Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province

Stilfontein PV VIAs, Stilfontein, South Africa South Africa Mainstream Renewable Power Developments (Pty) Ltd



SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd 581877\_42A February 2023

# Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province

Stilfontein PV VIAs, Stilfontein , South Africa

#### Prepared for:

South Africa Mainstream Renewable Power Developments (Pty) Ltd Mariendahl House, Corner Main & Campground Roads, Claremont, Cape Town, Western Cape, 7708 South Africa

021 657 4040 www.mainstreamrp.com

#### Prepared by:

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd 183 Main Road, Administrative Building, Albion Springs Rondebosch Cape Town, Western Cape, South Africa, 7700

PostNet Suite 206, Private Bag X18 Rondebosch Cape Town, Western Cape, South Africa, 7701

+27 21 659 3060 www.srk.com Reg. No. 1995.012890.07

Lead Author: Kelly Armstrong, Environmental Consultant ARMK Reviewer: Chris Dalgleish, Partner DALC

#### File Name:

581877\_Visual Impact Assessment\_Stilfontein Grid\_7\_Sparrow Suggested Citation:

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd 2023. Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province. Prepared for South Africa Mainstream Renewable Power Developments (Pty) Ltd: Claremont, Cape Town, Western Cape. Project number: 581877\_42A. Issued February 2023.

#### Copyright © 2023

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd = 581877\_42A = February 2023





## **Profile and Expertise of Specialists**

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd (SRK) has been appointed by South Africa Mainstream Renewable Power Developments (Pty) Ltd (Mainstream) to undertake the Basic Assessment (BA) processes required in terms of the National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 (NEMA). SRK Consulting has appointed a team of professionals to conduct the Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) specialist study as part of the BA process. SRK comprises over 1 600 professional staff worldwide, offering expertise in a wide range of environmental and engineering disciplines. SRK's Cape Town Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) department has a distinguished track record of managing large environmental and engineering projects, extending back to 1979. SRK has rigorous quality assurance standards and is ISO 9001 accredited.

In accordance with the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the qualifications and experience of the key individual specialists involved in the study are detailed below.

Project Director and Reviewer: Christopher Dalgliesh, BBusSc (Hons); MPhil (EnvSci)

Registered Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) No. 2019/413

Chris Dalgliesh is a Partner and Principal Environmental Consultant with over 36 years' experience, primarily in South Africa, Southern Africa, West Africa and South America (Suriname). Chris has worked on a wide range of projects, notably in the natural resources, Oil & Gas, waste, infrastructure (including rail and ports) and industrial sectors. He has managed and regularly reviews Visual Impact Assessments. He has directed and managed numerous Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (ESIAs) and associated management plans, in accordance with international standards. He regularly provides high level review of ESIAs, frequently directs Environmental and Social Due Diligence studies for lenders, and also has a depth of experience in Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), State of Environment Reporting and Resource Economics. He holds a BBusSci (Hons) and M Phil (Env).

Specialist Consultant: Kelly Armstrong, BSocSc (Hons) Environmental Science

Kelly Armstrong is an Environmental Consultant at SRK Consulting. She has five years' experience in managing Basic Assessment, Environmental Impact Assessment and Water Use Authorisation processes and acting as an Environmental Control Officer (ECO) in the renewable energy, residential, aquaculture, marine and mining sectors. She also manages and contributes to Visual Impact Assessments for infrastructure, renewable energy and mining projects. Kelly holds a BSocSc (Hons) in Environmental and Geographical Studies from the University of Cape Town.

### Statement of SRK Independence

Neither SRK nor any of the authors of this report have any material present or contingent interest in the outcome of this assessment, nor do they have any pecuniary or other interest that could be reasonably regarded as being capable of affecting their independence or that of SRK. SRK has no beneficial interest in the outcome of the assessment capable to affect its independence.

#### Disclaimer

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd has prepared this document for Mainstream, our client. Any use or decisions by which a third party makes use of this document are the responsibility of such third parties. In no circumstance does SRK accept any consequential liability arising from commercial decisions or actions resulting from the use of this report by a third party.

The opinions expressed in this document have been based on the information available to SRK at the time of preparation. SRK has exercised all due care in reviewing information supplied by others for use on this project. While SRK has compared key supplied data with expected values, the accuracy of the results and conclusions from the review are entirely reliant on the accuracy and completeness of the supplied data. SRK does not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions in the supplied information, except to the extent that SRK was hired to verify the data.

## Contents

Profil	e and Expertise of Specialists	3
1	Introduction	11
1.1	Background	11
1.2	Terms of Reference	11
1.3	Content of the Report	12
2	Methodology	16
2.1	Guidelines	16
2.2	Approach	18
2.3	Method	20
2.4	Site Visit and Data Acquisition	20
2.5	Assumptions and Limitations	21
3 3.2 3.3	Project Description and No Go Alternative   Sparrow Grid Infrastructure Project Description   Project Alternatives   3.3.1 Location Alternatives   3.3.2 Activity Alternatives   3.3.3 No-Go Alternative	21 22 22 22 22 23
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Visual Context (Affected Environment) Landscape Character	23 23 24 26 26 29 29 30
5	Analysis of the Magnitude of the Visual Impact	31
5.1	Visual Exposure	31
5.2	Visual Absorption Capacity	34
5.3	Sensitivity of Visual Receptors	36

5.4 5.5	Viewing Distance and Visibility Compatibility with Landscape Integrity	36 42
5.6	Magnitude of the Overall Visual Impact	42
6	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	43
6.1	The No-Go Alternative	44
6.2		44
	6.2.1 Introduction	44 45
7	Findings and Recommendations	48
7.1	Findings	48
7.2	Conclusion	49
Refe	erences	52
1	On-site Substation and Powerline Visual Context (Affected Environment)	82
2	Analysis of the Magnitude of Visual Impact for On-site Substation and Powerline	82
3	Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures	84
3.1	Construction Phase	84
	3.1.1 Altered Sense of Place and Visual Intrusion caused by Construction Activities	84
3.2	Operational Phase	85
	3.2.1 Altered Sense of Place and Visual Intrusion caused by the On-site Substation (Eskom-Portion)	85
	3.2.2 Altered Sense of Place and Visual Intrusion caused by the 132 kV Powerlines and Pylons	85
	3.2.3 Altered Visual Quality caused by Light Pollution at Night	86
3.3	The No-Go Alternative	86

#### Tables

Table 1-1:	Required content of a specialist report	12
Table 2-1:	Expected visual impact significance	17
Table 2-2:	Recommended approach for visual assessment	18
Table 4-1:	Relationship to place	30
Table 5-1:	Visual absorption capacity criteria	35
Table 5-2:	Distance categories	37
Table 5-3:	Visibility criteria	38
Table 5-4:	Visibility from viewpoints	39
Table 5-5:	Landscape integrity criteria	42
Table 5-6:	Magnitude of overall visual impact	43
Table 6-1:	Approved projects within 30 km radius of the project site	45
Table 3-1:	Altered sense of place and visual intrusion caused by on-site substation and powerline construction activities	85
Table 3-2:	Altered sense of place and visual intrusion caused by the on-site substation (Eskom-Portion)	85
Table 3-3:	Altered sense of place and visual intrusion caused by the 132 kV powerlines and pylons	86
Table 3-4:	Altered visual quality caused by light pollution at night	86

## Figures

Figure 1-1:	Locality map	14
Figure 1-2:	Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline locality map	15
Figure 2-1:	Approach to and method for the VIA	19
Figure 3-1:	Components included in the individual BA processes for the Stilfontein Cluster	21
Figure 4-1:	Tailings dams to the south of the PV Facilities (photo taken from the N12)	24
Figure 4-2 :	Vegetation type across the sites	24
Figure 4-3:	Topographical map	25
Figure 4-4:	Hermes/Pluto 400 kV powerline	26
Figure 4-5:	Typical visual character attributes	
Figure 4-6:	Typical views in the landscape	29
Figure 5-1:	Viewshed of all nine PV Facilities	
Figure 5-2:	Visual exposure vis-à-vis distance	
Figure 5-3:	Combined viewshed with viewpoints	41
Figure 6-1:	Cumulative impact evaluation matrix	44
Figure 6-2:	Cumulative projects	47
Figure 2-1:	Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline locality map	83

## Appendices

- Appendix A Specialist CV
- Appendix B Specialist Declaration of Independence
- Appendix C Impact Assessment Methodology
- Appendix D Viewpoint Photographs
- Appendix E Sparrow On-site Substation and 132 kV Powerline Baseline and Impact Assessment

## **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

amsl	Above Mean Sea Level			
BA	Basic Assessment			
BESS	Battery Energy Storage System			
DEA&DP	Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning			
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment			
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme			
ESG	Environmental, Social and Governance			
Mainstream	Mainstream Renewable Power Developments South Africa (Pty) Ltd			
MTS	Main Transmission Station			
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998			
OP	Observation Points			
PV	Photovoltaic			
REDZ	Renewable Energy Development Zone			
SRK	SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd			
ToR	Terms of Reference			
VAC	Visual Absorption Capacity			
VIA	Visual Impact Assessment			

## **Useful Definitions**

This list contains definitions of symbols, units, abbreviations, and terminology that may be unfamiliar to the reader.

After-image	Visual illusion that refers to an image continuing to appear after exposure to the original image as ceased.				
Azimuth Angle	Direction (in degrees) measures clockwise from true north.				
Glint	A momentary flash of bright light caused by a reflection of light off a surface.				
Glare	A continuous source of bright light.				
Landscape Integrity	The compatibility of the development/visual intrusion with the existing landscape.				
Sense of Place	The identity of a place related to uniqueness and/or distinctiveness. Sometimes referred to as genius loci meaning 'spirit of the place'.				
Shadow Flicker	Sunlight flickering effect caused when rotating wind turbine blades periodically cast shadows over small openings such as windows.				
Viewshed	The topographically defined area from which the project could be visible.				
Visibility	The area from which the project components would actually be visible and which depends upon topography, vegetation cover, built structures and distance.				
Visual Absorption Capacity	The potential for the area to conceal the proposed development.				
Visual Character	The elements that make up the landscape including geology, vegetation and land-use of the area.				
Visual Exposure	The zone of visual influence or viewshed. Visual exposure tends to diminish exponentially with distance.				
Visual Impact	A change to the existing visual, aesthetic or scenic environment, either adverse or beneficial, that is directly or indirectly due to the development of the project and its associated activities.				
Visual Intrusion	The effect of the artificial insertion (construction) of an object on the visual quality of the environment resulting in its compatibility (absorbed into the landscape elements) or discord (contrasts with the landscape elements) with the landscape and surrounding land uses.				
Visual Obtrusion (or The effect of the artificial insertion (construction) of an object into a landscape, typically blocking and/or foreshortening Obstruction)					
Visual Quality	The experience of the environment with its particular natural and cultural attributes.				
Visual Receptors	Potential viewers (individuals or communities) who are subjected to the visual influence of a project.				

## **1** Introduction

### 1.1 Background

South Africa Mainstream Renewable Power Developments (Pty) Ltd (Mainstream) propose to construct and operate nine Photovoltaic (PV) Facilities with maximum nameplate capacity of up to 150 MW, each with an on-site substation (IPP-Portion), battery energy storage systems (BESS) and associated infrastructure. The nine PV Facilities, grid connections and associated infrastructure (referred to as "projects") are located on seven farms and are collectively referred to as the Stilfontein PV Cluster, with a total footprint of ~2 737 ha, extending ~7 km from north to south and ~5.5 km from west to east (see Figure 1-1).

In addition, each PV Facility will be connected to an on-site substation (Eskom-Portion). From the on-site substation (Eskom-Portion) the grid connection will route to the proposed Main Transmission Substation (MTS) which will step up the power from 132 kV to 400 kV. The MTS will be connected to the existing 400 kV powerlines on the project site. Each PV Facility and the grid connections will all be submitted as **separate environmental authorisation applications (i.e. there will be 19 separate applications<sup>1</sup>).** 

The Stilfontein PV Cluster is located ~25 km south-west of Potchefstroom and ~6 km north-east of Stilfontein, in North West Province and within the Klerksdorp Renewable Energy Development Zone (REDZ).

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd (SRK) has been appointed by Mainstream to conduct 19 Basic Assessment (BA) processes for

individual projects within the Stilfontein PV Cluster required in terms of the National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 (NEMA) and the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

A Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) of each project within the Stilfontein PV Cluster is one of the specialist studies commissioned for each BA process. The VIA describes the visual baseline and rates the significance of visual and sense of place impacts, utilising the standard impact rating methodology, prescribed in the Terms of Reference (ToR). This VIA relates to the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline (Figure 1-2).

