ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT: AMENDMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF HOTAZEL SOLAR, NORTHERN CAPE

APPLICANT:

ABO WIND HOTAZEL PV (PTY) LTD

REVISED AGRICULTURAL ASSESSMENT REPORT JUNE 2020

STUDY CONDUCTED AND REPORT COMPILED BY: C R LUBBE

6670

ABO Wind Hotazel PV (Pty) Ltd Reg. No. 2008/004627/07 Unit B1 Mayfair Square Century Way, Century City Western Cape, 7441 AGRICULTURAL SPECIALIST: C R Lubbe Telephone: 082 853 1274 4 Protea Street RIVERSDALE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	1
3.	ASSUMPTIONS AND UNCERTAINTIES	1
4.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	1
5.	THE POTENTIALLY AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	2
	5.1 Locality	2
	5.2 Physical description	3
	5.3 Climate	6
	5.4 Geology	6
	5.5 Vegetation	7
	5 6 Topography	8
	5.7 Soil	9
	5.8 Veld condition	13
6.	AGRICULTURAL SUITABILITY OF THE SITE	14
	6.1 Agricultural potential of the site	14
	6.2 Land Capability and Suitability for Agriculture	16
	6.3 Agricultural Sensitivity	16
7.	ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED AMENDED DEVELOPMENT	17
	Change of footprint area	17
	Change of access roads	17
	Change of grid connections	18
	7.1 Loss of agricultural land	18
	Mitigation proposed	18
	7.2 Impairment of land capability due to construction	19
	7.2.1 Removal of vegetation	19
	7.2.2 Altering of drainage patterns with construction of roads support buildings and PV panels	20
	7.3 Possibe spillages of concrete and fuel may impact the soil	20
8.	REVIEW OF CHANGES	20
	8.1 Change of footprint area	20
	8.2 Change of access road	20
	8.3 Change of grid connections	20
9.	CONCLUSION	21
Lim	itations	
Ref	erences	
Арр	endix A: Curriculum Vitae of Specialist	

Appendix B: Specialist Declaration

List of Tables

Table 1: Information from the Agricultural Geographic Information System (AGIS)	4
Table 2: Climatic information	6
Table 3: Indicator grasses	7
Table 4: Inventory for pedosystem Ah 9	10
Table 5: Profile description of the soil	12
Table 6: Crop factors for Maize in summer rainfall areas	15
Table 7: Sensitivity rating	16

List of Figures

Figure 1: Locality of the proposed new footprint for Hotazel Solar	3
Figure 2: Geological Map 1984 Gravity Edition	7
Figure 3: Topo-cadastral map 2722 BB HOTAZEL	8
Figure 4: Terrain form	9
Figure 5: Locality of altered segments for amendment	11
Figure 6: Soil map	12
Figure 7: Photo imaginary of observation points and vegetation	14
Figure 8: Water cycle in crop production	15
Figure 9 New layout for Hotazel Solar	17

1. INTRODUCTION

ABO Wind Hotazel PV (Pty) Ltd. wish to amend the existing environmental authorisation for Hotazel Solar (14/12/16/3/3/2/1086) by moving the project footprint further west to make sufficient space for a potential second solar development (which will be applied for in a separate EIA process) on the eastern side of the Remaining Extent (Portion 0) of Farm York A 279. The moved footprint will remain within the same property (York A, 279). Three grid connection options have been proposed, two of which were previously assessed in the Hotazel Solar EIA.

2. APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

The approach was to:

- Undertake a scoping study for the changed footprint;
- Assess the possibility of any impacts that may arise due to the proposed amendments;
- Determine if there are any advantages or disadvantages associated with the proposed amendments.

The original field study was conducted on 5 and 6 of June 2018 to collect in-situ data for the original footprint. This data was supported by information from the Agricultural Geographic Information System (AGIS). For the proposed changed footprint information from the 2018 field study, other studies done in the surrounding area and AGIS was used.

3. ASSUMPTIONS AND UNCERTAINTIES

A desktop study was conducted to obtain regional information. Climatic conditions, land use, land type and terrain are readily available from a number of sources, including published literature, GIS information and satellite imagery. This information obtained was verified, as far as possible, during the field survey.

The site visit was conducted during the winter season. Therefore, information regarding the summer conditions could not be verified and remains the result of the desktop study.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

ABO Wind Hotazel PV (Pty) Ltd is applying to amend the Environmental Authorisation (EA) for Hotazel Solar. The proposed amendments include a shift of the authorized project footprint (< 1km) towards the western boundary of the Remaining Extent (Portion 0) of the Farm York A279. This will allow for a potential second solar development (which will be applied for in a separate EIA process) on the eastern side of the Remaining Extent (Portion 0) of Farm York A279.

