APPENDIX F

IMPACT ASSESSMENT

F1 – PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

F2 – ALTERNATIVE 2

F3 – ALTERNATIVE 3

APPENDIX F1

PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

Impact	Details	Mitigation	Impact						Significance	
Impact	Details	witigation	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Sign	inicance	
	 DIRECT IMPACTS Increased traffic Dust Emissions Aesthetic / visual impacts Waste generation Cement mixing and contamination of soil and water 	Yes	w.	2	1	4	4	28	LOW	
General construction impacts	chemical ablution facilities Incorrect waste storage and handling, resulting in contamination of the environment Increased risk of veld fire Deterioration of road surfaces resulting from increased heavy vehicle traffic	2	2	2	3	18	LOW			
	Disposal of wastes impacting capacity of disposal facilities Increased ambient noise and dust levels.	Yes	w.	3	2	2	3	21	LOW	
Soil impacts	DIRECT IMPACTS Compaction, physical removal and pollution of soils Increased potential for erosion	Yes	4	1	2	2	3	15	LOW	
	DIRECT IMPACTS Loss of riparian vegetation and wetland habitat Disturbance and modification of the bed and banks of watercourses	Yes	w.	2	2	6	4	40	MEDIUM	
Hydrology Impacts	INDIRECT IMPACTSImpairment of water quality.	Yes	•	2	2	4	3	24	LOW	
	 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of aquatic biodiversity. Altered hydrological regime Increased erosion. 	Yes	w.	1	2	2	4	20	LOW	

	T					1	1	T .	
	 DIRECT IMPACTS Loss of terrestrial vegetation and habitat Need to relocate two protected plant species 	Yes	-	1	2	2	4	20	LOW
	Clearance of alien vegetation.	Not required	+	1	2	4	3	21	LOW
Vegetation impacts	 INDIRECT IMPACTS Spread of alien invasive vegetation as a result of vegetation and soil disturbances 	Yes	•	1	2	4	3	21	LOW
	 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of vegetation / biodiversity for conservation purposes. Increased habitat fragmentation 	Yes	*	2	3	2	3	21	LOW
	DIRECT IMPACTSDestruction and loss of habitat	Yes	-	1	2	2	3	15	LOW
Faunal impacts	 INDIRECT IMPACTS Development within a corridor identified in the ECBCP. 	Yes	-	2	2	2	3	18	LOW
Heritage impacts	 DIRECT IMPACTS Impact on three identified heritage sites. Impact on graves 	Yes	-	1	2	2	2	10	LOW
	 INDIRECT IMPACTS Damage to sub-surface heritage resources 	Yes	-	1	2	2	2	10	LOW
	DIRECT IMPACTS Impact on land uses which have encroached into the SANRAL servitude	Yes	-	1	4	6	4	44	MEDIUM
	Creation of skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled jobs	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
Social Impacts	INDIRECT IMPACTS Promotion of skills transfer Stimulated economic growth Economic benefits for construction-related industry / business	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of agricultural land.	No	-	1	4	2	3	21	LOW
Economic impacts	DIRECT IMPACTS	Not required	+	2	2	4	4	32	MEDIUM

• E	Economic benefits for construction-related industry / business Economic stimulation of the region.	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
	MULATIVE IMPACTS Improved livelihoods	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM

APPENDIX F2

ALTERNATIVE 2

Impact	Details	Mitigation	Impact						Significance	
Impact	Details	witigation	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Sign	inicance	
	 DIRECT IMPACTS Increased traffic Dust Emissions Aesthetic / visual impacts Waste generation Cement mixing and contamination of soil and water 	Yes	w.	2	1	4	4	28	LOW	
General construction impacts	chemical ablution facilities Incorrect waste storage and handling, resulting in contamination of the environment Increased risk of veld fire Deterioration of road surfaces resulting from increased heavy vehicle traffic	2	2	2	3	18	LOW			
	Disposal of wastes impacting capacity of disposal facilities Increased ambient noise and dust levels.	Yes	w.	3	2	2	3	21	LOW	
Soil impacts	DIRECT IMPACTS Compaction, physical removal and pollution of soils Increased potential for erosion	Yes	4	1	2	2	3	15	LOW	
	DIRECT IMPACTS Loss of riparian vegetation and wetland habitat Disturbance and modification of the bed and banks of watercourses	Yes	w.	2	2	6	4	40	MEDIUM	
Hydrology Impacts	INDIRECT IMPACTSImpairment of water quality.	Yes	•	2	2	4	3	24	LOW	
	 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of aquatic biodiversity. Altered hydrological regime Increased erosion. 	Yes	w.	1	2	2	4	20	LOW	

