

The proposed development of a Battery
Energy Storage System (BESS) and
associated infrastructure at the Cuprum
Substation located within Copperton,
near the town of Prieska, Northern Cape
Province

PALAEONTOLOGICAL DESKTOP STUDY

Compiled by: Dr JF Durand (Pr.Sci.Nat.)

For:

Sativa Travel and Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd

Tel: 076 328 1558

Fax: 086 652 9774

Email: moses@sativatec.co.za

Web: www.sativatec.co.za

16 May 2021

Prof Francois Durand
PO Box 31517
Totiusdal
0134
francois.karst@yahoo.com
083 235 7855

1 August 2021

To whom it may concern

RE Palaeontological Desktop Study for the proposed development of a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and associated infrastructure at the Cuprum Substation located within Copperton, near the town of Prieska, Northern Cape Province

The findings and recommendations as stipulated in the Palaeontological Desktop Study for this project, written on 16 May 2021, are still valid.

Regards



Prof. J.F. Durand (Sci.Nat.)
BSc Botany & Zoology (RAU), BSc Zoology (WITS), Diploma in Museology (UP),
Higher Education Diploma (RAU), PhD Palaeontology (WITS)

Table of Contents:

1. Executive Summary.....	3
2. Introduction.....	4
3. Terms of reference for the report.....	5
4. Details of study site and the type of assessment.....	8
5. Geological setting of the study site.....	9
6. Palaeontology of the study area.....	10
7. Conclusion and Recommendations.....	12
8. Declaration of independence.....	14
9. Declaration of independence.....	15

List of Figures:

Figure 1: Google Earth photo of the study site (red rectangle).....	8
Figure 2: Geology Map of the study area (adapted from the 2922 PRIESKA 1:250000 Geology Map, Geological Survey, 1995).....	9
Figure 3: Palaeosensitivity map showing the position of the study site (white rectangle) (SAHRA, 2021).....	10

1. Executive summary

The study site is underlain by red windblown sand of the Gordonia Formation of the Kalahari Group which is not particularly fossiliferous. The possibility of finding fossils is however not excluded since fossils of root casts and burrows (such as termitaria), rare vertebrate remains (mammals, fish, ostrich egg shell etc.), diatoms, palynomorphs, freshwater stromatolites, freshwater and terrestrial mollusc shells, ostracods and charophytes have been reported from Gordonia Formation deposits elsewhere but mainly in deposits associated with ancient pans, lakes and river systems.

A palaeontologist should be contacted if an exceptional fossil discovery is made during the construction. The ECO should follow the guidelines as stipulated under the Chance Find Procedure on pp. 11-12 in such an event.

2. Introduction

This is a Palaeontological Desktop Study that was prepared in line with Regulation 28 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998) Regulations on Environmental Impact Assessment. This involved an overview of the literature on the palaeontology and associated geology of the area.

The National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No.25 of 1999), stipulates that fossils and fossil sites may not be altered or destroyed. The purpose of this document is to detail the probability of finding fossils in the study area that may be impacted by the proposed development.

The palaeontological heritage of South Africa is unsurpassed and can only be described in superlatives. The South African palaeontological record gives us insight in inter alia the origin of dinosaurs, mammals and humans. Fossils are also used to identify rock strata and determine the geological context of the subregion with other continents and played a crucial role in the discovery of Gondwanaland and the formulation of the theory of plate tectonics. Fossils are also used to study evolutionary relationships, sedimentary processes and palaeoenvironments.

South Africa has the longest record of palaeontological endeavour in Africa. South Africa was even one of the first countries in the world in which museums displayed fossils and palaeontologists studied earth history. South African palaeontological institutions and their vast fossil collections are world-renowned and befittingly the NHRA is one of the most sophisticated and best considered in the world.

Fossils and palaeontological sites are protected by law in South Africa. Construction in fossiliferous areas may be mitigated in exceptional cases but there is a protocol to be followed.

