

The assessment of impacts will largely be based on the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism's (1998) Guideline Document: Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations. The assessment will consider impacts arising from the proposed decommissioning activities of the project both before and after the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures.

The impacts will be assessed according to the criteria outlined in this section. Each issue is ranked according to extent, duration, magnitude (intensity) and probability. From these criteria, a significance rating is obtained, the method and formula is described below. Where possible, mitigation recommendations have been made and are presented in tabular form.

The criteria given in the tables below will be used to conduct the evaluation. The nature of each impact was to be assessed and described in relation to the extent, duration, intensity, significance and probability of occurrence attached to it.

# Table 1: Methodology Used in determining the significance of potential environmental impacts

### **Status of Impact**

The impacts are assessed as either having a: negative effect (i.e. at a `cost' to the environment), positive effect (i.e. a `benefit' to the environment), or Neutral effect on the environment.

#### **Extent of the Impact**

- (1) Site (site only),
- (2) Local (site boundary and immediate surrounds),
- (3) Regional (within the City of Johannesburg),
- (4) National, or
- (5) International.

#### **Duration of the Impact**

The length that the impact will last for is described as either:

- (1) immediate (<1 year)
- (2) short term (1-5 years),
- (3) medium term (5-15 years),
- (4) long term (ceases after the operational life span of the project),
- (5) Permanent.

# **Magnitude of the Impact**

The intensity or severity of the impacts is indicated as either:

- (**0**) none,
- (2) Minor,
- (4) Low,
- (6) Moderate (environmental functions altered but continue),
- (8) High (environmental functions temporarily cease), or
- (10) Very high / Unsure (environmental functions permanently cease).

#### **Probability of Occurrence**

The likelihood of the impact actually occurring is indicated as either:

- (0) None (the impact will not occur),
- (1) improbable (probability very low due to design or experience)



- (2) low probability (unlikely to occur),
- (3) medium probability (distinct probability that the impact will occur),
- (4) high probability (most likely to occur), or
- (5) Definite.

#### Significance of the Impact

Based on the information contained in the points above, the potential impacts are assigned a significance rating (S). This rating is formulated by adding the sum of the numbers assigned to extent (E), duration (D) and magnitude (M) and multiplying this sum by the probability (P) of the impact.

S=(E+D+M)P

# The significance ratings are given below

(<30) low (i.e. where this impact would not have a direct influence on the decision to develop in the area),

(30-60) medium (i.e. where the impact could influence the decision to develop in the area unless it is effectively mitigated),

(>60) high (i.e. where the impact must have an influence on the decision process to develop in the area).

The impacts of the proposed project are assessed and rated as follows:

IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE PLANNING AND DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATIONAL, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASES AS WELL AS PROPOSED MANAGEMENT OF IDENTIFIED IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

### Impacts Resulting from the Planning and Design Phase

### Direct Impacts:

### **Employment Creation**

The planning and design of the proposed development requires input from various individuals, resulting in the employment opportunities for such persons. This employment would include both direct (e.g. Environmental Consultants, Engineers, Project Managers, Planners, etc.) and indirect (e.g. reviewing and commenting authorities such as the local authority planning authorities and the environmental authorities). The extent and magnitude of this impact is relatively low compared to the other economic impacts, and is typically restricted to a limited number of professionals. The identified technical alternatives are likely to result in the same level of significance for this impact. The No-go Alternative would differ in that this impact would not occur.

Issue	Corrective	Impact rat	Impact rating criteria						
	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance		
Employment Creation	No Yes	Positive N/A	3 N/A	2 N/A	8 N./A	4 N/A	52 Medium		
Corrective Actions	• No	mitigation	measures	have been i	identified.				

### **Indirect Impacts:**



None Identified.

# **Cumulative Impacts:**

No cumulative impacts were identified.

#### Alternative 1

Impacts Resulting from the Construction Phase

# **Direct Impacts:**

# Soil erosion and Storm water Management

The loss of topsoil in South Africa is a national concern and thus erosion control should be taken seriously. Ineffective storm water management systems can result to soil erosion. Where soils are highly erodible, adequate measures must be implemented to prevent undue soil erosion.

Extensive soil erosion is not expected during the construction phase, however, it is anticipated that occurrence of such might occur during wet seasons especially on the stockpiles (Topsoil and Subsoil).