### **1.2 Terms of Reference**

The primary aims of the study are to describe the visual baseline, assess the visual (including glint and glare) impacts of the project and identify effective and practicable mitigation measures. More specifically, the ToR for the study are as follows:

- Describe the baseline visual characteristics of the study area, including landform, visual character and sense of place, and place this in a regional context;
- Identify potential impacts of the project on the visual environment through analysis and synthesis of the following factors:
  - Visual exposure;
  - Visual absorption capacity;
  - Sensitivity of viewers (visual receptors);
  - Viewing distance and visibility; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nine PV Facility, nine Electrical Grid Infrastructure and one MTS.

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Introduction

- Landscape integrity.
- Assess potential visual and sense of place impacts of the project using SRK's impact assessment methodology (see Appendix C);
- Identify and assess the direct, indirect and cumulative impacts (preand post-mitigation) of the proposed project (and alternatives, if applicable) on visual resources in relation to other proposed and existing developments in the surrounding area; and
- Recommend practicable mitigation measures to avoid and/or minimise impacts and/or optimise benefits.

#### **1.3 Content of the Report**

The EIA Regulations, 2014 (R982 of 2014, as amended by R326 of 2017 and R517 of 2021), prescribe the required content of a specialist report prepared in terms of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended). These requirements, and the sections of this VIA in which they are addressed, are summarised in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1:	Required content or	f a specialist report
------------	---------------------	-----------------------

App 6	Item	Section	
(a) (i)	Details of the specialist who prepared the report;	Page 3	
(a) (ii)	Expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report, including a curriculum vitae,	Page Appendix A	3,
(b)	A declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;	Appendix B	
(c)	An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;	1.2	
(cA)	An indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report;	2.4, 2.5	

App 6	Item	Section
(cB)	A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change;	6
(d)	The duration, date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;	2.4
(e)	A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;	2
(f)	Details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;	5, 6
(g)	An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;	0
(h)	A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;	N/A (see above)
(i)	A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	2.5
(j)	A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity or activities;	6, 7
(k)	Any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;	6
(I)	Any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;	6
(m)	Any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;	6
(n) (i)	A reasoned opinion whether the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised;	7.2

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Introduction

App 6	Item	Section	
(n) (iA)	A reasoned opinion regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities;	7.2	
(n) (ii)	If the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan;	7	
(o)	A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;	None undertaken by the specialist	
(p)	A summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and	N/A (see above)	
(q)	Any other information requested by the competent authority.	None was requested	





## 2 Methodology

Visual impacts are a function of the physical transformation of a landscape on account of the introduced object, and the experiential perceptions of viewers.

Given the subjective nature of visual issues, assessing the visual impacts of a project in absolute and objective terms is not achievable. Thus, qualitative as well as quantitative techniques are required.

In this VIA, emphasis has therefore been placed on ensuring that the methodology and rating criteria are clearly stated and transparent. The focus of the study is to determine the character and sensitivity of the visual environment, identify visual receptors and viewing corridors, and identify and assess potential visual impacts and mitigation measures.

### 2.1 Guidelines

Relevant guidelines that provide direction for visual assessment include the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning's (DEA&DP) "Guideline for Involving Visual and Aesthetic Specialists in EIA Processes" (DEA&DP, 2005) and the Landscape Institute's "Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessments" (2013), which have been considered in this VIA.

DEA&DP's Guideline (2005) identifies typical components of a visual study:

- Identification of issues and values relating to visual, aesthetic and scenic resources through involvement of stakeholders;
- Identification of landscape types, landscape character and sense of place, generally based on geology, landforms, vegetation cover and land use patterns;

- Identification of viewsheds, view catchment area and the zone of visual influence, generally based on topography;
- Identification of important viewpoints and view corridors within the affected environment, including sensitive receptors;
- Indication of distance radii from the proposed project to the various viewpoints and receptors;
- Determination of the visual absorption capacity (VAC) of the landscape, usually based on topography, vegetation cover or urban fabric in the area;
- Determination of the relative visibility, or visual intrusion, of the proposed project;
- Determination of the relative compatibility or conflict of the project with the surroundings; and
- A comparison of the existing situation with the probable effect of the proposed project.

Projects that warrant a visual specialist study include those:

- Located in a receiving environment with:
  - Protection status, such as national parks or nature reserves;
  - Proclaimed heritage sites or scenic routes;
  - Intact wilderness qualities, or pristine ecosystems;
  - Intact or outstanding rural or townscape qualities;
  - A recognized special character or sense of place;
  - Outside a defined urban edge line;
  - Sites of cultural or religious significance;
  - Important tourism or recreation value;
  - Important vistas or scenic corridors;

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Methodology

- Visually prominent ridgelines or skylines; and/or
- Where the project is:
  - High intensity, including large-scale infrastructure;
  - A change in land use from the prevailing use;
  - In conflict with an adopted plan or vision;
  - A significant change to the fabric and character of the area;
  - A significant change to the townscape or streetscape;
  - A possible visual intrusion in the landscape; or
  - Obstructing views of others in the area.

In terms of the guideline, the proposed PV Facilities and associated infrastructure can be classified as a Category 4 development, which includes medium-scale development generally 1 to 3-storey structures and usually more than 25% of the area retained as green open space. As the project is situated within an area of medium scenic, cultural, historical significance, with a number of Nature Reserves and tourist attractions within the region (Wikipedia, 2022) a high visual impact is expected (see Table 2-1), which introduces:

- Potential effects on protected landscapes and/or scenic resources;
- Some change in the visual character of the area; and
- New development or adds to existing development in the area.

Such a project typically warrants a Level 3 assessment (see Table 2-2), which includes the following generic steps:

- Identification of issues and site visit;
- Description of receiving environment and proposed project;
- Establishment of view catchment area, view corridors, viewpoints and receptors;

- Indication of potential visual impacts using established criteria;
- Inclusion of potential lighting impacts at night; and
- Description of alternatives, mitigation measures and monitoring programmes.

Type of	Type of development				
environment	Cat 1	Cat 2	Cat 3	Cat 4	Cat 5
Protected / wild areas	Moderate	High	High	Very high	Very high
High scenic, cultural, historical value	Minimal	Moderate	High	High	Very high
Medium scenic, cultural, historical value	Little or none	Minimal	Moderate	High	High
Low scenic, cultural, historical value / disturbed	Little or none Possible benefits	Little or none	Minimal	Moderate	High
Disturbed or degraded sites	Little or none Possible benefits	Little or none Possible benefits	Little or none	Minimal	Moderate

#### Table 2-1: Expected visual impact significance

Table 2-2:	Recommended	approach fo	or visual	assessment
------------	-------------	-------------	-----------	------------

Approach	Type of issue expected						
	Little or	Minimal	Moderate	High	Very high		
	no visual	visual	visual	visual	visual		
	impact	impact	impact	impact	impact		
Level of visual	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4 visual assessment			
impact	visual	visual	visual				
recommended	input	input	assessment				

### 2.2 Approach

The approach adopted for the VIA is intended to be as accurate and thorough as possible. Analytical techniques are selected to endorse the reliability and credibility of the assessment.

The approach to and reporting of the VIA study comprises three major, phased elements (as summarised in Figure 2-1 below):

- Description of the visual context;
- Identification and discussion of the potential visual impacts; and
- Assessment of those potential impacts.

Visual impacts are assessed as one of many interrelated effects on people (i.e. the viewers and the impact of an introduced object into a particular view or scene) (Young, 2000). In order to assess the visual impact the project has on the affected environment, the visual context (baseline) in which the project is located must be described. The inherent value of the visual landscape to viewers is informed by geology / topography, vegetation and land-use, and is expressed *as Visual Character* (overall impression of the landscape), *Visual Quality* (how the landscape is experienced) and *Sense of Place* (uniqueness and identity).

Visual impact is measured as the change to the existing visual environment caused by the project as perceived by the viewers (Young, 2000). The visual impact(s) may be negative, positive or neutral (i.e. the visual quality is maintained). The magnitude or intensity of the visual impacts is determined through analysis and synthesis of the VAC of the landscape (potential of the landscape to absorb the project), zone of visual influence or exposure, visibility (viewing distances), compatibility of the project with landscape integrity (congruence) and the sensitivity of the viewers (receptors).

Sources of visual impacts are identified for the construction and operational phases of the project. The significance of those visual impacts is then assessed using the prescribed impact rating methodology, which includes the rating of:

- Impact consequence, determined by extent, duration and magnitude/intensity of impact (see above);
- Impact probability;
- Impact significance, determined by combining the ratings for consequence and probability; and
- Confidence in the significance rating.

The significance rating methodology is described in more detail in Appendix C.

Mitigation measures recommended to avoid and/or reduce the significance of negative impacts, or to optimise positive impacts, are identified for the project. Impact significance is re-assessed assuming the effective implementation of mitigation measures.

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Methodology



Figure 2-1: Approach to and method for the VIA

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Methodology

#### 2.3 Method

The following method was used to assess the visual context (baseline) for the project:

- Describe the project using information supplied by the proponent and BA team;
- 2. Collect and review visual data, including data on topography, vegetation cover, land-use and other background information;
- 3. Undertake fieldwork, comprising a reconnaissance of the study area, particularly the project site and key viewpoints. The objectives of the fieldwork were to:
  - Familiarise the specialist with the site and its surroundings;
  - Identify key viewpoints / corridors; and
  - Determine and groundtruth the existing visual character and quality in order to understand the sensitivity of the landscape.

Visual 'sampling' using photography was undertaken to illustrate the likely zone of influence and visibility. The location of the viewpoints was recorded with a GPS.

- 4. Undertake a mapping exercise to define the visual character of the study area; and
- 5. Identify sensitive receptors.

The following method was used to assess the visual impact of the project:

1. Determine the visual zone of influence or exposure by superimposing the proposed upgrades on aerial imagery, and verified during the site visit;

- 2. Make field observations at key viewpoints to determine the likely distance at which visual impacts will become indistinguishable;
- 3. Rate impacts on the visual environment and sense of place based on a professional opinion and the prescribed impact rating methodology;
- 4. Recommend practicable mitigation measures to avoid and/or minimise impacts; and
- 5. Provide environmental management measures to be included in the Environmental Management Programme for the project (EMPr).

### 2.4 Site Visit and Data Acquisition

A site visit was undertaken on 2 February 2022. The duration and timing of the site visit were adequate to provide the specialist with a representative impression of the site and surroundings.

The following additional information sources were used:

- Maps indicating the location and layout of the project;
- Topographic data, including spatial files with 5 m contours obtained from the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform;
- Biodiversity data from SANBI Biodiversity GIS;
- Aerial images; and
- Other available data on geology, vegetation, land use, receptors, glare etc.

The information is sufficiently recent and detailed to provide appropriate inputs into the VIA.

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Project Description and No Go Alternative

### 2.5 Assumptions and Limitations

As is standard practice, the VIA is based on a number of assumptions and is subject to certain limitations, which should be borne in mind when considering information presented in this report. These assumptions and limitations include:

- VIA is not, by nature, a purely objective, quantitative process, and depends to some extent on subjective judgments. Where subjective judgments are required, appropriate criteria and motivations for these have been clearly stated;
- The study is based on technical information supplied to SRK, which is assumed to be accurate. This includes the proposed locations, dimensions and layouts of the project components;
- The study area is defined as the area within a 5 km radius of the site, as the visual impact beyond this distance is considered negligible due to the low vertical profile/dimensions of PV arrays which serve to limit visibility; and
- This study does not provide motivation for or against the project, but rather seeks to give insight into the visual character and quality of the area, its VAC and the potential visual (including glint and glare) impacts of the project.

The findings of the VIA are not expected to be affected by these assumptions and limitations.

## 3 Project Description and No Go Alternative

Mainstream proposes the construction of the Sparrow Substation and powerline to evacuate power generated by the PV facilities, which are applied for in separate BA processes. The Sparrow Substation and powerline are located in the Dr Kenneth Kaunda District Municipality in the North West Province. The project site is located approximately 13 km east of the town of Stilfontein along the N12 and forms part of the larger proposed Stilfontein PV Cluster.

### 3.1 Stilfontein PV Cluster Overview

The project forms part of the larger proposed Stilfontein PV Cluster, which comprises up to nine 150 MW PV facilities, including grid connections, BESS and associated infrastructure. *Separate EA applications will be submitted for the individual PV facilities and grid connections through separate BA processes* (see Figure 3-1). The Stilfontein Cluster is briefly described here.