3. Terms of reference for the report

According to the South African Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No.25 of 1999) (Republic of South Africa, 1999), certain clauses are relevant to palaeontological aspects for a terrain suitability assessment.

- **Subsection 35(4)** No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority-
- (a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;
- (b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;
- (c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or
- (d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist with the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.
- **Subsection 35(5)** When the responsible heritage resources authority has reasonable cause to believe that any activity or development which will destroy, damage or alter any archaeological or palaeontological site is under way, and where no application for a permit has been submitted and no heritage resources management procedures in terms of section 38 has been followed, it may-
- (a) serve on the owner or occupier of the site or on the person undertaking such development an order for the development to cease immediately for such period as is specified in the order;
- (b) carry out an investigation for the purpose of obtaining information on whether or not an archaeological or palaeontological site exists and whether mitigation is necessary;
- (c) if mitigation is deemed by the heritage resources authority to be necessary, assist the person on whom the order has been served under paragraph (a) to apply for a permit as required in subsection (4); and
- (d) recover the costs of such investigation from the owner or occupier of the land on which it is believed an archaeological or palaeontological site is located or from the person proposing to undertake the development if no application for a permit is received within two weeks of the order being served.

South Africa's unique and non-renewable palaeontological heritage is protected in terms of the NHRA. According to this act, heritage resources may not be excavated, damaged, destroyed or otherwise impacted by any development without prior assessment and without a permit from the relevant heritage resources authority.

As areas are developed and landscapes are modified, heritage resources, including palaeontological resources, are threatened. As such, both the environmental and heritage legislation require that development activities must be preceded by an assessment of the impact undertaken by qualified professionals. Palaeontological Impact Assessments (PIAs) are specialist reports that form part of the wider heritage component of:

- Heritage Impact Assessments (HIAs) called for in terms of Section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999 by a heritage resources authority.
- Environmental Impact Assessment process as required in terms of other legislation listed in s. 38(8) of NHRA (Section 38(8) mentions that the competent authority should ensure that the evaluation of impacts on the heritage resources (which includes palaeontological resources) fulfils the requirements of the relevant heritage resources authority, and that the comments and recommendations of the heritage resources authority are taken into account prior to the granting of the consent. This desktop palaeontological report will therefore seek identify any palaeontological resources on the development footprint which may require further evaluation to fulfil this requirement);
- Environmental Management Plans (EMPs) required by the Department of Mineral Resources.

HIAs are intended to ensure that all heritage resources are protected, and where it is not possible to preserve them in situ, appropriate mitigation measures are applied. An HIA is a comprehensive study that comprises a palaeontological, archaeological, built environment, living heritage, etc. specialist studies. Palaeontologists must acknowledge this and ensure that they collaborate with other heritage practitioners. Where palaeontologists are engaged for the entire HIA, they must refer heritage components for which they do not have expertise on to appropriate specialists. Where they are engaged specifically for the palaeontology, they must draw the attention of environmental consultants and developers to the need for assessment of other aspects of heritage. In this sense,

Palaeontological Impact Assessments that are part of Heritage Impact Assessments are similar to specialist reports that form part of the EIA reports. The standards and procedures discussed here are therefore meant to guide the conduct of PIAs and specialists undertaking such studies must adhere to them. The process of assessment for the palaeontological (PIA) specialist components of heritage impact assessments, involves:

Scoping stage in line with regulation 28 of the National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998) Regulations on Environmental Impact Assessment. This involves an **initial assessment** where the specialist evaluates the scope of the project (based, for example, on NID/BIDs) and advises on the form and extent of the assessment process. At this stage the palaeontologist may also decide to compile a **Letter of Recommendation for Exemption from further Palaeontological Studies**. This letter will state that there is little or no likelihood that any significant fossil resources will be impacted by the development. This letter should present a reasoned case for exemption, supported by consultation of the relevant geological maps and key literature.

