The proposed develop tation (MTS), including associate or bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 k used within the Grid Corridors to b JECT 32: The proposed develop ra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Pow in the Grid Corridors to be assessed	u Solar Facility 1 to Kudu Sola e Scoping and Environmenta development of Switching Stat each of the 15 x Kudu Solar F m each Solar Facility to the pr ion Substation. All activities a cts require Basic Assessment ment of an independent 400/ d infrastructure at the MTS s V transformer(s) with transfor e assessed. This project requi tent of a 400 kV Loop-In-Loop- ver Line to the proposed MTS	ar Facility 15) I Impact Ass tions and Coll acilities, and oposed Colle re proposed (BA) Process 132 kV Main uch as 132 I mer bay(s). A res a BA Pro Out (LILO) fro . All activities), near De Aa essment (El/ llector Station up to 15 x 13 ector Station(: within the Gr ses. n Transmissic kV busbar ar ul activities an cess. om the existir	
(a <u>th</u> i	request as ameno	T FOR COMBINATION AND MUI for combination of the reports, in t ded), and the issuing of multiple (i. at the pre-application meeting. T ow:	erms of Regulation 11 of the 2 e. 32) EAs (should they be gra	inted) will be	discussed wi	
(a <u>th</u> i	request as ameno ae DFFE	for combination of the reports, in t led), and the issuing of multiple (i. at the pre-application meeting. 1	erms of Regulation 11 of the 2 e. 32) EAs (should they be gra	nted) <u>will be</u> bination and Number of Applications	discussed wi	
(a <u>th</u> i	request as ameno ae DFFE oted belo	for combination of the reports, in t ded), and the issuing of multiple (i. <u>at the pre-application meeting</u> . T w:	erms of Regulation 11 of the 2 e. 32) EAs (should they be gra 'he proposed request for com	nted) <u>will be</u> bination and Number of	discussed wi multiple EA	

Pre-Application Meeting Request – April 2021

Page 7 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		tors to be assessed. These projects			
27		re Basic Assessment (BA) Processes.			
25					
30					
31	of a Trans asso as 12 500 / trans propo	ECT 31: The proposed development n independent 400/132 kV Main mission Substation (MTS), including stated infrastructure at the MTS such 2 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and <i>U</i> /A 400/132 kV transformer(s) with former bay(s). All activities are ised within the Grid Comidors to be ised. This project requires a BA es	ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty)	1 Combined Application for EA	2 EAs (one for the MTS and one for the
31	2 Over MTS Grid	ECT 32: The proposed development (00 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV read Power Line to the proposed All activities are proposed within the Corridors to be assessed. This project res a BA Process.		1 Combined BA Report	LILO)
Based the req	on the c uired no	utcome of the pre-applicatio umber of Application Forms	e EGI Projects (i.e. Projects 1) on meeting and request for co for EA will be submitted to to is recently followed by the CS	mbination an the DFFE on	ce the projec
energy	projects	s, and this was accepted by t		in the many o	UICI ICHCWAU
PROJE	CT DES	SCRIPTION:			
-					
outset t detailed granted scenari	that the d engine f for the io for the	exact specifications of the pr eering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that project.	the components listed below. roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as	ined during th uthorisation t
outset t detailed granted scenari	that the d engine f for the io for the	exact specifications of the pr eering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that project.	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as	ined during th uthorisation t
outset t detailed granted scenari	that the d engine f for the io for the ECTS 1	exact specifications of the pr eering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that project.	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo	vill be determ Id such an a w is seen as	ined during th uthorisation t
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine d for the io for the <u>ECTS 1</u> projects lar Fiel	exact specifications of the propering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project.	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo y 1 to 15 and associated info with a maximum height of app	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure:	ined during the uthorisation the worst-case
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the cCTS 1 projects lar Fiel o P	exact specifications of the properties of the proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of infrastructure and specific V Modules.	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated info</u> with a maximum height of app ations:	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-case of the worst-case o
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine f for the io for the core of the cor	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of infrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of app ations: es (aligned north-south); Dual	vill be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-case 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine is for the is for the CTS 1 projects var Fiel o P o S w	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of infrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated info</u> with a maximum height of app ations:	vill be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-case 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These So	that the d engine i for the io for the CTS 1 projects lar Fiel o P o S w B	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of infrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules.	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of app cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned easons ar Modules; or ar Modules; or set to the set to th
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the CTS 1 projects a followin o P o S w B o S	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of app cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned easons ar Modules; or ar Modules; or set to the set to th
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that proposed projects), but that project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely b	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of app cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned easons ar Modules; or ar Modules; or set to the set to th
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility:	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of app cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into th	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that proposed projects), but that project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of inginfrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of ap cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the cation of the true of the true of the true of the true to MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the prepering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of the second specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of ap cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the proposed projects), but that proposed projects), but that project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of inginfrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of ap cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the prepering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of the second specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of ap cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the prevening phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of the project. Ingle Axis Tracking structure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2 Kudu Solar Facility 3	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of ap cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the prevening phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of the project. Ing infrastructure and specific V Modules. Ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 4	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infr</u> with a maximum height of ap cations: es (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac 1 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the prevening phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of the gradient of the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of the following: if acial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely the following struct if a Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Ku	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infi</u> with a maximum height of app cations: as (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 1 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the pre- proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of ing infrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 6 Kudu Solar Facility 7	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infi</u> with a maximum height of app cations: as (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 1 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the pre- pering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of ing infrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 7 Kudu Solar Facility 7 Kudu Solar Facility 8	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infi</u> with a maximum height of app cations: as (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 100 MWac 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ease ar Modules; of
outset f detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the pre- pering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of ing infrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 6 Kudu Solar Facility 7 Kudu Solar Facility 8 Kudu Solar Facility 8 Kudu Solar Facility 9	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infi</u> with a maximum height of app cations: as (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the 100 MWac 100 MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation to the worst-cases 5.5 m, includir g (aligned easons ar Modules; or ar Modules; or the second secon
outset t detailed granted scenari PROJE These • So	that the d engine i for the io for the control for the control for the control for the control for the projects a followin o P o S w B o S o F	exact specifications of the pre- pering phase (subsequent to proposed projects), but that a project. TO 15 – Kudu Solar Facility will include the following: d, comprising Solar Arrays of ing infrastructure and specific V Modules. ingle Axis Tracking structure est and north-south); Fixed ifacial Solar Modules. olar module mounting struct oundations which will likely to apacity of the PV Facility: Kudu Solar Facility 1 Kudu Solar Facility 2 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 3 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 5 Kudu Solar Facility 7 Kudu Solar Facility 7 Kudu Solar Facility 8	roposed project components v o the issuing of an EA, shou the information provided belo <u>y 1 to 15 and associated infi</u> with a maximum height of app cations: as (aligned north-south); Dual I Tilt Mounting Structure; Mo ures comprised of galvanised be drilled and concreted into the cation MWac cation MWac	will be determ Id such an a w is seen as rastructure: proximately 3 Axis Tracking no-facial Sol steel and alu	ined during the uthorisation 1 the worst-car 5.5 m, includir g (aligned ear ar Modules;

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 8 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

 Kudu Solar Facility 12: 100 MWac
 Kudu Solar Facility 13: 100 MWac
 Kudu Solar Facility 14: 100 MWac
 Kudu Solar Facility 15: 100 MWac
 Area of the PV Array (i.e. proposed area occupied by PV Modules only):
 Kudu Solar Facility 1: 141 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 2: 218 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 3: 269 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 4: 135 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 5: 199 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 6: 128 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 7: 268 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 8: 283 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 9: 227 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 10: 239 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 11: 199 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 12: 117 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 13: 185 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 13: 105 ha Kudu Solar Facility 14: 187 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 14: 107 ha Kudu Solar Facility 15: 203 ha
Wards and the second state of the second state
 Fotal developable area i.e. the area that includes all associated intrastructure within the fenced off area of the PV facility:
 Kudu Solar Facility 1: 147 ha Kudu Solar Facility 2: 230 ha
rada donar i donny z. zoo na
 Kudu Solar Facility 3: 284 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 4: 147 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 5: 214 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 6: 135 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 7: 283 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 8: 317 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 9: 242 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 10: 249 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 11: 209 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 12: 124 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 13: 192 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 14: 210 ha
 Kudu Solar Facility 15: 217 ha
Building Infrastructure at each PV Facility:
 On-site Substation Complexes: Each on-site substation complex at each PV Facilit
will be up to 4 ha in area, and will have a height of up to 10 m. The on-site substation
complex area could also include the following:
 On-site Independent Power Producer (IPP) or Facility Substation (+-1 ha). This
will include the relevant section that will be maintained by the Independent
Power Producer (i.e. the high voltage infrastructure leading up to the Point of
Connection (the Project Applicant's section of the proposed on-site substation
complexes)), and/or
 Switching Station and Collector Station (+-2 ha). This forms part of Projects 10
 30 (refer to the description below) and/or
 Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) (+-1 ha).
The capacity of the on-site substations varies according to detailed design and clien
requirements. Generally stepping up from 22kV or 33kV to 132kV and finally 400 kV fo
injection into the Eskom grid can be assumed.
 Inverter-Transformer stations (height of approximately 3 m each and footprint 0.5 h
per PV site. On average, 27 inverter-transformer stations are proposed at each PV site
 Auxiliary buildings to be developed include, but are not limited to: Operational and
Maintenance (O&M) Control Centre, site offices, staff lockers, bathrooms, warehouses

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 9 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

etc. These buildings will have a cumulative footprint up to 0.5 ha (i.e. 5000 m²) per P
site. Additional detail is provided below:
 Warehouse/workshop (Maximum height of up to 10 m).
 <u>Site Offices</u> (Maximum height of up to 10 m).
 Operational and Maintenance (O&M) Control Centre (Maximum height of u to 10 m).
 Guard Houses (Maximum height of up to 10 m).
 Ablution facilities (Maximum height of up to 10 m).
Associated Infrastructure at the PV Facility:
 Lithium Ion or Redox Flow Battery Energy Storage System, which will each cover an
area of approximately 1 ha (at the on-site substation complex) and a height of up to 1 m. Each battery will have a capacity of approximately 500 MW/ 500 MWh.
 Temporary construction laydown area: Up to 7 ha each.
 Length of internal roads within the PV Facility: Ranges between 5 km and 19 km. For a
15 PV sites, the total length of the roads equates to 170 km (preliminary internal roads)
 Width of preliminary internal roads: Approximately 4 - 5 m during construction an operation.
 Width of the main site access: Up to 8 m during construction and operation.
 New internal service roads will need to be established and these would either comprise farm (compacted dirt/gravel) roads or be paved.
 Upgrading of existing access roads: Existing roads will be used as far as practicall
achievable. These roads may need to be widened and upgraded. There are three potential access roads from the R48. Current width is approximately 5 m, and the
upgraded width is approximately 8 m.
 Site access points: Existing farm roads will be utilised as far as reasonably possible and
upgraded where necessary. The PV sites would be accessed via existing unnamed fam roads. The details of the access would be as per the recommendations in the Transport
Impact Assessment. Currently there are four site access points, as noted below: Site Access A – Access Road to PV 1.
 Site Access B 1 - Access Road to PV 2, PV 3, PV 4, and PV 5.
 Site Access B 2 - Access Road to PV 8, PV 9 and PV 15.
 Site Access C - Access Road to PV 6, PV 7, PV 10, PV 11, PV 12, PV 13 and
PV 14.
 Fencing: To be confirmed.
 Stormwater channels: To be confirmed.
 Panel maintenance and cleaning area.
 Underground low voltage cables or cable trays: Maximum depth of up to 1.5 m;
 Internal transmission and/or distribution lines on site:
 Under or above-ground: Internal underground lines of up to 33 kV (22kV or 33kV). The overhead lines would be up to 132 kV (these lines would be those
within the grid corridor).
 Capacity: 22 or 33kV
 If below-ground: Maximum depth of 1.5 m
 Water requirements: Construction: ± 9 000 m³ over a ± 18-month construction period
Operation: ± 1 000 m ³ per annum for a minimum of 20-year operational lifespan of the Solar PV Facility. Water will either be sourced from (a) the local municipality (most like)
the water will be either trucked in, or otherwise made available for collection at the municipal Water Treatment Plant via a metered standpipe). Specific arrangements wi
be agreed with the local municipality in a Service Level Agreement (SLA); (b) a third party water supplier which may include a private services company; or (c) existin
boreholes on site, which will be based on the findings of the Geohydrological Assessment and relevant registration or licence requirements; or (d) a borehole drille
on site, which will be subject to complete geohydrological testing and a Water Us Licence Application (WULA).

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 10 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

from each Kudu Solar Facility to the proposed Collector Station(s) or up to the proposed Main Transmission Substation:		
at each on-site substation complex at each be transferred from the Independent Pow	Solar Facilit er Producer	ing Station and Collector Station (+-2 ha each y. This will include the relevant section that wi to Eskom (i.e. the high voltage infrastructur s section) of the proposed on-site substatio
complexes).		
depending on the grid connection scenario. Station (with additional feeder bays added the overall on-site substation complex and	The Collect to the Switc for Grid Cor	we the option to receive a Collector Statio or Station will be an expansion of the Switchin thing Station etc.) falling within the footprint of ridor. In summary, the switching station coul y. Both options will need to be assessed an
[1] - D - 2 (2), 20, 20 (2),		32 kV Overhead Power Line from each Kud h could be at any one of the 15 Substatio
		ubstation. Therefore, up to 15 overhead power
		d. All activities are proposed within the Gri
Corridors to be assessed. Details of the po	ower lines are	e provided below.
Power Line Route Description	Capacity	Description
Kudu Solar Facility 1 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	
Kudu Solar Facility 2 to Collector Station or MTS Kudu Solar Facility 3 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV 132kV	-
Kudu Solar Facility 4 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	
Kudu Solar Facility 5 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	The proposed development of Switching Stations and
Kudu Solar Facility 6 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	 Collector Stations (+2 ha each) at each On-Site Substation Complex at each of the 15 x Kudu Solar
Kudu Solar Facility 7 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	 Facilities, and up to 15 x 132 kV Overhead Power Lines
Kudu Solar Facility 8 to Collector Station or MTS Kudu Solar Facility 9 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV 132kV	- running from each Kudu Solar Facility to the proposed
Kudu Solar Facility 10 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	- Collector Station(s) or up to the proposed Main
Kudu Solar Facility 11 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	 Transmission Substation. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed.
Kudu Solar Facility 12 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	
Kudu Solar Facility 13 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV	-
Kudu Solar Facility 14 to Collector Station or MTS Kudu Solar Facility 15 to Collector Station or MTS	132kV 132kV	-
Kudu Solar Facility 15 to Collector Station or MTS	1328/	
PROJECT 31 - Independent 132 kV/40	00 kV Main	Transmission Substation and associate
infrastructure:		
		dent 400/132 kV MTS, including associate
		nd feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 k
		proposed within the Grid Corridors. The above
is proposed if the Eskom Hydra B substation	on is not buil	L
		2
		tional upgrades of the Eskom Hydra B proje
		ure that the substation can accommodate th
		t is not possible to determine exactly wh
		It the time, however in terms of the worst cas
it is estimated that this will entail additionate	al feeder bay	s, busbars transformers, transformer bays
		'his would be seen as expansion to the existir
Eckom Hudra R cubetation Therefore the	scope of work	its as mentioned above may be reduced shou
Eskom Hydra B substation. Therefore, the scope of works as mentioned above may be re- the Eskom Hydra B substation be built. If this is the case, an amendment to the EA will be		
	his is the case	e, an amendment to the EA will be undertake