The Stilfontein Cluster is entirely located within the Klerksdorp REDZ and the Central Strategic Transmission Corridor (STC) (see Figure 1-1). Individual PV facilities will be submitted as part of the REIPPPP bidding process. At this stage it is not known which facilities (projects) may be awarded preferred bidder status, and thus which portion of the Stilfontein Cluster will be developed.





Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Project Description and No Go Alternative

#### 3.2 Sparrow Grid Infrastructure Project Description

This section provides a summary of the proposed project and focuses on elements that are relevant to the VIA. A more detailed project description is provided in the BA Reports for the project.

The Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline comprises the following key components (see Figure 3-1):

- Eskom-side of the 11-33/132 kV on-site substation on a notional development area (footprint) of ~ 2 ha<sup>2</sup>; and
- 132kV above ground powerlines from the 11-33/132 kV on-site substation to the 132/400 kV MTS;
- Offices, including ablutions with septic / conservancy tank sewage treatment infrastructure; and
- Material laydown areas (temporary for construction phase and permanent for operation phase).

Ancillary infrastructure and activities required for the associated PV facility will also support the grid connection. The on-site substation will receive the incoming power from the PV Facility at 11-33 kV and step up outgoing electricity to the MTS at 132 kV. The IPP will own and operate the section of the on-site substation that receives and steps up the incoming 11-33 kV electricity (IPP-Portion, included in the PV applications), while Eskom will own and operate the section of the on-site substation that steps up and transmits electricity at 132 kV at the MTS (Eskom-side, subject of this report). The on-site substation will have an individual development footprint of up to 4 ha and a height of 32 m for each grid connection per PV facility.

<sup>2</sup> The on-site substation comprising of the IPP-Portion and the Eskom-Portion will occupy ~ 4 ha in total; with the IPP- and Eskom-Portions each occupying ~2 ha. Two alternative locations are identified for the on-site substation. The technically preferred alternative is shown in red (Figure 1-2).

#### 3.3 **Project Alternatives**

#### 3.3.1 Location Alternatives

#### **On-Site Substation (Eskom-Portion)**

The location of the Sparrow Substation is broadly determined by the location of the PV facilities. The location of the on-site substation and corresponding powerline corridor was optimised to:

- Optimally serve the relevant PV facilities;
- Minimise the required length of powerlines;
- Provide adequate accessibility;
- Provide adequate space for other infrastructure; and
- Take account of topographical and environmental characteristics.

Two alternative on-site substation locations were identified per project. A technically preferred substation location was indicated for each project (Figure 1-2).

#### 3.3.2 Activity Alternatives

The proposal is to develop a (portion of a) substation and 132 kV powerline to evacuate renewable power generated as part of the REIPPPP. The project lies within the Klerksdorp REDZ which was

specifically identified for the deployment of large-scale PV facilities. As such, there are no reasonable activity alternatives.

#### 3.3.3 No-Go Alternative

The No-Go alternative will be considered in the study in accordance with the requirements of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended). The No-Go alternative implies that the project will not be implemented, visual impacts will not occur, and additional renewable electricity will not be generated by this project.

## 4 Visual Context (Affected Environment)

The following description of the affected environment focuses on the *Visual Character* of the area surrounding and including the project (the study area), and discusses the *Visual Quality* and *Sense of Place*<sup>3</sup>. This baseline information provides the context for the visual analysis.

### 4.1 Landscape Character

Landscape character is the description of the pattern of the landscape, resulting from particular combinations of natural (physical and biological) and cultural (land use) characteristics. It focuses on the inherent nature of the land rather than the response of a viewer (Young, 2000).

#### 4.1.1 Geology and Topography

The geology and topography of the area, together with the temperate highveld climate, provide the framework for the basic landscape features and visual elements of the study area.

The project falls within the western portion of the highveld, the elevated inland plateau that comprises roughly 30% of South Africa's land area. The highveld terrain is generally devoid of mountains and consists primarily of rolling plains. This region experiences summer rainfall, with substantial afternoon thunderstorms and frost in winter.

The project site comprises seven relatively flat properties in a uniform environment, at an elevation of ~1 380 (above mean sea level [amsl]) (in the north), gently falling over ~ 7 km to ~1 340 amsl (near the N12) and dropping to 1 300 m amsl over ~12 km to the Vaal River, south of the project site. Gently undulating topography to the northeast and northwest of the sites rises to ~1 500 m amsl (Figure 4-3).

The expansive and somewhat unspectacular landscape is further characterised by tailings dams and overburden stockpiles to the southwest, ranging from ~15 m to ~30 m in height, evidence past and present mining activity in the surrounding area (Figure 4-1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These terms are explained in the relevant sections below.



Figure 4-1: Tailings dams to the south of the PV Facilities (photo taken from the N12)

The project is underlain by dolomite and limestone of the Malmani Subgroup of the Chuniespoort Group. The Koekermoerspruit forms an informal boundary to the immediate west of the project site.

#### 4.1.2 Vegetation

The project will be located across the Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland and Carlton Dolomite Grassland vegetation types of the Dry Highland Grassland Biome. The Dry Highland Grassland Biome occurs at mid-altitudes of 1 300 – 1 600 m amsl and undulating topography with small outcropping mountains and river valleys. The biome comprises grasses and low shrubby vegetation with small clusters of trees and bushes, reminiscent of African savannah landscapes (Figure 4-2). The proposed Highveld National Park is located ~13 km to the northeast of the Cluster site. The proposed Park would occupy ~ 10 200 ha and would cover ~0.3% of the endangered grassland biome, the vegetation type the Park aims to preserve (Daemane, Cilliers, & Bezuidenhout, An

ecological study of the plant communities in the proposed Highveld National Park, in the peri-urban area of Potchefstroom, South Africa, 2010)<sup>4</sup>.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The National Park was proposed in 1984 (Daemane & Bezuidenhout, 2012), but the area has not and will not be declared a National Park (per comms. Bezuidenhout, 2023).



Path: \\CTN-SVR0.ctn.za.srk.ad\vol1\Root\Proj\New Proj\581877\_Stilfontein PV BA\8GIS\GISPROJ\PRO\581877\_STILFONTEIN\_VIA.aprx\581877\_STILFONTEIN\_SOLAR\_Topography\_A4L\_20220323

Revision: A Date: 13 02 2023

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Visual Context (Affected Environment)

#### 4.1.3 Land Use

The highveld is home to some of South Africa's most important commercial farming areas, as well as its largest concentration of metropolitan centres. Potchefstroom, ~ 25 km to the northeast of the site, is one of the largest urban centres in the North West Province with tertiary institutions, industry, services and agriculture being key economic sectors.

The area surrounding the site is predominantly characterised by agricultural and mining activities (tailings dam), urban development, infrastructure (roads and rail) and natural highveld grassland. Hartebeesfontein, Buffelsfontein and Stilfontein mines are located to the south of the site, while the mining towns of Klerksdorp, Stilfontein and Orkney, and the Khuma township are located to the southwest. Agriculture, mainly crop and cattle farming, is the predominant land use to the north, east and west of the sites. Farmsteads are dotted throughout the area, especially to the east and west. National, regional and provincial roads criss-cross the region. A railway line runs parallel to the N12 to the south of the site. The existing 400 kV Hermes/Pluto 1 and 2 powerlines traverse the site in a north-southerly direction (Figure 4-4).



Figure 4-4: Hermes/Pluto 400 kV powerline

In addition, land use in the surrounding the project area includes the following:

- Frontier Shooting Range;
- Frontier Metal Processing;
- Chubby Chick poultry farm;
- Club Louico; and
- Khora Lion Park.

The seven farms that constitute the Cluster site are undeveloped and used for agricultural purposes.

### 4.2 Visual Character

Visual character is descriptive and non-evaluative, which implies that it is based on defined attributes that are neither positive nor negative. It refers to the overall experience and impression of the landscape, such as natural or transformed.

A change in visual character cannot be described as having positive or negative attributes until the viewer's response to that change has been taken into consideration. The probable change caused by the project is assessed against the existing degree of change caused by previous development.

Typical character attributes, used to describe the visual character of the affected area and to give an indication of potential value to the viewer, are provided in Figure 4-5.

The basis for the visual character is provided by the topography, vegetation and land use of the area, which is predominantly a rural environment characterised by the undulating, vegetated landscape, albeit with large pockets of settlements and mining activity. Harsh, manmade structures and landforms introduced by mining dominate the landscape to the south-west of the sites. The rolling expanse of vegetated landscape to the north and east of the site further evokes the natural, rural environment. The project area can therefore be defined as a modified rural landscape as it is mostly rural but settlements, mining activities and busy roads and railway are visible in the landscape.



Figure 4-5: Typical visual character attributes

### 4.3 Visual Quality

Aesthetic value is an emotional response derived from our experience and perceptions. As such, it is subjective and difficult to quantify in absolute terms. Studies in perceptual psychology have shown that humans prefer landscapes with higher complexity (Crawford, 1994). Landscape quality can be said to increase when:

- Topographic ruggedness and relative relief increases;
- Water forms are present;
- Diverse patterns of grasslands, shrubs and trees occur;
- Natural landscape increases and man-made landscape decreases; and
- Where land use compatibility increases.

The visual quality of the area is largely experienced through rolling views of the undulating landscape, especially from and across the site (Figure 4-6).

The visual quality of the study area is defined by the fabric of developed settlements and infrastructure surrounded by agricultural and mining activity. The naturally undulating landscape is interrupted by exposed, unvegetated tailings dams and overburden stockpiles which detract from the visual quality of the surrounding area. Streams and rivers add to visual quality.





Sources: CNDV (2006)

### 4.4 Visual Receptors

The Stilfontein Cluster is located across seven farms that neighbour farms to the north, east and west, and abut the N12 national highway to the south (Figure 1-1). Beyond the N12 to the southeast is the town of Stilfontein and various industrial and mining areas.

Visual receptors have been identified based on surrounding land uses. The visual receptors are briefly described below and linked to viewpoints (VP) indicated in Figure 5-3:

- Residents (VP2 VP3, VP6 VP8, VP11 VP13): The residential areas of Stilfontein and Khuma are located to the southwest of the PV Facilities. Isolated farmsteads are interspersed throughout the area surrounding the PV Facilities in all directions, but especially to the east and west.
- Recreational (VP8 VP10): The Frontier Shooting Range (VP 8), Camp Louico (VP9) and Khora Lion Park (VP10) are located to the west of the sites.
- Motorists (VP1 VP5, VP7 VP8, VP15 VP18): Three roads are located in close proximity, to the east, south and west of the sites. To the east is an unnamed street (hereafter referred to as Road East). The N12 national dual-carriage way is situated to the south of the site. Vermaasdrift Road extends north south, to the west of the project site.

Landowners and occupiers (tenants) of the seven farms are considered as receptors; however, they have reached a negotiated agreement with Mainstream and will receive financial renumeration in compensation for development on their properties. As such, they are not deemed to be sensitive receptors.

### 4.5 Sense of Place

Our sense of a place depends not only on spatial form and quality, but also on culture, temperament, status, experience and the current purpose of the observer (Lynch, 1992). Central to the idea of 'sense of place' or Genius Loci is identity. An area will have a stronger sense of place if it can easily be identified with, that is to say if it is unique and distinct from other places. Lynch defines 'sense of place' as "the extent to which a person can recognise or recall a place as being distinct from other places – as having a vivid or unique, or at least a particular, character of its own" (Lynch, 1992). It is often the case that sense of place is linked directly to visual quality and that areas / spaces with high visual quality have a strong sense of place. However, this is not an inviolate relationship, and it is plausible that areas of low visual quality may have a strong sense of place or – more commonly – that areas of high visual quality have a weak sense of place. The defining feature of sense of place is uniqueness, generally real or biophysical (e.g. trees in an otherwise treeless expanse), but sometimes perceived (e.g. visible but unspectacular sacred sites and places which evoke defined responses in receptors). In this context Cross (2001) identified six categories of relationships with place: biographical, spiritual, ideological, narrative, cognitive and dependent (Table 4-1).

The region has scenic value in terms of its undulating natural landscape and views over large portions of agricultural land and – within the project site – fairly pristine if undramatic grasslands and treescapes, reminiscent of African savannah landscapes. The natural landscape and rustic character contrast with evidence of anthropogenic influence in the region, *viz.* mining, dense urban fabric and industry. To the north of the project site, visual-spatial quality is informed by the rural character of the area (farmsteads, smallholdings, rolling hills), while to the south it informed by industrial and peri-urban textures (residential areas, mines and industrial areas).