A **Palaeontological Desktop Study** – the palaeontologist will investigate available resources (geological maps, scientific literature, previous impact assessment reports, institutional fossil collections, satellite images or aerial photos , etc) to inform an assessment of fossil heritage and/or exposure of potentially fossiliferous rocks within the study area. Desktop studies will conclude whether a further field assessment is warranted or not. Where further studies are required, the desktop study would normally be an integral part of a field assessment of relevant palaeontological resources.

A **Phase 1 Palaeontological Impact Assessment** is generally warranted where rock units of high palaeontological sensitivity are concerned, levels of bedrock exposure within the study area are adequate; large-scale projects with high potential heritage impact are planned; and where the distribution and nature of fossil remains in the proposed project area is unknown. In the recommendations of Phase 1, the specialist will inform whether further monitoring and mitigation are necessary. The Phase 1 should identify the rock units and significant fossil heritage resources present, or by inference likely to be present, within the study area, assess the palaeontological significance of these rock units, fossil sites or other fossil heritage, comment on the impact of the development on palaeontological heritage resources and make recommendations for their mitigation or conservation, or for any further specialist studies that are required in order to

adequately assess the nature, distribution and conservation value of palaeontological resources within the study area.

A **Phase 2 Palaeontological Mitigation** involves planning the protection of significant fossil sites, rock units or other palaeontological resources and/or the recording and sampling of fossil heritage that might be lost during development, together with pertinent geological data. The mitigation may take place before and / or during the construction phase of development. The specialist will require a Phase 2 mitigation permit from the relevant Heritage Resources Authority before Phase 2 may be implemented.

A **'Phase 3' Palaeontological Site Conservation and Management Plan** may be required in cases where the site is so important that development will not be allowed, or where development is to co-exist with the resource. Developers may be required to enhance the value of the sites retained on their properties with appropriate interpretive material or displays as a way of promoting access of such resources to the public.

The assessment reports will be assessed by the relevant heritage resources authority, and depending on which piece of legislation triggered the study, a response will be given in the form of a Review Comment or Record of Decision (ROD). In the case of PIAs that are part of EIAs or EMPs, the heritage resources authority will issue a comment or a record of decision that may be forwarded to the consultant or developer, relevant government department or heritage practitioner and where feasible to all three.

4. Details of study area and the type of assessment:



Figure 1: Google Earth photo of the study site (red rectangle)

The study area (indicated by the red rectangle in Fig. 1) is situated 3.6 km south of Copperton and 54 km southwest of Prieska in the Northern Cape.

The study area extends to the southwest and northwest of the existing substation (29°57'33.14"S 20° 22'18"1.26"E) to accommodate the placement of a water pipeline and a road.

Geomorphologically the study area is generally flat and extremely dry. The area is covered with red windblown sand. There are copper and zinc mines in the area.

Due to the palaeontological nature of the study area (Moderate Sensitivity) the relevant literature and geological map for the region, in which the development is proposed to take place, have been studied for a Desktop Study.

5. Geological setting



The study area is indicated by the green rectangle.

GEOLOGICAL LEGEND

Legend			
	Lithology	Stratigraphy	Age
Qg	Red windblown sand and dunes	Gordonia Formation, Kalahari Group	Quaternary
Mv	Garnet and sillimanite-bearing pelitic schist and gneiss, quartz-feldspar leucogneiss	Vogelstruis Formation, Jacomyns Pan Group of the Namaqua Metamorphic Province	Unknown

Figure 2: Geology Map of the study area (adapted from the 2922 PRIESKA 1:250 000 Geology Map, Geological Survey, 1995)

The study site (29°57'33.14"S 20° 22'18".1.26"E) is underlain by the sedimentary deposits of the Gordonia Formation of the Kalahari Group. These sediments have been deposited between the Late Cretaceous until Recent and is characterised by fluvial gravels, sands, lacustrine and pan mudrocks, diatomites and diatomaceous limestones, evaporites, consolidated to unconsolidated aeolian sands and pedocretes (especially calcrete) (Almond & Pether, 2009).

