



# THE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY BASELINE & IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE MAINSTREAM STILFONTEIN GRID PROJECT

## Stilfontein, North West Province

April 2022 (Updated January 2023)

CLIENT

 **srk** consulting

Prepared by:

**The Biodiversity Company**

Cell: +27 81 319 1225

Fax: +27 86 527 1965

[info@thebiodiversitycompany.com](mailto:info@thebiodiversitycompany.com)

[www.thebiodiversitycompany.com](http://www.thebiodiversitycompany.com)



## Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	1
1.1.	Background .....	1
1.2.	Overview .....	1
1.3.	Report Structure .....	3
1.4.	Specialist Details .....	5
2.	Scope of Work.....	6
3.	Key Legislative Requirements.....	6
4.	Methods.....	7
4.1.	Project Area.....	7
4.2.	Desktop Assessment .....	9
4.3.	Field Survey .....	12
4.4.	Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance .....	14
5.	Assumptions and Limitations .....	16
6.	Results & Discussion .....	16
6.1.	Desktop Baseline .....	17
6.2.	Field Survey .....	31
7.	Habitat Assessment Site Ecological Importance .....	42
7.1.	Habitats Observed .....	42
7.2.	Site Ecological Importance.....	48
8.	Impact Risk Assessment.....	51
8.1.	Grid Project .....	51
8.2.	Mitigation Measures .....	53
8.3.	Cumulative Impact Assessment.....	56
9.	Conclusion & Specialist Opinion .....	58
9.1.	Impact Statement .....	58
10.	References .....	59
11.	Appendix A - Project Specific Results: Stilfontein Grid Connection.....	62
12.	Appendix B – Flora species expected to occur in the project area.....	69
13.	Appendix C – Amphibian species expected to occur in the project area.....	81
14.	Appendix D – Reptile species expected to occur in the project area.....	82
15.	Appendix E – Mammal species expected to occur within the project area.....	84
16.	Appendix F – Avifauna species expected to occur within the project area.....	87

17. Appendix G - Specialist Declarations and CVs ..... 94

## List of Tables

Table 3-1	A list of key legislative requirements relevant to biodiversity and conservation in the North West Province .....	6
Table 4-1	Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria.....	14
Table 4-2	Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria.....	14
Table 4-3	Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI) and Conservation Importance (CI).....	15
Table 4-4	Summary of Receptor Resilience (RR) criteria .....	15
Table 4-5	Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance (SEI) from Receptor Resilience (RR) and Biodiversity Importance (BI).....	16
Table 4-6	Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance (SEI) in the context of the development activities.....	16
Table 6-1	Summary findings of the relationship of the project area to ecologically important landscape features. ....	17
Table 6-2	Flora species of conservation concern that may occur within the project area .....	28
Table 6-3	Amphibians Species of conservation concern that may occur in the project area .....	30
Table 6-4	Reptile Species of conservation concern that may occur within the project area.....	30
Table 6-5	Mammal species of conservation concern that are expected to occur within the project area. ....	30
Table 6-6	Trees, shrub and herbaceous plant species recorded in the project area.....	32
Table 6-7	Summary of herpetofauna species recorded within the project area.....	40
Table 6-8	Summary of mammal species recorded within the project area .....	42
Table 7-1	Summary of habitat types delineated within the field assessment area of the project area. ....	49
Table 7-2	Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of the proposed development activities.....	49
Table 8-1	Calculations for the loss of habitats as a result of the project.....	57
Table 8-2	Table below lists approved / under investigation RE projects within 30 km, based on the DFFE Q3 2021 REEA database. ....	57
Table 8-3	Cumulative impact assessed .....	57
Table 21-1	SEI Summary of habitat types delineated within the project area .....	63

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Components included in individual BA processes for the Stilfontein Cluster .....	1
Figure 1-2	Location of the project area (Stilfontein Cluster).....	4
Figure 4-1	Map illustrating the layout of the project area .....	8

Figure 4-2	Map illustrating extent of area used to obtain the expected flora species list from the Plants of South Africa (POSA) database. The red squares are cluster markers of botanical records as per POSA data. The icon indicated the project area location.....	11
Figure 4-3	Examples of meanders achieved for the project area.....	13
Figure 6-1	Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status associated with the project area.....	18
Figure 6-2	Map illustrating the ecosystem protection level associated with the project area .....	19
Figure 6-3	Map illustrating the locations of CBA and ESA areas as relevant to the project area ..	20
Figure 6-4	Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status of river and wetland ecosystems in the project area .....	21
Figure 6-5	The project area in relation to the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area database .....	22
Figure 6-6	The project area in relation to the renewable energy database projects in the area (Source: DFFE Q3 2022 REEA database) .....	23
Figure 6-7	The project area in relation to the National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy areas.. .....	24
Figure 6-8	Map illustrating the Combined Terrestrial Biodiversity Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Web Based Screening Tool.....	25
Figure 6-9	Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the project area.....	26
Figure 6-10	Some of the plant species recorded in and around the project area: A) <i>Senecio inornatus</i> , B) <i>Boophone disticha</i> , C) <i>Crabbea angustifolia</i> , D) <i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i> , E) <i>Delosperma herbeum</i> and F) <i>Gladiolus permeabilis</i> .....	37
Figure 6-11	The location of Camel Thorn trees observed on-site .....	39
Figure 6-12	Photograph illustrating some of the Camel Thorns observed in the project area .....	39
Figure 6-13	Some of the reptile species recorded in and around the project area: A) Cape Gecko ( <i>Pachydactylus capensis</i> ), B) South African Marsh Terrapin ( <i>Pelomedusa galeata</i> ) .....	41
Figure 7-1	Some of the mammal species recorded in the project area, A) Red Hartebeest ( <i>Alcelaphus buselaphus caama</i> ), B) Cape Rock Hyrax ( <i>Procavia capensis</i> ), C) South African Ground Squirrel( <i>Xerus inauris</i> ), D) Blesbok ( <i>Damaliscus pygargus</i> ), E) Southern African Mole-rat ( <i>Cryptomys hottentotus</i> ), F) Meerkat ( <i>Suricata suricatta</i> ) & Springbok ( <i>Antidorcas marsupialis</i> ) and G) Greater Kudu ( <i>Tragelaphus strepsiceros</i> ) .....	43
Figure 7-2	Habitats identified in the overall project area of interest .....	44
Figure 7-3	Example of degraded habitat from the project area, with more woody plants .....	45
Figure 7-4	Exposed rock/dolomite are very common throughout the site, with more shrubs and herbaceous plants.....	45
Figure 7-5	The disturbed habitat that is an old agricultural field.....	46
Figure 7-6	A typical example the rocky area habitat from the project area.....	47
Figure 7-7	An example the wetland habitat from the project area.....	47
Figure 7-8	Some of the impacts observed in the project area; A) Wood harvesting, B) Alien invasive species, C) Livestock and D) Powerlines and fences .....	48

Figure 7-9	Ecological sensitivities in the Stilfontein Cluster project area .....	50
Figure 21-1	Map illustrating an overview of the Stilfontein on-site Substation .....	62
Figure 21-2	Map illustrating the fine-scale habitats of the Stilfontein on-site Substation .....	63
Figure 21-3	Sensitivity of the Stilfontein on-site Substation .....	64

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

The Biodiversity Company was commissioned to conduct a terrestrial biodiversity assessment for the individual photovoltaic (PV) facilities within the proposed Stilfontein PV Cluster development. South Africa Mainstream Renewable Power Developments (Pty) Ltd (Mainstream) proposes the construction and operation of nine PV facilities with up to 150 MW generation capacity each, including grid connections, battery energy storage system (BESS) and associated infrastructure. The project is located in the JB Marks and City of Matlosana Local Municipalities and Dr Kenneth Kaunda District Municipality in the North-West Province. The project site is located approximately 13 km east of the town of Stilfontein along the N12.

One site visit was conducted from the 21st to the 25th of February 2022, this constitutes a wet season survey. The purpose of the proposed development is to generate and sell electricity to Eskom as part of the Renewable Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP). Electricity will either be fed directly into the national grid or stored on-site in a BESS and fed into the grid when needed.

The approach was informed by the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (GNR 326, 7 April 2017, including subsequent amendments) of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and relevant Government Notices, as applicable. The approach has taken cognisance of the recently published Government Notices 320 (20 March 2020) in terms of NEMA, dated 20 March: “Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation” (Reporting Criteria). The National Web based Environmental Screening Tool has characterised the terrestrial sensitivity of the project area as “Very High”.

This report, after taking into consideration the findings and recommendations provided by the specialist herein, should inform and guide the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) and regulatory authorities, enabling informed decision making, as to the ecological viability of the project.

### 1.2. Overview

#### Stilfontein Cluster

The project forms part of the larger proposed Stilfontein PV Cluster, which comprises nine PV facilities each generating up to 150 MW, including grid connections, BESS and associated infrastructure. **Separate Environmental Authorisations (EA) applications will be submitted for the individual PV facilities and grid connections through separate BA processes** (see Figure 1-1). The Stilfontein Cluster is briefly described here.

The Stilfontein Cluster is entirely located within the Klerksdorp Renewable Energy Development Zones (REDZ) and the Central Strategic Transmission Corridor (STC).




Figure 1-1 Components included in individual BA processes for the Stilfontein Cluster

## PV Facilities

The Stilfontein Cluster comprises nine proposed PV facilities, each with a development area of ~220-405 ha: Spoonbill (Project 1), Sunbird (Project 2), Swallow (Project 3), Snipe (Project 4), Shrike (Project 5), Stilfontein (Project 6), Sparrow (Project 7), Starling (Project 8) and Swift (Project 9) (see Figure 4-1).

Each PV facility comprises the following key components:

- PV single axis tracking arrays with a maximum export capacity of up to 150 MW and a maximum height of 5 m. Panel technology will be either monofacial or bifacial;
- Internal gravel roads with a maximum width of up to 12 m;
- Power transformers;
- Fencing and lighting;
- Material laydown areas;
- Stormwater infrastructure;
- Water supply and water storage infrastructure;
- Offices, including ablutions with septic / conservancy tank sewage treatment infrastructure;
- Operational control centre and maintenance area;
- Lithium-Ion BESS;
- IPP-side of the 11-33/132kV on-site substation, each serving one PV facility. The proposed step-up substation facility will have a development footprint of up to 4 ha, with a 100 m wide buffer around each on-site substation to accommodate powerline tie-ins at any point of the substation and other associated activities. Two alternative locations are identified for each substation;
- Medium voltage 11-33kV underground cabling and / or overhead power lines between the PV facilities and on-site substation.

## Grid Connections

The Stilfontein Cluster, if fully developed, will include nine on-site substations, one Main Transmission Substation (MTS) and associated powerlines (see Figure 4-1):

- Nine 11-33/132kV on-site substations, each serving one PV facility. The proposed step-up substation facility will have a development footprint of up to 4 ha, with a 100 m wide buffer around each on-site substation to accommodate powerline tie-ins at any point of the substation and other associated activities. The substation will consist of an IPP portion (100m x 200m) and an Eskom portion (100m x 200m) that will make up the total 4 hectares assigned for the substation as per the assessment area. This report will cover the Eskom portion, as the IPP portion is covered in the facility avifaunal report as part of a separate environmental authorisation application. Two alternative locations are identified for each substation from which a preferred will be selected.
- 11-33kV underground cabling and overhead power lines between the PV facilities and the on-site substations;
- One 132/400kV Main Transmission Substation (MTS). The proposed step-up MTS will be developed within a ~36 ha development area that is buffered by a 100 m wide powerline interconnection area around the MTS substation to accommodate 132 kV powerline tie-ins at any point of the MTS.
- 132kV above ground powerlines from the 11-33/132kV on-site substations to the 132/400kV MTS;
- 400kV Loop In / Loop Out powerlines from the MTS to connect to the existing 400kV PLUTO / HERMES 1 and 2 powerlines. A total area of ~215 ha, located between the two existing



Hermes/Pluto 400 kV lines east and west of MTS, was assessed to allow flexibility for the proposed 400 kV Loop in – Loop out transmission line to the existing Hermes/Pluto 1 and Hermes/Pluto 2 lines. The exact point of the Loop in – Loop out will be advised by Eskom due to the highly technical nature of the interconnection.

- Offices, including ablutions with septic / conservancy tank sewage treatment infrastructure;
- Material laydown areas (temporary for construction phase and permanent for operation phase).

### 1.3. Report Structure

The (cumulative) proposed development area of the Stilfontein Cluster was collectively assessed and forms the Project Area Of Influence (PAOI) referred to as the 'project area' from hereon. All baseline findings are presented for the project area, with a supporting Impact Assessment and Environmental Management Programme (EMP) for the project area.

**A project-specific appendix (Appendix A) contains the information specific to the project, notably:**

- Project-specific baseline aspects;
- Project-specific baseline / sensitivity map;
- Project-specific impact rating;
- Project-specific mitigation measures; and
- Project-specific conclusion / specialist opinion.




Figure 1-2 Location of the project area (Stilfontein Cluster)

#### 1.4. Specialist Details

Report Name	<b>THE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY BASELINE &amp; IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE MAINSTREAM STILFONTEIN GRID PROJECT</b>
Reference	<b>SRK – Mainstream Stilfontein Grid Project</b>
Submitted to	
Report Writer & Fieldwork	<p><b>Martinus Erasmus</b> </p> <p>Martinus Erasmus obtained his B-Tech degree in Nature Conservation in 2016 at the Tshwane University of Technology. Martinus has been conducting EIAs, IFC standard surveys, basic assessments and assisting specialists in field during his studies since 2015. Martinus is Pr. Sci. Nat. registered (118630) is a specialist terrestrial ecologist and botanist which conducts floral surveys faunal surveys which include mammals, birds, amphibians and reptiles.</p>
Report Writer Desktop	<p><b>Michael Schrenk</b> </p> <p>Michael completed his professional Civil and Environmental engineering degree at the University of the Witwatersrand in 2016. He has been working in the fields of project management, biodiversity and habitat assessment and ecological restoration for over 3 years.</p>
Reviewer	<p><b>Andrew Husted</b> </p> <p>Andrew Husted is Pr Sci Nat registered (400213/11) in the following fields of practice: Ecological Science, Environmental Science and Aquatic Science. Andrew is an Aquatic, Wetland and Biodiversity Specialist with more than 12 years' experience in the environmental consulting field. Andrew has completed numerous wetland training courses, and is an accredited wetland practitioner, recognised by the DWS, and also the Mondi Wetlands programme as a competent wetland consultant.</p>
Declaration	<p>The Biodiversity Company and its associates operate as independent consultants under the auspice of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions. We declare that we have no affiliation with or vested financial interests in the proponent, other than for work performed under the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2017. We have no conflicting interests in the undertaking of this activity and have no interests in secondary developments resulting from the authorisation of this project. We have no vested interest in the project, other than to provide a professional service within the constraints of the project (timing, time and budget) based on the principals of science.</p>

## 2. Scope of Work

The principal aim of the assessment was to provide information to guide the risk of the activity to the flora and fauna communities of the associated ecosystems within the project area. This was achieved through the following:

- Desktop assessment to identify the relevant ecologically important geographical features within the project area;
- Desktop assessment to compile an expected species list and possible threatened flora and fauna species that occur within the project area;
- Field survey to ascertain the species composition of the present flora and fauna community within the project area;
- Delineate and map the habitats and their respective sensitivities that occur within the project area;
- Identify the manner that the project impacts the flora and fauna community and evaluate the level of risk of these potential impacts; and
- The prescription of mitigation measures and recommendations for identified risks.

## 3. Key Legislative Requirements

The legislation, policies and guidelines listed in Table 3-1 are applicable to the current project, an accompanying comment has been provided to express the relevance to the project. The list, although extensive, may not be complete and other legislation, policies and guidelines may apply as well.

**Table 3-1** *A list of key legislative requirements relevant to biodiversity and conservation in the North West Province*

Region	Legislation / Guideline	Comment
National	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998)	Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations. 2014 (GNR 326, 7 April 2017), Appendix 6 requirements
	The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004), Threatened or Protected Species Regulations	The protection of species and ecosystems that warrant protection
	Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, GNR 320 of Government Gazette 43310 (March 2020)	The minimum criteria for reporting.
	Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, GNR 1150 of Government Gazette 43855 (October 2020)	Protocol for the specialist assessment and minimum report content requirements.
	The National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act 59 of 2008);	The regulation of waste management to protect the environment.
	National Water Act (NWA) (Act No. 36 of 1998)	The regulation of water uses.
	Alien and Invasive Species Regulations and, Alien and Invasive Species List 2014/2020, published under NEMBA	The regulation and management of alien invasive species.
	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act 43 of 1983) (CARA)	To provide for control over the utilization of the natural agricultural resources including the vegetation and the combating of weeds and invader plants.
	Government Notice No. 113 in Government Gazette No. 41445 and Government Notice No. 383 in Government Gazette No. 44504. Government Notice No. 2313 of Government Gazette No. 47095 of 27 July 2022	Strategic Transmission Corridors (STC) important for the planning of electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure as well as procedure to be followed when applying for environmental authorisation for electricity transmission and distribution expansion when occurring in these corridors.
	Government Notice No. 114 in Government Gazette No. 41445 and Government Notice No. 142, 144 and 145 in Government Gazette No. 44191	The procedure to be followed when applying for environmental authorisation for electricity transmission or distribution infrastructure or large-scale wind and solar photovoltaic energy facilities in these REDZs


<b>Provincial</b>	North West Biodiversity Management Act No. 4 of 2016	To provide for the management and conservation of the North West Province's biophysical environment and protected areas.
	North West Biodiversity Sector Plan, 2015	To inform land use planning, environmental assessments, land and water use authorisations, as well as natural resource management,

## 4. Methods

### 4.1. Project Area

The project area lies between the towns of Potchefstroom and Klerksdorp within the City of Matlosana and JB Marks Local Municipalities that form part of the Dr Kenneth Kaunda District Municipality in the North West province. The project area will accommodate up to nine Solar Photovoltaic (PV) array areas, nine on-site substations, and one Main Transmission Substation (MTS). The total combined project area is approximately 2400 ha, as presented in Figure 4-1 below. Presently, the project area is surrounded by open veld and scattered agricultural land, with the N12 national highway running just south of the area.





**Figure 4-1** Map illustrating the layout of the project area

Note: Two alternative substation-sites are considered per PV project.

## 4.2. Desktop Assessment

The desktop assessment was principally undertaken using a Geographic Information System (GIS) to access the latest available spatial datasets so as to develop digital cartographs and species lists. These datasets and their date of publishing are provided below.

### Ecologically Important Landscape Features

Existing ecologically relevant data layers were incorporated into a GIS to establish how the project might interact with any ecologically important features. The following spatial datasets were analysed:

- National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 (NBA) (Skowno et al., 2019):

The purpose of the NBA is to assess the state of South Africa's biodiversity based on best available science, with a view to understanding trends over time and informing policy and decision-making across a range of sectors. The NBA deals with all three components of biodiversity: genes, species and ecosystems; and assesses biodiversity and ecosystems across terrestrial, freshwater, estuarine and marine environments. The two headline indicators assessed in the NBA are:

*Ecosystem Threat Status* – indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition.

*Ecosystem Protection Level* – indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. NP, PP or MP ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems.

- Protected areas 2021:

*South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD)* (DEA, 2021) – The (SAPAD) Database contains spatial data for the conservation of South Africa. It includes spatial and attribute information for both formally protected areas and areas that have less formal protection (such as South African Conservation Areas). SAPAD is updated on a continuous basis and forms the basis for the Register of Protected Areas, which is a legislative requirement under the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, Act 57 of 2003.

*National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES)* (DEA, 2016) – The NPAES provides spatial information on areas that are suitable for terrestrial ecosystem protection. These focus areas are large, intact and unfragmented and therefore of high importance for biodiversity, climate resilience and freshwater protection.

- North West Biodiversity Sector Plan 2015 (NW BSP) (READ, 2015):

The North West Biodiversity Sector Plan was completed in 2015 for the North West Department of Rural, Environment and Agricultural Development (READ). The purpose of the sector plan is to develop the spatial component of a bioregional plan (i.e. map of Critical Biodiversity Areas and associated land-use guidelines). A North West Biodiversity Sector Plan map was produced as part of this plan and sites were assigned the following CBA categories based on their biodiversity characteristics, spatial configuration and requirement for meeting targets for both biodiversity pattern and ecological processes:

- Critical Biodiversity Area 1 (CBA1);
- Critical Biodiversity Area 2 (CBA2);

- Ecological Support Area 1 (ESA1);
- Ecological Support Area 2 (ESA2);
- Other Natural Area (ONA);
- No Natural Habitat Remaining (NNR); and
- Protected Area (PA).

CBAs are terrestrial and aquatic areas of the landscape that may need to be maintained in a natural or near-natural state to try achieve the continued existence and functioning of species and ecosystems and the delivery of ecosystem services. Thus, if these areas are not maintained in a natural or near natural state then biodiversity targets may not be met. Maintaining an area in a natural state can include a variety of biodiversity compatible land uses and resource uses (READ, 2015).

ESAs are not essential for meeting biodiversity targets but play an important role in supporting the ecological functioning of Critical Biodiversity Areas and/or in delivering ecosystem services (READ, 2015). Critical Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas may be terrestrial or aquatic.

ONAs are areas that still contain natural habitat but that are not required to meet biodiversity targets. No Natural Habitat Remaining includes areas without intact habitat remaining (READ, 2015).

PAs are declared and formally protected under the Protected Areas Act, such as National Parks, legally declared Nature Reserves, World Heritage Sites and Protected Environments that are secured by appropriate legal mechanisms. These areas must be managed according to a specific protected area management plan (READ, 2015).

The NWBSP also categorises aquatic areas according to their biodiversity characteristics, spatial configuration, and requirement for meeting targets for both biodiversity pattern and ecological processes. These areas are categorised into CBA and ESA areas much in the same way as the terrestrial areas are, as described above, and they are assigned the same land management objectives. The NWBSP achieves its purpose through providing designated CBAs and ESAs, together with accompanying land use planning and decision-making guidelines

- South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (Van Deventer et al., 2018):

A South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) was established during the National Biodiversity Assessment of 2018. It is a collection of data layers that represent the extent of river and inland wetland ecosystem types as well as pressures on these systems.

- National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas, Rivers and Wetlands (Nel et al., 2011):


To better conserve aquatic ecosystems, South Africa has categorised its inland aquatic systems according to set ecological criteria (i.e., ecosystem representation, water yield, connectivity, unique features, and threatened taxa) to identify Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPAs).

### Desktop Flora Assessment

The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland database (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006) was used to identify the vegetation type that would have occurred under natural or pre-anthropogenically altered conditions. Furthermore, the Plants of Southern Africa (POSA) database was accessed to compile a list of expected flora species within the project area (Figure 4-2). The Red List of South African Plants



(Raimondo, 2009; SANBI, 2017) was utilized to provide the most current national conservation status of flora species.



**Figure 4-2** Map illustrating extent of area used to obtain the expected flora species list from the Plants of South Africa (POSA) database. The red squares are cluster markers of botanical records as per POSA data. The icon indicated the project area location.

### Desktop Faunal Baseline Assessment

The faunal desktop assessment compiled various expected species lists based on the databases noted below:

- Amphibian list, generated from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017) and FrogMap database (Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021a), using the 2626 quarter degree square;
- Reptile list, generated from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017) and ReptileMap database (Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021b), using the 2626 quarter degree square;
- Mammal list, generated from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017) and MammalMap database (Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021c), using the 2626 quarter degree square; and
- Avifauna list, generated from the Southern African Bird Atlas Project 2 (SABAP2, 2019), using the following 5x5 minute pentads: 2645\_2655, 2645\_2650, 2645\_2645, 2640\_2655, 2640\_2650, and 2640\_2645.