Hotazel Solar will consist of solar photovoltaic (PV) technology with fixed, single or double axis tracking mounting structures, with a net generation (contracted) capacity of 100 MW_{AC} (MegaWatts). Associated infrastructure will include:

- On-site substation / collector switching station;
- Auxiliary buildings (gate-house and security, control centre, office, warehouse, canteen & visitors centre, staff lockers etc.);
- Inverter-stations, transformers and internal electrical reticulation (underground cabling);
- Access and internal road network;
- There are two options for the location of the proposed laydown area:
 - Option 1: Is located south-west of the proposed substation, between the R31 and the proposed PV Panels.
 - Option 2: Is located north-east of the proposed substation, between the R31 and the proposed PV Panels.
- There are three options proposed to connect Hotazel Solar to the Eskom Hotazel Substation:
 - Option 1: (Preferred, as previously authorised): Via a loop in loop out (LILO) into the Hotazel-Eldoret 132kV line.
 - Option 2: Overhead 132kV powerline from the Hotazel Solar on-site substation/ collector switching station to the Eskom Hotazel substation.
 - Option 3: Overhead 132kV powerline from the Hotazel Solar on-site substation/ collector switching station to the Hotazel 2 collector switching station.
- Rainwater tanks; and
- Perimeter fencing and security infrastructure.

5. THE POTENTIALLY AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

This section provides a general description of the immediate surrounding environment, potentially affected by the proposed amendment.

5.1 Locality

Hotazel Solar is proposed on the Remaining Extent of the Farm York A279, in the Joe Morolong Local Municipality and John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality, in Northern Cape Province. The project is located approximately 3km south-east of the town of Hotazel – see Figure 1.



Figure 1: Locality of the proposed new footprint for Hotazel Solar

5.2 Physical description

The resource data in Table 1 were extracted from AGIS to search for any differences that may intervene with the inclusion of the proposed western side to the new footprint.

The solid white line depicts the Remainder of Hotazel Solar (14/12/16/3/3/2/1086), while the red dot line mark the area now to be included in the new footprint.

Table 1: Information from the Agricultural Geographic Information System (AGIS)





Mean annual Rain: 359 mm

Moisture Availability: Severe





Terrain type: Level plains



Average slope: <2 % - 5%









Grazing capacity: 13 LSU/ ha









Land capability: Class VII



5.3 Climate

The Kalahari Ecozone has consistent temperatures with summer and early autumn rainfall. Winters are very dry. The wettest part appears in the east, with a mean annual precipitation (MAP) of 500 mm/annum, and driest in the west, with a MAP of 120 mm/annum. The MAP for the whole Ecozone is 250 mm/annum. The region is classified as an arid zone with a desert climate. The specific parameters applicable to the farm are set out in Table 2.

Climate										
Ra	infall	Evaporation	Temperature							
Month	Monthly mm	Monthly mm	Max °C	Min °C	Mean °C	Heat units				
January	63	270	33.7	18.5	26.1	499.1				
February	60	284	32.4	17.9	25.1	422.8				
March	79	294	29.7	15.8	22.7	393.7				
April	33	277	25.7	11	18.8	264				
Мау	21	210	23.2	6.1	14.6	142.6				
June	08	193	20.6	2.3	11.4	33				
July	00	144	20.4	2	11.2	37.2				
August	03	115	23.1	4	13.6	111.6				
September	06	91	23.6	8.7	17.4	222				
October	16	106	29.7	12.5	21.1	344.1				
November	30	154	31.7	15.2	23.4	402				
December	43	213	33.0	17.4	25.2	471				
Total/Mean	362	2351	27.2	10.95	19.2					

Table 2: Climatic information

5.4 Geology

The geology belongs to the super group Kalahari with the occurrence of the Transvaal Rooiberg and Griqualand–West sequences.

Lithology (parent material) indicated on the Geological map (Figure 2) refers to the primary outcrop as Sand and Limestone (T- Qk) and the sub outcrop (Vvo) as Dolomite, Jaspilite and Lava.

The Sand is also known as loess, which is sediment made up from silt sized particles of sand and clay, normally highly calcareous, deposited by wind.



Figure 2: Geological Map 1984 Gravity Edition

Limestone is a sedimentary rock consisting largely of calcium carbonate, which is usually derived from shells of minute marine or fresh water animals. Sand clay and minerals such as magnesia or iron oxide are also present.

Dolomite consists of carbonate of calcium and magnesium. Dolomite usually occurs as invisible crystals, but in very large rock masses. The origin of dolomite is partly biochemical as it was formed byprecipitation and the action of algae. The band of dolomite formed is interspersed with shale and minerals.

The map also indicates some manganese mines in the vicinity of the proposed PV facility.

5.5 Vegetation

The site is classified by Acocks (1988) as tropical bush and savannah bushveld. According to the SA Vegetation Map classification, the Biome Area is savanna and the vegetation type is Kathu Bushveld. Typical trees include Camel thorn Acacia (*Acacia erioloba*), Umbrella Acacia (*Acacia tortilis*) and Black thorn Acacia (*Acacia mellifera*). Indigenous and alien Mesquite *Prosopis* species are invasive in degraded and disturbed areas. Indicator grasses are listed in Table 3.