6. Palaeontology of the study area



Figure 3: Palaeosensitivity map showing the position of the study site (white rectangle) (SAHRA, 2021)

LEGEND:

Colour	Palaeontological Significance	Action
GREEN	MODERATE	Desktop study is required.
GREY	INSIGNIFICANT	No palaeontological studies are required.

The region is considered by SAHRA as having a Moderate Palaeontological Sensitivity (Fig. 3). The Gordonian Formation may contain fossil spores and pollen, root casts and burrows (such as termitaria), rare vertebrate remains (mammals, fish, ostrich egg shell etc.), diatoms, freshwater stromatolites, freshwater and terrestrial mollusc shells, ostracods and charophytes (Almond & Pether, 2009). None of these fossils have been reported from the study site.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations:

The region is covered with the red windblown sand of the Gordonia Formation which is not particularly fossiliferous, but the possibility of finding fossils cannot be excluded. Rare and sporadic discoveries of fossils of root casts and burrows (such as termitaria), rare vertebrate remains (mammals, fish, ostrich egg shell etc.), diatoms, palynomorphs, freshwater stromatolites, freshwater and terrestrial mollusc shells, ostracods and charophytes have been reported from Gordonia Formation deposits elsewhere but mainly in deposits associated with ancient pans, lakes and river systems. From the palaeontological sensitivity map, the study site was determined to be of moderate sensitivity. Therefore, this report fulfils the requirement of a desktop assessment to be undertaken for areas which are determine to be of moderate palaeontological sensitivity (SAHRA, 2021).

If fossils or sub-fossils are exposed during construction, it is advised that the ECO must follow the Chance Palaeontological Find Procedure as stipulated below and to contact a palaeontologist for further advice.

PROCEDURE FOR CHANCE PALAEONTOLOGICAL FINDS

Extracted and adapted from the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 Regulations Reg No. 6820, GN: 548.

The following procedure must be considered in the event that previously unknown fossils or fossil sites are exposed or found during the life of the project:

1. Surface excavations should continuously be monitored by the ECO and any fossil material be unearthed the excavation must be halted.
2. If fossiliferous material has been disturbed during the excavation process it should be put aside to prevent it from being destroyed.
3. The ECO then has to take a GPS reading of the site and take digital pictures of the fossil material and the site from which it came.
4. The ECO then should contact a palaeontologist and supply the palaeontologist with the information (locality and pictures) so that the palaeontologist can assess the importance of the find and make recommendations.

5. If the palaeontologist is convinced that this is a major find an inspection of the site must be scheduled as soon as possible in order to minimise delays to the development.

From the photographs and/or the site visit the palaeontologist will make one of the following recommendations:

- a. The material is of no value so development can proceed, or:
 - b. Fossil material is of some interest and a representative sample should be collected and put aside for further study and to be incorporated into a recognised fossil repository after a permit was obtained from SAHRA for the removal of the fossils, after which the development may proceed, or:
 - c. The fossils are scientifically important and the palaeontologist must obtain a SAHRA permit to excavate the fossils and take them to a recognised fossil repository, after which the development may proceed.
7. If any fossils are found then a schedule of monitoring will be set up between the developer and palaeontologist in case of further discoveries.

8. References:

Almond, J. & Pether, D. (2009). Palaeontological heritage of the Northern Cape. SAHRA Palaeotechnical Report.

Geological Survey (1995) 2922 PRIESKA 1: 250 000 Geology Map/

SAHRA (2021) Palaeosensitivity Map, <https://sahris.sahra.org.za/map/palaeo>

9. Declaration of Independence:

I, Jacobus Francois Durand declare that I am an independent consultant and have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the proposed development, application or appeal in respect of which I was appointed other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with the activity, application or appeal. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.



Palaeontological specialist:

Dr JF Durand (Pr.Sci. Nat.)

BSc Botany & Zoology (RAU), BSc Zoology (WITS), Museology Dipl. (UP),
Higher Education Diploma (RAU), PhD Palaeontology (WITS)