### 4.3. Field Survey

A field survey was undertaken from 21 to 25 February 2022 to determine the presence of Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) and delineate/assess habitat units. Effort was made to survey all habitat types present in the project area, within the limits of time and accessibility. The findings are still valid in 2023.

#### Flora Survey

The fieldwork was conducted throughout the project area, focusing within habitats perceived as ecologically sensitive based on the preliminary interpretation of satellite imagery (Google Corporation) and GIS analysis (which included the latest applicable biodiversity datasets, see Section 4.2) prior to the fieldwork. The focus of the fieldwork was to maximise coverage and perform a rapid vegetation and ecological assessment throughout the area.

Homogenous vegetation units were subjectively identified using satellite imagery and existing land cover maps. The floristic diversity and presence of flora SCC were determined through timed meanders throughout all habitat units delineated during the desktop assessment, and present within the project area. An example depicting the extent and locality for some of the meanders is presented in Figure 4-3.


The timed random meander method<sup>1</sup> is highly efficient for conducting floristic analysis, specifically in detecting flora SCC and maximising floristic coverage. In addition, the method is time and cost effective and highly suited for compiling flora species lists and therefore gives a rapid indication of flora diversity. The timed meander search was performed based on the original technique described by Goff *et al.* (1982). Suitable habitat for SCC were identified according to Raimondo (2009) and targeted as part of the timed meanders. Basically, the timed meander involves recording species on a field form as they are encountered and after a determined period of examination (5 minutes), the time elapsed is noted on the data form, dividing the species list into sets of species recorded or collected during each time interval.

During meanders the following were recorded: current impacts (e.g., livestock grazing, erosion etc.), dominant vegetation species and any sensitive features (e.g., wetlands, outcrops etc.) and opportunistic observations while navigating through the project area.

---

<sup>1</sup> The specialist will walk one or more meander routes through the plant community or habitat, and record all the species encountered during the meander. Each meander will be timed.





**Figure 4-3** Examples of meanders achieved for the project area

### Fauna Survey

The faunal assessment within this report pertains to herpetofauna (amphibians and reptiles) and mammals. The faunal field survey comprised of the following techniques:

- Visual and auditory searches - This typically comprised of meandering and using binoculars to view species from a distance without them being disturbed; and listening to species calls;
- Active hand-searches - used for species that shelter in or under particular micro-habitats (typically rocks, exfoliating rock outcrops, fallen trees, leaf litter, bark etc.); and
- Utilization of local knowledge, in this case Herman (Farm Manager) (pers. comm, 21/02/2022);

Field guides and texts consulted for identification purposes included the following:

- Field Guide to Snakes and other Reptiles of Southern Africa (Branch, 1998);
- A Complete Guide to the Snakes of Southern Africa (Marais, 2004);
- Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (Bates *et al*, 2014);
- A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa (du Preez and Carruthers, 2009);
- Smithers' Mammals of Southern Africa (Apps, 2008);
- A Field Guide to the Tracks and Signs of Southern and East African Wildlife (Stuart and Stuart, 2000);
- Birds of Africa (Sinclair and Ryan, 2010); and
- Taylor *et al.* (2015), Eskom Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Used for conservation status, nomenclature and taxonomical ordering.

#### 4.4. Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance

The different habitat types within the project area were delineated and identified based on observations during the field assessment, and available satellite imagery. These habitat types were assigned Ecological Importance (EI) categories based on their ecological integrity, conservation value, the presence of species of conservation concern (SCC) and their ecosystem processes. The SEI for project component can be found in Appendix A below.

Site Ecological Importance (SEI) is a function of the Biodiversity Importance (BI) of the receptor (e.g., SCC, the vegetation/fauna community or habitat type present on the site) and Receptor Resilience (RR) (its resilience to impacts) as follows.

BI is a function of Conservation Importance (CI) and the Functional Integrity (FI) of the receptor. The criteria for the CI and FI ratings are provided in Table 4-1 and Table 4-2, respectively.

**Table 4-1 Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria**

Conservation Importance	Fulfilling Criteria
Very High	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) or Extremely Rare or CR species that have a global extent of occurrence (EOO) of < 10 km <sup>2</sup> . Any area of natural habitat of a CR ecosystem type or large area (> 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of natural habitat of an EN ecosystem type. Globally significant populations of congregatory species (> 10% of global population).
High	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of CR, EN, VU species that have a global EOO of > 10 km <sup>2</sup> . IUCN threatened species (CR, EN, VU) must be listed under any criterion other than A. If listed as threatened only under Criterion A, include if there are less than 10 locations or < 10 000 mature individuals remaining. Small area (> 0.01% but < 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of natural habitat of EN ecosystem type or large area (> 0.1%) of natural habitat of VU ecosystem type. Presence of Rare species. Globally significant populations of congregatory species (> 1% but < 10% of global population).
Medium	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of populations of Near Threatened (NT) species, threatened species (CR, EN, VU) listed under Criterion A only and which have more than 10 locations or more than 10 000 mature individuals. Any area of natural habitat of threatened ecosystem type with status of VU. Presence of range-restricted species. > 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with potential to support SCC.
Low	No confirmed or highly likely populations of SCC. No confirmed or highly likely populations of range-restricted species. < 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with limited potential to support SCC.
Very Low	No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of SCC. No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of range-restricted species. No natural habitat remaining.

**Table 4-2 Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria**

Functional Integrity	Fulfilling Criteria
Very High	Very large (> 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 5 ha for CR ecosystem types. High habitat connectivity serving as functional ecological corridors, limited road network between intact habitat patches. No or minimal current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance.
High	Large (> 20 ha but < 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 10 ha for EN ecosystem types. Good habitat connectivity, with potentially functional ecological corridors and a regularly used road network between intact habitat patches. Only minor current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance and good rehabilitation potential.
Medium	Medium (> 5 ha but < 20 ha) semi-intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 20 ha for VU ecosystem types. Only narrow corridors of good habitat connectivity or larger areas of poor habitat connectivity and a busy used road network between intact habitat patches. Mostly minor current negative ecological impacts, with some major impacts and a few signs of minor past disturbance. Moderate rehabilitation potential.

<b>Low</b>	<p>Small (&gt; 1 ha but &lt; 5 ha) area.</p> <p>Almost no habitat connectivity but migrations still possible across some modified or degraded natural habitat and a very busy used road network surrounds the area.</p> <p>Low rehabilitation potential.</p> <p>Several minor and major current negative ecological impacts.</p>
<b>Very Low</b>	<p>Very small (&lt; 1 ha) area.</p> <p>No habitat connectivity except for flying species or flora with wind-dispersed seeds.</p> <p>Several major current negative ecological impacts.</p>

BI can be derived from a simple matrix of CI and FI as provided in Table 4-3.

**Table 4-3 Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI) and Conservation Importance (CI)**

Biodiversity Importance (BI)		Conservation Importance (CI)				
		Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
Functional Integrity (FI)	Very high	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Low
	High	Very high	High	Medium	Medium	Low
	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Very low
	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Very low
	Very low	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low	Very low

The fulfilling criteria to evaluate RR are based on the estimated recovery time required to restore an appreciable portion of functionality to the receptor, as summarised in Table 4-4.

**Table 4-4 Summary of Receptor Resilience (RR) criteria**

Resilience	Fulfilling Criteria
<b>Very High</b>	Habitat that can recover rapidly (~ less than 5 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a very high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>High</b>	Habitat that can recover relatively quickly (~ 5–10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>Medium</b>	Will recover slowly (~ more than 10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a moderate likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>Low</b>	Habitat that is unlikely to be able to recover fully after a relatively long period: > 15 years required to restore ~ less than 50% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a low likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>Very Low</b>	Habitat that is unable to recover from major impacts, or species that are unlikely to: (i) remain at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) return to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.

After the determination of the BI and RR, the SEI can be ascertained using the matrix as provided in Table 4-5.

**Table 4-5 Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance (SEI) from Receptor Resilience (RR) and Biodiversity Importance (BI)**

Site Ecological Importance		Biodiversity Importance (BI)				
		Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
Receptor Resilience (RR)	Very Low	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Low
	Low	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Very low
	Medium	Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
	High	High	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low
	Very High	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low	Very low

Interpretation of the SEI in the context of the project is provided in Table 4-6.

**Table 4-6 Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance (SEI) in the context of the development activities**

Site Ecological Importance	Interpretation in relation to development activities
Very High	Avoidance mitigation – no destructive development activities should be considered. Offset mitigation not acceptable/not possible (i.e., last remaining populations of species, last remaining good condition patches of ecosystems/unique species assemblages). Destructive impacts for species/ecosystems where persistence target remains.
High	Avoidance mitigation wherever possible. Minimisation mitigation – changes to project infrastructure design to limit the amount of habitat impacted, limited development activities of low impact acceptable. Offset mitigation may be required for high impact activities.
Medium	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Low	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Very Low	Minimisation mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable and restoration activities may not be required.

The SEI is evaluated for each taxon and can be combined into a single multi-taxon SEI for the assessment area. A combination of the maximum SEI for each receptor was applied, and the SEI for the Stilfontein Cluster can be seen in section 7.2, whereas the project specific SEI is presented in Appendix A.

## 5. Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable to this assessment:

- No avifaunal component was assessed for this report as a specific avifaunal report was compiled;
- The area was only surveyed during a single site visit and therefore, this assessment does not consider temporal trends, however sufficient to derive meaningful baseline;
  - The validity period that the results are applicable for in terms of when the site assessment was undertaken are still valid for 2023, assuming land use has remained the same; and;
- The GPS used in the assessment has an accuracy of 5 m and consequently any spatial features may be offset by 5 m.

## 6. Results & Discussion

This section pertains to the baseline ecological state relevant to the entire PAOI (including ecologically important landscape features, desktop flora and fauna results, and field survey flora and fauna results). **Baseline findings for each project, including the fine-scale habitat assessment and Site Ecological Importance ratings, is presented in Appendix A.**

## 6.1. Desktop Baseline

### Ecologically Important Landscape Features

The findings of the GIS analysis conducted to ascertain the relationship of the project area to ecologically important landscape features are summarised in Table 6-1 below.


**Table 6-1** *Summary findings of the relationship of the project area to ecologically important landscape features.*

Desktop Information Considered	Relevant/Irrelevant	Section
<b>Ecosystem Threat Status</b>	Relevant: The project area overlaps with 'Least Concern' ecosystems	6.1.1.1
<b>Ecosystem Protection Level</b>	Relevant: The project area overlaps with 'Not Protected' and 'Poorly Protected' ecosystems	6.1.1.2
<b>Critical Biodiversity Area</b>	Relevant: The project area overlaps mainly with terrestrial ESA1 and small portions of CBA2 and ESA2 classified areas.	6.1.1.3
<b>South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems</b>	Relevant The project area lies between two 'Critically Endangered' river and wetland systems	6.1.1.4
<b>National Freshwater Priority Area</b>	Relevant The project area lies between two non-priority river systems	6.1.1.5
<b>Strategic Transmission Corridors (STC)</b>	Relevant: The project overlaps with the Central EGI corridor	6.1.1.6
<b>Renewable Energy Database</b>	Relevant: Limited projects in area; "Approved" and "lapsed" projects in regional area.	6.1.1.7
<b>Renewable Energy Development Zones (REDZ)</b>	Relevant The project area falls within the Klerksdorp REDZ.	6.1.1.8
<b>National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy</b>	Relevant: The project area slightly overlaps with a priority focus area however the majority of the site lies outside of any NPAES areas	6.1.1.9
<b>Protected Areas</b>	Irrelevant: The project area is not within 10 km of any formally protected areas	-
<b>Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas</b>	Irrelevant: There are no IBAs nearby to the project area	-
<b>Strategic Water Source Areas</b>	Irrelevant: The project area is not nearby to any Strategic Water Source Areas	-

### Ecosystem Threat Status

The Ecosystem Threat Status is an indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition. This provides a holistic view of the vegetation type, the threatened species associated with the ecosystem and the overall land use currently in the area. According to the spatial dataset the project area overlaps with a LC ecosystem, and this means that the ecosystem type has experienced little or no loss of natural habitat or deterioration in condition (Figure 6-1).






**Figure 6-1** Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status associated with the project area.

### Ecosystem Protection Level

This is an indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. NP, PP or MP ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems. The project area overlaps with NP and PP ecosystems as shown in Figure 6-2. PP ecosystems have between 5 and 50% of their biodiversity targets included in one or more protected areas and NP ecosystems have less than 5% of their biodiversity targets included in one or more protected areas.






**Figure 6-2** Map illustrating the ecosystem protection level associated with the project area  
**Critical Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas**

The NWBSP dataset contains spatial data for both terrestrial and aquatic CBA and ESA areas as relevant to the province.

Figure 6-3 below shows that the project area mostly overlaps with terrestrial ESA1 areas, with small portions overlapping with terrestrial CBA2 and ESA2 areas.

ESAs are terrestrial and aquatic areas that are not essential for meeting biodiversity representation targets (thresholds), but which nevertheless play an important role in supporting the ecological functioning of critical biodiversity areas and/or in delivering ecosystem services that support socio-economic development, such as water provision, flood mitigation or carbon sequestration. The degree or extent of restriction on land use and resource use in these areas may be lower than that recommended for CBAs.


The land management objective for ESA1 areas is to maintain them in at least a semi-natural state as ecologically functional landscapes that retain basic natural attributes (READ, 2015).



**Figure 6-3** Map illustrating the locations of CBA and ESA areas as relevant to the project area

### South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems

The South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) was released with the NBA 2018. Ecosystem threat status (ETS) of river and wetland ecosystem types are based on the extent to which each river ecosystem type had been altered from its natural condition. Ecosystem types are categorised as CR, EN, VU or LT, with CR, EN and VU ecosystem types collectively referred to as ‘threatened’ (Van Deventer *et al.*, 2019). The project area is within 500 m of the Critically Endangered Kromdraaispruit and Koekemoerspruit Rivers, with no overlap with these Rivers. The project area slightly overlaps with Critically Endangered floodplain wetlands (Figure 6-4).




**Figure 6-4** Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status of river and wetland ecosystems in the project area

### National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area Status

In an attempt to better conserve aquatic ecosystems, South Africa has categorised its river systems according to set ecological criteria (i.e., ecosystem representation, water yield, connectivity, unique features, and threatened taxa) to identify Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPAs). The FEPAs are intended to be conservation support tools and are envisioned to guide the effective implementation of measures to achieve the National Environment Management Biodiversity Act's (NEM:BA) biodiversity goals (Nel *et al.*, 2011).

Figure 6-5 shows the location of the project area in relation to any wetland and river FEPAs. The project area is nearby (within 500 m) of the Kromdraaispruit, Koekemoerspruit, and Drospruit rivers, none of which are listed as FEPA priority systems. No significant FEPA wetlands occur nearby.





**Figure 6-5** The project area in relation to the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area database


### Strategic Transmission Corridors (STC)

On the 16 February 2018, Minister Edna Molewa published Government Notice No. 113 in Government Gazette No. 41445, which identified five strategic transmission corridors important for the planning of electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure.

The project lies within the Central STC corridor.

### Renewable Energy Database

The Renewable Energy Database (<http://egis.environment.gov.za/>), shows that there are limited other projects in the near vicinity (Figure 6-6). This reduces the overall impact on the habitats in the area.



**Figure 6-6** The project area in relation to the renewable energy database projects in the area (Source: DFFE Q3 2022 REEA database)

### Renewable Energy Development Zones (REDZ)

In 2018 the Government Notice No. 114 in Government Gazette No. 41445 was published, where eight renewable energy development zones important for the development of large-scale wind and solar photovoltaic facilities were identified. In 2021 an additional three sites were identified. The REDZs were identified through the undertaking of two Strategic Environmental Assessments.

More detailed information can be obtained from <https://egis.environment.gov.za/redz>. Government Notice No. 142, 144 and 145 in Government Gazette No. 44191 specify the procedures to be followed when applying for environmental authorisation for electricity transmission or distribution infrastructure or large-scale wind and solar photovoltaic energy facilities in these REDZs.

The project area falls within the Klerksdorp REDZ

### National Protected Area Expansion Strategy

National Protected Area Expansion Strategy 2017 (NPAES) focus areas were identified through a systematic biodiversity planning process. They present the best opportunities for meeting the ecosystem-specific protected area targets set in the NPAES and were designed with strong emphasis on climate change resilience and requirements for protecting freshwater ecosystems.

These areas should not be seen as future boundaries of protected areas, as in many cases only a portion of a particular focus area would be required to meet the protected area targets set in the NPAES. They are also not a replacement for fine-scale planning which may identify a range of different priority sites based on local requirements, constraints and opportunities (NPAES, 2017).



The project area slightly overlaps with a priority focus area however the majority of the site lies outside of any NPAES areas (Figure 6-7). The goal of the NPAES is to achieve protected area expansion for improved ecosystem representation, ecological sustainability and resilience to climate change. It sets protected area targets, maps priority areas for protected area expansion, and makes recommendations on mechanisms to achieve this.




**Figure 6-7** The project area in relation to the National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy areas

### Screening Baseline

The Terrestrial Biodiversity Sensitivity for the proposed development was overall determined to be a Very High sensitivity according to the Web-based Screening Tool. The very high sensitivity (see Sensitivity Features below) is as a result of a very marginal overlap with CBA2 area on the northern and north-eastern boundary of the cumulative project site and more general overlap with ESA1, ESA 2 and NPAES areas.

MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity
Very High	Critical biodiversity area 2
Very High	Ecological support area 1
Very High	Ecological support area 2
Very High	Protected Areas Expansion Strategy

Figure 6-8 Map illustrating the Combined Terrestrial Biodiversity Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Web Based Screening Tool

Flora Baseline

This section is divided into a description of the vegetation type expected under natural conditions, and the expected flora species.

Vegetation Type


The project area is situated within the Grassland Biome. The Grassland Biome in South Africa occurs mainly on the Highveld, the inland areas of the eastern seaboard, the mountainous areas of KwaZulu-Natal and the central parts of the Eastern Cape. The topography is mainly flat to rolling, but also includes

mountainous regions and the Escarpment (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006). Major macroclimatic traits that characterise the Grassland Biome include:

- Seasonal precipitation; and
- The minimum temperatures in winter (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

Grasslands characteristically contain herbaceous vegetation of a relatively short and simple structure that is dominated by graminoids, usually of the family Poaceae. Woody plants are rare (usually made up of low or medium-sized shrubs), absent, or confined to specific habitats such as smaller escarpments or koppies. Core grassland areas usually have deep, fertile soils although a wide spectrum of soil types occurs (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

The Grassland Biome is comprised of four parent bioregions and a total of 72 different vegetation types. The project area is situated within both the Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland and the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland – both of the Dry Highveld Grassland Bioregion (Figure 6-9).



**Figure 6-9** Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the project area

### Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland

Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland is restricted to the North West and Free State Provinces, it covers a small area associated with the dolomite sinkholes in and around Stilfontein and Orkney (Vaal Reefs). The Vaal River forms the southern distribution limit of this vegetation unit. Its main vegetation and landscape features include a slightly undulating landscape dissected by prominent rocky chert ridges and supporting a grassland-woodland vegetation complex. The most typical vegetation feature is the woodland, which occurs naturally in clumps around sinkholes, especially in places of dolomite outcrops.



### Important Plant Taxa in Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland

Based on Mucina and Rutherford's (2006) vegetation classification, important plant taxa are those species that have a high abundance, a frequent occurrence (not being particularly abundant) or are prominent in the landscape within a particular vegetation type. They note the following species that are important taxa in the Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland:

**Small trees<sup>2</sup>:** *Acacia erioloba* (*Vachellia erioloba*), *Celtis africana*, *Rhus lancea*, *Acacia caffra* (*Senegalia caffra*), *A. karroo* (*Vachellia karroo*, *A. robusta* (*Vachellia robusta*) subsp. *clavigera*).

**Tall shrubs:** *Diospyros lycioides* subsp. *lycioides*, *Ehretia rigida*, *Grewia flava*.

**Low shrubs:** *Asparagus suaveolens*, *Gymnosporia heterophylla*, *Pavonia burchellii*, *Sida dregei*, *Anthospermum hispidulum*, *Asparagus laricinus*, *Diospyros pallens*, *Felicia muricata*, *Indigofera heterotricha*, *Menodora africana*, *Phyllanthus incurvus*, *Triumfetta sonderi*, *Ziziphus zeyheriana*.

**Graminoids:** *Aristida congesta*, *Digitaria eriantha*, *Eragrostis biflora*, *E. curvula*, *Themeda triandra*, *Anthehora pubescens*, *Aristida canescens*, *Bewisia biflora*, *Brachiaria nigropedata*, *B. serrata*, *Chloris pycnothrix*, *Cymbopogon caesius*, *C. pospischilii*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Cyperus margaritaceus*, *Diheteropogon amplexans*, *Elionurus muticus*, *Eragrostis chloromelas*, *E. lehmanniana*, *E. racemosa*, *E. superba*, *Eustachys paspaloides*, *Heteropogon contortus*, *Melinis repens* subsp. *repens*, *Panicum coloratum*, *Setaria sphacelata*, *Triraphis andropogonoides*.

### Conservation Status

According to Mucina and Rutherford (2006) the Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland is classified as Vulnerable. Although the target for conservation is 24%, only a small patch is conserved in the statutory conservation area of Sterkfontein Caves. The proposed 'Highveld National Park' is supposed to conserve a considerable area of this vegetation unit. Aesthetically this is one of the most scenic landscapes in the western Grassland Biome and certainly deserves high conservation priority. Almost a quarter has been transformed already - mainly by mining, cultivation, urban sprawl and infrastructure. The region of this unit contains possibly the highest concentration of mines than any other vegetation in South Africa (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

### Carletonville Dolomite Grassland

Carletonville Dolomite Grassland is restricted to the North-West (mainly) and Gauteng, and marginally extends into the Free State Province: In the region of Potchefstroom, Ventersdorp and Carletonville, extending westwards to the vicinity of Ottoshoop, but also occurring as far east as Centurion and Bapsfontein in Gauteng Province. Its main vegetation and landscape features include slightly undulating plains dissected by prominent rocky chert ridges. These are a species-rich grasslands, forming a complex mosaic pattern dominated by many species.

### Important Plant Taxa in Carletonville Dolomite Grassland

Mucina and Rutherford (2006) note the following species that are important taxa in the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland:

**Graminoids:** *Aristida congesta*, *Brachiaria serrata*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Digitaria tricholaenoides*, *Diheteropogon amplexans*, *Eragrostis chloromelas*, *E. racemosa*, *Heteropogon contortus*, *Loudetia simplex*, *Schizachyrium sanguineum*, *Setaria sphacelata*, *Themeda triandra*, *Alloteropsis semialata* subsp. *eckloniana*, *Andropogon schirensis*, *Aristida canescens*, *A. diffusa*, *Bewisia biflora*, *Bulbostylis burchellii*, *Cymbopogon caesius*, *C. pospischilii*, *Elionurus muticus*, *Eragrostis curvula*, *E. gummiflua*, *E. plana*, *Eustachys paspaloides*, *Hyparrhenia hirta*, *Melinis nerviglumis*, *M. repens* subsp. *repens*,

<sup>2</sup> Names in brackets is the current nomenclature.