Common name	Botanical name	Gazing value	Ecological value							
Small Bushman Grass	Stipagrostis Obtusa	Very high	Decreaser							
Lemann's Love Grass	Eragrostis Lehmanniana	Medium	Advancer							
Tassel Three-awn	Aristida Congesta	Very low	Advancer							
Carrying Capacity	13 ha/ Large Stock Unit (LSU))									
Land Use	Livestock and Game farming									

Table	3:	Indicator	grasses
-------	----	-----------	---------

5.6 Topography

The Remaining Extent (Portion 0) of Farm York A279 is located approximately 3km south-west from the mining town of Hotazel. Due to its proximity to the town and several mines, the associated infrastructures of these developments converge around the farm.

Features captured on Figure 3 include the town Hotazel, Arterial road R31, Main road R320, Railway station and railway lines, power lines, a wind pump, a communication tower, mine dumps and excavations, prominent rock outcrops, erosion and sand, a narrow gauge track, a hiking trail, cadastral and internal fences.

The terrain type is level plains with an average slope of <2 %.



Figure 3: Topo-cadastral map 2722 BB HOTAZEL

The cross section in Figure 4 provides information regarding the slope and shape of the landscape surrounding the proposed development. It shows a straight shape on a foot slope (4).

This information is valuable when interpreting the land type data as this will indicate what soil forms can be expected in each terrain unit.



Figure 4: Terrain form

5.7 Soil

Soil and terrain information was obtained from the Land Type database. The desktop review provided a baseline agricultural and land use profile, focusing on the specific geographical area potentially impacted by the proposed amendments.

Land type refers to an area with similar climate, topography and soil distribution patterns, which can be demarcated on a scale of 1:250 000.

The land type map, 2722 Kuruman shows that the pedosystem **Ah9** was allocated to the Remaining Extent (Portion 0) of Farm York A279.

Ah refers to red or yellow high base status soils.

The pedosystem is predominately located on a Footslope (95%) which has a slope gradient of <1%.

The dominant soil type predicted is an apedal, fine sandy textured soil with effective soil depth in excess of 1200 mm.

Very low mechanical limitations are predicted.

Table 4: Inventory for pedosystem Ah 9

1000m

						00	currenc	e (mans) a	nd areas Voo	whom	ns (kaarte) en on	nervlakte :	Inventory by Inventorie dour :
CLIMATE ZONE ELIMAATSONE	- 55					27	22 Kur		90 ha)		as (marrie) en op		I E Eloff on A T D Bennio
Aras (Oppervlakte	- 80100	0 ha					22 Aug	aman (931	50 ma)				
Estimated area unavailable for agricult													Modal Profiles Modale profiele :
Beraamde oppervlakte onbeskikbaar	vir landboi	u : 10	080 ha										None / Geen
Terreis ani Terrisenkei				4									
% of land type % yest landting				05		- -							
Area Onnervlakte (ha)			84	730	446	0							
Slope / Helling (%)			0	-1	1 -	3							
Slope length Hellingslengte (m)			00 - 10	000 2	00 - 120	0							
Slope shape Hellingsvorm				z		z						Death	
MB0, MB1 (ha)		:	838	883	178	4						limiting	
MB2 - MB4 (ha)		:	1	347	267	6						material	
Soil series or land classes	Depth					To	tal	Clay	content %		Texture	Diepte-	
Grondseries of landklasse	Diepte	_				Tot	aal	Klei	inhoud %		Tekstuur	beperkende	
	(mm)]	LCB :	ha	96	ha 9	6 hs	. %	A	E B2	1 1	for Class / Kla	, materiaal	
Sunbury Cv30	>1200	0 :	35587	42		35587	39.9	2-4	3	8-6	B fiSa		
Mangano Hu33	>1200	0 :	15251	18		15251	17.1	3-6	6-	10	B fiSa		
Annandale Cv33	>1200	0 :	15251	18		15251	17.1	3-6	6-	10	B fiSa		
Roodepoort Hu30, Gaudam Hu31	>1200	0 :	10168	12		10168	11.4	2-4	3	8-6	B fi/meSa		
Sandspruit Cv31	>1200	0 :	6778	8		6778	7.6	2-4	3	3-6	B meSa		
Mispah Ms10, Kalkbank Ms22	100-250	3 :	847	1	2230 5	0 3071	3.5	6-10			A fiSa	R,ka	
Maputa Fw10, Motopi Fw20,													
Fernwood Fw11, Langebaan Fw21	>1200	0 :	847	1	1338 3	0 2183	2.5	3-6	4	1 -8	B fiSa		
Shorrocks Hu36	>1200	0:			446 1	0 446	5 0.5	7-10	15-	18	B fiSaLm		
Pans/Panne		4 :			446 1	0 446	5 0.5						
Terrain type <i>Terreintine</i> : Al							F	or an expl	anation of th	ris tal	ble consult LAN	D TYPE INVENTORY (1)	le of contents)
Terrain form sketch/erreinvormskets							7	er verduid	eliking you k	ierd	te tabel buk LAN	DTIPE - INVENTARIS (inh	autopane)
	Alve					-	1	er verannan	chiang ran h		is most sys 1210.	STUE- IN ENTING (IIII	ourselfare)



and sandstone) in the riverbeds.



4

10

Assessment of soil potential for the new footprint

The proposed amendment includes a shift of the approved facility to the west of the farm (as shown in Figure 5).



Figure 5: Locality of altered segments for amendment

Survey data, captured in June 2018, were used for the assessment of soil potential of the new footprint (Figure 6).



Figure 6: Soil map.

A profile description of the soil appears in Table 5.

Clove	Clovelly Setlagole														
OBS	68														
LAT	27.222900	SLOPE G	RAD		1		MOISTUR	E	L						
LONG	22.982810	SLOPE SI	HAPE	R			EROSION		L						
	FORM	Cv	TSD	120	WET	0	HOR	TYPE	DEPTH	COL	CLAY	S-GR	CONS	STRUC	STONE
	FAM	3100	ESD	120	С	1	1	A	3	07.5YR5/6	6	Vf	5	sg	0
	ROUGH	1	ASD	_	GEO	L2	2	В	12	0 7.5YR5/8	6	Vf	5	а	0
	TERR_POS	6	LTN		PHOTO		3	L							
	L.COVER/USE:							Natural	Grazing						
Soil Properties						AH	A Horizon			B Horizon			C-H	C-Horizon	
						Тор	Topsoil			Sub-soil			Sub	Sub-strata	
Textur	e					Very	Very fine sand			Very fine sand				Not specified	
Consis	stency					Loos	Loose to very loose			Loose to very loose					
Struct	ure					Sing	Single grain			Apedal			NOT		
Colour						Stro	Strong Brown			Strong Brown					
Horizon Depth							300mm			>1200mm			>15	00 mm	1
Depth limitation							e < 15	00 mm							

Effective Depth	1200 mm
Carbon content	Low <3%
Terrain position	Foot Slope
Geology	Dolomite formations/Aeolian sand
Slope shape	Strait
Slope gradient	1%
Moisture availability	Low
Erosion potential	Low. Susceptible to wind erosion if vegetation is altered.
Leaching status	Eutrophic
Transition	Non Luvic

Photographs associated with the observation points in Figure 6 are shown in Figure 7.

5.8 Veld condition

A veld condition assessment, by visual acknowledgement, was conducted simultaneously with the soil survey. The photos in Figure 7 show the veld condition. The vegetation type is Kathu Bushveld which is part of the Savanna Biome). The composition of the grazing varies from open grass with low to medium encroachment. The grasses observed were of low grazing value, except Sand Quick (*Schmidtia pappophorroides*), which has high grazing value and is a good sand binder.

Medium size trees and bushes were observed. The trees were mainly Black Thorn acacia (*Acacia mellifera*), Candle–pod Acacia (*Acacia hebeclada*), Grey Camel-thorn (*Acacia haemataxylon*), and Camel-thorn (*Acacia erioloba*).



49 Medium sized bush, low encroachment

47 High encroachment, disturbed area



46 Sparse basal cover, low encroachment



45 Access road to mine



67 Medium height Acacia and sparse veld



44 Access to mine



68 Low tree dencity, sparse veld



52 Medium encroachment. Transmission line exit farm

Figure 7: Photo imaginary of observation points and vegetation.

6. AGRICULTURAL SUITABILITY OF THE SITE

6.1 Agricultural potential of the site

Any plant needs three basic elements to grow successfully, namely air, moisture and nutrients. The occurrence of deep soils mean that the soil potential is high. However the combination of climate (low rainfall, high temperatures, and evaporation) in combination with the very sandy deep soil (low water holding capacity) is evaluated as low agricultural potential.

This soil has a 6% clay content with fine sand grade, which has the ability to retain 97 mm/1000mm.

Figure 8 shows the water cycle. The plant absorbs water, but only a small part is taken up by the plant cells while the largest part is released back to atmosphere by transpiration. At the same, time water evaporate from the soil into the atmosphere. This combined loss of water is known as evapotranspiration. The figure also shows the distribution of plant roots in general.



Figure 8: Water cycle in crop production

There are many ways to determine evapotranspiration, the one commonly used is the A-pan evaporation with crop factors.

Table 6:	Crop	factors	for	Maize	in	summer	rainfall	areas
1 abio 0.	0.00	1401010		maileo		ounnor	rannan	arouo

Month	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mrt	Apr
Crop factor	0.4	0.75	0.75	0.6	0.5

The root system depth of each crop determines the soil water reservoir size or total available water in normal deep soils. For maize, this depth is 600 + mm with 90% in the top 900 mm. Plant available water is then 87.3 mm (97* 900). Water replacement is required when 50% of the reservoir is depleted to avoid plant stress. This means when 43.6mm have been used, it should be replaced.