	Corrective	Impact ratir	ng criteria				
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance
Soils erosion	No	Negative	2	2	4	4	32 Medium
and storm water management	Yes	Negative	1	1	2	3	12 Low
Corrective Actions	<ul> <li>and whe construct</li> <li>A storm v</li> <li>Stockpile</li> <li>Foundati construct</li> <li>Construct</li> <li>In the example of the construct</li> </ul>	re possible retion activity.  water managers should be proposed to excavation tion.	ehabilitation ement plan biled up to his for each preferably	n must be important and a contract of the distribution of the dist	plemented to prust be inspecte	downs into any st be done concurred pollution in the done concurred by a competer corrective mea	urrently with the runoff.



Proper storm water management measures must be put in place.

### **Impact on Agriculture**

The proposed substation is located within a high agricultural potential area, however, the immediate footprint is not in use. It is anticipated that given the relatively small scale of the proposed project the impact on agricultural production will not be significant. The potential impact is considered to be medium in significance.

	Corrective	Impact rating	Impact rating criteria						
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance		
Agricultura	No	Negative	3	3	6	4	48 Medium		
Agriculture	Yes	Negative	2	2	4	2	16 Low		
Corrective Actions	<ul> <li>Agricultural land must not be disturbed unnecessarily.</li> <li>The Contractor must take cogniscence of agricultural activities taking place.</li> <li>Access to farms must be restricted.</li> <li>Contractors must not damage the surrounding fences.</li> </ul>								

# **Impact on Traffic**

During construction, increase in traffic is likely to result from delivery of construction materials to and from the construction works. The impact of increased traffic can be considered local in extent, short term in duration with the overall impact been negative with medium significance. However with implementation of proper mitigation measures, it can be reduced to low significance.

	Corrective	Impact rating	Impact rating criteria							
Issue	Issue measures		Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance			
	No	Negative	3	3	6	4	46 Medium			
Traffic	Yes	Negative	2	2	4	3	24 Low			
Corrective	The delivery of construction material and equipment should be limited to hours outside pea traffic times (including weekends).									
Actions	Delivery v	Delivery vehicles must comply with all traffic laws and bylaws.								
	A speed li	mit of 30km pe	er hour mu	ust be mainta	ined.					



# Air pollution

Construction activities on site will lead to land clearing and disturbance of the soil resulting in dust generation. During construction, movement of construction vehicles will present temporary sources of inhalable particulates and dust deposition. Given the nature and magnitude of the proposed project as well as the surrounding mining activities, it is anticipated that very little dust will be generated from the construction activities. The potential impact on air quality will be short term and can be controlled. Proper implementation of recommended corrective measures will reduce the impact to lower significance.

	Corrective	Impact rating	Impact rating criteria						
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance		
Air pollution	No	Negative	2	1	4	4	28 = Low		
7 til politition	Yes	Negative	2	1	3	3	18 = Low		
Corrective Actions	<ul> <li>Unnecess</li> <li>All expossions suppression</li> <li>Vehicles to Exposed so</li> </ul>	eary clearing of ed surfaces s on methods in ravelling on the surfaces shoul	f vegetation  ubjected cluding an e site shouth of the rehale site site site shouth of the rehale site site site site site site site sit	on must be averaged to dust general nongst others uld always be bilitated after	voided.	e managed wi ater tankers etc eed limit. on period.	th appropriate dust		

#### Surface and groundwater pollution

During construction there is potential for construction material to pollute the surface and/or ground water on site. The closest is water resources are a non-perennial river240m west, water canal 30m north and wetland type of habitat 80m south east of the proposed site. Substances such as cement residue, bio fuels, and paints must be adequately controlled. Further, exposed surfaces during construction would provide a source of sediments to be taken up by storm water and resulting in down-stream sedimentation of water resources. This impact is negative and of Medium significance but can be reduced to low with corrective measures.