*Monocymbium ceresiiforme, Panicum coloratum, Pogonarthria squarrosa, Trichoneura grandiglumis, Triraphis andropogonoides, Tristachya leucothrix, T. rehmannii.*

**Herbs:** *Acalypha angustata, Barleria macrostegia, Chamaecrista mimosoides, Chamaesyce inaequilatera, Crabbea angustifolia, Dianthus mooiensis, Dicoma anomala, Helichrysum caespitium, H. miconiifolium, H. nudifolium var. nudifolium, Ipomoea ommaneyi, Justicia anagalloides, Kohautia amatymbica, Kyphocarpa angustifolia, Ophrestia oblongifolia, Pollichia campestris, Senecio coronatus, Vernonia oligocephala.*

**Geophytic Herbs:** *Boophone disticha, Habenaria mossii.*

**Low Shrubs:** *Anthospermum rigidum subsp. pumilum, Indigofera comosa, Pygmaeothamnus zeyheri var. rogersii, Rhus magalismontana, Tylosema esculentum, Ziziphus zeyheriana.*

**Geoxylic Suffrutices:** *Elephantorrhiza elephantina, Parinari capensis subsp. capensis*

**Endemic Taxon - Succulent Shrub:** *Delosperma davyi.*

### Conservation Status

According to Mucina and Rutherford (2006) the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland is classified as Vulnerable. Although the target for conservation is 24%, only a small extent is conserved statutorily in the Sterkfontein Caves, Oog Van Malmanie, Abe Bailey, Boskop Dam, Schoonspruit, Krugersdorp, Olifantsvlei, and Groenkloof protected areas, and in at least six private conservation areas. Almost a quarter is already transformed for cultivation, by urban sprawl or by mining activity as well as the building of the Boskop and Klerkskraal Dams (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

### Expected Flora Species

The POSA database indicates that 414 species of plants may occur within the project area. Appendix B – Flora species expected to occur in the project area. provides the list of all the expected species and their respective conservation statuses and endemism classifications. According to the database, two flora SCC may occur (Table 6-2).

**Table 6-2 Flora species of conservation concern that may occur within the project area**

Family	Species	Author	SANBI Red-List	Ecology
Fabaceae	<i>Pearsonia bracteata</i>	(Benth.) Polhill	Near Threatened B1ab(i,ii,iii,iv,v). EOO 9 671-12 822 km <sup>2</sup> , an estimated eight to 14 locations continue to decline due to ongoing habitat loss to urban development, agriculture and mining in Gauteng and North West (SANBI, 2022)	Indigenous; Endemic
Crassulaceae	<i>Adromischus umbraticola</i> subsp. <i>umbraticola</i>	C.A.Sm.	Near Threatened B1ab(ii,iii,v) EOO 14 600 km <sup>2</sup> , known from 14 locations. The rocky ridges where this subspecies grows are increasingly under threat from urban expansion within Gauteng	Indigenous; Endemic

### Faunal Baseline

#### Amphibians

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data and FrogMap, 20 amphibian species are expected to occur within the area (Appendix C – Amphibian species expected to occur in the project area). One of the expected species is an SCC (

Table 6-3), the Giant Bullfrog. This species has a moderate likelihood of occurrence based on the wetlands found nearby to the project area. The likelihood of occurrence is based on literature (section 0) describing their habitat preferences and the level of adaptability to disturbed areas.

**Table 6-3 Amphibians Species of conservation concern that may occur in the project area**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	
<i>Ptychocheilus adspersus</i>	Giant Bullfrog	NT	LC	Moderate

## Reptiles

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data and the ReptileMAP database, 43 reptile species may occur within the area (Appendix D – Reptile species expected to occur in the project area). One (1) is regarded as threatened (Table 6-4).

**Table 6-4 Reptile Species of conservation concern that may occur within the project area**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	
<i>Psammophis leightoni</i>	Cape Sand Snake	VU	LC	Low

*Psammophis leightoni* (Cape Sand Snake) is listed as VU on a regional basis. This snake is most commonly found in sand fynbos and strandveld habitat in the Western Cape. The species therefore has a low likelihood of occurrence.

## Mammals

The IUCN Red List Spatial Data and the MammalMap database lists 89 mammal species that could be expected to occur within the area (Appendix E – Mammal species expected to occur within the project area). This list excludes large mammal species that are normally limited to protected areas. Thirteen (13) of these expected species are regarded as SCC (Table 6-5), and five of these have a moderate-high likelihood of occurrence based on the suitable habitat and food sources present in the project area.

**Table 6-5 Mammal species of conservation concern that are expected to occur within the project area.**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	
<i>Aonyx capensis</i>	African Clawless Otter	NT	NT	Low
<i>Atelerix frontalis</i>	Southern African Hedgehog	NT	LC	Moderate
<i>Crocidura maquassiensis</i>	Makwassie musk shrew	VU	LC	Low
<i>Crocidura mariquensis</i>	Swamp Musk Shrew	NT	LC	Low
<i>Eidolon helvum</i>	African Straw-colored Fruit Bat	LC	NT	Low
<i>Felis nigripes</i>	Black-footed Cat	VU	VU	Low
<i>Hydrictis maculicollis</i>	Spotted-necked Otter	VU	NT	Low
<i>Leptailurus serval</i>	Serval	NT	LC	High
<i>Mystromys albicaudatus</i>	African White-tailed Rat	VU	EN	Moderate
<i>Otomys auratus</i>	Southern African Vlei Rat (Grassland type)	NT	NT	High
<i>Panthera pardus</i>	Leopard	VU	VU	Low
<i>Parahyaena brunnea</i>	Brown Hyaena	NT	NT	Moderate
<i>Poecilogale albinucha</i>	African Striped Weasel	NT	LC	Low

*Atelerix frontalis* (South African Hedgehog) has a tolerance for a degree for habitat modification and occurs in a wide variety of semi-arid and sub-temperate habitats (IUCN, 2017). Based on the Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (2016), *A. frontalis* populations are decreasing due to the threats of electrocution, veld fires, road collisions, predation from domestic pets and illegal harvesting. Suitable grasslands occur in the project area, although somewhat disturbed, that can function as habitat for this species, as such the likelihood of occurrence is rated as moderate.

*Leptailurus serval* (Serval) occurs widely through sub-Saharan Africa and is commonly recorded from most major national parks and reserves (IUCN, 2017). The Serval's status outside reserves is not certain, but they are inconspicuous and may be common in suitable habitat as they are tolerant of farming practices provided there is cover and food available. In sub-Saharan Africa, they are found in habitat with well-watered savanna long-grass environments and are particularly associated with reedbeds and other riparian vegetation types. Suitable habitat is present for this species in the project area, as such the likelihood of occurrence is rated as high.

*Mystromys albicaudatus* (African White-tailed Rat) is endemic to South Africa and Lesotho, where they inhabit Highveld grasslands primarily, but also Succulent Karoo and fynbos. They are often associated with calcrete soils within grasslands, and they are never found on soft, sandy substrate, rocks, wetlands or river banks. Furthermore, records from the Free State Province and Borakalalo Nature Reserve, North West Province show that they can occur in disturbed areas and in sparse grasslands (Avenant *et al.*, 2016). This species has a moderate likelihood of project area occurrence due to the type of grassland habitat present.

*Otomys auratus* (Southern African Vlei Rat (Grassland type)) is widely distributed throughout the Highveld grasslands and Drakensberg Escarpment of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland, with isolated populations found in the Soutpansberg Mountains of northern Limpopo and the Eastern Highlands of Zimbabwe. The species is associated with mesic grasslands and wetlands within alpine, montane and sub-montane regions, typically occurring in dense vegetation in close proximity to water (Taylor *et al.*, 2016). The state of the grasslands and the proximity to water means that this species has a high likelihood of project area occurrence.

*Parahyaena brunnea* (Brown Hyaena) is endemic to southern Africa except for a marginal extension into the arid parts of southwestern Angola. It mainly occurs in the arid countries of Namibia, Botswana, South Africa and Zimbabwe. This species remains widespread in South Africa, with high levels of occupancy recorded in the northwest regions. It is commonly found in desert and semi-desert, open scrub and open woodland savannah habitats, also showing an ability to survive close to urban areas (Yarnell *et al.*, 2016). The large open grassland habitat available, and close proximity to water sources, means that the Brown Hyaena has a moderate likelihood of project area occurrence.

## 6.2. Field Survey

### Flora

#### Analysis

The vegetation analysis was conducted throughout the extent of the project area. A total of 111 tree, shrub, herbaceous and graminoid plant species were recorded in the project area during the field assessment (Table 6-6). Plants listed as Category 1 alien or invasive species under the NEMBA appear in green text. Plants listed as 'not indigenous' or 'naturalised' according to NEMBA, appear in blue text.

The list of plant species recorded is by no means comprehensive, and repeated surveys during different phenological periods not covered, may likely yield up to 30% additional flora species for the project area. However, floristic analysis conducted to date is regarded as a sound representation of the local flora for the project area. Some of the plants recorded can be seen in Figure 6-10 below.

**Table 6-6** *Trees, shrub and herbaceous plant species recorded in the project area*

Plants listed as Category 1 alien or invasive species under the NEMBA appear in green text. Plants listed as 'not indigenous' or 'naturalised' according to NEMBA, appear in blue text.

Family	Scientific Name	Threat Status (SANBI, 2017)	SA Endemic	Alien Category
Acanthaceae	<i>Crabbea angustifolia</i>	LC	Endemic	
Acanthaceae	<i>Blepharis serrulata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Agavaceae	<i>Chlorophytum cooperi</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Aizoaceae	<i>Delosperma herbeum</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Amaranthaceae	<i>Gomphrena celosioides</i>			Naturalized exotic
Amaryllidaceae	<i>Crinum graminicola</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Amaryllidaceae	<i>Boophone disticha</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Anacardiaceae	<i>Searsia lancea</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Anacardiaceae	<i>Searsia pyroides</i> var. <i>pyroides</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asclepiadaceae	<i>Gomphocarpus fruticosus</i> subsp. <i>fruticosus</i>	LC	Indigenous	
Asparagaceae	<i>Asparagus cooperi</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asparagaceae	<i>Asparagus laricinus</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asphodelaceae	<i>Aloe greatheadii</i> var. <i>davyana</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Conyza bonariensis</i>			Naturalized exotic
Asteraceae	<i>Dicoma anomala</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Felicia muricata</i> subsp. <i>muricata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Helichrysum callicomum</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Helichrysum rugulosum</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Hilliardiella elaeagnoides</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Macledium zeyheri</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Nidorella anomala</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Schkuhria pinnata</i>			Naturalized exotic
Asteraceae	<i>Senecio inornatus</i>	LC	Not Endemic	

Family	Scientific Name	Threat Status (SANBI, 2017)	SA Endemic	Alien Category
Asteraceae	<i>Tagetes minuta</i>			Naturalized exotic
Asteraceae	<i>Zinnia peruviana</i>			Naturalized exotic
Asteraceae	<i>Bidens pilosa</i>			Naturalized exotic weed
Asteraceae	<i>Helichrysum nudifolium</i> var. <i>nudifolium</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Asteraceae	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>			NEMBA Category 1b.
Boraginaceae	<i>Ehretia rigida</i>	LC	Endemic	
Cactaceae	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>			NEMBA Category 1b.
Campanulaceae	<i>Wahlenbergia undulata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Cannabaceae	<i>Celtis africana</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Caryophyllaceae	<i>Dianthus mooiensis</i> subsp. <i>kirkii</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Caryophyllaceae	<i>Pollichia campestris</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Celastraceae	<i>Gymnosporia buxifolia</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Commelinaceae	<i>Commelina africana</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Commelinaceae	<i>Commelina erecta</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Commelinaceae	<i>Cyanotis speciosa</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Convolvulaceae	<i>Cuscuta campestris</i>			Naturalized exotic
Crassulaceae	<i>Kalanchoe rotundifolia</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Cucurbitaceae	<i>Cucumis zeyheri</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Ebenaceae	<i>Diospyros austro-africana</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Ebenaceae	<i>Euclea crispa</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Fabaceae	<i>Chamaecrista mimosoides</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Fabaceae	<i>Elephantorrhiza elephantina</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Fabaceae	<i>Senegalia caffra</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Fabaceae	<i>Vachellia erioloba</i>	LC-Protected Tree	Not Endemic	
Fabaceae	<i>Vachellia hebeclada</i> subsp. <i>hebeclada</i>	LC	Not Endemic	

Family	Scientific Name	Threat Status (SANBI, 2017)	SA Endemic	Alien Category
Fabaceae	<i>Vachellia karroo</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Fabaceae	<i>Vachellia karroo</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Fabaceae	<i>Vachellia robusta subsp. robusta</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Hyacinthaceae	<i>Ledebouria luteola</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Hyacinthaceae	<i>Ledebouria marginata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Hypoxidaceae	<i>Hypoxis acuminata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Hypoxidaceae	<i>Hypoxis hemerocallidea</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Hypoxidaceae	<i>Hypoxis rigidula</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Iridaceae	<i>Gladiolus permeabilis</i>	LC	Endemic	
Lamiaceae	<i>Leonotis pentadentate</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Lamiaceae	<i>Salvia disermas</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Malvaceae	<i>Grewia flava</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Malvaceae	<i>Hermannia depressa</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Malvaceae	<i>Hermannia grandistipula</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Malvaceae	<i>Sida rhombifolia subsp. rhombifolia</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Malvaceae	<i>Triumfetta sonderi</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Malvaceae	<i>Hibiscus pusillus</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>			NEMBA Category 1b
Orobanchaceae	<i>Striga elegans</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Pedaliaceae	<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Andropogon chinensis</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Aristida adscensionis</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Aristida canescens subsp. canescens</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Aristida congesta subsp. barbicollis</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Aristida congesta subsp. congesta</i>	LC	Not Endemic	



Family	Scientific Name	Threat Status (SANBI, 2017)	SA Endemic	Alien Category
Poaceae	<i>Bewisia biflora</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Brachiaria serrata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Cymbopogon caesius</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Digitaria eriantha</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Elionurus muticus</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis chloromelas</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis curvula</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis gummiflua</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis rigidior</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis superba</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Fingerhuthia africana</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Heteropogon contortus</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Hyparrhenia hirta</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Hyperthelia dissoluta</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Leersia hexandra</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Melinis repens</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Melinis repens</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Microchloa caffra</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Pogonarthria squarrosa</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Schizachyrium sanguineum</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Setaria sphacelata</i> var. <i>sphacelata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Sporobolus africanus</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Themeda triandra</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Trachypogon spicatus</i>	LC	Not Endemic	

Family	Scientific Name	Threat Status (SANBI, 2017)	SA Endemic	Alien Category
Poaceae	<i>Trichoneura grandiglumis</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Tristachya leucothrix</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Poaceae	<i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>	LC	Indigenous	
Polygalaceae	<i>Polygala leptophylla</i> var. <i>leptophylla</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Rhamnaceae	<i>Ziziphus mucronata</i> subsp. <i>mucronata</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Rhamnaceae	<i>Ziziphus zeyheriana</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Rubiaceae	<i>Oldenlandia herbacea</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Solanaceae	<i>Datura ferox</i>			NEMBA Category 1b.
Solanaceae	<i>Solanum campylacanthum</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Solanaceae	<i>Solanum lichtensteinii</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Typhaceae	<i>Typha capensis</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Verbenaceae	<i>Lippia scaberrima</i>	LC	Not Endemic	
Verbenaceae	<i>Verbena bonariensis</i>			NEMBA Category 1b.



**Figure 6-10** Some of the plant species recorded in and around the project area: A) *Senecio inornatus*, B) *Boophone disticha*, C) *Crabbea angustifolia*, D) *Harpagophytum procumbens*, E) *Delosperma herbeum* and F) *Gladiolus permeabilis*.



## Invasive Alien Plants

Invasive Alien Plants (IAPs) tend to dominate or replace indigenous flora, thereby transforming the structure, composition and functioning of ecosystems. Therefore, it is important that these plants are controlled by means of an eradication and monitoring programme. Some invader plants may also degrade ecosystems through superior competitive capabilities to exclude native plant species.

NEMBA is the most recent legislation pertaining to alien invasive plant species. In August 2014, the list of Alien Invasive Species was published in terms of the NEMBA. The Alien and Invasive Species Regulations were published in the Government Gazette No. 44182, 24th of February 2021. The legislation calls for the removal and / or control of IAP species (Category 1 species). In addition, unless authorised thereto in terms of the NWA, no land user shall allow Category 2 plants to occur within 30 meters of the 1:50 year flood line of a river, stream, spring, natural channel in which water flows regularly or intermittently, lake, dam or wetland. Category 3 plants are also prohibited from occurring within proximity to a watercourse. Below is a brief explanation of the three categories in terms of the NEMBA:

- *Category 1a:* Invasive species requiring compulsory control. Remove and destroy. Any specimens of Category 1a listed species need, by law, to be eradicated from the environment. No permits will be issued.
- *Category 1b:* Invasive species requiring compulsory control as part of an invasive species control programme. Remove and destroy. These plants are deemed to have such a high invasive potential that infestations can qualify to be placed under a government sponsored invasive species management programme. No permits will be issued.
- *Category 2:* Invasive species regulated by area. A demarcation permit is required to import, possess, grow, breed, move, sell, buy or accept as a gift any plants listed as Category 2 plants. No permits will be issued for Category 2 plants to exist in riparian zones.
- *Category 3:* Invasive species regulated by activity. An individual plant permit is required to undertake any of the following restricted activities (import, possess, grow, breed, move, sell, buy or accept as a gift) involving a Category 3 species. No permits will be issued for Category 3 plants to exist in riparian zones.

Note that according to the Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, a person who has under his or her control a category 1b listed invasive species must immediately:


- Notify the competent authority in writing
- Take steps to manage the listed invasive species in compliance with:
  - Section 75 of the NEMBA;
  - The relevant invasive species management programme developed in terms of regulation 4; and
  - Any directive issued in terms of section 73(3) of the NEMBA.

Four IAP species were recorded within the project area. These species are listed under the Alien and Invasive Species List 2021, Government Gazette No. 44182 as Category 1b. Category 1b species must be controlled by implementing an IAP Management Programme, in compliance of section 75 of the NEMBA, as stated above.

## Floral Species of Concern

During the field assessment one species of protected trees was observed: *Vachellia erioloba* (Camel Thorn), protected by the List of Protected Tree Species under the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) (NFA). In terms of the NFA, no person may cut, disturb, damage or destroy any protected tree or possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate, or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree or any product derived from a protected tree, except under a

licence or exemption granted by the Minister to an applicant and subject to such period and conditions as may be stipulated. Contravention of this declaration is regarded as a first category offence. Numerous Camel thorn trees occurred naturally spaced throughout the area (not to be confused with the *Vachellia robusta* found in between). The locations of the Camel thorn (marked) are shown in Figure 6-11. An example of the trees observed can be seen in Figure 6-12. The information provides an overview of the presence of protected trees recorded and is not a representation of the total number of specimens present for the site. A detailed population survey should be completed prior to the commencement of the project to inform the necessary permit application and whether or not an offset strategy is required.



**Figure 6-11** The location of Camel Thorn trees observed on-site

Note that not all Camel Thorn trees are indicated on the map.



**Figure 6-12** Photograph illustrating some of the Camel Thorns observed in the project area

## Fauna

Herpetofauna and mammal observations and recordings are addressed in this section.

### Amphibians and Reptiles

Five reptile and three amphibian species were recorded in the project area during the survey. Surveys relied on opportunistic sightings as opposed to intensive and appropriate sampling methods. The only other method utilised was refuge examinations using visual scanning of terrains to record smaller herpetofauna species that often conceal themselves under rocks, in fallen logs, rotten tree stumps, in leaf litter, rodent burrows, ponds, old termite mounds, this method was also not intensively applied in the field. None of the herpetofauna species recorded are regarded as threatened.

The use of the rocky outcrops in the project area by some of these species on the fine-scale habitats is important to consider for mitigation actions when an area is cleared for placement of the infrastructure.

**Table 6-7 Summary of herpetofauna species recorded within the project area.**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status	
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)
<b>Amphibians</b>			
<i>Amietia fuscigula</i>	Common River Frog	LC	LC
<i>Cacosternum boettgeri</i>	Common Caco	LC	LC
<i>Kassina senegalensis</i>	Bubbling Kassina	LC	LC
<b>Reptiles</b>			
<i>Acanthocercus atricollis</i>	Southern Tree Agama	LC	LC
<i>Lygodactylus capensis</i>	Cape dwarf gecko	LC	LC
<i>Trachylepis punctatissima</i>	Speckled Rock Skink	LC	LC
<i>Pachydactylus capensis</i>	Cape Gecko	LC	Unlisted
<i>Pelomedusa galeata</i>	South African Marsh Terrapin	Not evaluated	Unlisted





**Figure 6-13** Some of the reptile species recorded in and around the project area: A) Cape Gecko (*Pachydactylus capensis*), B) South African Marsh Terrapin (*Pelomedusa galeata*)

### Mammals

Seventeen mammal species were observed that could naturally occur outside of protected areas, while an additional eight species are expected, however mainly found restricted to protected areas/game farms as 'captive' species (Table 6-8). These observations were based on either direct observation or the presence of visual tracks and signs (Figure 7-1). One of the species recorded are regarded as an SCC (IUCN), namely Plains Zebra, however this species is regarded as a 'captive' species

The use of the rocky outcrops in the project area by some of these species on the fine-scale habitats is important to consider for mitigation actions when an area is cleared for placement of the infrastructure. Where feasible/possible, these habitats should be retained although it is not considered a no-go area for PV development.

**Table 6-8 Summary of mammal species recorded within the project area**

Mammal species are considered 'captive' species as these were only present within the game farm areas, marked in green text.

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status	
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)
<i>Aepyceros melampus</i>	Impala	LC	LC
<i>Alcelaphus buselaphus caama</i>	Red Hartebeest	LC	LC
<i>Antidorcas marsupialis</i>	Springbok	LC	LC
<i>Canis mesomelas</i>	Black-backed Jackal	LC	LC
<i>Chlorocebus pygerythrus</i>	Vervet Monkey	LC	LC
<i>Connochaetes taurinus</i>	Blue Wildebeest	LC	LC
<i>Cryptomys hottentotus</i>	Southern African Mole-rat	LC	LC
<i>Cynictis penicillata</i>	Yellow Mongoose	LC	LC
<i>Damaliscus pygargus</i>	Blesbok	LC	LC
<i>Equus quagga</i>	Plains Zebra	LC	NT
<i>Herpestes sanguineus</i>	Slender Mongoose	LC	LC
<i>Hystrix africaeaustralis</i>	Cape Porcupine	LC	LC
<i>Kobus ellipsiprymnus</i>	Common Waterbuck	LC	LC
<i>Lepus saxatilis</i>	Scrub Hare	LC	LC
<i>Micaelamys namaquensis</i>	Namaqua Rock Mouse	LC	LC
<i>Oryx gazella</i>	Gemsbok	LC	LC
<i>Phacochoerus africanus</i>	Common Warthog	LC	LC
<i>Procavia capensis</i>	Cape Rock Hyrax	LC	LC
<i>Proteles cristata</i>	Aardwolf	LC	LC
<i>Raphicerus campestris</i>	Steenbok	LC	LC
<i>Suricata suricatta</i>	Meerkat	LC	LC
<i>Sylvicapra grimmia</i>	Common Duiker	LC	LC
<i>Tragelaphus oryx</i>	Common Eland	LC	LC
<i>Tragelaphus strepsiceros</i>	Greater Kudu	LC	LC
<i>Xerus inauris</i>	South African Ground Squirrel	LC	LC


## 7. Habitat Assessment Site Ecological Importance

### 7.1. Habitats Observed

This section pertains to the overall habitat delineations that were assigned following the desktop assessment and field survey. For the fine-scale habitat assessment and corresponding Site Ecological Importance pertaining to the project – refer to Appendix A.

The main habitat types identified across the project area were initially identified largely based on aerial imagery. These main habitat types were refined based on the field coverage and data collected during the survey; the delineated habitats can be seen in Figure 7-2. Emphasis was placed on limiting timed meander searches within the natural habitats, i.e., habitats with a higher potential of hosting SCC.





