



**ADDENDUM 1: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING  
REPORT:**

**PROPOSED GROMIS-NAMA-AGGENEIS 400KV IPP  
INTEGRATION POWER LINE, NORTHERN CAPE  
PROVINCE**

March 2021

**Prepared for:**



**Prepared by:**



Elana Mostert  
elana@enviroworks.co.za  
021 527 7084

Today's Impact | Tomorrow's Legacy

## DOCUMENT CONTROL

### Quality and revision record

#### Quality approval

	Capacity	Name	Signature	Date
<b>Author:</b>	Environmental Consultant & Ecological Specialist (MSc Botany, SU 2015)	Elana Mostert		10 March 2021
<b>Reviewer:</b>	CEO, Director & Environmental Science Specialist (SACNASP reg. #400328/11)	Elbi (G.E.) Bredenkamp		10 March 2021

This report has been prepared in accordance with Enviroworks Quality Management System.

#### Revision record

Revision Number	Objective	Change	Date
1	Addendum	N/A	10 March 2021

## PURPOSE

This document is an addendum and should be read in conjunction with the main ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED GROMIS-NAMA-AGGENEIS 400KV IPP INTEGRATION POWER LINE, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE, dated 19 February 2021.

After the final report was submitted to Eskom, it was decided to add the final comments from the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) for the sake of completeness.

Even though the comments received from SAHRA does not contradict the recommendations of the Screening report and Specialists studies as is, it is believed that by adding the specific comments of SAHRA to the Screening report, will ensure that their comments are implemented during the Basic Assessment Phase.

This addendum thus specifically relates to the Section 14.3 in Table 41, Section 14 (pg. 100) of the Environmental Screening Report:

Additional information, that was added to the original Heritage recommendations, are highlighted below:

## 14 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DURING THE BA PROCESS

**Table 1 (addendum) Recommended further investigations for during the BA application process.**

14.3 Heritage
<p>At the BA phase of the project, a more detailed verification of the selected corridor must take place to inform the micro-siting of the proposed pylons to ensure that no significant heritage resources are impacted.</p> <p><b>The heritage assessments to be conducted as part of the Basic Assessment Process must take the following into account:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>The visual impacts on heritage resources including heritage landscapes such as the Namaqualand Copper Mining Landscape must be assessed;</b></li><li>• <b>A field-based Heritage Impact Assessment focusing on archaeological heritage, historical built environment, living heritage, burial grounds and graves must be conducted on the routes located within Alternative 1 and Alternative 5. The HIA must comply with section 38(3) of the NHRA and the 2007 Minimum Standards: Archaeological and Palaeontological Components of Impact Assessment Reports;</b></li><li>• <b>A Palaeontological desktop Impact Assessment must be conducted on the areas of moderate sensitivity located within Alternative 1 and Alternative 5. The PIA must comply with the 2012 SAHRA Minimum Standards: Palaeontological Component of Heritage Impact Assessments and the results of the PIA must be included in the above noted HIA.</b></li></ul> <p><b>This application must be submitted on SAHRIS at the start of the EA Public Review process, and comments from SAHRA must be included in the final BAR to be submitted to the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries (DEFF) for decision making.</b></p>