

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (EMPLAN)

PREPARED ON BEHALF OF: AFRICAN CARBON ENERGY (PTY) LTD

SUBMITTED IN TERMS OF SECTION 39 AND REGULATION 52 OF THE MINERAL AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT (MPRDA, ACT NO.28 OF 2002)

PASA REFERENCE NUMBER:

12/3/260

EIMS REFERENCE NUMBER:

0966 (12/3/260) <u>DATE:</u> AUGUST 2013

Environmental Impact Management Services (Pty) Ltd Block 5 Fernridge Office Park, 5 Hunter Avenue, Ferndale, Randburg. P.O. Box 2083, Pinegowrie 2123 Tel: +27(0)11 789-7170 Fax: +27(0)11 787-3059



87-3059

Leaders in Environmental Management

DOCUMENT CONTROL

0966 (12/3/260): Environmental Management Plan (EMPlan)

| | ΝΑΜΕ | SIGNATURE | Dате |
|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Compiled: | Kelly Nesbitt | | <mark>22/07/2013</mark> |
| Checked: | Bradley Wilson Elizabeth Cooper | Sent Via Email | <mark>16/07/2013</mark> |
| Authorized: | Khalid Patal | | 22/07/2013 |

DISTRIBUTION LIST

| AGENCY, ORGANISATION OR PERSON | # OF COPIES |
|---|-------------|
| Napo Matsietsi of Genap Consulting (Pty) Ltd | 1 |
| Eliphus Monkoe of African Carbon Energy (Pty) Ltd | 1 |
| Petroleum Agency South Africa | 10 |

REVISION AND AMENDMENTS

| DATE | No. | DESCRIPTION OF REVISION OR AMENDMENT |
|-------------------------|-----|---|
| <mark>21/07/2013</mark> | 0 | 0966 (12/3/260): Environmental Management Plan (EMPlan) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

This document contains information proprietary to Environmental Impact Management Services (Pty) Ltd. and as such should be treated as confidential unless specifically identified as a public document by law. The document may not be copied, reproduced, or used for any manner without prior written consent from EIMS. Copyright is specifically reserved.

Table of Contents

| 1 | | ction | |
|------------------|----------------|--|------|
| 2 | Descrip | tion of the Baseline Receiving Environment Receiving Environment on Site Relative to the Environment in the Surrounding A | 6 |
| 2.1 | ine 6 | Receiving Environment on Site Relative to the Environment in the Surrounding A | Area |
| | 2.1.1 | Geology and Soils | 6 |
| | 2.1.1 | Topography | |
| | 2.1.3 | Hydrology | |
| | 2.1.4 | Flora | |
| | 2.1.5 | Fauna | |
| | 2.1.6 | Cultural & Heritage | 14 |
| | 2.1.7 | Land Use | |
| | 2.1.8 | Infrastructure | |
| | 2.1.9 | Sensitive Receptors | |
| 3 | • | on of the Proposed Exploration Project | |
| | 3.1.1 | The Main Exploration Activities to be Undertaken | |
| | 3.1.2 | Potential Listed Activities Triggered in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations | |
| 3.2 | | Itification of Potential Impacts | |
| | 3.2.1 3.2.2 | Potential Impacts Per Main Activity Potential Cumulative Impacts Identified and Assessed | |
| 4 | | mental Impact Assessment | |
| 4.1 | | Impact Assessment Methodology | |
| 7.1 | 4.1.1 | Criteria of Assigning Significance to Potential Impacts | |
| | 4.1.2 | Potential Impact of Main Activities in Each Phase and Corresponding Significance | |
| | | nent | 31 |
| 5 | | ed and Affected Party Consultation | |
| 5.1 | Iden | tification of I&AP's | 31 |
| 5.2 | | ails of the I&AP Engagement Process | |
| <mark>5.3</mark> | | mary of Views raised by I&AP's Including Comments and Concerns | |
| <mark>5.4</mark> | | Imary of Objections to the Proposed Project Raised by I&AP's | |
| 5.5 | | Comments, Concerns and Objections from I&AP's have been Addressed | |
| 5.6 | | es and Responses Trail | |
| 6 | | rironmental Management Plan | |
| 6.1 | | aned Monitoring and Performance Assessment of the Environmental Managemen | |
| 6.2 | | a A sand Responsibilities for the Execution of Monitoring Programmes | |
| 6.3 | | mitted Time Frames for Monitoring and Reporting | |
| 6.4 | | ironmental Awareness Plan | |
| 0.1 | 6.4.1 | Employee Communication Process | |
| | •••• | Description of Solution ot Risks | |
| | 6.4.3 | Environmental Awareness Training | |
| 7 | rehabilit | ation and Closure | |
| 7.1 | Alig | nment of Rehabilitation with Closure Objectives | 88 |
| 7.2 | Reh | abilitation Plan | |
| | 7.2.1 | Phase 1 – Making Safe | |
| | 7.2.2 | Phase 2 – Landform Design, Erosion Control and Re-Vegetation | |
| - | 7.2.3 | Phase 3 – Monitoring, Maintenance and Relinquishment | |
| 8 | | al Provision | |
| 8.1 | | is for Quantum Calculations | |
| 8.2 9 | | ntum Calculations king to Provide Financial Provision & Execute the Environmental Management PI | |
| 3 | 94 | Ring to Frovide Finalicial Frovision & Execute the Environmental Management Pl | all |

List of Tables

| Table 1: Threatened and Protected Floral Species Likely To Occur within the Project Area | 12 |
|---|----|
| Table 2: Protected Tree Species Likely to Occur within the Project Area | 13 |
| Table 3: Threatened and Protected Faunal Species Likely To Occur within the Project Area | 13 |
| Table 4: Description of Project Phases | 22 |
| Table 5: Potential NEMA Listed Activities | 22 |
| Table 6: List of Potential Impacts Identified Per Main Activity | 24 |
| Table 7: Criteria for Determination of Impact Consequence | 27 |
| Table 8: Probability scoring | 28 |
| Table 9: Determination of Environmental Risk | 28 |
| Table 10: Significance Classes | 29 |
| Table 11: Criteria for Determination of Prioritisation | 29 |
| Table 12: Determination of Prioritisation Factor | 30 |
| Table 13: Environmental Significance Rating | 31 |
| Table 14: Summary of I&AP comments, concerns and objections and EIMS responses | 38 |
| Table 15: List of impacts and associated technical management options/mitigation measures | 52 |
| Table 16: Functional Requirements of Monitoring | 80 |
| Table 17: Roles and Responsibilities | 85 |
| Table 18: Quantum Calculation | 92 |

1 INTRODUCTION

African Carbon Energy (Pty) Ltd (hereafter referred to as Africary) compiled and submitted an application for an Exploration Right for Petroleum and associated Hydrocarbons to the Petroleum Agency South Africa (PASA) in 2013. On the 16th of May 2013 the application was formally accepted by PASA who requested that Africary, as per Section 79 (4) of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA Act No 28 of 2002), compile and submit an Environmental Management Plan (EMPlan) and the results of an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP) Consultation on or before the 13th of September 2013 in order for PASA to adjudicate on the application.

The application area is approximately 155 000.11 ha in extent and located in the Magisterial District of Ehlanzeni, Mpumalanga. The application area includes the following parent farms and associated portions:

- Aapiesdoorndraai 298 KT;
- Faugha Ballagh 306 KT;
- Kleinfontein 459 KT;
- Nooitgedacht 437 KT;
- Spitskop 333 KT;
- Annex Grootboom 335 KT;
- Fraaiuitzicht 317 KT;
- Kleinfontein 460 KT;
- Nooitgedacht 487 KT;
- Steelpoortsdrift 296 KT;
- Apiesboomen 295 KT;
- Frischgewaagd 359 KT;
- Klipfonteinhoek 407 KT;
- Ohrigstad 443 KT;
- Sterkfontein 318 KT;
- Bergfontein 383 KT;
- Glenora 339 KT;
- Klipkloof 346 KT;
- Ohrigstad 444 KT;
- Strydfontein 442 KT;
- Berghoek 356 KT;
- Goedevooruitzicht 394 KT;

- Klipplaatdrift 349 KT;
- Olifantshoek 387 KT;
- Suffolk 300 KT;
- Boschhoek 514 KT;
- Goudmyn 337 KT;
- Klipplaatdrift 399 KT;
- Olifantspoortje 319 KT;
- Thionville 305 KT;
- Buffelsdrift 311 KT;
- Grootboom 336 KT;
- Klippunt 357 KT;
- Onverwacht 486 KT;
- Viljoenshoop 301 KT;
- Buffelsvley 388 KT;
- Grootboom 491 KT;
- Leeuwvallei 297 KT;
- Perth 303 KT;
- Vlakfontein 520 KT;
- Caterham 344 KT;
- Grootboom 494 KT;
- Lissabon 524 KT;
- Prinsolier 347 KT;

- Welgevonden 338 KT;
- Donhur 308 KT;
- Haakdoorndraai 439 KT;
- Longsight 307 KT;
- Rietfontein 345 KT;
- Welgevonden 518 KT;
- Doornbosch 294 KT;
- Honingnestkrans 408 KT;
- Louiseville 348 KT;
- Rietvaley 390 KT;
- Wildebeeskraal 393 KT;
- Doornhoek 355 KT;
- Jackton 431 KT;
- Luncarty 310 KT;
- Roodekrans 438 KT;
- Wildebeesthoek 386 KT;
- Dresden 304 KT;
- Jeddo 441 KT;
- Mooifontein 313 KT;
- Rusholme 312 KT;
- Winterveld 293 KT;
- Eerstegeluk 327 KT;
- Kleinfontein 309 KT;
- Mooihoek 397 KT;
- Schuins 378 KT;
- Witgatboom 316 KT;
- Elandsdoorn 341 KT;
- Kleinfontein 450 KT;
- Mooiplaats 395 KT;
- Smutsfield 446 KT; and
- Zwakwater 377 KT.

This report and associated appendices constitutes the required EMPlan and results of the I&AP Consultation undertaken. The report has been compiled in terms of both Section 39 and Regulation 52 of the MPRDA by an Independent Environmental Impact Assessment Practitioner (EAP) namely Environmental Impact Management Services (Pty) Ltd and hereafter referred to as EIMS.

2 DESCRIPTION OF THE BASELINE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT

This section provides a broad description of the receiving baseline environment. Information on the baseline receiving environment contained in this report was sourced via the following means:

- Desktop data including all relevant literature;
- Desktop survey undertaken by Holistic Environmental Services (CC);
- Aerial photography and Google Earth™;
- Information and experience obtained from previous projects undertaken by EIMS in the same area;
- Descriptions and information obtained from I&AP's notified of the proposed project; and
- A detailed site visit undertaken on the 20th and 21st of June 2013 by EIMS personnel

Combined, all of the data sources were used to describe the baseline receiving environment in the detailed section below. It is important to note that the proposed application area is vast and as such a broad based assessment of the receiving environment was undertaken and supplemented with information obtained from the data sources mentioned above.

2.1 THE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT ON SITE RELATIVE TO THE ENVIRONMENT IN THE SURROUNDING AREA

2.1.1 GEOLOGY AND SOILS

The application area is located within the Transvaal Supergroup, towards the base of the Pretoria Group rocks. The area falls within the Mpumalanga Province and is underlain by the eastern margins of a Proterozoic intracratonic basin which may have covered a significant part of Kaapvaal Craton. The Transvaal Supergroup is comprised of the lower chemical sedimentary unit

of the Malmani Subgroup which is overlain by the predominately silliciclastic rocks of the Pretoria Group.

The base of the Transvaal Supergroup consists of the widespread Black Reef Formation which marks a major regional unconformity and is underlain by discontinuous protobasinal assemblages of the Wolkberg Group and the Godwan Group. The Black Reef Formation is overlain conformably by the dolomitic rocks of the Malmani Subgroup of the Chuniespoort Group. The Malmani Subgroup within the Tranvaal Supergroup is up to 2000 m thick and is subdivided into five formations based on the chert content, stromatolite morphology, intercalated shales and erosion surfaces. This subgroup is in turn overlain by the shales and sandstones of the Pretoria Group, and the regional unconformity at the base of this group marked by a conglomerate unit.

The Black Reef Formation marks the start of the Transvaal basin consisting predominately of relatively mature quartz arenites with lesser conglomerates and subordinate mud rocks which forms a thin veneer of arenaceous rocks unconformably overlying older successions. A basal conglomerate is succeeded by thicker sandstones and thin mud rocks forming and an upward-fining sequence. Depositional models for the Black Reef suggest a combination of initial fluvial sedimentation followed by shallow marine conditions as an epeiric sea, later to form the succeeding carbonate-banded iron formations (carbonate- BIF) platform succession. This formation is well known for its basal conglomerate layer that has economic gold mineralisation.

The Chuniespoort Group consists almost exclusively of chemical and biochemical sediments like dolomites, cherts, limestones, and BIFs with lesser carbon rich shales. This group was mostly deposited by workings of blue-green algae in shallow waters. The carbonate units of this group play host to gold mineralisation of the Transvaal-Drakensburg Goldfield.

The Pretoria Group is approximately 6 – 7 km thick and comprises predominately mudstones alternating with quartzitic sandstones, significant basaltic-andesitic lavas, and subordinate conglomerates, diamictites and carbonate rocks that have been subjected to low grade metamorphism. The Silverstone Formation, which is the most dominant formation around the area of interest, is best developed in the eastern side of the basin and is characterised by high alumina shales. The Lydenburg Shale Member is thick across much of the basin, and is characterised by tuffaceous, high CaO-MgO-MnO shales.

The above mentioned geological conditions promote the accumulation of Hydro-Carbons. These rocks are in close proximity to potential source rocks from which Hydrocarbons could have been generated. The state of digenesis and maturity of organic matter is compatible with the generation and preservation of petroleum and associated Hydro-Carbons made likely by the presence of porous and permeable reservoir rocks which are able to store the aforementioned Hydro-Carbons. As such it is likely that there are abundant suitable structural traps for the accumulated Hydro-Carbons to be stored within the proposed exploration area.

Soil forms in the centre and south and west of the proposed application area are mostly Glenrosa and Mispah and are considered to be both shallow and rocky. Soil erosion is highly variable and ranges from very low to very high in parts of the Sekhukhune Region.

Smaller pockets of Mispah, Glenrosa and/or Hutton Soil forms are also located in the centre of the proposed exploration application area. These forms consist of mainly red clay soils derived from shale's of the Pretoria Group. Erosion is variable and ranges from very low to moderate. Soil agricultural potential is generally low throughout the area but smaller pockets of high potential agricultural soil do exist where climate permits.

2.1.2 **TOPOGRAPHY**

The topography throughout the exploration area is extremely mountainous with occasional open plains. The exploration application area is closed woodland areas with dense shrubland layers. The flat, open areas generally occur in conjunction with riparian areas. The exploration area lies at an altitude of approximately 1424 metres above mean sea level (mamsl).

2.1.3 **HYDROLOGY**

The exploration right application area falls within the following Quaternary Catchments:

- B60G;
- B60E;
- B60F;
- B60H;
- B41K;
- B42H;
- B42E;
- B42G;
- B42F;
- B41J;
- B41H; and
- B41G.

These Quaternary Catchments fall within the greater Olifants Region and are classed as Category B ccatchments. The Class D (Largely Modified) Steelpoort River and the Olifants River

flow through the north-north-western and the central- eastern regions of the exploration area respectively. Many tributaries diverge off of these rivers giving rise to a number of wetlands and dams which are utilised for livestock watering and for cultivation purposes. Some of the rivers and wetlands located within the exploration region are considered to be National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA).

2.1.4 **FLORA**

The exploration application area consists of the following biomes, namely the Savanna Biome, Grasslands Biome, and the Wetland and Riparian Vegetation Biome.

Each biome can be deconstructed in the following manner, indicating related vegetation types (according to Mucina and Rutherford, 2006):

2.1.4.1 Savanna Biome

- Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld (SVcb 28),
- Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (SVcb 27),
- Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld (SVcb 26), and
- Pong Dolomite Mountain Bushveld (SVcb 25).

The Sekhukhune Mountains Bushveld is found on undulating hills and steep slopes. Its vegetation is consistent with dry, open to closed phyllous and broad-leaved savanna. A well-developed herb layer is also present with dense thickets. Dryer regions are able to support succulents.

Important Taxa incude Tall Trees (*Acacia nigrescens*); Small Trees (*Combretum apiculatum*), (*Kirkia wilmsii*); Succulent Trees (*Aloe marlothii*); Tall Shrubs (*Euclea linearis*), (*Pavetta zeyheri*); Low Shrubs (*Grewia vernicosa*); Succulent Shrubs (*Aloe castanea*); Woody Climbers (*Clematis brachiate*); Woody Succulent Climbers (*Sarcostemma viminale*); Graminoids (*Aristida canescens*); Geophytic Herbs (*Hypoxis rigidula*); and Succulent Herbs (*Huernia stapelioides*).

The Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld covers a vast majority of the exploration area. The area consists of semi-arid plains and open valleys between hills and smaller mountains running parallel to the escarpment. The vegetation type is consistent with open – closed thornveld and abundance in aloes and other succulent species.

Important Taxa include Tall Trees (*Acacia erioloba*), (*Philenoptera violacea*); Small Trees (*Acacia mellifera subsp. Dentinens*), (*A. nilotica*), (*Tortilis subsp. hetercantha*); Tall Shrubs (*Rhus engleri*), (*Cadaba termitaria*), (*Karomia speciosa*); Low Shrubs (*Seddera suffruticosa*), (*Gnidia polycephala*); Shrubs (*Aloe cryptopoda*), (*Aloe castanea*); Graminoids (*Panicum maximum*),

(*Paspalum distichum*); Herbs (*Becium filamentosum*); and Geophytic Herbs (*Drimia altissima*) and (*Sansevieria pearsonii*).

Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld is an open to a dense woody layer, with associated woody and herbaceous shrubs and closed to open grass layer. Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld is classified as Least Threatened. At least 8% of this unit has been transformed mostly through large scale cultivation.

Important Taxa include Tall Trees (*Sclerocarya birrea* subsp. *caffra*); Small Trees (*Accacia karoo*; *A. exuvialis* and *A. tortilis* subsp. *heteracantha* (*d*)); Succulent Trees (Euphorbia tirucalli (d); and E.cooperi); Tall Shrubs (*Dichrostachys cinera* (*d*); *Combretum petrophilium*, *Crotalaria monteiroi* and *Grewia bicolor*); Woody Climbers (*Pterbolium stellatum* (*d*)) and Gramanoids (*Loudetia simplex*; *Eragrostis rigidor* and *Melenis repensis*). Endemic Taxa include Small Trees (Encephalatus cupidus); Woody Climber (*Asparagus lynnetteae* and *Rhicissus laetans*); and Succulent Herbaceous Climber (*Ceripegia distincta* subsp. *verruculosa*).

The Pong Dolomite Mountain Bushveld vegetation layer is distributed throughout the Limpopo and Mpumalanga region along mountain slopes. The vegetation type is consistent with open to closed woodland areas with well-developed shrub layers. Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld is classified as Least Threatened and approximately 6% of this unit has been transformed mainly through cultivation.