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 11 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existi	ng Hydra-Perseus 400 k	
	Overhead Power	Line to the proposed MTS:		
	This project includes the construction of a 6.5 km long 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors. The above is proposed if the Eskom Hydra B substation is not built.			
	If the Eskom Hydra B substation is built, then potentially upgrading the EGI as required at the time would be undertaken. If this is the case, an amendment to the EA will be undertaken. All activities are expected to fall within the Grid Corridors proposed.			
	For all of the abov	e EGI projects (i.e. Projects 16 - 32), the following applies:		
	Foundation	The type of terrain will determine the choice of four	ndation.	
		 132 kV power lines (Projects 16 – 30): The size of the footprint area will range from 0 m (foundation width for the base of the towe 		
		area required around a structure position is 20 400 kV power lines (Project 32):		
		 The size of the footprint area will be in the ran foundation width for the base of the towers). T required around a structure position is 20 m x 	The minimum working area	
	Pylon	132 kV steel monopole or lattice towers	20 111.	
	Tower type	Self-supporting and Angle strain towers 132 kV power lines (Projects 16 – 30): 17.4 - 21 m 400 kV power lines (Project 32): 27 – 40 m 200, 250 or 375 m		
	Height			
	Span length			
	Servitude width		side of the power line).	
		47 m to 55 m for 400 kV power lines (i.e. 23.5 or power line). Note: A 300 m – 1000 m wide corridor for all the p to be assessed by specialists, in order to identify se need to be avoided.	ower lines listed above are	
Indicate if any screening has taken place on site	Screening has not taken place formally before initiating the EIA and BA Processes. However, the National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool (Screening Tool) has been used by the specialists. The relevant findings of the Screening Tool will be verified by the specialists on site during the initial phases of the EIA and BA Processes.			
Physical Address where the development will take place	The proposed sites can be accessed via existing farm (gravel) roads off the R48. The proposed sit are located approximately 50 km north-east of De Aar, in the Northern Cape Province.			
Farm	The proposed pro	jects are located on the following farm portions:		
name(s)/ Erf	Number	Farm Portions Affected	Affected by	
No	1	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of Farm Basberg 88	Projects 1 - 15 Project 21 Project 22 Project 23	
		4.6	Project 24 Project 25	

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 12 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		<u></u>		÷
				Project 26
				Project 27
				Project 28
				Project 29
				Project 30
				Projects 1 - 15
				Project 16
				Project 17
				Project 18
				Project 19
				Project 20
				Project 21
				Project 22
		2	Portion 3 of Farm Basberg 88	Project 23
				Project 24
				Project 25
				Project 26
				Project 27
				Project 28
				Project 29
				Project 30
				Projects 1 - 15
				Project 16
				Project 17
				Project 18
				Project 19
		3		Project 20
				Project 21
			Portion 4 of Farm Basberg 88	Project 22
			Folition 4 of Faim Basberg oo	Project 23
				Project 24
				Project 25
				Project 26
				Project 27
				Project 28
				Project 29
				Project 30
		4		Projects 1 - 15
			Dertion 2 of Form Orace Dep 40	Project 23
			Portion 2 of Farm Grass Pan 40	Project 24
				Project 30
				Projects 1 - 15
				Project 21
				Project 22
		5		Project 23
				Project 24
			Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of Farm Annex Wolve Kuil 41	Project 25
				Project 26
				Project 27
				Project 28
				Project 29
				Project 30
				Projects 1 - 15
		6	Portion 1 of Farm Annex Wolve Kuil 41	Project 22
				110/00/22

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 13 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

				Project 23
				Project 24
				Project 25
				Project 26
				Project 27
				Project 28
				Project 29
				Project 30
	4	7	Portion 0 (Remaining Extent) of Farm Wolve Kuilen 42	Projects 1 - 15
				Projects 1 - 15
		8	Portion 2 of Farm Wolve Kuil 43	Project 29
				Project 16
				Project 17
				Project 18
				Project 19
				Project 20
				Project 21
				Project 22
		9	Dertion 5 of Form Konny Alloon 92	
		9	Portion 5 of Farm Koppy Alleen 83	Project 23
				Project 24
				Project 25
				Project 26
				Project 27
				Project 28
				Project 29
				Project 30
				Project 16
				Project 17
				Project 18
				Project 19
				Project 20
				Project 21
				Project 22
		10	Portion 1 of Farm Koppy Alleen 83	Project 23
			to an	Project 24
				Project 25
				Project 26
				Project 27
				Project 28
				Project 29
				Project 30
	-	11	Portion 4 of Farm Koppy Alleen 83	Project 32
	Dene	15122/26		
Local	Renos	sterberg L	ocal Municipality	
Municipality				
District	Pixley	Ka Seme	e District Municipality	
Municipality				
Locality map:			nust be attached to the application form, as APPENDIX 2	
			1:50 000. For linear activities of more than 25 kilometre	
			d. The scale must be indicated on the map. The map m	
	• ar	n accurate	e indication of the project site position as well as the pos	sitions of the alternative sites, i
		ny;		
	• ro	ad name	s or numbers of all the major roads as well as the roads t	hat provide access to the site(s
	• a	north arro	DW;	73 V.
	÷			

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 14 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

 a legend; the prevailing wind direction; site sensitivities, including but not limited to vegetation, wetlands, watercourses, heritage sites, critical biodiversity area/s, World Heritage Site, etc. and it must be overlaid by the study area; and GPS co-ordinates (Indicate the position of the proposed activity with the latitude and longitude at the centre point for each alternative site.
 The co-ordinates should be in degrees and decimal minutes. The minutes should be to at least three decimal places. The projection that must be used in all cases is the WGS-84 spheroid in a national or local projection)

Note from the CSIR: Refer to Appendix 2 of this Pre-Application Meeting Request Form for a copy of the Locality Map, including preliminary site sensitivities.

6. ACTIVITIES APPLIED FOR

For an application for authorisation that involves more than one listed activity that, together, make up one development proposal, all the listed activities pertaining to this application must be provided below.

PROJECTS 1 TO 15 - Kudu Solar Facility 1 to 15 and associated infrastructure:

Note from the CSIR: The listed activities for all 15 of the Solar PV projects are identical at this stage of the process, as they have identical project components and infrastructure. Hence one list of listed activities has been provided below. Separate lists of listed activities for each project will be provided in the Application Form for EA and Scoping and EIA Reports, which will also take the environmental features on site into consideration.

Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Basic Assessment Activity(ies) as set out in Listing Notice 1 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.
Activity 11(i)	The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity - (i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts or more; excluding the development of bypass infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity where such bypass infrastructure is — (a) temporarily required to allow for maintenance of existing infrastructure; (b) 2 kilometres or shorter in length; (c) within an existing transmission line servitude; and (d) will be removed within 18 months of the commencement of development.	 The proposed project will entail the construction of an on-site substation complex at each PV facility. Each on-site substation complex could include the following: On-site Independent Power Producer (IPP) or Facility Substation. This will include the relevant section that will be maintained by the IPP (i.e. the high voltage infrastructure leading up to the Point of Connection (the Project Applicant's section of the proposed on-site substation complexes)), and/or Switching Station and Collector Station, and/or Battery Energy Storage System (BESS). Each on-site substation complex will be up to 4 ha in area and will have a height of up to 10 m. Note that the Switching Station and Collector Station form part of Projects 16 – 30. It is assumed that the on-site substation complexes will generally step up from 22 kV or 33 kV to 132 kV and finally 400 kV for injection into the Eskom grid.

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 15 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		The proposed project will take place outside of an urban area.
Activity 12 (ii) (a) (c)	The development of: (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; where such development occurs - a) within a watercourse;	The proposed solar PV facilities will be constructed on various farm portions, approximately 50 km north-east of De Aar, in the Northern Cape Province. Hence the proposed project will take place outside of an urban area.
	 b) in front of a development setback; or c) if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding - (aa) the development of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; (b) where such development activities are related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies; (cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or activity applies; (dd) where such development occurs within an urban area; (ee) where such development occurs within existing roads, road reserves or railway line reserves; or (ff) the development of temporary infrastructure or structures will be removed within 6 weeks of the commencement of development and where indigenous vegetation will not be cleared. 	The proposed Solar PV facilities will entail the construction of building infrastructure and structures (such as the solar fields, offices, workshops, ablution facilities, on-site substation complexes, laydown areas and security enclosures etc.). The infrastructure and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 100 m ² and some may occur within small drainage features and 32 m of the watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the EIA Phase.
Activity 19	The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse; but excluding where such infilling, depositing, dredging, excavation, removal or moving- a) will occur behind a development setback; b) is for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan; c) falls within the ambit of activity 21 in this Notice, in which case that activity applies; d) occurs within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; or e) where such development is related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies.	The proposed projects may entail the excavation, removal and moving of more than 10 m ³ of soil, sand, pebbles or rock from nearby watercourses on site. The proposed project may also entail the infilling of more than 10 m ³ of material into the nearby watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the EIA Phase. Details of the infilling of and excavations from the drainage features will be confirmed during the detailed design phase.
Activity 28(ii)	Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture, game farming, equestrian purposes, or afforestation on or after 01 April 1998 and where such development:	The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area, on several farm portions. According to desktop information, much of the study area is undeveloped with Northerm Upper Karoo (Least Concern), Eastern Upper

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 16 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

	(ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare	Karoo (Least Concern), and Besemkaree Koppies Shrubland (Least Concern) vegetation types. The proposed solar PV facilities, which are considered as commercial/industrial developments, will each have an estimated footprint of ranging from approximately 124 ha to 317 ha. The proposed projects will also entail the construction of an on-site substation complex at each PV facility, as well as a Battery Energy Storage System (either Lithium Ion or Redox Flow) each extending approximately 1 ha in extent, and various associated structures and infrastructure. This will constitute infrastructure with a physical footprint of more than 1 ha.
Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Basic Assessment Activity(ies) as set out in Listing Notice 3 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.
Activity 4 (g) (ii) (ee)	The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres. g. Northern Cape	Internal roads will be constructed at each of the PV facilities. The internal roads are estimated to have a width ranging between 4 m and 5 m.
	 ii. Areas outside urban areas; (ee) Critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans 	The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that contain Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.
Activity 12 (g) (ii)	The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. g. Northern Cape ii. Within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans;	The proposed solar PV facilities will each have an estimated footprint of ranging from 124 ha to 317 ha. As a result, more than 300 m ² of indigenous vegetation could be removed for the construction of the proposed Solar PV facilities and associated infrastructure. The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.
Activity 14 (ii) (a) and (c); (g), (ii) and (ff)		The proposed solar PV facilities will be constructed on various farm portions, approximately 50 km north-east of De Aar, in the Northern Cape Province. Hence the proposed project will take place outside of an urban area.
	 (a) within a watercourse; (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; g. Northern Cape 	The proposed Solar PV facilities will entail the construction of building infrastructure and structures (such as the solar fields, offices, workshops, ablution facilities, on-site substation complexes, laydown areas and security enclosures etc.). The infrastructure
	ii. Outside urban areas:	and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 10 m ² and some may occur within small drainage features and 32 m of the
	(ff) Critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans	watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 17 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

	adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans	the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the EIA Phase. The proposed projects will take place on sites that contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.
Activity 18	The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre. g. Northern Cape ii. Outside urban areas: (ee) Critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans;	Existing roads will be used as far as practically achievable, and will be upgraded where needed. The current width of existing access roads is approximately 5 m, and the upgraded width is proposed to be approximately 8 m. Therefore, there is a possibility that the existing roads will be widened by more than 4 m. The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.
Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Scoping and EIR Activity(ies) as set out in Listing Notice 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.
Activity 1	The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more, excluding where such development of facilities or infrastructure is for photovoltaic installations and occurs: a) within an urban area; or b) on existing infrastructure.	The proposed project will entail the construction of 15 Solar PV facilities (i.e. facility for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource), each with a capacity as follows: Kudu Solar Facility 1: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 2: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 3: 150 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 4: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 5: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 6: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 7: 150 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 8: 150 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 9: 150 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 10: 150 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 11: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 12: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 13: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 14: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 15: 100 MWac Kudu Solar Facility 15: 100 MWac
Activity 4	The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of more than 500 cubic metres.	The 15 proposed Solar PV facilities will each include the installation of a Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) (either Lithium Ion or Redox Flow). Each BESS will cover an area of approximately 1 ha at each PV facility and will have a maximum height of 10 m, with a capacity of 500 MW/500 MWh.

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 18 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		For the Redox Flow BESS, some of the electrolytes could potentially be stored separately in above ground storage tanks for use in the BESS during operations. There are various electrolytes that can be used for Redox Flow BESS's, such as but not limited to, Hydrochloric Acid, which is considered as a dangerous good in terms of the definition provided in the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended). During the EIA Process, the capacity of the storage tanks and the applicability of this listed activity will be confirmed.
Activity 15	The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation, excluding where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for: (i) the undertaking of a linear activity; or (i) maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan.	The proposed solar PV facilities will each have an estimated footprint of ranging from 124 ha to 317 ha. As a result, more than 20 ha of indigenous vegetation could be removed for the construction of the proposed Solar PV facilities.

PROJECTS 16 TO 30: Note from the CSIR: The listed activities for all of these Electrical Grid Infrastructure (EGI) projects are identical at this stage of the process, as they have identical project components and infrastructure. Hence one list of listed activities has been provided below. Separate lists of listed activities for each project will be provided in the Application Form for EA and BA Reports, which will also take the environmental features on site into consideration.

Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Basic Assessment Activity(les) as set out in Listing Notice 1 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.
Activity 11(i)	The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity - (i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts or more; excluding the development of bypass infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity where such bypass infrastructure is — (a) temporarily required to allow for maintenance of existing infrastructure; (b) 2 kilometres or shorter in length; (c) within an existing transmission line servitude; and (d) will be removed within 18 months of the commencement of development.	The proposed project will include the construction of Switching Stations and Collector Stations at each on-site substation complex at each of the 15 x Kudu Solar Facilities. This will include the relevant section that will be transferred from the Independent Power Producer to Eskom (i.e. the high voltage infrastructure extending from the Point of Connection (i.e. Eskom's section) of the proposed on-site substation complexes). The 15 on-site substation complexes would each have the option to receive a Collector Station depending on the grid connection scenario. The Collector Station will be an expansion of the Switching Station (with additional feeder bays added to the Switching Station etc.) falling within the footprint of the overall on-site substation complex and/or Grid Corridor. In summary, the switching station could be expanded into a Collector Station where necessary. Both options will need to be assessed and authorised. The proposed project will entail the construction of a separate 132 kV overhead power line from each Kudu Solar Facility to the proposed Collector Station (which could be at

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 19 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		any one of the 15 on-site substation complexes) or up to the proposed Main Transmission Station (MTS). Therefore, up to 15 overhead power lines could be constructed and need to be assessed. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors. The proposed project will take place outside of an urban area.
Activity 12 (ii) (a) (c)	The development of: (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more;	The proposed EGI projects will be constructed on various farm portions, approximately 50 km north-east of De Aar, in the Northern Cape Province. Hence the proposed project will take place outside of an urban area.
	where such development occurs - a) within a watercourse; b) in front of a development setback; or c) if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding - (aa) the development of infrastructure or structures within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; (bb) where such development activities are related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies; (cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or activity 14 in Listing Notice 3 of 2014, in which case that activity applies; (dd) where such development occurs within an urban area; (ee) where such development occurs within existing roads, road reserves or railway line reserves; or (ff) the development of temporary infrastructure or structures where such infrastructure or structures will be removed within 6 weeks of the commencement of development and where indigenous vegetation will	The proposed EGI projects will also entail the construction of various structures and infrastructure (i.e. Switching Stations and Collector Stations), power lines (including pylons and associated infrastructure) and service roads. The infrastructure and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 100 m ² and some may occur within small drainage features and 32 m of the watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the BA Phase.
Activity 19	not be cleared. The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse; but excluding where such infilling, depositing, dredging, excavation, removal or moving- a) will occur behind a development setback; b) is for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan; c) falls within the ambit of activity 21 in this Notice, in which case that activity applies; d) occurs within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; or	The proposed projects may entail the excavation, removal and moving of more than 10 m ³ of soil, sand, pebbles or rock from nearby watercourses on site. The proposed project may also entail the infilling of more than 10 m ³ of material into the nearby watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the BA Phase. The details of the Switching Station, Collector Station, and pylon placement, and infilling of and excavations from the drainage features will be confirmed during the detailed design phase.