If it is in the second month after planting, the crop factor to use would be the one for January, namely 0.75. The amount of evaporation allowed before water has to be replaced is then determined by dividing the required water (43.6 mm) with the crop factor (0.75), which is 58.1 mm.

The frequency of rain/irrigation for the replacement is calculated by dividing the allowable evaporation with the daily evaporation of 8.7 mm/day for January. The result is to replace 43.6 mm every seven days.

This soil profile is in excess of 1200 mm, but effective use of the soil depth, is restricted by its structure and texture for poor water retention. The arid climate conditions of very low annual rainfall, extreme evaporation and temperatures, is not a preferable combination for sustainable crop production.

6.2 Land Capability and Suitability for Agriculture

The classification of land in capability classes is conservation orientated and involves the consideration of: (i) risks of land damage from erosion and other causes, and (ii) difficulties in land use owing to physical land characteristics and climate. Land capability classification has the particular purpose of allowing the most intensive agricultural use of land without the risk of soil degradation.

There are eight classes; with the first four considered as arable and the next four non-arable. The land for this site is classified as capability class VII.

Land in Class VII has continued limitations that cannot be corrected, such as:

- Severe erosion hazard,
- Low water holding capacity,
- Severe climate.

These limitations make it generally unsuited for cultivation and limit its use largely to pasture, range and woodland.

6.3 Agricultural Sensitivity

Sensitivity screening with the DEA tool is an indicator for agricultural potential. High sensitivity will refer to high agricultural potential. The results of applying the screening tool are shown in Table 7.

The DEA Screening tool identifies the following sensitivity themes of the site, which are the same for both the authorised and proposed amended layout.

Agricultural Sancitivity	Screening tool rating		
Agricultural Sensitivity	Approved site	Proposed new Site	
Development zone	Strategic Transmission Corridor	Strategic Transmission Corridor	
Agricultural combined sensitivity	Medium	Medium	
Relative animal species	Low	Low	
Aquatic biodiversity combined	Low	Low	
Relative landscape (Solar) theme	Medium	Medium	
Relative plant species theme	Low	Low	
Relative RFI	Low	Low	
Relative terrestrial biodiversity	Low	Low	
Relative defence combined	Low	Low	

Table 7: Sensitivity rating

7. ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED AMENDED DEVELOPMENT

The development proposed is to construct a commercial photovoltaic (PV) solar energy facility (SEF) on \pm 270 ha of agricultural land. The proposed new footprint for the facility will be on the western portion of the Remaining Extent of Farm York A 279 – see Figure 9.



Figure 9 New layout for Hotazel Solar

Change of footprint area

The fenced area (orange border) is approximately 270 ha.

PV arrays (Turquoise) will cover a maximum area of 245 ha.

Change of access roads

Access to the facility will be direct from the R31, with two access point options:

- Option 1 Blue line which, enters at 56, then turn west for 1 km up to 53
- Option 2 Yellow line which enters at **56** then turn east for 300 m up to **58**.

Length of internal roads is ±20 km.

Change of grid connections

Three alternative routes for the grid connection line are considered:

- Option 1 at **58** (Preferred, as previously authorised): ±100m overhead 132kV powerline which will connect via a Loop in Loop out connection into the existing Hotazel/Eldoret 132kV line. The powerline will have a maximum height of 32m and maximum servitude width of 52m.
- Option 2: (Yellow line in corridor) ±5.8km overhead 132kV powerline line from the on-site substation / collector **58** switching station to the Eskom Hotazel substation. To assess the route, the line is buffered by 150 m (i.e. a 300 m corridor) in order to allow for micro-siting. The powerline will have a maximum height of 32m and a servitude width of between 31m and 36m.
- Option 3: ±1km overhead 132kV powerline from the Hotazel Solar on-site substation / collector switching station 58 to the Hotazel 2 collector switching station 5 (which is being proposed in a separate EIA process). The powerline will have a maximum height of 32m and a servitude width of between 31m and 36m.

From the particulars in Figure 9, the potential impacts that the facility may have on agricultural development of the farm include the following:

7.1 Loss of agricultural land

The farm is used as a grazing unit for cattle, but with the field visit, only three cows were spotted in the kraal (point 60). Apparently, there is a high rate of stock theft in the area. The farm is bordered by mining activities to the north and south, roads on the south and east side and a railroad on the west side. The unit is divided in five grazing camps with handling facilities near the homestead (observation point 60) and a diversion kraal (observation point 16).

The developments surrounding the farm restricts the usable area to 509 ha .With a suggested carrying capacity of 13 ha/LSU, a herd of 39 LSU can be allowed to graze the area.

With the establishment of a SEF, 270 ha will be lost for agriculture.

The loss of 270 ha grazing will mean a potential loss of 21 large stock units. However, this is not significant as the capacity on the current manageable area is already not an economical unit.

Mitigation proposed

The loss of area can also be calculated as loss of grazing for 21 LSU. It is assumed that an animal eats the equivalent of 3% of its body mass per day. The mass of an LSU is estimated as 450 kg; therefore, one LSU requires 13.5 kg roughage/day. The loss due to the footprint size is then 21 x 13.5 kg, which is 284 kg /day.

It is possible to produce 500 kg of fresh fodder in seven days from 50 kg cereal grain seed in a container measuring 8m x 4m x 2m. Once in production, 500 kg fodder can be harvested daily. The requirement for such production is to control the temperature between 20°C and 28°C and artificial (fluorescent) lighting. The seed is sprayed with a hydroponic nutrient three times a day.

The fodder is fed directly to the animals: tray, roots and all.

The 234 ha remaining land with the additional fodder will be able to carry the 39 LSU.

From a fire protection viewpoint this would be a very good prospect as the animals can graze arrowed the facility keeping the vegetation short, erasing the fire hazard.

7.2 Impairment of land capability due to construction

7.2.1 Removal of vegetation

The development of the proposed facility will take place in three phases, namely construction, operation and decommissioning. During each of these phases, vegetation will be exposed to impacts caused by mechanical disturbance.

Construction phase

During this phase, vegetation is stripped, topsoil is removed and stock piled, access roads are constructed, structures are erected and vegetation resettled. Where soil conditions allow, topsoil should be left in situ as far as possible.

The stripping should be executed in a selective way. Only the bushes and trees should be removed, leaving the grass intact. Only where trenches for cabling are needed, top soil should be removed and piled up for reuse with rehabilitation.

The resettlement of vegetation form the basis on which the last two phases shall perform. Therefore, this is the starting point of the rehabilitation process.

When veld is re-established after construction, the seed of climax grasses adapted for the site should be used. Grass species recommended are:

- Tassel Three–awn (*Aristida congesta*), which has low grazing value but is important to cover bare patches, thus preventing erosion;
- Small Bushman Grass (*Stipagrostis obtusa*), which has high grazing value and good binder of sand;
- Tall Bushman Grass (*Stipagrostis clliata*) a palatable grass with high grazing value and good binder of sand;
- Lehmann's Love Grass (*Eragrostis lehmanniana*), which is moderately palatable and good for stabilizing eroded soil;
- Guinia Grass (Panicum maximum), a very palatable good cultivated pasture; and
- Wool Grass (Anthephora pubescens).

Operational phase

This is the longest phase (25-30 years). During this phase, the re-vegetated surface must be conserved and used for maintaining the livelihood of the owner and workers. Adaption to new methods of operating must be incorporated in the management plan.

Decommissioning phase

When the facility reaches the end of its economic lifespan, decommissioning will take place. The area must then be restored to its natural stage.

7.2.2 Altering of drainage patterns with construction of roads support buildings and PV panels

The facility will be constructed on a footslope with a regular shape, a slope gradient of <1% and no defined waterway.

The solar panels will be supported by posts without reaching the soil surface. There will be very low obstruction of run-off. The run-off water will flow in a lateral way without concentration into furrows or depressions. When re-vegetation starts, these strips will slow down the flow speed on surface and enhance the infiltration rate.

The facility will have a very low effect on the drainage pattern of the site.

7.3 Possibe spillages of concrete and fuel may impact the soil.

During construction and decomisioning phases there could be spillages of concrete or fuel that can contiminate soil. With the neccessary precausion and mitigation this impact will be of low significance.

8. **REVIEW OF CHANGES**

8.1 Change of footprint area

The footprint is of same size (270ha opposed to 275ha) and on the same premises. With the new location, the management from a land use perspective; is more efficient. This is so because all the available grazing is in one unit (as opposed to separation in the approved outlay). The camping system is almost intact. Refer to Figure 9. Only two fences would need to be demolished (Red dotted lines that connects **60**, **31** and **16**). The fence **31 - 16** will be replaced with the northern fence of the SEF. The diversion kraal **16** and borehole and pump at **5** will also not be effected.

The soil potential of the amended portion is identical to that of the approved SEF as with the basal cover.

8.2 Change of access road

The access road will be moved east, but still directly from the R31 in the corridor occupied by the transmission lines. This will have no new impact on agricultural land.

8.3 Change of grid connections

The gridline routing remains the same, following the existing alignment of transmission lines. The approved facility connected at 53, which was closer to the Hotazel substation than the new proposed connection at **58** or **5**. The loss of soil and vegetation will have a low impact on agricultural land in both cases.

9 CONCLUSION

Geology and climate dictates the soil characteristics, namely a sandy textured soil with low cohesive structure. The soil will have a high base status due to low leaching that took place.

The soil and climate combination restricts cash crop production, due to low water retention and excessive drainage. Because of the low rainfall, the soils are not highly leached, therefore high base status (high Ca Mg etc. soil content) conditions exist. The limiting crop production factor is not nutrient related (nutrients can be managed) but climate related.

The arid conditions further restrict the choice of crops.

Due to the limiting conditions set out above, including continual stock theft, the site is classified as Class VII capability, in terms of which it is unsuited for cultivation and restricts utilisation to grazing, woodland or wildlife.