Important Taxa include Small Tress (*Croton gratissimus*; *Dombeya autumnalis* and *Hipprobromus pauciflorus (d)*); Tall Shrubs (*Pouzolzia mixta* and *Senna petersiana*); Low Shrubs (*Asparagus intricatus (d); Barleria rotundifolia* and *Rhynchosia nitens*); Gramanoids (*Loudetia simplex (d)* and *Melinis repensis (d)*); and Succulents Herbs (*Plectranthus neochilus*). Endemic Taxa include Small Trees (*Encephalartus dolimiticus* and *E. inopinus*); Succulent Shrubs (*Delosperma vandermerwei*); and Herbs (*Plectranthus dolimiticus*; *Huerna blyderiverensis* and *Aloe brand-draaiensis*).

2.1.4.2 Grassland Biome

- Lydenburg Thornveld (Gm 21),
- Sekhukhune Mountane Grassland (Gm 19),
- Lydenburg Montane Grassland (Gm 18), and
- Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld (Gm 23).

Lydenburg Thornveld is found at the lower levels of the mountains on undulating type plains. The vegetation type is woodland with closed grassland. The areas where this vegetation is located are prone to frost and the vegetation has adapted to these harsh conditions.

Important Taxa include Small Trees (*Accacia Robusta* subsp. *robusta*; *Accacia caffra*; and *Domeya rotundifolia*); Tall shrubs (*Diospyros lyciodes* subsp; *Ormocarpum kikii*; and *Rhamnus prinoides*); Low shrubs (*Rubus transvaaliensis* and *Lippia javanica*); Succulent shrubs (*Euphorbia clavariodes* var. *truncata* and *Lopholaena coriifolia*); Gramanoids (*Aristada canescens*; *Eragrostis racemosa* and *Microchloa caffra*); and Geophytic herbs (*Hypoxis multiceps* and *H. rigidula* var. *pilosissima*). Endemic Taxa include low shrubs namely (*Argyrolobium wilmsii*) (d) and (*Adenia wilmsii*) as well as succulent herbs namely (*Aloe fosteri*), (*A.greatheadii* var *davyana*), and (*Kelina stapeliiformis*).

Sekhukhune Mountane Grassland vegetation can be located on norite hills in the Mpumalanga region. Steep slopes with east and west aspects are ideal for the vegetation units. Dense sourgrass and scattered trees and shrubs are located on these undulating hills.

Important Taxa include Small Trees (*Protea caffra subsp. caffra (d*)), (*Acacia caffra*); Tall Shrubs (*Euclea linearis*), (*Pavetta zeyheri*); Low Shrubs (*Gnidia caffra*), (*Dyschoriste rogersii*); Geoxylic Suffrutex (*Elephantorrhiza elephantine*); Graminoids (*Elionurus muticus (d*)), (*Eragrostis chloromelas (d*)); Herbs (*Acalypha punctate (d*)), (*Berkheya setifera (d*)); Geophytic Herbs (*Eucomis montana*); and Succulent Herb (*Kleinia stapeliiformis*).

The Lydenburg Montane Grassland extends through the Pilgrims Rest area through to Dullstroom and Belfast. The vegetation is located at higher altitudes along undulating plains and on hills and in deep valleys. These grasses are rich in forb species.

Important Taxa include Small Trees (*Protea roupelliae subsp. roupelliae (d)*); Low Shrubs (*Cliffortia repens*), (*Erica cerinthoides*); Succulent Shrubs (*Lopholaena disticha (d)*); Graminoids (*Andropogon schirensis*), (*Harpo falx*); Herbs (*Dicoma anomala*), (*Gerbera ambigua*); Geophytic Herbs (*Chlorophytum haygarthii*), (*Habenaria dives*); and Succulent Herbs (*Aloe dyeri*) and (*Crassula vaginata*).

The higher altitudes of the northern escarpment support the Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld vegetation unit. The general landscape is rugged with steep east facing cliffs. Short closed grassland (forb species) and scattered trees and shrubs are present.

Important Taxa include Small Trees (*Protea roupelliae subsp. roupelliae (d)*), (*Faurea galpinii*); Tree Fern (*Cyathea dregei*); Tall Shrub (*Vernonia myriantha*); Low Shrubs (*Erica woodii*), (*H.wilmsii*); Succulent Shrubs (*Aloe arborescens*); Graminoids (*Panicum ecklonii (d)*), (*Melinis nerviglumis (d)*); Herbs (*Aster harveyanus*), (*Dicoma anomala*); Geophytic Herbs (*Pteridium aquilinum*); and Succulent Herbs (*C. vaginata*) and (*Crassula alba*).

2.1.4.3 Wetland and Riparian Vegetation

• Elements of Subtropical Freshwater Wetlands (AZf 6) and

• Steelpoort River Riparian zone (this vegetation type in not a formally recognized vegetation type or community).

The Subtropical Freshwater Wetlands vegetation unit is usually located within the Albany Thicket Biome. The vegetation can be found on an open topography with temporary pools which support a low bed of dominant reeds and sedges in water logged areas. Generally, riparian areas are located along a permanent water source. The vegetation is dense shrub with taller trees which endure water logged soils. These areas then tend to open up into sparse shrub vegetation with an increased distance from the water source.

Important Taxa include Tall Trees (*Hyphaene coriacea (d*)); Graminoids (*Chloris virgate(d*)); Herbs (*Convolvulus mauritanicus*), (*Desmodium dregeanum*); Geophytic Herbs (*Zeuxine Africana*); Succulent Herbs (*Salicornia pachystachya*); Semiparasitic Herb (*Buchnera longespicata*); and Aquatic Herbs (*Bergia salaria*).

Within the proposed Exploration Right Application area is a vegetation unit termed "Malmani Karstlands" which overlaps with both the Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld and Lydenburg Thornveld vegetation units. Malmani Karstlands has been identified by the South African Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) as an endangered ecosystem which is under strong pressure from mainly forestry and cultivation. As such, any operations within the vegetation unit may require an Environmental Authorisation (EA) in terms of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA, Act No. 10 of 2004) prior to commencement of activities.

The following threatened and/or protected floral species are likely to be found within the vegetation units of the proposed Exploration Right Application area:

| Scientific Name | Conservation Status | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Acacia Sekhukhuniensis | Critically Endangered | |
| Encephalartos dolimiticus | Critically Endangered | |
| Encephalartos inopinus | Critically Endangered | |
| Gladioulus pavonia | Critically Endangered | |
| Euphorbia clivicoli | Critically Endangered | |
| Nemesa zimbabwensis | Endangered | |
| Pearson callistoma | Endangered | |
| Plinthus rehmanni | Endangered | |
| Alepidea amatymbica | Vulnerable | |
| Asparagus fourei | Vulnerable | |
| Barleria dolomiticola | Vulnerable | |
| Brachystemma parvulum | Vulnerable | |
| Dioscorea sylvatica | Vulnerable | |

| Table 1: Threatened and Protected Flor | al Species Likely To | Occur within the Project Area |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|

| Scientific Name | Conservation Status | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Dyschiste perrottetii | Vulnerable | |
| Prunus africana | Vulnerable | |
| Searsia batophylla | Vulnerable | |
| Aneilema longirrhizum | Near Threatened | |
| Jamesbrittenia macrantha | Near Threatened | |
| Lydenburg cassinoides | Near Threatened | |

Table 2 below provides a list of Protected Tree species obtained from the National Forest Act (NFA, Act No. 84 of 1998) which are likely to occur within the proposed project area.

| Table 2: Protected Tree Species Likely to Occur within the Project Area |
|---|
|---|

| Scientific Name | Common Name | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Accacia eriobola | Camel Thorn | |
| Boscia albitrunica | Shepard's Tree | |
| Catha edulis | Bushman's Tea Tree | |
| Combretum imberbe | Leadwood Tree | |
| Curtsia dentata | Assegai Tree | |
| Eleadendron transvaalensis | Bushveld Saffron | |
| Lydenburgia cassionoides | Sekhukhune Bushman's Tea | |
| Prunus africanus | Red Stinkwood | |
| Pterocarpus angolensis | Wild Teak | |
| Securidaca longipendunculata | Violet Tree | |

2.1.5 **FAUNA**

Faunal species likely to occur within the proposed Exploration Right Application area were determined through the use of a quarter degree search on the SANBI's SIBIS database, onsite observations, consultations with I&AP's and the desktop survey undertaken by Holistic Environmental Services (CC). None of the species identified below were noted on site during the site visit. The site visit only noted several unidentified bird species, Baboons, and livestock - specifically cattle, sheep and goats. Threatened and protected faunal species likely to occur within the proposed project area are listed below:

| Common Name | Scientific Name | Conservation Status |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| White-Bellied Korhaan | Eupodotis sengalensis | Vulnerable |
| Martial Eagle | Polemaetus bellicosus | Vulnerable |

| Common Name | Scientific Name | Conservation Status |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Secretary Bird | Saggitarius serpentarius | Vulnerable |
| African Grass Owl | Tyto capensis | Vulnerable |
| Southern African Rock Python | Python natalensis | Vulnerable |
| Leopard | Panther pardus | Near Threatened |
| South African Hedgehog | Atelerix frontalis | Near Threatened |
| Spotted-Necked Otter | Lutra maculicollis | Near Threatened |
| Honey Badger | Mellivora capensis | Near Threatened |
| Natal Long-Fingered Bat | Miniopterus natalensis | Near Threatened |
| Temminks Hairy Bat | Myotis tricolor | Near Threatened |
| Brown Hyaena | Parahyaena brunnea | Near Threatened |
| Geoffreys Horsehoe Bat | Rhinolophus Clivosus | Near Threatened |
| Hildebrandts Horsehoe Bat | Rhinolophus Hilderbandtii | Near Threatened |
| Half-Collared King Fisher | Alcedo semitorquata | Near Threatened |
| Peregrine Falcon | Falco pergrinus | Near Threatened |
| Striped Harlequin Snake | Homoroselaps dorsalis | Near Threatened |

Several invertebrates of conservation importance are also likely to occur within the proposed project area and include butterflies (Juanita's Ciliated Butterfly), beetles, cicadas (Giant Cicada), damselflies, scorpions (Steelpoort specimens) and baboon spiders.

2.1.6 CULTURAL & HERITAGE

No cultural or heritage features have been identified inside the proposed Exploration Right Application area. However, the greater area has a rich history which spans from the Early Iron Age through to the Anglo Boer War. The first inhabitants of the Lowveld were likely the nomadic San or Bushmen which were then followed by Bantu speaking tribes from the northern parts of Southern Africa.

Subsequent to these events, Voortrekker pioneers ventured into and settleded in the area. The town of Lydenburg was also occupied by British forces during the Anglo-Boer War.

Due to the presence of such historical events, it is anticipated that items of either cultural and/or heritage significance are likely to occur within the larger project area. Items of cultural and/or heritage significance include graves, battlefields, historical settlements, rock art, artefacts and structures older than 60 years.

Notice of the proposed Exploration Right Application was sent to the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA). In consultation with SAHRA it was determined that the area to be disturbed is approximately 255 - 395 m² and as such SAHRA explained that it is unlikely that the project would require a full Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA), however formal confirmation will be obtained once the agency has reviewed the draft EMPIan. Regardless, mitigation measures

have been developed in the event that items of cultural or heritage significance are discovered on site.

Regardless, mitigation measures have been developed in the event that items of cultural or heritage significance are discovered on site.

2.1.7 **LAND USE**

The proposed Exploration Right Application area is vast and spans approximately 155 000.11 ha. Land use within the application is highly variable and includes towns, settlements and other urban or residential areas – all of which, although within the application area, are specifically excluded and will not be subject to any invasive exploration activity.

The land use within the proposed Exploration Right Application includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Vacant and/or undeveloped land;
- Farm homesteads;
- Sizo Primary School;
- The Diocese Pastoral Centre;
- Dams;
- Monocultures of maize;
- Large scale citrus farming;
- Forestry;
- Domestic, intensive and subsistence farming practises;
- Game farming;
- Private game reserves (Sonia Schoeman, Apiesboomen, Berghoek, Luiperdhoek, Rietkom, G.L Vosloo)
- Chicken broilers;
- Cemetery;
- Cattle, goat and sheep farming;
- Livestock grazing;
- Bed and breakfasts;
- Other tourist attractions found along the Midlands Meander; and

 The farm Aapiesdoorndraai 298 KT is currently under rehabilitation due to prior mining operations by Anglo.

The predominant land use within the application area is mixed and is one of mixed cultivation (citrus and maize) and livestock farming (cattle), livestock grazing (goats), and homesteads. Small homesteads are found within the exploration area and include farm workers' accommodation as well as accommodation for the legal landowners. The area also includes the Highlands Meander, Echo Caves, Kruger Canyons birding route, and is a significant tourist stop on route to the Blyde River Canyon, Pilgrims Rest and Kruger National Park. As such numerous bed and breakfast facilities are available and it is likely that substantial income is generated from tourism in the area.

2.1.8 **INFRASTRUCTURE**

The infrastructure on site includes the following:

- Existing access tracks;
- (2) Eskom Sub-stations;
- Eskom transmission and distribution power lines;
- Homesteads;
- Schools;
- Game farm and B&B lodging facilities;
- Dams;
- Railways and railway sidings;
- Grain (Maize) Silos;
- Irrigation infrastructure;
- Citrus farming storage and packing facilities;
- Chicken broilers; and
- Fences

2.1.9 SENSITIVE RECEPTORS

Several sensitive receptors identified within the proposed Exploration Right Application area include the following:

• Malmani Karstlands ecosystem (identified as endangered);

- The 1st order streams fed by the Steelpoort and Ohrigstad Rivers;
- Wetlands, drainage lines and other watercourses;
- Chert ridges and Mountain/Hill Slopes;
- Existing infrastructure such as power lines, fences, dams, homesteads, etc.;
- Existing land uses such citrus farming operations; chicken broiler operations; maize monocultures and pastures used for livestock grazing;
- Landowner and lawful occupier residences;
- Landowner boreholes and associated infrastructure;
- Tourist venues (Bed and Breakfasts/ Tourist Activities);
- Ecotourism ventures (Lepelle Lodge and Tholo Tented Camp);
- Private game reserves (Sonia Schoeman, Apiesboomen, Berghoek, Luiperdhoek, Rietkom, G.L Vosloo);
- Schools;
- Game farms;
- Fences;
- Voortrekker cemetery and fort;
- Possible graves/graveyards and other culture or heritage items; and
- Any physical structure that exceeds 60 years of age.

Each of the above mentioned sensitive receptors is considered in the formulation of the technical management options to be employed to avoid, minimise, reduce, and mitigate against preidentified impacts. For further supporting information please refer to **Appendix A – Baseline Maps**.

3 DSCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED EXPLORATION PROJECT

3.1.1 THE MAIN EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES TO BE UNDERTAKEN

The main exploration activities have been obtained from the Exploration Works Programme (EWP) already submitted and accepted by PASA. The EWP proposed the use of both non-invasive and invasive exploration techniques to determine the viability and suitability of potential Hydro-Carbon deposits within the proposed Exploration Right Application area.

Due to the large area under application and nature of the receiving baseline environment, Africary have designed an EWP that relies heavily on airborne and satellite surveys. The use of both airborne and satellite surveys serves to allow Africary the opportunity to obtain the data required whilst reducing the impact associated with large scale invasive exploration techniques. The only invasive exploration techniques to be employed include delineation borehole drilling and test well drilling, all of which are described below. Furthermore it is important to note that success in a previous phase is required before initiating the next successive phase as detailed information on the potential deposit is accrued incrementally.

The EWP is comprised of ten (10) main phases which are detailed below:

Phase 1: Desktop Studies, Remote Sensing and Satellite Surveys

This phase is comprised of collecting and collating all historical information and data related to the proposed Exploration Right Application area. The information and data will include, but is not limited to a detailed review, historical borehole logs, and assay results. A Geographic Information System (GIS) will be developed and used to store and reproduce geographic information which includes, but is not limited to new mapping of the area and establishment of a database to contain, manipulate, and manage all numerical data.

Once the above is completed, remote sensing and satellite surveys will be conducted. The aim of the remote sensing and satellite surveys will be to detect and measure potential Hydro-Carbon seeps over the entire application area. This will allow for the generation of a map indicating vegetation stress levels due to potential seeps and identify potential Hydro-Carbon concentrations. Hyper spectral remote sensing will be used as well as satellite surveys to map out the structural signature of the application area where structural features such as faults, lineaments, and folds can be detected and mapped on both the local and regional scale. The equipment to be used includes aeroplanes outfitted with the appropriate sensors and satellites. The duration of this phase is estimated at 1 month.

Phase 2: Geological and Structural Mapping

Based on the data obtained in Phase 1 geological and structural mapping will be conducted to compile a basic geological map of the application area. The geological map, supplemented by the data obtained in Phase 1, will allow for the possible identification of any notable structural features that could host potential Hydro-Carbons at a regional scale. This phase will include determinations of faults, folds, shear zones, lineaments, and any other specific geological features of interest. Following on from this, detailed geological and structural mapping will then be conducted based on the previous geological and structural mapping exercise. From this, determination of the lithological variation will be made with a much high degree of certainty through the mapping of various lithological units. The results will form the base from which follow

up surveys and sampling will be conducted. Equipment to be used includes light motor vehicles and GPS's. The duration of this phase is estimated at 2-4 months

Phase 3: Geochemical Surveys

Based on the data obtained in Phase 2, geochemical surveys will be undertaken. The aim of the survey will be to determine the concentrations of Hydro-Carbons (as a result of seepages) within soils in the areas identified during Phase 2. Soil samples will be taken at specific pre-determined locations and sent for laboratory analysis to test against the inherent soil/gas concentration associated with the local geology. Between 10-20 samples per km² will be taken in order to direct exploration activities to areas with improved potentials. This phase is expected to reduce the focus area by at least 20 %. Equipment to be used includes light motor vehicles, GPS, soil auger, shovel and sampling bags. The duration of this phase is estimated at 1-3 months.

Phase 4: Aeromagnetic and Electromagnetic Surveys

This phase serves to determine the regional magnetic signature of the permit area through the use of an aeromagnetic survey. The aim of this phase is to generate a map indicating the magnetic signature of hosting rocks and any other anomalous areas that could be related to mineralisation. Following this, ground based electromagnetic surveys will be conducted to identify any induced magnetism that could be associated with potential rising Hydro-Carbon micro-seepages identified at the local level. Equipment to be used includes an aeroplane outfitted with magnetometers, hand held ground magnetometers, light motor vehicles and a GPS. The duration of this phase is estimated at 2 months.

Phase 5: Geochemical Surveys (Soil Gas)

This phase entails detailed soil/gas surveys and analysis. All anomalous areas determined from the previous phases will be investigated for soil/gas concentrations and variation. A gridding soil sampling programme will be designed that will cover all key anomalous areas and geological features of interest. A soil sample will be collected from each grid and analysed at an accredited laboratory for its soil/gas concentration. Equipment to be used includes light motor vehicles, GPS, soil auger, shovel and sampling bags. The duration of this phase is estimated at 5 months.

Phase 6: Exploration/Delineation Drilling

On completion of the previous phases a diamond drilling exploration/delineation programme will be designed. The purpose of the drilling programme will be to identify and map out the extent of shale's and shale horizons in order to obtain core samples for further geochemical analysis for Total Organic Carbon (TOC). At this stage it is envisaged that 7 boreholes will be drilled. The preliminary locations of the proposed boreholes have been determined but are subject to change based on the results obtained in the previous phases. The variation in TOC will be determined

and mapped on all recovered core samples. The diamond drill rigs will be truck, trailer, or skid mounted. Sumps for the diamond drill rigs of 3 m x 2 m x 1 m deep will be excavated and lined. The area to be disturbed is approximately 315 m^2 . The area to be disturbed has been determined as follows:

- a) 7 drilling sites measuring 20 m² each = 140 m²;
- b) 140 m² x 2 (area doubled for maximum approximation) = 280 m²;
- c) 7 sumps measuring maximum of 5 m² each = 35 m²; and
- d) Total disturbance calculated at 315 m²

Phase 6 will be undertaken in conjunction with a geochemical analysis of the shale's. Samples, taken at all the shale intersections and obtained from the drilling programme will be analysed for TOC concentrations and mapped out and highlighted as a variation map in both 2D and 3D.

Existing access tracks will be utilised as far as is practically possible. It is not envisaged that the proposed exploration operation will require new access tracks. In the event that new access tracks are required they will be done with the permission of the relevant landowner and involve an amendment to the EMPlan and authority approval prior to their construction. Water in the form of domestic drinking water, water for diamond drilling and chemical toilets will also be required. It is not envisaged that the use of any groundwater boreholes on site will be required as water for the operation. Water will be sourced from a registered service provider and trucked in and stored at the drill site. A maximum of 10,000 litres of water will be required for diamond drilling, Water will be stored in temporary storage facilities on site such as small water tanks. In the event that water must be abstracted from boreholes located within the Exploration Right Application area, it will be done in consultation with the relevant landowner prior to use and in accordance with the relevant legal provisions of the National Water Act (NWA, Act No. 36 of 1998) Section 21 water use provisions.

The equipment to be used during the proposed operation includes truck/trailer or skid mounted drill rig, excavator, dozer, grader, water cart, light motor vehicle for transport of geologist and labourers, and chemical toilets. The duration of this phase is estimated at 17 months.

Phase 7: Gravimetric and Radiometric Surveys

Gravimetric and radiometric surveys will also be conducted in conjunction with the abovementioned other surveys. This will serve to firm up on anomaly detection. These surveys will only be used where the occurrence and concentration of Hydro-Carbon seepage (previously identified through other surveys and delineation drilling) have been already determined but where the edge of the field still requires further delineation to improve accuracy. Equipment to be used includes light motor vehicles, GPS, and hand held gravimeters. The duration of this phase is estimated at 7 months.

Phase 8: Stress Field Detection Surveys

This phase entails further geo-physical surveys which utilise gravitational characteristics to detect tectonic stress levels caused by existing Hydro-Carbon traps. Equipment to be used includes aeroplanes outfitted with the appropriate geo-physical detection equipment. The duration of this phase is estimated at 6 months.

Phase 9: Magnetic Telluric Surveys

In combination with Stress Field Detection surveys, Magnetic Telluric Surveys (MTS) will be undertaken. Through the use of MTS the geological anomalous areas (identified from previous surveys and delineation drilling) will be further improved providing an accurate morphology, shape and nature of potential Hydro-Carbon traps and possibly estimating the volumes of Hydro-Carbon mass present. Equipment to be used includes light motor vehicles, GPS, and hand held magnetometers. The duration of this phase is estimated at 4 months.

Phase 10: Test Well Drilling

Should the previous surveys and delineation drilling (undertaken in the previous phases) yield favourable results, two test wells will be drilled to determined potential Hydro-Carbon yields. The wells will only be used to determine potential and not for production. Boreholes drilled will be cased and grouted as well as equipped with Blow Out Preventers (BOP's) in the event that high pressure fluids are intersected during drilling. Drill pads created from cement will be required to ensure stability of the rig and associated equipment. Bunded wall of approximately 4 x 12 m will also be constructed and used for the storage of up to two tanks per well. The tanks will be used to store any petroleum and will have a combined capacity of up to 27.000 litres. Petroleum intersected and stored will be sent through to a refinery or, if required, disposed of at an appropriate, licensed hazardous waste disposal site. Any gas discovered will either be vented or flared depending on volumes. Equipment to be used includes truck/trailer or skid mounted drill rig, wellhead (with BOP), excavator, dozer, grader, water cart, light motor vehicle for transport of geologist and labourers and chemical toilets. The duration of this phase is estimated at 6 months. On successful completion of all of the above phases and pending favourable results a detailed pre-feasibility study (PFS) will be undertaken to determine the viability of full scale PRODUCTION brief description of the construction, operational and DECOMMISSIONING phases

A description of the proposed exploration operation and its associated phases are provided below. The proposed exploration operation does not entail a "construction phase" per se. This is instead replaced with a "planning & preparation phase" which is followed by an operational phase and then a decommissioning phase. The main activities to be undertaken during these phases are described below included are estimated phase durations.

Table 4: Description of Project Phases

| Phase | Main Activity | Duration |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------|
| | Granting of exploration right by PASA | |
| | Apply for re-zoning | |
| | Notify landowners and registered I&AP's | |
| Planning & Preparation: | of granting | |
| Surveys & sampling | Desktop studies | |
| | Remote sensing & satellite surveys | 12 Months |
| | Geological mapping | |
| | Geochemical Survey | |
| | Aero-Magnetic/electro-magnetic surveys | |
| | Geochemical Survey | |
| | Aeromagnetic & electromagnetic surveys | |
| | Geochemical surveys (Soil/Gas) | |
| Phase | Main Activity | Duration |
| | Notify and consult landowners | |
| | Environmental sensitivity screening | |
| | Employment of labourers | 17 Months |
| Operation: Drilling & surveys | Site preparation and vegetation clearance | |
| | Diamond drilling programme | |
| | Concurrent rehabilitation | |
| | Geochemical analysis (shale's) | |
| | Gravimetric & radiometric surveys | |
| | Stress field detection | |
| | Magnetic telluric surveys | |
| | Environmental sensitivity screening | |
| | Site preparation and vegetation clearance | |
| | Test well drilling | |
| | Concurrent rehabilitation | |
| Phase | Main Activity | Duration |
| | Implement full scale rehabilitation | |
| | Monitor success of rehabilitation | 7 Months |
| Decommissioning & Closure: | Confirm that landowners are satisfied with | |
| Rehabilitation | rehabilitation | |
| | Apply for closure or renewal or production | |
| | right | |

3.1.2 POTENTIAL LISTED ACTIVITIES TRIGGERED IN TERMS OF THE NEMA EIA REGULATIONS

Based on the activities to be undertaken and the nature of the receiving baseline environment, the following activities, identified below may trigger the requirements for an Environmental Authorisation (EA) as required by National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998). These activities include:

Table 5: Potential NEMA Listed Activities

| NEMA Listing | Description | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Regulation 544 Activity 26 | Any process or activity identified in terms of Section 53(1) of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA, Act No. 10 of 2004) which is to occur within an identified Threatened and Protected ecosystem. | | |
| <u>The Proposed Exploration Right Application area is located in Malmani Karstland, an</u> <u>ecosystem listed under the NEMBA as endangered.</u> | | | |
| | | | |
| Any activity which requires a prospecting/exploration right or renewal thereof in terms of Section 16 and 18 respectively of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA, Act No. 28 of 2002). | | | |
| Activity 19 is not yet in effect and is due to be put into effect 18 months after the amendment to the MPRDA is promulgated | | | |

In the event that a NEMA listed activity is triggered then the necessary authorisation must be obtained prior to the commencement of that particular activity. The onus is on the applicant to familiarise themselves with the NEMA listing and the thresholds which will trigger certain activities. The above list of potentially identified triggered NEMA listed activities are an opinion and do not constitute a formal legal opinion.

3.2 IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Potential impacts that may arise as a consequence of the proposed EWP and its associated activities were identified in the following manner:

- Detailed review and understanding of the activities to be undertaken as part of the EWP;
- Impacts identified by I&AP's through consultation (whether real or perceived);
- Reference of the IFC Guidelines for Onshore Oil and Gas exploration;
- EIMS experience with similar projects; and
- The nature and context of the receiving environment.

Based on the above sources, a thorough list of potential impacts have been identified and confirmed in consultation with I&AP's. All impacts identified are further assessed and those

deemed significant are provided with adequate mitigation measures. The list of identified impacts is provided below.

3.2.1 POTENTIAL IMPACTS PER MAIN ACTIVITY

The list below represents the potential impacts related to each main activity identified and described in the EWP. It is these impacts identified by both the EAP and I&AP's that will be assessed and for which mitigation measures have been developed.

It is important to note that the EWP is heavily reliant on aerial, satellite and land surveys activities which are unlikely to result in significant environmental impacts. The only truly invasive exploration techniques to be utilised are related to geochemical sampling surveys, site preparation and operation of the delineation drilling and test well drilling programs.

Main Activity **Impact Identified** Increased use of existing roads and access tracks Geological mapping Interference with existing land uses Safety and security risk to landowners/lawful occupiers due to access requirements Increased use of existing roads and access tracks Geochemical surveys Interference with existing land uses Gravometric surveys Safety and security risk to landowners/lawful occupiers due Radiometric surveys to access requirements Magnetic tulluric surveys Disturbance, damage or destruction of heritage features Impact on sense of place Disturbance, damage and destruction of natural vegetation Disturbance of soil profile Employment of local unskilled labour Employment of labourers HIV/AIDS awareness training Safety and security risk to landowners/lawful occupiers Interference with existing land uses Site preparation Safety and security risk to landowners/lawful occupiers Vegetation clearance Damage to third part infrastructure Loss of natural vegetation Disturbance to the soil profile Soil erosion Interference and displacement of fauna Overall reduction in biodiversity Disturbance, damage and destruction of heritage features Generation of dust nuisance Generation of noise nuisance Impact on established sense of place Generation and disposal of waste

Table 6: List of Potential Impacts Identified Per Main Activity

| Main Activity | Impact Identified |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | Interference with existing land uses |
| Delineation (diamond) drilling | Increased use of existing access roads and access tracks |
| | Safety and security landowners/lawful occupiers |
| | Risk of fires |
| | Damage to third party infrastructure |
| | Loss of natural vegetation |
| | Disturbance to the soil profile |
| | Soil erosion |
| | Soil pollution and contamination |
| | Interference, displacement, injury or death of fauna |
| | Overall reduction in biodiversity |
| | Disturbance, damage and destruction of heritage features |
| | Ground and surface water pollution |
| | Increased use and reduction in available groundwater |
| | Generation of dust nuisance |
| | Generation of noise nuisance |
| | Impact on established sense of place |
| | Generation and disposal of waste |
| | |
| | Interference with existing land uses |
| Test well drilling | Increased use of existing access roads and access tracks |
| | Safety and security landowners/lawful occupiers |
| | Risk of fires |
| | Damage to third party infrastructure |
| | Loss of natural vegetation |
| | Disturbance to the soil profile |
| | Soil erosion |
| | Soil pollution and contamination |
| | Interference, displacement, injury or death of fauna |
| | Alteration to natural topography |
| | Cement mixing and disposal |
| | Overall reduction in biodiversity |
| | Disturbance, damage and destruction of heritage features |
| | Ground and surface water pollution |
| | Increased use and reduction in available groundwater |
| | Generation of dust nuisance |
| | Generation of noise nuisance |
| | Impact on established sense of place |
| | Generation and disposal of waste |
| | |
| Rehabilitation | Interference with existing land uses |
| | × × |

3.2.2 POTENTIAL CUMULATIVE IMPACTS IDENTIFIED AND ASSESSED

Eleven (11) significant potential cumulative impacts have been identified and are assessed in the EMPlan. The significant potential cumulative impacts include:

• Interference with existing land uses if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;

- Loss of natural vegetation due to drill site preparation and clearance if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Overall reduction in biodiversity due to the exploration operation if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Disturbance to the soil profile if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Soil erosion if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Soil pollution and contamination from hydrocarbon, oils, lubricants and drilling fluids if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Water pollution and contamination from hydrocarbons, oils, lubricants and drilling fluids if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Increased water use and reduction in available water if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Nuisance fallout dust if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time;
- Nuisance noise if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time; and
- Waste generation and disposal if more than one exploration site is operational at any one time.

Regardless of significance, it is important to note that the impact assessment methodology designed and utilised by EIMS assessors all identified impacts in terms of their cumulative nature. The methodology is described in detail in Section 4 below.

4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

4.1 THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

4.1.1 CRITERIA OF ASSIGNING SIGNIFICANCE TO POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Method of Assessing Impacts:

The impact assessment methodology is guided by the requirements of the NEMA EIA Regulations (2010). The broad approach to the significance rating methodology is to determine the <u>environmental risk (ER)</u> by considering the <u>consequence (C)</u> of each impact (comprising Nature, Extent, Duration, Magnitude, and Reversibility) and relate this to the <u>probability/likelihood</u> (<u>P</u>) of the impact occurring. This determines the environmental risk. In addition other factors, including cumulative impacts, public concern, and potential for irreplaceable loss of resources,

are used to determine a <u>prioritisation factor (PF)</u> which is applied to the ER to determine the overall <u>significance (S)</u>. Please note that the impact assessment must apply to the identified Sub Station alternatives as well as the identified Transmission line routes.

Determination of Environmental Risk:

The significance (S) of an impact is determined by applying a prioritisation factor (PF) to the environmental risk (ER).

The environmental risk is dependent on the consequence (C) of the particular impact and the probability (P) of the impact occurring. Consequence is determined through the consideration of the Nature (N), Extent (E), Duration (D), Magnitude (M), and reversibility (R) applicable to the specific impact.

For the purpose of this methodology the consequence of the impact is represented by:

C= <u>(E+D+M+R)</u> x N

4

Each individual aspect in the determination of the consequence is represented by a rating scale as defined in **Table 7**:

| Aspect | Score | Definition | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Nature | - 1 | Likely to result in a negative/ detrimental impact | |
| | +1 | Likely to result in a positive/ beneficial impact | |
| Extent | 1 | Activity (i.e. limited to the area applicable to the specific activity) | |
| | 2 | Site (i.e. within the development property boundary), | |
| | 3 | Local (i.e. the area within 5 km of the site), | |
| | 4 | Regional (i.e. extends between 5 and 50 km from the site | |
| | 5 | Provincial / National (i.e. extends beyond 50 km from the site) | |
| Duration 1 Immediate (<1 year) | | Immediate (<1 year) | |
| | 2 | Short term (1-5 years), | |
| 3 Medium term (6-15 years), 4 Long term (the impact will cease after the project), | | Medium term (6-15 years), | |
| | | Long term (the impact will cease after the operational life span of the project), | |
| | 5 | Permanent (no mitigation measure of natural process will reduce the impact after construction). | |
| Magnitude/ | 1 | Minor (where the impact affects the environment in such a way that | |
| Intensity | ntensity natural, cultural and social functions and processe affected), | | |
| | 2 | Low (where the impact affects the environment in such a way that | |

Table 7: Criteria for Determination of Impact Consequence

| | | natural, cultural and social functions and processes are slightly affected), |
|---------------|---|--|
| | 3 | Moderate (where the affected environment is altered but natural, cultural and social functions and processes continue albeit in a modified way), |
| | 4 | High (where natural, cultural or social functions or processes are altered to the extent that it will temporarily cease), or |
| | 5 | Very high / don't know (where natural, cultural or social functions or processes are altered to the extent that it will permanently cease). |
| Reversibility | 1 | Impact is reversible without any time and cost. |
| 2 | | Impact is reversible without incurring significant time and cost. |
| | 3 | Impact is reversible only by incurring significant time and cost. |
| | 4 | Impact is reversible only by incurring prohibitively high time and cost. |
| | 5 | Irreversible Impact |

Once the C has been determined the ER is determined in accordance with the standard risk assessment relationship by multiplying the C and the P. Probability is rated/scored as per Table 8.

Table 8: Probability scoring

| Probability | 1 | Improbable (the possibility of the impact materialising is very low as a result of design, historic experience, or implementation of adequate corrective actions; <25%), |
|-------------|---|--|
| | 2 | Low probability (there is a possibility that the impact will occur; >25% and <50%), |
| | 3 | Medium probability (the impact may occur; >50% and <75%), |
| | 4 | High probability (it is most likely that the impact will occur- > 75% probability), or |
| | 5 | Definite (the impact will occur), |

The result is a qualitative representation of relative ER associated with the impact. ER is therefore calculated as follows:

ER= C x P

Table 9: Determination of Environmental Risk

| | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |
|-------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|
| Ce | 4 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| Consequence | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 |
| used | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Col | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Probability

The outcome of the environmental risk assessment will result in a range of scores, ranging from 1 through to 25. These ER scores are then grouped into respective classes as described in **Table 10**.

Table 10: Significance Classes

| Environ | Environmental Risk Score | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Value | Description | | | |
| < 9 | Low (i.e. where this impact is unlikely to be a significant environmental risk), | | | |
| ≥ 9; < 17 | Medium (i.e. where the impact could have a significant environmental risk), | | | |
| ≥ 17 | High (i.e. where the impact will have a significant environmental risk). | | | |

The impact ER will be determined for each impact without relevant management and mitigation measures (pre-mitigation), as well as post implementation of relevant management and mitigation measures (post-mitigation). This allows for a prediction in the degree to which the impact can be managed/mitigated.

Impact Prioritisation:

In accordance with the requirements of Regulation 31 (2)(I) of the EIA Regulations (GNR 543), and further to the assessment criteria presented in the Section above it is necessary to assess each potentially significant impact in terms of:

- o Cumulative impacts; and
- The degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources.

In addition it is important that the public opinion and sentiment regarding a prospective development and consequent potential impacts is considered in the decision making process.

In an effort to ensure that these factors are considered, an impact prioritisation factor (PF) will be applied to each impact ER (post-mitigation). This prioritisation factor does not aim to detract from the risk ratings but rather to focus the attention of the decision-making authority on the higher priority/significance issues and impacts. The PF will be applied to the ER score based on the assumption that relevant suggested management/mitigation impacts are implemented.

| Public response (PR) | Low (1) | Issue not raised in public response. | | |
|-------------------------|------------|---|--|--|
| response (FR) | Medium (2) | Issue has received a meaningful and justifiable public response. | | |
| | High (3) | Issue has received an intense meaningful and justifiable public response. | | |

Table 11: Criteria for Determination of Prioritisation

| Cumulative Impact (CI) | Low (1) | Considering the potential incremental, interactive, sequential, and synergistic cumulative impacts, it is unlikely that the impact will result in spatial and temporal cumulative change. |
|---------------------------|------------|---|
| | Medium (2) | Considering the potential incremental, interactive, sequential, and synergistic cumulative impacts, it is probable that the impact will result in spatial and temporal cumulative change. |
| | High (3) | Considering the potential incremental, interactive, sequential, and synergistic cumulative impacts, it is highly probable/definite that the impact will result in spatial and temporal cumulative change. |
| Irreplaceable loss of | Low (1) | Where the impact is unlikely to result in irreplaceable loss of resources. |
| resources (LR) | Medium (2) | Where the impact may result in the irreplaceable loss (cannot be replaced or substituted) of resources but the value (services and/or functions) of these resources is limited. |
| | High (3) | Where the impact may result in the irreplaceable loss of resources of high value (services and/or functions). |

The value for the final impact priority is represented as a single consolidated priority, determined as the sum of each individual criteria represented in Table 11. The impact priority is therefore determined as follows:

Priority = PR + CI + LR

The result is a priority score which ranges from 3 to 9 and a consequent PF ranging from 1 to 2 (refer to **Table 12**).

| Priority | Ranking | Prioritisation Factor |
|----------|---------|-----------------------|
| = 3 | Low | 1 |
| 3 > 9 | Medium | 1.5 |
| = 9 | High | 2 |

Table 12: Determination of Prioritisation Factor

In order to determine the final impact significance the PF is multiplied by the ER of the post mitigation scoring. The ultimate aim of the PF is to be able to increase the post mitigation environmental risk rating by a full ranking class, if all the priority attributes are high (i.e. if an impact comes out with a medium environmental risk after the conventional impact rating, but there is significant cumulative impact potential, significant public response, and significant

potential for irreplaceable loss of resources, then the net result would be to upscale the impact to a high significance).