#### Table 4-1: Relationship to place

Type of Relationship	Process
Biographical (historical and familial)	Being born in and living in a place. Develops over time
Spiritual (emotional, intangible)	Feeling a sense of belonging
Ideological (moral and ethical)	Living according to moral guidelines for human responsibility to place
	Guidelines may be religious or secular

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Analysis of the Magnitude of the Visual Impact

Type of Relationship		Process	
Narrative (mythical)		Learning about a place through stories, family histories, political accounts and fictional accounts	
Cognitive (based on choice a desirability)	nd	Choosing a place based on a list of desirable traits and lifestyle preferences	
Dependent (material)		Constrained by lack of choice, dependency on another person or economic opportunity	

Sources: Adapted from Cross (2001) (2001)

The sense of place of the surrounding area is strongly influenced by the surrounding land use, which can generally be described as a rural mining area.

The relationship of receptors in the study area (Section 4.4) to place may be predominantly biographical and dependent. A family, for example, who has lived or worked in Klerksdorp or Stilfontein for a few generations will have a biographical and dependent attachment to the area.

## 5 Analysis of the Magnitude of the Visual Impact

The following section outlines the analysis that was undertaken to determine the **magnitude or intensity** of the overall visual impact resulting from the project. Various factors were considered in the assessment, including:

- Visual exposure;
- Visual absorption capacity;
- Sensitivity of visual receptors;
- Visibility and viewing distance;
- Integrity with existing landscape / townscape; and

• Glare analysis.

The analysis of the magnitude or intensity of the visual impact, as described in this section, is summarized and integrated in Table 5-6 and forms the basis for the assessment and rating of the impact as documented in Section 6.

### 5.1 Visual Exposure

Visual exposure is determined by the zone of visual influence or viewshed. The viewshed is the topographically defined area that includes all the major observation sites from which the project *could* be visible. The viewshed analysis assumes maximum visibility of the project, or part thereof, in an environment stripped bare of vegetation and structures. The viewshed indicates the visibility of the project accounting for the decrease in visibility as distance from the project increases (Figure 5-1).

It is anticipated that visibility of the combined Stilfontein Cluster with a footprint of 2 114 ha – if all projects are developed – will be high within the project area, and to receptors immediately south of the project site beyond the N12, as well as those to the immediate southwest and northwest of the sites (Figure 5-1). The project will be marginally visible in the background to receptors approximately 3 - 5 km from the project site.

The overall visibility of the Stilfontein Cluster (in the region) is moderate due to the proximity of receptors to the project site, moderated by the undulating topography which screens the site from surrounding receptors. However, the project will be visible in the following areas:

 Farmsteads to the south of the N12. The viewshed indicates that the project will be visible from only a few farmsteads located 1 – 2 km to the south of the project site; Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Analysis of the Magnitude of the Visual Impact

- Transient receptors on the N12 and railway located 1 5 km to the south and south-west of the project site;
- Industrial area adjacent to the N12, 1 4 km southwest of the project site;
- Stilfontein residential area over 5 km southwest of the project site. These residents, while sensitive receptors, are situated at a distance that renders the project marginally visible in the background;
- Residents located between 1-3 km west of the project site;
- Farmsteads north of the N12 and less than 2 km to the southwest of the project site;
- Motorists on Vermaasdrift Road will experience views of the project. Visibility ranges from high to moderate along this road with the project likely to be visible in the middleground - to background; and
- Farmsteads located 3.5 4.5 km northwest of the project site. These residents are considered sensitive receptors; however due to their distance from the project site, it will be visible in the background.

The visual exposure of proposed project is deemed high.



The project area has a *low* VAC.

#### 5.2 Visual Absorption Capacity

The VAC is the potential for an area to conceal and assimilate the proposed project. Criteria used to determine the VAC of the affected area are defined in Table 5-1. The VAC of an area is increased by:

- 1. Topography and vegetation that is able to provide screening and increase the VAC of a landscape;
- The degree of urbanisation compared to open space. A highly urbanised landscape is better able to absorb the visual impacts of similar developments, whereas an undeveloped rural landscape will have a lower VAC; and
- 3. The scale and density of surrounding development.

These factors frequently apply at different scales, by influencing the VAC in the foreground (e.g. dense bush, existing roads and bridges, small structures), middleground and background (e.g. tall forests, hills, cityscapes).

The VAC of the Stilfontein Cluster project area is increased by undulating topography and - to a far more limited extent - by grassland and low trees, providing screening to the projects. The low vertical profile of the PV panels is anticipated to increase the screening potential of the vegetation and topography. However, vegetation is not able to provide screening to the associated infrastructure such as the on-site substations, MTS and pylons (associated with the powerlines). The undulating topography will marginally absorb the associated infrastructure.

Urban development can help to increase VAC, but is some distance from the project site, reducing this effect. In addition, the vast 2 114 ha footprint of the combined Stilfontein Cluster also reduces the VAC. Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Analysis of the Magnitude of the Visual Impact

#### Visual absorption capacity criteria Table 5-1:

High	Moderate	Low
The area is able to absorb the visual impact as it has:	The area is moderately able to absorb the visual impact, as it	The area is not able to absorb the visual impact as it has:

- Undulating topography and relief
- Good screening vegetation (high and dense)
- Is highly urbanised in character (existing development is of a scale and density to absorb the visual impact).

able to absorb the visual impact, as it area is not able to absorb the visual impact as it has: has:

- Moderately undulating topography and relief
- Some or partial screening vegetation
- A relatively urbanised character (existing development is of a scale and density to absorb the visual impact to some extent.
- Flat topography
- Low growing or sparse vegetation
- Is not urbanised (existing development is not of a scale and density to absorb the visual impact to some extent.)







http://commons.wikimedia.org





http://blogs.agu.org

http://fortheinterim.com





http://www.butbn.cas.cz

### 5.3 Sensitivity of Visual Receptors

Receptors are important insofar as they inform visual sensitivity. The sensitivity of viewers is determined by the number and nature of viewers.

Viewers can be deemed to have:

- 1. High sensitivity if they view the project from e.g. residential areas, nature reserves and scenic routes or trails;
- 2. Moderate sensitivity if they view the project from e.g. sporting or recreational areas or places of work; and
- 3. Low sensitivity if they view the project from or within e.g. industrial, mining or degraded areas, or are transient viewers on roads.

The sensitivity of potential viewers identified in Section 4.4 is described below:

- Residents: The residential areas of Stilfontein, Khuma and farmsteads surrounding the site area are considered to have sensitivities ranging from low to high depending on proximity to the project site. Residents located further away will experience the site in the background, whereas those in close proximity (less than 1 km) will experience the project in the foreground (Figure 5-2).
- Recreational: The Frontier Shooting Range, Camp Louico and Khora Lion Park are located to the west of the site. Patrons at Frontier Shooting Range and Khora Lion Park are considered to have moderate sensitivity, whereas receptors at Camp Louico are considered to have high sensitivity. These recreational receptors are located between 1 km and 4 km from the project site so would view the project in the middleground to background depending on their distance from the project site.

Motorists: Three roads are located in close proximity to the project site. The N12, a national highway, extends ~6 km along the southern boundary of the project site. Vermaasdrift Road, extending northwards from the N12 is located to the west of the project site and largely provides access to the agricultural areas to the west and north of the project site, Camp Louico, Khora Lion Park and Frontier Shooting Range. To the east of the project site, a road (Road East) extends north from the intersection with the N12 and provides access to agricultural areas and Matlwang Village.

Motorists are considered to have relatively low sensitivity as their view of the project is transient and temporary.

### 5.4 Viewing Distance and Visibility

The distance of a viewer from an object is an important determinant of the magnitude of the visual impact. This is because the visual impact of an object diminishes / attenuates as the distance between the viewer and the object increases. Thus, the visual impact at 1 000 m would, nominally, be 25% of the impact as viewed from 500 m. At 2 000 m it would be 10% of the impact at 500 m (Hull and Bishop, 1988 in (Young, 2000)).

Three basic distance categories can be defined for a project of this scale (as discussed and represented in Table 5-2): foreground, middleground and background.


#### Figure 5-2: Visual exposure vis-à-vis distance

Sources: Adapted from Hull and Bishop, 2998 in (Young, 2000)

A number of viewpoints were selected to indicate locations from where receptors may view the PV Projects and / or associated infrastructure. The viewpoints are shown in Figure 5-3 and listed in Table 5-4. Current views from these points are shown in Appendix D.

The predicted visibility of portions of the project area from each viewpoint is described in Table 5-4, based on the visibility categories in Table 5-3.

Note that unlike visual exposure (Section 5.1) which describes areas from which the project may be visible without taking local screening into account (i.e. the viewshed), visibility describes predicted, actual visibility.

#### Table 5-2: Distance categories

FOREGROUND (0 – 1 km)	The zone where the proposed project w dominate the frame of view. The project w be <i>highly visible</i> unless obscured.	
MIDDLEGROUND (1 - 2 km)	The zone where colour and line are still readily discernible. The project will be <i>moderately visible</i> but will still be easily recognisable.	
BACKGROUND (2 -5 km)	This zone stretches from 2 km to 5 km. Objects in this zone can be classified as marginally visible to not visible.	

The visibility of the project can be summarised as follows:

- The project will be highly visible in the foreground and middleground to motorists on the N12 (VP5, VP17 and VP18);
- The project will largely be screened by topography and vegetation, and, therefore, will be marginally visible to receptors located to the south (VP14, VP15) and to the west (VP6); and
- The project will not be visible from the north (VP11 VP13), east (VP1, VP2, VP3), south (VP4, VP14, VP16) and west (VP7- VP10) due to topography.

Overall, the visibility of the project is *moderate* due to its high visibility to transient motorists on the N12, and marginal visibility to highly sensitive receptors (e.g. residents).

### Table 5-3: Visibility criteria

NOT VISIBLE	Project cannot be seen	
MARGINALLY VISIBLE	Project is only just visible / partially visible (usually in the background zone)	
VISIBLE	Project is visible although parts may be partially obscured (usually in middleground zone)	
HIGHLY VISIBLE	Project is clearly visible (usually in foreground or middleground zone)	

### Table 5-4: Visibility from viewpoints

Viewpoint #	Location	Co-ordinates	Direction of view	Potential Receptors	Visibility
VP1	N12 (East)	26° 45' 50.16" S 26° 54' 53.63" E	Looking west	Motorists travelling on N12	<b>Not Visible</b> Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible to motorists travelling west on the N12.
VP2	Unnamed Road East	26° 45' 50.16" S 26° 54' 53.63" E	Looking west	Farmsteads and motorists travelling to and from Matlwang.	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.
VP3	Unnamed Road East	26° 46' 40.13" S 26° 55' 6.00" E	Looking west	Farmsteads and motorists travelling along the unnamed road.	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.
VP4	R502 Bridge	26° 48' 40.04" S 26° 53' 50.47" E	Looking north-west	Motorists and train	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible to the motorists on the R502 and trains.
VP5	N12	26° 48' 30.99" S 26° 53' 18.10" E	Looking north / north- west	N12 Motorists	Highly Visible The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will be highly visible to the motorists travelling on the N12.
VP6	Vermaasdrift Road Farmstead	26° 48' 45.86" S 26° 48' 57.42" E	Looking east	Farmsteads and motorists travelling along the Vermaasdrift Road.	Marginally Visible The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure may be marginally visible to the motorists and residents on Vermaasdrift Road.
VP7	Vermaasdrift Road North 1	26° 48' 29.67" S 26° 48' 59.19" E	Looking east	Farmsteads and motorists on Vermaasdrift Road.	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible to the motorists and residents on Vermaasdrift Road.
VP8	Vermaasdrift Road Frontier Shooting Range	26° 47' 22.07" S 26° 48' 50.08" E	Looking east	Shooting Range patrons and motorists on Vermaasdrift Road	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible to the motorists and Shooting Range patrons on Vermaasdrift Road.
VP9	Vermaasdrift Road Camp Louico	26° 45' 46.47" S 26° 48' 24.35" E	Looking east / south- east	Campers and motorists on Vermaasdrift Road	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.
VP10	Khora Lion Park	26° 45' 01.11"S 26° 48' 10.16"E	Looking east / south- east	Patrons of the Lion Park and surrounding farmsteads	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.

Viewpoint #	Location	<b>Co-ordinates</b>	Direction of view	Potential Receptors	Visibility
VP11	Northern Farmsteads	26° 43' 45.12"S 26° 49' 48.81"E	Looking south	Farmsteads	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.
VP12	Northern Farmsteads	26° 43' 21.35"S 26° 49' 13.01"E	Looking south	Farmsteads	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.
VP13	Northern Farmsteads	26° 44' 08.68"S 26° 48' 07.00"E	Looking south	Farmsteads	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.
VP14	Khuma Residential Area	26° 50' 23.01"S 26° 49' 35.30"E	Looking north	Residents of Khuma settlement	Marginally Visible The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will be marginally visible in the background from this viewpoint, though will be screened by vegetation.
VP15	Intersection of Vermaasdrift and N12	26° 49' 35.85"S 26° 48' 52.61"E	Looking north-east	Motorists on Vermaasdrift Road and N12	Marginally Visible The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will be marginally visible in the background from this viewpoint, though will be screened by vegetation.
VP16	R502	26° 51' 53.19"S 26° 51' 34.63"E	Looking north	Motorists on the R502	<b>Not Visible</b> The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will not be visible from this viewpoint.
VP17	N12	26° 48' 54.74"S 26° 51' 16.57"E	Looking north	Motorists on the N12	Highly Visible The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will be highly visible from the motorists travelling on the N12.
VP18	N12	26° 48' 36.88"S 26° 52' 45.47"E	Looking north	Motorists on the N12	Highly Visible The Sparrow PV and associated infrastructure will be highly visible from the motorists travelling on the N12.



Path: \\CTN-SVR0.ctn.za.srk.ad\vol1\Root\Proj\New Proj\581877\_Stilfontein PV BA\8GIS\GISPROJ\PRO\581877\_Stilfontein\_VIA\APR\581877\_STILFONTEIN\_VIA.aprx\581877\_STILFONTEIN\_SOLAR\_ComboVP\_A4L\_20220328

### 5.5 Compatibility with Landscape Integrity

Landscape (or townscape) integrity refers to the compatibility of the development / visual intrusion with the existing landscape. The landscape integrity of the project is rated based on the relevant criteria listed in Table 5-5.

	Landscape integrity			
Criterion	High	Moderate	Low	
		The project is:		
Consistency with existing land use of the area	Consistent	Moderately consistent	Not consistent / very different	
Sensitivity to natural environment	Highly sensitive	Moderately sensitive	Not sensitive	
Consistency with urban texture and layout	Consistent	Moderately consistent	Not consistent / very different	
Congruence of Buildings / structures with / sensitivity to existing architecture / buildings	Congruent / sensitive	Moderately congruent / sensitive	Not congruent / sensitive	
Scale and size relative to nearby existing development	Similar	Moderately similar	Different	

#### Table 5-5: Landscape integrity criteria

The proposed Stilfontein Cluster will be located in a peri-urban landscape that includes both anthropogenic and natural landscape elements, creating a mixed texture of land use patterns. The Stilfontein Cluster is moderately consistent with the patterned land use of the surrounding area (e.g. townscapes, industry and mining infrastructure/tailings dams). However, the layout, texture, scale and

size of the Stilfontein Cluster are of low compatibility and considered incongruent with the existing landscape as the PV Facilities will comprise uniform, highly reflective and geometric, man-made structures across very large areas ( $\sim$ 200 ha – 350 ha).

The BESS, on-site substations and the MTS will have development footprints of 10 ha, 4 ha and 36 ha respectively. While the form and use of these project components may be moderately consistent with the surrounding infrastructure (e.g. the two 400 kV Hermes/Pluto transmission lines traversing the site), the size and scale is considered different.

The powerlines (11-33 kV, 132 kV and 400 kV) will be moderately consistent and congruent with the use, texture, size and scale of the development in the surrounding areas.

The Stilfontein Cluster is deemed to have *low* integrity with the surrounding landscape, whereas the associated infrastructure is considered to have *moderate* integrity with the surrounding landscape

### 5.6 Magnitude of the Overall Visual Impact

Based on the above criteria, the magnitude or intensity of the overall visual impact that is expected to result from the project has been rated. Table 5-6 provides a summary of the criteria, a descriptor summarising the status of the criteria and projected impact magnitude ratings.

The overall magnitude of the visual impact that is expected to result from the entire Stilfontein Cluster is rated as *high*. The high visual exposure and visibility of the project by a high number of visual receptors, and the low VAC is only moderated by the low visual sensitivity of many of these viewers (i.e. motorists). No areas to be avoided were identified.

	N	
l able 5-6:	Magnitude of overall visua	al impact

Criteria	Rating	Comments
Visual Exposure (Viewshed)	High	The project will largely be highly visible from within the project area and to receptors immediately south of the project area beyond the N12, as well as those immediately southwest and northwest of the project sites.
Visual Absorption Capacity	Low	The VAC of the project site is increased by the undulating topography and – to a far more limited extent - by grassland and low trees providing screening of the project area. The vegetation does not screen associated infrastructure such as the on-site substation, MTS and pylons (associated with the powerlines). The undulating topography will marginally absorb the associated infrastructure.
Viewer Sensitivity (Receptors)	Moderate	Visual receptors exposed to the project (motorists, recreational and residents) have varied visual sensitivity. Motorists have transient exposure to PV Facilities and associated infrastructure, while the residents surrounding the project area will have a high sensitivity. The receptors at the recreational areas such as Louico Camp, Khora Lion Park and the Frontier Shooting Range are considered to have a moderate sensitivity.
Viewing Distance and Visibility	Moderate	The project area is highly visible to transient motorists on the N12 and anticipated to be marginally visible for highly sensitive receptors (e.g. residents).
Landscape Integrity	Moderate	The associated infrastructure will be moderately consistent and congruent with the use, texture, size and scale of the development in the surrounding areas.

## 6 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures

The following section describes the visual impacts anticipated during the construction and operations phases of the entire Stilfontein Cluster.

Possible measures to avoid, mitigate or compensate visual impacts will be considered and recommended, depending on the severity of impacts and the feasibility of measures. The mitigation hierarchy and sample measures are provided below (DEA&DP, 2005):

- Avoid, e.g. by re-examining the need for the proposed project, relocating the project or re-designing the project;
- Mitigate (reduce), e.g. through adjustments to the siting and design of the project, careful selection of finishes and colours, use of earthworks (such as berms) and planting to provide visual screening and dust control where required;
- Rehabilitate and restore, e.g. through on-site and off-site landscape rehabilitation of areas affected by the project, which may include reinstating landforms and natural vegetation, provision of landscaped open space etc.;
- Compensate and offset, where avoidance or mitigation cannot achieve the desired effect; and
- Enhance, where the proposed project is located in run-down areas or degraded landscapes.

The Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline relates to a greenfield development.

Direct visual and aesthetic impacts are likely to result from the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline include the following:

- Earthworks and construction activities (including clearing of vegetation and associated generation of dust);
- Altered sense of place caused by the project;
- Visual intrusion diminishing vistas across the project area; and
- Increased light pollution.

**Potential visual impacts of the project are described and assessed in Appendix E**, utilising the impact rating methodology presented in Appendix C.

In this VIA, most impacts (are taken to) manifest in the operational phase.

## 6.1 The No-Go Alternative

The No Go alternative entails no change to the status quo, in other words, the Stilfontein PV Cluster will not be developed (see Section 0).

Forgoing the development of the Stilfontein PV Cluster will mean that the sense of place will not be altered, no visual intrusion or light pollution will be experienced, i.e. the visual impacts of this project would not be realised. However, it would also mean that no renewable energy will be generated by this project.

### 6.2 Cumulative Impacts

### 6.2.1 Introduction

For the purposes of this report, cumulative impacts are defined as 'direct and indirect impacts that act together with existing or future potential impacts of other activities or proposed activities in the area / region that affect the same resources and / or receptors'. For the most part, cumulative effects or aspects thereof are too uncertain to be quantifiable, due mainly to a lack of data availability and accuracy. This is particularly true of cumulative effects arising from potential or future projects, the design or details of which may not be finalised or available and the direct and indirect impacts of which have not yet been assessed.

For practical reasons, the identification and management of cumulative impacts are limited to those effects generally recognised as important on the basis of scientific concerns and/or concerns of affected communities.

Figure 6-1 presents the matrix used to evaluate the cumulative visual impacts of the project on the sense of place of the study area. This matrix presents the relationship between two quantities; severity of impacts (importance and magnitude) and extent of impact (geographic size).





Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures

The cumulative impact assessment considers the:

- Proposed nine PV facilities and associated on-site substations and infrastructure in the Stilfontein Cluster; and
- Other PV projects approved (but not yet constructed) or under consideration within a 30 km radius of the project area as listed on the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) South African Renewable Energy EIA Application Database (DFFE, 2022) (see Table 6-1).

# Table 6-1: Approved projects within 30 km radius of the project site

Project	DFFE Reference	EA Status	Capacity
Kabi Vaalkop PV Facility	12/12/20/2513/4/AM1	Approved	N/A
Kabi Vaalkop PV Facility	12/12/20/2513/4	Approved	75 MW
Buffels Solar PV 1	14/12/16/3/3/2/777	Approved	75 MW
Buffels Solar PV 2	14/12/16/3/3/2/778	Approved	100 MW
YMS Mineral Resources PV Plant	12/12/20/2629/AM1	Approved	20 MW
Witkop Solar PV II	12/12/20/2507/2	In process	61 MW
Siyanda PV Facility	14/12/16/3/3/2/1/2369	Approved	150 MW

### 6.2.2 Cumulative Impact Assessment

### Altered Sense of Place and Visual Intrusion caused by Proposed and Approved PV Facilities

The Stilfontein PV Cluster will introduce unique infrastructure into the visual landscape, comprising over 2 700 ha of PV panels, nine 4 ha onsite substations, a 36 ha MTS and various 11-33 kV, 132 kV and 400 kV powerlines. This infrastructure will be different in form, scale, size and texture to the surrounding infrastructure and will contrast with the largely rural and natural landscape of the surrounding area. As such, the project will alter the sense of place and diminish the scenic value of the project site and surrounding area.

As discussed in Section 5, the project will range in visibility to the residential, recreational and transient receptors in the area surrounding the project site. The man-made artefacts visible to receptors will present as a visual intrusion in the either in the foreground to motorists or middleground or background to residential and recreational receptors.

Furthermore, it is anticipated that each of the PV Facilities will require lighting along the perimeter or at the BESS and on-site substations. Consequently, the project will add to existing nightglow from surrounding residential areas. The extensive scale of the project will increase light pollution in the area, which will alter the sense of place.

The other approved PV projects listed in Table 6-1 are largely located to the southwest of the project area, adjacent to existing mines (Figure 6-2). As such, these proposed projects are likely to be less incongruent with land use, form and size than the Stilfontein PV Cluster which is some distance from mines in the area. Despite the comparatively small scale of those projects, they will also create visual impacts such as altered sense of place, visual intrusion and light pollution.

# Visual Discomfort and Impaired Visibility caused by Glint and Glare

The introduction of a vast array of reflective surfaces will generate glare which is expected to impact surrounding receptors, mainly to the east and west, and motorists along the N12, Unnamed Road East and Vermaasdrift Road during certain times of the day in select periods of the year. Cumulatively, exposure to glare from the Stilfontein Cluster does not exceed 30 minutes per day at any one receptor, and as such is not considered to be high or a fatal flaw; however, is likely to be a nuisance to some receptors.

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures

Cumulatively, the additional approved projects listed in Table 6-1 and the Stilfontein PV Cluster are expected to alter the sense of place, adding to anthropogenic transformation in the rural / peri-urban landscape environment. Cumulative light pollution is also expected to increase as this impact has a larger zone of influence than direct visual intrusion, for example.

It is relevant to note that, while the cumulative visual impact is considered significant, these projects fall within the Klerksdorp REDZ, a designated area where such projects are encouraged, *inter alia*, by streamlining of EA processes.

The *severity* of the cumulative visual impact of the project on the sense of place and visual intrusion is broadly rated as moderate and is assessed to be of a wide *extent*. The cumulative impact is thus assessed to be of *medium* significance.



## 7 Findings and Recommendations

The VIA describes and interprets the visual context or affected environment in which the project is located: this provides a visual baseline or template, and aims to ascertain the aesthetic uniqueness of the project area. To better understand the magnitude or intensity of visual and sense of place impacts, the capacity of the project area and receptors to accommodate, attenuate and absorb impacts was analysed in considerable detail. To assess impact significance, the project was "introduced" into the baseline, taking account of the attenuating capacity of the project area.

Findings and recommendations for the Sparrow are provided in Appendix E.

## 7.1 Findings

The following findings are pertinent:

- The basis for the visual character of the region is provided by the geology / topography, vegetation and land use of the area, which is predominantly a rural / peri-urban environment. The project area falls within the western portion of the highveld. The highveld terrain is generally devoid of mountains, consists primarily of rolling plains and experiences a temperate highveld climate. The project site lies in a uniform environment, at an elevation of ~1 380 m amsl.
- Vegetation types in the project area are Vaal Reefs Dolomite Sinkhole Woodland and Carlton Dolomite Grassland. The Dry Highland Grassland Biome occurs on undulating topography with small outcropping mountains and river valleys. The vegetation on the site comprises grasses and low shrubby vegetation with small clusters of trees and bushes, reminiscent of African savannah landscape.

- The landscape quality and the visual quality of the project area is largely determined by the land use of the project area and surrounds. It is situated within an expansive and somewhat unspectacular landscape that is further characterised by tailings dams and overburden stockpiles from mining activities in the surrounding area. The area surrounding the site is predominantly characterised by agricultural and mining activities, urban development, infrastructure (roads and rail) and natural highveld grassland. Mines and mining towns are located to the south and southwest, while agriculture (mainly crop and cattle farming) is the predominant land use to the north, east and west of the project site. Farmsteads are dotted throughout the area. The National N12 Highway lies to the south. Two 400 kV Hermes/Pluto transmission lines traverse the area in a north-south direction. The project area can be defined as a modified rural landscape.
- The visual quality of the area is largely experienced through rolling views of the undulating landscape, especially from and across the site. The study area is defined by the fabric of developed settlements and infrastructure surrounded by agricultural and mining activity. Streams and rivers add to the visual quality.
- The visual exposure of the proposed project area is high due to the vast size of the (Cluster) project area as well as the receptors immediately to the south of the project site beyond the N12, as well as those to the immediate southwest and northwest of the (Cluster) site. The project will be marginally visible in the background to the receptors approximately 3 5 km from the project site. The overall visibility of the project is moderated by the distance of the receptors from the project site and the undulating topography. The visual exposure of the project is deemed moderate.
- The visual absorption capacity of the project area is increased by the undulating topography and – to a far more limited extent – by

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Findings and Recommendations

grassland and low trees, providing partial screening to the project. The project area has a low VAC for the project.

- The project area has a large number of transient receptors that will be less sensitive to visual impacts. Residential and recreational receptors are considered to have a higher sensitivity. Potentially highly sensitive receptors are generally located at least 1 km away from the project.
- The visibility of the project is moderate due to its high visibility to transient motorists on the N12 and train passengers, and marginal visibility to the highly sensitivity receptors surrounding the site.
- Construction activities generate visual impacts related to stripping of vegetation, bulk earthworks (which can generate dust) and from construction infrastructure, plant, and materials on site (e.g. site camp, cranes, and stockpiles). Dust generated during construction will be visually unappealing and may detract from the visual quality (sense of place) of the area. The construction activities will be discordant with the landscape and surrounding land uses and therefore will be a temporary visual intrusion to surrounding receptors.
- The project is anticipated to be incongruent and incompatible with the current landscape and infrastructure and will result in a permanent change to the landscape, scenic value and sense of place.
- The project will involve various project components being developed on the project site. These project components are generally incongruent with the surrounding area and will be visible to receptors to varying degrees. As such, this project will result in visual intrusion.
- Lighting will be installed along the perimeter of the facilities to improve security. Introducing lighting into the vast project area is anticipated to result in a deterioration of visual quality.

- In addition to the nine PV facilities and nine substations and one Main Transmission Substation (MTS) comprising the Stilfontein Cluster, six approved PV projects are located within a 30 km radius of the project site and one PV project application in progress. While these projects are of a smaller scale in comparison to the Stilfontein PV Cluster, they are expected to impact on the sense of place and result in visual intrusion. The cumulative impact is assessed to be of *medium* significance.
- This project is located within the Klerksdorp REDZ, an area intentionally designated as suitable for renewable energy projects.

## 7.2 Conclusion

This project will be largely different and incongruent with the existing infrastructure and the natural landscape. As such, visual impacts include altered sense of place, visual intrusion, and light pollution. This VIA demonstrates that the project will generally result in a significant visual impact.

Potentially highly sensitive receptors are generally located more than 1 km from the project sites and, where the project is visible, it will be mostly visible in the middleground and background. Transient receptors, however, will experience visual intrusion in the foreground in some locations.

The project is somewhat consistent with the mixed land use patterns of the surrounding area, which already includes powerlines.

Construction and operation phase visual impacts are deemed to be acceptable on the assumption that the mitigation measures listed in Appendix E are implemented and noting the location of the project in a designated REDZ. Based on the assessment and the assumption that the mitigation measures will be implemented, the specialist is of the Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Findings and Recommendations

opinion that the visual impacts of the project are acceptable, and, from a visual perspective, there is no reason not to authorise the project.

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Findings and Recommendations

## **Signatures**

All data used as source material plus the text, tables, figures, and attachments of this document have been reviewed and prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering and environmental practices.

This report, Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province, was prepared and reviewed by the SRK personnel presented below.

Prepared by

SRK Consulting - Certified Electronic Sig 581877/44985/Report 5914-4157-800-ARMK-02/03/2023 This signature has been printed digitally. The Authorhas given permissio use for this document. The details are stored in the SRK Signature Database

Kelly Armstrong, Environmental Consultant

**Project Partner** 

SRK Consulting - Certified Electronic 581877/44984/Report 15 3970-3982-3020-DALC-01/03/2023 This signature has been printed digitally. The Author has given permission for is use for this document. The details are stored in the SRK Signature Database

Chris Dalgliesh, Partner

## References

- CNDV. (2006). Strategic Initiative to Introduce Commercial Land Based Wind Energy Development to the Western Cape: Towards a Regional Methodology for Wind Energsanbiy Site Selection. Reports 1 – 6.
- Crawford, D. (1994). Using remotely sensed data in landscape visual quality assessment. *Landscape and Urban Planning 30*, 17-81.
- Cross, J. E. (2001). What is Sense of Place? *Prepared for the 12th Headwaters Conference, November 2-4, 2001.* Retrieved July 2020, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282980896\_What\_is\_ Sense\_of\_Place/link/56256fbf08aeabddac91cd62/download
- Daemane, M., & Bezuidenhout, H. (2012). *Classification and description* of the vegetation in the Spitskop area in the propoed Highveld National Park, North West Province, South Africa. Koedoe.
- Daemane, M., Cilliers, S., & Bezuidenhout, H. (2010). An ecological study of the plant communities in the proposed Highveld National Park, in the peri-urban area of Potchefstroom, South Africa. *Koedoe*, *52*(1), 8.
- DEA&DP. (2005). Guideline for Involving Visual and Aesthetic Specialists in EIA Processes. Retrieved June 2020, from http://asapa.co.za/wpcontent/uploads/2016/06/5\_deadp\_visual\_guideline\_june05.pdf
- DFFE. (2022). Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment: egis. Retrieved from https://egis.environment.gov.za/gis\_data\_downloads

ElectraNet. (2022, May). *Transmission Lines*. Retrieved from ElectraNet: https://www.electranet.com.au/ourapproach/safety/transmission-lines/

- Federal Ministry of the Environment. (2014). Gesundheit und Verbraucherschutz zur Messung und Beurteilung von Lichtimmissionen (Licht-Leitlinie) (Light Guidelines). Retrieved from https://bravors.brandenburg.de/sixcms/media.php/66/Amtsblatt %2021\_14%20%28S.%20691-704%29.pdf#:~:text=Diese%20Leitlinie%20ist%20von%20den,B eurteilung%20von%20Lichtimmissionen%20zu%20beachten.
- Ho, C. K., Ghanbari, C. M., & Diver, R. B. (2011). Methodology to Assess
   Potential Glint and Glare Hazards from Concentrating Solar
   Power Plans: Analytical Models and Experimental Validation.
   *Journal of Solar Energy Engineering*, 031021-1 031021-9.
- Landscape Institute. (2013). *Guideline for Landscape and Visual Impact* Assessment. Routledge.
- Lynch, K. (1992). *Good City Form.* London: The MIT Press.
- Pager Power. (2018). Solar Photovoltaic Development Glint and Glare *Guidance.*
- Wikipedia. (2022, May). *Wikipedia.* Retrieved from Klerksdorp: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Klerksdorp
- Young, G. (2000). First Draft Gamsberg Zinc Project: Specialist Study Report: Visual Environment. Newtown Landscape Architects, 10 March 2000.

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province References

SRK CONSULTING (SOUTH AFRICA) (PTY) LTD • FEBRUARY 2023 • ARMK/DALC

Appendix A Specialist CV



## Resume Kelly Armstrong Environmental Consultant

40	Profession	Environmental Consultant	
6	Education	BSocSc Hons (Environmental & Geographical Studies), University of Cape Town, 2017	
	Registrations/ Affiliations	Not Applicable	
	Awards	Not Applicable	
Specialisation	Environmental Impact Environmental Contro Atmospheric Emissior Assessment; Glare M	Assessment; Environmental Management Planning, I Officer; Stakeholder Engagement; Water Use Authorisations; I Licences; Waste Management License audits; Visual Impact odelling.	
Expertise	<ul> <li>Kelly has five years' e</li> <li>coordinating e sectors;</li> <li>compiling env</li> <li>auditing comp</li> <li>managing sta</li> <li>managing vise</li> </ul>	xperience in the ESG sector. Her core expertise includes: environmental impact assessment processes across a range of ironmental management programmes for projects; bliance with environmental management programmes; keholder engagement processes; and ual impact assessments, and glint and glare modelling.	
Employment			
2019 - present	SRK Consulting (Pty)	Ltd, Environmental Consultant	
2018 - 2019	Terramanzi Group, Junior Environmental Consultant		
Publications	1. Keeping an Eye on PV Glint and Glare. <i>Multiple publications</i> . August 2022.		
Languages	English – read, write,	speak (fluent)	

Kelly Armstrong Environmental Consultant

#### Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA)

- Mainstream Renewable Power South Africa (Pty) Ltd, Scoping and Environmental Impact Report for Hanover WEF and SEF Cluster, 2022 – ongoing, R3.3m.
- Oceana Group Limited, Basic Assessment (BA) for Oceana's 10 MW SPV Facility in St Helena Bay, Western Cape, 2021 – ongoing, R400 000.
- Transnet SOC Ltd, Scoping and EIA for the Increase of Manganese Handling and Storage at the Multipurpose Terminal, Port of Saldanha, Western Cape, 2021 - 2022, R1 125 000.
- City of Cape Town, EIA for the proposed upgrades of Cape Flats Wastewater Treatment Works, Western Cape, 2019 – 2021, R400 000.
- Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Screening Study for 765 kV Kappa Sterrekus Powerline, Western Cape, 2020 – 2022, R5 000 000.
- Nadeson Consulting Engineers, Middelpos Stormwater Upgrades EA Amendment, Saldanha Bay, Western Cape, 2020, R25 000.
- Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, BA for the Single Circuit Powerline from Ceres to Witzenberg Substations, Witzenberg Local Municipality, Western Cape, 2020 – 2021, R435 000.
- Nadeson Consulting Engineers, Middelpos Stormwater Upgrades Basic Assessment (BA), Saldanha Bay, Western Cape, 2019 – 2020, R250 000.
- Human Settlements Holistic Services, Charlesville Low Cost Housing BA, Cape Town, Western Cape, 2019 – 2021, R150 000.
- Paarl Vallei Developments, BA for Paarl Valleij Residential Development, Western Cape, 2019.
- Copperton Wind Farm, Copperton Wind Energy Facility Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) Amendment, Northern Cape, 2019.
- Val de Vie Investments, Substantive Amendment of Pearl Valley Phase II Environmental Authorisation (EA), Western Cape, 2018 – 2019.
- Val de Vie Investments, Substantive Amendment of Levendal Development EA, Western Cape, 2018 -2019
- Watchman Properties, BA for Vendome Estate Development, Western Cape, 2018 2019.
- Val de Vie Investments, BA for River Farm Estate Development, Western Cape, 2018 2019.
- G7 Renewable Energies, Substantive Amendment of Brandvalley Wind Energy Facility EA, Western Cape, 2018.
- Haga Haga Wind Farm, EIA for Haga Haga Wind Energy Facility, Eastern Cape, 2018.
- Haga Haga Wind Farm, BA for Haga Haga Overhead Powerline, Eastern Cape, 2018.

#### Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)

- Victoria & Alfred Waterfront (Pty) Ltd, Environmental Specification for V&A Revetment Upgrades Phase 2, Granger Bay, March 2021, R35 000
- Victoria & Alfred Waterfront (Pty) Ltd, Environmental Specification for V&A Revetment Upgrades Phase 1, Granger Bay, August 2020, R35 000
- Zutari (Pty) Ltd, Specification for the Environmental Management for the Decommissioning of the Athlone Power Station, 2020, R50 000.

## Kelly Armstrong Environmental Consultant

Page 3

- Water and Wastewater Engineering (Pty) Ltd, for the City of Cape Town, Cape Flats Aquifer Recharge Water Treatment Plant (WTP) Environmental Method Statement, Western Cape, 2020, R30 000.
- KSS Holdings (Pty) Ltd, EMPr for concrete batching for Karusa and Soetwater Wind Farms, December 2019, R10 000.
- Saint-Gobain Gyproc, Update Maskam Mine EMPr, Vanrhynsdorp, Western Cape, 2019, R200 000.

#### Environmental Control Officer (ECO)

- Victoria & Alfred Waterfront (Pty) Ltd, V&A Revetment Upgrades, ECO during phase two of the repair works on the Revetments, 2021, R35 000.
- Lions Hill Development Company, The Ridge Residential Development, ECO for Construction Phase, 2020 – 2022, R75 000.
- Project Assignments (Pty) Ltd, Reactor Refurbishments at the Cape Flats and Mitchells Plain WWTW, ECO during the refurbishment, 2020 - 2021, R145 000
- Victoria & Alfred Waterfront (Pty) Ltd, V&A Revetment Upgrades, ECO during repair works on the Revetments, 2020, R35 000.
- Water & Wastewater Engineering (Pty) Ltd, Athlone WWTW Blower House Complex Demolition ECO, ECO during the demolition works, 2020 – 2021, R220 000.
- Coega Development Corporation (on behalf of NDPW), St Helena Bay Fishing Harbour ECO during maintenance dredging. 2019 – 2021, R70 000.
- Coega Development Corporation (on behalf of NDPW), Hout Bay Fishing Harbour ECO during maintenance dredging. 2019 – 2020, R75 000.
- Coega Development Corporation (on behalf of NDPW), Gordon's Bay Fishing Harbour ECO during maintenance dredging. 2019 – 2020, R75 000.
- Coega Development Corporation (on behalf of NDPW), Lambert's Bay Fisheries Harbour ECO during maintenance dredging. 2019 – 2021, R70 000.
- Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF), ECO for operational phase Aquaculture Development Zone, Saldanha Bay. 2019 2020, R200 000.
- Evergreen Developments, ECO for construction phase of Evergreen Lifestyle Estate, Paarl, 2018 2019.
- Val de Vie Investments, ECO for construction phase of River Club Residential Precinct, Paarl, 2018 2019.
- Val de Vie Investments, ECO for construction phase of Pearl Valley Phase II Estate, Paarl, 2018 2019.
- Copperton Wind Farm, ECO for construction phase of Copperton Wind Farm, Northern Cape, 2018 2019.

#### Environmental Compliance Audits

- Astron Energy (Pty) Ltd, Waste Management Licence External Compliance Audit: Astron Energy, Milnerton Refinery, 2022, R75 000.
- Tronox Mineral Sands (Pty) Ltd, Fines Dam 6 Environmental Compliance Audits, January 2020, R100 000.
- Astron Energy, EA Audits for Various Astron Energy Projects, Milnerton, Western Cape, 2019, R215 000.

#### Atmospheric Emission Licences (AEL)

• Transnet, AEL Variation for Iron Ore Terminal, Port of Saldanha, Western Cape, 2019, R40 000.

Page 4

#### Water Use Licences (WUL)

- Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, BA for the Single Circuit Powerline from Ceres to Witzenberg Substations, Witzenberg Local Municipality, Western Cape, 2020 -2021, R435 000.
- Human Settlements Holistic Services, Charlesville Low Cost Housing General Authorisation, 2019 ongoing, R150 000.

#### Visual Impact Assessments (VIA)

- SIVEST SA (Pty) Ltd, Visual Impact Assessment for Lesaka SPV Facility, Loeriesfontein, Northern Cape, 2022, R120 000.
- SiVEST SA (Pty) Ltd, Visual Impact Assessment for Hendrina North 132 kV Powerline and Substation, Hendrina, Mpumalanga Province, 2022, R60 000.
- SiVEST SA (Pty) Ltd, Visual Impact Assessment (including Glint and Glare) for Bonsmara PV and Associated Infrastructure, Kroonstad, Free State Province, 2022, R72 000.
- Mainstream Renewable Power South Africa (Pty) Ltd, Visual Impact Assessment for the Hanover PV and WEF Cluster, Hanover, Northern Cape, 2022 – ongoing, R141 000
- Mainstream Renewable Power South Africa (Pty) Ltd, Visual Impact Assessment for the Stilfontien SPV Cluster and Associated Infrastructure, Stilfontein, North West Province, 2022, R95 000.
- Oceana Group Limited, Visual Impact Assessment for Oceana's 10 MW SPV Facility in St Helena Bay, Western Cape, 2021 – ongoing, R70 000.
- The Environmental Partnership, VIA for the Wingfield Interchange Upgrade BA, Cape Town, Western Cape, 2021, R56 000.
- Mineral Sand Resources (Pty) Ltd, VIA for the Tormin Mine Expansion EIA, Matzikama Local Municipality, Western Cape, 2021, R131 166.
- Mineral Sand Resources (Pty) Ltd, Visual Specialist Study for the De Punt Baseline Study, Matzikama Local Municipality, Western Cape, 2021, R95 466

Karmstrong\_Abridged CV\_Jan 2023

Appendix B Specialist Declaration of Independence



#### DETAILS OF THE SPECIALIST, DECLARATION OF INTEREST AND UNDERTAKING UNDER OATH

File Reference Number:
NEAS Reference Number:
Date Received:

ALC UNITED IN

Application for authorisation in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended and the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014, as amended (the Regulations)

#### PROJECT TITLE

Proposed development the Stilfontein Cluster, North West Province, with separate EA applications for:

- Nine Photovoltaic (PV) facilities and associated infrastructure: Spoonbill, Sunbird, Swallow, Snipe, Shrike, Stilfontein, Sparrow, Starling and Swift;
- Three collector substations and associated infrastructure: Voelnessie A, Voelnessie B, Voelnessie C; and
- One Main Transmission Substation and associated infrastructure.

#### Kindly note the following:

- 1. This form must always be used for applications that must be subjected to Basic Assessment or Scoping & Environmental Impact Reporting where this Department is the Competent Authority.
- This form is current as of 01 September 2018. It is the responsibility of the Applicant / Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to ascertain whether subsequent versions of the form have been published or produced by the Competent Authority. The latest available Departmental templates are available at https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms.
- 3. A copy of this form containing original signatures must be appended to all Draft and Final Reports submitted to the department for consideration.
- All documentation delivered to the physical address contained in this form must be delivered during the official Departmental Officer Hours which is visible on the Departmental gate.
- All EIA related documents (includes application forms, reports or any EIA related submissions) that are faxed; emailed; delivered to Security or placed in the Departmental Tender Box will not be accepted, only hardcopy submissions are accepted.

#### **Departmental Details**

Postal address:	
Department of Environmental Affairs	
Attention: Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations	
Private Bag X447	
Pretoria	
0001	
Physical address:	
Department of Environmental Affairs	
Attention: Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations	
Environment House	
473 Steve Biko Road	
Arcadia	
Queries must be directed to the Directorate: Coordination, Strategic Planning and Support at:	
Email: ElAAdmin@environment.gov.za	

Details of Specialist, Declaration and Undertaking Under Oath

Page 1 of 3

#### 1. SPECIALIST INFORMATION

Specialist Company Name:	SRK Consulting				
B-BBEE	Contribution level (indicate 1 to 8 or non-compliant)	1	Percentag Procurem recognitio	je ent n	135%
Specialist name:	Kelly Armstrong				
Specialist Qualifications:	BSocSc (Hons) Environmental Science				
Professional affiliation/registration:	N/A				
Physical address: Postal address: Postal code:	Albion Spring, 183 Main Road, Rondebosch, 7700				
	Postnet Suite #206, P Bag X18, Rondebosch, 7701				
	7700	Ce	ell:	076 114 9254	
Telephone:	021 659 3060	Fa	ix:	086 530 70	03
E-mail:	karmstrong@srk.co.za				

#### 2. DECLARATION BY THE SPECIALIST

Kelly Armstrong , declare that -١, .

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- . I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work; .
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, . Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- · I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that . reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and .
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of . the Act.

Signature of the Specialist

SRK Consulting Name of Company:

2022 #SIT.TUNE

Date

Details of Specialist, Declaration and Undertaking Under Oath

#### 3. UNDERTAKING UNDER OATH/ AFFIRMATION

I, \_\_\_\_Kelly Armstrong\_\_\_\_\_, swear under oath / affirm that all the information submitted or to be submitted for the purposes of this application is true and correct.

Signature of the Specialist

SRK Consulting Name of Company

17 June 2022 Date 7235569-7 e here

Signature of the Commissioner of Oaths

2022-06-Date

SUID-AFRIKAANSE POLISIEDIENS STASIEBEVELVOERDER 17 -06- 2022 STATION COMMANDER RONDEBOSCH, K.P/C.P. OUTH AFRICAN POLICE SERVICES

Details of Specialist, Declaration and Undertaking Under Oath

Appendix C Impact Assessment Methodology

## Impact Rating Methodology

The assessment of impacts will be based on specialists' expertise, SRK's professional judgement, field observations and desk-top analysis.

The significance of potential impacts that may result from the proposed project will be determined in order to assist decision-makers (typically by a designated authority or state agency, but in some instances, the proponent).

The significance of an impact is defined as a combination of the consequence of the impact occurring and the probability that the impact will occur.

The criteria used to determine impact consequence are presented in the table below.

Rating	Definition of Rating	Score
A. Extent – the a	rea over which the impact will be experienced	
Local	Confined to project or study area or part thereof (e.g. project areas)	1
Regional	The region, which may be defined in various ways, e.g. cadastral, catchment, topographic	2
(Inter) national	Nationally or beyond	3
<b>B.</b> Intensity – the may cause irre	e magnitude of the impact in relation to the sensitivity of the receiving environment, taking into account the degr eplaceable loss of resources	ee to which the impact
Low	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions and processes are negligibly altered	1
Medium	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions and processes continue albeit in a modified way	2
High	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions or processes are severely altered	3
C. Duration – the	e timeframe over which the impact will be experienced and its reversibility	
Short-term	Up to 2 years	1
Medium-term	2 to 15 years	2
Long-term	More than 15 years	3

Table 1:	Criteria used to determine the consequence	of the	impact

The combined score of these three criteria corresponds to a **Consequence Rating**, as follows:

#### Table 2: Method used to determine the consequence score

Combined Score (A+B+C)	3 – 4	5	6	7	8
Consequence Rating	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High

Once the consequence will be derived, the probability of the impact occurring will be considered, using the probability classifications presented in the table below.

#### Table 3: Probability classification

Probability – the likelihood of the impact occurring			
Improbable <40% chance of occurring			
Possible	40% - 70% chance of occurring		
Probable	>70% - 90% chance of occurring		
Definite	>90% chance of occurring		

The overall **significance** of impacts will be determined by considering consequence and probability using the rating system prescribed in the table below.

#### Table 4: Impact significance ratings

		Probability			
_		Improbable	Possible	Probable	Definite
Consequence	Very Low	INSIGNIFICANT	INSIGNIFICANT	VERY LOW	VERY LOW
	Low	VERY LOW	VERY LOW	LOW	LOW
	Medium	LOW	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM
	High	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH
	Very High	HIGH	HIGH	VERY HIGH	VERY HIGH

Finally, the impacts will be also considered in terms of their status (positive or negative impact) and the confidence in the ascribed impact significance rating. The prescribed system for considering impacts status and confidence (in assessment) is laid out in the table below.

#### Table 5: Impact status and confidence classification

Status of impact		
	+ ve (positive – a 'benefit')	
indication whether the impact is adverse (negative) or beneficial (positive).	- ve (negative – a 'cost')	
Confidence of assessment		
	Low	
The degree of confidence in predictions based on available information, SRK's iudgement and/or specialist knowledge.	Medium	
	High	

The impact significance rating should be considered by authorities in their decision-making process based on the implications of ratings ascribed below:

- **INSIGNIFICANT**: the potential impact is negligible and **will not** have an influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- VERY LOW: the potential impact is very small and should not have any meaningful influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- LOW: the potential impact may not have any meaningful influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **MEDIUM**: the potential impact **should** influence the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **HIGH**: the potential impact **will** affect the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **VERY HIGH**: The proposed activity should only be approved under special circumstances.

In the VIA, practicable mitigation and optimisation measures will be recommended and impacts will be rated in the prescribed way both without and with the assumed effective implementation of mitigation and optimisation measures. Mitigation and optimisation measures will either be:

- Essential: best practice measures which must be implemented and are non-negotiable; and
- Best Practice: recommended to comply with best practice, with adoption dependent on the proponent's risk profile and commitment to adhere to best practice, and which must be shown to have been considered and sound reasons provided by the proponent if not implemented.

Negative impacts (with mitigation) rated high or very high will be shaded in red, while positive impacts (with optimisation) rated high or very high will be shaded green.

Appendix D Viewpoint Photographs

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Appendix E: Viewpoint Photographs



Viewpoint 1 (N12 East) looking west towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 2 (Unnamed Road East) looking west towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster (not visible)

Note: The white line indicates the approximate location of the Stilfontein PV Cluster.

Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Appendix E: Viewpoint Photographs



Viewpoint 3 (Unnamed Road East) looking west towards the Stilfontein PV Custer (not visible)



Viewpoint 4 (R502 Bridge) looking north-west towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background


Viewpoint 5 (N12) looking north towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the middleground



Viewpoint 6 (Vermaasdrift Road Farmstead) looking east towards Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 7 (Vermaasdrift Road North 1) looking east towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster (not visible)



Viewpoint 8 (Vermaasdrift Road Frontier Shooting Range) looking east towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster (not visible)

Source: Google Earth Street View, verified by SRK site visit.



Viewpoint 9 (Vermaasdrift Road Camp Louico) looking south-east towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 10 (Khora Lion Park) looking south-east towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 11 (Northern Farmsteads) looking southeast towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 12 (Northern Farmsteads) looking south towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 13 (Northern Farmsteads) looking south towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 14 (Khuma Residential Area) looking north towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster (not visible)



Viewpoint 15 (Intersection of Vermaasdrift and N12) looking north east towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 16 (R502) looking north east towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the background



Viewpoint 17 (N12) looking northeast towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the middleground



Viewpoint 18 (N12) looking north towards the Stilfontein PV Cluster in the middleground

Appendix E Sparrow On-site Substation and 132 kV Powerline Baseline and Impact Assessment

## 1 On-site Substation and Powerline Visual Context (Affected Environment)

Two location alternatives have been considered for the on-site substation (Figure 2-1), with the preferred alternative shown in red. These two alternatives are similar and positioned on the inner boundary of the PV Facility.

The on-site substation (Eskom-Portion) will occupy a development footprint of up to 2 km from farmsteads and the N12 to the south.

This appendix addresses the visual impact anticipated from the on-site substation (Eskom-Portion) and the 132 kV powerline connecting the substation to the MTS.

## 2 Analysis of the Magnitude of Visual Impact for On-site Substation and Powerline

Numerous factors were considered in the main VIA to determine the magnitude or intensity of the overall visual impact of the Cluster. However, this section evaluates the magnitude or intensity of the visual impact of the on-site substation (Eskom-Portion) and the 132 kV powerline.

### 2.1 Visual Exposure

The visual exposure of the on-site substation and the 132 kV powerlines is primarily due to the visibility of the structures that comprise the on-site

substation and the pylons supporting the 132 kV powerline. The substation infrastructure and the pylons commonly have small footprints and may be steel lattice or monopole structures which, depending on the landscape, can limit the visibility in the landscape.

The proposed infrastructure at the on-site substation will vary, but is not expected to exceed 32 m in height. The pylons will be 32 m in height and ~200 m apart along the ~ 3-5 km route between the on-site substation and the MTS. Due to the height of the proposed infrastructure, it may be visible to residents and transient receptors. The on-site substation location alternatives are similar and, therefore, their visual exposure will be similar. Both location alternatives are set back more than 1 km from receptors. The 132 kV powerline extends from the on-site substation to the MTS, so the pylons will be partially visible in the background to motorists on the N12 to the south, and residents to the south and south-east located over 3 km from the substation and the route.



## 2.2 Visual Absorption Capacity

The VAC of the project area is as described in Section 5.2 of the VIA.

## 2.3 Sensitivity of Visual Receptors

The sensitivity of the potential viewers is as described in Section 5.3 of the VIA.

## 2.4 Visibility and Viewing Distance

The visibility and viewing distances detailed in Section 5.4 of the VIA is applicable to the on-site substation and 132 kV powerline.

As such, the visibility of the two on-site substation location alternatives and the 132 kV powerline is *moderate* due to the high visibility by transient motorists on the N12, and marginal visibility anticipated by highly sensitivity receptors (e.g. residents).

### 2.5 Integrity with the Existing Landscape / Townscape

The integrity with the existing landscape / townscape detailed in Section 5.5 of the VIA is applicable to the on-site substation and 132 kV powerline.

## 2.6 Glare Analysis

No glare analysis was undertaken for the on-site substations.

## 3 Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures

## 3.1 Construction Phase

### 3.1.1 Altered Sense of Place and Visual Intrusion caused by Construction Activities

Visual impacts will be generated by construction activities such as stripping of vegetation, bulk earthworks (which can generate dust) and from construction infrastructure, plant and materials on site (e.g. site camp, cranes and stockpiles). Dust generated during construction will be visually unappealing and may detract from the visual quality (sense of place) of the area. These impacts are typically limited to the immediate area surrounding the construction site, during the construction period.

Both on-site substation location alternatives and the powerline route are partially visible to transient motorists and marginally visible to residential receptors over 2 km from the on-site substation and powerline route. The altered sense of place and visual intrusion caused by the construction activities will be experienced by the transient motorists to a greater degree than the residential receptors located further away.

The impact is assessed to be of *low* significance and with the implementation of mitigation is reduced to *very low* (Figure 3-1).

# Table 3-1:Altered sense of place and visual intrusion caused by<br/>on-site substation and powerline construction<br/>activities

	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Short- term	Low	Definite	LOW	-ve	High
	1	2	1	4				

#### Mitigation Measures:

- Limit vegetation clearance and the footprint of construction to what is absolutely essential.
- Consolidate the footprint of the construction camp to a functional minimum.
- Avoid excavation, handling and transport of materials which may generate dust under very windy conditions.
- Keep stockpiled aggregates and sand covered to minimise dust generation.
- Implement dust suppression on access roads during dry conditions.
- Keep construction site tidy.

With mitigation	Local	Medium	Short- term	Low	Possible	VERY LOW	-ve	High
	1	2	1	4				

### 3.2 Operational Phase

# 3.2.1 Altered Sense of Place and Visual Intrusion caused by the On-site Substation (Eskom-Portion)

The on-site substation (Eskom-Portion) will be of a different form to the few farmsteads dotted across the project site. The large development footprint  $(2 ha)^5$  of the on-site substation (Eskom-Portion) is also incongruent with the few structures on the unscreened, rural project site, and as such will alter the sense of place and scenic value of the site and beyond to the north, and will be visually intrusive to visual receptors, even if the on-site substation is only partially visible.

<sup>5</sup> The on-site substation comprising of the IPP-Portion and the Eskom-Portion will occupy ~ 4 ha in total; with the IPP- and Eskom-Portions each occupying ~2 ha. The impact is assessed to be of *medium* significance with and without the implementation of mitigation (Table 3-2), for the on-site substation Alternatives 1 and 2.

## Table 3-2:Altered sense of place and visual intrusion caused by<br/>the on-site substation (Eskom-Portion)

	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence
Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Long- term	Medium	Definite	MEDIUM	-ve	High
	1	2	3	6				
Notes of the Advances								

#### Mitigation Measures:

- Minimise the on-site substation footprint, if possible.
- Ensure that any built structures within the on-site substation footprint blend into the landscape.
- Retain or re-establish natural vegetation around the on-site substation as far as practically possible.

With mitigation	Local	Medium	Long- term	Medium	Probable	MEDIUM	-ve	High
	1	2	3	6				

# 3.2.2 Altered Sense of Place and Visual Intrusion caused by the 132 kV Powerlines and Pylons

The on-site substation will connect to the MTS by a ~5 km 132 kV powerline, routed between the proposed PV Facilities. The pylon structures will be 32 m high and set back over 1 km from receptors. The existing 400 kV Hermes/Pluto 1 and 2 powerlines traverse the site in a north-south direction and will have inured receptors to structures of this size and type.

However, the introduction of an additional 132 kV, 32m high powerline into the landscape will contrast with and diminish the existing natural and

rural sense of place and scenic value of the project site, and the area to the north. The structures are relatively incongruent with the features on the site and in the surrounding area, and the powerline will be experienced as a visual intrusion by surrounding receptors.

The impact is assessed to be of *medium* significance with and without the implementation of mitigation (Table 3-3).

## Table 3-3:Altered sense of place and visual intrusion caused by<br/>the 132 kV powerlines and pylons

	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence	
Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Long- term	Medium	Definite	MEDIUM	-ve	High	
	1	2	3	6					
Mitigation Measures: ■ Do not install or affix lights on pylons.									
With mitigation	Local	Medium	Long- term	Medium	Probable	MEDIUM	-ve	High	
	1	2	3	6				Ũ	

# 3.2.3 Altered Visual Quality caused by Light Pollution at Night

It is anticipated that lighting will be installed at the on-site substation to improve visibility for safety and security.

The installation of lighting at the on-site substation is anticipated to result in nightglow that currently does not emanate from the natural, undeveloped site. As such, the introduction of lighting on the site alters the sense of place and visual quality to surrounding receptors. The installation of lighting on the site and the resultant expansion of the nightglow that may already emanate from towns some distance away (Stilfontein, Khuma and Klerksdorp). Lighting is not easily screened by vegetation or topography, however, consideration to the lighting design and fixtures can mitigate light pollution, e.g. by concentrating the light downwards.

The impact is assessed to be of *medium* significance with and without the implementation of mitigation (Table 3-4).

#### Table 3-4: Altered visual quality caused by light pollution at night

	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence	
Without mitigation	Local	Medium	Long- term	Medium	Definite	MEDIUM	-ve	High	
	1	2	3	6					
<ul> <li>Mitigation Measures:</li> <li>Reduce the height of lighting masts to a workable minimum.</li> <li>Direct lighting inwards and downwards to limit light pollution.</li> </ul>									
With mitigation	Local	Medium	Long- term	Medium	Probable	MEDIUM	-ve	High	
	1	2	3	6				5	

### 3.3 The No-Go Alternative

The No Go alternative entails no change to the status quo, in other words, no on-site substation and 132 kV powerline and associated pylons.

Should the application for the on-site substation and 132 kV powerline be refused, the visual impacts will not be realised (i.e. sense of place will not be altered, with no visual intrusion). However, this would also mean that generated power will not be stepped up to 132 kV and connected to the proposed MTS.

## 4 On-site Substation and 132 kV Powerline Findings and Recommendations

The construction phase visual impacts are assessed to be *very low* with the implementation of mitigation, and considered to be of an acceptable level. During the operational phase visual impacts such as altered sense of place and visual intrusion experienced by the surrounding receptors have been assessed to be of *medium* significance with and without the implementation of mitigation. While these visual impacts are moderate, this on-site substation and 132 kV are ancillary and critical infrastructure to the Stilfontein PV Cluster. Furthermore, this project is located in a REDZ, an area declared for the development of PV Facilities. It is deemed likely that the positive (indirect) impact of the proposed project as a whole will outweigh the negative visual impacts.

Based on the assessment and the assumption that the mitigation measures will be implemented, the specialist is of the opinion that the visual impacts of the project are acceptable and do not differ between the proposed on-site substation location alternatives. From a visual perspective, there is no reason not to authorise the project. Visual Impact Assessment for the Sparrow Substation and 132 kV Powerline for the Stilfontein PV Cluster, Stilfontein, North West Province Appendix E: Sparrow On-site Substation and 132 kV Powerline