The concentration of mines in the area increases the need for infrastructure to support the mining activities. These include urbanisation, railways, roads and electricity provision. These all impact on agricultural land.

The agricultural character of the farm is already changed with all the industrial activities surrounding it and the site lies within the Strategic Transmission Corridor.

Changing the footprint location, access road and transmission routings does not add any additional possible impacts or change mitigation measures as set out in the approved environmental authorisation for Hotazel Solar (14/12/16/3/3/2/1086). All mitigation measures identified in the original Agricultural Assessment are still valid for this amendment request.

The reason for requesting the amendment is to make space for a potential second solar PV facility (which will be applied for in a separate EIA process). This will mean that the total farm area will be occupied by solar facilities. However, the farm is surrounded by mining activities and its supporting infrastructure, which handicapped the management of normal cattle farming activities. Simultaneously, the farm has a low agricultural potential.

The mines are located in such a way that a corridor, traversing through them is established. This corridor can be seen as a conduit for the entire infrastructure required to maintain development. This corridor is zoned as a Strategic Transmission Corridor with the farm York included in the zoned area.

It is better to loose agricultural land of low potential in a region that is already disturbed, than to loose high potential agricultural land in an undisturbed highly productive farming area.

From an agricultural and land use perspective, the amendment should be authorised.

Christo Lubbe

C R LUBBE AGRICULTURAL SPECIALIST

18 June 2020

LIMITATIONS

This Document has been provided subject to the following limitations:

(i) This Document has been prepared for the particular purpose outlined in it. No responsibility is accepted for its use in other contexts or for other purpose.

(ii) CR Lubbe did not perform a complete assessment of all possible conditions or circumstances that may exist at the site referenced in the Document. Conditions may exist which were undetectable at the time of this study. Variations in conditions may occur from time to time.

(iii) Where data supplied by the client or other external sources, including previous site investigation data, have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct unless otherwise stated. No responsibility is accepted for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by others.

(iv) This Document is provided for sole use by the client and its professional advisers and is therefore confidential. No responsibility for the contents of this Document will be accepted to any person other than the Client.

REFERENCES

AGIS, 2018. Agricultural Geo-Referenced Information System.

Agricultural Research Council, 2003. Crop Water Relationships and climate. ARC, Silverton

Ashman MR and Puri G, 2002. Essential Soil Science. Blackwell, Oxford.

Department of Agriculture and Water Supply, 1989. *Land Types of the Maps: Memoirs on the Agricultural Natural Resources of South Africa.* Soil and Irrigation Research Institute, Pretoria

Fey, M, 2010. Soils of South Africa. Cambridge, Cape Town.

Hudson, N, 1995. Soil Conservation. B.T Batsford, London

Kynoch, 2005. Weidingshandleiding. Kajafa, Cape Town

Macintosh EK, 1983. Rocks, Minerals and Gemstones of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town

Munsell Color, 2009. Munsell Soil-Color Charts. Munsell, Washington.

Soil Classification Working Group, 1991. Soil Classification: A Taxonomic System for South Africa. Department of Agricultural Development, Pretoria.

Thomas V, Moll E and Grant R, 2008. Sappi Tree Spotting: Cape – From Coast to Kalahari. Jacana, Johannesburg

Van der Walt, HvH and Van Rooyen, TH, 1995. A Glossary of Soil Science. Soil Science Society of SA, Pretoria

Van Oudtshoorn F, 1994. Gids tot Grasse van Suid-Afrika. Briza, Arcadia

Curriculum Vitae - Christiaan Rudolf Lubbe

KEY QUALIFICATIONS:

- National Higher Diploma in Agriculture (Irrigation), Technikon Pretoria (Now Tshwane University of Technology), 1982.
- Certificate in Stereoscopic Interpretation, Geology and Resource Classification and Utilisation, Department of Agriculture, 1979.
- National Diploma in Agriculture, Technikon Pretoria (Now Tshwane University of Technology), 1976.

OTHER EDUCATION:

- Certificate in Turf Grass Management, Technikon Pretoria, 1987
- Certificate in Landscape Management, Technikon Pretoria, 1988
- Cultivated pastures (Mod 320), University of Pretoria, 1995
- NOSA Health and Safety Certificate, 1996
- FSC Auditors Course (Woodmark, UK) Sappi Ltd, 2003
- Certificate of Competence: Civil Designer Design Centre and Survey and Design (Knowledge Base, August 2005)

SUMMARY

Work experience of 49 years were progressively gained whilst working as a land use planner (1971-1979 - Extension technician); Lecturer in agricultural engineering and conservation subjects (1980- 1997) and Agricultural Consultant (1998 onwards). Always striving to find the equilibrium in using the natural resources for agricultural production.