 Table 13: Environmental Significance Rating

| Environmental Significance Rating | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Value | Description |
| < 10 | Low (i.e. where this impact would not have a direct influence on the decision to develop in the area), |
| ≥ 10; < 20 | Medium (i.e. where the impact could influence the decision to develop in the area), |
| ≥ 20 | High (i.e. where the impact must have an influence on the decision process to develop in the area). |

The significance ratings and additional considerations applied to each impact will be used to provide a quantitative comparative assessment of the alternatives being considered. In addition, professional expertise and opinion of the specialists and the environmental consultants will be applied to provide a qualitative comparison of the alternatives under consideration. This process will identify the best alternative for the proposed project.

4.1.2 POTENTIAL IMPACT OF MAIN ACTIVITIES IN EACH PHASE AND CORRESPONDING SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT

PLANNING & PREPARATION PHASE – SURVEYS, SAMPLING AND MAPPING

OPERATIONAL PHASE – SITE PREPARATION, DELINEATION DRILLING AND TEST WELL DRILLING

DECOMMISSIONING & CLOSURE PHASE - REHABILITATION

5 INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTY CONSULTATION

5.1 IDENTIFICATION OF I&AP'S

Legal Landowners of the properties located within the proposed Exploration Right Application area were determined through a Windeed Title Deed search and confirmed during the detailed site visit undertaken 20th and 21st June 2013. Where no contact details were obtained through a Windeed Title Deed search, the local municipality was consulted as well as surrounding landowners and lawful occupiers were then asked to provide EIMS with the contact details of these landowners so they could be contacted, notified and consulted.

In addition to legal landowners EIMS also identified various organisations, government departments and NGO's and key stakeholders that required notification and consultation with regard to the proposed Exploration Right Application. These key stakeholders include:

- The Petroleum Agency South Africa (PASA);
- The Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) Mpumalanga and National Offices;
- The Department of Water Affairs (DWA) Mpumalanga and National Offices;
- The Department of Rural Affairs and Land Affairs Mpumalanga and National Offices ;
- The Department of Agriculture Mpumalanga and National Offices;
- The National Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA);
- The Mpumalanga Department of Economic Development, Environment and Tourism;
- Wildlife and Environment Society of South Africa (WESSA);
- South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI);
- Mpumalanga Tourism and Parks Agency;
- Mpumalanga African Farmers Union
- South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA);
- National Heritage Council (NHC);
- Eskom;
- Transnet;
- South African National Roads Agency Limited (SANRAL);
- Earthlife Africa;
- Birdlife South Africa;
- Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT):
- Agri Mpumalanga (Agricultural Union Mpumalanga);
- Sekhukhene Municipality Ward Councillors;
- Thaba Chweu Municipality Ward Councillors; and
- Ehlanzeni Municipality Ward Councillors.

All I&AP's identified above were notified of the proposed Exploration Right Application via the following methods:

- 1. Registered letters, facsimiles and emails;
- 2. Questionnaires;
- 3. Placement of 15 A2 Corex Site Notices (in English, Zulu and Pedi);
- Placement of a newspaper advert in the Seipone Local Newspaper on the 18th June 2013; and
- 5. On site consultations.

Despite the above processes, EIMS was unable to contact the registered land owners for the following Trusts:

- Elizabeth Pretorius Trust the owner of Farm Olifantspoortje 319, portion 6;
- Innie Bos Trust the owner of Farm Rietfontein 345, portion 0;
- Piet Winterbach Trust the owner of Farm Rietvaley 390, portion 0;
- Enkosini Properties Trust the owner of Farm Schuins 378, portion 0 and 1;
- Dithamaga Trust the owner of Farm Spitskop 333, portions 8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18 and 21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29;
- Rietfontein Homeless Peoples Trust the owner of Farm Strydfontein 442, portions 3,6,7,8,9,13,14,15,16,25;
- Cortep Trust the owner of Farm Vlakfontein 520, portion 2 and 4;
- Maarten Benecke Family Trust the owner of Farm Welgevonden 388, ptn 0 and 5;
- Mabelane CPA the owner of Farm Mooihoek 397 KT, Portion 0 and Mooiplaats 395, Portion 1;
- Allan Esser Famillie Trust the owner of Farm Buffelsvley 388 KT, Portion 28;
- INSEDA Trust the owner of Farm Nooitgedacht 437 Kt, Portion 18;
- A & A Eiendoms Trust the owner of Farm Ohrigstad 443, Portion 47;
- Fourie Famillie Trust the owner of Farm Ohrigstad 433, Portion 216;
- Elkadesh Trust the owner of Farm Ohrigstad 433, Portion 235;
- E J & A M Famillie Trust the owner of Farm Olifantshoek 387 KT, Portion 6;
- Tubatse African Agricultural Farmers CPA Trust the owner of Grootboom 336 KT, Portion 3;
- Christo Grange Gesins Trust the owner of Buffelsvley 388, Portion 95; and

• Mamokgalakopie Trust the owner of Farm Doorhoek 355 KT.

Below is a detailed account of the methods used by EIMS in an attempt to obtain contact details for these Trusts that were not available on the Windeed Search:

19 June 2012- EIMS phoned Mr Van der Walt, the accounts manager from the Tubatse Local municipality and asked if he could assist EIMS in obtaining contact information (telephone, fax number and postal address) of landowners who have registered their properties under Trusts and CPA. Mr Van De Walt informed EIMS that he cannot release such information without the consent of the landowners and that he would first speak to the Chairman of the Farmers Union (Mr Lodewyk de Jager) to get consent. He then asked EIMS to send him an email with all the Trusts in question. Mr van der Walt also gave EIMS Mr de Jager's email so EIMS could email him and request information. Mr Van de Walt also said if EIMS does not get hold of Mr de Jager, EIMS can contact him and he would give EIMS the information that was requested. EIMS thanked Mr van der Walt and informed him that an e-mail would be sent to him with all the Trusts details and another will be sent to Mr de Jager to request the landowner details for the Trusts.

An email was sent to both Mr Van de Walt and Mr de Jager on the 19 June 2013.

24 June 2013 – EIMS phoned Mr Van de Walt to inform him that Mr de Jager had not responded to the email sent to him on the 19 June 2013. Mr van der Walt said he also has not received feedback from Mr De Jager but will send him another email. Should he not receive a response by at least Friday, he would send EIMS the landowners contact detail. EIMS asked for Mr De Jager's contact details. Mr Van de Walt said he only has Mr De Jager's e-mail address. EIMS thanked Mr van der Walt for his help.

25 June 2013 - EIMS phoned Mr van der Walt and asked him if Mr De Jager had responded to him. Mr De van der Walt said no but he will e-mail EIMS the landowners contact details.

28 June 2013 – EIMS phoned Mr van der Walt to remind him to email the landowners contact details. His phone rang but was unanswered.

3 July 2013 – EIMS phoned Mr van der Walt but there was no response. EIMS then phoned the municipality's reception and asked to speak to anyone from the accounts department. The phone call was transferred to Mrs Ria van Wyk. Mrs Van Wyk informed EIMS that Mr Van der Walt was out of the office. He was in Polokwane for a workshop and would be back on the 8th of July 2013. EIMS asked Mrs Van Wyk if she by any chance knew Mr De Jager's phone number because EIMS urgently needs to get hold of him. Mrs Van Wyk said no, she does not know Mr De Jager's contact number but she knows that he is Mozambique at this moment and she does not know when he would be coming back. Mrs Van Wyk then told EIMS that her husband works with him and that she would call her husband and ask for Mr De Jager's contact details. Mrs Van Ryk then asked EIMS to phone her after 2pm. EIMS phoned Mrs Van Wyk and Mrs Van Wyk said she

spoke to her husband and he said did not have Mr De Jager's cell phone number. EIMS thanked Mrs Van Wyk and informed her that they will wait for Mr van der Walt to come back from Polokwane.

- 9 July 2013 EIMS phoned Mr van der Walt to remind him to send the landowners contact details. Mr Van der Walt replied that he would send them the following day.
- 10 July 2013 EIMS phoned Mr van der Walt to remind him to send the landowners contact details. His phone rang but was unanswered.
- 11 July 2013 EIMS phoned Mr van der Walt to remind him to send the landowners contact details. His phone rang but was unanswered.
- 12 July 2013 EIMS phoned Mr van der Walt to remind him to send the landowners contact details. He said he would send an e-mail with all the farms in the municipality and the farmers' contact details.

To date, EIMS has been unsuccessful in contacting the above Trusts.

5.2 DETAILS OF THE I&AP ENGAGEMENT PROCESS

I&AP's where notified of the proposed prospecting right application via registered letters, emails and facsimiles sent out on the 20th and 21st June 2012. A site visit to consult with landowners and occupiers was also conducted on the 20th and 21st June 2013 during which 15 A2 site notices were placed in and around the proposed Exploration Right Application area, the locations confirmed using a handheld GPS. In addition, a newspaper Advertisement was placed on 21st June 2013 in the Steelburger Local Newspaper which widely distributed in the area and confirmed by CAPRO.The information contained in the above mentioned notification documents included:

- The purpose of the proposed project;
- Details of the affected properties (including parent farm and portion);
- Details of the MPRDA Regulations that must be adhered to;
- The "minerals" being explored for;
- Date by which comment, concerns and objections must be forwarded through to both EIMS and PASA respectively;
- Contact details of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP);
- Contact details of PASA and name of the relevant PASA official; and
- A map of the proposed area.

In addition a questionnaire was included in the registered letters, emails and facsimiles sent and requested the following information from I&AP's:

- Details of the landowner and information on lawful occupiers;
- Details of any communities existing within the area;
- Details of any Tribal Authorities within the area;
- Details of any other I&AP's that need to be notified;
- A description of the existing environment including land uses, topography, fauna, flora and sensitive features such as those related to heritage;
- Details on any land developments proposed;
- Details of any perceived impacts to the environment that should be considered in the EMPlan; and
- Any specific comments, concerns or objections to the proposed exploration operation.

All I&AP's were provided a total of 43 days in which to provide comment, if any on the proposed exploration project. Proof of and examples of the notification documents disseminated to I&AP's are included in **Appendix B – Proof of I&AP Consultation**.

5.3 SUMMARY OF VIEWS RAISED BY I&AP'S INCLUDING COMMENTS AND CONCERNS

To date, the following I&AP's have provided the following comments and concerns with regard to the proposed project:

TO BE COMPLETED ONCE THE CONSULTATION PERIOD ENDS. PERIOD ENDS ON 01 AUGUST 2013

5.4 SUMMARY OF OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT RAISED BY I&AP'S

TO BE COMPLETED ONCE THE CONSULTATION PERIOD ENDS. PERIOD ENDS ON 01 AUGUST 2013

5.5 HOW COMMENTS, CONCERNS AND OBJECTIONS FROM I&AP'S HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED

All comment, concerns and objections from I&AP's have been addressed in the following manner:

- 1. through the provision of requested information;
- 2. Inclusion of I&AP identified impacts;
- inclusion of additional technical management options/mitigation measures included in the EMP; and
- 4. The inclusion and submission of all objections to PASA for consideration

As such, IA&P comment, concern and objections have been rigorously addressed and included in this report. It is important to note that not all comments, concerns or objection can be meaning fully addressed. Said comment, concern and objection is however noted and summarised in the issues and responses trail provided in Table 14.

5.6 ISSUES AND RESPONSES TRAIL

Aspect Name Organisation Method Date Comment Response How the issue was addressed Surname Adie Erasmus Request Clean Stream Email 2013/06/24 1. A request by Adie Erasmus 1. In response to Mr Mr Erasmus was provided with a detailed description Environmental on behalf of his client Dr. Erasmus's request, a Game Services WJ Fouche was made for copy of the notification of proposed exploration Lodges the project BID as well as letter informing I&AP's works programme the exploration application of the proposed (hereafter refered to as, the that was submitted to the EWP). The sensitive exploration riaht department. application, which features that Dr Fouche identified were included in serves as a Background EIMS was thanked for the 2. 2013/07/15 Information Document the EMPlans baseline prompt response and that (BID) was sent him information regarding land they will provide us with together with use, and in the mitigation а feedback shortly. breakdown the management of and proposed exploration measures sections. Mr Erasmus provided EIMS 3. a PDF with the boundaries plan. A request by EIMS to the client ACE was of his clients farm made to share a Elandsdoorn 341 (Ptn 0, 1 censored version of the and 2) 1352,59 ha. Mr Erasmus also inquired as to application that was submitted to the whether or not his client department. was identified as a key I&AP. EIMS responded by requesting Mr Erasmus to provide his clients 4. Sensitive features were farm name and portion identified as Lepelle Lodge as well as reminding him and Tholo Tented Camp to contact EIMS if he (ecotourism adventures) had any further queries. 2. EIMS thanked Mr Erasmus his for 5. Confirmation regarding the comment and stated proposed drilling, EWP and that it will be passed BID was also requested. onto PASA.

Table 14: Summary of I&AP comments, concerns and objections and EIMS responses

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-----------------------|---------|---|--------|------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | | EIMS also stated that Dr Fouche has been pre- identified as a Key I&AP and sent project information through to two separate addresses provided by the WIndeed search. | |
| | | | | | | 4. Mr Erasmus was thanked for his information regarding the ecotourism ventures and informed that these sensitive features will be avoided. | |
| | | | | | | Mr Erasmus was informed that his clients concerns regarding land access are dealt with in the EMP: consent from the land owner is required by the applicant before he may be on the land. | |
| | | | | | | It was also stipulated at this stage that no exploration is expected for Elandsdoorn 341 KT. | |
| | | | | | | 5. A summarised version of the EWP was sent to Mr Erasmus together with the project map and project information. | |
| Nokuthula Skhosana | Receipt | Ministry of Water and Environmental | Email | 2013/06/26 | 1. Nokuthula Skhosana acknowledged receipt of EIMS's notification | 1. Acknowledgement. No response required. | Registered as a I&AP. |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
| | | Affairs | | | documents. | | |
| Carolyn Ah Shene - Verdoorn | Birdlife | Birdlife South Africa | Email | 2013/06/24 | requested that Birdlife South Africa be registered as an I&AP. She also stated that they would submit comment shortly. | EIMS thanked Ms Ah- Shene-Verdoorn for her involvement and notified her that she had been registered as an I&AP. EIMS forwarded the notification letter containing the project information as well as a locality map to Ms Ah- Shene-Verdoorn as requested. | Registered as an I&AP. No comments have been received by Birdlife SA to date. |
| Julia | Request | Land owner | Telephonic | 2013/06/25 | Julia requested that as the land owner of portion 3 of the farm Grootboom 336 KT she be added as a Key I&AP on the database for the exploration project. | Julia was informed by reply through email that she has been added to the database as a Key I&AP. | Registered as an I&AP. |
| Arie Van Wyk | Game farm (objection) | Landowner | Email | 2013/06 | Mr van Wyk owns a game farm on the Berghoek 356 KT portion of land and objects to any exploration on the land. | 1. EIMS responded to Mr Van Wyk's concern by stating that his objection will be forwarded onto PASA. Mr Van Wyk was also informed that the exploration that is proposed for the area will be predominantly non-invasive and that invasive techniques (boreholes) are only planned for the eastern section of the | The objection by Mr Van Wyk to the exploration disturbing the receiving environment has been noted in the EMPlan. Mr Van Wyk's comment was added to the baseline information in the EMPlan. His concerns were included in the comments and issues register and a priority ranking given to the possibly affected landuses. The ranking was then used in the management |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-------------------|---|---|--------|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | application area. In the EMPlan that is being compiled by EIMS, EIMS will stipulate that Mr Van Wyk's land and operations are to be avoided. | options/mitigation measures of the EMPlan to decrease/consider the affect on sensitive features and current land use of the area. |
| William Briel | Comment Land use Infrustructu re | Land Owner | Email | 2013/07/01 | 1. Mr Briel responded to the questionnaire with no objections. He also provided EIMS with information regarding the receiving environment, which is as follows: farming (irrigation for citrus and lucern), grazing, houses, pack houses and centre pivots. | EIMS responded to Mr Briel thanking him for his comment. | Registered as an I&AP. The sensitive features that Mr Briel identified were included in baseline information land use section of the EMPlan. These landuses and the avoidance of them were addressed in the mitigation/measures sections of the EMPlan in accordance to their priority ranking. |
| Cilia De Jesus | Acknowled gement | Head of Biodiversity Conservation | Fax | 2013/07/01 | 1. Ms De Jesus acknowledged receipt of the exploration application notification letter. | 1. Acknowledgement. No response required. | Registered as an I&AP. |
| Jenna Lavin | Comment Heritage | SAHRA | Email | 2013/06/27 2013/07/03 2013/07/03 | SAHRA received application on SARIS website. SAHRA confirmed receiving attached documents and maps on SAHRIS. SAHRA indicated that an HIA would be required for | 1. EIMS commented on the submission to clarify that the area is quite large due to the aerial/satellite surveys that are being completed. However, the areas that will experience invasive techniques (boreholes) during the exploration | SAHRA is registered as an IA&P and was provided a detailed description of proposed EWP and requirement for a heritage screening included in the EMPlan's technical management options/mitigation measures. Comment by I&AP/landowners was |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-----------------|--------|--------------|--------|------|--|--|---|
| | | | | | its size. 4. SAHRA responded by saying thank you for the clarification and based on the limited excavations outlined it is unlikely that heritage resources will be impacted by the proposed exploration and an HIA may not be required as previously requested. | operation will be only approximatey 200 km². Further documents (borehole map) were sent through to Jenna Lavin and Phillip Hine. EIMS responded with further clarification on the exploration application that would exempt the applicant from undertaking a HIA. It was explained that the vast area is due to the required airborne and satellite studies which are non-invasive. The invasive exploration activities include geochemical soil surveys, delineation, borehole drilling, and test well drilling. Of which, the soil sampling is only 30 cm deep and short lived. The boreholes consist of 7 sites with a possible test well. Sumps for the diamond drill rigs of 3m x 2m x 1m deep will be excavated and lined, affecting 280 sq. m. It was also noted that an ECO will be onsure that no impact or interference occurs. | included in the sensitive features section of the baseline information and a prioritizatior ranking calculated to correctly mitigate/manage any cultural heritage features identified and included in the EMPlan. |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-----------------|--|--------------|--------|------------|--|--|---|
| Fritz Marx | Objection Land use Flora Fauna Tourism Heritage | Landowner | Email | 2013/07/03 | completed questionnair He stated that he is landowner and provide comment of the following. There are communitie in Ohrigstad. Receiving environmer Farming, grazing. There are rare pla species that do not gro elsewhere and variou rare bird species. There are old Boer w ruins in the area The operations w negatively impact on th Ohrigstad community a they rely heavily on th farming and tourism in th area. He strongly disapprove of any exploration activities in the area. | and indicated that he would be notified of the projects progress. 2. EIMS sent Mrs Marx the map with the proposed borehole sites. t: nt will be notified of the projects progress. ar borehole sites. | Mr Marx's objection to the exploration rights disturbance of the receiving environment has been addressed in the EMPlans section on baseline information and sensitive features section. Priority rankings were calculated and addressed in the technical management options/mitigation measures in the EMPlan. The information provided by Mr Marx regarding the Boer war ruins is included in the cultural and heritage section. The rare bird species is addressed in the faunal section of the baseline information in the Emplant to be mitigated against/avoided. Sensitive areas like towns and rural villages will be excluded from the exploration operations, indicated in the management and mitigation section are to be avoided. |
| Hendrik Goosen | Comment Land use | Landowner | Fax | 2013/07/05 | Mr Goosen provided EIM with comment on the questionnaire. He is the | e Goosen and indicated | Mr Goosens comment regarding the receiving environment was included |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-----------------|--|--|--------|------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | land owner of a portion on Sterkfontein farm. He described the receiving environment as mountainous with valleys and is aware of developments on Olifantspoortjie 319 KT in the application area. | of the projects progress. | into the baseline environment as well as the sensitive receptors sections in the Emplan, of which are to be avoided. |
| Jaco Swart | Request (I&AP) | Landowner | Email | 2013/07/05 | Mr Swart reserved comment for the meantime. He informed EIMS that he is the owner of the farm Portions 46 and 98 of Ohrigstad 443- KT. | EIMS thanked Mr Swart and indicated that he would be added to the I&AP database and notified of the projects progress. | Registered as an I&AP. |
| Kleynhans | Request (I&AP) | Mpumalanga Provincial Government (Land Administration) | Fax | 2013/07/9 | 1. The Department of Agriculture, Rural Development and Land Administration requested to be listed as a key I&AP on the database. The project reference from the department is (DARDLA: 15/3/1/1/101) | Acknowledgement. No response required. | Registered as an I&AP. |
| Xolisa Teti | Inquiry of possible affected farm | Anglo American Legal Advisor | Email | 2013/07/09 | Ms Teti stated that the farm owned by Anglo American Platinum may be impacted on. She also wanted to inquire whether or not the farm Eerste Geluk 322 KT would be affected and if the DMR was the adjudicating authority. | 1. EIMS thanked Ms Teti for her comment and explained that the farm is 327 KT and not 322 KT that will be affected. The adjudicating authority on the exploration right is the Petroleum Agency South Africa (PASA). | Registered as an I&AP. |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------|--------|------------|---|--|---|
| Bishop Sandri | Objection Land use Sensitive areas Heritage Prefered source of energy suggestion | Diocese of Witbank | Fax | 2013/07/12 | Mr Sandri indicated that he owns or is the legal land owner of Donhur 308 KT and Frischgewaagd 359 KT. Sensitive features identified are: agricultural land, Sizo Primary School, the Diocese Pastoral Centre, residence and training centre and cemetery. There is a tribal authority in the area, Mr M. J. Seiahle (local headman) The receiving environment is: cattle grazing, protected flora, chicken farms, forestry, dams, farming, school and residential areas. Cultural heritage features: Historical Catholic Church His concerns are: water and air pollution, the destruction of roads and agriculture/forestry. Bisop Sandri thanked EIMS for the explanations but said he would prefer that companies explored alternative sources of energy. Mr Sandri provided EIMS with with Mr. Selahle details(tribal authority): Fr. | Bisop Sandri was thanked for his response to the notification. It was explained that the operations to occur are not mining but exploration operations. It was explained that the number of operations to occur will be guided by the EMPlan. Sensitive receptors have been indicated in the EMPlan and will be avoided. | Mr Sandri's objections were addressed in the EMPlan. The description of the reciving environment was included in the baseline information - landuse. Sensitive features identified by Mr Sandri are included in the in the EMPlan and must be avoided. His concerns regarding water pollution were addressed in the EMPlan with mitigation/management measuresfor operations potentially effecting water quality. |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-----------------|---|---|--------|------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | Simon Shako at Burgersfort Catholic Mission at: shakosimon@yahoo.co.uk | | |
| Jan Ferreira | Objection Landuse Heritage Infrustructu re Ground water Remunerat ion enquiry | Landowner | Fax | 2013/07/15 | Mr Ferreira indicated that he is the landowner of a portion of the farm Ohrigstad 443 KT. He stated that the receiving environment on the farm is: Ohrigstad river, agricultural infrastructure and crop production on it. He is aware of the following heritage features: Voortrekker cemetery and fort in the area. His concerns were: disruption of land use, damage to soil, infrastructure and potential impacts on groundwater resources. Mr Ferreira also indicates that there should be appropriate remuneration for interruption and access and he is concerned about the state of final rehabilitation. | Mr Ferreira was thanked for his comment by EIMS and added as a Key I&AP on the Database. Clarification regarding the proposed exploration application was provided with the number of proposed boreholes for (260) provided. The boundary regarding the exploration rights reference numbers (259) and (260) was also explained as a division made by PASA. EIMS also stated that the EMPlan would state that sensitive features are to be avoided. | Mr Ferreira's concerns regarding the heritage features, landuse and receiving environment, have been noted and included in EMPLan. The heritage features were addressed in the sensitive receptors section and the concerns to the environment and heritage features respectively were ranked and technical management options/mitigation measures in the Emplan were applied. |
| Frans Krige | Objection Game reserves Critical | Mpumalanga Tourism and Parks Agency | Email | 2013/07/17 | 1. Mr Krige provided sketch 22 plans and private game reserves in the application area of the farms he expected to be affected by the exploration right | 1. Mr Krige was thanked for his comment by EIMS and explained that it will be included in the EMP that will be sent to PASA. The list of provate | Comment included in EMP technical management options/mitigation measures – Land use section, where these sensitive features are |

| Name | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------|------------|---|---|---|
| Surname | | | | | | | addressed |
| | biodiversity areas | | | | application. He also stated that MPTA objects to farms that lie within critical biodiversity areas. Private Game Reserves he requests must be avoided are Mpumalanga Tourism and Parks Agency 2. Mr Lotter from MPTA emailed EIMS the location for the ArcGIS layer package that can be used to access the sensitive layers. He also attached GIS shapefiles for the Private reserves. 3. Mr Krige is satisfied with only receiving a final copy of the EMPlan. | game reserves will be included in the Emplan and designed as sensitive areas which are preferred no-go areas for invasive exploration activities. Gazetted areas will be designed as strictly no-ho areas for invasive activities. EIMS also confirmed that based on his maps, none of the planned exploration activities fall within critical biodiversity areas. EIMS also provided Mr Krige with a overview of the EWP. EIMS requested Mr Krige's sensitive areas shapefile so that a map could be created and included in the EMPlan. | indicated as " to be avoided/no-go areas." |
| Eddie Lennox | Request | Eskom | Email | 2013/07/16 | Mr Lennox requested a copy of the locality plan from EIMS. Eddie provided EIMS with a map of the ESKOM infrastructure that may be affected in the application areas. The following was identified: Eskom transmissions (TX) existing and future projects: | EIMS thanked Mr Lennox for his interest and provided him with a locality map. EIMS was Cc on an email to Milton Moloko requesting a list of services falling within the application area. EIMS is still awaiting a response. | Registered as an I&AP. |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|-----------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Merensky 275kV overhead powerlines Merensky 400kV overhead powerlines Merensky 400kV overhead powerlines | | |
| Zev Green | Mining Land use Rehabilitati on concern | Anglo Operations Limited (AOL) | Email | 2013/07/18 | Mr Green contacted EIMS to inquire about the affects of the exploration operations on the farm Aapiesdoomdraai 298 KT as AOL is currently on the farm and holds the rights to the area and the respective mining right. AOL is concerned hoe the exploration activities will affect their rehabilitation activities. AOL requested a meeting to discuss these issues. Henk Lodewijks from AOL replied to EIMS saying that if no disturbance is to take place on Aapiesdoorndraai 298 KT there is no further need to discuss the EWP. Should the planning change to include Aapiesdoorndraai 298 KT AOL must be consulted first. | 1. EIMS replied to Mr Green thanking him for his comment and indicated that the proposed exploration areas are vast due to satellite surveys and the actual invasive techniques that are currently planned consist of 7 boreholes. The areas to be explored are also consolidated on the eatern side of the proposed area and and far away from AOL operations. EIMS will include the farm Aapiesdoomdraai 298 KT in the EMP as an area to be avoided. | Comment included in the baseline information, prioritization factor ranking and in the EMP technical management options/mitigation measures – Land use section as an area to be avoided. |
| Cor Cross | Land use Heritage Ground | Ohrigstad Farmers Union | Email | 2013/07/19 | Mr Cross responded and informed EIMS of intenseive agriculture and the presence of Voortrekker graves in the proposed exploration area. Mr Cross voiced concerns over | 2. EIMS thanked Mr Cross and included his comment into the description of the baseline environment. Mr Cross was also | Descriptions added to baseline environment Impacts identified provided prioritisation factor as per impact assessment |

| Name Surname | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | Comment | Response | How the issue was addressed |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|---|---|--|
| | water Traffic Compensa tion | | | | intteruptions to farming, heavy traffic that may damage irrigation infrastructure, and groundwater pollution. Mr Cross also enquired about compensation and requested more information in order to be meaningfully consulted. | provided a list of mitigation measures specific to the impacts hediendtified and requested that he review them. It was also explained that compensation will be negotiated by the applicant with relevant landowners for access to and use of land if required. Mr Cross was then also provided a summary of the EWP. | methodlogy Mitigation measures for impacts desgined and included in report. |
| Jan Hamman | Objection Landuse Water | I&AP | Fax | 2013/07/23 | Mr Hummun commented that he was unhappy with the exploration that is to occur on Louiseville 348 KT and the surrounding farms. The farm is being used for cattle grazing and exploration or mining will destroy the grazing and scares water resources. | EIMS has noted Mr Hammun's objection in this comments register. And he was informed that EIMS has included him in the I&AP database. | Mr Hammans concerns regarding the affects of exploration on the water resources and current land use have both been addressed in the mitigation and management section of the EMPlan as well as the receiving environment. |
| Mr Louw | Request | Ehlanzeni Municipality | Telephonic | 2013/07/24 | Mr Louw enquired about the divisions of the application areas RE Limpopo (259) and Mpumalanga (260) and requested a locality map for both applications. | 1. Mr Louw replied stating that none of the mentioned farms are in our are of jurisdiction (Ehlanzeni). EIMS thanked Mr Louw for his comment. | N/A |
| Lizette Geldenhuys | Objection Landuse | Land owner | Email | 2013/07/25 | Lizette stated that they own Morone Sitrus Kooperasie, which I located on the farm Welgevondon. She is concerned about the | EIMS thanked Mrs Geldenhuys for her comment and added her to the I&AP database. | Mrs Geldenhuys's concerns were addressed in the current land use section of the EMPlan and managed in the mitigations |

| Name | Aspect | Organisation | Method | Date | | Comment | | Response | How the issue was |
|-------------|----------|--|--------|------------|----|--|----|---|-------------------|
| Surname | | | | | | | | | addressed |
| | Food | | | | | explorationmining to occur | | | section. |
| | security | | | | | there as the area is a farming community and utilize the areas for grazing. | | | |
| Mr. Mashilo | Request | Magistarial District of Sekhukhune in Limpopo | Email | 2013/07/29 | 1. | Mr Mashilo requested the geographical co-ordinates for the proposed boreholes. | 1. | EIMS provided Mr Mashilo a PDF of the proposed boreholes and indicatedthat these may change according to findings in the previous phases. | N/A |

6 THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

Through the use of the EIMS impact assessment methodology described in Section 4 it has been determined that the final significance of impacts (once suggested mitigation measures and prioritisation factors are applied) is mostly **low to moderate**. Regardless of the final significance of the impacts identified and assessed, all activities resulting in environmental impacts have been assigned appropriate technical management options and mitigation measures described in the EMP below.

The EMP is split into three phases which correlate directly to the phases of the proposed exploration operation and the activities resulting in impacts. The phases are:

- EMP Planning and Preparation: Surveys, Sampling and Mapping;
- EMP Operation: Site Preparation, Delineation Drilling and Test Well Drilling; and
- EMP Decommissioning and Closure: Rehabilitation.

| Table 15: List of impacts and associated technical management of | options/mitigation measures |
|--|-----------------------------|
| | |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | EMP – Pl | LANNING AND PREPARATION PHASE : SUI | RVEYS, SA | MPLING AN | D MAPPING | | |
| Deterioration and damage to existing access roads and | | Existing access roads and access tracks shall be used as far as is practically possible. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| access tracks. | | The applicant shall notify landowners/lawful occupiers of where, when and which existing access roads and access tracks will be utilised. | | Ongoing | Applicant | Daily | Landowner notifications |
| | | Use of existing dirt roads or access tracks shall be avoided where possible after heavy rains to prevent unnecessary surface damage. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | The accerning of the second se | Damage done to existing access roads and access tracks shall be repaired or reinstated as per the pre- exploration condition. | - | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Monthly | Photographic registry |
| | | Should new access tracks be required they should be planned in consultation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. | | As required | Applicant | Monthly | Landowner notifications |
| | | The applicant must maintain a photographic registry of access roads or tracks prior to their use and for reference should they require repair or reinstatement. | | Prior to use | Applicant & Contractor | Monthly | Photographic registry |
| | | Where no option exists to construct access roads or tracks wider than 4 m and longer than 1 km, the provincial department of Environmental Affairs and PASA must be consulted, the activity applied for, EMPlan amended and authorisation obtained. | | As required | Applicant | As required | Authority and Landowner notification and approvals |
| | | In the event that new access roads or tracks are required a suitably qualified specialist must be appointed to conduct a pre-commencement survey and optimal route delineation undertaken. The specialist will also undertake a screening to determine that no red list data fauna species threatened or protected flora species or heritage features are likely to be impacted on. | | As required | ECO & Specialist | As required | Authority and Landowner notification and approvals |
| | | No exploration activity must be undertaken within the road reserve of the R 37 or other national roads including adjoining land. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| Interference with existing land uses. | | The location of sites to be used for ground based surveys; sampling and mapping must be discussed and approved in consultation with the landowner/lawful occupier prior to commencement. It is further recommended that the appointed ECO attend these meetings to advise on environmental sensitivities that landowners/lawful occupiers may not be aware of but require protection. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent | |
| | -6 (Low) | The applicant shall avoid existing land uses such as crop fields, orchards, game farms and tourist accommodations when determining sites to be subject to ground based surveys, sampling and mapping exercises. | -4 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection | |
| | | The applicant may undertake ground based surveys, sampling and mapping within and along the boundary of existing land uses but only with express permission from the landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent | |
| | | The applicant shall accommodate existing land uses by planning and scheduling ground based surveys, sampling and mapping so as not to interfere with existing land uses and activities of the landowner/lawful occupier where possible. | | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification consultation |
| | | The applicant shall inform the landowner/lawful occupier of the date, times and number of employees that will undertake ground based surveys, sampling and mapping in their respective properties and permission gained before entry. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent | |
| | | As far as possible no exploration will occur in the following private game reserves (Sonia Schoeman, Apiesboomen, Berghoek, Luiperdhoek, Rietkom, G.L Vosloo) unless express consent is provided by the land owner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent | |
| | | No invasive exploration activities are to take place in any Gazetted Identified Areas, these areas are deemed strictly "No-Go" areas." | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Authorities/Land owner/lawful occupier notification consultation | |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | The applicant shall comply with relevant farm access protocols provided by the relevant Agricultural Union or those provided to the applicant by the landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | AU Protocols and landowner/lawful occupier agreements |
| | | Due to current mining operations, the applicant should avoid undertaking invasive exploration operations on the farm Aapiesdoomdraai 298 KT as per Anglo's request. If the applicant would like to explore invasively on Anglo's land, AOL should be contacted first and a way forward discussed. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier agreements |
| | | | | | | | |
| Safety and security risks to landowners and lawful occupiers. | | The applicant shall inform the landowner/lawful occupier of the date, times and number of employees that will undertake ground based surveys, sampling and mapping in their respective properties and permission gained before entry. A date and time that is suitable to landowners/lawful occupiers and reasonable to the applicant must be negotiated. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | The landowner/lawful occupier must be provided with the number, identity of workers, work location and description of work to be done as well as an emergency number in order to contact the applicant. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | All unskilled labourers employed must be South African citizens and have passed criminal checks prior to employment. | | As required | Applicant & Contractor | Once off | Criminal checks and ID copies |
| | | All employees must always be easily identifiable by clothing and ID badges which must be carried by employees at all times. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | -12 (Medium) | For each property for which the applicant requires access in order to conduct ground based surveys, sampling and mapping the group size of employees shall not exceed 10 people at any one time. | -9 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Employees and contractors must be accompanied by a responsible supervisor at all times | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Employees may not receive visitors whilst they are working within the exploration right application area unless permission is obtained from the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | All employees and contractors are restricted to the site and access roads or tracks to be utilised for transport. No employee may linger or wander off from the site and location where exploration activities are being undertaken | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | No employees are allowed to store or use alcohol, recreational drugs, traditional or modern weapons, snares or other dangerous objects on site or enter the site under the influence of either alcohol or drugs. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Breathalyser and visual inspection |
| | | All property access gates must always be kept closed unless otherwise instructed by the landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | No employee will be allowed to sleep overnight with the proposed exploration right application area unless given permission by the landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | A comments and complaints register shall be opened and maintained. It must be regularly updated with comments from landowners/lawful occupiers and other I&AP's. All complaints must be investigated and closed out. The register must be provided to PASA as part of the annual EMP performance assessment or as and when required by either an authority or I&AP. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Comments and complaints register |
| | | | | | | | |
| Disturbance, damage and destruction of natural vegetation. | | Vehicular movement must as far as is practically possible be restricted to existing access roads and access tracks. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | -8 (Low) | The area to subject to ground based surveys; sampling and mapping must be clearly delineated and screened for sensitive floral species by the appointed ECO prior to commencement. | -8 (Low) | Pre- commencem ent | ECO | As required | Visual inspection/ pre- commencement screening |
| | | No endangered or threatened and protected Acacia, Encephalartos, Gladiolus, Euphorbia, Nemesa, Pearson or Pinthus plant species are to be disturbed or damaged in anyway. | | Ongoing | Applicant, Contractor and ECO | Daily | Visual inspection/ pre- commencement screening |
| | | No medium to large sized shrubs or trees shall be damaged or disturbed during ground based surveys, sampling and mapping exercises. | | Ongoing | Applicant, Contractor and ECO | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | The applicant shall determine the approximate number of soil samples (see section Error! Reference source not found. , phase 5) to be undertaken and no further, unnecessary sampling must be undertaken that will disturb floral communities. | | Ongoing | Applicant | Weekly | Sampling and survey programme |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Disturbance to the soil profile | -10 (Medium) | Vehicular movement must as far as is practically possible be restricted to existing access roads and access tracks. | -6 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | The applicant shall determine the approximate number of soil samples to be undertaken and no further, unnecessary sampling must be undertaken that will disturb the soil profile. | | Ongoing | Applicant | Weekly | Sampling and survey programme |
| | | | | | | | |
| Disturbance, damage and destruction of heritage features | | The area to be subject to ground based surveys, sampling and mapping must be clearly delineated and screened for heritage and cultural features/items by the appointed ECO prior to commencement. | | Pre- commencem ent | ECO | As required | Visual inspection/ pre- commencement screening |
| | | No sampling, surveys or mapping exercises will be undertaken within 200 m of any known cultural or heritage features including (but not limited to) graves, iron age walling or structures older than 60 years. | | Ongoing | Applicant | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -4 (Low) | If any cultural or heritage feature is discovered or unearthed during the surveys, sampling and mapping, the exploration activity must cease immediately and the applicant or ECO must contact SAHRA and the landowner and await further instruction from the agency prior to recommencement. | -6 (Low) | Ongoing | ECO | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | It is at the discretion of the appointed ECO that other features may be deemed sensitive or cultural heritage features and that surveys, sampling and mapping exercises must be conducted a minimum of 200 m away from these features. | | Ongoing | ECO | As required | Visual inspection |
| | EMP - OPER | ATION PHASE: SITE PREPARATION, DELIN | IEATION D | RILLING AN | D TEST WELL | S | |
| Deterioration and damage to existing access roads and | | Existing access roads and access tracks shall be used as far as is practically possible. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| access tracks | -9 (low) | The applicant shall notify landowners/lawful occupiers of where, when and which existing access roads and access tracks will be utilised. | -7.5 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant | Daily | Landowner notifications |
| | | Use of existing dirt roads or access tracks shall be avoided where possible after heavy rains to prevent unnecessary surface damage. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |

| | Damage done to existing access roads and access tracks shall be repaired or reinstated as per the pre- exploration condition. Landowners/lawful occupiers shall be notified and informed of the transport of heavy machinery and equipment such as drill rigs and excavators. The transport route of heavy machinery and equipment will be determined prior to transport and in consultation with landowners/lawful occupiers Should new access tracks be required they should be planned in consultation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. The applicant must maintain a photographic registry of access roads or tracks prior to their use and for reference should they require repair or reinstatement. Where no option exists to construct access roads or tracks wider than 4 m and longer than 1 km, the | | Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing As required As required | Applicant & Contractor Applicant & Contractor Applicant & Contractor Applicant ECO & Specialist | Monthly As required As required As required As required | Photographic registry Landowner notifications Route determination and landowner notifications Authority and Landowner notification and approvals Authority and Landowner notification and approvals |
|---|--|-------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | informed of the transport of heavy machinery and equipment such as drill rigs and excavators. The transport route of heavy machinery and equipment will be determined prior to transport and in consultation with landowners/lawful occupiers Should new access tracks be required they should be planned in consultation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. The applicant must maintain a photographic registry of access roads or tracks prior to their use and for reference should they require repair or reinstatement. Where no option exists to construct access roads or | | Ongoing As required As required | Contractor Applicant & Contractor Applicant ECO & | As required As required | notifications Route determination and landowner notifications Authority and Landowner notification and approvals Authority and Landowner notification and |
| | equipment will be determined prior to transport and in consultation with landowners/lawful occupiers Should new access tracks be required they should be planned in consultation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. The applicant must maintain a photographic registry of access roads or tracks prior to their use and for reference should they require repair or reinstatement. Where no option exists to construct access roads or | - | As required As required | Applicant ECO & | As required | determination and landowner notifications Authority and Landowner notification and approvals Authority and Landowner notification and |
| | planned in consultation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. The applicant must maintain a photographic registry of access roads or tracks prior to their use and for reference should they require repair or reinstatement. Where no option exists to construct access roads or | - | As required | ECO & | | Landowner notification and approvals Authority and Landowner notification and |
| | access roads or tracks prior to their use and for reference should they require repair or reinstatement. Where no option exists to construct access roads or | - | | | As required | Landowner notification and |
| | | | | | | appiovais |
| | provincial department of Environmental Affairs and PASA must be consulted, the activity applied for, EMPlan amended and authorisation obtained. | | As required | Applicant | As required | Authority and Landowner notification and approvals |
| | In the event that new access roads or tracks are required a suitably qualified specialist must be appointed to conduct a pre-commencement survey and optimal route delineation undertaken. The specialist will also undertake a screening to determine that no red list data fauna species threatened or protected flora species or heritage features are likely to be impacted on. | | As required | ECO & Specialist | As required | Authority and Landowner notification and approvals |
| | No exploration activity must be undertaken within the road reserve of the R 37 or other national roads including adjoining land. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| Employment of local unskilled labour +10 (Medium) | Impact of employment is positive | +11.6 (Medium) | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant | As required | Employment contracts |
| | As impact is positive no mitigation measure is suggested. | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

0966 (12/3/260)

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| HIV/AIDS & environmental awareness training | | Impact of HIV/AIDS & environmental awareness training is positive. | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | +11 (Medium) | The applicant shall offer all employees free and confidential HIV/AIDS testing | +14.6 (Medium) | Ongoing | Applicant | As required | Proof of HIV/AIDS testing |
| | | The applicant shall offer "tool box" talks every second month to promote HIV/AID and environmental awareness training | | Ongoing | Applicant & ECO | Every 2 months | "Tool box talks" presentations |
| | | | | | | | |
| Safety and security risks to landowners and lawful occupiers | | The applicant shall inform the landowner/lawful occupier of the date, times and number of employees that will undertake ground based surveys, sampling and mapping in their respective properties and permission gained before entry. A date and time that is suitable to landowners/lawful occupiers and reasonable to the applicant must be negotiated. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | The landowner/lawful occupier must be provided with the number, identity of workers, work location and description of work to be done as well as an emergency number in order to contact the applicant. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | All unskilled labourers employed must be South African citizens and have passed criminal checks prior to employment. | | As required | Applicant & Contractor | Once off | Criminal checks and ID copies |
| | | All employees must always be easily identifiable by clothing and ID badges which must be carried by employees at all times. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | -14 (Medium) | For each property for which the applicant requires access in order to conduct ground based surveys, sampling and mapping the group size of employees shall not exceed 10 people at any one time. | -14.6 (Medium) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Employees and contractors must be accompanied by a responsible supervisor at all times | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Employees may not receive visitors whilst they are working within the exploration right application area unless permission is obtained from the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | All employees and contractors are restricted to the site and access roads or tracks to be utilised for transport. No employee may linger or wander off from the site and location where exploration activities are being undertaken | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | No employees are allowed to store or use alcohol, recreational drugs, traditional or modern weapons, snares or other dangerous objects on site or enter the site under the influence of either alcohol or drugs. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Breathalyser and visual inspection |
| | | All property access gates must always be kept closed unless otherwise instructed by the landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | No employee will be allowed to sleep overnight with the proposed exploration right application area unless given permission by the landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | If an employee is to sleep overnight then the number of employees and their location overnight must be provided to and approved by the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | Employees who sleep overnight must be provided suitable mobile accommodation such as caravans. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | A comments and complaints register shall be opened and maintained. It must be regularly updated with comments from landowners/lawful occupiers and other I&AP's. All complaints must be investigated and closed out. The register must be provided to PASA as part of the annual EMP performance assessment or as and when required by either an authority or I&AP. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Comments and complaints register |
| | | | | | | | |
| Interference with existing land uses | | The location of sites to be used for delineation drilling and test well drilling must be discussed and approved in consultation with the landowner/lawful occupier prior to commencement. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | The applicant shall avoid existing land uses such as crop fields, orchards, game farms and tourist accommodations when determining sites to be subject to delineation drilling and test well drilling. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| -12 (Medium) | Delineation drilling and test well drilling cannot be undertaken within 200 m of any existing infrastructure such as crop fields, homesteads, windmills, dams, transmission/distribution lines except in special cases where written permission is obtained from the relevant landowner/lawful occupier or authority. In such cases any special conditions stipulated by the landowner/lawful occupier or authority must be strictly adhered to. | -13.3 (Medium) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent | |

| Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | As far as possible no exploration will occur in the following private game reserves (Sonia Schoeman, Apiesboomen, Berghoek, Luiperdhoek, Rietkom, G.L Vosloo) unless express consent is provided by the land owner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | No invasive exploration activities are to take place in any Gazetted Identified Areas, these areas are deemed strictly " No-Go " areas." | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Authorities/Land owner/lawful occupier notification consultation |
| | The applicant may undertake delineation drilling and test well drilling within and along the boundary of existing land uses but only with express permission from the landowner/lawful occupier or relevant authority. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | The applicant shall accommodate existing land uses by planning and scheduling delineation drilling and test well drilling so as not to interfere with existing land uses and activities of the landowner/lawful occupier where possible. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | AU Protocols and landowner/lawful occupier agreements |
| | The applicant shall inform the landowner/lawful occupier of the date, times and number of employees that will undertake delineation drilling and test well drilling in their respective properties and permission gained before entry. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | The applicant shall comply with relevant farm access protocols provided by the relevant Agricultural Union or those provided to the applicant by the landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | Due to current mining operations, the applicant should avoid undertaking invasive exploration operations on the farm Aapiesdoomdraai 298 KT as per Anglo's request. If the applicant would like to explore invasively on Anglo's land, AOL should be contacted first and a way forward discussed. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier agreements |
| | The applicant must negotiate both access to, site location and compensation (if required) with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier prior to commencement. | | Ongoing | Applicant | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Damage to third party infrastructure | | Delineation drilling and test well drilling cannot be undertaken within 200 m of any existing infrastructure such as crop fields, homesteads, windmills, dams, transmission/distribution lines except in special cases where written permission is obtained from the relevant landowner/lawful occupier or authority. In such cases any special conditions stipulated by the landowner/lawful occupier or authority must be strictly adhered to. | -8.7 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | Should delineation drilling and test well drilling take place with permission, closer than 200 m from existing infrastructure a photographic record will be taken to document the condition of the infrastructure. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Photographic records |
| | | The applicant must negotiate both access to, site location and compensation (if required) with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier prior to commencement. | | Ongoing | Applicant | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | The applicant shall take out comprehensive fire insurance with suitable cover for landowner/lawful occupier and contractors. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant | Once off | Insurance policy |
| | | | | | | | |
| Risk of fires | | The applicant shall construct a 5 m wide fire break around delineation drilling and test well drilling site. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -9 (Low) | The applicant and ECO shall assess the risk of oncoming fires and where required the applicant will ensure that fire breaks are created and regularly maintained. | -6.7 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & ECO | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Fire breaks created shall be done so in accordance with relevant legislation, consultation with landowners/lawful occupiers and the local fire control association or department. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Once off | Visual inspection |
| | | Environmental awareness training shall include training on fire risks. The applicant and contractor shall take all necessary precautions to ensure fires are not accidentally started or as a consequence of activities on site. | | Every 2 months | Applicant & ECO | Every 2 months | "Tool box talks" presentations |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | All equipment and machinery, including drill rigs shall be equipped with fire prevention technologies and regularly checked and or serviced. These include but are not limited to Blow Out Prevention (BOP) stacks and equipped wellheads. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Checklist |
| | | No open fires will be permitted on site. | | Ongoing | Applicant | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Smoking is not permitted on site and in areas where fire hazards may occur. Such areas include temporary fuel storage and areas where vegetation or other materials occur that can contribute to the rapid spread of potential fires. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | The applicant and contractor will ensure that there is adequate fire fighting equipment available on site at all times and that all equipment is serviced at regular intervals as defined by relevant regulations. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |
| Loss of natural vegetation | | Vehicular and equipment movement must as far as is practically possible be restricted to existing access roads and access tracks. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Should any of the proposed delineation drilling and test well drilling site be located in Malmani Karstland a pre-commencement survey must be undertaken by the ECO or suitably qualified specialist to ensure that no red list data or threatened and protected floral species are directly impacted on. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & ECO | As required | Visual inspection/Mappi ng |
| | -16.2 (Medium) | No endangered or threatened and protected Acacia, Encephalartos, Gladiolus, Euphorbia, Nemesa, Pearson or Pinthus plant species are to be disturbed or damaged in anyway. Should these species be confirmed within the proposed site then the site is to be relocated so as to not impact on these species. | -14.6 (Medium) | Ongoing | Applicant & ECO | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | The proposed footprint of the delineation drilling and test well drilling is to be kept as small as possible. | - | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection and measurement |
| | | If the proposed sites are located in Malmani Karstlands then the total square meterage occupied by the site must be calculated to determine if it is likely to trigger any NEMA listed activities prior to commencement. | | Ongoing | Applicant & ECO | As required | Visual inspection and measurement |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | No delineation drilling or test well drilling shall be undertaken within 500 m of a water course including wetlands. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | As required | Visual inspection and measurement |
| | | No delineation drilling shall be undertaken within 500 m of slopes, ridges or other landscape features including rocky outcrops, ridges or other features deemed sensitive by the ECO. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | As required | Visual inspection and measurement |
| | | A seedbank and/or grass cutting of the existing vegetation must be collected and appropriately stored prior to commencement and used in conjunction with mulch during rehabilitation efforts post delineation drilling and test well drilling | | Pre- commencem ent | ECO | Monthly | Visual inspection |
| | | Re-vegetation as part of rehabilitation efforts must be undertaken as soon exploration activities are completed. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | Monthly | Visual inspection |
| | | Re-vegetation must be done with seeds/propogules of naturally occurring plant species indicative of the vegetation unit in which activities are underway. | | Ongoing | ECO | Monthly | Visual inspection |
| | | No medium or large shrubs or tress must be disturbed pruned or cut. These include the provincial list of protected tree species. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | No removal of trees of kindling of any kind for firewood is allowed. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | The proposed site must be cleared of any alien and invasive floral species prior to establishment. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | The site must be subject to regular alien and invasive plant removals. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Employee's boots and clothing, vehicles, drilling equipment and other machinery should be cleaned of mud, dust and other possible sources of seeds/propogules prior to movement to the next proposed site to prevent the spread of alien and invasive plant species. | | Ongoing | Applicant & contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Displacement, injury and death of local fauna | | Site layout must be designed to follow natural areas as opposed to crossing them where practically possible. If crossing is the only option then the area should be transected so that one large area remains rather than two equally sized areas. Site layout must be condensed to prevent unnecessary sprawl into sensitive areas and faunal residencies. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | Site location and layout must take into account faunal residencies belonging to <i>Tyto capensis</i> (African Grass Owl) and <i>Python natalensis</i> (South African Rock Python).These must be avoided. | | Pre- commencem ent | ECO/Suitably qualified specialist | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -11 All arr (Medium) All arr th deal All ha arr | Delineation drilling and test well drilling sites must be clearly demarcated (fenced or snow netting) to limit fauna, including livestock from wandering into the work area. | -9.3 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant/Cont ractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Prior to commencement of delineation drilling and test well drilling a pre-commencement survey must be undertaken by the ECO or a suitably qualified specialist to delineate sensitive and no go areas within close proximity to the work area. | | Pre- commencem ent | ECO | As required | ECO checklist |
| | | All employees must be informed of no go or sensitive areas on site and remain only within the delineated work area. Further environmental awareness training must be conducted in the "tool box talks" to reinforce the sensitivity and access restriction of these delineated areas. | | Ongoing | Applicant/Cont ractor | Monthly | "Tool box talks" presentations |
| | | All employees should be informed that it is illegal to harvest natural resource without the relevant permits and should be prosecuted if found in transgression of the law. | | Ongoing | Applicant/Cont ractor | Monthly | Tool box talks" presentations |
| | | No employees may disturb, hunt, set traps/snares, utilise dead or alive fauna/livestock/wildlife/fish. This includes killing of any fauna found within the work area. | | Ongoing | Applicant/Cont ractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Any fauna found within the work area including sump excavations should be carefully caught and returned, un harmed to an adjacent area not further than 200 m from where it was found. | | As required | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | Daily | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | No snakes discovered in the work area are to be killed or otherwise disturbed. The applicant shall notify the ECO should a snake be discovered and the ECO shall ensure that a responsible, suitably qualified person is summoned to remove the snake from site for relocation to a suitable nearby location. | | Ongoing | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Any fauna (including livestock) which are accidentally injured or killed shall be reported to the ECO. In the event that livestock of a landowner/lawful occupier is injured or killed the applicant shall notify, consult and negotiate compensation with the affected I&AP. | | Ongoing | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | Daily | Visual inspection |
| Oursell De dustine l | | | | Des | Analiaant/O | A | |
| Overall Reduction in biodiversity | | Site layout must be designed to follow natural areas as opposed to crossing them where practically possible. If crossing is the only option then the area should be transected so that one large area remains rather than two equally sized areas. Site layout must be condensed to prevent unnecessary sprawl into sensitive areas and faunal residencies. | -10.6 (Medium) | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | As required | Visual inspection |
| | -12 (Medium) | Existing access roads and access tracks shall be used as far as is practically possible. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Prior to commencement of delineation drilling and test well drilling a pre-commencement survey must be undertaken by the ECO or a suitably qualified specialist to delineate sensitive and no go areas within close proximity to the work area. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | As required | Visual inspection/ECO checklist |
| | | Site location and layout must take into account faunal residencies belonging to <i>Tyto capensis</i> (African Grass Owl) and <i>Python natalensis</i> (South African Rock Python). These must be avoided. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | As required | Visual inspection/ECO checklist |
| | | Should any of the proposed delineation drilling and test well drilling site be located in Malmani Karstland a pre-commencement survey must be undertaken by the ECO or suitably qualified specialist to ensure that no red list data or threatened and protected floral species are directly impacted on. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant/Cont ractor & ECO | As required | Visual inspection/ECO checklist |
| | | No endangered or threatened and protected Acacia, Encephalartos, Gladiolus, Euphorbia, Nemesa, Pearson or Pinthus plant species are to be disturbed or damaged in anyway. Should these species be confirmed within the proposed site then the site is to be relocated so as to not impact on these species. | | Ongoing | Applicant & ECO | As required | Visual inspection |

©ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MANAGEMENT SERVICES (PTY) LTD

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | The proposed footprint of the delineation drilling and test well drilling is to be kept as small as possible. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | No delineation drilling shall be undertaken within 500 m of slopes, ridges or other landscape features including rocky outcrops, ridges or other features deemed sensitive by the appointed ECO. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | No medium or large shrubs or tress must be disturbed pruned or cut. These include the provincial list of protected tree species | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |
| Disturbance to the soil profile | | No delineation drilling or test well drilling must take place within 500 m of steep slopes (gradients greater than 1:10), and/or watercourses | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | The approximate area to be disturbed and stripped of topsoil must be clearly delineated and kept as small as is practically possible prior to commencement. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | -10 (Medium) | Topsoil must be stripped to its full depth (including O and A horizons but excluding B and C horizons) from all delineation drilling and test well drilling areas including the temporary sumps and drill rig footprints. | -7.8 (Low) | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Stripped topsoil must be stored separately from subsoil and overburden and shall only be used for post exploration rehabilitation of the site. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Topsoil shall be stored in the following manner: To prevent anoxic conditions, soil compaction and loss of soil biota, topsoil will be temporarily stockpiled to a height not exceeding 1.5 m and for a period not exceeding 6 months; | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Topsoil will be stockpiled outside of the 1:100 year floodline, outside of natural drainage lines and not within 500 m of a watercourse. | | | | | |
| | | Areas prone to Aeolian or hydrological erosion are to be avoided as locations for topsoil stockpiles; | | | | | |
| | | To prevent compaction and loss of soil structure no vehicles or equipment will be allowed to drive over or park on top of the stockpiles; and | | | | | |
| | | To prevent the establishment of a seedbank of alien and invasive plant species within the topsoil stockpile, the stockpile will be regularly check and removed of said alien and invasive plant species. | | | | | |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Soil erosion | | No delineation drilling or test well drilling must take place within 500 m of steep slopes (gradients greater than 1:10) and/or watercourses | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | Topsoil will be stockpiled outside of the 1:100 year floodline, outside of natural drainage lines and not within 500 m of a watercourse. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Areas prone to aeolian or hydrological driven erosion are to be avoided as locations for topsoil stockpiles. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -7.5 (Low) | To prevent compaction and loss of soil structure no vehicles or equipment will be allowed to drive over or park on top of the stockpiles. | -7.8 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Site clearance must be kept to a minimum and natural vegetation retained as far as is practically possible. | - | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Areas with existing stability issues must be avoided. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | If necessary topsoil stockpiles will be provided with silt fence around the perimeter of the foot of the stockpile. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspectior |
| | | Any evidence of erosion, scouring, sedimentation, and/or undercutting must be rectified and rehabilitated immediately. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |
| Alteration of natural topography | | All excavations must be planned and the number of excavations determined prior to commencement. No unnecessary excavations are to be undertaken | | Pre- commencem ent/Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -13.7 (Medium) | On completion of exploration activities the site is to be ripped and returned as far as is practically possible to the pre-exploration condition. | -7.5 (Low) | Post exploration activity | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | (includin) | All material, including overburden must be backfilled in the correct order to any excavations such as those undertaken for the sumps. | | Post exploration activity | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Backfilling operations must be undertaken with an aim to return the post exploration site's topography to that of the pre-exploration condition. | | Post exploration activity | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Disturbance, damage and destruction of heritage features | | The area to be subject to delineation drilling and test well drilling must be clearly delineated and screened for heritage and cultural features/items by the appointed ECO prior to commencement. | | Ongoing | Applicant | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -10.5 | No delineation drilling and test well drilling will be undertaken within 200 m of any known cultural or heritage features including (but not limited to) graves, cemeteries, iron age walling or structures older than 60 years. All known cultural or heritage features within the work area is to be cordoned off and demarcated as a no go area. | -11 | Ongoing | Applicant | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | (Medium) | If any cultural or heritage feature is discovered the feature is to be cordoned off and left undisturbed. Exploration activity must cease immediately and the applicant or ECO must contact SAHRA and the landowner and await further instruction from the agency prior to recommencement. | (Medium) | Ongoing | Applicant | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | It is at the discretion of the appointed ECO that other features may be deemed sensitive or cultural/heritage features and that delineation drilling and test well drilling must be conducted a minimum of 200 m away from these features. | | Ongoing | Applicant | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | If new access roads or access tracks are required SAHRA is to be contacted prior to commencement and any condition issued by the authority adhered to. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |
| Soil pollution and contamination | | Concrete required for drill pads or bunding shall only be mixed on mortar boards, plastic trays or liners and not directly on the ground. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | Visible remains of concrete, either solid or from washings shall be physically removed immediately and disposed of as waste. Solid concrete waste will be treated as inert construction rubble but wet cement and liquid slurry (including cement powder) will be treated as hazardous waste. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |
| | | The applicant and contractor shall make use of ready mix concrete where practically possible. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | | Bunded areas shall be utilised and allow for adequate storage (110% of the volume stored) of any hazardous good including petroleum storage tanks. Bunding shall also be made water proof through the application of a non-toxic water proofing agent. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -14 (Medium) | Bunded storage areas shall be either provided with an oil separator or sump. Waste from spillages can then be removed and recycled or disposed of responsibly. | -12.3 (Medium) | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Plastic sheeting of 1000 microns thick or a cement slab that extends 0.5 m beyond the area occupied by the rig must be installed prior to commencement of delineation drilling and test well drilling. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Olephillic (oil attracting) and hydrophobic (water repelling) ABMAT's must be placed on top of the concrete padding or plastic sheeting at areas prone to spillages or leaks. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Impermeable liners or trays must be installed beneath any equipment which may leak or accidentally discharge hazardous substances. This includes the vehicles and other diesel operated equipment or machinery. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | All equipment including drill rigs, vehicles and heavy machinery must be kept in good working order and serviced regularly. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | In the event of an accidental spill, the soil must be excavated to the depth of ingress (minimum 30 cm), removed and temporarily stored as hazardous waste for final disposal at a licensed hazardous waste facility. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | For minor spills the soil must be excavated to the depth of ingress (minimum 30 cm) and remediated in the following manner: Add SUMPCLEAN at a concentration of 500 mg per square m; Dissolve SUMPKLEAN by the addition of water; Allow SUMPKLEAN to oxidise and then apply SOILCLEAN to the contaminated area. SUMPCLEAN is a product designed to flocculate oil and suspend solids allowing for removal of oil from the soil and replenishment of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD); and SOILCLEAN is a product that serves as a dual purpose oil/fuel absorbent and readily breaks down Petro Hydro-Carbons. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Adequate numbers of spill response kits must be placed within the work area and clearly demarcated. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Where practically possible it is recommended that only environmentally friendly drilling fluids are used that are both non-toxic and biodegradable if available. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Petroleum recovered must be stored in appropriate, sealed tanks. These storage tanks must be regularly checked for leaks. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ground and surface water pollution and contamination | | Due to the presence of dolomite within the proposed exploration area, specifically Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld, a dolomite screening assessment must be undertaken prior to locating and commencing exploration activities. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Once off | Geotechnical screening |
| | | No delineation drilling or test well drilling will be undertaken within the 1:100 year flood line or within 500 m from a water course including wetlands. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | All sumps must be lined with an impermeable layer (1000 microns thick) to prevent water used in delineation drilling and test well drilling from entering the ground and surface water regimes. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Sludge from the sumps must be stored and disposed of at a licensed waste facility. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool | |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | All water used during drilling must, where possible, be recycled and contained in a closed system for re-use. | | Ongoig | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection | |
| | | No hazardous substances are permitted to come into direct contact with ground and surface water resources. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection | |
| | | Runoff from the site must be free of oil and waste and litter before entering the environment. This will be ensured by securing any hazardous substances, in order that it does not enter runoff, and by cleaning up any refuse and construction material from the site. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection | |
| | | Environmentally friendly drilling fluids must be used. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection | |
| | -18.7 (Medium) | Wet cement and slurry will only be mixed and placed on mortar boards, plastic trays or liners and not directly onto the ground. | -16 (Medium) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection | |
| | | Bunded areas shall be utilised and allow for adequate storage (110% of the volume stored) of any hazardous good including petroleum storage tanks. Bunding shall also be made water proof through the application of a non-toxic water proofing agent. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection | |
| | | Bunded storage areas shall be either provided with an oil separator or sump. Waste from spillages can then be removed and recycled or disposed of responsibly. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection | |
| | | All boreholes drilled will be cased in bentonite a concrete mix to prevent possible cross aquifer contamination. | | On completion of borehole | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection and confirmation from drilling contractor | |
| | | Multiple aquifers will be isolated from each other through the use of cement plugs if required. | | | On completion of borehole | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection and confirmation from drilling contractor |
| | | 3 pre and post exploration ground water quality samples will be collected. If post exploration samples indicate any pollution or contamination as a result of exploration activities the follow up sampling will be undertaken to confirm. | | Pre- commencem ent and completion of borehole | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Sample data | |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|--|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | If pollution or contamination of ground or surface water resources occurs and is confirmed then the applicant will notify the landowner/lawful occupier and the DWA, The applicant will then consult and negotiate compensation with the landowner and comply with the requirements as issued by the DWA. | | As required | Applicant | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier & authority notification |
| | | Machinery equipment used must be adequately maintained so that during operations it does not spill oil, diesel, fuel, or hydraulic fluid. All machinery and equipment must be inspected regularly (daily) to ensure that it is in good working condition, clean, and free from leaks of oil, petrol, diesel, hydraulic fluid and contaminating compounds. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Any machinery or equipment that may leak, and does not have to be transported regularly, shall be placed on watertight drips trays to catch any potential spillages of pollutants. The drip trays shall be of a size that the equipment can be placed inside it. Daily inspections shall be carried out to ensure such spill prevention measures are in place and remain effective. Drip trays shall be cleaned regularly and shall not be allowed to overflow. All spilled hazardous substances must be collected and adequately disposed of at a suitably licensed facility. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Appropriate measures must be implemented to ensure that rainwater does not run into areas containing cement, oil, diesel etc. as this could result in a pollution threat. Storage areas for these substances should be placed on high-lying ground, and surrounded by erosion control measures. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |
| Increased use of ground and surface water | | Due to the presence of dolomite within the proposed exploration area, specifically Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld, a dolomite screening assessment must be undertaken prior to locating and commencing exploration activities. | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Once off | Geotechnical screening |
| | | Water for delineation drilling and test well drilling will be sourced externally and trucked to site for use. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection/Recor ds kept |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | If further water is required then the use of ground water from boreholes will be negotiated with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier prior to use. Compensation for water use must also be negotiated. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification and consent |
| | | Any abstraction must be undertaken from a legal and approved source within the parameters of relevant abstraction permits or license requirements. Ground water must only be abstracted from registered boreholes and must not exceed 10 000 L per drill rig/day. | -9.6 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Permit conditions |
| | -15 (Medium) | If groundwater is to be used then borehole pump and flow test information must be obtained prior to determining which borehole can accommodate the water requirements of the delineation drilling and test well drilling. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Updated Borehole data |
| | | Water used in the drilling process must, where possible, be contained in a closed and lined system to avoid water loss through evaporation. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Information on volumes used per day must be kept on site and reasonable measures implemented to reduce water use volumes throughout exploration. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Water use logs |
| | | No delineation drilling or test well drilling will be undertaken within the 1:100 year flood line or within 500 m from a water course including wetlands | | Pre- commencem ent | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | | | | | | |
| Generation of nuisance dust | | Speed limits of 40 km per hour shall be adhered to within the exploration area at all times. Heavy vehicles should where possible use existing tarred roads and avoid dirt roads. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Retain as much vegetation as is practically possible around work areas and reduce vegetation clearance to a minimum. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -9 (Low) | When excessive dust is noted necessary dust control methods shall be implemented such as dampening down of exposed surfaces with watercarts/sprinklers. | -7.8 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Products such as Dust-a –Cide shall be used to reduce demand on water for dust suppression and considered at the discretion of the ECO. | | As required | Applicant & Contractor | As required | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | Exploration activities shall only be conducted during stipulated work times. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection/Comp laints register |
| | | The stipulated buffers of 200 m from existing infrastructure shall be adhered to at all times unless agreed to with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier or authority. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | | | | | | |
| Generation of nuisance noise | | No delineation drilling or test well drilling shall take place within 200 m of an existing residential dwelling without written approval from the relevant landowner/lawful occupier. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | Landowners/lawful occupiers must be provided with a schedule of exploration activities prior to commencement and updated through the exploration project. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Land owner/lawful occupier notifications |
| | -9 (Low) | Only one delineation drilling programme of test well drilling programme may be conducted at one time. | -8 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | Delineation drilling and test well drilling is restricted to working hours of 07:00am – 17:00pm on weekdays and from 07:00am – 13:00pm on Saturdays. No work is to be done on Sundays. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | The applicant and contractor shall ensure that employees do not make unnecessary uncontrolled noise and restrict employees to the work area only. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | Noise levels due to exploration activities should not exceed 45 dBa (measured in accordance with SANS 10103) during operation for noise receptors 200 m away. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | If required, the applicant should consider the use of acoustic screens to reduce noise generated or as directed by a sensitive receptor. | | As required | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sense of place | | No delineation drilling or test well drilling shall take place within 200 m of existing residential dwellings or other infrastructure (including land uses) except with written permission from the relevant landowner/lawful occupier or authority. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Meas urement |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | Where possible, delineation drilling and test well drilling locations should avoid sensitive landuse areas such as orchards, game farms, crop fields, pens/boma's or feedlots. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -11 (Medium) | Landowners/lawful occupiers must be provided with a schedule of exploration activities prior to commencement and updated through the exploration project. | -7.8 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Land owner/lawful occupier notifications |
| | (Medium) | Only one delineation drilling or test well drilling programme may be conducted at one time and the site must be rehabilitated and said rehabilitation approved by the relevant landowner/lawful occupier prior to commencement of a new site. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Delineation drilling and test well drilling is restricted to working hours of 07:00am – 17:00pm on weekdays and from 07:00am – 13:00pm on Saturdays. No work is to be done on Sundays. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | Vegetation clearance must always be kept to a minimum. | - | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | Delineation drilling and test well drilling must be scheduled so as to not interfere, impede or inhibit land uses. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| Generation and disposal of waste | | The Applicant and/or Contractor(s) shall implement a refuse control and removal system that prevents the spread of refuse within and beyond the site. Refuse refers to all solid waste, including debris (cement bags, wrapping material, cans, wire, nails, etc.), waste and surplus food, food packaging, organic waste etc. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | | The waste management system shall provide for adequate waste storage (in the form of scavenger proof bins with lids) and frequent removal of non- recyclable waste for permanent disposal at an appropriately licensed waste disposal facility. No waste material is to be disposed of on site. Under no circumstances may there be any burial of waste on the site. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | All refuse shall be disposed of in refuse bins which shall be emptied on a weekly basis. These bins must be adequate in number and accessibility. Refuse bins shall be watertight, wind-proof and scavenger proof and shall be appropriately placed throughout the site and shall also be conspicuous). | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | -12.5 (Medium) | Refuse must also be protected from rain, which may cause pollutants to leach out. Particular caution is to be exercised with regards to handling of hazardous waste, to ensure that it does not spill or leak from the waste collection containers. | -8.1 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | The total capacity of hazardous waste storage shall not exceed 35m ³ . In the event that a larger storage volume of hazardous waste is expected or planned for, the necessary waste permits must be obtained in accordance with the NEMWA beforehand (GN718). | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | The total volume of general waste stored shall not exceed 100m ³ . In the case that a storage capacity exceeding this amount is required or planned for, the necessary waste permits must be obtained in accordance with the NEMWA beforehand (GN718). | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | The appointed waste removal company shall truck refuse collected out of the site. Refuse may not be burned or buried on, or near the adjacent properties (nor on any other properties that are not specifically registered for such activity). | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection |
| | | EMP - DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PH | IASE: REHAB | ILITATION | | | |
| Interference with existing land uses | | The applicant shall inform the landowner/lawful occupier of the date, times and number of employees that will undertake rehabilitation activities in their respective properties and permission gained before entry. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | -11 | The applicant shall comply with relevant farm access protocols provided by the relevant Agricultural Union or those provided to the applicant by the landowner/lawful occupier. | -5.2 (Low) | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Visual inspection |
| | (Medium) | Rehabilitation planned will be undertaken according to the rehabilitation plan provided in this EMPlan unless otherwise directed by a landowner/lawful occupier or authority | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Monthly | Visual inspection/EMPI an review |

| Identified Impact | Pre- Mitigation Significanc e | Technical Management Option/Mitigation Measures | Final Significan ce | Timeframe | Responsible Party | Monitoring Frequency | Monitoring Tool |
|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | Rehabilitation activities, schedule and planned monitoring of rehabilitation will be communicated to relevant landowners/lawful occupiers prior to commencement with rehabilitation. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Daily | Landowner/lawf ul occupier notification |
| | | Should rehabilitation activities and monitoring interfere, impede or inhibit planned landuses the applicant shall notify, consult and negotiate compensation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier for land "sterilised" during the rehabilitation process. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Weekly | Visual inspection/Land owner/lawful occupier notification and consent |
| | | Rehabilitation will be undertaken to the satisfaction of the relevant landowner/lawful occupier and approved by the ECO. | | Ongoing | Applicant & Contractor | Monthly | Visual inspection/Land owner/lawful occupier notification and consent |
| | | | | | | | |

©ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MANAGEMENT SERVICES (PTY) LTD

6.1 PLANNED MONITORING AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

The success of the EMPlan is dependent on implementation of the technical management options/mitigation measures presented in the table above and by the stipulated responsible parties. In addition to implementation, monitoring of impacts is also required. As such the following impacts identified and assessed require monitoring programmes:

- Safety and security risks to landowners/lawful occupiers;
- Interference with existing land uses;
- Loss of natural vegetation;
- Displacement, injury and death of local fauna;
- Overall reduction in biodiversity;
- Soil erosion;
- Disturbance to the soil profile;
- Soil contamination and pollution;
- Disturbance, damage and destruction of potential heritage features;
- Ground and surface water contamination and pollution;
- Water use;
- Risk of fires;
- Noise nuisance;
- Dust nuisance; and
- Waste generation and disposal.

The functional requirements of monitoring are detailed in the table below and (but is not limited to) monitoring of compliance to the technical management options/mitigation measures for each impact identified and assessed. Furthermore the applicant must take undertake monitoring on a continuous basis and prepare annual performance assessments to be submitted to PASA for review.

The annual performance assessment must comply with the requirements of Regulation 55 of the MPRDA. The assessment will report on the degree of compliance or non-compliance of the activities against the specific requirements as provided in this EMPlan. It is further recommended

that the applicant appoint a suitably qualified, independent individual to act as an Environmental Control Officer (ECO) to undertake monthly compliance monitoring and reporting. This will allow for the compilation of a detailed environmental performance assessment which in turn will be submitted once yearly to PASA.

Whilst every reasonable effort has been made to identify and assess all likely impacts it is possible that unanticipated impacts are likely to occur. In the event that unanticipated impacts are experienced the onus is on the applicant and appointed. ECO to update the EMPlan and design new mitigation measures to manage said impacts. These impacts will also be reported on in the annual performance assessment.

Table 16: Functional Requirements of Monitoring

| Impact | Aspect | Method | Standard | Frequency of Monitoring | Non Compliance Procedure |
|---|----------|---|----------|---|---|
| Safety and security risks to landowners/lawful occupiers | Social | Inform landowners in writing of intent and comply with reasonable request to reduce the impact. All drill sites and excavations must be fenced off All labourers must be South African and pass criminal check | EMPlan | Prior to access to property Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Rectify immediately and consult with landowners/lawful occupiers |
| | | | | I | E |
| Interference with existing land uses | Land use | Inform landowners in writing of intent and comply with reasonable request to reduce the impact. Negotiate compensation for interference with landowner/lawful occupier Visual confirmation of rehabilitation Approval of rehabilitation by landowner/lawful occupier | EMPlan | Prior to access to property Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Rectify immediately and consult with landowners/lawful occupiers |
| | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Loss of natural vegetation | Flora | Site clearance to be kept to a minimum No removal, disturbance or pruning of large to medium shrubs or tress In Vaal Vet Sandy Grassland a screening assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified specialist Visual marking of sensitive species and areas | EMPlan | Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Minimise site clearance Relocate disturbed species Fence off exploration site |

| Impact | Aspect | Method | Standard | Frequency of Monitoring | Non Compliance Procedure |
|---|--------------------|--|----------|--|---|
| Displacement, injury and death of local fauna | Fauna | Site clearance to be kept to a minimum In Vaal Vet Sandy Grassland a screening assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified specialist Visual marking of sensitive species and areas Visual inspection of fencing and/or other safety measures On site log to be kept Site clearance to be kept to a minimum | EMPlan | Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised Prior to site establishment. | Minimise site clearance Relocate disturbed species Injured animals must be taken to a suitable wildlife rehabilitation centre Fence off exploration site |
| Overall reduction in biodiversity | Flora and Fauna | In Vaal Vet Sandy Grassland a screening assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified specialist Visual marking of sensitive species and areas Visual inspection of fencing and/or other safety measures On site log to be kept | EMPlan | During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Minimise site clearance Relocate disturbed species Fence off exploration site Injured animals must be taken to a suitable wildlife rehabilitation centre |
| Soil erosion | Soil | Visual confirmation of soil erosion | | Prior to site establishment. | |
| Disturbance to the soil profile | Soil | controls, soil profile disturbance and topsoil management where required. | EMPlan | During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Rectify immediately and report |

| Impact | Aspect | Method | Standard | Frequency of Monitoring | Non Compliance Procedure |
|--|--------------------------|---|----------|--|--|
| Soil contamination and pollution | Soil | Visual inspection of exploration site Visual inspection of equipment and vehicles Visual inspection of drip pan/trays | EMPlan | During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Spill response kit should be utilised to mitigate accidental spills. All spills and contamination events must be recorded and the degree of contamination or pollution noted during reporting |
| Disturbance, damage and destruction of potential heritage features | Heritage | Visual inspection of exploration site Specialist consultant required if any heritage features are discovered and impacted by exploration operations Suitably qualified specialist required if exploration site to be disturbed exceeds 500 km² | EMPlan | Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Exploration activity must be halted if any heritage features are discovered Heritage features discovered must be reported to SAHRA Applicant must await correspondence from SAHRA prior to re-commencement on site impacted upon |
| | | | | | |
| Ground and surface water contamination and pollution | Ground and surface water | Establish exploration site further than 200m away from any water resources. Due to the presence of dolomite within the proposed application area, specifically in Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland a dolomite screening assessment must be undertaken prior to locating and commencing exploration operations Visual inspection of drill cement/bentonite casing Water quality of boreholes tested pre-drilling and post drilling | EMPlan | Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | All instances of water contamination or pollution must be recorded If ground water is polluted the applicant must negotiate compensation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupier |

| Impact | Aspect | Method | Standard | Frequency of Monitoring | Non Compliance Procedure |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------|--|---|
| Increased Water use | Ground and surface water | Drill rig/excavator equipped with drip pan/tray All sumps lined with impermeable lining Compliance with GN704 of the National Water Act (Act No. 36 of 1998) Establish exploration site further than 200m away from any water resources. Due to the presence of dolomite within the proposed application area, specifically in Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland a dolomite screening assessment must be undertaken prior to locating and commencing exploration operations Water use must be recorded and details continuously updated If any make up water is required it must be sourced from a registered or licensed legal water use as per the requirements of Section 21 of the NWA | EMPlan | Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | All exploration operation sited within 200 m of a water resource must be relocated If water use is illegal it must be reported to the Department of Water Affairs and the exploration operation halted |
| | | | | | Record incident (if any) |
| Risk of fires | Social | Inform landowners in writing of intent and comply with reasonable request to reduce the impact. Optional Fire insurance in place Make sure fire prevention and suppression equipment is in place at exploration sites. | EMPlan | Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Record incident (if any) and negotiate compensation with landowner/lawful occupier Consult with landowners/lawful occupiers prior to initiating a new exploration site |

| Impact | Aspect | Method | Standard | Frequency of Monitoring | Non Compliance Procedure |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|----------|--|--|
| Waste generation and disposal | Waste | Visual inspection that waste does not accumulate inside or outside drill site. Waste must be placed in scavenger proof bins All waste such as oil spills must be stored separately and disposed of at a registered facility Proof of disposal must be kept on site. | EMPlan | Prior to site establishment. During exploration activities EMP checklist will be compiled and utilised | Exploration operation must be halted until waste is removed and disposed of correctly |

6.2 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE EXECUTION OF MONITORING PROGRAMMES

The successful application of environmental monitoring requires the delineation of clear roles and responsibilities. According to Regulation 55 of the MPDRA regulations compliance with the EMPlan must be monitored on a continuous basis. A performance assessment report will be submitted to PASA after each year of exploration operations and a final performance assessment report will be submitted before the application for closure. The holder of the exploration right may appoint an independent qualified person for the monitoring and to compile a report, but the responsibilities remain the holder's. The applicant is responsible for undertaking continuous environmental monitoring on the aspects identified above in Table 17.

Table 17: Roles and Responsibilities

| Responsible Party | Roles/Responsibilities |
|-------------------|--|
| | Appointment of ECO |
| Applicant | Provision of EMPIan to Contractor and ECO |
| | Enforcement of technical management options/mitigation measures |
| | Annual update of financial provisions and revision of EMPlan |
| | Submission of EMPIan performance assessment |
| | |
| | Review of EMPIan technical management options/mitigation measures |
| Contractor | Implementation of technical management options/mitigation measures |
| | Enforcement of technical management options/mitigation measures |
| | Reporting of unanticipated impacts |
| | |
| | Environmental compliance monitoring |
| ECO | Technical evaluation of EMPlan |
| | Reporting of unanticipated impacts |
| | Revision of EMPlan |
| | Compilation of EMPlan performance assessments |
| | |

6.3 COMMITTED TIME FRAMES FOR MONITORING AND REPORTING

The result of environmental monitoring and compliance to the approved EMPlan will be undertaken yearly and submitted to PASA in the form of an environmental performance assessment. Included in the report will be the following relevant information:

- The period when the performance assessment was conducted;
- The scope of the assessment;
- The procedures used for conducting the assessment;
- Interpreted information gained from monitoring the EMP;
- Evaluation criteria used during the assessment;
- Results of the assessment are to be discussed and mention must be made of any gaps in the EMP and how it can be rectified; and
- Yearly update layout plans.

Any emergency or unforeseen impacts will be reported immediately to PASA and other relevant government departments.

6.4 ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS PLAN

6.4.1 EMPLOYEE COMMUNICATION PROCESS

Bi-monthly (every two months) Health and Safety meetings will be held where relevant issues regarding health, safety and environment are discussed and feedback is given. Environmental awareness training will be incorporated into a compulsory 'Tool box talks' that include training and awareness of health and safety issues as well.

6.4.2 **DESCRIPTION OF SOLUTION OT RISKS**

The provisions stipulated in the EMPlan coupled with the "Tool box talks" will adequately mitigate most environmental risks likely to be experienced by the proposed exploration operation. It is however important to note that the EMPlan and the conditions stipulated herein are part of a "living document" that through the process of yearly performance assessments will be revised and updated as required. This will include the addition of more discussion topics and information provision in terms of environmental as well as health and safety awareness.

6.4.3 ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING

Environmental awareness training needs should be identified before the project commences, based on the available and existing capacity of site and project personnel (including the applicant and Contractors) to undertake the required EMPlan management actions and monitoring activities. It is vital that all personnel are adequately trained to perform their designated tasks to an acceptable standard. In addition to these parties, general environmental awareness must be fostered among the general workforce to encourage the implementation of environmentally sound practices.

This ensures that environmental accidents are minimized and environmental compliance maximized. Environmental awareness could be fostered by induction course for all workers on site, before commencing work on site, as well as during regular "toolbox talks". Workers should also be alerted to particular environmental concerns associated with their tasks for the area/habitat in which they are working. Courses must be given by suitably qualified personnel and in a language and medium understood by workers/employees. The environmental awareness training programme will include the following:

- 1. Occupational Health and Safety Training (OHS);
- 2. Personal Protection Equipment Training (PPE); and
- 3. Environmental Awareness Training EMPlan management actions.

Environmental awareness training will focus on the following specific aspects and be undertaken bi- monthly (every two months) in 2 - 4 hour "Tool box talk "topics:

- 1. Site preparation and vegetation clearance;
- 2. Local flora and fauna;
- 3. Biodiversity and its importance;
- 4. Soil and its importance;
- 5. Soil contamination/pollution and remediation;
- 6. Water contamination/pollution and remediation;
- 7. Dust nuisance;
- 8. Noise nuisance; and
- 9. EMPlan management options and application.

7 REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE

7.1 ALIGNMENT OF REHABILITATION WITH CLOSURE OBJECTIVES

The closure objective is to return the area, specifically those areas disturbed, to the preexploration conditions or as close as is practically possible to the pre-exploration condition. As such, the rehabilitation plan is aimed at re-instating the pre-exploration environment, specifically surface areas disturbed by delineation drilling and test well operations unless a separate agreement/land use is requested by the relevant landowner/lawful occupier on which the activities took place. In such cases, the relevant provisions of other applicable legislation must be considered prior to development, implementation and monitoring of the rehabilitation plan prior to application.

The intended end use for the disturbed exploration areas and the closure objectives must be defined in consultation with the relevant landowner/lawful occupiers. Proof of such consultation and landowner/lawful occupier confirmation that the rehabilitation is satisfactory must submitted together with the Application for Closure Certificate. If no special agreements have been made with landowners and approved of by PASA, all areas affected or disturbed by exploration and associated activities will be rehabilitated to as close as is practically possible to an undulating plains of open to closed frost tolerant woodland with a well-developed shrub layers and open to closed grassland indicative of the vegetation units in which the operation occurs.

The goal of the rehabilitation plan and its consequent closure objective will be to re-instate landform, land use and vegetation units to the same as before exploration operations took place. As such, the rehabilitation plan will be aligned to the closure objective stated above in that the environment will be rehabilitated as closely as possible to pre-exploration conditions unless another condition is specified and agreed upon with the relevant landowner and/or lawful occupier of the property on which exploration activities took place.

7.2 REHABILITATION PLAN

The rehabilitation plan for the proposed exploration operation is to return the receiving environment to, as far as is practically possible, the pre-exploration condition unless specifically requested by a relevant landowner/lawful occupier to rehabilitate the area toward another goal. The pre-exploration condition is considered an undulating plain of open to closed frost tolerant woodland with a well-developed shrub layers including open to closed grassland dominated by

trees, shrubs and grasses. In order to achieve this, the rehabilitation plan is comprised of (3) three broad phases described below:

7.2.1 PHASE 1 – MAKING SAFE

Following decommissioning the exploration area will be cleaned as per the EMPlan conditions and sections to be rehabilitated made safe. This involves undertaking and completing the following tasks:

- Breakdown and removal of all cement pads and bunded storage walls;
- All sludge from the sumps will be removed, including the sump liner and disposed of at a registered waste facility;
- Collaring and capping of all boreholes drilled;
- Check and maintain all boreholes casing;
- Check and maintain all aquifer isolation cement plugs;
- Removal of petroleum storage tanks to either a refinery or registered hazardous waste facility. Particular care will be required with any further toxic or hazardous materials;
- Removal of all rubbish and debris for final disposal at an appropriately registered waste facility;
- Backfill all excavations; and
- Restrict and prevent public access to site.

7.2.2 PHASE 2 – LANDFORM DESIGN, EROSION CONTROL AND RE-VEGETATION

Once phase 1 is complete the rehabilitation effort can be directed toward final landform design, erosion controls and re-vegetation. The re-shaping and re-grading of an impacted site is essential for rehabilitation and closure to take place. Unless slopes and surfaces have been stabilised the effectiveness of subsequent rehabilitation and re-vegetation is greatly reduced and maintenance will be prolonged. Final landform design will consider the following factors:

- Erosion potential of materials on site;
- Recognition of pre-exploration environment;
- Alignment with existing topographical features;
- A preference for shallow, less erodible slopes;

- Slope angles and lengths to be visually compatible with the surrounding area and stable under local rainfall patterns and erosion processes;
- Only where limitations prevent the construction of stable slopes will contour benches or similar erosion control measures be considered;
- The drainage pattern for the overall site will be planned as part of the overall landscaping, with drainage patterns and densities monitored during the operational phase; and
- Where possible, rainfall infiltration will be encouraged.

Rehabilitation is aimed at establishing adequate cover of non-erodible materials or vegetation so as to stabilise the site and prevent and control erosion to those of naturally occurring levels. Wherever natural vegetation has already established a cover of a density and diversity comparable to the surrounding landscape, no further re-vegetation or erosion control will be implemented. Wherever non-erosive rock material is available as cover it will be used, alternatively re-vegetation of slopes will be done using native seed from the seedbank created prior to exploration.

All areas where topsoil or vegetation has been removed and/or where soils have been compacted or covered will be ripped or ploughed to a depth of 300 mm. All areas otherwise disturbed or impacted will be ripped or ploughed to a depth of 100 mm. Once all disturbed areas have been prepared and shaped, the establishment of vegetation can proceed.

While seeding and transplanting of nursery-raised seedlings (see below) are the preferred revegetation method, use can also be made of rescued plants from impacted areas, transplanting from areas not impacted as well as propagation of plants from soil biota. The species selected for the re-vegetation of each specific area will be informed by the species composition of the various vegetation units within which the exploration operation occurs. Re-vegetation can only take place during suitable seasons (end of winter, spring) and, concurrent with re-vegetation the removal of all remaining alien and invasive vegetation left on the property is recommended.

7.2.3 PHASE 3 – MONITORING, MAINTENANCE AND RELINQUISHMENT

Once the final landform design has been established and stabilized through re-vegetation the exploration sites will require a period of monitoring to verify the success or otherwise of the rehabilitation program. The length of the monitoring period will be determined in consultation with the appropriate landowners and/or lawful occupiers and would take the form of periodic inspections by the ECO, but is generally assumed to last for at least 1 year. The parameters that may be monitored after rehabilitation should subject to agreement with the landowner and/or lawful occupier include the following:

- The continued safety of the site;
- The establishment and growth of plants including the return of species not planted as part of re-vegetation;
- The percentage of ground cover and species composition;
- The return of native fauna (where eco-system restoration is intended);
- soil fertility; and
- Evidence of land erosion or land degradation.

Maintenance that may be required in addition to rehabilitating any failed areas includes

- Fencing to control access by grazing animals onto rehabilitated areas
- Pest and weed control

Where reworking becomes necessary as a result of re-vegetation not performing adequately, this work will be undertaken in in consultation with the landowners and/or lawful occupiers. Components of the success criteria of the rehabilitation plan include:

- Physical (stability, resistance to erosion, re-establishment of drainage);
- Biological (species richness, plant diversity, seed production, fauna return);
- Land use options; and
- Public safety issues.

Once monitoring and maintenance has determined that rehabilitation is successful the relevant landowner/lawful occupier must be informed and allowed to inspect the rehabilitated area. If satisfied, the relevant landowner/lawful occupier must then provide the applicant with a form describing their satisfaction with the rehabilitation undertaken and concluded. This form, in conjunction with the requirements for a Closure Application must then be compiled and submitted to the PASA. If dissatisfied, rehabilitation must then be undertaken and monitored as per the conditions stipulated by the relevant landowner/lawful occupier until such a time that the area is deemed satisfactorily rehabilitated.

8 FINANCIAL PROVISION

8.1 PLANS FOR QUANTUM CALCULATIONS

The quantum for financial provision was calculated using the DMR's preferred methodology and guideline document titled "Guideline Document for the Evaluation of the Quantum of Closure-Related Financial Provision Provided by a Mine (2005)".

Using the guideline document and the DMR's preferred methodology the quantum for financial provision for the proposed exploration operation was calculated. The calculation is included in the EMPIan and is detailed in Section 8.2.

8.2 QUANTUM CALCULATIONS

The calculation for the financial provision is presented below. The calculation is based on the DMR Guideline for Financial Provision (2005). The calculation is based on (5) diamond drilled boreholes and (2) diamond drilled test wells equating to a total disturbed area of approximately 325 m^2 . The exploration techniques mentioned above are in alignment with the EWP previously submitted to PASA. The amount calculated for the financial provisions will be updated annually.

| Exploration Mineral: Hydro- Carbons | | Environmental Sensitivity: Low - Medium | | Level of Information Available: Limited | |
|--|--|---|--------------|---|-------------|
| Item | Description | Unit | Quantit y | Rate | Amount |
| 1 | General surface rehabilitation | ha | 0.395 | 100000 | R 39,500.00 |
| | | | | | |
| 2 | Rehabilitation of existing access roads | m | 2000 | 20 | R 40,000.00 |
| | | | | | |
| 3 | Repairs to damages to fences | m | 1000 | 15 | R 15,000.00 |
| | | | | | |
| 4 | Water management (water cart/sprinkler or bowzer) | ha | 0.395 | 100000 x(Multiplication factor of 0.325) | R 39,500.00 |
| | | | | | |

Table 18: Quantum Calculation

©ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT MANAGEMENT SERVICES (PTY) LTD

| Exploration Mineral: Hydro- Carbons | | Environmental Sensitivity: Low - Medium | | Level of Information Available: Limited | |
|--|--|---|------|--|--------------|
| 5 | Removal and disposal of waste | Each site | 9 | 4,500 | R 40,500.00 |
| | | I | | | |
| 6 | Removal of erosion and sediment controls | m ³ | 200 | 150 | R 30, 000.00 |
| | _ | | - | | |
| 8 | Sealing and casing of boreholes | m | 1000 | 90 | R 90,000.00 |
| | | | | | |
| 9 | Topsoil replacement and shaping | m³ | 140 | 150 | R 21,000.00 |
| | | | | | |
| 10 | Re-vegetation | m³ | 140 | 150 | R 21,000.00 |
| | | | | | |
| 11 | Maintenance and aftercare | ha | 100 | 900 | R 90,000.00 |
| | | | | • | · |
| | R 426,500.00 | | | | |
| | R 42,650.00 | | | | |
| | R 51,180.00 | | | | |
| | R 520,330.00 | | | | |

9 UNDERTAKING TO PROVIDE FINANCIAL PROVISION & EXECUTE THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

The applicant, African Carbon Energy (Pty) Ltd hereby undertakes to provide the financial provision as calculated in August 2013.

| Herewith I, the person whose name and identity number is stated below, confirm that I am the person authorised to act as representative of the applicant in terms of the undertaking to provide the financial provision as calculated in the EMPlan compiled in accordance with the guideline on the Departments official website and the directive in terms of sections 29 and 39 (5) of the MPRDA | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Full Names and Surname | Eliphus Monkoe | | | | | |
| Identity Number | | | | | | |