	<u></u>								
	 DIRECT IMPACTS Loss of terrestrial vegetation and habitat Need to relocate two protected plant species 	Yes		1	2	2	4	20	LOW
	Clearance of alien vegetation.	Not required	+	1	2	4	3	21	LOW
Vegetation impacts	 INDIRECT IMPACTS Spread of alien invasive vegetation as a result of vegetation and soil disturbances 	Yes	-	1	2	4	3	21	LOW
	 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of vegetation / biodiversity for conservation purposes. Increased habitat fragmentation 	Yes	4	2	3	2	3	21	LOW
	DIRECT IMPACTSDestruction and loss of habitat	Yes		1	2	2	3	15	LOW
Faunal impacts	 INDIRECT IMPACTS Development within a corridor identified in the ECBCP. 	Yes	1	2	2	2	3	18	LOW
Heritage impacts	DIRECT IMPACTSImpact on three identified heritage sites.Impact on graves	Yes		1	2	2	2	10	LOW
	INDIRECT IMPACTSDamage to sub-surface heritage resources	Yes	,	1	2	2	2	10	LOW
	Impact on land uses which have encroached into the SANRAL servitude	Yes	-	1	4	6	4	44	MEDIUM
	Creation of skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled jobs	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
Social Impacts	Promotion of skills transfer Stimulated economic growth Economic benefits for construction-related industry / business	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of agricultural land.	No	-	1	4	2	3	21	LOW
Economic impacts	DIRECT IMPACTS Economic empowerment of construction workers.	Not required	+	2	2	4	4	32	MEDIUM

The cost for SANRAL of constructing alternative 2 is approximately R 10 million more than the cost of constructing the preferred alternative	Construct the preferred alternativ e.	*	5	2	6	4	52	MEDIUM
 INDIRECT IMPACTS Economic benefits for construction-related industry / business Economic stimulation of the region. 	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
CUMULATIVE IMPACTS • Improved livelihoods	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM

APPENDIX F3

ALTERNATIVE 3

lmnast	Deteile	Mitigation	Impact						Significance	
Impact	Details	Mitigation	Nature	Extent	Duration	Magnitude	Probability	Sign	incance	
	 DIRECT IMPACTS Increased traffic Dust Emissions Aesthetic / visual impacts Waste generation Cement mixing and contamination of soil and water 	Yes	w.	2	1	4	4	28	LOW	
General construction impacts	chemical ablution facilities Incorrect waste storage and handling, resulting in contamination of the environment Increased risk of veld fire Deterioration of road surfaces resulting from increased heavy vehicle traffic	·	2	2	2	3	18	LOW		
	 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Disposal of wastes impacting capacity of disposal facilities Increased ambient noise and dust levels. 	Yes		3	2	2	3	21	LOW	
Soil impacts	DIRECT IMPACTS Compaction, physical removal and pollution of soils Increased potential for erosion	Yes	*	1	2	2	3	15	LOW	
	Loss of riparian vegetation and wetland habitat Disturbance and modification of the bed and banks of watercourses	Yes	· ·	2	2	6	4	40	MEDIUM	
Hydrology Impacts	INDIRECT IMPACTSImpairment of water quality.	Yes	-	2	2	4	3	24	LOW	
	 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of aquatic biodiversity. Altered hydrological regime Increased erosion. 	Yes	-	1	2	2	4	20	LOW	

	 DIRECT IMPACTS Loss of terrestrial vegetation and habitat Need to relocate two protected plant species 	Yes	,	1	2	2	4	20	LOW
	Clearance of alien vegetation.	Not required	+	1	2	4	3	21	LOW
Vegetation impacts	INDIRECT IMPACTS Spread of alien invasive vegetation as a result of vegetation and soil disturbances	Yes		1	2	4	3	21	LOW
	 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of vegetation / biodiversity for conservation purposes. Increased habitat fragmentation 	Yes	4	2	3	2	3	21	LOW
	DIRECT IMPACTSDestruction and loss of habitat	Yes	-	1	2	2	3	15	LOW
Faunal impacts	 INDIRECT IMPACTS Development within a corridor identified in the ECBCP. 	Yes	-	2	2	2	3	18	LOW
Heritage impacts	DIRECT IMPACTSImpact on three identified heritage sites.Impact on graves	Yes		1	2	2	2	10	LOW
	 INDIRECT IMPACTS Damage to sub-surface heritage resources 	Yes		1	2	2	2	10	LOW
	DIRECT IMPACTS Impact on land uses which have encroached into the SANRAL servitude	Yes	•	1	4	6	4	44	MEDIUM
	Creation of skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled jobs	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
Social Impacts	INDIRECT IMPACTS Promotion of skills transfer Stimulated economic growth Economic benefits for construction-related industry / business	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS Loss of agricultural land.	No		1	4	2	3	21	LOW
Economic impacts	DIRECT IMPACTS	Not required	+	2	2	4	4	32	MEDIUM

Surfacing alternative 3 will not enable SANRAL to meet its stated objective of achieving a 25 year design horizon.	Construct the preferred alternativ e.	*	4	5	8	4	68	HIGH FATAL FLAW
 INDIRECT IMPACTS Economic benefits for construction-related industry / business Economic stimulation of the region. 	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM
CUMULATIVE IMPACTS • Improved livelihoods	Not required	+	3	2	4	4	36	MEDIUM