CHRONOLOGICAL EMPLOYMENT

Period		1971-1980			
Compa	mpany Department of Agriculture Transvaal region				
Positio	sition occupied Final: Senior Extension Technician				
Farm planning, technical support, general agricultural extension.					
•	Resource potential analyses, Soil classification, Veld evaluation.				
•	Conservation practices on arable land: Include water runoff planning, surveying and design of				
	conservation works. Demonstration of building and inspection of completed structures.				
•	Conservation practices on non-arable land. Veld classification evaluation and management				
	planning.				
•	Survey and design of stock watering systems. Inspection of completed system.				
•	Participated in the development of target areas which included soil survey and water run off				
	planning				
•	Assistance with experimental conservation and agronomy trials.				

Period	1980-1996		
Company	Technicon Pretoria		
Position occupied	Lecturer		
Lecture subjects required to obtain a National Diploma in Agriculture.			
Subjects lectured			
Land use planning			
Soil conservation techniques			
Agricultural mechanisation			
Pasture science 1 A			
Drainage			

Period	riod January 1997 – May 2004			
Comp	any	Self employed		
Positio	sition occupied Agricultural Consultant (Land use planner)			
Soil ar	Soil and veld survey for land capability classification.			
•	Physical audit and stock taking of Irrigation Scheme infrastructure at Loskop Dam,			
	Hartebeespoort Dam, Buffelspoort Dam, Bospoort Dam, Roodekopjes Dam and Vaalkop			
	Dam.			
•	• Potential assessments and land use plans for four new upcoming farmers in the Limpopo			
	Province.			
•	Undertook reconnaissance soil surveys on various plantations and farms.			
•	GPS survey and alien identification for mapping of Jukskei and Swartspruit areas, as part of			
	the Working for Water Program.			
•	Participated in a due diligence audit on various plantations in the Limpopo and Mpumalanga			
	Provinces as part of the preparation for a British company's tender to purchase these			
	plantations.			
•	Survey to provide a deta	iled inventory of the forest resources in 17 specified Forest		
	Reserves in Ghana to de	velop a practical and operationally sound methodology for		
	monitoring the natural forest resources in Ghana, based on satellite imagery for the Gha			
	Forestry Commission.			
•	Lectures Basic Farm Plar	nning short courses in Limpopo and Gauteng.		

Period	June 2004 – June 2006		
Company	Gauteng Department of Agriculture Conservation and		
	Environment		
Position occupied Acting Assistant Director Resource planning and Utilization			
Site classification, evaluation, land use planning and farming extension in general.			
Plan the utilization of agricultural resources in the Province for sustainable agricultural			
production and economic development			

- Provide advanced scientific and practical information, advice and training (formal and informal) pertaining to land use planning to stakeholders, in order to maximise their ability to utilise their farm land effectively.
- Irrigation design and technical support.
- Evaluate Scoping Reports for development and exemption for EIA application.
- Capability surveys for Land Reform for Agricultural Development Land
- Member of technical working group for the zonation of high potential land in Gauteng

Period	July 2006 to date		
Company	Self employed		
Position occupied	Land Use Consultant		
Period of employment	14 years		
Compile agricultural potential studies			
Land capability classification and evaluation as part of			
Environmental Impact Assessments			
Motivation report for ch	ange in land use		
Verification of desktop studies.			
Specialised agricultural ventures.			
Agricultural impact studies for Scoping and EIA relating to :			
• Construction of renewable energy facilities (Various solar as well as wind and hydro electrical)			
Rezoning municipal boundary (Witsand)			
Construction packaging facility (Augrabies)			
Construction desalination plant (Witsand)			
Establish new graveyard (Zoar)			
Feasibility study feedlot (Sudan)			
Mapping potential agricultural land (Kongo)			

• Verifying desktop studies



environmental affairs

Department: Environmental Affairs **REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**

DETAILS OF SPECIALIST AND DECLARATION OF INTEREST

File Reference Number: NEAS Reference Number: Date Received:

For official use only)	
12/12/20/ or 12/9/11/L	
DEA/EIA	

Application for integrated environmental authorisation and waste management licence in terms of the-

- (1) National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended and the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014; and
- (2) National Environmental Management Act: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) and Government Notice 921, 2013

PROJECT TITLE

Specialist:	C R Lubbe				
Contact person:	Christo Lubbe				
Postal address:	4 Protea Street, Riversdale				
Postal code:	6670 Cell: 082 853 1274				
Telephone:		F	ax:		
E-mail:	macquarrie@vodamail.co.za				
Professional	None				
affiliation(s) (if any)					
Project Consultant:	Cape Environmental Assessment Practitioners (Pty) Ltd				
Contact person:	Dale Holder				
Postal address:	PO Box 2070, George				
Postal code:	6530	Cell:		082448 9225	
Telephone:	044 874 0365	Fax:		044 874 0432	
E-mail:	dale@cape-eaprac.co.za				

4.2 The specialist appointed in terms of the Regulations_

I, Christiaan Rudolf Lubbe, declare that –

General declaration:

I act as the independent specialist in this application;

I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;

I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;

I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;

I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;

I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;

I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;

all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and

I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.

Signature of the specialist:

C R Lubbe Name of company (if applicable):

17 <u>June 2020</u> Date: