

Gardiner, Rob

From: Conrad Swart <conrad@urban-econ.com>
Sent: 01 June 2017 11:00 AM
To: Gardiner, Rob
Cc: Matthew Keeley
Subject: RE: 478867: Roodeplaat WEF EIA | clarification

Dear Rob,

Please find below the details you requested from your previous email.

- 1 a) The differences do fall within the area we assessed.
b) The differences between the KMZ files we used in our assessment and those sent recently appear to be minor and are unlikely to affect the overall significance ratings for the SEIA thus the significance ratings are likely to be valid. Unless significant changes are made to the amount spent or the overall layout of the turbines then it is unlikely that the SEIA significance ratings will change.
c) None likely to be made.
- 2) The majority of the work conducted for the SEIA was based on desktop analysis of available statistics from various sources. A smaller portion of the work focused on fieldwork which calculated the potential loss in the tourism industry. An extract from the SEIA outlines the fieldwork aspect "Property owners' contact details were acquired from the Interested and Affected Parties database which was provided by SRK Consultants. This provided a list of property owners who bordered or have properties within the visually impacted area but a total of eight were interviewed based on availability and willingness to cooperate." We believe that at the time and for the number of people who responded and cooperated with us in the given time period that the fieldwork was adequate.
- 3) This did not apply to our study as it consisted of desktop analysis.

If you have any further queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,

Conrad Swart

Development Economist

BScHons(Spatial Development)
MSc(Geography)

127 Cape Road, Mount Croix, Port Elizabeth,
6001
Tel: +27 41 585 6640
Fax: +27 41 585 6151
Cell: +27 82 858 5570
conrad@urban-econ.com
<http://www.urban-econ.com>

