

SCOPING REPORT:

**THE INSTALLATION OF A
BULK SEWER LINE FROM
PINE RIDGE PUMP STATION TO
THE KLIPSPRUIT SEWAGE
TREATMENT WORKS,
EMALAHLENI**

Report prepared for: eMalahleni Local Municipality

Report dated: April 2016

Report number: EIA 2016/01

DARDLEA reference number: 1/3/1/16/1N-49

Prepared by:
Clean Stream Environmental Services
P.O. Box 647
Witbank
1035
Tel: 013 – 697 5021
Fax: 013 – 697 5021
E-mail: adie@cleanstreams.co.za
Website: www.cleanstreams.co.za



Author: Janse van Rensburg, R. and A. Erasmus

PROJECT INFORMATION SUMMARY

PROJECT TITLE	Scoping Report: The Installation of a Bulk Sewer Line from Pine Ridge Pump Station to the Klipspruit Sewage Treatment Works, eMalahleni.
----------------------	--

CLIENT	eMalahleni Local Municipality
CONTACT DETAILS	P.O. Box 3 Witbank 1035

CONSULTANT	Clean Stream Environmental Services
CONTACT DETAILS	P.O. Box 647 Witbank 1035 013-697 5021



DARDLEA REFERENCE NO.	1/3/1/16/1N-49
CSES REFERENCE NO.	EIA 2016/01

REPORT VERSION	Scoping Report
DATE	April 2016
REPORT VERSION	
DATE	

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner without full acknowledgement of the source.

This report should be cited as:

Clean Stream Environmental Services: Coalfields cc. 2016. Scoping Report. The Installation of a Bulk Sewer Line from Pine Ridge Pump Station to the Klipspruit Sewage Treatment Works, eMalahleni.

UNDERTAKING BY EAP

as required in terms of Section 2(j) of Appendix 2 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014.

I,, hereby confirm that:

- the information provided in this Draft Scoping Report is, to the best of my knowledge, correct as at the time of compilation thereof;
- comments and inputs obtained from stakeholders and interested and affected parties through the scoping phase have been included in this Draft Scoping Report;
- information provided to interested and affected parties during the scoping phase has been included in this Draft Scoping Report;
- responses provided to interested and affected parties during the scoping phase have been included in this Draft Scoping Report.

Signed at..... on this day of..... of 2016.

Signature:.....

Company:.....

I,, hereby confirm that:

- the information provided in this Draft Scoping Report is, to the best of my knowledge, correct as at the time of compilation thereof;
- comments and inputs obtained from stakeholders and interested and affected parties through the scoping phase have been included in this Draft Scoping Report;
- information provided to interested and affected parties during the scoping phase has been included in this Draft Scoping Report;
- responses provided to interested and affected parties during the scoping phase have been included in this Draft Scoping Report.

Signed at..... on this day of..... of 2016.

Signature:.....

Company:.....

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
LIST OF APPENDICES	iv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITY	6
2.1 Details of the project applicant and environmental consultant.....	6
2.2 Nature of the activity/development.....	6
2.3 Reason for project (need and desirability).....	8
2.4 Detailed description of the development and all relevant components.....	9
2.4.1 Alternative 1: Gravity sewer from Pine Ridge to Klipspruit Sewer Treatment Works (STW).....	9
2.4.2 Alternative 2: Gravity sewer from Pine Ridge to a new Regional STW north of Pine Ridge.....	11
2.5 Services required.....	13
2.6 Phases of the development.....	13
2.6.1 Estimated start and completion dates of construction.....	13
2.6.2 Construction phase.....	13
2.6.3 Operational phase.....	13
2.6.4 Decommissioning phase.....	13
2.7 Applicable legislation, policies and/or guidelines.....	14
3. BIOPHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED SITE	17
3.1 Location of the site.....	17
3.2 Climate.....	19
3.3 Geology.....	20
3.4 Topography.....	20
3.5 Soils/land capability/agricultural potential.....	22
3.6 Land use.....	25
3.6.1 Zoning of the site.....	25
3.6.2 Land ownership.....	25
3.6.3 Servitudes.....	26
3.6.4 Major existing infrastructure.....	26
3.6.5 Surrounding land uses.....	28
3.7 Natural vegetation.....	29
3.8 Animal life.....	32
3.9 Surface water.....	32
3.9.1 Catchment.....	32
3.9.2 Floodline.....	33
3.9.3 Surface water runoff.....	34
3.9.4 Wetlands.....	34
3.10 Groundwater.....	35
3.11 Air quality.....	35
3.12 Noise.....	36
3.13 Sites of archaeological and cultural interest.....	36
3.14 Sensitive landscapes.....	37
3.15 Visual aspects.....	38
3.16 Traffic.....	38
3.17 Sense of place.....	38

4.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS	40
4.1	Advertising of the project.....	40
4.1.1	Press advertising.....	40
4.1.2	On-site advertising.....	40
4.1.3	Informing I&APs via the internet.....	40
4.1.4	Feedback from advertising process.....	42
4.1.5	Public meeting.....	42
4.2	Directly affected landowners/users.....	43
4.2.1	National Government of RSA.....	43
4.2.2	S.M. Nathlong.....	46
4.2.3	J.S.D. Ellis.....	46
4.2.4	Louw Family Trust.....	48
4.2.5	P. Wallis.....	48
4.2.6	K.A. Khumalo.....	49
4.2.7	S. Moritz.....	49
4.2.8	J.F.B. Kleynhans.....	50
4.2.9	G.I. van Rooyen.....	50
4.3	Identified local authorities/government departments and stakeholders.....	51
4.3.1	Department of Public Works, Roads and Transport.....	52
4.3.2	Eskom Distribution (Land & Rights).....	52
4.3.3	Mpumalanga Tourism and Parks Agency.....	52
4.3.4	Telkom.....	52
4.4	Other interested and affected parties.....	53
4.4.1	E. Harmse.....	53
4.4.2	L. Steyn.....	53
4.4.3	R. Steyn.....	54
4.4.4	S. Stevens.....	54
4.4.5	F.B. Mhlambi.....	54
4.5	Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Land and Environmental Affairs.....	55
4.6	Additional correspondence with I&APs.....	55
4.7	List of Interested and Affected Parties.....	55
5.	DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES IDENTIFIED	58
5.1	Introduction.....	58
5.2	Upgrading of regional sewer network.....	58
5.3	Bulk sewer alternatives.....	58
5.4	Bulk sewer routes.....	60
5.5	The 'No Project Option'.....	61
6.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	62
6.1	Introduction.....	62
6.2	Public participation.....	62
6.3	Potential environmental impacts identified.....	65
6.4	Alternatives.....	67
6.5	Conclusion.....	68
7.	PLAN OF STUDY FOR EIA	69
7.1	Evaluation of the Scoping Report.....	69
7.2	Additional public participation during EIA phase.....	70
7.3	Specialist studies.....	70

7.3.1	Heritage Impact Assessment.....	70
7.3.2	Palaeontological Impact Assessment.....	70
7.3.3	Vegetation and plant species assessment.....	71
7.3.4	Fauna (animal life) assessment.....	71
7.3.5	Wetland assessment.....	71
7.3.6	Aquatic assessment.....	72
7.4	Method of assessing issues and alternatives.....	72
7.4.1	Issues.....	72
7.4.2	Impacts.....	73
7.4.3	Alternatives.....	74
7.5	Evaluation of the Environmental Impact Report.....	74
7.6	Informing Interested and Affected Parties of the Record of Decision.....	75

REFERENCES
APPENDICES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

COGTA	Department of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs
CSES	Clean Stream Environmental Services - Coalfields
DAFF	Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
DARDLEA	Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Land and Environmental Affairs
DMR	Department of Mineral Resources
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
I&AP	Interested and Affected Party
km	kilometer
l/day	liter per day
l/s	liter per second
mamsl	meters above mean sea level
mbgl	meters below ground level
mm	millimeter
MBSP	Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan
MTPA	Mpumalanga Tourism and Parks Agency
NFEPA	National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas
PIA	Palaeontological Impact Assessment
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency
STW	Sewage Treatment Works

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Registration of I&APs in terms of the advertising process.....	42
Table 4.2: Directly affected landowners who received BIDs.....	44
Table 4.3: Identified local authorities/government departments and stakeholders who received BIDs.....	51

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Alternative 1: Layout plan of gravity sewer from Pine Ridge to Klipspruit STW.....	9
Figure 2.2: Alternative 2: Gravity sewer from Pine Ridge to a new Regional STW north of Pine Ridge.....	12
Figure 3.1: Location of site.....	18
Figure 3.2: Geology of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	21
Figure 3.3 Terrain type of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	21
Figure 3.4: Generalized soil patterns of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	22
Figure 3.5 Land type associated with Alternatives 1 and 2.....	23
Figure 3.6a: Land capability of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	23
Figure 3.6b: Estimated maize yield of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	24
Figure 3.7 Grazing capacity of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	24
Figure 3.8: Aerial view of Alternatives 1 and 2 (Google Earth, January 2014).....	27
Figure 3.9: Landcover map of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	28
Figure 3.10: Vegetation type of Alternatives 1 and 2.....	29
Figure 3.11: Terrestrial biodiversity assessment of Alternative 1 and 2.....	31
Figure 3.12: Mpumalanga Biodiversity Sector Plan freshwater assessment of Alternative 1 and 2.....	34
Figure 3.13: Requirement for palaeontological study w.r.t. Alternative 1 and 2.....	37
Figure 3.14: eMalahleni Local Municipality Spatial Development Framework, 2015.....	39
Figure 4.1: Location of notices.....	42
Figure 4.2: Location of landowners in relation to the proposed sewer line.....	46
Figure 5.1: Proposed sewer alternatives.....	61

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Application form	
Appendix 2: Curriculum vitae	
Appendix 3: Advertising of the project	
Appendix 4: Background Information Document	
Appendix 5: Correspondence with directly affected landowners/users	
Appendix 6: Correspondence with government departments and other stakeholders	
Appendix 7: Comments received from directly affected and adjacent landowners/users	