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 20 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

	e) where such development is related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies.		
Activity 28 (ii)	Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture, game farming, equestrian purposes, or afforestation on or after 01 April 1998 and where such development: (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare	The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area, on several farm portions. According to desktop information, much of the study area is undeveloped with Northern Upper Karoo (Least Concern), Eastern Upper Karoo (Least Concern), and Besemkaree Koppies Shrubland (Least Concern) vegetation types. The proposed EGI projects are considered as commercial/industrial developments. For the 132 kV power line pylons, the size of the footprint area will range from 0.6 m x 0.6 m to 1.5 m x 1.5 m. The minimum working area required around a pylon is 20 m x 20 m. This will constitute infrastructure with a cumulative physical footprint of more than 1 ha.	
Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Basic Assessment Activity(ies) as set out in Listing Notice 3 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.	
Activity 4 (g) (ii) (ee)	The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres. g. Northern Cape ii. Areas outside urban areas; (ee) Critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans	Service roads will be constructed below the power lines and these are estimated to have a width ranging between 4 m and 5 m (to be confirmed during the BA). The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that contain Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.	
Activity 12 (g) (ii)	The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. g. Northern Cape ii. Within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans;	The proposed EGI projects will also entail the construction of various structures and infrastructure (i.e. Switching Stations and Collector Stations), power lines (including pylons and associated infrastructure) and service roads. The infrastructure and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 100 m ² . For the 132 kV power line pylons, the size of the footprint area will range from 0.6 m x 0.6 m to 1.5 m x 1.5 m. The minimum working area required around a pylon is 20 m x 20 m. This will constitute infrastructure with a cumulative physical footprint of more than 300 m ² .	
		As a result, more than 300 m ² of indigenous vegetation could be removed for the construction of the proposed EGI. The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.	
Activity 14 (ii) (a) and	The development of –	The proposed EGI projects will be constructed on various farm portions, approximately 50 km north-east of De Aar, in the Northern Cape	

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 21 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

(c); (g), (ii) and (ff)	(ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more;	Province. Hence the proposed project will take place outside of an urban area.
	where such development occurs – (a) within a watercourse; (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; g. Northern Cape ii. Outside urban areas: (ff) Critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans	The proposed EGI projects will also entail the construction of various structures and infrastructure (i.e. Switching Stations and Collector Stations), power lines (including pylons and associated infrastructure) and service roads. The infrastructure and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 10 m ² and some may occur within small drainage features and 32 m of the watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the BA Phase. The proposed projects will take place on sites that contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.
Activity 18 (g) (ii) (ee)	The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre. g. Northern Cape ii. Outside urban areas: (ee) Critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans;	Existing roads will be used as far as practically achievable, and will be upgraded where needed. The current width of existing access roads is approximately 5 m, and the upgraded width is proposed to be approximately 8 m. Therefore, there is a possibility that the existing roads will be widened by more than 4
Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Scoping and EIR Activity(ies) as set out in Listing Notice 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.

PROJECTS 31 and 32: Note from the CSIR: The listed activities for these Electrical Grid Infrastructure (EGI) projects are very similar. Hence one list of listed activities has been provided below. Separate lists of listed activities for each project will be provided in the Application Form for EA and BA Reports, which will also take the environmental features on site into consideration.

Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Basic Assessment Activity(ies) as set out in Listing Notice 1 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.
Activity 11(i)	The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity -	The proposed project (Project 31) includes the construction of an independent 400/132 kV MTS, including associated infrastructure at
	 (i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts or more; 	the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), as well as 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s). The above is proposed if the Eskom Hydra B substation is not built.

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 22 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

	excluding the development of bypass infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity where such bypass infrastructure is — (a) temporarily required to allow for maintenance of existing infrastructure; (b) 2 kilometres or shorter in length; (c) within an existing transmission line servitude; and (d) will be removed within 18 months of the commencement of development.	If the Eskom Hydra B substation is built, then the additional upgrades of the Eskom Hydra B project would be undertaken by the Applicant in order to ensure that the substation can accommodate the power generated by the 15 Kudu Solar Facilities. It is not possible to determine exactly what expansions and upgrades of the EGI may be required at the time, however in terms of the worst case, it is estimated that this will entail additional feeder bays, busbars transformers, transformer bays at the Eskom Hydra B substation (once it is constructed). This would be seen as expansion to the existing Eskom Hydra B substation. Therefore, the scope of works as mentioned above may be reduced should the Eskom Hydra B substation be built. If this is the case, an amendment to the EA will be undertaken, if required. All activities are proposed within the Grid
Activity 12 (ii) (a) (c)	The development of: (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint	Corridors. The proposed project will take place outside of an urban area. The proposed EGI projects will be constructed on various farm portions, approximately 50 km north-east of De Aar, in the Northern Cape
	of 100 square metres or more; where such development occurs -	Province. Hence the proposed project will take place outside of an urban area.
	 a) within a watercourse; b) in front of a development setback; or c) if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; excluding - (aa) the development of infrastructure or structures 	The proposed project (Project 31) includes the construction of an independent 400/132 kV MTS, including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s). The above is proposed if the Eskom Hydra B substation is not built.
	within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; (bb) where such development activities are related to the development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies; (cc) activities listed in activity 14 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 or activity 14 in Listing Notice 3 of 2014, in which case that activity applies; (dd) where such development occurs within an urban area; (ee) where such development occurs within existing roads, road reserves or railway line reserves; or (ff) the development of temporary infrastructure or structures where such infrastructure or structures will be removed within 6 weeks of the commencement of development and where indicance using the second of the commencement of	If the Eskom Hydra B substation is built, then the additional upgrades of the Eskom Hydra B project would be undertaken by the Applicant in order to ensure that the substation can accommodate the power generated by the 15 Kudu Solar Facilities. It is not possible to determine exactly what expansions and upgrades of the EGI may be required at the time, however in terms of the worst case, it is estimated that this will entail additional feeder bays, busbars transformers, transformer bays at the Eskom Hydra B substation (once it is constructed). This would be seen as expansion to the existing Eskom Hydra B substation. Therefore, the score of works as
	development and where indigenous vegetation will not be cleared.	substation. Therefore, the scope of works as mentioned above may be reduced should the Eskom Hydra B substation be built. If this is the case, an amendment to the EA will be

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 23 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		undertaken, if required. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors.
		The proposed within the Glid Condors. The proposed project (Project 32) includes the construction of a 6.5 km long 400 kV Loop-In- Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra- Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS.
		The infrastructure and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 100 m ² and some may occur within 32 m of the watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the BA Phase.
Activity 19	The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse; but excluding where such infilling, depositing, dredging, excavation, removal or moving- a) will occur behind a development setback; b) is for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan; c) falls within the ambit of activity 21 in this Notice, in which case that activity applies; d) occurs within existing ports or harbours that will not increase the development footprint of the port or harbour; or e) where such development is related to the	The proposed projects may entail the excavation, removal and moving of more than 10 m ³ of soil, sand, pebbles or rock from nearby watercourses on site. The proposed project may also entail the infilling of more than 10 m ³ of material into the nearby watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the BA Phase. The details of the pylon placement for the 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) (Project 32) and infilling of and excavations from the drainage features will be confirmed during the detailed design phase.
Activity 27	development of a port or harbour, in which case activity 26 in Listing Notice 2 of 2014 applies. The clearance of an area of 1 hectares or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation, except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for— (i) the undertaking of a linear activity; or (ii) maintenance purposes	The proposed MTS, as well as the 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s) (Project 31) are expected to extend approximately 16 ha. As a result, more than 1 ha but less than 20 ha of indigenous vegetation could be removed for
Activity 28 (ii)	undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture, game farming, equestrian purposes, or afforestation on or after 01 April 1998 and where such development:	the construction of the proposed MTS and associated infrastructure. The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area, on several farm portions. According to desktop information, much of the study area is undeveloped with Northern Upper Karoo (Least Concern), Eastern Upper Karoo (Least Concern), and Besemkaree
	(ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare	Koppies Shrubland (Least Concern) vegetation types. The proposed EGI projects are considered as commercial/industrial developments. For the pylons for the 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) (Project 32), the size of the footprint area will be in the range of 8-

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 24 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Activity 47	The expansion of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity where the expanded capacity will exceed 275 kilovolts and the development footprint will increase.	10 m x 8-10 m. The minimum working area required around a pylon is 20 m x 20 m. This will constitute infrastructure with a cumulative physical footprint of more than 1 ha. It is understood that this will not be applicable to Project 31 if the Eskom Hydra B is not built or if it is built. If the Eskom Hydra B is not built or if it is built. If the Eskom Hydra B is not built, then a new independent 400 kV MTS will be constructed. Hence, this is new infrastructure not seen as expansion of existing infrastructure.
		If the Eskom Hydra B is built, the capacity would be 400 kV, and if an additional transformer or upgrades are done, it would still remain as a 400 kV substation, thus capacity is not increased. A 400 kV substation will always be 400 kV notwithstanding the number of transformers, until such time as it is upgraded to a 765 kV substation. Furthermore, it is understood that Activity 47 only applies if there is both a capacity increase and development footprint increase. Therefore, since there will be no capacity increase, this listed activity is not seen as applicable.
Activity No(s):	Provide the relevant Basic Assessment Activity(ies) as set out in Listing Notice 3 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	Describe the portion of the proposed project to which the applicable listed activity relates.
Activity 4 (g) (ii) (ee)	The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres. g. Northern Cape	Service roads will be constructed below the power lines and these are estimated to have a width ranging between 4 m and 5 m (to be confirmed during the BA).
	ii. Areas outside urban areas; (ee) Critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans	The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that contain Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.
Activity 12 (g) (ii)	The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. g. Northern Cape ii. Within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans;	The proposed EGI projects will also entail the construction of various structures and infrastructure, power lines (including pylons and associated infrastructure) and service roads. The infrastructure and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 100 m ² . For the pylons, the size of the footprint area will be in the range of 8-10 m x 8-10. The minimum working area required around a pylon is 20 m x 20 m. This will constitute infrastructure with a cumulative physical footprint of more than 300 m ² .
		As a result, more than 300 m ² of indigenous vegetation could be removed for the construction of the proposed EGI. The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 25 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

		contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset.
Activity 14 (ii) (a) and (c); (g), (ii) and (ff)	The development of – (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more; where such development occurs – (a) within a watercourse; (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse; g. Northern Cape ii. Outside urban areas: (ff) Critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans	The proposed EGI projects will be constructed on various farm portions, approximately 50 km north-east of De Aar, in the Northern Cape Province. Hence the proposed project will take place outside of an urban area. The proposed EGI projects will also entail the construction of various structures and infrastructure, power lines (including pylons and associated infrastructure) and service roads. The infrastructure and structures are expected to exceed a footprint of 10 m ² and some may occur within small drainage features and 32 m of the watercourses. The Screening Tool shows some rivers and wetlands are present within the study area. Refer to the map included in Appendix 2 of this form. This will be confirmed and verified by the specialists during the BA Phase. The proposed projects will take place on sites
Activity 18 (g) (ii) (ee)	The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre. g. Northern Cape ii. Outside urban areas: (ee) Critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the	that contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset. Existing roads will be used as far as practically achievable, and will be upgraded where needed. The current width of existing access roads is approximately 5 m, and the upgraded width is proposed to be approximately 8 m. Therefore, there is a possibility that the existing roads will be widened by more than 4 m.
Activity No(s):	competent authority or in bioregional plans; Provide the relevant Scoping and EIR Activity(ies)	The proposed projects will take place outside of an urban area in the Northern Cape, on sites that contain ESAs in terms of the 2016 CBAs of the Northern Cape dataset. Describe the portion of the proposed project
mounty moto).	as set out in Listing Notice 2 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 as amended.	to which the applicable listed activity relates.
Activity 9	The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity with a capacity of 275 kilovolts or more, outside an urban area or industrial complex excluding the development of bypass infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity where such bypass infrastructure is:	The proposed project (Project 31) includes the construction of an independent 400/132 kV MTS, including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s). The above is proposed if the Eskom Hydra B substation is not built.
	 (a) temporarily required to allow for maintenance of existing infrastructure; (b) 2 kilometres or shorter in length; (c) within an existing transmission line servitude; and (d) will be removed within 18 months of the commencement of development. 	If the Eskom Hydra B substation is built, then the additional upgrades of the Eskom Hydra B project would be undertaken by the Applicant in order to ensure that the substation can accommodate the power generated by the 15 Kudu Solar Facilities. It is not possible to determine exactly what expansions and

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 26 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

in one or more of the Strategic Transmission Corridors, must follow a BA Process, in order

Be reminded that the onus is on the applicant to ensure that all applicable listed activities are included in the application. Environmental Authorisation must be obtained prior to commencement with each applicable listed activity.

<u>Note from the CSIR</u>: The listed activities indicated above are indicative and will be confirmed subsequent to the completion of the draft specialist studies. Note that the activities in Listing Notice 2 (GN R325) have been provided, however as captured in GN 113 of February 2018, a BA Process is required for large scale electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure within any of the Strategic Transmission Corridors. The proposed project falls within the Central Strategic Transmission Corridor.

7. ADDITIONAL INFO, IF ANY

Please attach any additional information as APPENDIX 3.

Note from the CSIR: A draft schematic diagram indicating the proposed PV projects and EGI projects has been provided in Appendix 3 in order to facilitate the discussions and illustrate the inter-related activities.

8. LIST OF APPENDICES

		SUBMITTED	
APPENDIX 1	Proposed Agenda	YES 🗸	
APPENDIX 2	Locality map	YES 🗸	
APPENDIX 3	Additional info	YES 🗸	

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX 1 PRE-APPLICATION MEETING AGENDA (Please note that a generic agenda will not be accepted. Please submit a detailed agenda)

Note from the CSIR: This pre-application meeting request form is being submitted to discuss 32 projects that require EA. All applications will be discussed in one pre-application meeting in order to improve efficiency. A request for combination of the projects, in terms of Regulation 11 of the 2014 National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended) (NEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (as amended), and the issuing of multiple Environmental Authorisation (EAs) (should they be granted) will be discussed with the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) at the pre-application meeting. It is proposed to request for 32 EAs (should they be granted) for all of the projects listed. Based on the outcome of the pre-application meeting and request for combination and multiple EAs, the required number of Application Forms for EA will be submitted to the DFFE once the projects commence. Note that the same process was recently followed by the CSIR for many other renewable energy projects, and this was accepted by the DFFE.

The proposed projects have the same project description and components, and they take place on adjacent farm properties. Based on this, one single combined, detailed proposed agenda for the pre-application meeting has been compiled and included below.

Item	Description	Time	Presenter
1	Welcome, Introduction and Outline of Meeting Proceedings	10H00 - 10H10	DFFE
2	 Description of the proposed projects: PROJECTS 1 TO 15: The proposed development of 15 x Up to 150 MWac Solar PV Facilities and associated infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 1 to Kudu Solar Facility 15), near De Aar, Northern Cape. These projects require Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Processes. PROJECTS 16 TO 30: The proposed development of Switching Stations and Collector Stations at each On-Site Substation Complex at each of the 15 x Kudu Solar Facilities, and up to 15 x 132 kV Overhead Power Lines running from each Solar Facility to the proposed Collector Station(s) or up to the proposed Main Transmission Substation. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. These projects require Basic Assessment (BA) Processes. PROJECT 31: The proposed development of an independent 400/132 kV Main Transmission Substation (MTS), including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s). All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. This project requires a BA Process. PROJECT 32: The proposed development of a 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. This project shave identical project requires a BA Process. 	10H10 – 10H30	CSIR and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
3	Discussion on the specialist assessments and compliance statements to be undertaken as part of the EIA and BA Processes; and to discuss and confirm the associated specialist reporting	10H30 - 10H40	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
4	Discussion on the approach towards the BA and Scoping and EIA reporting, including a request for combination of the projects, in terms of Regulation 11 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended),	10H40 - 11H00	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 28 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province


Item	Description	Time	Presenter
	and the issuing of multiple EAs (should they be granted) in terms of Regulations 25 (1) and (2) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended)		
5	Discussion on the approach towards including Lithium Ion or Redox Flow Battery Energy Storage Systems in the project descriptions	11H00 - 11H05	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
6	Discussion and confirmation of the proposed Public Participation Plan (PPP) which will be submitted to the DFFE for approval.	11H05 – 11H20	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
7	Discussion of the proposed schedule and overall process for the EIA and BA Processes, including applicable Listed Activities and Cumulative Impact Assessment Approach (i.e. assess cumulative impacts based on a 30 km buffer and based on Renewable Energy projects with a positive EA issued at the start of this EIA and BA (i.e. March 2022))	11H20 – 11H40	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
8	Questions	11H40 – 11H50	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
9	Way Forward and Closure	11H50 - 12H00	DFFE

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 29 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX 2 LOCALITY MAP




Locality Map including Sensitivities

Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 30 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX 3 ADDITIONAL INFO, IF ANY



Pre-Application Meeting Request - April 2021

Page 31 of 31

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX F.2: PRE-APPLICATION MEETING PRESENTATION

App. F.2/...

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province





DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Points of Discussion / Agenda



Item	Description	Time	Presenter
1	Welcome, Introduction and Outline of Meeting Proceedings	13H00 – 13H10	DFFE
2	Description of the proposed projects	13H10 – 13H30	CSIR and ABO Wind
			renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
3	Discussion on the approach towards the BA and Scoping and EIA reporting,	13H30 – 13H50	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind
	including a request for combination of the projects, in terms of Regulation 11 of		renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
	the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended), and the issuing of multiple EAs		
	(should they be granted) in terms of Regulations 25 (1) and (2) of the 2014		
-	NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended)		
4	Discussion on the specialist assessments and compliance statements to be	13H50 – 14H00	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind
	undertaken as part of the EIA and BA Processes; and to discuss and confirm the		renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
	associated specialist reporting		
5	Discussion on the approach towards including Lithium Ion or Redox Flow Battery	14H00 – 14H05	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind
	Energy Storage Systems in the project descriptions		renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
6	Discussion and confirmation of the proposed Public Participation Plan (PPP)	14H05 – 14H20	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind
_	which will be submitted to the DFFE for approval.		renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
7	Discussion of the proposed schedule and overall process for the EIA and BA	14H20 – 14H40	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind
	Processes, including applicable Listed Activities and Cumulative Impact		renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
	Assessment Approach (i.e. assess cumulative impacts based on a 30 km buffer		
	and based on Renewable Energy projects with a positive EA issued at the start of		
	this EIA and BA (i.e. March 2022))	4 41 4 9 4 41 15 9	
8	Questions	14H40 – 14H50	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind
0		441150 451100	renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
9	Way Forward and Closure	14H50 – 15H00	DFFE

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province






DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

- <u>PROJECT 31</u>: Independent 400/132 kV Main Transmission Substation (MTS), including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s). All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. → BASIC ASSESSMENT (Due to location within the Central Strategic Transmission Corridor → GN 113)
- <u>PROJECT 32</u>: 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. → BASIC ASSESSMENT (Due to location within the Central Strategic Transmission Corridor → GN 113)

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

PROJECTS 1 TO 15 - KUDU SOLAR FACILITY 1 - 15

- Solar Field, comprising Solar Arrays with a maximum height of ~3.5 m, including:
 - PV Modules
 - Single Axis Tracking structures (aligned north-south); Dual Axis Tracking (aligned east-west and north-south); Fixed Tilt Mounting Structure; Mono-facial Solar Modules; or Bifacial Solar Modules.

YEAR ANNIVERSARY

- Solar module mounting structures comprised of galvanised steel and aluminium.
- Capacity of the PV Facilities: 100 MWac 150 MWac
- Area of the PV Array for each facility (i.e. proposed area occupied by PV Modules only): 117 ha 283 ha
- Total developable area for each facility <u>i.e.</u> the area that includes all associated infrastructure within the fenced off area of the PV facility: 124 ha – 317 ha

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

PROJECTS 1 TO 15 – KUDU SOLAR FACILITY 1 - 15

- Building Infrastructure at each PV Facility:
 - On-site Substation Complexes: Area: Up to 4 ha; Height: Up to 10 m; Capacity: *step up from 22kV or 33kV to 132kV. Could include the following:
 - On-site Independent Power Producer (IPP) or Facility Substation (+-1 ha). This will include the relevant section that will be maintained by the IPP, and/or

ANNIVERSARY

- Switching Station and Collector Station (+-2 ha) [Part of Projects 16 30], and/or
- Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) (+-1 ha).
- Inverter-Transformer stations: Height: ~ 3 m; Footprint: 0.5 ha per PV site.
- <u>Auxiliary buildings</u>: Operational and Maintenance (O&M) Control Centre, site offices, staff lockers, bathrooms, warehouses. Cumulative footprint: Up to 0.5 ha (i.e. 5000 m²) per PV site; Height: Up to 10 m.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

PROJECTS 1 TO 15 – KUDU SOLAR FACILITY 1 - 15

- Associated Infrastructure at each PV Facility:
 - Lithium Ion or Redox Flow BESS Area: ~1 ha; Height: Up to 10 m; Capacity: ~500 MW/ 500 MWh. **DISCUSSION POINT

ANNIVERSARY

- Temporary construction laydown area: Up to 7 ha each.
- Internal roads within the PV Facility: ~ 4 5 m wide. Compacted dirt/gravel or paved.
- Main site access road: Up to 8 m wide.
- Upgrading of existing access roads: Existing roads will be used as far as practically achievable. These roads may need to be widened and upgraded. There are three potential access roads from the R48. Current width is ~ 5 m, and the upgraded width is ~ 8 m.
- Fencing: To be confirmed.
- Stormwater channels: To be confirmed.
- Panel maintenance and cleaning area.
- Underground low voltage cables or cable trays: Maximum depth of up to 1.5 m.
- Internal distribution lines: Underground, up to 33 kV (22kV or 33kV), Maximum depth of 1.5 m.
- Water will either be sourced from (a) the local municipality; (b) a third-party water supplier; (c) existing boreholes on site; (d) a borehole drilled on site

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

PROJECTS 16 TO 30: SWITCHING STATIONS, COLLECTOR STATIONS AND OVERHEAD LINES

- Development of a Switching Station and Collector Station (+-2 ha each) at each On-site Substation Complex at each Solar Facility:
 - High voltage infrastructure extending from the Point of Connection (<u>i.e.</u> Eskom's section) of the proposed on-site substation complexes. To be transferred from the IPP to Eskom.

ANNIVERSARY

- The 15 On-site Substation Complexes would each have [the option to receive] a Collector Station depending on the grid connection scenario.
- The Collector Station will be an extension of the Switching Station (with additional feeder bays added to the Switching Station etc.) located within the footprint of the On-site Substation Complex and/or EGI Corridor.
- Both the Switching Station and Collector Station will be assessed and need to be authorised.
- Development of 132 kV Overhead Power Line from each Kudu Solar Facility to the proposed Collector Station (which could be at any one of the 15 On-site Substation Complexes) or up to the proposed Main Transmission Substation [Project 31]. Up to 15 overhead power lines could be constructed and need to be assessed.
- All activities are proposed within the EGI Corridors to be assessed.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

PROJECT 31: INDEPENDENT 400/132 KV MTS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE

- If the Eskom Hydra B Substation is <u>not</u> built, the following will be undertaken by the developer:
 - Development of an independent 400/132 kV MTS, including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbars and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s).

ANNIVERSARY

- All activities are proposed within the EGI Corridors to be assessed.
- If the Eskom Hydra B Substation is built, then the following will be undertaken by the developer:
 - Additional upgrades of the Eskom Hydra B substation would be undertaken to ensure that the substation can accommodate the power generated by the 15 Kudu Solar Facilities.
 - In terms of the worst case, it is estimated that this will entail additional feeder bays, busbars transformers, transformer bays at the Eskom Hydra B substation (once it is constructed).
 - This would be seen as expansion to the existing Eskom Hydra B Substation.
 - Therefore, the scope of work may be reduced should the Eskom Hydra B substation be built.
 - All expansion activities are expected to fall within the EGI Corridors to be assessed.

Both of the above will be assessed by the specialists and need to be authorised. If the Eskom Hydra B Substation is built after the EA is issued for this project (should it be granted), then relevant amendments to the EA will be undertaken, if required. **DISCUSSION POINT


DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

PROJECT 32: 400 KV LILO

- If the Eskom Hydra B Substation is <u>not</u> built, the following will be undertaken by the developer:
 - Development of a 6.5 km long 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS.
 - All activities are proposed within the EGI Corridors to be assessed.
- If the Eskom Hydra B Substation is built, then the following will be undertaken by the developer:
 - Upgrading the EGI as required at the time.
 - All expansion activities are expected to fall within the EGI Corridors to be assessed.
- Both of the above will be assessed by the specialists and need to be authorised. If the Eskom Hydra B Substation is built
 after the EA is issued for this project (should it be granted), then relevant amendments to the EA will be undertaken, if required.
 **DISCUSSION POINT



DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province




DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province


PROJECT DESCRIPTION


- Summary of Discussion Points:
- Lithium Ion or Redox Flow Battery Energy Storage Systems
 - Based on our understanding, Battery technology can be assessed as part of the EIAs or BAs
 - Projects are not located in a REDZs
 - Risks will be considered
- Projects 31 and 32: Both scenarios of whether the Eskom Hydra B Substation is developed or not will be assessed and need to be authorised. If the Eskom Hydra B Substation is built after the EA is issued for this project (should it be granted), then relevant amendments to the EA will be undertaken, if required.











15

EIA Regulation 11:

YEAR ANNIVERSARY

(4) If one or more proponents intend undertaking interrelated activities at the same or different locations within the area of jurisdiction of a competent authority, the competent authority may, in writing, agree that the proponent or proponents submit a single application in respect of all of those activities and to conduct a consolidated assessment process but the potential environmental impacts of each activity, including its cumulative impacts, must be considered in terms of the location where the activity is to be undertaken.

(5) Where a combined application is submitted as contemplated in these Regulations, the proponent must, prior to submission of the application, confirm with the competent authority the fee payable in terms of the applicable regulations for such combined application.

EIA Regulation 25:

(1) If the competent authority decides to grant authorisation, the competent authority must issue an environmental authorisation or environmental authorisations complying with regulation 26 to, and in the name of, the applicant or applicants.

(2) If the competent authority decides to grant authorisation in respect of an application, the competent authority may issue a single environmental authorisation or **multiple environmental authorisations** in the name of the same or different applicants covering all aspects for which authorisation is granted.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Combined Reporting and Multiple EAs



No.	Projects	Applicant	Number of Applications and Reports	Number of EAs	
1		Kudu Solar Facility 1 (Pty) Ltd			
2		Kudu Solar Facility 2 (Pty) Ltd			
3		Kudu Solar Facility 3 (Pty) Ltd]		
4		Kudu Solar Facility 4 (Pty) Ltd			
5	PROJECTS 1 TO 15: The proposed	Kudu Solar Facility 5 (Pty) Ltd]		
6	development of 15 x Up to 150 MWac Solar	Kudu Solar Facility 6 (Pty) Ltd	1 Combined Application for EA	15 EAs (i.e. one for each PV	
7	PV Facilities and associated infrastructure	Kudu Solar Facility 7 (Pty) Ltd			
8	(i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 1 to Kudu Solar Facility 15), near De Aar, Northern Cape.	Kudu Solar Facility 8 (Pty) Ltd	1 Combined Scoping Report 1 Combined EIA Report		Facility, including the IPP substation)
9	These projects require Scoping and	Kudu Solar Facility 9 (Pty) Ltd			
10	Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	Kudu Solar Facility 10 (Pty) Ltd			
11	Processes.	Kudu Solar Facility 11 (Pty) Ltd			
12		Kudu Solar Facility 12 (Pty) Ltd			
13		Kudu Solar Facility 13 (Pty) Ltd			
14		Kudu Solar Facility 14 (Pty) Ltd]		
15		Kudu Solar Facility 15 (Pty) Ltd			

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Combined Reporting and Multiple EAs



No.	Projects	Applicant	Number of Applications and Reports	Number of EAs
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	PROJECTS 16 TO 30 : The proposed development of Switching Stations and Collector Stations at each On-Site Substation Complex at each of the 15 x Kudu Solar Facilities, and up to 15 x 132 kV Overhead Power Lines running from each Solar Facility to the proposed Collector Station(s) or up to the proposed Main Transmission Substation. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. These projects require Basic Assessment (BA) Processes.		1 Combined Application for EA 1 Combined BA Report	15 EAs (<u>i.e.</u> one for each line, Switching Station and Collector Station)

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

> Y E A R A N N I V E R S A R Y

Combined Reporting and Multiple EAs

No.	Projects	Applicant	Number of Applications and Reports	Number of EAs
31	PROJECT 31 : The proposed development of an independent 400/132 kV Main Transmission Substation (MTS), including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s). All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. This project requires a BA Process.	ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd	1 Combined Application for EA 1 Combined BA Report	2 EAs (one for the MTS and one for the LILO)
32	PROJECT 32 : The proposed development of a 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS. All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors to be assessed. This project requires a BA Process.			

Summary:	
3 * Combined Applications for EA [instead of 32 separate Applications]	
1 * Combined Scoping Report for Projects 1 - 15 [instead of 15 separate reports]	
1 * Combined EIA Report for Projects 1 - 15 [instead of 15 separate reports]	
 1 * Combined BA Report for Projects 16 – 30 [instead of 15 separate reports] 	
 1 * Combined BA Report for Projects 31 – 32 [instead of 2 separate reports] 	

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Combined Reporting and Multiple EAs

- Motivation:
 - Separate EAs are required for the REIPPPP bidding requirements and to facilitate easier hand over of relevant infrastructure to Eskom later
 - Separate EAs would reduce the likelihood and need for splitting of EAs in future, which is costly and time-consuming for all
 parties involved
 - Aim towards a more efficient process for the EAP in terms of drafting reports; for the stakeholders in terms of reviewing reports and providing comments; as well as for the CA in terms of reviewing and decision-making
 - Where information is the same for each facility or EGI project, it will be summarised as applicable to all, and where it differs, it will be separated into sections, for example, all proponents names, project names and portion numbers (as it should be reflected in each EA) can be indicated in the appendices for each project
 - All impacts, sensitivities and legal requirements in terms of the EIA Regulations will still be met in the combined reporting and applications.
- 19

Such consolidated or combined reporting is allowed for in the EIA Regulations (i.e. Regulation 11 (4))

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

> Y E A R A N N I V E R S A R Y

Combined Reporting and Multiple EAs

Summary of Discussion Points:

- Can the Department provide feedback on the combined reporting and multiple EA approach?
- Process for approval? Letter to be compiled by the EAP and sent to the Department for approval? 30-day timeframe?
- · Combined reporting has been undertaken previously and is regarded as beneficial and efficient
- Release the BID regardless of the combination approach to initiate the PPP as early as possible. BID will be kept generic in terms of the reporting structure.



DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

List of Specialist Studies and Specialists



Specialist Study	Specialist Company	Specialist Name	Type of Assessment
Agriculture and Soils	Private	Johann Lanz	Assessment Protocol GN320 (March 2020):
			Site Sensitivity Verification and Compliance
			Statement
Terrestrial Biodiversity,	Enviro-Insight	Corne Niemandt	Assessment Protocols GN320 (March 2020)
Terrestrial Plant Species, and			and GN1150 (October 2020): Site Sensitivity
Terrestrial Animal Species			Verification; Compliance Statement for Animals
			(excluding Birds); Full Assessment for
			Terrestrial Biodiversity and Plants (TBC)
Aquatic Biodiversity and	Private	Toni Belcher	Assessment Protocol GN320 (March 2020):
Species			Site Sensitivity Verification and Compliance
			Statement or Full Assessment
Avifauna Assessment	Chris van <u>Rooyen</u>	Chris van Rooyen	Assessment Protocol GN1150 (October
	Consulting	and Albert Froneman	2020): Site Sensitivity Verification and
			Compliance Statement or Full Assessment
			(TBC)

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

List of Specialist Studies and Specialists

Specialist Study	Specialist Company	Specialist Name	Type of Assessment
Visual Impact Assessment	QARC and BOLA	Quinton Lawson	Part A of Assessment Protocol GN320 (March 2020): Site Sensitivity Verification
		Bernard Oberholzer	 Appendix 6 of 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations
Heritage Impact Assessment	ASHA Consulting	Jayson Orton	 Part A of Assessment Protocol GN320 (March 2020): Site Sensitivity Verification Appendix 6 of 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations
Palaeontology Assessment	Natura Viva	John Almond	 Part A of Assessment Protocol GN320 (March 2020): Site Sensitivity Verification Appendix 6 of 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations
Socio-Economic Assessment (PV only)	Private	Tony Barbour	Appendix 6 of 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations
Traffic Impact Assessment (PV only)	Sturgeon Consulting	Annebet Krige	Appendix 6 of 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations
Geohydrology Assessment (PV only)	GEOSS	Dale Barrow Christel van Staden Julian Conrad	 Appendix 6 of 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations
BESS Risk Assessment (PV only)	Ishecon	Debbie Mitchell	 Technical Assessment (does not comply with Appendix 6 of 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations)

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

List of Specialist Studies and Specialists

Specialist Study	Specialist Company	Specialist Name	Type of Assessment
Civil Aviation Assessment	CSIR	EAPs	Protocol: Compliance
			Statement (VH, H, M) or No
			Requirement (L) *
Defence Assessment (PV	CSIR	EAPs	Protocol: Compliance
only)			Statement (VH, H, M) or No
			Requirement (L) *


Motivation to exclude Geotechnical Assessment ** DISCUSSION POINT

- Contractors and suppliers will only be selected and appointed after preferred bidder status is obtained (should it be granted).
- To ensure that all aspects are covered in the assessment, suppliers of sub-structures, inverters and transformers and civil sub-contractors are required to provide input into the scope of work of the Geotechnical Assessment.
- Therefore, Geotechnical Assessments can only be undertaken during detailed design, if preferred bidder status is obtained.
- 24

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

List of Specialist Studies and Specialists: EMI & RFI





- The Screening Tool specifies the need for a Radio Frequency Interference (RFI) [and Electro-Magnetic Interference (EMI)] study.
- Proposed projects are located outside of the Karoo Central Astronomy Advantage Area (KCAAA) and Square Kilometre Array (SKA).
- The Screening Tool shows that the project area falls within a low and medium sensitivity in terms of RFI. The medium sensitivity is due to being located between 30 and 60 km from a Weather Radar installation and within the radar's line of sight.
- Therefore, it is motivated to exclude the need for a <u>RFI</u> and EMI assessment.
 **DISCUSSION POINT
- ABO Wind will engage with the SKA / <u>SARAO</u> to motivate that an EMI and <u>RFI</u> Assessment is not required as the site falls outside of the SKA and <u>KCAAA</u>.
- · Further actions will be determined following response from the SKA / SARAO.
- · Comments from the SKA / SARAO will be requested during the EIA Process.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Key Sensitivities



1 . 1 . 1 Therease

26

Avifauna

- Screening Tool shows:
 - Medium sensitivity for Neotis Iudwigii (Ludwig's Bustard)
 - Medium sensitivity for Aquila verreauxii (Verreaux's eagle)
- Medium Sensitivity sites according to the definition in the protocol will require a full Specialist Assessment Report or Compliance Statement.
- Species Environmental Assessment Guideline and BirdLife South Africa's (BLSA) Best Practice guidelines for assessing and monitoring the impact of solar energy facilities on birds (Jenkins et al., 2017) will be used.
- The site has been classified as a Regime 2 site, which requires a reconnaissance visit plus two surveys to be conducted within a period of 6 months.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Specialis	st Combined Reporting
Specialist Study Number	Projects to cover in the Specialist Assessment
Report Number 1	PROJECTS 1 TO 15 : Kudu Solar Facility 1 – 15 and associated infrastructure
Report Number 2	PROJECTS 16 TO 30 : Switching Stations and Collector Stations at each On-Site Substation Complex at each of the 15 x Kudu Solar Facilities, and up to 15 x 132 kV Overhead Power Lines running from each Solar Facility to the proposed Collector Station(s) or up to the proposed Main Transmission Substation.
	PROJECT 31 : Independent 400/132 kV Main Transmission Substation (MTS), including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132 kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500 MVA 400/132 kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s).
	PROJECT 32: 400 kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400 kV Overhead Power Line to the proposed MTS.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Specialist Combined Reporting

Motivation:

- Projects are in the same locality
- Clear sections will be provided in the reports showing information that is different or specific to certain projects. All relevant
 information will be provided

NNIVERSARY

- Reduce the number of reports to be reviewed by the Competent Authority and Stakeholders
- · Combined reporting has been undertaken previously and is regarded as beneficial and efficient

Discussion Points / Questions:

- Combined specialist reporting approved?
- Should the combined BA and EIA Reporting be approved, would it be suitable to provide the same specialist study for the various reports, for example for the EGI Projects 16 30 the same specialist study will be provided for all the Draft BA Reports?



DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Public Participation



- Integrated PPP to be undertaken for all projects
- BID to be compiled, distributed electronically and placed on the project website and similar online platforms (e.g. Google Drive).
- Compilation of an I&AP database to be updated throughout
- The following letters will be **<u>emailed</u>** to all I&APs on the database, where email addresses are available.
 - Letter 1 Release of the BID

30

- Letter 2 Release of the Draft Scoping Report
- Letter 3 Submission of the Final Scoping Report
- Letter 4 Decision on the Final Scoping Report and commencement of the BA and EIA Phase
- Letter 5 Release of the Draft EIA Report and Draft BA Reports
- Letter 6 Submission of the Final EIA Report and Final BA Reports
- Letter 7 Decision on the Environmental Authorisation
- Executive Summaries of the Draft Scoping Reports, Draft EIA Reports and Draft BA Reports will be emailed to all I&APs, where email addresses are available.

The Draft Scoping Reports, Draft EIA Reports and Draft BA Reports will be uploaded to the project website for I&APs to access it. The same will be undertaken for Final Reports.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Public Participation



- As a supplementary mechanism, the Draft Scoping Reports, Draft EIA Reports and Draft BA Reports will also be uploaded to other alternative web-platforms such as Dropbox or Google Drive. The same will be undertaken for Final BA Reports.
- If an I&AP cannot access the report via the project website, via the alternative web-platforms such as Dropbox or Google Drive, and if additional information is required (other than what is provided in the Executive Summaries), then the I&AP can contact the EAP, who will then make an electronic copy available via courier or post (where possible).
- SMS texts will also be sent to all I&APs on the database, where cell phone numbers are available, to inform them of the proposed
 project and how to access the Draft Scoping Reports, Draft EIA Reports and Draft BA Reports; as well as the notification of the
 EAs.
- Where possible, communication will be made with the ward councillor or similar community forums to request that they send notifications of the project and report availability and executive summaries via their local networks (such as WhatsApp groups, Neighbourhood Watch groups, other social media mechanisms etc.).
- In order to notify and inform the public of the proposed project, to invite I&APs to register on the project database, as well as to inform I&APs of the release of the Scoping Reports, Draft EIA Reports and Draft BA Reports for comment, the processes will be advertised in English and Afrikaans in one local newspaper at the commencement of the 30-day comment periods.
- Site notice boards will be placed at the entrance of the key affected farm portions on which the proposed projects will be constructed, as well as at well-known retail or government facilities in De Aar (or similar).
- Submit all reports, applications and required information to DFFE via the S-Filer Novell System.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Listed Activities




- Any feedback based on pre-application meeting request form?
- For the MTS Project (i.e. Project 31), it is understood that the following listed activity is not applicable for upgrades at the Eskom Hydra B Substation (once constructed), as the proposed transformer and feeder bay extensions will not increase the capacity of the Eskom Hydra B Substation (i.e. it will remain at 400 kV) and it will not increase the development footprint (i.e. all work will take place within the fenced area of the substation). In order for this listed activity to apply, there needs to be both a capacity increase and development footprint increase:
 - LN 1: Activity 47: The expansion of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity where the expanded capacity will exceed 275 kilovolts **and** the development footprint will increase.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Proposed Schedule







DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX F.3: PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES

App. F.3/...

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



MINUTES

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 15 SOLAR PV FACILITIES (I.E. KUDU SOLAR FACILITIES), ELECTRICITY GRID INFRASTRUCTURE AND VARIOUS ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, NEAR DE AAR, NORTHERN CAPE

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY, FISHERIES AND THE ENVIRONMENT (DFFE)

PRE-APPLICATION REFERENCE NUMBER: 2022-04-0005

MEETING NOTES Version 1 for Review: 06 May 2022

Meeting:	Pre-Application Meeting: Meeting Notes			
Date of Meeting:	26 April 2022			
Meeting Platform:	Microsoft Teams			
Duration:	13H00 – 15H00			
Attendees:	Mahlatse Shubane (MS), DFFE IEA Nyiko Nkosi (NN), DFFE IEA Lerato Mokoena (LM), DFFE IEA Lerato Mokoena (LM), DFFE IEA Thembisile Hlatshwayo (TH), DFFE IEA Makhosi Yeni (MY), DFFE IEA Zama Langa (ZL), DFFE IEA Constance Musemburi (CM), DFFE IEA DFFE IEA = Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment Integrated Environmental			
Apologies	Olivia Letlalo (OL), DFFE IEA Wayne Hector (WH), DFFE IEA Rob Invernizzi (RI), ABO Wind Petrus Scheepers (PS), ABO Wind			
Attendance Register	 Appendix A 			

1. Purpose of Meeting and Agenda

A pre-application meeting was held between the National Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE), ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd (ABO Wind), and the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) on 26 April 2022 to discuss the Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), and Basic Assessments (BAs) for the Proposed Development of 15 Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facilities (i.e. Kudu Solar Facilities), Electricity Grid Infrastructure (EGI) and various associated infrastructure, near De Aar, in the Northern Cape.

The meeting was chaired by RA and DM. The meeting took place according to the agenda below:

Item	Description	Time	Presenter
1	Welcome, Introduction and Outline of Meeting Proceedings	13H00 – 13H10	DFFE
2	Description of the proposed projects	13H10 – 13H30	CSIR and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
3	Discussion on the approach towards the BA and Scoping and EIA reporting, including a request for combination of the projects, in terms of Regulation 11 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended), and the issuing of multiple EAs (should they be granted) in terms of Regulations 25 (1) and (2) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended)	13H30 - 13H50	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
4	Discussion on the specialist assessments and compliance statements to be undertaken as part of the EIA and BA Processes; and to discuss and confirm the associated specialist reporting	13H50 – 14H00	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
5	Discussion on the approach towards including Lithium Ion or Redox Flow Battery Energy Storage Systems in the project descriptions	14H00 – 14H05	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
6	Discussion and confirmation of the proposed Public Participation Plan (PPP) which will be submitted to the DFFE for approval.	14H05 – 14H20	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
7	Discussion of the proposed schedule and overall process for the EIA and BA Processes, including applicable Listed Activities and Cumulative Impact Assessment Approach (i.e. assess cumulative impacts based on a 30 km buffer and based on Renewable Energy projects with a positive EA issued at the start of this EIA and BA (i.e. March 2022))	14H20 – 14H40	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd

Page 1 of 9

Hom	Description	1.7.1.2.1.2	Time	Presenter
8	Questions		14H40 - 14H50	CSIR, DFFE and ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd
8	Way Forward and Closure		14H50 - 15H00	OFFE
RA in provic Energ based	Presentation: Project Description; Inclusion of Ba Reporting and Request for Multiple Environmental A Participation; Applicable Listed Activities; Cumulativ filated introductions and explained that questions could led a presentation on the background of the propose y Storage Systems (BESS), as well as the proposed or I Screening Tool were also discussed along with the Participation Process: approach to cumulative impacts	uthorisatio ve Impact A be raised at d projects, smbined rep specialist a	ns; Specialist Studi- ssessment Approac any point and as required which included feedt orting approach. Key ssessments and rep	is to be commissioned; Public h; and Proposed Schedule uired during the presentation. RA sack on the inclusion of Battery findings from the National Web- ofting proposed. Thereafter, the
15.805	arately attached to these meeting notes. Slowing comments were raised, and corresponding resp			
	Comments Raised	Respons		
 RA A Trans Kindly 57-da to 30 	If the projects are located within the Central Strategic mission Corridor that was gazetted in February 2018. confirm that Projects 16 to 32 will be subjected to a y decision making timeframe even though Projects 16 do not trigger any Environmental Impact Assessment listed activities in Listing Notice 2.	 NN a pro- decit Envir that corrit prop land subn 	Projects 18 to 32 fail s opect is writhin the gaze ison-making timefram ronmental Authorisat need to be met fo dors, such as proo osed power line to owners. When the nitted, a pre-negota where consent for	within the gazetted EGI corridor, and etted EGI corridor, the reduced 57-da e will apply. The Application Form for ion (EA) stipulates the requirement in reports within the gazetted EC to confirm that the route of th has been pre-negoliated with the Basic Assessment (BA) Report i ited route must be included, an the pre-negoliated route must be the pre-negoliated route must be included.
		landi can	owner consent strictly	GN 113 are understood, however i needed to confirm pre-negotiation o licant stating that the route has bee d?
		we deve with serve see	equire landowner o lopment to prove the the relevant landown e as proof of pre-neg	Its within the gazetted EGI corridors onsent even though it is a linew it the route has been pre-negobate ers. A letter from the Applicant will no obtain, as the Department needs t aware and agreed to the power lin
		* RA:	t is noted that landow	ner consent is required.
		the F Henc (Proj Tran be c show relev	V and EGI that are o at we have consent ects 16 to 30). For smission Substation (onstructed in the so in on the map, we hand the ant landowners and h	develop on the properties affected b Jäined in black on the map presented for the proposed internal grid line r the external grid lines and Mai MTS) (Projects 31 and 32), which w Uthem end of the blue EGI corrido tave discussed the projects with th ave in principle agreement. Howeve e-negotiation can be obtained.
Biodiv prese these databi Proce Protec	is acknowledged that representatives from the DFFE ensity Conservation Directorate should have been it at the pre-application meeting. Kindly ensure that representatives are included in the stakeholder use and consulted with during the BA and EIA sees. The same applies to representatives from DFFE ted Areas Directorate, if the project is located in	 RA file b requi MS 	Noted with thanks, C ased on previous pri ested via email follow Noted, these details v	ontact details of these officials are o ojects, however recent details will b
 RA: I Storag descri a high unden 	thy to such areas. Both Lithium-Ion and Redox Flow Battery Energy is Systems (BESS) will be included in the project ption and assessed in the EIA Processes. In addition. -level BESS Role Assessment will be undertaken to itand the safety, health and environmental risks ared with the BESS, from a technical perspective.	the prefe conti	EIA Reports, and th med and alternative	nfirmed. There will be two options is e EAP needs to note which is th option and provide reasons. Pleas entainty on which options will b
Please Flow I but bo will b	sconfirm the bESS train a technical perspective sconfirm if both the Lithium tion BESS and Redox BESS can be included in the EA, not as alternatives, th technologies being authorised. Both technologies a assessed by the specialists, and relevant listed es will be included.	is so for s	me flexibility in the E election of the availat	I be fully assessed in the EIA. If ther A that would be appreciated to allo the technology at the time. We have e various BESS technologies wer the EA.

Queries or Comments Raised	Responses
	PL. The environmental impacts of both BESS options w certainly be considered in the EIA. Having both option authorised would assist from a technical and plannin perspective, as the financial aspects, availability and efficienc of the different BESS options will keep changing as the market change. So, the decision from the developer on which technically and commercially the best option would only b made in the future, depending on the market situation However, from an environmental point of view, we would mak sure that the impacts are understood, acceptable and proper managed, whichever option is pursued.
	 DM: The Lithium-Ion would most likely be the preferred option but from an EA point of view, if it is possible to have bot technologies authorised then at least the technology can be selected later based on the market changes and practicality a the time. For now, we would like to keep our options open from an EA point of view.
	 MS: Currently we cannot confirm, and we do not want to pre- empt our decision. Your intentions and reasons for requestin both BESS technology options to be authorised are understood however for now, it is confirmed that a motivation can be pr forward in the report and a decision will be made accordingly.
	 RA: Noted, both BESS technology options will be assessed i the EIA and a motivation will be included in the EIA Report I potentially authorise both options.
	 NN: The feedback from MS is supported in the EIA Report, th EAP must assess all alternatives and then indicate in motivation that both options are preferred and viable fe authorisation. The DFFE will then take a decision when the E will be issued the EA if both options cannot be authorised, an one is selected by the DFFE and if the technology change based on the market post-EA, then the Applicant can apply fe an Amendment to the EA, provided that both options wer assessed in the EIA.
 NN: Please clarify the number of power lines and substations per application? 	RA: Projects 16 to 30 will each include the development of on Switching Station and Collector Station at each On-sit Substation Complex; and it will also include the development of a 132 kV overhead power line from each Kudu Solar Facility the proposed Collector Station or up to the proposed Ma Transmission Substation. A total of 15 overhead power line needs to be assessed based on the worst-case scenario, as th requirements of Eskom and the IPP office are not known at this stage, and it is not known which project will receive prefere bidder status. In reality, and once the uncertainties an confirmed, the number of power lines may be reduced.
	NN: Noted with thanks.
 NN: For Projects 31 and 32, will the BA include both alternatives of whether the Eskom Hydra B substation is not built or is built? 	RA: They are not being proposed as alternatives for the preferred one to be selected at the end of the BA, but rather 1 limit the amount of EA Amendments later, we are requesting to both options to be included in the EA, if possible. The won case is the option of the Eskom Hydra B substation not bein built, resulting in a new MTS to be developed by the Applican The second option is if the Eskom Hydra B substation is buil then the project will only entail upgrading of the Eskor substation. All proposed infrastructure for these projects will fin in the proposed EGI comidor. The same applies to Project 32 fi the Loop-in-Loop-out. Is it suitable to then have both option authonsed in the EA, should they be granted?
	 NN: DFFE colleagues that have been allocated Projects 31 an 32 need to provide feedback. It is not possible to provid confirmation now based on the uncertainty around what need to be upgraded at the Eskom substation. This can potentially b discussed with the relevant case officers via email.
	 LM: The second option is to expand the Eskom Hydra Substation, if and when Eskom constructs such a substation When is Eskom going to build this substation?

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Queries or Comments Raised	Responses
	DM Eskorn has proposed to develop the Hydra B substation as noted in their Transmission Development Plan. It is unsure exactly when they will construct it. Some records refer to 2022 and other references state the estimated construction date as 2030. From the Applicant's perspective, this is a strategis concept as we know that Eskorn wants to build the Hydra B substation, but we do not know when this will happen. Hence, we are requesting to cater for both options. The worst case is it the Hydra B substation is not built, which would mean we would need to develop a new independent connection point. However, if the Hydra B substation is built at the time, then we will just upgrade the relevant components at the substation.
	 LM: The first option of developing an independent MTS based on the worst case is understood. However, there are concerns around the second option of upgrading the Eskom Hydra B substation, as we cannot base a decision on something that has not been built yet, and without knowing whether Eskom will definitely build this substation, what the upgrades will entail, and whether Eskom will even allow connection once built.
	 DM if Eskom does build the Hydra 8 substation, then the Applicant would approach Eskom to get confirmation and approval to connect into that substation. The worst-case scenario would be to develop an independent MTS ourselves, resulting in the biggest impact. So, if that is authorised, then the worst-case scenario is covered. From an EA perspective, we would like to see if it is possible to cover both options.
	 LM Will the developer wait for Eskorn to build the Hydra E Substation, to undertake the proposed expansion?
	 DM. Yes, that is likely, However, to an extent we will not wait for Enkom, as the option to build an independent MTS would be assessed as well. If Eskom has not built the substation, and the Applicant has already developed the PV projects then the Applicant would develop the independent MTS.
	LM The Department cannot authorise an expansion or infrastructure that is not in existence yet.
	 DM Noted, this provides clarification needed, confirming that a will not be possible to authorise the expansion to the Eskorr Hydra 8 substation as it does not exist currently.
	 RA: Noted, we will proceed with the worst case, which is to develop a new independent MTS if the Eakom Hydra E substation is not constructed. We will also discuss further via email with the relevant case officers.
 CM: is the DFFE the Competent Authority for these projects? 	RA Based on GN 779, these renewable energy projects are related to the integrated Resources Plan (IRP) and will be entered into the Renewable Energy Independent Powe Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP). We are submitting the Applications for EA for the PV Facilities, EGI and associated infrastructure to the Department in parallel. We understand that the DFFE is the Competent Authority. This is alloo based on previous Applications for EA for similar projects which received EA from the Department, where the PV or Wind project is part of the REIPPPP, and the EGI is needed to support the PV or Wind project.
 AE: With regards to the request for combination of reports and multiple EAs, it depends on whether this is approved by the Department or not. If approval is granted, note that the report uplicad process must be undertaken for each EA being requested. For example, if you have 15 PV projects, and you have one combined report, you still must upload that report 15 times, because the EIA Admin team has to create a Reference Number for every single project and will expect separate zipped files. 	RA: Noted with thanks. In the previous projects where combination was granted, we were allowed to submit one zipped file per combined report and label the zipped file according to the reference numbers. For example, one combined BA Report was compiled for three PV projects, which needed three EAs, and the zipped folder name included the three reference numbers. However, we can upload the combined reports in a way that would be beneficial to the Department and facilitate the allocation process.
 NN We do not support the option of a combination application because this project is a large number for one case officer to deal with. For example, with the 15 PV projects, that means one case officer would need to go through one report detailing all 15 PV projects, and issue 15 	 RA: Please confirm if we can still apply for a combination request with a motivation as discussed, and for the DFFE to consider the motivation and then decide? Or is the Department explaining that we should not submit a request? Combination is allowed for in the regulations, and we feel, based on previous

Page 4 of 9

 therefore have the reduced decision-making immetane. Which adds additional there presents in terms of the allocation process, for example, JMC has been allocated for an 15, and the other projects have been allocated accordingly to other case officer in expending with regards to combined applications. It does no expectately assessment that deals with all 15 PV projects. The provide generates the optimized in the other projects in the protein of an other projects in the project in the other projects in the provide generate the definition. RA. Noted with flamica Wei and with all 15 PV projects in the provide generate the other projects in the other projects in the project is the other thanks the definition making therefanes into account: For example, JMC and the projects in the other proj	 Interform Nave the instructed decision-making immating which adds additional time possale in terms of the addition process, for example, JAC has been allocated how been allocated accordingly to other case of the c	 Therefore have the reduced decision-making limitimes of the allocation process. for example, JMA has been allocated the project have been required to a control to the process. If and the state the meeting beauting to their case officient to do one specialisit assessment that deals with all 15. PV project. Separate proofs are reguring. If a not adviced to do one specialisit assessment that deals with all 15. PV project. For the request for contribution to do one specialisit assessment that deals with all 15. PV project. RAX Need with thanks the different assessment by provide the substations. HAX Need with a non-regort with a control of the case officient with a different assessment by provide the project of a control with a non-regort and the project of the 30 with the ansing timeframe with a different assessment by provide the control of the case officient as a public state to a substations. HAX Need with non-regort with a BA Process and 57-dy decision-realing timeframe to a control for the case officient as a split according to the prost as the subjected to a control for the case officient as a split according to the prost and the provider the prostates. The split according to the prost and the control more instate and substations. MMI 1 advice that y would be possible for using the properties of the case officient are split according to the prost and decision-realing timeframe to a control for the case officient are split according to the prost and the properties and split be prostates. MMI 1 advice that y would be possible for using the properties of the case officient are split control for the prostate. The properties are split according to the properties and split be regorded to a different assessed by 7-dy decision method be properties. The properties and split be control for a different assessed by 7-dy decision method be properties. The properties are assigned to for example. The properties are assigned to for example and providers are assi
Io go through the report that covers all 15 PV projects and on focus on the projects they have been assigned, for examp PV7, PV8 and PV9. So, if the case officers can do this, why is not possible for the EAP to separate the reports accordingly We want to avoid confusion because if you give us one report, will have information for all 15 PV projects, and will be repetition of all information such as project description, fan portions, impact assessments, and specialist recommendation for all 15 PV projects. It will be a huge report detailing all th projects and significant repetition. We are trying to avoid situation where one case officer needs to issue 15 EA, so w have split the projects to various case officers in differe	 to go through the report that covers all 15 PV projects and on focus on the project they have been assigned, for examp PV7, PV8 and PV9. So, if the case officers can do this, why is not possible for the EAP to separate the reports accordingly. We want to avoid cortunion because if you give us one report, will have information for all 15 PV projects, and will be repetition of all information such as project description, far portions, impact assessments, and specialist recommendation for all 15 PV projects. It will be a huge report detailing all to projects and significant repetition. We are trying to avoid situation where one case officer needs to issue 15 EAs, so whave split the projects to various case officers in offered departments. If you consider combination, then it should not to 15 projects in one report. RAL in terms of the report structure, this will be compiled in three information for allor each facility or EGI project. Where the information is it same for each facility or EGI project. Where the information is the same for each activity or EGI project. Where the information differs or needs to be specified for a specific project. Where the information differs or needs to be specific for a specific project. 	 Is og through the report that covers all 15 PV projects and on focus on the projects they have been assigned, for example PV7, PV8 and PV9. So, if the case officers can do this, why is not possible for the EAP to separate the reports accordingly V4e want to avoid cordination because if you give us one report, will have information for all 15 PV projects, and will be repetition of all information such as project desorption, fan proficins, impact assessments, and specialist recommendation for all 15 PV projects. It will be a huge report detailing all the projects and significant repetition. We are trying to avoid situation where one case officer needs to issue 15 EAs, so whave split the projects in one report. Perhaps the projects can be grouped in a more suitable way to ensure that case officers do not so with many projects. Perhaps they can be grouped in a three one cash officien reeds to issue 15 for any to repet the table away to requert the information is three one report. RA: In terms of the report structure, this will be compiled in way to reduce the duplication. Where the information is the same for each facility or EGI project. It will be aummarized i one section or table and structure that applies to all, without the termston for a specific project it will be summarized in sections, for example. The reporting the information for a specific project is will be separated into sections, for example. The reporting to a specific project. For example, if the terrestrial occing is project. For example, if the structure of a specific project is and that applies to all to first project. For example, if the terrestrial occing is project. For example, if the identical impart and habitat fragmentation in the project is util be applies to all to first project.
	 departments. If you consider combination, then it should not 15 projects in one report. Perhaps the projects can be grouped in a more suitable way to ensure that case officers do not with many projects. Perhaps they can be grouped in three four projects in one report. RA: In terms of the report structure, this will be compiled in way to reduce the duplication. Where the information is the same for each facility or EGI project, it will be summarized one section or table and stated that it is applicable to all, with repeating the information for each project. The reporting it will be separated into sections, for example. The reporting it will be separated into sections. 	 departments. If you consider combination, then it should not 15 projects in one report. Perhaps the projects can be grouped in a more suitable way to ensure that case officers do not with many projects. Perhaps they can be grouped in three four projects in one report. RA: In terms of the report structure, this will be complied in way to reduce the duplication. Where the information is to same for each facility or EGI project, it will be summarized one section or table and stated that it is applicable to all, with repeating the information for each project. Where the information differs or needs to be specific project where the information differs or needs to be specific project. The reporting the clear in terms of what applies to all projects and what applies to all specific project. For example, if the ternstrial ecolor specialist identifies an impact of habitat fragmentation in applies to all 15 PV projects and it has the identical impacts.

Queries or Comments Raised	Responses
	table 15 times, but we will have one table and note in a head that this applies to projects 1 to 15. It reduces the amount material to be compiled, reviewed by case officers a stakeholders, and therefore reduces the burden on the ca officers. We will take the Department's concerns into accou- and try to re-group or re-combine the projects so that it is mo manageable for the case officer. We are also following a simil combined reporting process for the Aardvark project, which N is involved in.
	 MS: I would like to discourage the combination but there nothing that stops the EAP and Applicant from applying for combination. Will it save the EAP time to write a combin report rather than compling separate reports, as all to information will be repeated in one combined report any way There are many projects being combined in one report, and the may result in administrative errors in the EAs, such as include a farm portion that is not applicable to that specific project that EA.
	 CMI I also discourage combination of applications as it confusing for the public. You can still submit the combinati- request, and the Department will make a decision.
	 RA: A reiteration that information that is the same for projects will not be duplicated in the report. Based on previo combined reports, there were no concerns raised stakeholders. We will consider the comments from the Department on the combination and discuss more offline how to reduce the number of projects being combined prior submitting the application for combination.
	NN: Since a request for combination will still be submitted, sin the affected farm portions are located adjacent to each othe perhaps the reports can be combined according to the project that are located adjacent to each other. For example, PV 1, and 3 can be combined into one report. If all 15 PV projects a combined into one report, it is unlikely that the Department v approve it. However, it is recommended that the number projects per combined report is reduced and structur according to the adjacent farm properties. Provide a convinci motivation for the Department to consider, as explained here.
 RA: Can the BID be released before we receive feedback 	 RA: Noted with much thanks. We will structure the combinate so that the projects are clustered in a reduced manner. MS: Please confirm if you are applying for combined PPP?
from the DFFE on the combination request application?	 RA: We will explain in the PP Plan that an integrated combine PPP will be undertaken for all projects regardless of whether combined reporting or single reporting process is followed. V need confirmation on whether we can release the BID befor receiving a decision on the combination request.
	 NN The requirement of submitting a PP Plan to the Departme for approval is still a requirement even though the COVID- Disaster Management Directives have been withdrawn. The P Plan must be approved before the PPP is commenced with.
	 RA: We will wait for approval on the PP plan first before v release the BID.
	 NN: The BID will be released to stakeholders and I&APs, and will specify if you are undertaking single reporting or combine reporting, so it might be best to wait for a decision on the combination request first before releasing the BID.
	 RA: It is possible for us to keep the BiD generic in terms of the proposed reporting structure, and explain that we have submitted a request for combination and if it is not approved, will submit single applications for stakeholders to review.
	LM: Are you still intending to apply under Regulation 11?

Queries or Comments Raised	Responses
	 DM: Yes, that is the plan. We will compile a request and submit to the DFFE for decision-making, and we will motivate to combine fewer of the projects based on the DFFE recommendation. While we are waiting for that decision, can we release the BID to stakeholders to commence with the proces in the interim?
	 LM: Noted, so in the BID it will be specified that a combinatio reporting application has been submitted
	DM: Yes, that is correct.
	 LM A BID is outside of the regulated PPP, so it does not seen like a concern.
	 RA: Yes, we understand that the BID is outside of the regulate PPP. However, the Department can confirm.
	NN The BID is part of the notification process where stakeholders are informed of the proposed project. If you nee to release the BiD before the decision on the combinatio request, then you must clearly indicate that the propose application consists of 32 projects, and all projects must be listed. The BID must also note that a request for combinatio has been submitted to the Department for consideration an depending on the feedback either single or combined report and applications will be submitted for approval and review
	 RA: Noted with thanks, based on this, the BID will explain th option of combination or single reporting, and will be release before feedback on the combination request.
 RA: The Screening Tool identifies the need for a Geotechnical Assessment; however, it is proposed to exclude this assessment from the EIA and BA projects as 	 NN: What sensitivity did the Screening Tool allocate to th Geotechnical Assessment?
this study can only be undertaken during detailed design, and will need input from the appointed contractors and suppliers, which can only be done post EA, and post	RA: There is no theme on the screening tool for geotechnic sensitivities.
preferred bidder allocation. Can the Department provide in principle agreement to exclude the Geotechnical Assessment from the EIA and BA projects? This motivation	 NN: Did the Screening Tool identify it as a required speciali study?
will be included in the Scoping. BA and EA Reports as well. The same approach was undertaken for other projects, whereby the motivation to exclude such a study was agreed to.	 RA: Yes, it is required as part of the list of specialist studie The Screening Tool Report does allow for the EAP to motivat for not undertaking certain specialist studies.
	 MS: We cannot confirm if you can exclude the study or no However, surely the Screening Tool and Protocols will guid you in terms of what needs to be done.
	 RA: The Screening Tool Report does allow for the EAP t motivate for not undertaking certain specialist studies.
	 NN: When were the other applications that excluded th Geotechnical Assessment undertaken?
	 RA These applications were undertaken in 2020 and 2021, a well as a recent one that received EA in 2022. CM was also case officer for one of these projects. The Screening To Report does allow for the EAP to motivate for not undertakin certain specialist studies. We will include such a motivation i the BA and ELA Reports for the Department to consider, and w will include any relevant learning from previous projects.
	 CM: Cases are dealt with on a case-by-case basis. It does no mean that if it was excluded in other projects, that it w automatically be excluded now.
	 NN. We can discuss this internally following the pre-application meeting and provide feedback when reviewing the notes of the pre-application meeting. The previous projects where the Geodechnical Assessments were approved may not have bee for 32 projects. Therefore, this needs to be considered on case-by-case basis. Based on previous learning, it is usually no recommended to state that further specialist studies must b undertaken following the EA.
	Page 7 of 9

		 Responses RA. Noted with thanks. The scope of the Geotechnic: Assessment also needs to be clarified. It is understood that the a technical design study and not an impact assessmen specialist study. Perhaps this can also be discussed furthe after the pre-application meeting.
		MS I want to put on record that it is not the Department responsibility to confirm what needs to be done in terms or studies. Note that the Department has not been to site. This Screening Tool Report is your guidance. The reality is that it will be difficult for the Department to discuss and confirm after pre- application meeting that the study can be excluded or not. This is the same as asking the Department to confirm lister activities, which cannot be done.
 RA: The Screening Tool recommen- study. However, the project is outsid area, and therefore unlikely to have these areas. Furthermore, the sens location of a weather radar instal recommended to exclude the need F of the BA or EIA Process. The SK consulted with during the EIA and BA 	e the SKA and KCAAA a significant impact on itivity is related to the lation. Therefore, it is or such a study as part A and SARAO will be	 JM1. The EAP must identify and undertake all the require specialist studies needed for the project. Post-Meeting Note: The Screening Tool Report does allow for th EAP to motivate for not undertaking certain specialist studies. W will include such a motivation in the BA and EIA Reports for th Department to consider, and we will include any relevant learnin from previous projects.
 RA: For cumulative impacts, can the is acceptable to only consider the received an EA at the start of the BA necessary to consider all those pro radius that still have the BA and EIA p 	Department confirm if it se projects that have and EIA process or is it jects within the 30 km	 NN: If you are aware of the projects underway, you need t include them as part of your assessment, unless you do no have knowledge of those projects. The Screening Tool Rego will also guide you in terms of those projects that have bee approved within a 30 km radius. The other 15 Kudu PV and 1 EGI projects also need to be considered.
 NN: In terms of the PP Plan, it is submitted for approval after the p before the request for combination. T PP Plan must be emailed to the allo sure if you were copied on the email being allocated to the case officers. S the outcome of the combination ree must confirm the submission of the one plan for all projects will be submi the EAP submit the PP Plan to MS officials present at the meeting an apologies. 	re-application meeting the DFFE notes that the cated officials. I am not when the projects were ince we are not sure of juest at this stage, we PP Plan and whether tited. It is proposed that via email and copy all	 RA Noted this will be considered. RA We are proposing an integrated PPP for all 32 project regardless of whether we submit combined or separate applications. Kindly confirm if it is suitable to submit one PI Plan describing how the PPP will be undertaken for all the projects. NN Noted, if one integrated PPP is proposed, you can complie one PP Plan and then submit it via email to MS and copy the relevant officials. The DFFE will then discuss the PP Plan and provide feedback. MS: This is agreed. We will review the integrated PP Plan and provide feedback.
provided apologies, fo The CSIR will compile officials, for review an The CSIR and ABO \ DFFE's comments in decision-making proc	r review and approval. e the integrated PP Plan d approval. Wind will have an internal to consideration in order ess. We will keep the cast	ing to all DFFE officialis present at the meeting, and those that in and submit it via email to MS, and copy the relevant DFFE i discussion regarding the request for combination and take the in structure the combination in a way that will facilitate the se officers informed of the progress regarding the submission of
The meeting was closed the meeting	at 15H00, and the DFFE	were thanked for their inputs.
The meeting was closed the meeting Approval	at 15H00, and the DFFE	
The meeting was closed the meeting Approval	CSIR Signature:	ecl. Signature: Malle
The meeting was closed the meeting Approval DFFE	CSIR	ABO Wind renewable energies (Pty) Ltd

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Appendix	A: Attendance	Register
----------	---------------	----------

Meeting Summary Total Number of Particip Meeting Title Meeting Start Time Meeting End Time		V and EGI Pre-Application	Meeting	
Full Name	Join Time	Leave Time	Email	Role
Rohaida Abed	4/26/2022, 12:59:25 PM	4/26/2022, 3:01:57 PM	RAbed@csir.co.za	Organize
Mahlatse Shubane	4/26/2022, 12:59:42 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:13 PM	MSHUBANE@dffe.gov.za	Presente
Thembisile Hlatshwayo	4/26/2022, 12:59:45 PM	4/26/2022, 2:53:57 PM	THLATSHWAYO@dffe.gov.za	Presente
Zama Langa	4/26/2022, 12:59:51 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:21 PM	ZLANGA@dffe.gov.za	Presente
Constance Musemburi	4/26/2022, 1:00:04 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:11 PM	CMusemburi@dffe.gov.za	Presente
Azrah Essop	4/26/2022, 1:00:10 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:00 PM	AEssop@dffe.gov.za	Presenter
Lerato Mokoena	4/26/2022, 1:00:29 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:13 PM	LMOKOENA@dffe.gov.za	Presenter
Nyiko Nkosi	4/26/2022, 1:00:59 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:13 PM	NNKOSI@dffe.gov.za	Presenter
Du Toit Malherbe	4/26/2022, 1:01:00 PM	4/26/2022, 3:01:57 PM	Du-Toit.Malherbe@abo-wind.com	Presenter
Julliet Mahlangu	4/26/2022, 1:01:59 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:08 PM	JMMahlangu@dffe.gov.za	Presenter
Paul Lochner	4/26/2022, 1:02:02 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:16 PM	PLochner@csir.co.za	Presente
Makhosi Yeni	4/26/2022, 1:02:21 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:17 PM	MYeni@dffe.gov.za	Presente
Jay-Jay Mpelane	4/26/2022, 1:02:58 PM	4/26/2022, 2:55:06 PM	JMPELANE@dffe.gov.za	Presente

Page 9 of 9

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX F.4: SUBMISSION OF THE PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES TO THE DFFE

App. F.4/...

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Rohaida Abed

From:	Rohaida Abed
Sent:	Tuesday, 17 May 2022 10:53
To:	Mahlatse Shubane
Cc:	Paul Lochner; Du Toit Malherbe; Petrus Scheepers; Rob Invernizzi; Thembisile
	Hlatshwayo; Lerato Mokoena; Nyiko Nkosi; Azrah Essop; Constance Musemburi;
	Jay-Jay Mpelane; Julliet Mahlangu; Makhosi Yeni; Zama Langa; Olivia Letlalo; Wayne
	Hector; Ephron Maradwa
Subject:	RE: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting - Notes of the
10000000000	Meeting
Attachments:	Pre-App Meeting Notes_Kudu PV_EGI_FINAL_MS_RA_DM_Signed.pdf
Categories:	This message has been archived by Retain on July 13 2022 14:27

Dear Mahlatse

Thanks for the approval of the meeting notes for the Kudu PV and EGI project. Please see attached, for your records, the fully signed version. We also added a post-meeting note explaining that a PP Plan is not required.

Kind Regards, Rohaida

From: Mahlatse Shubane <MSHUBANE@dffe.gov.za>

Sent: Monday, 16 May 2022 11:48

To: Rohaida Abed <RAbed@csir.co.za>; Thembisile Hlatshwayo <THLATSHWAYO@dffe.gov.za> Cc: Paul Lochner <PLochner@csir.co.za>; Du Toit Malherbe <du-toit.malherbe@abo-wind.com>; Petrus Scheepers <Petrus.Scheepers@abo-wind.com>; Rob Invernizzi <Rob.Invernizzi@abo-wind.com> Subject: RE: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting - Notes of the Meeting

Dear Rohaida,

Attached please find the signed minutes for the aforementioned project.

From: Rohaida Abed <<u>RAbed@csir.co.za</u>>

Sent: Friday, 06 May 2022 17:39

To: Mahlatse Shubane <<u>MSHUBANE@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Lerato Mokoena <<u>LMOKOENA@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Nyiko Nkosi <<u>NNKOSI@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Thembisile Hlatshwayo <<u>THLATSHWAYO@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Azrah Essop <<u>AEssop@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Constance Musemburi <<u>CMusemburi@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Jay-Jay Mpelane <<u>IMPELANE@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Julliet Mahlangu <<u>IMMahlangu@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Makhosi Yeni <<u>MYeni@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Zama Langa <<u>ZLANGA@dffe.gov.za</u>> Cc: Paul Lochner <<u>PLochner@csir.co.za</u>>; Du Toit Malherbe <<u>du-toit.malherbe@abo-wind.com</u>>; Petrus Scheepers <<u>Petrus.Scheepers@abo-wind.com</u>>; Rob Invernizzi <<u>Rob.Invernizzi@abo-wind.com</u>>; Olivia Letlalo <<u>OLetlalo@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Wayne Hector <<u>WHECTOR@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Ephron Maradwa <<u>EMaradwa@dffe.gov.za</u>> Subject: RE: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting - Notes of the Meeting

Dear All

Thank you for the discussions and your feedback at the pre-application meeting on 26 April 2022 for the Kudu PV and EGI project.

Please see attached the notes of the meeting for your review and approval.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Please kindly let us know if you require any edits to the attached notes, and if not, please kindly sign and return the signed copy via email.

The copy of the presentation was emailed on 26 April 2022.

We will send the Public Participation Plan to Mahlatse in a separate email, and copy the rest of the DFFE officials, as discussed at the meeting.

We look forward to your feedback.

Kind Regards, Rohaida

From: Rohaida Abed

Sent: Tuesday, 26 April 2022 10:01

To: Paul Lochner <<u>PLochner@csir.co.za</u>>; Du Toit Malherbe <<u>du-toit.malherbe@abo-wind.com</u>>; Petrus Scheepers <<u>Petrus.Scheepers@abo-wind.com</u>>; Rob Invernizzi <<u>Rob.Invernizzi@abo-wind.com</u>>; Mahlatse Shubane <<u>MSHUBANE@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Olivia Letlalo <<u>OLetlalo@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Lerato Mokoena <<u>LMOKOENA@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Wayne Hector <<u>WHECTOR@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Nyiko Nkosi <<u>NNKOSI@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Ephron Maradwa <<u>EMaradwa@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Thembisile Hlatshwayo <<u>THLATSHWAYO@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Azrah Essop <<u>AEssop@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Constance Musemburi <<u>CMusemburi@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Jay-Jay Mpelane <<u>JMPELANE@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Julliet Mahlangu <<u>JMMahlangu@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Makhosi Yeni <<u>MYeni@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Zama Langa <<u>ZLANGA@dffe.gov.za</u>> Subject: RE: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting

Dear All

Please see attached a copy of the presentation for the Kudu Solar and EGI pre-application meeting today at 13.00.

Kind Regards, Rohaida

----Original Appointment-----From: Rohaida Abed Sent: Friday, 22 April 2022 13:22 To: Rohaida Abed; Paul Lochner; Du Toit Malherbe; Petrus Scheepers; Rob Invernizzi; Mahlatse Shubane; Olivia Letlalo; Lerato Mokoena; Wayne Hector; Nyiko Nkosi; Ephron Maradwa; Thembisile Hlatshwayo; Azrah Essop; Constance Musemburi; Jay-Jay Mpelane; Julliet Mahlangu; Makhosi Yeni; Zama Langa Subject: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting When: Tuesday, 26 April 2022 13:00-15:00 (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria. Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Good day all

Thank you very much for your feedback on the pre-application meeting request form submitted on 5 April 2022. Please see attached the feedback on the request, as well as confirmation of the meeting date and time.

Thanks, Mahlatse, for your assistance in facilitating our request.

The meeting is requested to discuss Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (S&EIA) and Basic Assessment (BA) Processes for the Proposed Development of 15 Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facilities (i.e. Kudu Solar Facilities 1 - 15), and associated infrastructure, including various Electricity Grid Infrastructure (EGI) to connect the Solar PV Projects to the national grid. The Project Developer is ABO Wind renewable energies (PTY) Ltd.

Please kindly see the MS Teams link below.

2

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

The meeting has been scheduled as follows:

- Date: Tuesday 26 April 2022
- Time: 13.00 15.00

We will send a copy of the presentation soon.

Kind Regards, Rohaida

CSIR - Environmental Management Services P.O. Box 59081, Umbilo, Durban, 4075 Tel: 031 242 2318 Cell: 072 204 6224 Email: <u>RAbed@csir.co.za</u>

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app Click here to join the meeting

Learn More | Meeting options

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX F.5: DFFE APPROVAL OF THE PRE-APPLICATION MEETING NOTES

App. F.5/...

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Rohaida	Abed	
---------	------	--

From:	Mahlatse Shubane <mshubane@dffe.gov.za></mshubane@dffe.gov.za>
Sent:	Monday, 16 May 2022 11:48
To:	Rohaida Abed; Thembisile Hlatshwayo
Cc:	Paul Lochner; Du Toit Malherbe; Petrus Scheepers; Rob Invernizzi
Subject:	RE: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting - Notes of the Meeting
Attachments:	Pre-App Meeting Notes_Kudu PV_EGI_FINAL.pdf

Dear Rohaida,

Attached please find the signed minutes for the aforementioned project.

From: Rohaida Abed <RAbed@csir.co.za>

Sent: Friday, 06 May 2022 17:39

To: Mahlatse Shubane <MSHUBANE@dffe.gov.za>; Lerato Mokoena <LMOKOENA@dffe.gov.za>; Nyiko Nkosi <NNKOSI@dffe.gov.za>; Thembisile Hlatshwayo <THLATSHWAYO@dffe.gov.za>; Azrah Essop <AEssop@dffe.gov.za>; Constance Musemburi <CMusemburi@dffe.gov.za>; Jay-Jay Mpelane <JMPELANE@dffe.gov.za>; Julliet Mahlangu <JMMahlangu@dffe.gov.za>; Makhosi Yeni <MYeni@dffe.gov.za>; Zama Langa <ZLANGA@dffe.gov.za> Cc: Paul Lochner <PLochner@csir.co.za>; Du Toit Malherbe <du-toit.malherbe@abo-wind.com>; Petrus Scheepers <Petrus.Scheepers@abo-wind.com>; Rob Invernizzi <Rob.Invernizzi@abo-wind.com>; Olivia Letlalo <OLetlalo@dffe.gov.za>; Wayne Hector <WHECTOR@dffe.gov.za>; Ephron Maradwa <EMaradwa@dffe.gov.za> Subject: RE: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting - Notes of the Meeting

Dear All

Thank you for the discussions and your feedback at the pre-application meeting on 26 April 2022 for the Kudu PV and EGI project.

Please see attached the notes of the meeting for your review and approval.

Please kindly let us know if you require any edits to the attached notes, and if not, please kindly sign and return the signed copy via email.

The copy of the presentation was emailed on 26 April 2022.

We will send the Public Participation Plan to Mahlatse in a separate email, and copy the rest of the DFFE officials, as discussed at the meeting.

We look forward to your feedback.

Kind Regards, Rohaida

From: Rohaida Abed

Sent: Tuesday, 26 April 2022 10:01

To: Paul Lochner <<u>PLochner@csir.co.za</u>>; Du Toit Malherbe <<u>du-toit.malherbe@abo-wind.com</u>>; Petrus Scheepers <<u>Petrus.Scheepers@abo-wind.com</u>>; Rob Invernizzi <<u>Rob.Invernizzi@abo-wind.com</u>>; Mahlatse Shubane <<u>MSHUBANE@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Olivia Letlalo <<u>OLetlalo@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Lerato Mokoena <<u>LMOKOENA@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Wayne Hector <<u>WHECTOR@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Nyiko Nkosi <<u>NNKOSI@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Ephron Maradwa <<u>EMaradwa@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Thembisile Hlatshwayo <<u>THLATSHWAYO@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Azrah Essop <<u>AEssop@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Constance Musemburi <<u>CMusemburi@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Jay-Jay Mpelane

1

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

<<u>JMPELANE@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Julliet Mahlangu <<u>JMMahlangu@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Makhosi Yeni <<u>MYeni@dffe.gov.za</u>>; Zama Langa <<u>ZLANGA@dffe.gov.za</u>> Subject: RE: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting

Dear All

Please see attached a copy of the presentation for the Kudu Solar and EGI pre-application meeting today at 13.00.

Kind Regards, Rohaida

----Original Appointment-----From: Rohaida Abed Sent: Friday, 22 April 2022 13:22 To: Rohaida Abed; Paul Lochner; Du Toit Malherbe; Petrus Scheepers; Rob Invernizzi; Mahlatse Shubane; Olivia Letlalo; Lerato Mokoena; Wayne Hector; Nyiko Nkosi; Ephron Maradwa; Thembisile Hlatshwayo; Azrah Essop; Constance Musemburi; Jay-Jay Mpelane; Julliet Mahlangu; Makhosi Yeni; Zama Langa Subject: 2022-04-0005 - Kudu PV and EGI Pre-Application Meeting When: Tuesday, 26 April 2022 13:00-15:00 (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria. Where: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Good day all

Thank you very much for your feedback on the pre-application meeting request form submitted on 5 April 2022. Please see attached the feedback on the request, as well as confirmation of the meeting date and time.

Thanks, Mahlatse, for your assistance in facilitating our request.

The meeting is requested to discuss Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (S&EIA) and Basic Assessment (BA) Processes for the Proposed Development of 15 Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facilities (i.e. Kudu Solar Facilities 1 - 15), and associated infrastructure, including various Electricity Grid Infrastructure (EGI) to connect the Solar PV Projects to the national grid. The Project Developer is ABO Wind renewable energies (PTY) Ltd.

Please kindly see the MS Teams link below.

The meeting has been scheduled as follows:

- Date: Tuesday 26 April 2022
- Time: 13.00 15.00

We will send a copy of the presentation soon.

Kind Regards, Rohaida

CSIR - Environmental Management Services P.O. Box 59081, Umbilo, Durban, 4075 Tel: 031 242 2318 Cell: 072 204 6224 Email: RAbed@csir.co.za

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

2

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Click here to join the meeting

Learn More | Meeting options

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

APPENDIX F.6: DFFE REFUSAL OF THE COMBINATION AND MULTIPLE EA REQUEST

App. F.6/...

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



forestry, fisheries & the environment

Department: Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X 447 · PRETORIA 0001 · Environment House 473 Steve Biko Road, Arcadia · PRETORIA

DFFE Reference: 14/12/16/3/1/7/154 Enquirles: Ms Constance Musemburi Telephone: (012) 399 9416 E-mail: Cmusemburi@dffe.gov.za

Paul Lochner CSIR Environmental Management Services PO Box 320, STELLENBOSCH, 7599

Tel: 021 888 2486 Email: <u>PLochner@csir.co.za</u>

PER EMAIL / MAIL

Dear Mr Lochner

REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO UNDERTAKE COMBINED APPLICATION IN TERMS OF REGULATION 11 (1) OF THE NEMA EIA REGULATIONS FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 14 SOLAR PV FACILITIES (I.E. KUDU SOLAR FACILITIES), ELECTRICITY GRID INFRASTRUCTURE AND VARIOUS ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, NEAR DE AAR, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE.

Your correspondence dated and received by the Department on 26 May 2022 requesting permission to undertake a combined application process in terms of Regulation 11(1) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014, as amended, for the aforementioned projects, refers.

The applicant applied for:

- Combining the applications for Environmental Authorisation (EA) and reporting for the proposed projects in terms of Regulation 11(4) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended), and the issuing of multiple EA's in terms of Regulation 25 (1) and (2) of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended), as follows;
 - <u>PROJECTS 1 TO 14</u>: The proposed development of 14 Solar PV Facilities and associated infrastructure (i.e. Kudu Solar Facility 1 to Kudu Solar Facility 14). These projects require Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Processes.
 - <u>PROJECTS 15 TO 28</u>: The proposed development of Switching Stations and Collector Stations at each On-Site Substation Complex at each of the 14 x Kudu Solar Facilities, and up to 14 x 132kV Overhead Power Lines running from each Solar Facility to the proposed Collector Station(s) or up to the proposed Main Transmission Substation (MTS). All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors. These projects require Basic Assessment (BA) Processes.
 - <u>PROJECT 29</u>: The proposed development of an independent 400/132kV MTS, including associated infrastructure at the MTS such as 132kV busbar and feeder bay(s), and 500MVA 400/132kV transformer(s) with transformer bay(s). All activities are proposed within the Grid Corridors. This project requires a BA Process.
 - <u>PROJECT 30</u>: The proposed development of a 400kV Loop-In-Loop-Out (LILO) from the existing Hydra-Perseus 400kV

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

_	Chief Directorate: Integrated Environmental Authorisations		
•	The proposal entails 14 PV projects and 16 EGI projects and two options for the application and reporting structure were proposed, as detailed below:		
	structure were proposed, as detailed below.		
	Option 1 is the preferred option of combination, which would result in:		
	 Seven (7) combined applications for EA; 		
	 Three (3) combined Scoping Reports for Projects 1 – 14; 		
	 Three (3) combined EIA Reports for Projects 1 – 14; and 		
	 Four (4) combined BA Reports for Projects 15 – 30. 		
	Option 2 is the proposed alternative option of combination, which would result in:		
	Nine (9) combined applications for EA;		
	 Four (4) combined Scoping Reports for Projects 1 – 14; 		
	 Four (4) combined EIA Reports for Projects 1 – 14; and 		
	 Five (5) combined BA Reports for Projects 15 – 30. 		
•	The applicant /EAP provided motivation for the above-mentioned combination options and further		
	requested that 30 EA's be issued for these projects.		
20 in	14, as amended, the Department herewith refuses permission for the submission of combined applications respect of one or more proponents intending undertaking interrelated activities at the same or different		
20 in loc	lowing a review of your request and supporting motivation in terms of Regulation 11(1) of the EIA Regulations, I4, as amended, the Department herewith refuses permission for the submission of combined applications respect of one or more proponents intending undertaking interrelated activities at the same or different ations within the area of jurisdiction of a competent authority:		
20 in loc	14, as amended, the Department herewith refuses permission for the submission of combined applications respect of one or more proponents intending undertaking interrelated activities at the same or different ations within the area of jurisdiction of a competent authority: a reasons for refusal are as follows;		
20 in loc The	14, as amended, the Department herewith refuses permission for the submission of combined applications respect of one or more proponents intending undertaking interrelated activities at the same or different ations within the area of jurisdiction of a competent authority: a reasons for refusal are as follows. Based on the motivation provided in the letter dated 26 May 2022, the latest Bidding Window of the REIPPPP now stipulates that the maximum contracted capacity for Solar PV has been increased from 75 MW to 240 MW. The total combined generation capacity of the proposed applications is approximately 2140 MW. In light of this, the applicant is urged to further re-cluster the projects to achieve an individual capacity of 240 MW as this will significantly reduce the number of applications and eliminate the need to combine applications.		
20 in loc Th	14, as amended, the Department herewith refuses permission for the submission of combined applications respect of one or more proponents intending undertaking interrelated activities at the same or different ations within the area of jurisdiction of a competent authority: a reasons for refusal are as follows: Based on the motivation provided in the letter dated 26 May 2022, the latest Bidding Window of the REIPPPP now stipulates that the maximum contracted capacity for Solar PV has been increased from 75 MW to 240 MW. The total combined generation capacity of the proposed applications is approximately 2140 MW. In light of this, the applicant is urged to further re-cluster the projects to achieve an individual capacity of 240 MW as this will significantly reduce the number of applications and eliminate the need to combine applications. Applicant may also consider constructing less number of lines considering that these will be for facilities in the set of the set		
20 in loc Th	14, as amended, the Department herewith refuses permission for the submission of combined applications respect of one or more proponents intending undertaking interrelated activities at the same or different ations within the area of jurisdiction of a competent authority: <u>a reasons for refusal are as follows</u> : Based on the motivation provided in the letter dated 26 May 2022, the latest Bidding Window of the REIPPPP now stipulates that the maximum contracted capacity for Solar PV has been increased from 75 MW to 240 MW. The total combined generation capacity of the proposed applications is approximately 2140 MW. In light of this, the applicant is urged to further re-cluster the projects to achieve an individual capacity of 240 MW as this will significantly reduce the number of applications and eliminate the need to combine applications. Applicant may also consider constructing less number of lines considering that these will be for facilities in the same areas (for e.g. having one line connecting two facilities). Combining the PV projects in one report (as per Option 1 and Option 2 as detailed above) is too excessive and significantly onerous for the Department and increases the risk of causing confusion and administrative		

The EAP motivated that upon submission of the final reports for decision-making, the EAP can arrange an
online discussion with the case officers to highlight if and where the reports differ in relation to each project.
The Department is of the opinion that this approach will not facilitate and expedite the decision making
process but will rather cause delays in the decision making, as meetings will be added to the reviewing
process and they are time consuming. In addition, this approach will be compromised and will result in
potential appeals from Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) because of interference of EAPs during
decision-making process.

DFFE REFERENCE: 14/12/16/3/1/7/154

REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO UNDERTAKE COMBINED APPLICATION IN TERMS OF REGULATION 11 (1) OF THE NEMA EIA REGULATIONS FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 14 SOLAR PV FACILITIES (I.E. KUDU SOLAR FACILITIES), ELECTRICITY GRID INFRASTRUCTURE AND VARIOUS ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, NEAR DE AAR, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province

Chief Directorate: Integrated Environmental Authorisations

- Although the development proposals are the same in nature and extent, and the farms are adjacent to each
 other, the Department is of the opinion that site specific sensitivities and potential environmental impacts,
 must be dealt with separately in each report to avoid confusion to the I&APs and the decision makers. This
 will ensure that the potential environmental impacts of each activity, including its cumulative impacts, in
 relation to the specific location will still be assessed, considered and reported on separately.
- A single consolidated public participation process may still be undertaken for these applications, however, clear details of how the applications are being managed must be provided to the I&APs. The public participation report and the comments received must be specific and separated per application.
- Consolidated specialist assessment may be undertaken, however the specialist report must be separated for each applications, i.e 30 specialist reports must be produced for each application.

In light of the above, you are advised to lodge 30 separate applications i.e. one for each facility, each with its own application fee, which must comply with all the applicable regulations.

Yours faithfully

Mr Vusi Skosana Acting Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations Department of Forestry, Fisheries & the Environment Date: 21 June 2072

CC	Du Toit Malherbe	Representative of Project Applicant (ABO Wind)	E-mail: Du-Toit.Malherbe@abo-wind.com
	Petrus Scheepers	Representative of Project Applicant (ABO Wind)	Email: Petrus Scheepers@abo-wind.com
	Rohaida Abed	CSIR Environmental Management Services	Email: RAbed@csir.co.za

DFFE REFERENCE: 14/12/16/3/1/7/154

REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO UNDERTAKE COMBINED APPLICATION IN TERMS OF REGULATION 11 (1) OF THE NEWA EIA REGULATIONS FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 14 SOLAR PV FACILITIES (I.E. KUDU SOLAR FACILITIES), ELECTRICITY GRID INFRASTRUCTURE AND VARIOUS ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, NEAR DE AAR, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE.

DRAFT SCOPING REPORT: Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process for the Proposed Development of a Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Facility (Kudu Solar Facility 4) and associated infrastructure, near De Aar, Northern Cape Province



forestry, fisheries & the environment Department:

Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X447 PRETORIA 0001 Environment House · 473 Steve Biko Road, Arcadia · PRETORIA Tel(+ 27 12) 399 9000 Enquiries: Devinagie Bendeman Telephone: 012 399 9337 E-mail: <u>vbendeman@dffe.gov.za</u>

Mr. Vusi Skosana Director: National Infrastructure Projects

Dear Mr Skosana

APPOINTMENT AS ACTING CHIEF DIRECTOR: INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATIONS FOR THE PERIOD OF 20 TO 24 JUNE 2022

I hereby inform you that I have decided to appoint you as the Acting Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorizations for the period of 20 June to 24 June 2022 whilst Ms Milicent Solomons is on annual leave.

All the correspondence and other documents that are usually signed by the Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorizations must be signed under Acting Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorizations during the above-mentioned period.

Your appointment in the above acting position remains subject to the provisions of the Public Service Act, 1994 (Proclamation No. 103 of 1994), as amended, the Government Employees Pension Fund Act, 1996 (Proclamation No. 21 of 1996), the regulations promulgated under these Acts and relevant circulars.

In the execution of your duties and the exercising of the powers delegated to you, you will furthermore be subjected to the provisions of the Public Finance Management Act, compliance with the Promotion of Access to Information Act, Promotion of Administrative Justice Act, the Minimum Information Security Standard, Departmental Policies and other applicable legislations with the Republic of South Africa. You are therefore advised to make yourself familiar with the provisions of these legislations and policies and the amendments thereof. (Copies of Departmental policies can be obtained from the Human Resource Office).

Please accept my heartfelt gratitude for all your assistance on behalf of the department.

Yours sincerely

el annon

Ms Devinagie Bendeman Deputy Director-General: RCSM (Regulatory Compliance and Sector Monitoring) Date 17 June 2022 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I ACCEPT / DO NOT ACCEPT appointment as Acting Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorizations Signed: 20 June 2022 Date: