

## **DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES**

### **1 IDENTIFYING ALTERNATIVES**

The IEM procedure (Department of Environmental Affairs) stipulates that an environmental investigation needs to consider feasible alternatives for proposed developments.

This means that for any project that is proposed, there should be a number of possible proposals or alternatives for accomplishing the same objectives or meeting the same need. The developer should be encouraged to consider alternatives that would still meet the objectives of the original proposal, but which would also have an acceptable impact on the environment (referring to physical, biological, socio-economic and aesthetic/visual).

### **2 REASONABLE RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES**

Possible alternatives were identified through discussions with authorities, discussions with I&AP's, reviewing of existing environmental data bases and the client (Vodacom).

Alternatives can be categorized into the following:

- Location alternatives;
- Activity alternatives;
- The "no-action" alternative.

#### **a) Location alternatives**

According to baseline information that was collected by the environmental consultant, it will not be necessary to consider location alternatives on account of the possibility that biological components might be disturbed significantly. The site is located on Portion 8 of the farm Shot Belt 438 LQ, Lephalale Local Municipality area.

Motivation for not assessing other alternative site locations is therefore given as follows:

- Vodacom intends to erect a 45m lattice type mast with a container housing the electronic equipment. The size of the base station will measure approximately 10m x 12m (120m<sup>2</sup>) in extent. The area to be disturbed is therefore relatively small and no/limited natural vegetation (except for grass) will need to be disturbed as the site is located on an open area next to cultivated fields – no large trees will be removed.
- The erection of a 45m mast is required to improve the cellular coverage and capacity for residents in the area and motorist travelling on the R510.

TITLE: Assessment of Alternatives - Proposed Vodacom Base Station: Deelkraal	COMPILED BY: <b>TEKPLAN</b> <b>Environmental</b>	DATE: June 2016	Page 1
---	--	--------------------	--------

- The proposed mast will also provide opportunity for co-using (share sites) with other cellular companies.
- The location of the mast is determined by the area that it is intended to provide cellular coverage for.
- The current site is located in the middle of the area or the “cell” where Vodacom intends to provide cellular coverage.
- The topography of the area was also taken into account and it was found that the proposed location will provide the best cellular coverage area as the site is located on the highest point in the area.
- No rare or endangered fauna or flora species were identified during the site visit.
- The site for the base station is currently vacant and is not being used by the landowner. The site will therefore not negatively affect any activities on the property.
- The proposed site is therefore the most suitable site for the proposed base station on the property.
- Electricity is already available approx. 600m from the site.
- No road construction will be necessary to the proposed site.
- Due to the fact that the infrastructure in question will be located on a farm is it deemed important that the visual impact be minimized. The proposed mast will be a lattice type mast and one can look “through” it. This will assist to lessen the visual impact.
- The mast is located approx. 550m from the R510 (road between Lephalale and Stockpoort). The base station footprint (containers and palisade fence) will therefore not be visible from the mentioned road.
- Unnecessary stressing/impacting of the environment can be mitigated through the implementation of the recommendations contained in the BAR. The impact on the environment will therefore not be significant. Other alternative sites are thus not feasible as the impact of the base station is bound to have the same environmental impact, no matter where the mast is located.

## b) Activity alternatives

The proposed mast, in itself, represents an alternative to the conventional telephone lines. Cell phone networks reduce the number of poles significantly, and circumvent the theft of copper wires.

Activity alternatives that were investigated include the type of mast to be constructed i.e. monopole versus lattice type mast versus a tree type mast.

The preferred alternative is that of a lattice structure. The advantages of a lattice type structure, is that it allows for many types of radio systems to be used i.e. also point-to-point microwave systems

TITLE: Assessment of Alternatives - Proposed Vodacom Base Station: Deelkraal	COMPILED BY: <b>TEKPLAN</b> <b>Environmental</b>	DATE: June 2016	Page 2
--	--	--------------------	--------

providing transmission to other masts in the area. If a mast is built with co-using/sharing in mind, less masts needs to be built as many of the sectional pole (mono-pole) type masts are not suitable for co-using and are unsuitable for many point-to-point systems as only one height is available for these systems at the crow's nest. On a lattice mast, point to point systems can be mounted on any platform with sufficient line of sight and for Omni directional purposes (coverage), the top 10 - 15m of the mast can be utilized. In general, maintenance is more simplified on a lattice mast, as there is more space to work on the mast with its different platforms.

A tree type mast was also investigated but was found not to be feasible due to the following reasons:

- It will not be possible to construct a tree type mast at a height of 45m which Vodacom requires, as the wind loading capacity (i.e. the force on a structure arising from the impact of wind on it) on such a mast will be too high. Tree type masts are therefore only manufactured on lower heights.
- A tree type mast will not have the capacity/mounting space to accommodate all the equipment (dishes and antennae) Vodacom intends to install than that compared to a lattice mast.
- It will also limit the possibility for sharing with other cellular companies.

**c) No action alternative**

The Department of Environmental Affairs stresses the consideration of the “no development/no-action” option in cases where a proposed development is envisaged to have significant negative environmental impacts, or where such impacts cannot be mitigated against effectively or satisfactorily. The IEM procedure suggests that the “no action” option should be considered as an alternative. This option is normally considered during a full EIA where significant negative environmental impacts are expected or if the proposed site is considered to be ecologically sensitive or unique.

Due to the extremely limited extent of the proposed mast site (120m<sup>2</sup>), the impact upon fauna and flora will be minimal.

The cell phone coverage will remain problematic in the area should this activity not take place. Should the mast not be built on the proposed site, an alternative site must be planned.

TITLE: Assessment of Alternatives - Proposed Vodacom Base Station: Deelkraal	COMPILED BY: <b>TEKPLAN</b> <b>Environmental</b>	DATE: June 2016	Page 3
---	--	--------------------	--------