	Corrective	Impact ratir	ng criteria				
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance
Surface and ground	No	Negative	3	2	6	4	44 = Medium



water pollution	Yes	Negative	2	2	4	2	16 = Low
Corrective Actions	<ul> <li>No active without a without a care much detrimer</li> <li>Care much detrimer</li> <li>Care much detrimer</li> <li>Adequate</li> <li>Fuel much detrimer</li> <li>In the end implement</li> </ul>	rities should of approval from ust be taken to ust be taken to ust be taken to the measures rest be stored in refuelling, donation of soil ovent of a spicented.	during coater quality of avoid demust be tain bunded in case of allage of a	in a 100m or construction to y (especially struction of which was and caged armust be playing spillages.	prevent leaks fuels and cheme rater courses. Instruction to make a in limited quaced under the	year flood lines and spillage icals).  Hanage storm was antities	e whichever is greatest of materials that may
	<ul> <li>Destruct</li> </ul>	tion of waterc	ourses mu	ist de avoide	1.		

# Waste generation

During the construction phase there will be a variety of waste material produced. The building contractors must adhere to all proposed measures and provide adequate waste skips and bins around the site. Waste must be regularly removed from site and disposed of at appropriate waste disposal sites. The impact may be negative, site specific, low in significance and will last the duration of the construction and rehabilitation phases.

	Corrective	Impact ratir	Impact rating criteria							
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance			
Waste	No	Negative	2	2	6	5	50 = Medium			
generation and management	Yes	Negative	1	2	4	3	21 = Low			
	No waste	e will be burie	ed on site	or incorporate	ed into the foun	dation trenches				
Corrective	The work	The work force must be encouraged to sort waste into recyclable and non-recyclable waste.								
Actions	No burni	No burning of waste will be allowed on site.								
	Waste m facility.	ust be regula	arly remov	ved from site	and disposed of	of at a registere	d waste disposal			

# Flora and Fauna

The proposed site falls within the least concern Terrestrial Biodiversity Area. However, a highly significant area is



located 1.7km north of the site. Further, the site is located within a Threatened Ecosystem: Vulnerable (Eastern Highveld Grassland) and a category 5 Aquatic Biodiversity Sub catchment. No species of conservation concern were observed in the development footprint and its immediate vicinity. Consequently, it is highly unlikely that any fauna would be significantly impacted by the development.

	Corrective	Impact ratin	Impact rating criteria						
Issue	measures		Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance		
Impact on fauna and flora.	No	Negative	1	2	6	4	36 = Medium		
	Yes	Negative	1	2	4	2	14 = Low		
Corrective Actions	<ul> <li>Should a cannot be</li> <li>Existing t</li> <li>The vego minimum</li> <li>Excavation</li> </ul>	ny protected e avoided, the racks should etation cleara on must be ba osed develo	or listed by must be be used for ance within	plant species e trans-locate or access wh n the propo- and clearly m	ed to safe sites rerever possible sed developmentarked to ensure	d on the workin nearby. e. ent footprint mu e maximum safe	ng area, and this ust be kept to a ety.		

# **Noise pollution**

At present the land-use in the area is agricultural and mining (slimes dams). The ambient source of noise in the area is presently generated by traffic along the access roads. There will be an increase in noise levels during the construction period emanating from construction vehicles, machinery and workers, which can be a nuisance during construction. The level of noise and extent will depend entirely on the prevailing construction activities within the site. The impact of noise will also be reduced to almost insignificant levels given the small scale of the development, the proposed locality which is far removed from other land owners as well as the short span of the construction period.

	Corrective	Impact ratir	Impact rating criteria						
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance		
	No	Negative	2	2	4	3	24 = Low		
Noise pollution	Yes	Negative	1	2	2	2	10 = Low		
	• All v	All vehicles used during construction must be appropriately maintained.							
Corrective	<ul> <li>Working hours must be restricted to daytime only (7am – 5pm).</li> <li>Noise levels should conform to the bylaws.</li> </ul>								



Actions		

### Fire hazards

Onsite storage of fuel and other flammable solvents, during construction increase the risk of fire. It is anticipated that uncontrolled fires on site could cause damage to infrastructure and the biophysical environment and impact on the social environment. This impact is considered to be of medium significance. Should the recommended mitigation measures be implemented, the significance of the impact will remain negative but low in significance.