**Figure 7-1** Some of the mammal species recorded in the project area, A) Red Hartebeest (*Alcelaphus buselaphus caama*), B) Cape Rock Hyrax (*Procavia capensis*), C) South African Ground Squirrel (*Xerus inauris*), D) Blesbok (*Damaliscus pygargus*), E) Southern African Mole-rat (*Cryptomys hottentotus*), F) Meerkat (*Suricata suricatta*) & Springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*) and G) Greater Kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*)






Figure 7-2 Habitats identified in the overall project area of interest



### Degraded habitat

This habitat type is regarded as degraded or semi-natural, it is the remainder of the habitat that has not been as disturbed by recent and historic grazing and is used as game farm area. This habitat represents an amalgamation of grassland-woodland vegetation resulting in a complex and slightly undulating landscape dissected by prominent rocky ridges as well as areas with dolomite extrusions in certain areas. Areas where more woody vegetation is found have deeper soils, whereas rocky/dolomite areas were occupied by shrubs and herbaceous plants and grasses.

The current ecological condition of this habitat, with regards to the main driving forces, are intact, which is evident in the amount and importance of the species recorded in the faunal assessment and the high species diversity and number of plant species recorded. Current human infringement occurs, especially in areas close to roads, however it is limited due to the current land use being a game farm.

The difference between this habitat and the significantly degraded habitat is the extent of the grazing, which is more severe in the latter. The unit acts as remaining natural areas which supports viable plant species populations and is also used for foraging. The unit also serves as a movement corridor for fauna within the landscape. Figure 7-3 and Figure 7-4 below shows examples of this habitat type.



**Figure 7-3** Example of degraded habitat from the project area, with more woody plants



**Figure 7-4** Exposed rock/dolomite are very common throughout the site, with more shrubs and herbaceous plants

### **Disturbed Habitat**

This area has been significantly disturbed and modified from its natural state, it represents habitat that is more disturbed than the 'degraded habitat' area, but not as disturbed as the 'transformed' area. This habitat is linked to areas that have been impacted more by historic overgrazing (waterpoints), mismanagement and land use (historic agriculture).

These habitats are not entirely transformed but exist in a constant disturbed state, as it cannot recover to a more natural state due to ongoing disturbances and impacts it receives from grazing and mismanagement. These areas are considered to have a low sensitivity as they may be used as a movement corridor and in many cases form a barrier between the more degraded bushveld and the transformed areas. (Figure 7-5)



**Figure 7-5**      *The disturbed habitat that is an old agricultural field.*

### **Rocky Habitats**

This habitat occurs in small portions within the area and consists of rocky outcrops made up of bedrock protruding from the soil layer with the associated boulders and large rocks. The habitat is used by faunal species as fine-scale habitats and is sensitive, so avoidance mitigation must be considered when these areas may be cleared for placement of the infrastructure. Figure 7-6 shows an example of rocky areas from the project area. Where feasible/possible, these habitats should be retained although it is not considered a no-go area for PV development.





**Figure 7-6** A typical example the rocky area habitat from the project area.

### **Transformed**

The transformed areas have little to no remaining natural vegetation due to land transformation by historic infrastructure such as homesteads. These habitats exist in a constant disturbed state as it cannot recover to a more natural state due to ongoing disturbances and impacts it receives.

### **Wetlands**

Wetlands are identified in the wetland report (TBC, 2022). Even though somewhat disturbed, the ecological integrity, importance and functioning of these areas play a crucial role as a water resource system. The preservation of this system is the most important aspect to consider for the proposed development. This habitat needs to be conserved.



**Figure 7-7** An example the wetland habitat from the project area.

### **Present Impacts to Biodiversity**

Considering the anthropogenic activities and influences within the landscape, several existing negative impacts on biodiversity were observed within the project area. The impacts were limited and sparse throughout, especially within the degraded habitat. These include:



Past agriculture;

- Clearance of vegetation;
- Farm roads;
- Presence of Alien and/or Invasive Plants (IAP);
- Powerlines;
- Poaching; and
- Fences and associated maintenance.



**Figure 7-8** *Some of the impacts observed in the project area; A) Wood harvesting, B) Alien invasive species, C) Livestock and D) Powerlines and fences*

## 7.2. Site Ecological Importance

As per the terms of reference for the project, GIS sensitivity maps are required in order to identify sensitive features in terms of the relevant specialist discipline/s within the project area. Based on the criteria provided in Section 4.4 of this report, all habitats within the assessment area of the proposed project were assigned a sensitivity category (Table 7-1). The location and extent of these habitats are illustrated in Figure 7-9. The guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance (SEI) in the context of the development activities can be seen in Table 7 2.

High Sensitivity areas are due to the following and the SEI guidelines can be seen in Table 7-2:

- ESA 1;
- The size (or extent) of each project component; and
- Unique, important (water resource) and low resilience habitats.

**Table 7-1 Summary of habitat types delineated within the field assessment area of the project area**

Habitat	Conservation Importance	Functional Integrity	Biodiversity Importance	Receptor Resilience	Site Ecological Importance
<b>Degraded Habitat</b>	Medium 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with potential to support SCC	High Large (> 20 ha but < 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type. Good habitat connectivity, with potentially functional ecological corridors and a regularly used road network between intact habitat patches. Only minor current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance and good rehabilitation potential.	Medium	Low As a result of the low rainfall (MAP 593 mm) in the area, vegetation will not easily be able to recover. This is also true for the seed germination of these species. The habitat is unlikely to be able to recover fully after a relatively long period: > 15 years required to restore. The dolomite habitat, if disturbed won't be able to naturally recover.	High
<b>Rocky Habitat</b>	Medium Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of populations of NT species	Medium (> 5 ha but < 20 ha) semi-intact area; Only narrow corridors of good habitat connectivity or larger areas of poor habitat connectivity	Medium	Very Low Ridges provide habitat for a wide variety of species. The vegetation found on rocky ridges is unique and highly susceptible to change and disturbance. Disturbance to the vegetation/habitat is unlikely to recover.	High
<b>Wetland</b>	Low The wetland study has determined the ecological significance of the system to be low.	Low The wetland study has determined the overall functionality of the system to be low.	Low	Very Low The system is unique to the catchment and cannot (easily) be re-created for the area if lost or disturbed.	Medium
<b>Significantly degraded</b>	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Medium
<b>Disturbed</b>	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low
<b>Transformed</b>	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Medium	Very Low

**Table 7-2 Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of the proposed development activities**

Site Ecological Importance	Interpretation in relation to development activities
High	Avoidance mitigation wherever possible. Minimisation mitigation – changes to project infrastructure design to limit the amount of habitat impacted, limited development activities of low impact acceptable. Offset mitigation may be required for high impact activities.
Medium	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Low	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Very Low	Minimisation mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable and restoration activities may not be required.






Figure 7-9 Ecological sensitivities in the Stilfontein Cluster project area

## 8. Impact Risk Assessment

### 8.1. Grid Project

Impacts on the terrestrial ecology associated with the Grid infrastructure in the project area are summarised below. **Project-specific impact ratings and recommended mitigation measures can be found in Appendix A.**

Potential impacts were evaluated against the baseline. Impacts associated with the development are rated in Appendix A based on the prescribed impact assessment methodology provided by SRK.

#### Alternatives considered

Substation alternatives were provided for the grid infrastructure, 2 substation options for every PV project site.

#### Description of Impacts

The grid infrastructure refers to the on-site substations as well as the associated powerlines and maintenance roads. As per the provided project description, vegetation in and near the transmission line servitude and substation will be trimmed, and shrubs and trees will be removed to ensure sufficient clearance between vegetation and the transmission line.

During **construction**, installation of this infrastructure is expected to result in the destruction, further loss and fragmentation of the vegetation community/ ecosystems.

The proposed vegetation clearance and levelling for substation infrastructure and roads and powerlines (limited) will physically remove vegetation as well as remove and fragment communities/ ecosystems. This will increase the potential for the establishment of alien and invasive vegetation; disruption in natural areas of phytomass, disturbance of soil and increase in human movements will increase the potential and likelihood of establishment of alien and invasive vegetation.

The actual footprint of the pole/pylon infrastructure has a small localised, impact. It is the clearance for the substation areas as well as creation of access and service roads that is a more important aspect to consider.

The removal of vegetation will result in the direct loss of habitat, forcing fauna species to move into new areas where more challenges may be present. This will cause further disruption to faunal populations by interfering with their movements and/or breeding activities. Direct mortalities from earth moving or transport vehicles and increased traffic due to construction work and the transportation of staff/materials. The movement of contractors to areas adjacent to (and beyond) the project area must be regulated. Unauthorised access to these areas increases the likelihood of poaching of species in what was previously seen as secluded habitat for fauna species. The (new) introduction of diseases and feral species such as cats and dogs to the area is unlikely due to the proximity of the project area to adjacent settlements and nearby homesteads.

Continued habitat degradation and fragmentation (dust, pollution, infringement and alien vegetation encroachment). Due to the vegetation communities that were cleared within the footprint area during the construction phase, being entirely transformed, indirect impacts to the surrounding vegetation communities and ecosystems are the main impact considered for the operational phase. The edges of the linear infrastructure, especially the roads and servitudes as well as the areas directly adjacent to the substations will likely be degraded by impacts such as dust (reduces the effectiveness of photosynthesis and pollination), and alien vegetation will become established in these disturbed areas. Unauthorised access of contractors to areas beyond the project area increase the likelihood of harvesting of plant species, the clearing of vegetation for agriculture and wood due to ease of access.

Ongoing displacement and mortality due to sensory disturbance and collisions during **operation** (noise, light, traffic, dust and pollution) from the vehicles and powerlines. Increased mortalities of fauna through collisions with vehicles.

Impacts regarding the **decommissioning** phase may be detrimental but have also have the potential to allow for the recovery of vegetation communities/ ecosystems depending on the extent and effort of the rehabilitation measures. Removal of all infrastructure is required to enable the recovery of vegetation communities/ ecosystems within the footprint area. Due to the transformed state to the area, the potential of impacting the vegetation community/ecosystem directly is unlikely.

Rehabilitation efforts and removal of all structures and materials will result in conditions for potential re-establishment of vegetation communities/ ecosystems and the removal of vehicles from the area, removing the prospect of collisions.

### Construction

The following potential main impacts on the biodiversity (based on the framework above) were considered for the construction phase of the proposed development. This phase refers to the period during construction when the proposed features are constructed; and is considered to have the largest direct impact on biodiversity. The following potential impacts to terrestrial biodiversity were considered:

- Destruction, further loss and fragmentation of the of habitats, ecosystems and vegetation community, including protected species;
- Spread and/or establishment of alien and/or invasive species;
- Displacement of faunal community due to habitat loss, direct mortalities and disturbance (road collisions, noise, dust, vibration, fencing and poaching); and
- Dust generation from construction activities.

The impact significance of construction activities, concerning the pre-and post-mitigation will mainly differ in regard to **intensity** and **duration** of the impact. The difference in the impact significance can be seen in the impact tables in Appendix A.

Mitigation efforts such as minimising and demarcating the footprint of the infrastructure must be implemented to limit the extent of the development, and will further reduce the impacts to an acceptable low residual level. The retaining of vegetation as much as is feasible would also contribute to minimising the extent of the impact caused by vegetation removal and habitat fragmentation. This may include minimising the number of grid pylons required for the project, and also considering a road with two separate wheel-ruts (or jeep track) service roads as opposed to the clearing for a solid road.

For the substation footprint, more extensive construction mitigation efforts, such as limiting dust generation as well as post-construction mitigation, such as progressive rehabilitation and IAP control, will be required to reduce the impacts to an acceptable low level.

### Operational Phase

Activities during the operational phase are anticipated to further spread the IAPs, as well as deteriorate the habitats due to the increase of dust and edge effect impacts. Dust reduces the ability of plants to photosynthesize and thus leads to degradation/retrogression of the veld. Maintenance and operating vehicles don't only cause sensory disturbances to fauna affecting their life cycles and movement, but can lead to direct mortalities and cause injury due to collision.

The following potential impacts were considered:

Continued fragmentation and degradation of habitats, ecosystems and ESA areas;

Spread of alien and/or invasive species;



Ongoing displacement and direct mortalities of faunal community (including SCC) due to disturbance (road collisions, noise, dust, fencing and poaching).

The impact significance of operational activities, concerning the pre-and post-mitigation will mainly differ in regard to **intensity** and **duration** of the impact. The difference in the impact significance can be seen in the impact tables in Appendix A.

Mitigation efforts such as the implementation of an alien vegetation management plan, controlling waste and managing edge effect impacts will reduce the impacts to an acceptable low level.

For the substation footprint, more extensive post-construction mitigation efforts such as rehabilitation and IAP control, will be required to reduce the impacts to an acceptable low level.

### **Decommissioning Phase**

This phase is when the scaling down of activities ahead of temporary or permanent closure is initiated. During this phase, the operational phase impacts will persist until of the activity reduces and the rehabilitation measures are implemented

The following potential impacts were considered:

Continued fragmentation and degradation of habitats and ecosystems; and

Spread of alien and/or invasive species.

The impact significance of decommissioning activities, concerning the alternatives as well as pre-and post-mitigation will mainly differ in regard to **intensity** and **duration** of the impact. The difference in the impact significance can be seen in the impact tables in Appendix A.

Mitigation efforts such as restricting the decommissioning to the extent of the developed area will reduce the impacts to an acceptable low level. Decommissioning of the area also allows for the rehabilitation and recovery of the area to enhance the ecological integrity and functioning of the habitat.

For the substation footprint, more extensive decommission mitigation efforts, such as limiting dust generation as well as rehabilitation and IAP control and monitoring, will be required to reduce the impacts to an acceptable low level.

### **8.2. Mitigation Measures<sup>3</sup>**

The following mitigation measures are applicable to the different technology alternatives. However, the level of effort to implement these measures and the associated extent, particularly in regards to vegetation rehabilitation, would be higher for Panel Technology Two. The following measures must be incorporated into the EMP:

- Do not clear areas of indigenous vegetation outside of the direct project footprint;
- Minimise vegetation clearing to the minimum required. Areas should be cleared and disturbed on a needs basis only, as opposed to clearing and disturbing a number of sites simultaneously;
- Demarcate work areas during the construction phase to avoid affecting outside areas. Use physical barriers e.g., safety tape, not painted lines, and use signage;
- Collect and dump waste only in designated areas;
- Use hand cutting for vegetation clearing and avoid heavy machinery, as far as possible;
- Use existing access routes and paths wherever possible;
- Avoid the disturbance or destruction of Rocky habitat, as far as possible;

---

<sup>3</sup> Mitigation measures for the overall project as a whole, including general best practice.

- Existing roads/servitudes should be considered first option over the construction of new roads/servitudes and must only be made where necessary;
- Any holes/deep excavations must be done in a progressive manner on a needs basis only. No holes/excavations may be left open overnight. In the event holes/excavations are required to remain open overnight, these areas must be covered to prevent fauna falling into these areas;
- Where possible, work should be restricted to one area at a time and be systematic. This is to reduce the number and extent of on-site activities, allowing fauna to move off as the project progresses. This will give the smaller birds, mammals and reptiles a chance to weather the disturbance in an undisturbed zone close to their natural territories;
- Prior to vegetation clearing activities, the area to be cleared should be walked on foot by 1-2 individuals to create a disturbance in order for fauna to move off. Sites should be disturbed only prior to the area having to be cleared, not more than 1 day in advance;
- Limit construction of new roads as much as possible;
- Minimise the number (and size) of laydown, storage and staff facilities for the duration of the project;
- Consult a fire expert and compile and implement a fire management plan to minimise the risk of veld fires around the project site;
- Compile and implement a rehabilitation plan from the onset of the project. Progressive rehabilitation will enable topsoil to be returned more rapidly, thus ensuring more recruitment from the existing seedbank. Surplus rehabilitation material can be applied to others in need of stabilisation and vegetation cover;
- Rehabilitate areas as soon as they are no longer impacted by construction;
- Ensure that all remaining construction materials are removed from the project area once the construction phase ends;
- Use preferably prefabricated buildings or those constructed of re-usable/recyclable materials;
- Ensure that staff do not bring onto or remove from the site any plants, to prevent the spread of exotic or invasive species or the illegal collection of plants;
- Store topsoil stockpiles on flat ground with minimal run-off and use bunds and/or other stabilisation methods (e.g., netting) if required to avoid erosion;
- Obtain relocation or destruction permits before any protected trees (*Vachellia erioloba*) are destroyed, if destruction cannot be avoided;
  - In situations where the protected plants must be removed, the proponent may only do so after the required permission/permits have been obtained in accordance with national legislation. In the abovementioned situation the development of a search, rescue and recovery program is suggested for the protection of these species.
- Provide Environmental Awareness Training to all personnel and contractors. A signed register of attendance must be kept for proof. The training must include:
  - Sensitive environmental receptors within the project area;
  - Management requirements in the Environmental Authorisation and the EMPr;
  - How to deal with any fauna species encountered during the construction process;
- Compile and implement a hydrocarbon spill management plan;
- Compile and implement an alien vegetation management plan from the onset of construction. The plan must identify areas for action (if any) and prescribe the necessary removal methods

and frequencies to be applied. This plan must be also prescribe a monitoring plan and be updated as/when new data is collated;

- It should be made an offence for any staff to take/bring any plant species into/out of any portion of the project area. No plant species whether indigenous or exotic should be brought into/taken from the project area, to prevent the spread of exotic or invasive species or the illegal collection of plants
- Implement a waste management plan, this plan must be also prescribe a monitoring plan and be updated as/when new data is collated. Waste management must be a priority and all waste must be collected, stored and disposed of adequately. It is recommended that all waste be removed from site on a weekly basis (as a minimum) to prevent rodents and pests entering the site;
- Refuse bins must be emptied regularly and secured;
- Temporary storage of domestic waste shall be in covered waste skips; and
- Maximum domestic waste storage period will be 7 days.
- A pest control plan must be put in place and implemented; it is imperative that poisons not be used;
- The timing between clearing of an area and subsequent development must be minimized to avoid fauna from re-entering the site to be disturbed;
- Minimise traffic of the road during the night;
- Limiting the closure and rehabilitation activities to the footprint areas only. Avoid entry/access to previously undisturbed or already rehabilitated areas;
- The rehabilitated areas must be revegetated with indigenous vegetation;
- Areas other than the footprint areas and existing surface infrastructure areas, should be declared as 'no-go' areas to vehicles (only). All essential operational staff - machinery must be limited to development area (no need to go outside the authorised area);
- Prohibit the intentional killing, trapping or poisoning of any animals on-site, including snakes, lizards, birds or other animals;
- Outside lighting should be designed and limited to minimize impacts on fauna. Lighting fixtures should be fitted with baffles, hoods or louvres and directed downward. Outside lighting should be directed away from highly sensitive areas such as the wetland. Fluorescent and mercury vapor lighting should be avoided and sodium vapor (yellow) lights should be used wherever possible;
- Where feasible, motion detection lighting must be used to minimise the unnecessary illumination of areas;
- Minimise traffic and the use of vehicle lights of road during the night;
- Noise must be kept to a minimum from dusk to dawn to minimize all possible disturbances to amphibian species and nocturnal mammals;
- Speed limits must be enforced to ensure that road killings and erosion is limited;
- Dust-reducing mitigation measures must be put in place and must be strictly adhered to, for all roads and bare (unvegetated) areas;
- Reduce the dust generated by operational vehicles and earth moving machinery, through wetting the soil surface (with "dirty water") and putting up signs to enforce speed limits to enforce reduced speeds; and

- No non-environmentally friendly dust suppressants may be used as this could result in pollution of water sources.

### 8.3. Cumulative Impact Assessment

The impacts of projects are often assessed by comparing the post-project situation to a pre-existing baseline. Where projects can be considered in isolation this provides a good method of assessing a project's impact. However, in areas where baselines have already been affected, or where future development will continue to add to the impacts in an area or region, it is appropriate to consider the cumulative effects of development. This is similar to the concept of shifting baselines, which describes how the environmental baseline at a point in time may represent a significant change from the original state of the system. This section describes the potential impacts of the project that are cumulative for fauna and flora.

Localised cumulative impacts include the cumulative effects of the whole Stilfontein development together; other developments in the area that are close enough to potentially cause additive effects on the environment or sensitive receivers (such as nearby renewable energy or PV activities within the area) (Table 8-2) and general habitat loss and transformation resulting from other activities in the area. Potential cumulative impacts include dust deposition, noise and vibration and loss or disturbance of ecological corridors and habitat.

The proposed facilities and accompanying infrastructure are located in two vegetation types, namely the Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland and the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland. The total footprint area proposed to be developed for the project measures 2,470 ha (Table 8-1). A total area of the habitat types within the 30 km radius equates to approximately 63,618 ha of very similar habitat. A total area of 34,740 ha is associated with the Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland and an area measuring 28,878 ha is associated with the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland. Due to the development in these habitat types, a total area measuring 1,343 ha of Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland will be lost and an area measuring 1,118 ha of Carletonville Dolomite Grassland will be lost. This equates to 3.9% of habitat area being lost due to the combined Stilfontein Cluster development (Table 8-1). Based on this, the overall impact of the proposed Stilfontein Cluster development considered in isolation is expected to be low.

However, considering the number of known and planned (Table 8-2) other PV facilities and the associated powerlines in the area, the cumulative impact is expected to be medium. These would collectively result in a large area of habitat disturbance/loss. Long-term cumulative impacts due to extensive solar farm footprint, powerlines and substations can lead to the loss of endemic species and threatened species, loss of habitat and vegetation types and even degradation of well conserved areas.

The PV panels and associated infrastructure of all solar projects considered in the area are expected to have a medium detrimental cumulative impact, as they significantly add to the existing disturbance from mining, urban areas and agriculture in the region (

<b>Kabi Vaalkop PV Facility</b>	12/12/20/2513/4/AM1	n/a	Approved
<b>Kabi Vaalkop PV Facility</b>	12/12/20/2513/4	75 MW	Approved
<b>YMS Mineral Resources PV Plant</b>	12/12/20/2629/AM1	20 MW	Approved
<b>Buffels Solar PV 1</b>	14/12/16/3/3/2/777	75 MW	Approved
<b>Buffels Solar PV 2</b>	14/12/16/3/3/2/778	100 MW	Approved
<b>Orkney Solar PV</b>	14/12/16/3/3/2/954/AM1	100 MW	Approved
<b>Vaal River Solar 3 PV facility</b>	12/12/20/2513/3/AM6	250 MW	Approved
<b>Witkop Solar PV II</b>	12/12/20/2507/2	61 MW	In process
<b>Paleso Solar PV</b>	14/12/16/3/3/1/2365	150 MW	Approved



Siyanda Solar PV	14/12/16/3/3/2/1/2369	150 MW	Approved
------------------	-----------------------	--------	----------

Table 8-3). Cumulatively these developments will be responsible for the destruction of a large portion of grassland in the area

**Table 8-1** Calculations for the loss of habitats as a result of the project

Vegetation Type	Pre-Development (ha)	Post-Development (ha)	Area Lost (ha)	Overall Percentage
Carletonville Dolomite Grassland	28 878	27 760	1 118	3.9%
Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland	34 740	33 397	1 343	3.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>63 618</b>	<b>61 157</b>	<b>2 461</b>	<b>3.9%</b>

**Table 8-2** Table below lists approved / under investigation RE projects within 30 km, based on the DFFE Q3 2021 REEA database.

Project	DFFE Reference	Capacity	EA Status
Kabi Vaalkop PV Facility	12/12/20/2513/4/AM1	n/a	Approved
Kabi Vaalkop PV Facility	12/12/20/2513/4	75 MW	Approved
YMS Mineral Resources PV Plant	12/12/20/2629/AM1	20 MW	Approved
Buffels Solar PV 1	14/12/16/3/3/2/777	75 MW	Approved
Buffels Solar PV 2	14/12/16/3/3/2/778	100 MW	Approved
Orkney Solar PV	14/12/16/3/3/2/954/AM1	100 MW	Approved
Vaal River Solar 3 PV facility	12/12/20/2513/3/AM6	250 MW	Approved
Witkop Solar PV II	12/12/20/2507/2	61 MW	In process
Paleso Solar PV	14/12/16/3/3/1/2365	150 MW	Approved
Siyanda Solar PV	14/12/16/3/3/2/1/2369	150 MW	Approved

**Table 8-3** Cumulative impact assessed

	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Overall impact of the Stilfontein cluster development considered in isolation	Local	Low	Long-term	Medium	Definite	LOW	- ve	High
	1	1	3	6				
Cumulative impact of the Stilfontein cluster and other PV projects in the area	Local	Medium	Long-term	Medium	Definite	MEDIUM	- ve	High
	1	2	3	6				

## 9. Conclusion & Specialist Opinion

Overall, the completion of a comprehensive desktop study in conjunction with the results from the field survey, suggests there is good confidence in the information collated and generated for this project.

The current project layout overlaps within sensitive habitats and other areas of good biodiversity potential. Portions of the current development would be considered to pose a high unmitigated negative impact as it may fully transform the habitat of protected plant species and expected listed faunal species that use these ecosystems, depending on the nature and size of the developments.

Development within confirmed high sensitivity areas may be considered favourably but the mitigation measures stipulated in this report must be implemented.

Any development in the high sensitivity areas may lead the direct destruction and loss of portions of functional ESA, and the floral and faunal species that are expected to utilise this habitat. However, the project area is located within the Klerksdorp REDZ as well as the Central STC and facilitates the process for responsible renewable development. All project aspects can be effectively mitigated to an acceptable residual impact in support of the renewable development project.

### 9.1. Impact Statement

#### Substation and Grid

The substation and grid projects are expected to have a limited impact. The actual footprint of the pole/pylon infrastructure has a small localised, impact. It is the clearance for the substation areas as well as creation off access and service roads that is a more important aspect to consider. If mitigation measures as described in this report is implemented, it will reduce the significance of the risk to an acceptable level. Development within the high sensitivity is not regarded as a fatal flaw for the project and can be effectively mitigated.

The project may be favourably considered for environmental authorisation, and that all prescribed mitigation measures and supporting recommendations be implemented.

## 10. References

- ADU (Animal Demography Unit). (2017). Virtual Museum.
- Alexander, G. & Marais, J. (2007). A guide to the Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town.
- Apps, P. 2008. Smithers' Mammals of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town.
- Avenant N, Wilson B, Power RJ, Palmer G, Child MF. 2016. A conservation assessment of *Mystromys albicaudatus*. In Child MF, Roxburgh L, Do Linh San E, Raimondo D, Davies-Mostert HT, editors. The Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Swaziland and Lesotho. South African National Biodiversity Institute and Endangered Wildlife Trust, South Africa.
- Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J & de Villiers, M.S. (Eds). 2014. Atlas and Red List of Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Suricata 1. South African Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- BGIS (Biodiversity GIS). (2017). <http://bgis.sanbi.org/>
- BirdLife South Africa. 2015. Important Bird Areas 2015 [vector geospatial dataset]. Available from the [Biodiversity GIS website](#), downloaded on 09 March 2022.
- BirdLife (2022a) Species factsheet: *Circus macrourus*. Downloaded from <http://www.birdlife.org> on 10/03/2022.
- BODATSA-POSA. (2021). Plants of South Africa - an online checklist. POSA ver. 3.0. <http://newposa.sanbi.org/>.
- Boycott, R. and Bourquin, R. 2000. The Southern African Tortoise Book – A Guide to Southern African Tortoises, Terrapins and Turtles. Revised Edition. Hilton. 228 pages.
- Branch, W.R. (1998). Field Guide to Snakes and Other Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town.
- Bird Atlas Project (SABAP2). (2012). <http://vmus.adu.org.za/>
- DEA. 2016. Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA), 2016. National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy for South Africa 2016. Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria, South Africa.
- DEA. 2021. SAPAD (South Africa Protected Areas Database) and SACAD (South Africa Conservation Areas Database) (2021). <http://egis.environment.gov.za>
- Du Preez, L. & Carruthers, V. (2009) A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.
- Eskom. (2015). Taylor, M.R., Peacock, F. & Wanless, R.M. (Eds). The 2015 Eskom Red Data Book of birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. BirdLife South Africa, Johannesburg.
- Exigo Sustainability (2016). An ecological impact assessment for the proposed expansion of the Thaba Cronimet chrome mine, Northam area, Limpopo province
- EWT. (2016). Mammal Red List 2016. [www.ewt.org.za](http://www.ewt.org.za)
- EWT (Endangered Wildlife Trust). (2017). Threatened Amphibian Programme. (2015). The Southern African Frog Atlas Project <https://www.ewt.org.za/TAP/reference.html> (SAFAP, now FrogMAP). <http://vmus.adu.org.za>
- Fish, L., Mashau, A.C., Moeaha, M.J. & Nembudani, M.T. (2015). Identification Guide to Southern African Grasses: An Identification Manual with Keys, Descriptions, and Distributions. SANBI, Pretoria.
- Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology. 2021a. Frog Atlas of Southern Africa. <http://frogmap.adu.org.za/>

- Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology. 2021b. Reptile Atlas of Africa. <http://sarca.adu.org.za>
- Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology. 2021c. Virtual Museum of African Mammals. [Website](#).
- Goff, F., Dawson, G., & Rochow, J. (1982). Site examination for threatened and endangered plant species. *Environmental Management*, 6(4), 307-316.
- IUCN spatial dataset. (2017). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2017-1. <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded: March 2022.
- IUCN. (2021). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. [www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org)
- Johnson, S. & Bytebier, B. (2015). *Orchids of South Africa: A Field Guide*. Struik publishers, Cape Town.
- MammalMap. (2017). <http://mammalmap.adu.org.za/>
- Marais. 2004. *A Complete Guide to the Snakes of Southern Africa. Second Addition*. Struik publishers, Cape Town.
- Measey, G.J. (2011). *Ensuring a Future for South Africa's Frogs: A Strategy for Conservation Research*. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Minter, L., Burger, M., Harrison, J.A. & Kloepfer, D. (2004). *Atlas and Red Data Book of the Frogs of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Smithsonian Institute Avian Demography Unit, Washington; Cape Town.
- Monadjem, A., Taylor, P.J., Coterrill, F.D.P. & Schoeman, C. (2010). *Bats of southern and central Africa: a biogeographic and taxonomic synthesis*. Wits University Press, Johannesburg.
- Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.). 2006. *The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Strelizia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South African.
- Mucina, L., Rutherford, M.C. & Powrie, L.W. (Eds.). 2007. *Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. 1:1 000 000 scale sheet maps. 2nd ed.* South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- NBA (2018). National Biodiversity Assessment spatial data. <http://bgis.sanbi.org/>
- Nel JL, Murray KM, Maherry AM, Petersen CP, Roux DJ, Driver A, Hill L, Van Deventer H, Funke N, Swartz ER, Smith-Adao LB, Mbona N, Downsborough L and Nienaber S. 2011. Technical Report for the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas project. WRC Report No. K5/1801.
- National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy for South Africa (NPAES) (2016). Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Raimondo, D. (2009). *Red list of South African Plants*. SANBI, Pretoria.
- READ. 2015. North West Department of Rural, Environment and Agricultural Development (READ). (2015) North West Biodiversity Sector Plan. North West Provincial Government, Mahikeng. December 2015
- SABAP2. 2019. South African Bird Atlas Project. Available at: <https://sabap2.birdmap.africa/coverage>. (Accessed: March 2022).
- SANBI. 2013. *Grasslands Ecosystem Guidelines: landscape interpretation for planners and managers*. Compiled by Cadman, M., de Villiers, C., Lechmere-Oertel, R. and D. McCulloch. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. 139 pages.
- SANBI-BGIS. 2017. *Technical guidelines for CBA Maps: Guidelines for developing a map of Critical Biodiversity Areas & Ecological Support Areas using systematic biodiversity planning*.

SANBI. (2017). South African National Biodiversity Institute – Red List of South African Plants. <http://redlist.sanbi.org/>

Scientific Aquatic services (2020a). Biodiversity status quo assessment for surface right area of the Rustenburg Platinum mines limited, Amandelbult Section near Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province. Section C Faunal Assessment

Scientific Aquatic services (2020b). Biodiversity status quo assessment for surface right area of the Rustenburg Platinum mines limited, Amandelbult Section near Thabazimbi, Limpopo Province. Section B Flora Assessment

Scientific Terrestrial services (2019). Terrestrial ecological assessment as part of the environmental impact assessment process for the proposed diversion of the Bierspruit river associated with the proposed opencast mine at Amandelbult, Thabazimbi, Limpopo province.

Skowno, A.L., Raimondo, D.C., Poole, C.J., Fizzotti, B. & Slingsby, J.A. (eds.). 2019. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 Technical Report Volume 1: Terrestrial Realm. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Sinclair, I and Ryan, P. 2010. Birds of Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

Stuart, C and Stuart, M. 2000. A Field Guide to the Tracks and Signs of Southern and East African Wildlife. Southern Book Publishers.

TBC (The Biodiversity Company) (2022). Baseline & Impact Assessment for the Proposed Mainstream Stilfontein Solar Projects Projects.

Taylor MR, Peacock F, Wanless RM (eds). 2015. The Eskom Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. BirdLife South Africa. Johannesburg, South Africa.

Taylor P, Baxter R, Child MF. 2016. A conservation assessment of *Otomys auratus*. In Child MF, Roxburgh L, Do Linh San E, Raimondo D, Davies-Mostert HT, editors. The Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Swaziland and Lesotho. South African National Biodiversity Institute and Endangered Wildlife Trust, South Africa.

Van Deventer, H., Smith-Adao, L., Collins, N.B., Grenfell, M., Grundling, A., Grundling, P-L., Impson, D., Job, N., Lötter, M., Ollis, D., Petersen, C., Scherman, P., Sieben, E., Snaddon, K., Tererai, F. and Van der Colff D. 2019. *South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: Technical Report*. Volume 2b: Inland Aquatic (Freshwater) Realm. CSIR report number CSIR/NRE/ECOS/IR/2019/0004/A. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12143/6230>.

Van Deventer, H., Smith-Adao, L., Mbona, N., Petersen, C., Skowno, A., Collins, N.B., Grenfell, M., Job, N., Lötter, M., Ollis, D., Scherman, P., Sieben, E. & Snaddon, K. 2018. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: Technical Report. Volume 2a: South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE). Version 3, final released on 3 October 2019. Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI): Pretoria, South Africa.


Yarnell RW, Richmond-Coggan L, Bussi ere E, Williams K, Bissett C, Welch R, Wiesel I. 2016. A conservation assessment of *Parahyaena brunnea*. In Child MF, Roxburgh L, Do Linh San E, Raimondo D, Davies-Mostert HT, editors. The Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Swaziland and Lesotho. South African National Biodiversity Institute and Endangered Wildlife Trust, South Africa.



## 11. Appendix A - Project Specific Results: Stilfontein Grid Connection

### Specific Project Area

The project component is presented as a map in Figure 11-1 below.




**Figure 11-1** Map<sup>4</sup> illustrating an overview of the Stilfontein on-site Substation

### Fine-scale Habitat Assessment

Figure 11-2 shows the identified habitats in relation to the specific substation and associated powerline. Both substation options occur in degraded habitat, whereas the line traverses disturbed and degraded habitat. Both substations have equal preference.

<sup>4</sup> 100m buffers for both the on-site substation as well as the MTS is applicable.



**Figure 11-2** Map<sup>5</sup> illustrating the fine-scale habitats of the Stilfontein on-site Substation

### Project Site Ecological Importance

The location and extent of all habitats are illustrated in Figure 7-2 above. Based on the criteria provided in Section 4.4 of this report, all habitats within the assessment area of the project were allocated a sensitivity category (Table 11-1). The sensitivities of the habitat types delineated are illustrated in Figure 11-3 below.

The completion of the terrestrial biodiversity assessment confirmed the high sensitivity of certain habitats that overlap with the project area and therefore the assessment findings corroborate the screening report. The plant and animal species themes are validated as being of medium sensitivity. The high sensitivity habitats include the degraded habitats. Guidelines for the development in high sensitivity areas require avoidance mitigation wherever possible and also minimisation mitigation to limit the amount of habitat impacted.

**Table 11-1** SEI Summary of habitat types delineated within the project area

Habitat	Conservation Importance	Functional Integrity	Biodiversity Importance	Receptor Resilience	Site Ecological Importance
<b>Degraded Habitat</b>	Medium 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with potential to support SCC	High Large (> 20 ha but < 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type. Good habitat connectivity, with potentially functional ecological corridors and a regularly used road network between intact habitat patches. Only minor	Medium	Low As a result of the low rainfall (MAP 593 mm) in the area, vegetation will not easily be able to recover. This is also true for the seed germination of these species. The habitat is unlikely to be able to recover fully after a relatively	High

<sup>5</sup> 100m buffers for both the on-site substation as well as the MTS is applicable.



		current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance and good rehabilitation potential.		long period: > 15 years required to restore. The dolomite habitat, if disturbed won't be able to naturally recover.	
Disturbed	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low




Figure 11-3 Sensitivity<sup>6</sup> of the Stilfontein on-site Substation

Impact Assessment

Table Assessment of significance of potential impacts on terrestrial biodiversity associated with the construction phase of the project

Destruction, further loss and fragmentation of the of habitats, ecosystems (ESA1) and vegetation community, including protected species								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Medium-term	Low	Definite	LOW	- ve	High
	1	2	2	5				
Essential mitigation measures:								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Demarcate work areas during the construction phase to avoid affecting outside areas. Use physical barriers e.g., safety tape, not painted lines, and use signage.</li> <li>Do not clear areas of indigenous vegetation outside of the direct project footprint.</li> <li>Minimise vegetation clearing to the minimum required.</li> <li>Existing roads/servitudes should be considered first option over the construction of new roads/servitudes and must only be made where necessary.</li> <li>Consult a fire expert and compile and implement a fire management plan to minimise the risk of veld fires around the project site.</li> <li>Compile and implement a rehabilitation plan from the onset of the project.</li> </ul>								

<sup>6</sup> 100m buffers for both the on-site substation as well as the MTS is applicable.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rehabilitate areas as soon as they are no longer impacted by construction.</li> <li>The rehabilitated areas must be revegetated with indigenous vegetation.</li> <li>Progressive rehabilitation will enable topsoil to be returned more rapidly, thus ensuring more recruitment from the existing seedbank. Surplus rehabilitation material can be applied to other others in need of stabilisation and vegetation cover.</li> </ul>								
With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Definite	VERY LOW	- ve	High
	1	1	1	3				

Spread of alien and/or invasive species								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Medium-term	Low	Probable	LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	2	2	5				

**Essential mitigation measures:**

- Compile and implement an alien vegetation management plan from the onset of construction. The plan must identify areas for action (if any) and prescribe the necessary removal methods and frequencies to be applied. This plan must also prescribe a monitoring plan and be updated as/when new data is collated.
- Implementation of a waste management plan, this plan must also prescribe a monitoring plan and be updated as/when new data is collated. Waste management must be a priority and all waste must be collected, stored and disposed of adequately. It is recommended that all waste be removed from site on a weekly basis (as a minimum) to prevent rodents and pests entering the site.

With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	High
	1	1	1	3				

Displacement of faunal community due to habitat loss, direct mortalities and disturbance (road collisions, noise, dust, vibration, fencing and poaching)								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	2	1	4				

**Essential mitigation measures:**

- Demarcate work areas during the construction phase to avoid affecting outside areas. Use physical barriers e.g., safety tape, not painted lines, and use signage.
- Minimise vegetation clearing to the minimum required. Areas should be cleared and disturbed on a needs basis only, as opposed to clearing and disturbing a number of sites simultaneously.
- Provide All personnel and contractors to undergo Environmental Awareness Training to all personnel and contractors. A signed register of attendance must be kept for proof.
- The timing between clearing of an area and subsequent development must be minimized to avoid fauna from re-entering the site to be disturbed.
- Any holes/deep excavations must be done in a progressive manner on a needs basis only. No holes/excavations may be left open overnight. In the event holes/excavations are required to remain open overnight, these areas must be covered to prevent fauna falling into these areas.
- Where possible, work should be restricted to one area at a time and be systematic. This is to reduce the number and extent of on-site activities, allowing fauna to move off as the project progresses. This will give the smaller birds, mammals and reptiles a chance to weather the disturbance in an undisturbed zone close to their natural territories.
- Prior to vegetation clearing activities, the area to be cleared should be walked on foot by 1-2 individuals to create a disturbance in order for fauna to move off. Sites should be disturbed only prior to the area having to be cleared, not more than 1 day in advance.

With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	1	3				

Dust generation from construction activities								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence



Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	2	1	4				
<b>Essential mitigation measures:</b>								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dust-reducing mitigation measures must be put in place and must be strictly adhered to, for all roads and bare (unvegetated) areas.</li> <li>Reduce the dust generated by operational vehicles and earth moving machinery, through wetting the soil surface and putting up signs to enforce speed limits to enforce reduced speeds.</li> <li>No non-environmentally friendly suppressants may be used as this could result in pollution of water sources.</li> </ul>								
With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	1	3				

**Table Assessment of significance of potential impacts on terrestrial biodiversity associated with the operational phase of the project**

Continued fragmentation and degradation of habitats, ecosystems and ESA areas								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	2	4				
<b>Essential mitigation measures:</b>								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It should be made an offence for any staff to /take bring any plant species into/out of any portion of the project area. No plant species whether indigenous or exotic should be brought into/taken from the project area, to prevent the spread of exotic or invasive species or the illegal collection of plants.</li> <li>Implementation of an alien vegetation management plan.</li> </ul>								
With mitigation	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	2	4				

Spread and establishment of alien and/or invasive species								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	2	4				
<b>Essential mitigation measures:</b>								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implementation of an alien vegetation management plan.</li> <li>Implementation of a waste management plan.</li> </ul>								
With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	High
	1	1	1	3				

Ongoing displacement of faunal community due to habitat loss, direct mortalities and disturbance (road collisions, noise, dust, fencing and poaching)								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	2	4				
<b>Essential mitigation measures:</b>								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outside lighting should be designed and limited to minimize impacts on fauna. Lighting fixtures should be fitted with baffles, hoods or louvres and directed downward. Outside lighting should be directed away from highly sensitive areas such as the wetland. Fluorescent and mercury vapor lighting should be avoided and sodium vapor (yellow) lights should be used wherever possible;</li> <li>Where feasible, motion detection lighting must be used to minimise the unnecessary illumination of areas</li> <li>Minimise traffic and the use of vehicle lights of the road during the night.</li> </ul>								

With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	1	3				

**Table Assessment of significance of potential impacts on terrestrial biodiversity associated with the decommissioning phase of the project**

Continued fragmentation and degradation of habitats, ecosystems and ESA areas								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	2	4				
<b>Essential mitigation measures:</b>								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limiting the closure and rehabilitation activities to the footprint areas only. Avoid entry/access to previously undisturbed or already rehabilitated areas.</li> <li>Areas other than the footprint areas and existing surface infrastructure areas, should be declared as 'no-go' areas to vehicles (only). All essential operational staff – machinery must be limited to development area (no need to go outside the authorised area).</li> <li>The rehabilitated areas must be revegetated with indigenous vegetation.</li> <li>Reduce the dust generated by operational vehicles and earth moving machinery, through wetting the soil surface and putting up signs to enforce speed limits to enforce reduced speeds.</li> <li>Implementation of rehabilitation plan.</li> <li>Implementation of an alien vegetation management plan.</li> </ul>								
With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	1	3				

Spread and establishment of alien and/or invasive species								
	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Probable	VERY LOW	- ve	Medium
	1	1	2	4				
<b>Essential mitigation measures:</b>								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ongoing implementation of an alien vegetation management plan. The updated plan must advise on the monitoring frequency post closure of the project, and then advise on the 'completion' the plan as data is collated.</li> </ul>								
With mitigation	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	INSIGNIFICANT	- ve	Medium
	1	1	1	3				

### Mitigation Measures

Mitigation measures can be seen in section 8.2 Additionally, the Wetland habitats should be avoided as specified in the wetland report (TBC, 2022).

### Specialist Recommendations

The recommendations, impact and mitigation as found in the wetland report (TBC, 2022) should be adhered to.

### Conclusion & Specialist Opinion

The completion of a comprehensive desktop study, in conjunction with the results from the field survey, suggests there is good confidence in the information collated and generated for this project. The survey ensured that there was suitable ground-truth coverage of the area, and that habitats and ecosystems were adequately assessed to generate a species (fauna and flora) overview and to identify the major current impacts for the area.

The current layout overlaps within sensitive habitats and other areas of good biodiversity potential. Portions of the current development would be considered to pose a high unmitigated negative impact

in regard to the substation footprint as it would directly affect the habitat of protected plant species and expected listed faunal species that use these ecosystems.

Development within confirmed high sensitivity areas, may be considered favourably and implementation of the mitigation hierarchy must be demonstrated. This must include concerted efforts to avoid these highly sensitive areas where feasible, and disturbances must be kept to a minimum. In conclusion of the baseline, the specialist can confirm that the high sensitivity terrestrial areas still:

- Serve as and represent ESA 1 as per the Conservation Plan;
- Supports and protects fauna and flora (including protected species); and
- Support various organisms and may play a more important role in the ecosystem if left to recover from the superficial impacts.

The ecological integrity, importance and functioning of these ecosystems provide a variety of ecological services considered beneficial, with one key service being the maintenance of biodiversity and connectivity across the landscape. The preservation of these systems is the most important aspect to consider for the proposed project.

Any development in the high sensitivity areas may lead the direct destruction and loss of portions of functional ESA, and also the floral and faunal species that are expected to utilise this habitat. However, the project area is located within the Klerksdorp REDZ as well as the Central STC and facilitates the process for responsible renewable development. All grid infrastructure project aspects can be effectively mitigated to an acceptable residual impact in support of the renewable development project. Special consideration needs to be taken regarding the construction and operational phase impacts of the access and service road infrastructure, as they could result in large scale detrimental impacts if not planned, managed and monitored appropriately.

### **Impact Statement**

The main expected impacts of the proposed project will include the following:

- habitat loss and fragmentation;
- degradation of surrounding habitat; and
- Mortality, disturbance, and displacement caused during the construction and operational phases.

Mitigation measures as described in this report must be implemented to reduce the significance of the risk to an acceptable level. Development within the high sensitivity is not regarded as a fatal flaw for the project and can be effectively mitigated. Both substation alternatives are equally acceptable, and the transmission line can be placed anywhere within the identified corridor.

It is the opinions of the specialists that the project may be favourably considered for environmental authorisation, and that all prescribed mitigation measures and supporting recommendations be implemented.

## 12. Appendix B – Flora species expected to occur in the project area.

Family	Species	Author	SANBI – Red List	Ecology
Fabaceae	<i>Acacia mearnsii</i>	De Wild.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Fabaceae	<i>Acacia sp.</i>			
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Acalypha angustata</i>	Sond.	LC	Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Acalypha caperonioides var. caperonioides</i>	Baill.	DD	Indigenous
Sapindaceae	<i>Acer buergerianum</i>	Miq.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Sapindaceae	<i>Acer negundo</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Crassulaceae	<b><i>Adromischus umbraticola subsp. umbraticola</i></b>	<b>C.A.Sm.</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>Indigenous; Endemic</b>
Amaranthaceae	<i>Aerva leucura</i>	Moq.	LC	Indigenous
Podocarpaceae	<i>Afrocarpus falcatus</i>	(Thunb.) C.N.Page		Indigenous
Loranthaceae	<i>Agelanthus natalitius subsp. zeyheri</i>	(Meisn.) Polhill & Wiens (Harv.) Polhill & Wiens	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Agrostis lachnantha var. lachnantha</i>	Nees	LC	Indigenous
Hyacinthaceae	<i>Albuca glauca</i>	Baker	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
Hyacinthaceae	<i>Albuca setosa</i>	Jacq.	LC	Indigenous
Amaranthaceae	<i>Alternanthera pungens</i>	Kunth		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Amaranthaceae	<i>Amaranthus deflexus</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Amaranthaceae	<i>Amaranthus hybridus subsp. cruentus</i>	L. (L.) Thell.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Amaranthaceae	<i>Amaranthus hybridus subsp. hybridus var. hybridus</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Amaranthaceae	<i>Amaranthus viridis</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Lythraceae	<i>Ammannia anagalloides</i>	Sond.		Indigenous
Apiaceae	<i>Ammi majus</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Poaceae	<i>Andropogon appendiculatus</i>	Nees	LC	Indigenous
Malvaceae	<i>Anisodonteia scabrosa</i>	(L.) Bates	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
Poaceae	<i>Antheophora pubescens</i>	Nees	LC	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	<i>Aptosimum elongatum</i>	(Hiern) Engl.	LC	Indigenous
Papaveraceae	<i>Argemone ochroleuca subsp. ochroleuca</i>	Sweet		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Poaceae	<i>Aristida adscensionis</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Aristida canescens subsp. canescens</i>	Henrard	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Aristida congesta subsp. barbicollis</i>	Roem. & Schult. (Trin. & Rupr.) De Winter	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Aristida congesta subsp. congesta</i>	Roem. & Schult.	LC	Indigenous
Asteraceae	<i>Artemisia afra var. afra</i>	Jacq. ex Willd.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Arundo donax</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Apocynaceae	<i>Asclepias aurea</i>	(Schltr.) Schltr.	LC	Indigenous



<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Asclepias brevipes</i>	(Schltr.) Schltr.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Asclepias meyeriana</i>	(Schltr.) Schltr.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asparagaceae</b>	<i>Asparagus africanus</i>	Lam.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asparagaceae</b>	<i>Asparagus cooperi</i>	Baker	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asparagaceae</b>	<i>Asparagus larycinus</i>	Burch.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asparagaceae</b>	<i>Asparagus suaveolens</i>	Burch.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Aspidoglossum biflorum</i>	E.Mey.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Amaranthaceae</b>	<i>Atriplex semibaccata</i>	R.Br.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Salviniaceae</b>	<i>Azolla filiculoides</i>	Lam.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Iridaceae</b>	<i>Babiana bainesii</i>	Baker	LC	Indigenous
<b>Acanthaceae</b>	<i>Barleria macrostegia</i>	Nees	LC	Indigenous
<b>Berberidaceae</b>	<i>Berberis julianae</i>	C.K.Schneid.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Elatinaceae</b>	<i>Bergia decumbens</i>	Planch. ex Harv.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Betulaceae</b>	<i>Betula pendula</i>	Roth		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Bidens bipinnata</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Bidens pilosa</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Acanthaceae</b>	<i>Blepharis serrulata</i>	(Nees) Ficalho & Hiern	LC	Indigenous
<b>Acanthaceae</b>	<i>Blepharis sp.</i>			
<b>Nyctaginaceae</b>	<i>Boerhavia erecta</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Orchidaceae</b>	<i>Bonatea antennifera</i>	Rolfe	LC	Indigenous
<b>Capparidaceae</b>	<i>Boscia albitrunca</i>	(Burch.) Gilg & Gilg-Ben.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Bothriochloa insculpta</i>	(Hochst. ex A.Rich.) A.Camus	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Brachiaria eruciformis</i>	(Sm.) Griseb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Bromus catharticus</i>	Vahl	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Scrophulariaceae</b>	<i>Buddleja salviifolia</i>	(L.) Lam.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asphodelaceae</b>	<i>Bulbine capitata</i>	Poelln.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asphodelaceae</b>	<i>Bulbine narcissifolia</i>	Salm-Dyck	LC	Indigenous
<b>Cannaceae</b>	<i>Canna generalis</i>	L.H.Bailey	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Brassicaceae</b>	<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i>	(L.) Medik.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Cannabaceae</b>	<i>Celtis africana</i>	Burm.f.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Cannabaceae</b>	<i>Celtis sinensis</i>	Pers.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Ceratophyllaceae</b>	<i>Ceratophyllum muricatum</i> subsp. <i>muricatum</i>	Cham.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Solanaceae</b>	<i>Cestrum parqui</i>	L'Her.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive

<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Chamaecrista mimosoides</i>	(L.) Greene	LC	Indigenous
<b>Verbenaceae</b>	<i>Chascanum adenostachyum</i>	(Schauer) Moldenke	LC	Indigenous
<b>Amaranthaceae</b>	<i>Chenopodiastrum murale</i>	(L.) S.Fuentes, Uotila & Borsch		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Amaranthaceae</b>	<i>Chenopodium album</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Chloris pycnothrix</i>	Trin.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Chloris virgata</i>	Sw.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Cichorium intybus subsp. intybus</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Cineraria lyratiformis</i>	Cron	LC	Indigenous
<b>Lauraceae</b>	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i>	(L.) J.Presl	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	(Savi) Ten.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Ranunculaceae</b>	<i>Clematis brachiata</i>	Thunb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Cleomaceae</b>	<i>Cleome monophylla</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Combretaceae</b>	<i>Combretum erythrophyllum</i>	(Burch.) Sond.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Commelinaceae</b>	<i>Commelina africana var. barberae</i>	L. (C.B. Clarke) C.B. Clarke	LC	Indigenous
<b>Commelinaceae</b>	<i>Commelina africana var. lancispatha</i>	L. C.B. Clarke	LC	Indigenous
<b>Commelinaceae</b>	<i>Commelina erecta</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Commelinaceae</b>	<i>Commelina livingstonii</i>	C.B. Clarke	LC	Indigenous
<b>Nyctaginaceae</b>	<i>Commicarpus pentandrus</i>	(Burch.) Heimerl	LC	Indigenous
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Convolvulus sagittatus</i>	Thunb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Conyza podocephala</i>	DC.		Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Corchorus aspleniifolius</i>	Burch.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Corchorus schimperi</i>	Cufod.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Cordylogyne globosa</i>	E.Mey.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Coreopsis lanceolata</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Cotoneaster glaucophyllus</i>	Franch.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Cotoneaster pannosus</i>	Franch.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Crassulaceae</b>	<i>Cotyledon orbiculata var. orbiculata</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Acanthaceae</b>	<i>Crabbea angustifolia</i>	Nees	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Crassulaceae</b>	<i>Crassula sp.</i>	A.DC.		
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Crotalaria lotoides</i>	Benth.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Cuscuta campestris</i>	Yunck.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Araliaceae</b>	<i>Cussonia paniculata subsp. sinuata</i>	Eckl. & Zeyh. (Reyneke & Kok) De Winter	LC	Indigenous
<b>Apiaceae</b>	<i>Cyclospermum leptophyllum</i>	(Pers.) Sprague ex Britton & P. Wilson		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Cymbopogon caesius</i>	(Hook. & Arn.) Stapf	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	(L.) Pers.	LC	Indigenous

Poaceae	<i>Cynodon nlemfuensis</i>	Vanderyst	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Cyperaceae	<i>Cyperus margaritaceus var. margaritaceus</i>	Vahl	LC	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	<i>Cyperus obtusiflorus var. flavissimus</i>	Vahl (Schrad.) Boeckeler	LC	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	<i>Cyperus sphaerospermus</i>	Schrad.	LC	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	<i>Cyperus uitenhagensis</i>	(Steud.) C.Archer & Goetgh.	LC	Indigenous
Lobeliaceae	<i>Cyphia persicifolia</i>	C.Presl	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
Poaceae	<i>Dactyloctenium australe</i>	Steud.	LC	Indigenous
Solanaceae	<i>Datura ferox</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Solanaceae	<i>Datura stramonium</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Hyacinthaceae	<i>Daubinya comata</i>	(Burch. ex Baker) J.C.Manning & A.M.van der Merwe	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
Aizoaceae	<i>Delosperma herbeum</i>	(N.E.Br.) N.E.Br.	LC	Indigenous
Aizoaceae	<i>Delosperma sp.</i>	L.Bolus		
Fabaceae	<i>Desmanthus virgatus</i>	(L.) Willd.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Caryophyllaceae	<i>Dianthus mooiensis subsp. kirkii</i>	F.N.Williams (Burt Davy) S.S.Hooper	NE	Indigenous
Fabaceae	<i>Dichilus strictus</i>	E.Mey.	LC	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	<i>Dicliptera leistneri</i>	K.Balkwill	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
Iridaceae	<i>Dierama reynoldsii</i>	I.Verd.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
Poaceae	<i>Digitaria debilis</i>	(Desf.) Willd.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Digitaria eriantha</i>	Steud.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Digitaria sanguinalis</i>	(L.) Scop.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Amaranthaceae	<i>Dysphania carinata</i>	(R.Br.) Mosyakin & Clemants		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Poaceae	<i>Ehrharta erecta var. erecta</i>	Lam.	LC	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	<i>Eleocharis dregeana</i>	Steud.	LC	Indigenous
Fabaceae	<i>Elephantorrhiza elephantina</i>	(Burch.) Skeels	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eleusine coracana subsp. africana</i>	(L.) Gaertn. (Kenn.-O'Byrne) Hilu & de Wet	LC	Indigenous
Polygonaceae	<i>Emex australis</i>	Steinh.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Enneapogon cenchroides</i>	(Licht. ex Roem. & Schult.) C.E.Hubb.	LC	Indigenous
Onagraceae	<i>Epilobium hirsutum</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis barbinodis</i>	Hack.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis barrelieri</i>	Daveau	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis biflora</i>	Hack. ex Schinz	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis chloromelas</i>	Steud.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis cilianensis</i>	(All.) Vignolo ex Janch.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis curvula</i>	(Schrad.) Nees	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis echinochloidea</i>	Stapf	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis gummiflua</i>	Nees	LC	Indigenous

Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis obtusa</i>	Munro ex Ficalho & Hiern	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis rigidior</i>	Pilg.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis rotifer</i>	Rendle	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis</i> sp.			
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis superba</i>	Peyr.	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Eragrostis trichophora</i>	Coss. & Durieu	LC	Indigenous
Asteraceae	<i>Erigeron sumatrensis</i>	Retz.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Brassicaceae	<i>Erucastrum austroafricanum</i>	Al-Shehbaz & Warwick	LC	Indigenous
Papaveraceae	<i>Eschscholzia californica</i> subsp. <i>californica</i>	Cham.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	Dehnh.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus microtheca</i>	F.Muell.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia hirsuta</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia peplus</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia prostrata</i>	Aiton	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia serpens</i>	Kunth	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Asteraceae	<i>Felicia muricata</i> subsp. <i>muricata</i>	(Thunb.) Nees	LC	Indigenous
Poaceae	<i>Festuca arundinacea</i>	Schreb.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Asteraceae	<i>Flaveria bidentis</i>	(L.) Kuntze		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Apiaceae	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> var. <i>vulgare</i>	Mill.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
Oleaceae	<i>Fraxinus angustifolia</i>	Vahl		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Asteraceae	<i>Galinsoga parviflora</i>	Cav.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Rubiaceae	<i>Galium capense</i> subsp. <i>capense</i>	Thunb.	LC	Indigenous
Asteraceae	<i>Gazania krebsiana</i>	Less.		Indigenous
Asteraceae	<i>Gazania krebsiana</i> subsp. <i>serrulata</i>	Less. (DC.) Roessler	LC	Indigenous
Asteraceae	<i>Geigeria brevifolia</i>	(DC.) Harv.	LC	Indigenous
Asteraceae	<i>Geigeria ornativa</i>	O.Hoffm.		Indigenous
Geraniaceae	<i>Geranium multisectum</i>	N.E.Br.	LC	Indigenous
Gisekiaceae	<i>Gisekia africana</i> var. <i>africana</i>	(Lour.) Kuntze	LC	Indigenous
Verbenaceae	<i>Glandularia aristigera</i>	(S.Moore) Tronc.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Fabaceae	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Apocynaceae	<i>Gomphocarpus rivularis</i>	Schltr.	LC	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	<i>Gomphostigma virgatum</i>	(L.f.) Baill.	LC	Indigenous
Amaranthaceae	<i>Gomphrena celosioides</i>	Mart.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
Malvaceae	<i>Grewia flava</i>	DC.	LC	Indigenous



<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Grewia occidentalis var. occidentalis</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Amaranthaceae</b>	<i>Guilleminea densa</i>	(Humb. & Bonpl. ex Schult.) Moq.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Celastraceae</b>	<i>Gymnosporia buxifolia</i>	(L.) Szyszyl.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Harpochloa falx</i>	(L.f.) Kuntze	LC	Indigenous
<b>Araliaceae</b>	<i>Hedera canariensis</i>	Willd.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Helichrysum caespitium</i>	(DC.) Harv.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Helichrysum callicomum</i>	Harv.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Helichrysum paronychioides</i>	DC.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Helichrysum rugulosum</i>	Less.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Helichrysum zeyheri</i>	Less.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Helminthotheca echioides</i>	(L.) Holub		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Hemarthria altissima</i>	(Poir.) Stapf & C.E.Hubb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hermannia depressa</i>	N.E.Br.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hermannia grandistipula</i>	(Buchinger ex Hochst.) K.Schum.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hermannia quartiniana</i>	A.Rich.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hermannia stellulata</i>	(Harv.) K.Schum.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Heteropogon contortus</i>	(L.) Roem. & Schult.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hibiscus calyphyllus</i>	Cav.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hibiscus microcarpus</i>	Garcke	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hibiscus pusillus</i>	Thunb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Hibiscus syriacus</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Hilliardiella elaeagnoides</i>	(DC.) Swelank. & J.C.Manning		Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Hyparrhenia hirta</i>	(L.) Stapf	LC	Indigenous
<b>Acanthaceae</b>	<i>Hypoestes aristata var. alba</i>	(Vahl) Sol. ex Roem. & Schult. K.Balkwill	LC	Indigenous
<b>Hypoxidaceae</b>	<i>Hypoxis acuminata</i>	Baker	LC	Indigenous
<b>Hypoxidaceae</b>	<i>Hypoxis argentea var. sericea</i>	Harv. ex Baker Baker	LC	Indigenous
<b>Hypoxidaceae</b>	<i>Hypoxis hemerocallidea</i>	Fisch., C.A.Mey. & Ave-Lall.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Indigofera daleoides var. daleoides</i>	Benth. ex Harv.	NE	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Indigofera heterotricha</i>	DC.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Indigofera torulosa var. torulosa</i>	E.Mey.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Indigofera vicioides subsp. vicioides</i>	Jaub. & Spach	LC	Indigenous
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Ipomoea bathycolpos</i>	Hallier f.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Ipomoea crassipes var. crassipes</i>	Hook.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Ipomoea oblongata</i>	E.Mey. ex Choisy	LC	Indigenous
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Ipomoea obscura var. obscura</i>	(L.) Ker Gawl.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Ipomoea purpurea</i>	(L.) Roth		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive

<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Ipomoea sp.</i>			
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Ischaemum afrum</i>	(J.F.Gmel.) Dandy	LC	Indigenous
<b>Euphorbiaceae</b>	<i>Jatropha zeyheri</i>	Sond.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Juncaceae</b>	<i>Juncus rigidus</i>	Desf.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Crassulaceae</b>	<i>Kalanchoe rotundifolia</i>	(Haw.) Haw.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Achariaceae</b>	<i>Kiggelaria africana</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Sapindaceae</b>	<i>Koelreuteria paniculata</i>	Laxm.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Lactuca inermis</i>	Forssk.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Verbenaceae</b>	<i>Lantana rugosa</i>	Thunb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Leersia hexandra</i>	Sw.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Euphorbiaceae</b>	<i>Leidesia procumbens</i>	(L.) Prain	LC	Indigenous
<b>Araceae</b>	<i>Lemna minor</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Lamiaceae</b>	<i>Leonotis pentadentata</i>	J.C.Manning & Goldblatt	LC	Indigenous
<b>Brassicaceae</b>	<i>Lepidium africanum subsp. africanum</i>	(Burm.f.) DC.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Brassicaceae</b>	<i>Lepidium bonariense</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Leucosidea sericea</i>	Eckl. & Zeyh.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Oleaceae</b>	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	W.T.Aiton		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Verbenaceae</b>	<i>Lippia scaberrima</i>	Sond.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Listia bainesii</i>	(Baker) B.-E.van Wyk & Boatwr.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Listia heterophylla</i>	E.Mey.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Boraginaceae</b>	<i>Lithospermum cinereum</i>	A.DC.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Lolium temulentum</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Berberidaceae</b>	<i>Mahonia oiwakensis</i>	Hayata		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Malva arborea</i>	(L.) Webb & Berthel.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Malva parviflora</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Malva parviflora var. parviflora</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Malva sylvestris</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Malvastrum coromandelianum</i>	(L.) Garcke		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Euphorbiaceae</b>	<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	Crantz	NE	Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Marsileaceae</b>	<i>Marsilea farinosa subsp. farinosa</i>	Launert	LC	Indigenous
<b>Marsileaceae</b>	<i>Marsilea sp.</i>			
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Medicago polymorpha</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Myrtaceae</b>	<i>Melaleuca citrina</i>	(Curtis) Dum.Cours.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive

<b>Myrtaceae</b>	<i>Melaleuca viminalis</i> subsp. <i>viminalis</i>	(Sol. ex Gaertn.) Byrnes		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Meliaceae</b>	<i>Melia azedarach</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Melanthaceae</b>	<i>Melianthus comosus</i>	Vahl	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Melilotus albus</i>	Medik.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Oleaceae</b>	<i>Menodora africana</i>	Hook.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Phrymaceae</b>	<i>Mimulus gracilis</i>	R.Br.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Nyctaginaceae</b>	<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Modiola caroliniana</i>	(L.) G.Don		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Cucurbitaceae</b>	<i>Momordica balsamina</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Moraceae</b>	<i>Morus alba</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Moraceae</b>	<i>Morus alba</i> var. <i>alba</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Mundulea sericea</i>	(Willd.) A.Chev.		Indigenous
<b>Haloragaceae</b>	<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Berberidaceae</b>	<i>Nandina domestica</i>	Thunb.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Scrophulariaceae</b>	<i>Nemesia fruticans</i>	(Thunb.) Benth.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Neorautanenia ficifolia</i>	(Benth.) C.A.Sm.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Amaryllidaceae</b>	<i>Nerine krigei</i>	W.F.Barker	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Nerium oleander</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Nidorella anomala</i>	Steetz	LC	Indigenous
<b>Alliaceae</b>	<i>Nothoscordum borbonicum</i>	Kunth	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Alliaceae</b>	<i>Nothoscordum gracile</i>	(Aiton) Stearn		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Onagraceae</b>	<i>Oenothera rosea</i>	L'Her. ex Aiton		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Onagraceae</b>	<i>Oenothera tetraptera</i>	Cav.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Oleaceae</b>	<i>Olea europaea</i> subsp. <i>cuspidata</i>	L. (Wall. ex G.Don) Cif.		Indigenous
<b>Resedaceae</b>	<i>Oligomeris dregeana</i>	(Mull.Arg.) Mull.Arg.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Cactaceae</b>	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i>	(L.) Mill.	NE	Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Osteospermum scariosum</i> var. <i>scariosum</i>	DC.	NE	Indigenous
<b>Oxalidaceae</b>	<i>Oxalis corniculata</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Oxalidaceae</b>	<i>Oxalis latifolia</i>	Kunth		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Panicum coloratum</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Panicum maximum</i>	Jacq.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Panicum schinzii</i>	Hack.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>	Poir.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Rubiaceae</b>	<i>Pavetta zeyheri</i> subsp. <i>zeyheri</i>	Sond.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Pavonia burchellii</i>	(DC.) R.A.Dyer	LC	Indigenous

<b>Fabaceae</b>	<b><i>Pearsonia bracteata</i></b>	<b>(Benth.) Polhill</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>Indigenous; Endemic</b>
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Hochst. ex Chiov.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Pennisetum macrourum</i>	Trin.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Pennisetum setaceum</i>	(Forssk.) Chiov.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Perotis patens</i>	Gand.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Polygonaceae</b>	<i>Persicaria hystricula</i>	(J.Schust.) Sojak	LC	Indigenous
<b>Polygonaceae</b>	<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i>	(L.) Delarbre		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Molluginaceae</b>	<i>Pharnaceum sp.</i>			
<b>Arecaceae</b>	<i>Phoenix canariensis</i>	Chabaud		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Phragmites australis</i>	(Cav.) Steud.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Phragmites mauritianus</i>	Kunth	LC	Indigenous
<b>Phyllanthaceae</b>	<i>Phyllanthus incurvus</i>	Thunb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Phyllanthaceae</b>	<i>Phyllanthus maderaspatensis</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Solanaceae</b>	<i>Physalis viscosa</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Plantaginaceae</b>	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Plantaginaceae</b>	<i>Plantago major</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Plumbaginaceae</b>	<i>Plumbago auriculata</i>	Lam.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Poa annua</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Podocarpaceae</b>	<i>Podocarpus henkelii</i>	Stapf ex Dallim. & A.B.Jacks.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Pogonarthria squarrosa</i>	(Roem. & Schult.) Pilg.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Polygalaceae</b>	<i>Polygala leptophylla var. leptophylla</i>	Burch.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Polygonaceae</b>	<i>Polygonum aviculare</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Salicaceae</b>	<i>Populus canescens</i>	(Aiton) Sm.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Salicaceae</b>	<i>Populus deltoides subsp. deltoides</i>	Bartram ex Marshall		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Salicaceae</b>	<i>Populus nigra var. italica</i>	L. Munchh.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Portulacaceae</b>	<i>Portulaca sp.</i>			
<b>Potamogetonaceae</b>	<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Pseudognaphalium luteoalbum</i>	(L.) Hilliard & B.L.Burt	LC	Cryptogenic
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Pseudognaphalium oligandrum</i>	(DC.) Hilliard & B.L.Burt	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Pseudopegolettia tenella</i>	(DC.) H.Rob., Skvarla & V.A.Funk		Indigenous
<b>Pedaliaceae</b>	<i>Pterodiscus speciosus</i>	Hook.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Pyracantha angustifolia</i>	(Franch.) C.K.Schneid.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Pyracantha koidzumii</i>	(Hayata) Rehder		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Pyracantha sp.</i>			
<b>Fagaceae</b>	<i>Quercus robur</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive



<b>Ranunculaceae</b>	<i>Ranunculus dregei</i>	J.C.Manning & Goldblatt	LC	Indigenous
<b>Ranunculaceae</b>	<i>Ranunculus multifidus</i>	Forssk.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Raphionacme hirsuta</i>	(E.Mey.) R.A.Dyer	LC	Indigenous
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Raphionacme velutina</i>	Schltr.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Brassicaceae</b>	<i>Rapistrum rugosum</i>	(L.) All.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Rhamnaceae</b>	<i>Rhamnus prinoides</i>	L'Her.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Rhaphiolepis indica</i>	(L.) Lindl.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Rhynchosia totta var. totta</i>	(Thunb.) DC.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Polygonaceae</b>	<i>Rumex crispus</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Salicaceae</b>	<i>Salix babylonica var. babylonica</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Salicaceae</b>	<i>Salix fragilis var. fragilis</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Salicaceae</b>	<i>Salix mucronata subsp. mucronata</i>	Thunb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Amaranthaceae</b>	<i>Salsola kali</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Lamiaceae</b>	<i>Salvia disermas</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Lamiaceae</b>	<i>Salvia runcinata</i>	L.f.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Adoxaceae</b>	<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>	<i>Schinus molle</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>	<i>Schinus terebinthifolius</i>	Raddi	NE	Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Schizachyrium sanguineum</i>	(Retz.) Alston	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Schkuhria pinnata</i>	(Lam.) Kuntze ex Thell.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Cyperaceae</b>	<i>Schoenoplectus muricinix</i>	(C.B.Clarke) J.Raynal	LC	Indigenous
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>	<i>Searsia erosa</i>	(Thunb.) Moffett	LC	Indigenous
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>	<i>Searsia lancea</i>	(L.f.) F.A.Barkley	LC	Indigenous
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>	<i>Searsia pyroides var. pyroides</i>	(Burch.) Moffett	LC	Indigenous
<b>Convolvulaceae</b>	<i>Seddera capensis</i>	(E.Mey. ex Choisy) Hallier f.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Scrophulariaceae</b>	<i>Selago burkei</i>	Rolfe	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Scrophulariaceae</b>	<i>Selago welwitschii var. australis</i>	Rolfe Hilliard	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Senecio consanguineus</i>	DC.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Senecio sp.</i>			
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Senecio venosus</i>	Harv.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Senegalia caffra</i>	(Thunb.) P.J.H.Hurter & Mabb.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Senna corymbosa</i>	(Lam.) H.S.Irwin & Barneby	NE	Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Senna italica subsp. arachoides</i>	Mill. (Burch.) Lock	LC	Indigenous

<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Sesbania punicea</i>	(Cav.) Benth.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Setaria incrassata</i>	(Hochst.) Hack.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Setaria sphacelata</i> var. <i>torta</i>	(Schumach.) Stapf & C.E.Hubb. ex M.B.Moss (Stapf) Clayton	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Setaria verticillata</i>	(L.) P.Beauv.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Sida chrysantha</i>	Ulbr.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Sida dregei</i>	Burt Davy	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Sida rhombifolia</i> subsp. <i>rhombifolia</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Sida spinosa</i> var. <i>spinosa</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Caryophyllaceae</b>	<i>Silene burchellii</i> subsp. <i>pilosellifolia</i>	Oth ex DC. (Cham. & Schldl.) J.C.Manning & Goldblatt		Indigenous
<b>Caryophyllaceae</b>	<i>Silene gallica</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Brassicaceae</b>	<i>Sisymbrium irio</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Solanaceae</b>	<i>Solanum chenopodioides</i>	Lam.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Solanaceae</b>	<i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i>	Cav.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Solanaceae</b>	<i>Solanum mauritianum</i>	Scop.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Solanaceae</b>	<i>Solanum nigrum</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Sonchus asper</i> subsp. <i>asper</i>	(L.) Hill		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Sphaeralcea bonariensis</i>	(Cav.) Griseb.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Sporobolus africanus</i>	(Poir.) Robyns & Tournay	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Sporobolus fimbriatus</i>	(Trin.) Nees	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Sporobolus pyramidalis</i>	P.Beauv.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Lamiaceae</b>	<i>Stachys spathulata</i>	Burch. ex Benth.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Stenostelma capense</i>	Schltr.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Stipagrostis uniplumis</i> var. <i>neesii</i>	(Licht.) De Winter (Trin. & Rupr.) De Winter	LC	Indigenous
<b>Strelitziaceae</b>	<i>Strelitzia reginae</i>	Banks		Indigenous
<b>Strelitziaceae</b>	<i>Strelitzia reginae</i> subsp. <i>reginae</i>	Banks	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Tagetes minuta</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Talinaceae</b>	<i>Talinum cafferum</i>	(Thunb.) Eckl. & Zeyh.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	Weber		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Cupressaceae</b>	<i>Taxodium distichum</i> var. <i>distichum</i>	(L.) Rich.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Santalaceae</b>	<i>Thesium costatum</i> var. <i>juniperinum</i>	A.W.Hill A.W.Hill	LC	Indigenous
<b>Santalaceae</b>	<i>Thesium impeditum</i>	A.W.Hill	LC	Indigenous
<b>Santalaceae</b>	<i>Thesium procerum</i>	N.E.Br.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Santalaceae</b>	<i>Thesium resedoides</i>	A.W.Hill	LC	Indigenous
<b>Santalaceae</b>	<i>Thesium</i> sp.	L.		

<b>Santalaceae</b>	<i>Thesium transvaalense</i>	Schltr.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Santalaceae</b>	<i>Thesium utile</i>	A.W.Hill	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Tipuana tipu</i>	(Benth.) Kuntze		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Commelinaceae</b>	<i>Tradescantia pallida</i>	(Rose) D.R.Hunt		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Tragopogon dubius</i>	Scop.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Tragus berteronianus</i>	Schult.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Zygophyllaceae</b>	<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	L.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Trifolium burchellianum subsp. burchellianum</i>	Ser.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Triraphis andropogonooides</i>	(Steud.) E.Phillips	LC	Indigenous
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Triumfetta sonderi</i>	Ficalho & Hiern	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Alliaceae</b>	<i>Tulbaghia acutiloba</i>	Harv.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Alliaceae</b>	<i>Tulbaghia simmleri</i>	P.Beauv.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Alliaceae</b>	<i>Tulbaghia violacea subsp. violacea</i>	Harv.	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Ulmaceae</b>	<i>Ulmus minor</i>	Mill.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised
<b>Ulmaceae</b>	<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i>	Jacq.		Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Urochloa mosambicensis</i>	(Hack.) Dandy	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Vachellia karroo</i>	(Hayne) Banfi & Galasso	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Vachellia robusta subsp. robusta</i>	(Burch.) Kyal. & Boatwr.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Vahliaceae</b>	<i>Vahlia capensis subsp. vulgaris var. linearis</i>	(L.f.) Thunb. Bridson E.Mey. ex Bridson	NE	Indigenous
<b>Verbenaceae</b>	<i>Verbena bonariensis</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Verbenaceae</b>	<i>Verbena officinalis</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Vigna unguiculata subsp. stenophylla</i>	(L.) Walp. (Harv.) Marechal, Mascherpa & Stainier	LC	Indigenous
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Vigna vexillata var. vexillata</i>	(L.) A.Rich.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Vinca major</i>	L.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Vulpia myuros</i>	(L.) C.C.Gmel.	NE	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Campanulaceae</b>	<i>Wahlenbergia denticulata var. transvaalensis</i>	(Burch.) A.DC. (Adamson) Welman	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Campanulaceae</b>	<i>Wahlenbergia magaliesbergensis</i>	Lammers	LC	Indigenous; Endemic
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Xanthium spinosum</i>	L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Zinnia peruviana</i>	(L.) L.		Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
<b>Rhamnaceae</b>	<i>Ziziphus mucronata subsp. mucronata</i>	Willd.	LC	Indigenous
<b>Rhamnaceae</b>	<i>Ziziphus zeyheriana</i>	Sond.	LC	Indigenous

### 13. Appendix C – Amphibian species expected to occur in the project area

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status	
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)
<i>Amietia angolensis</i>	Angolan River Frog	Unlisted	LC
<i>Amietia delalandii</i>	Delalande's River Frog	LC	Unlisted
<i>Amietia fuscigula</i>	Cape River Frog	LC	LC
<i>Breviceps adspersus</i>	Bushveld Rain Frog	LC	LC
<i>Cacosternum boettgeri</i>	Common Caco	LC	LC
<i>Kassina senegalensis</i>	Bubbling Kassina	LC	LC
<i>Phrynobatrachus natalensis</i>	Snoring Puddle Frog	LC	LC
<i>Phrynomantis bifasciatus</i>	Banded Rubber Frog	LC	LC
<i>Ptychadena anchietae</i>	Plain Grass Frog	LC	LC
<b><i>Pyxicephalus adspersus</i></b>	<b>Giant Bull Frog</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Schismaderma carens</i>	Red Toad	LC	LC
<i>Sclerophrys capensis</i>	Raucous Toad	LC	LC
<i>Sclerophrys garmani</i>	Olive Toad	LC	LC
<i>Sclerophrys gutturalis</i>	Guttural Toad	LC	LC
<i>Sclerophrys poweri</i>	Power's Toad	LC	LC
<i>Strongylopus fasciatus</i>	Striped Stream Frog	LC	LC
<i>Tomopterna cryptotis</i>	Tremelo Sand Frog	LC	LC
<i>Tomopterna natalensis</i>	Natal Sand Frog	LC	LC
<i>Tomopterna tandyi</i>	Tandy's Sand Frog	LC	LC
<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	Common Platanna	LC	LC



## 14. Appendix D – Reptile species expected to occur in the project area

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status	
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)
<i>Acontias gracilicauda</i>	Thin-tailed Legless Skink	LC	LC
<i>Afroedura nivaria</i>	Drakensberg Flat Gecko	LC	LC
<i>Afrotyphlops bibronii</i>	Bibron's Blind Snake	LC	LC
<i>Agama aculeata distanti</i>	Distant's Ground Agama	LC	LC
<i>Agama atra</i>	Southern Rock Agama	LC	LC
<i>Aparallactus capensis</i>	Black-headed Centipede-eater	LC	LC
<i>Bitis arietans arietans</i>	Puff Adder	LC	Unlisted
<i>Boaedon capensis</i>	Brown House Snake	LC	LC
<i>Causus rhombeatus</i>	Rhombic Night Adder	LC	LC
<i>Chamaeleo dilepis</i>	Common Flap-neck Chameleon	LC	LC
<i>Cordylus vittifer</i>	Common Girdled Lizard	LC	LC
<i>Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia</i>	Red-lipped Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Dasypeltis scabra</i>	Rhombic Egg-eater	LC	LC
<i>Gerrhosaurus flavigularis</i>	Yellow-throated Plated Lizard	LC	Unlisted
<i>Hemachatus haemachatus</i>	Rinkhals	LC	LC
<i>Hemidactylus mabouia</i>	Common Tropical House Gecko	LC	Unlisted
<i>Kinixys lobatsiana</i>	Lobatse Hinged Tortoise	LC	LC
<i>Lamprophis aurora</i>	Aurora House Snake	LC	LC
<i>Leptotyphlops scutifrons scutifrons</i>	Peters' Thread Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Lycodonomorphus rufulus</i>	Brown Water Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Lycophidion capense capense</i>	Cape Wolf Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Lygodactylus capensis</i>	Common Dwarf Gecko		
<i>Monopeltis capensis</i>	Cape Worm Lizard	LC	LC
<i>Naja nivea</i>	Cape Cobra	LC	Unlisted
<i>Nucras holubi</i>	Holub's Sandveld Lizard	LC	Unlisted
<i>Pachydactylus capensis</i>	Cape Gecko	LC	Unlisted
<i>Panaspis wahlbergii</i>	Wahlberg's Snake-eyed Skink		
<i>Pelomedusa galeata</i>	South African Marsh Terrapin	Not evaluated	Unlisted
<i>Prosymna ambigua</i>	Angolan Shovel-snout	Unlisted	LC
<i>Prosymna sundevallii</i>	Sundevall's Shovel-snout	LC	LC
<i>Psammophis brevirostris</i>	Short-snouted Grass Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Psammophis leightoni</i>	<b>Cape Sand Snake</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Psammophylax rhombeatus</i>	Spotted Grass Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Psammophylax tritaeniatus</i>	Striped Grass Snake	LC	LC
<i>Pseudaspis cana</i>	Mole Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Rhinotyphlops lalandei</i>	Delalande's Beaked Blind Snake	LC	Unlisted
<i>Stigmochelys pardalis</i>	Leopard Tortoise	LC	LC

<i>Trachylepis capensis</i>	Cape Skink	LC	Unlisted
<i>Trachylepis punctatissima</i>	Speckled Rock Skink	LC	LC
<i>Trachylepis punctulata</i>	Speckled Sand Skink		
<i>Trachylepis varia sensu lato</i>	Common Variable Skink Complex		
<i>Varanus albigularis albigularis</i>	Rock Monitor	LC	Unlisted
<i>Varanus niloticus</i>	Water Monitor	LC	Unlisted

## 15. Appendix E – Mammal species expected to occur within the project area

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status	
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)
<i>Aethomys ineptus</i>	Tete Veld Aethomys	LC	LC
<i>Aethomys namaquensis</i>	Namaqua Rock Mouse	LC	LC
<b><i>Aonyx capensis</i></b>	<b>African Clawless Otter</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>NT</b>
<b><i>Atelerix frontalis</i></b>	<b>Southern African Hedgehog</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Atilax paludinosus</i>	Marsh Mongoose	LC	LC
<i>Canis mesomelas</i>	Black-backed Jackal	LC	LC
<i>Caracal caracal</i>	Caracal	LC	LC
<i>Chaerephon pumilus</i>	Little Free-tailed Bat	LC	LC
<i>Chlorocebus pygerythrus</i>	Vervet Monkey	LC	LC
<i>Crocidura cyanea</i>	Reddish-grey Musk Shrew	LC	LC
<i>Crocidura fuscomurina</i>	Tiny Musk Shrew	LC	LC
<b><i>Crocidura maquassiensis</i></b>	<b>Makwassie musk shrew</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>LC</b>
<b><i>Crocidura mariquensis</i></b>	<b>Swamp Musk Shrew</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Cryptomys hottentotus</i>	Southern African Mole-rat	LC	LC
<i>Cynictis penicillata</i>	Yellow Mongoose	LC	LC
<i>Dama dama</i>	Fallow Deer		
<i>Dendromus melanotis</i>	Gray African Climbing Mouse	LC	LC
<i>Desmodillus auricularis</i>	Short-tailed Gerbil	LC	LC
<b><i>Eidolon helvum</i></b>	<b>African Straw-colored Fruit Bat</b>	<b>LC</b>	<b>NT</b>
<i>Elaphurus davidianus</i>	Père David's Deer		
<i>Elephantulus myurus</i>	Eastern Rock Elephant Shrew	LC	LC
<i>Epomophorus wahlbergi</i>	Wahlberg's epauletted fruit bat	LC	LC
<i>Eptesicus hottentotus</i>	Long-tailed Serotine Bat	LC	LC
<b><i>Felis nigripes</i></b>	<b>Black-footed Cat</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>VU</b>
<i>Felis silvestris</i>	African Wildcat	LC	LC
<i>Galerella sp.</i>	Slender Mongooses		
<i>Genetta genetta</i>	Common Genet	LC	LC
<i>Genetta maculata</i>	Common Large-spotted Genet	LC	LC
<i>Genetta tigrina</i>	Cape Genet (Cape Large-spotted Genet)	LC	LC
<i>Gerbilliscus brantsii</i>	Highveld Gerbil	LC	LC
<i>Gerbilliscus leucogaster</i>	Bushveld Gerbil	LC	LC
<i>Graphiurus (Graphiurus) platyops</i>	Flat-headed African Dormouse		
<i>Herpestes sanguineus</i>	Slender Mongoose	LC	LC
<b><i>Hydrictis maculicollis</i></b>	<b>Spotted-necked Otter</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>NT</b>
<i>Hystrix africaeaustralis</i>	Cape Porcupine	LC	LC
<i>Ichneumia albicauda</i>	White-tailed Mongoose	LC	LC
<i>Ictonyx striatus</i>	Striped Polecat	LC	LC

<b>Leptailurus serval</b>	<b>Serval</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>LC</b>
<b>Lepus capensis</b>	Cape Hare	LC	LC
<b>Lepus saxatilis</b>	Scrub Hare	LC	LC
<b>Lepus victoriae</b>	African Savanna Hare	LC	LC
<b>Malacothrix typica</b>	Gerbil Mouse	LC	LC
<b>Mastomys coucha</b>	Southern African Mastomys	LC	LC
<b>Mastomys natalensis</b>	Natal Mastomys	LC	LC
<b>Mastomys sp.</b>	Multimammate Mice		
<b>Mellivora capensis</b>	Honey Badger	LC	LC
<b>Miniopterus natalensis</b>	Natal Long-fingered Bat		
<b>Mus (Nannomys) indutus</b>	Desert Pygmy Mouse		
<b>Mus (Nannomys) minutoides</b>	Southern African Pygmy Mouse		
<b>Mus musculus</b>	House Mouse	Unlisted	LC
<b>Myosorex varius</b>	Forest Shrew	LC	LC
<b>Myotis tricolor</b>	Temminck's Myotis	LC	LC
<b>Mystromys albicaudatus</b>	<b>African White-tailed Rat</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>EN</b>
<b>Neoromicia capensis</b>	Cape Serotine	LC	LC
<b>Neoromicia zuluensis</b>	Aloe Bat	LC	LC
<b>Nycteris thebaica</b>	Egyptian Slit-faced Bat	LC	LC
<b>Orycteropus afer</b>	Aardvark	LC	LC
<b>Otocyon megalotis</b>	Bat-eared Fox	LC	LC
<b>Otomys auratus</b>	<b>Southern African Vlei Rat (Grassland type)</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>NT</b>
<b>Otomys irroratus</b>	Vlei Rat (Fynbos type)	LC	LC
<b>Panthera pardus</b>	<b>Leopard</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>VU</b>
<b>Papio ursinus</b>	Chacma Baboon	LC	LC
<b>Parahyaena brunnea</b>	<b>Brown Hyaena</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>NT</b>
<b>Paraxerus cepapi</b>	Smith's Bush Squirrel	LC	LC
<b>Pedetes capensis</b>	South African Spring Hare	LC	LC
<b>Phacochoerus africanus</b>	Common Warthog	LC	LC
<b>Poecilogale albinucha</b>	<b>African Striped Weasel</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>LC</b>
<b>Potamochoerus larvatus koiropotamus</b>	Bush-pig (subspecies koiropotamus)		
<b>Potamochoerus porcus</b>	Red River Hog		
<b>Procavia capensis</b>	Cape Rock Hyrax	LC	LC
<b>Pronolagus randensis</b>	Jameson's Red Rock Hare	LC	LC
<b>Pronolagus sp.</b>	Rock-hares		
<b>Proteles cristata</b>	Aardwolf	LC	LC
<b>Raphicerus campestris</b>	Steenbok	LC	LC
<b>Rattus rattus</b>	House Rat	Exotic (Not listed)	LC
<b>Rhabdomys pumilio</b>	Xeric Four-striped Grass Rat	LC	LC



<b>Rhinolophus clivosus</b>	Geoffroy's Horseshoe Bat	LC	LC
<b>Rhinolophus darlingi</b>	Darling's Horseshoe Bat	LC	LC
<b>Saccostomus campestris</b>	Southern African Pouched Mouse	LC	LC
<b>Scotophilus dinganii</b>	Yellow House Bat	LC	LC
<b>Steatomys krebsii</b>	Krebs's Fat Mouse	LC	LC
<b>Steatomys pratensis</b>	Fat Mouse	LC	LC
<b>Suncus varilla</b>	Lesser Dwarf Shrew	LC	LC
<b>Suricata suricatta</b>	Meerkat	LC	LC
<b>Sylvicapra grimmia</b>	Bush Duiker	LC	LC
<b>Tadarida aegyptiaca</b>	Egyptian Free-tailed Bat	LC	LC
<b>Thryonomys swinderianus</b>	Greater Cane Rat	LC	LC
<b>Vulpes chama</b>	Cape Fox	LC	LC
<b>Xerus inauris</b>	South African Ground Squirrel	LC	LC

## 16. Appendix F – Avifauna species expected to occur within the project area

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status	
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)
<i>Accipiter badius</i>	Shikra	Unlisted	LC
<i>Accipiter melanoleucus</i>	Black Sparrowhawk	Unlisted	LC
<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	Common Myna	Unlisted	LC
<i>Acrocephalus arundinaceus</i>	Great Reed Warbler	Unlisted	LC
<i>Acrocephalus baeticatus</i>	African Reed Warbler	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Acrocephalus gracilirostris</i>	Lesser Swamp Warbler	Unlisted	LC
<i>Acrocephalus palustris</i>	Marsh Warbler	Unlisted	LC
<i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	Common Sandpiper	Unlisted	LC
<i>Afrotis afroides</i>	Northern Black Korhaan	Unlisted	LC
<i>Alopochen aegyptiaca</i>	Egyptian Goose		
<i>Amadina erythrocephala</i>	Red-headed Finch	Unlisted	LC
<i>Amadina fasciata</i>	Cut-throat Finch	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Amandava subflava</i>	Orange-breasted Waxbill	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Amblyospiza albifrons</i>	Thick-billed Weaver	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anas capensis</i>	Cape Teal	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anas erythrorhyncha</i>	Red-billed Teal	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	Mallard	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anas sparsa</i>	African Black Duck	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anas undulata</i>	Yellow-billed Duck	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anhinga rufa</i>	African Darter	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anomalospiza imberbis</i>	Cuckoo Finch	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anser anser</i>	Domestic Goose	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anthoscopus minutus</i>	Cape Penduline Tit	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anthus cinnamomeus</i>	African Pipit	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anthus leucophrys</i>	Plain-backed Pipit	Unlisted	LC
<i>Anthus nicholsoni</i>	Nicholson's Pipit		
<i>Anthus vaalensis</i>	Buffy Pipit	Unlisted	LC
<i>Apalis thoracica</i>	Bar-throated Apalis	Unlisted	LC
<i>Apus affinis</i>	Little Swift	Unlisted	LC
<i>Apus apus</i>	Common Swift	Unlisted	LC
<i>Apus barbatus</i>	African Black Swift	Unlisted	LC
<i>Apus caffer</i>	White-rumped Swift	Unlisted	LC
<i>Apus horus</i>	Horus Swift	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ardea alba</i>	Great Egret		
<i>Ardea cinerea</i>	Grey Heron	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ardea goliath</i>	Goliath Heron	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ardea intermedia</i>	Intermediate Egret	Unlisted	LC

<i>Ardea melanocephala</i>	Black-headed Heron	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ardea purpurea</i>	Purple Heron	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ardeola ralloides</i>	Squacco Heron	Unlisted	LC
<i>Asio capensis</i>	Marsh Owl	Unlisted	LC
<i>Batis molitor</i>	Chin-spot Batis	Unlisted	LC
<i>Batis pririt</i>	Pirit Batis	Unlisted	LC
<i>Bostrychia hagedash</i>	Hadada Ibis	Unlisted	LC
<i>Bradypterus baboecala</i>	Little Rush Warbler	Unlisted	LC
<i>Brunhilda erythronotos</i>	Black-faced Waxbill		
<i>Bubo africanus</i>	Spotted Eagle-Owl	Unlisted	LC
<i>Bubulcus ibis</i>	Western Cattle Egret	Unlisted	LC
<i>Buphagus erythrorhynchus</i>	Red-billed Oxpecker		
<i>Burhinus capensis</i>	Spotted Thick-knee	Unlisted	LC
<i>Buteo buteo</i>	Common Buzzard	Unlisted	LC
<i>Buteo rufofuscus</i>	Jackal Buzzard	Unlisted	LC
<i>Calandrella cinerea</i>	Red-capped Lark	Unlisted	LC
<i>Calendulauda sabota</i>	Sabota Lark	Unlisted	LC
<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>	<b>Curlew Sandpiper</b>	<b>LC</b>	<b>NT</b>
<i>Calidris minuta</i>	Little Stint	LC	LC
<i>Calidris pugnax</i>	Ruff		
<i>Campethera abingoni</i>	Golden-tailed Woodpecker	Unlisted	LC
<i>Caprimulgus rufigena</i>	Rufous-cheeked Nightjar	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cecropis abyssinica</i>	Lesser Striped Swallow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cecropis cucullata</i>	Greater Striped Swallow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cecropis semirufa</i>	Red-breasted Swallow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Centropus burchellii</i>	Burchell's Coucal	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Cercotrichas leucophrys</i>	White-browed Scrub Robin	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cercotrichas paena</i>	Kalahari Scrub Robin	Unlisted	LC
<i>Certhilauda semitorquata</i>	Eastern Long-billed Lark	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ceryle rudis</i>	Pied Kingfisher	Unlisted	LC
<i>Chalcomitra amethystina</i>	Amethyst Sunbird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Charadrius pecuarius</i>	Kittlitz's Plover	Unlisted	LC
<i>Charadrius tricollaris</i>	Three-banded Plover	Unlisted	LC
<i>Chersomanes albofasciata</i>	Spike-heeled Lark	Unlisted	LC
<i>Chlidonias hybrida</i>	Whiskered Tern	Unlisted	LC
<i>Chlidonias leucopterus</i>	White-winged Tern	Unlisted	LC
<i>Chroicocephalus cirrocephalus</i>	Grey-headed Gull	Unlisted	LC
<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Diederik Cuckoo	Unlisted	LC
<i>Chrysococcyx klaas</i>	Klaas's Cuckoo	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	<b>Black Stork</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>LC</b>

<i>Cinnyris talatala</i>	White-bellied Sunbird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Circaetus pectoralis</i>	Black-chested Snake Eagle	Unlisted	LC
<i>Circus macrourus</i>	<b>Pallid Harrier</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>NT</b>
<i>Circus ranivorus</i>	<b>African Marsh Harrier</b>	<b>EN</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Cisticola aridulus</i>	Desert Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola ayresii</i>	Wing-snapping Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola chiniana</i>	Rattling Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola fulvicapilla</i>	Neddicky	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola juncidis</i>	Zitting Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola lais</i>	Wailing Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola rufilatus</i>	Tinkling Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola textrix</i>	Cloud Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cisticola tinniens</i>	Levaillant's Cisticola	Unlisted	LC
<i>Clamator glandarius</i>	Great Spotted Cuckoo	Unlisted	LC
<i>Clamator jacobinus</i>	Jacobin Cuckoo	Unlisted	LC
<i>Colius colius</i>	White-backed Mousebird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Colius striatus</i>	Speckled Mousebird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Columba guinea</i>	Speckled Pigeon	Unlisted	LC
<i>Columba livia</i>	Rock Dove	Unlisted	LC
<i>Coracias caudatus</i>	Lilac-breasted Roller	Unlisted	LC
<i>Coracias garrulus</i>	<b>European Roller</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Corvus albus</i>	Pied Crow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Corythornis cristatus</i>	Malachite Kingfisher		
<i>Cossypha caffra</i>	Cape Robin-Chat	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cossypha humeralis</i>	White-throated Robin-Chat	Unlisted	LC
<i>Creatophora cinerea</i>	Wattled Starling	Unlisted	LC
<i>Crinifer concolor</i>	Grey Go-away-bird		
<i>Crithagra atrogularis</i>	Black-throated Canary	Unlisted	LC
<i>Crithagra flaviventris</i>	Yellow Canary	Unlisted	LC
<i>Crithagra gularis</i>	Streaky-headed Seedeater	Unlisted	LC
<i>Crithagra mozambica</i>	Yellow-fronted Canary		
<i>Cuculus solitarius</i>	Red-chested Cuckoo	Unlisted	LC
<i>Curruca communis</i>	Common Whitethroat		
<i>Curruca subcoerulea</i>	Chestnut-vented Warbler		
<i>Cursorius temminckii</i>	Temminck's Courser	Unlisted	LC
<i>Cypsiurus parvus</i>	African Palm Swift	Unlisted	LC
<i>Delichon urbicum</i>	Common House Martin	Unlisted	LC
<i>Dendrocygna bicolor</i>	Fulvous Whistling Duck	Unlisted	LC
<i>Dendrocygna viduata</i>	White-faced Whistling Duck	Unlisted	LC
<i>Dendropicos fuscescens</i>	Cardinal Woodpecker	Unlisted	LC



<i>Egretta ardesiaca</i>	Black Heron	Unlisted	LC
<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Little Egret	Unlisted	LC
<i>Elanus caeruleus</i>	Black-winged Kite	Unlisted	LC
<i>Emberiza capensis</i>	Cape Bunting	Unlisted	LC
<i>Emberiza flaviventris</i>	Golden-breasted Bunting	Unlisted	LC
<i>Emberiza tahapisi</i>	Cinnamon-breasted Bunting	Unlisted	LC
<i>Eremomela icteropygialis</i>	Yellow-bellied Eremomela	Unlisted	LC
<i>Eremopterix leucotis</i>	Chestnut-backed Sparrow-Lark	Unlisted	LC
<i>Estrilda astrild</i>	Common Waxbill	Unlisted	LC
<i>Euplectes afer</i>	Yellow-crowned Bishop	Unlisted	LC
<i>Euplectes albonotatus</i>	White-winged Widowbird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Euplectes ardens</i>	Red-collared Widowbird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Euplectes orix</i>	Southern Red Bishop	Unlisted	LC
<i>Euplectes progne</i>	Long-tailed Widowbird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Falco amurensis</i>	Amur Falcon	Unlisted	LC
<i>Falco biarmicus</i>	<b>Lanner Falcon</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Falco naumanni</i>	Lesser Kestrel	Unlisted	LC
<i>Falco rupicoloides</i>	Greater Kestrel	Unlisted	LC
<i>Falco rupicolus</i>	Rock Kestrel	Unlisted	LC
<i>Fulica cristata</i>	Red-knobbed Coot	Unlisted	LC
<i>Gallinago nigripennis</i>	African Snipe	Unlisted	LC
<i>Gallinula chloropus</i>	Common Moorhen	Unlisted	LC
<i>Glareola nordmanni</i>	<b>Black-winged Pratincole</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>NT</b>
<i>Granatina granatina</i>	Violet-eared Waxbill	Unlisted	LC
<i>Grus paradisea</i>	Blue Crane		
<i>Gymnoris supercilialis</i>	Yellow-throated Bush Sparrow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Gyps africanus</i>	<b>White-backed Vulture</b>	<b>CR</b>	<b>CR</b>
<i>Halcyon albiventris</i>	Brown-hooded Kingfisher	Unlisted	LC
<i>Halcyon senegalensis</i>	Woodland Kingfisher	Unlisted	LC
<i>Haliaeetus vocifer</i>	African Fish Eagle	Unlisted	LC
<i>Himantopus himantopus</i>	Black-winged Stilt	Unlisted	LC
<i>Hippolais icterina</i>	Icterine Warbler	Unlisted	LC
<i>Hirundo albicularis</i>	White-throated Swallow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Hirundo dimidiata</i>	Pearl-breasted Swallow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	Barn Swallow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Indicator minor</i>	Lesser Honeyguide	Unlisted	LC
<i>Jynx ruficollis</i>	Red-throated Wryneck	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lagonosticta rhodopareia</i>	Jameson's Firefinch	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lagonosticta rubricata</i>	African Firefinch	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lagonosticta senegala</i>	Red-billed Firefinch	Unlisted	LC

<i>Lamprotornis bicolor</i>	Pied Starling	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lamprotornis nitens</i>	Cape Starling	Unlisted	LC
<i>Laniarius atrococcineus</i>	Crimson-breasted Shrike	Unlisted	LC
<i>Laniarius ferrugineus</i>	Southern Boubou	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lanius collaris</i>	Southern Fiscal	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lanius collurio</i>	Red-backed Shrike	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lanius minor</i>	Lesser Grey Shrike	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lophaetus occipitalis</i>	Long-crested Eagle	Unlisted	LC
<i>Lybius torquatus</i>	Black-collared Barbet	Unlisted	LC
<i>Macronyx capensis</i>	Cape Longclaw	Unlisted	LC
<i>Megaceryle maxima</i>	Giant Kingfisher		
<i>Melaenornis mariquensis</i>	Marico Flycatcher		
<i>Melaenornis silens</i>	Fiscal Flycatcher		
<i>Melaniparus cinerascens</i>	Ashy Tit		
<i>Melierax canorus</i>	Pale Chanting Goshawk	Unlisted	LC
<i>Merops apiaster</i>	European Bee-eater	Unlisted	LC
<i>Merops bullockoides</i>	White-fronted Bee-eater	Unlisted	LC
<i>Merops hirundineus</i>	Swallow-tailed Bee-eater	Unlisted	LC
<i>Merops persicus</i>	Blue-cheeked Bee-eater	Unlisted	LC
<i>Merops pusillus</i>	Little Bee-eater	Unlisted	LC
<i>Microcarbo africanus</i>	Reed Cormorant		
<i>Micronisus gabar</i>	Gabar Goshawk		
<i>Milvus aegyptius</i>	Yellow-billed Kite	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Mirafra africana</i>	Rufous-naped Lark	Unlisted	LC
<i>Mirafra cheniana</i>	<b>Melodious Lark</b>	<b>LC</b>	<b>NT</b>
<i>Mirafra fasciolata</i>	Eastern Clapper Lark	Unlisted	LC
<i>Motacilla capensis</i>	Cape Wagtail	Unlisted	LC
<i>Muscicapa striata</i>	Spotted Flycatcher	Unlisted	LC
<i>Mycteria ibis</i>	<b>Yellow-billed Stork</b>	<b>EN</b>	<b>LC</b>
<i>Myrmecocichla formicivora</i>	Ant-eating Chat	Unlisted	LC
<i>Myrmecocichla monticola</i>	Mountain Wheatear		
<i>Netta erythrophthalma</i>	Southern Pochard	Unlisted	LC
<i>Nilaus afer</i>	Brubru	Unlisted	LC
<i>Numida meleagris</i>	Helmeted Guineafowl	Unlisted	LC
<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Black-crowned Night Heron	Unlisted	LC
<i>Oena capensis</i>	Namaqua Dove	Unlisted	LC
<i>Oenanthe familiaris</i>	Familiar Chat		
<i>Oenanthe pileata</i>	Capped Wheatear	Unlisted	LC
<i>Oriolus larvatus</i>	Black-headed Oriole	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ortygospiza atricollis</i>	Quailfinch	Unlisted	LC

<b><i>Oxyura maccoa</i></b>	<b>Maccoa Duck</b>	<b>NT</b>	<b>VU</b>
<i>Passer diffusus</i>	Southern Grey-headed Sparrow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Passer domesticus</i>	House Sparrow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Passer melanurus</i>	Cape Sparrow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	Indian Peafowl	Unlisted	LC
<i>Pernis apivorus</i>	European Honey-buzzard	Unlisted	LC
<i>Petrochelidon spilodera</i>	South African Cliff Swallow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Phalacrocorax lucidus</i>	White-breasted Cormorant	Unlisted	LC
<i>Phoeniconaias minor</i>	Lesser Flamingo		
<i>Phoeniculus purpureus</i>	Green Wood Hoopoe	Unlisted	LC
<i>Phylloscopus trochilus</i>	Willow Warbler	Unlisted	LC
<i>Platalea alba</i>	African Spoonbill	Unlisted	LC
<i>Plectropterus gambensis</i>	Spur-winged Goose	Unlisted	LC
<i>Plegadis falcinellus</i>	Glossy Ibis	Unlisted	LC
<i>Plocepasser mahali</i>	White-browed Sparrow-Weaver	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ploceus capensis</i>	Cape Weaver	Unlisted	LC
<i>Ploceus velatus</i>	Southern Masked Weaver	Unlisted	LC
<i>Podiceps cristatus</i>	Great Crested Grebe	Unlisted	LC
<i>Podiceps nigricollis</i>	Black-necked Grebe	Unlisted	LC
<b><i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i></b>	<b>Martial Eagle</b>	<b>EN</b>	<b>EN</b>
<i>Porphyrio madagascariensis</i>	African Swamphen	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Prinia flavicans</i>	Black-chested Prinia	Unlisted	LC
<i>Prinia subflava</i>	Tawny-flanked Prinia	Unlisted	LC
<i>Prodotiscus regulus</i>	Brown-backed Honeybird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Pternistis natalensis</i>	Natal Spurrow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Pternistis swainsonii</i>	Swainson's Spurrow	Unlisted	LC
<i>Pterocles namaqua</i>	Namaqua Sandgrouse	Unlisted	LC
<i>Pycnonotus nigricans</i>	African Red-eyed Bulbul	Unlisted	LC
<i>Pycnonotus tricolor</i>	Dark-capped Bulbul	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Pytilia melba</i>	Green-winged Pytilia	Unlisted	LC
<i>Quelea quelea</i>	Red-billed Quelea	Unlisted	LC
<i>Rallus caerulescens</i>	African Rail	Unlisted	LC
<i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i>	Pied Avocet	Unlisted	LC
<i>Rhinopomastus cyanomelas</i>	Common Scimitarbill	Unlisted	LC
<i>Riparia cincta</i>	Banded Martin	Unlisted	LC
<i>Riparia paludicola</i>	Brown-throated Martin	Unlisted	LC
<b><i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i></b>	<b>Secretarybird</b>	<b>VU</b>	<b>EN</b>
<i>Sarothrura rufa</i>	Red-chested Flufftail	Unlisted	LC
<i>Saxicola torquatus</i>	African Stonechat	Unlisted	LC
<i>Scleroptila gutturalis</i>	Orange River Francolin	Unlisted	LC

<i>Scopus umbretta</i>	Hamerkop	Unlisted	LC
<i>Spatula hottentota</i>	Blue-billed Teal		
<i>Spatula smithii</i>	Cape Shoveler		
<i>Spilopelia senegalensis</i>	Laughing Dove		
<i>Sporopipes squamifrons</i>	Scaly-feathered Weaver	Unlisted	LC
<i>Stenostira scita</i>	Fairy Flycatcher	Unlisted	LC
<i>Streptopelia capicola</i>	Cape Turtle Dove	Unlisted	LC
<i>Streptopelia semitorquata</i>	Red-eyed Dove	Unlisted	LC
<i>Struthio camelus</i>	Common Ostrich	Unlisted	LC
<i>Sylvietta rufescens</i>	Long-billed Crombec	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tachybaptus ruficollis</i>	Little Grebe	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tadorna cana</i>	South African Shelduck	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tchagra australis</i>	Brown-crowned Tchagra	Unlisted	LC
<i>Telophorus zeylonus</i>	Bokmakierie	Unlisted	LC
<i>Terpsiphone viridis</i>	African Paradise Flycatcher	Unlisted	LC
<i>Thalassornis leuconotus</i>	White-backed Duck	Unlisted	LC
<i>Threskiornis aethiopicus</i>	African Sacred Ibis	Unlisted	LC
<i>Trachyphonus vaillantii</i>	Crested Barbet	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tricholaema leucomelas</i>	Acacia Pied Barbet	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tringa glareola</i>	Wood Sandpiper	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tringa nebularia</i>	Common Greenshank	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tringa stagnatilis</i>	Marsh Sandpiper	Unlisted	LC
<i>Turdus litsitsirupa</i>	Groundscraper Thrush	Unlisted	Unlisted
<i>Turdus smithi</i>	Karoo Thrush	Unlisted	LC
<i>Tyto alba</i>	Western Barn Owl	Unlisted	LC
<i>Upupa africana</i>	African Hoopoe	Unlisted	LC
<i>Uraeginthus angolensis</i>	Blue Waxbill	Unlisted	LC
<i>Urocolius indicus</i>	Red-faced Mousebird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vanellus armatus</i>	Blacksmith Lapwing	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vanellus coronatus</i>	Crowned Lapwing	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vanellus senegallus</i>	African Wattled Lapwing	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vidua chalybeata</i>	Village Indigobird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vidua funerea</i>	Dusky Indigobird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vidua macroura</i>	Pin-tailed Whydah	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vidua paradisaea</i>	Long-tailed Paradise Whydah	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vidua purpurascens</i>	Purple Indigobird	Unlisted	LC
<i>Vidua regia</i>	Shaft-tailed Whydah	Unlisted	LC
<i>Zapornia flavirostra</i>	Black Crake		
<i>Zosterops pallidus</i>	Orange River White-eye	Unlisted	LC
<i>Zosterops virens</i>	Cape White-eye	Unlisted	LC



## 17. Appendix G - Specialist Declarations and CVs

I, Michael Schrenk, declare that:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.



Michael Schrenk  
Terrestrial Ecologist  
The Biodiversity Company  
April 2022

# Michael Schrenk

B.Sc Civil and Environmental Engineering

Cell: +76 529 2652

Email: [mike@thebiodiversitycompany.com](mailto:mike@thebiodiversitycompany.com)

Identity Number: 9204165023085

Date of birth: 16 April 1992



## Profile Summary

Working experience throughout Southern and West Africa.

Specialist experience in exploration, mining, engineering, private sector and renewable energy.

Experience with project management for national and international multi-disciplinary projects.

Specialist expertise include Terrestrial Ecology, Ecological Restoration and Ecosystem Services.

## Areas of Interest

Sustainability and Conservation.

Species specific research and monitoring.

Community Development.

## Key Experience

- Environmental, Social and Health Impact Assessments (ESHIA) and Basic Assessments
- Environmental Management Programmes (EMP)
- Rehabilitation Plans and Monitoring
- Terrestrial biodiversity assessments and surveys
- Specialist ecological assessments
- Invasive species management plans
- Search and Rescue plans

## Country Experience

Botswana

Ghana

South Africa

Eswatini

## Nationality

South African

## Languages

English – Proficient

Afrikaans – Basic

## Qualifications

- BSc (University of the Witwatersrand) – Civil and environmental engineering
- Cand Sci Nat (Pending)

## OVERVIEW

An overview of the specialist technical expertise include the following:

- Faunal surveys which includes mammals, birds, amphibians and reptiles.
- The design, compilation and implementation of Biodiversity and Land Management Plans and strategies.
- Project Management.
- Ecological assessments and management plans.
- Terrestrial biodiversity surveys and monitoring.
- Rehabilitation plans and monitoring, Invasive species plans, Search and Rescue plans.
- GIS spatial analysis and digital cartography.

## TRAINING

Some of the more pertinent training undergone includes the following:

- Tree Identification and Analysis; University of the Witwatersrand
- Ecological management and Assessment; GDARD and Department of Environmental Affairs

## EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE

### **CURRENT EMPLOYMENT: The Biodiversity Company (October 2021 – Present)**

Terrestrial Ecologist / Terrestrial Unit Manager

### **PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT: Wild Serve NPC (March 2016 – September 2021)**

Director / Project Manager

## ACADEMIC QUALIFICATIONS

**University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg (2016):** Bachelor of Science (BSc) in Civil and Environmental Engineering (with honours).

---

I, Martinus Erasmus, declare that:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.



Martinus Erasmus

Terrestrial Ecologist

The Biodiversity Company

April 2022



# Martinus Erasmus

B-Tech Nature Conservation (*Pr Sci Nat*)

Cell: +27 82 448 1667

Email: [martinus@thebiodiversitycompany.com](mailto:martinus@thebiodiversitycompany.com)

Identity Number: 9209035136082

Date of birth: 03 September 1992



## Profile Summary

Working experience throughout Southern Africa as well as West Africa.

Specialist experience in exploration, mining, engineering, hydropower, private sector and renewable energy.

Specialist guidance, support and facilitation for the compliance with legislative processes, for in-country requirements, and international lenders.

Specialist expertise includes Botany and Terrestrial Ecology.

## Areas of Interest

Mining, Oil & Gas, Renewable Energy & Bulk Services Infrastructure Development, Sustainability and Conservation

## Key Experience

- Familiar with World Bank and the International Finance Corporation requirements
- Environmental, Social and Health Impact Assessments (ESHIA)
- Environmental Management Programmes (EMP)
- Rehabilitation Plans and Monitoring
- Botany, especially in the Limpopo, Mpumalanga, Gauteng and North-West provinces in South-Africa.
- Veld management and Veld condition

## Country Experience

Eswatini  
Guinea  
Lesotho  
Liberia  
Mozambique  
Nigeria  
South Africa  
Swaziland  
Zambia  
Zimbabwe

## Nationality

South African

## Languages

English – Proficient  
Afrikaans – Proficient I

## Qualifications

- B-Tech in Nature Conservation, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa.
- National Diploma in Nature Conservation, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Cand Sci Nat (118630)

## **OVERVIEW**

An overview of the specialist technical expertise includes the following:

- Ecological Assessments to identify critical habitats for fauna pertaining to International Finance Corporation (IFC) financed projects;
- Faunal surveys which include mammals, birds, amphibians, and reptiles;
- Floral surveys;
  - Veld management and Veld condition;
  - Alien Invasive Plant Management Plans; and
  - Plant Rescue Management Plans.
- Rehabilitation and Monitoring; and
- GIS spatial analysis and digital cartography.

## **EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE**

### **CURRENT EMPLOYMENT: The Biodiversity Company (August 2017 – Present)**

Terrestrial Ecologist

### **EMPLOYMENT: Enviro-Insight (January 2015 – July 2017)**

General and Field assistant.

## **ACADEMIC QUALIFICATIONS**

**B-Tech in Nature Conservation, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa:**

**National Diploma in Nature Conservation, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa**

---



**environmental affairs**

Department:  
Environmental Affairs  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

DETAILS OF THE SPECIALIST, DECLARATION OF INTEREST AND UNDERTAKING UNDER OATH

File Reference Number:	(For official use only)
NEAS Reference Number:	DEA/EIA/
Date Received:	

Application for authorisation in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended and the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014, as amended (the Regulations)

**PROJECT TITLE**

Proposed development the Stilfontein Cluster, North West Province, with separate EA applications for:

- Nine Photovoltaic (PV) facilities and associated infrastructure: Spoonbill, Sunbird, Swallow, Snipe, Shrike, Stilfontein, Sparrow, Starling and Swift;
- Three collector substations and associated infrastructure: Voelnessie A, Voelnessie B, Voelnessie C; and
- One Main Transmission Substation and associated infrastructure.

**Kindly note the following:**

1. This form must always be used for applications that must be subjected to Basic Assessment or Scoping & Environmental Impact Reporting where this Department is the Competent Authority.
2. This form is current as of 01 September 2018. It is the responsibility of the Applicant / Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to ascertain whether subsequent versions of the form have been published or produced by the Competent Authority. The latest available Departmental templates are available at <https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms>.
3. A copy of this form containing original signatures must be appended to all Draft and Final Reports submitted to the department for consideration.
4. All documentation delivered to the physical address contained in this form must be delivered during the official Departmental Officer Hours which is visible on the Departmental gate.
5. All EIA related documents (includes application forms, reports or any EIA related submissions) that are faxed; emailed; delivered to Security or placed in the Departmental Tender Box will not be accepted, only hardcopy submissions are accepted.

**Departmental Details**

**Postal address:**  
Department of Environmental Affairs  
Attention: Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations  
Private Bag X447  
Pretoria  
0001

**Physical address:**  
Department of Environmental Affairs  
Attention: Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations  
Environment House  
473 Steve Biko Road  
Arcadia

Queries must be directed to the Directorate: Coordination, Strategic Planning and Support at:  
Email: [EIAAdmin@environment.gov.za](mailto:EIAAdmin@environment.gov.za)

**1. SPECIALIST INFORMATION**

Specialist Company Name:	The Biodiversity Company		
B-BBEE	Contribution level (indicate 1 to 8 or non-compliant)	4	Percentage Procurement recognition
			100%
Specialist name:	Martinus Erasmus		
Specialist Qualifications:	B-TECH		
Professional affiliation/registration:	SACNASP # 118630		
Physical address:	777 Rindat Street, Jalskei Park, 2158		
Postal address:	As above		
Postal code:	2158	Cell:	0824481667
Telephone:		Fax:	
E-mail:	martinus@thebiodiversitycompany.com		

**2. DECLARATION BY THE SPECIALIST**

I, Martinus Erasmus, declare that –

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of the Specialist


The Biodiversity Company  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Company:

17/05/2022  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Details of Specialist, Declaration and Undertaking Under Oath

3. UNDERTAKING UNDER OATH/ AFFIRMATION

I, Martina Erasmus, swear under oath / affirm that all the information submitted or to be submitted for the purposes of this application is true and correct.




Signature of the Specialist

The Biodiversity Company

Name of Company

17/05/2022

Date




Signature of the Commissioner of Oaths

17/05/2022

Date

Stamp

Certified as a true copy of original

  
Farai Shadreck Mbirimi      BD52805  
Minister of Religion / Commissioner of Oaths  
391 11th Road, Erand, Midrand 1685

Date 17/05/2022