	Corrective	Impact rating	Impact rating criteria					
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance	
	No	Negative	2	2	6	3	30 = Medium	
Fire hazards Yes		Negative	1	1	4	2	12 = Low	
Corrective Actions	<ul> <li>flammable</li> <li>No burnin</li> <li>Contractir procedure</li> <li>Implement</li> <li>Designate</li> </ul>	e, No smoking g of waste or o ng personnel n es and activitie t fire hazard so	etc.) to ware cooking winust be we s on site. ensitive or	arn personne II be allowed ell versed in	on site of risk on site. the relevant ex	associated with a state of the control of the contr	s on display (highly h such areas. safety management	

### Impact on cultural and heritage resources

No obvious cultural and historic heritage resources were recorded on the site. The potential impact of the proposed project on cultural heritage sites is considered to have low significance.

Issue	Corrective measures	Impact ratio	Impact rating criteria							
		Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance			
Cultural and	No	Negative	2	1	2	2	10 = Low			
heritage resources	Yes	Negative	1	1	0	1	2 = Low			
Corrective	Should I									



Actions	phase, all works must be stopped at the affected area and SAHRA must be contacted.	
Actions		

#### **Impact on Wetlands**

A development has several impacts on the surrounding environment and particularly on a wetland. The development changes habitats, the ecological environment, infiltration rates, amount of runoff and runoff intensity of storm water runoff, and therefore the hydrological regime of the site. Since the two site alternatives are so close together, the potential impacts expected on the preferred site will be the same as on the alternative site. **The identified impacts of high significance include the following:** 

- Changing the quantity and fluctuation properties of the watercourse; and
- Changing the physical structure within a water resource (habitat).

These impacts can be reduced to medium/low with proper mitigation in place.

#### The identified impacts of medium significance include the following:

- Alteration of water quality increasing the amounts of nutrients (phosphate, nitrite, nitrate) or toxic contaminant and hydrocarbons
- Changing the amount of sediment entering water resource and associated change in turbidity (increasing or decreasing the amount)

These impacts can be reduced to low with proper mitigation in place.

Mitigation measures have been included. Refer to Appendix D \_Wetland Delineation Report Section 3.4 Pgs. 33-39 for Detailed assessment and mitigation measures.

**Indirect Impacts: None** 

**Cumulative Impacts: None** 

#### Socio-Economic Impact

This phase will result in a positive socio-economic impact as the demand for equipment, building material and labour will increase. Secondary service provision such as food supply, toilet hire, equipment maintenance etc. would also stimulate the local economy during the construction phase. This is a positive impact of a short duration.

Issue	Corrective	Impact rating	g criteria				Significance
155ue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance
Socioecono	No	Positive	3	2	8	5	65 = High
mic	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Corrective Actions	const • For n	ruction equipr	ment or bu the appoi	ilding materia	als. ctor should by		hile seeking for



#### Alternative 2

Environmental Impacts for this alternative during the construction phase will be similar to the impacts of the preferred alternatives.

#### No go Alternative

Direct Impacts: Should the proposed development not continue, none of the identified impacts would result.

Indirect Impacts:

Cumulative Impacts: None identified

### IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE OPERATIONAL PHASE

#### Alternative 1

# **Impacts Associated with the Operational Phase**

Direct Impacts:

### **Socioeconomic**

In the short and longer term, the proposed substation supports the operation of railway infrastructure and will ensure reliable power supply to meet future demands and Transnet's supply need. The operational phase of the proposed project will have significant long term positive socioeconomic impacts.

Issue	Corrective measures	Impact rating	Significance						
		Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance		
Socioecono	No	Positive	3		8	5	75 = High		
mic									
Corrective Actions	•	Regular maintenance of the facility should be done continuously to ensure uninterrupt supply of energy.							

# **Employment creation**

The employment opportunities during the operational phase will arise as a result of the actual maintenance work required to keep the facility running. The significance of this impact is anticipated to be positive and medium in significance.

	Issue	Corrective measures	Impact rating					
			Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probabi lity	Significance
	employment	No	Positive	3	4	6	4	52= Medium



creation	N/A				
Corrective Actions	No mitigat	ion			

Indirect Impacts: None identified.

Cumulative Impacts: None identified.

#### Alternative 2:

Impacts Associated with the Operational Phase

# Soil, Surface and Ground Water

The proposed diesel technology will have relatively higher impacts on soil, ground and surface water due to the large quantity of diesel that will be stored on site. The potential impact is highly probable, with long term impacts of high significance. With proper mitigation, the impact can be reduced to medium.

	Corrective	Impact rating	g criteria				
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probabi lity	Significance
Soil, Surface and Ground water	No	Negative	3	4	8	4	60= High
	Yes	Negative	2	4	6	3	36 = Medium
Corrective Actions	<ul> <li>disposal of adhered to adhered to adhered to training a proper use.</li> <li>An emerging establishe</li> <li>Storage of Petroleum appropriate</li> <li>Exercise of spillage is</li> </ul>	of petroleum, of petroleum, of petroleum, of petroleum, of and education of all hazardous, chemical, he, well maintate extreme care minimised.	of all pers d disposal ure for the s material armful and ained conta with the h	narmful and I sonnel on sit I must be und ne managem is to be safe, d hazardous ainers. nandling of d	nazardous substance who will be I dertaken. nent of spills of tamper proof a waste through	stances and and under sout the site out the site out toxic solver toxi	transport, use and d materials must be the material about its substances must be strict control. The must be stored in the must be stored in the must be ensure that

#### Socioeconomic

Alternative 2 will yield similar output as Alternative 1; however, at a relatively higher cost. This will be long term and will have a significant bearing on Transnet's operational cost, which can be considered negative and of high significance.



Issue	Corrective measures	Impact rating	g criteria				
		Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probabi lity	Significance
Socioecono mic	No	Negative	3	4	6	5	65= High
Corrective Actions	• None						

#### Fire

The proposed diesel alternative is more susceptible to fire. The potential impact is highly probable, negative with medium significance.

	Corrective	Impact rating	g criteria				
Issue	measures	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probabi lity	Significance
Fire	No	Negative	2	4	8	4	56= Medium
FIIE	Yes	Negative	1		6	3	36 = Medium
Corrective Actions	<ul><li>Gas and li</li><li>Adequate</li><li>No open f</li></ul>	iquid fuels ma fire-fighting e ires for heatin	y not be st quipment a g or cooki	tored in the sat the fuel sto	gnated storage came storage a pres must be pr rmitted on site. sufficient fire br	rea. ovided all	the time. be constructed.

### No-go alternative

Direct Impacts: None of the impacts identified for the proposed activity will occur (including positive and negative impacts) if the proposed activity does not proceed.

Indirect Impacts: None identified

Cumulative Impacts: None identified

# IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DECOMMISSIONING PHASE

At present it is not anticipated that the proposed infrastructure will ever be decommissioned. On-going maintenance and upgrades, where necessary will be carried out. In the unlikely event that decommissioning is necessary it is recommended that the potential impacts identified below are reviewed and a detailed decommissioning strategy and rehabilitation plan is prepared and implemented. **Impacts for decommissioning phase are similar for both alternatives.** 



# Impacts Associated with the Decommissioning Phase

**Direct Impacts** 

### **Waste**

The decommissioning of the proposed project will contribute to large amounts of waste material that will be produced. The decommissioning will contribute to a large portion of bare soil being exposed to erosion if not rehabilitated properly. This waste material should be disposed of in an appropriate manner.

Issue	Corrective measures	Impact rating	g criteria					
		Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probabil ity	Significance	
Waste	No	Negative	3	2	8	4	52 = Medium	
vvasie	Yes	Negative	3	1	6	3	30 = Low	
Corrective Actions	Non-hazar	<ul> <li>Disposal of waste at a registered waste disposal site.</li> <li>Non-hazardous material should be recycled and utilised in other construction processes.</li> </ul>						

### **Dust generation**

Decommissioning of the facility and other infrastructure may lead to an increased amount of airborne particles in the local atmosphere as the infrastructure is dismantled and transported to the disposal site. The significance of this impact will be of low negative significance.

	Corrective measures	Impact rating	ı criteria						
Issue		Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Significance		
Dust	No	Negative	3	1	6	5	50 = Medium		
Generation	Yes	Negative	2	1	4	3	21 = Low		
Corrective Actions	Use of dust su	ppression tech	pression techniques to reduce the dust.						

Indirect Impacts: None Identified.

Cumulative Impacts: None identified.



# No-go alternative

**Direct Impacts:** None of the impacts identified for the proposed activity will occur. If the proposed infrastructure is not to be decommissioned, it will require continuous maintenance and the measures identified for the operational phase must be continued. Efforts for continual improvement must be encouraged.

Indirect Impacts: None identified

Cumulative Impacts: None identified