

APPENDIX D: ISSUES AND CONCERNS REPORT (SUMMARY OF ISSUES RAISED BY REGULATORY AUTHORITIES AND IAPS)

NOTE: A combined public consultation process was implemented for the three projects listed in the table below. This report therefore outlines all the issues and concerns raised to date on all three of these projects. Various issues were also raised with regard to the current mining and prospecting operations and issues with the tribal authorities, and these have been noted in a separate table because they do not relate directly to the proposed projects. In this regard:

- **TABLE 1** refers to all issues and comments related to the proposed projects.
- **TABLE 2** refers to all issues and comments related to the existing mining and prospecting operations, and issues that IAPs have with the tribal authority.

Project Description	SLR Project Number	DMR Ref.	DEA Ref.	DEDECT Ref.
Proposed PPM Pit Extension	B007-19	NW30/5/1/2/2/320MR	12/9/11/L750/7	NWP/EIA/88/2011
Proposed Magazynskraal Mine	B001-03	NW30/5/1/2/2/10029 MR	To be advised	NWP/EIA/90/2011
Sedibelo Platinum Mine – Proposed Changes to Surface Infrastructure	B001-03	NW30/5/1/2/3/2/1/333MR	To be advised	NWP/EIA/89/2011

TABLE 1: ISSUES AND COMMENTS RELATED TO THE PROPOSED PROJECTS

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Objections		
We will do anything to stop the proposed projects. What process should be followed if we object to the project?	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner), Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	We have noted your objection in the minutes of the meeting and in this Issues Report. This report will be included in the Scoping Report which will be submitted to the decision making authorities.
I object to the entire development, extending the life of the mine will only prolong the duration of negative impacts on a cumulative basis and is not good for the environment. Mining companies that come to this area are not locals and therefore don't care what they do to our environment.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Should the record of decision be positive, there is a period and process to be followed should IAPs wish to appeal the decision.
The community does not believe this mine should go ahead.	Pisi Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
With PPM's current environmental record, it will be a disaster if the pit is extended.	Chris de Bruyn FSE Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	We have noted your objection in the minutes of the meeting and in this Issues Report. This report will be included in the Scoping Report which will be submitted to the decision making authorities.
Technical / project related issues		
Is the proposed project solely a Boynton project or it is Boynton project with subsidiaries?	Pisi Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	The projects are being proposed by PPM, the IBMR and Richtrau.
Will the extended Tuschenkomst Pit be backfilled as is legally required?	Chris de Bruyn FSE Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	The pit flooding project has been approved by the DMR. The extended pit will form part of the flooded pit and therefore will be partially backfilled.
We, as the Bakgatla Ba Sefikile Traditional Community Association Limited, do not agree with the proposed projects planned for near the Sefikile community. This is due to the fact that the ownership of the land of the Sefikile community (Spitzkop 298) is still in dispute. According to the law, if the ownership of land is in dispute, nothing can take place on that land. We trust that you will take our comments into consideration.	Secretary MF Moolwe and Chairperson: A Manyako, comment sheet received via fax on 20 March 2012	The project areas and proposed infrastructure do not fall directly over any portions of the farm Spitzkop 298 or any of the land immediately adjoining the farm. We do however take note of your comment and this issue will be brought to the attention of the authorities in this Issues Report.
What is the depth of the Tuschenkomst pit?	Mienie Farrell (Chrometco)	It is currently 50m, but will be approximately 210m at its maximum depth.
How far is the road to the pit currently?	Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	It is on the edge of the pit.
Will there be lots of above-ground infrastructure on Wilgespruit?	Moremi D Lesejane (NWPTB) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	With reference to Figure 2 of the Scoping Report for Sedibelo (DMR ref: NW30/5/1/2/3/2/1/333MR; DEDECT ref: NWP/EIA/89/2011), the infrastructure layout on Wilgespruit 2 JQ will include shaft complexes, waste rock dumps, tailings dam, processing plant, open pit, support services, transport and conveyor infrastructure etc.
What are the prospects of mining north of Magazynskraal?		The project proponents do not have any planned projects north of Magazynskraal or the Lebatlhane Reserve.
What is happening north of Lebatlhane?		

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Will the area to the north of Wilgespruit (on Cyferkuil) be mined?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	The mining rights on the Cyferkuil and Kruidfontein properties are held by third parties. SLR is not aware of the plans for mining on these properties. Koedoesfontein is part of the Sedibelo Mining Right and will be mined as part of the Sedibelo Central UG & Sedibelo East/Magazynskraal UG projects.
Will the Koedoesfontien/Kruidfontein reef be mined?		
PPM can overlay the mineral geology of the area surrounding the Pilanesberg National Park and supply this to the NWPTB	Jan van der Merwe (PPM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	PPM will liaise with the NWPTB in this regard.
Will the waste rock dumps be sloped and re-vegetated eventually?	Michael Crowther (NWPTB) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	Yes, these facilities will be sloped and re-vegetated upon closure of the relevant operations.
What is the surface area of the three properties?	Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	Approximately 11 000 hectares
Is the proposed Magazynskraal Mine an underground mine?		Yes.
Has there been any progress with the fencing of the northern area of the Heritage Park? We require feedback from the Parks Board.	Dean Riley (PPM Project Manager) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	Noted, the NWPTB require information from the BBKTA (response given by NWPTB).
What is the lifespan of Sedibelo and Magazynskraal?	Bafedile Moselane (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	The proposed PPM pit extension will increase the life of the pit by approximately 6 years. The life of mine for Sedibelo is estimated at 40 years, while the life of mine for Magazynskraal is estimated at 30 years and could be extended to 60 years.
What is the approximate life span of the proposed new mine?	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
Why is one area mined opencast and the other area mined underground?	Sandra Mafisa MKLM Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	If the reef is near the surface then it is easier to mine using the open pit method. Magazynskraal is purely underground mining due to the fact that the reef is located 150 m below the ground.
What is the difference between open pit and underground mining?	Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
Will the Wilgespruit pit be rehabilitated?	Charles Nmutandani – Department of Water	Yes, the pit will be rehabilitated once mining is complete and most of the surface infrastructure will be removed. Some

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Affairs Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	infrastructure such as the Tailings Storage Facility and Waste Rock Dumps will however remain in perpetuity and will be sloped and re-vegetated in order to create stable final land forms.
Will other mines in the area use PPM's plant?	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	This may be a possibility in the future.
Will a plant be established on Sedibelo?	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Yes, the processing plant on Sedibelo was approved in 2008 as part of the IBMR mining right approval.
Will PPM's current plant expand?	Cliff Jackson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	There are currently no plans to expand, however this may be considered at later stage.
Can Chrometco be included in the proposed consolidated mine?	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	No, Chrometco extracts chrome, while PPM extracts platinum and therefore require different processes and cannot be processed in the same processing plant.
What area of Magazynskraal will be covered by mining activities?	O. Motlhaga (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	There are currently two options under consideration for the Magazynskraal Project, namely a standalone operation and a combined operation with the neighbouring Sedibelo mine. Depending on the chosen alternative, the infrastructure that will be established will differ significantly. Details on the area covered by infrastructure for the two options will be included in the EIA and EMP report.
When will the proposed Magazynskraal underground mine start? Will it only commence when the open cast mine is complete or will it run simultaneously?	Beatrice Phiri (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	The timing of the Magazynskraal Mine is dependent on the chosen project alternative, i.e. a standalone or combined mining operation. This issue is addressed in Section 3.2.4 of the Magazynskraal Scoping Report (DEDECT ref: NWP/EIA/90/2011).

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
When will the proposed project start?	J O Maoka (NWPTB) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012. Ernest Phefo (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	The proposed projects will commence at different stages. Should the projects receive positive environmental authorisations the following timing is planned: the PPM pit extension will start immediately; the Sedibelo project could commence in 2013; the Magazynskraal Project start date is dependent on project alternatives currently under consideration.
How close is this project from starting?	Nteleng Modise (Lekutung) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
If the mine is approved, what timeframes are we looking at until mining begins?	Meshack Pilane (Kgamata / Lesobeng) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012	
There is no timeline yet that outlines when the three operations will become one?	Charles Nemutandani (DWA) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	The possibility of the consolidated operations is under discussion and it is not expected in the immediate future.
Is it not better to consolidate PPM into the larger operation now?		
Does the infrastructure mentioned not already exist?	Gabriel Masyane (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	There is an existing mining operation at PPM. Sedibelo is an approved and currently developing mine. No infrastructure has been established for the proposed Magazynskraal Mine as it is currently a prospecting operation.
Where is this project in terms of Sefikile?	Thabo Dube (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	The project areas are located to the south west of the village of Sefikile as indicated in Figures 1 and 2 of the Scoping Reports.
How far is Magazynskraal from Sefikile? People are using Magazynskraal for grazing purposes.	Isaac (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	The Magazynskraal project site is located approximately 9 km south west of Sefikile as indicated in Figures 1 and 2 of the Scoping Reports.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Will waste rock dumps be established on the farm Magazynskraal 3JQ? Richtrau needs to consider using this waste rock to create a berm.	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Comment noted. These issues will be considered during the project planning phase of the environmental assessment process.
There has never been a development of an aesthetic shaft. PPM should try and develop something eco-friendly.	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
A lot of emphasis in the world is placed on being “green” but this seems to exclude mining.		
Procedural issues		
What is the purpose of MTS in the meeting?	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	MTS attended the meeting to support PPM as a Social and Labour Plan (SLP) consultant. MTS will be putting together an SLP for PPM (response provided by MTS) MTS will also compile the SLP for Magazynskraal. The Sedibelo (IBMR) has an approved SLP. In addition MTS will conduct the socio-economic impact assessment for all three projects and therefore it was worthwhile for MTS to attend these meetings to understand what the community issues and concerns are regarding the three projects.
The loud hailer advertising the meetings was not loud and it was unclear of what the meeting was about. Better methods need to be used.	M. Pheto (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Thank you for your comment. Alternative methods will be considered for the feedback meetings.
Whose authority are these projects being presented on behalf of, the tribal authority or another group?	Sophie Mokoteng (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	SLR Consulting (Africa) (Pty) Ltd is an independent consulting firm that has been appointed by Pilanesberg Platinum Mines (Pty) Ltd, the Itereleng Bakgatla Mineral Resources (IBMR) and Richtrau No. 123 (Pty) Ltd to conduct environmental assessments for the proposed projects in terms of the applicable environmental laws. The BBKTA is regarded as an interested and affected party and land owner in this process.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
<p>How can SLR engage with local farmers without first speaking to the CPA? The chief is not the landowner therefore he cannot make decisions on our behalf. We don't trust the BBKTA and therefore we cannot accept any decisions or agreements made by them on our behalf. The CPA is the rightful landowner. The CPA is the communities.</p>	<p>Sophie (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.</p>	<p>SLR, as the independent environmental consultants, are required to engage all interested and affected parties. This includes individuals as well as the CPA and tribal authorities. These meetings provide the opportunity to all interested and affected parties to raise issues and concerns.</p> <p>The registered landowners of the farms in question are as follows:</p> <p>PPM Farms - Tuschenkomst - 135JP - state land Witkleifontein 136JP - state land Rooderand Ptn 3 46JQ- state land</p> <p>IBMR Farms - Wilgespruit 2JQ- BBKTA Rooderand Ptn 1 46JQ- state land Legkraal 45JQ- BBKTA Koedoesfontein 42JQ - private land</p> <p>Richtrau - Magazynskraal 3JQ - state land</p>
<p>Who gave SLR the right to consult with the community? We should be engaging with the communal property association (CPA) first.</p>	<p>Cornelius (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.</p>	<p>Your comments are noted. The public consultation strategy was developed and implemented by SLR in conjunction with the tribal authority. SLR, as the independent environmental consultants, are required to engage all interested and affected parties. This includes individuals as well as the CPA and tribal authorities.</p>
<p>We do not welcome the process. The 32 villages should meet in the stadium and all agree together on the way forward.</p>	<p>Johanna Makagle (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.</p>	
<p>I like the approach yet in future it would be better if the correct people were consulted first. The information is being presented by the wrong people. Next time please engage with the CPA first as they deal with anything to do with the land.</p>	<p>Lucas Khalaba (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.</p>	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
The correct channel to consult us is through the communal property association (CPA). This land belongs to the people which means a resolution should be made by members of all the communities and not only by the chief. We would like for all communities involved to get together at Moruleng Stadium to speak as one voice on these issues.	A. Setswe (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Your comments are noted. The public consultation strategy was developed and implemented by SLR in conjunction with the tribal authority. SLR, as the independent environmental consultants, are required to engage all interested and affected parties. This includes individuals as well as the CPA and tribal authorities.
The community is unclear about the intention of the attendance register. What is its purpose, and are we signing away our royalties by signing the register?	Pinky Thebe (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	The purpose of the attendance register is to obtain contact details of IAPs and to serve as proof that SLR engaged with IAPs. Contact details will be used to forward project-related information to IAPs during the EIA/EMP process. You are in no way signing away any rights by signing the attendance register.
I am concerned that the attendance registers will be used as proof that a resolution or decision was made here today.	Thumi (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
In the next meeting, the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR), Department of Land Affairs and Department of Environmental Affairs need to be present so that they may witness the issues and engage with the community.	Moses Tsumede (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	Comment noted. The minutes of all the meetings will be included in the Scoping and EIA/EMP report so that the government departments can take note of the community's issues.
Will the government representatives or employees get this community's comments and questions?	M Tau (Ngwedding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
Why is the government not here to listen to our issues? How can we be sure that what is being said will be recorded as such in the report?	M. Mmankgaki (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
There are many internal issues between the community and the tribal authority. The CPA and ward councillors must be involved in the consultative process.	Nicky Makgane (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	Your comment is noted. The ward councillors have been involved in the consultation process. Contact details for the CPA were provided to SLR during the scoping meeting and have been added to the project IAP database.
The consultation process is going forward, yet the Community Property Association (CPA) is supposed to brief the community prior to SLR.	Simon Thelede (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	SLR has met with Mischak Mlope and Lebogang Mataboge from the BBKTA and the various kgosanas in the communities to introduce the proposed projects to the community leadership prior to the scoping meeting with the communities.
At first the CPA was involved, and now it seems as though	Pinky Thebe	All stakeholders are welcome to participate in this process.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
they are no longer involved. Is this correct?	(Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	SLR has met with Mischak Mlope and Lebogang Mataboge from the BBKTA and the various kgosanas in the communities to introduce the proposed projects to the community leadership prior to the scoping meeting with the communities.
It seems as though this meeting is the second meeting, and that at the first meeting the decisions were made?	Adolf Motswega (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	All stakeholders are welcome to participate in this process.
<p>We act on behalf of the Bakgatla-Ba-Kgafela Royal Family (BBKRF). Our clients have instructed us to approach you regarding the above matter. Our clients instruct as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Traditional Council of Bakgatla-Ba-Kgafela ceased to exist as from 24 September 2010 up to the date hereof. An effort to re-elect the new council on 12 November 2011 came to nullity when the Premier declined to recognise the newly elected councillors last week due to flawed procedure in the elections. As it stands, Bakgatla-Ba-Kgafela have no council and no one can enter into any legally binding contract with the Bakgatla-Ba-Kgafela Traditional Community. • The status of Kgosi Nyalala Molefe John Pilane and his council as from 24 September 2010 is the matter before the High Court of the North West under case Number 582/2011. • There is presently no structure or anyone at the Bakgatla-Ba-Kgafela who has any <i>locus standi</i> to legally act on behalf of the community. There is a pending appeal in the above matter which is scheduled to appear before court soon. Itereleng Bakgatla Mineral Resources Pty Ltd (IBMR) is the company purportedly created on behalf Bakgatla to deal with their mineral rights without Bakgatla's knowledge and consent. The aforesaid company has several subsidiary companies established without Bakgatla's knowledge and consent. The Directors in IBMR and its subsidiaries are not known to the 	MD Pheto Attorneys Fax received 01/03/2012	SLR Consulting (Africa) (Pty) Ltd is an independent consulting firm that has been appointed by Pilanesberg Platinum Mines (Pty) Ltd, the Itereleng Bakgatla Mineral Resources (IBMR) and Richtrau No. 123 (Pty) Ltd to conduct environmental assessments for the proposed projects in terms of the applicable environmental laws. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the relevant parties that represent the various mining houses (care of Mr Dean Riley, reachable on 012 661 4280). Please forward all future correspondence to the mining houses directly c/o Mr Dean Riley.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
<p>community with the effect that no AGM was ever held by IBMR or its subsidiaries. No financial statements from IBMR or its subsidiaries were ever afforded to Bakgatla. No dividends were ever declared by IBMR or its subsidiaries to the community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No audit was ever done by Kgosi and his council since they came to power. Our client instructs that there is no proper "GOVERNANCE" at Saulspoort. • In light of the above, our clients instruct that we should demand from you as we hereby do, immediate stoppage of all financial, mineral and/or any action incidental thereto with Kgosi NMJ Pilane and his defunct council especially the public participation of the community intended to commence on the 5th March 2012 pending the resolution of the above issues by the community itself, government and/or by the courts. <p>Unless we hear from you by the latest the close of business on the 1st March 2012, our instructions are to proceed against you by way of court interdict and claim costs against you. We await your urgent response by the latest the 1st March 2012.</p>		<p>SLR Consulting (Africa) (Pty) Ltd is an independent consulting firm that has been appointed by Pilanesberg Platinum Mines (Pty) Ltd, the Itereleng Bakgatla Mineral Resources (IBMR) and Richtrau No. 123 (Pty) Ltd to conduct environmental assessments for the proposed projects in terms of the applicable environmental laws. Your correspondence has been forwarded to the relevant parties that represent the various mining houses (care of Mr Dean Riley, reachable on 012 661 4280). Please forward all future correspondence to the mining houses directly c/o Mr Dean Riley.</p>

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
<p>You indicate that in consultation with IAP's, you will report on measures required to mitigate impacts to an 'acceptable level'. What exactly is meant by 'acceptable level'? This must be clearly explained to us without ambiguity because our experience since the opening of a mine in the area in question is that of an extremely unacceptable level characterised by a violation of our rights (whether formal or informal) and the absence of good governance practices.</p> <p>Our view of an 'acceptable level' is where our rights as an affected party are recognised, upheld and protected where there is consensus with us about what needs to happen and where there is genuine, practical implementation of what is agreed upon with the affected parties.</p>	<p>Matshego (Aubrey) Matshego Response sheet received via email, 16 March 2012</p>	<p>The environmental impact assessment (EIA) process is currently in the scoping phase; where project related issues are being scoped. These issues are scoped through understanding the existing state of the environment (chapter 2), anticipating and recording the impacts expected from each of the projects (chapters 3 and 4). The scoped issues will be investigated through planned specialist studies (chapter 6). For example specialist studies will be conducted on air, biodiversity, surface and groundwater impacts etc. The mitigation measures will be developed in the assessment phase of the EIA.</p> <p>The EIA and EMP documents will provide detail on what acceptable levels of mitigation are defined by SLR and the relevant specialists. In brief, mitigation is a critical component of the EIA process. The objective is to prevent negative impacts and to manage those that cannot be avoided to an acceptable level. These management measures follow legislated levels, and where there is no legislation relating to a particular impact, best practice guidelines and accepted industry standards are followed. The results of all of the specialist studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports, including the proposed management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.</p>
<p>Authorisation is sought to amend the Sedibelo EIA/EMP which we as affected parties were not consulted about. We do not know the contents of this document. Our view is that the proposed amendment should be suspended to allow for a proper and lawful consultation process to take place on the original EIA/EMP for Sedibelo. In order to proceed from an informed position, we request that you provide us with the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notice of meeting of IAPs for the EIA/EMP referred to for the Sedibelo project. 2. Minutes of IAPs meetings for the EIA/EMP referred to. 3. Attendance registers of IAPs meetings for the EIA/EMP 	<p>Matshego (Aubrey) Matshego Response sheet received via email, 16 March 2012</p>	<p>The mine and associated activities was approved in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 28 of 2002 (MPRDA) (Reference number NW30/5/1/2/3/2/1/333MR) and National Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA) (Reference number NWP/EIA/59/2007) in 2008.</p> <p>Consultation was undertaken by the IBMR and its appointed environmental consultants in 2006 during the environmental impact assessment process.</p> <p>The EIA and EMP document is a public document therefore a request has been made to the IBMR to make a copy available so that the IAPs have access to meeting notices, minutes of meetings, attendance registers as well as the final report. This</p>

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
referred to. 4. The final EIA/EMP report for the Sedibelo project.		will however not be done through the current EIA process, but should be viewed as an entirely separate interaction with the IBMR.
<p>You mention the intention to commence with mining operations at Sedibelo as per the approved EIA/EMP. As affected parties and members of the Lesetlheng village, we were never consulted about this approved EIA/EMP. Our view is that the operations should be suspended until proper, fair consultation takes place as required by the laws of this country. In order to move forward on this matter we request the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notices of IAP meetings for the 'approved' EIA/EMP referred to as Sedibelo. 2. Minutes of IAPs meetings for the EIA/EMP referred to. 3. Attendance registers of IAP meetings for the said EIA/EMP 4. The final EIA/EMP report for the said mining operation. 	<p>Matshego (Aubrey) Matshego Response sheet received via email, 16 March 2012</p>	<p>The mine and associated activities was approved in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 28 of 2002 (MPRDA) (Reference number NW30/5/1/2/3/2/1/333MR) and National Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA) (Reference number NWP/EIA/59/2007) in 2008. Consultation was undertaken by the IBMR and its appointed environmental consultants in 2006 during the environmental impact assessment process. The EIA and EMP document is a public document therefore a request has been made to the IBMR to make a copy available so that the IAPs have access to meeting notices, minutes of meetings, attendance registers as well as the final report. This will however not be done through the current EIA process, but should be viewed as an entirely separate interaction with the IBMR.</p>
<p>You indicate that power will be sourced from ESKOM in terms of the approved Sedibelo EIA/EMP. In fact the substation is shown on one of your maps and power lines cut across cultivated fields including one belonging to our family member. As affected parties we were never consulted about this EIA/EMP or made aware of its existence. Our view is that the current process should be suspended until proper, fair and lawful consultation on the ESKOM EIA/EMP is made with IAPs. In order to move forward we request the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notices of IAP meetings for the above mentioned EIA/EMP. 2. Minutes of IAP meetings for the said EIA/EMP. 3. Attendance registers of IAP meetings for the said EIA/EMP. 4. The final EIA/EMP report referred to for the ESKOM power. 	<p>Matshego (Aubrey) Matshego Response sheet received via email, 16 March 2012</p>	<p>Eskom was responsible for authorising this powerline, and this activity was therefore not included in the 2007 Sedibelo EIA authorisation process. SLR nor the IBMR can therefore provide proof of consultation for this Eskom EIA authorisation process.</p>
Previously the Lesetlheng community was not consulted.	<p>T Pilane (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting,</p>	<p>A public participation process was conducted in 2007 as part of PPM's original EIA and EMP report. Task teams were established between PPM, the BBKTA and the surrounding communities to inform the local people about the projects.</p>

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	26 July 2012	The diversion of the Wilgespruit was included in PPM's original EIA and EMP report, which was authorised in 2008. Neither SLR nor PPM was involved in the Sedibelo EIA and therefore we cannot comment on the process that was followed for that project.
As you have done the consultation via the tribal authority, if the project is approved, will you notify the tribal authority or you are just going to continue with the project on your own?	Dipuo Molefe (Mononono), comment sheet received, dated 9 March 2012.	Once the DMR, DEDECT and DEA have issued their respective decisions, all the registered IAPs will be notified by e-mail and/or post in accordance with the instructions from the relevant departments.
What is the progress with the discussions with Black Rhino? Will the same presentation be used at the meeting with Black Rhino?	Michael Crowther (NWPTB) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	A scoping meeting with Black Rhino was held on 7 March 2012. The same presentation was given at all of the scoping phase meetings.
There is a poor turnout at this meeting and there are many youths here. We believe that the elders should also be present in case decisions need to be made. The youth cannot make any decisions.	Olefile Molefe (Lekutung) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	This meeting is for information sharing purposes only. No decisions are to be made. A community engagement approach was agreed upon with BBKTA, which included setting of meetings times and dates. Your concerns are however noted going forward.
I think that a Tuesday is not the best day to have a meeting as many people are working. Why can't we arrange these meetings for Saturdays?	Makgaie Keorapetse (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
Why are we not having a combined meeting with all the communities if this is such a big project?	Thabo Lebotse (Lekutung) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	
I am unsatisfied with the number of attendees here today. These meetings should be changed to a more suitable day.	Makgaie Keorapetse (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
Please continue to engage with us and do not disappear.	Nathan (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	SLR will arrange another round of meetings during the impact assessment phase of the projects to give feedback on the findings of the impact assessment studies and an update on the progress of the project. All registered IAPs will be informed about these in due course.
Will there be another meeting for feedback?	Dineo Kgosi (Manamakgoteng	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Community) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	SLR will arrange another round of meetings during the impact assessment phase of the projects to give feedback on the findings of the impact assessment studies and an update on the progress of the project. All registered IAPs will be informed about these in due course.
There should be a feedback meeting and the directors of all three companies must be present. Land affairs, the DMR and SARS must also be present as they are benefitting from the mine each month, and the community has nothing.	P C Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	
SLR should continue to engage with us and return to give us feedback. From past experience, consultants disappear after they have presented projects.	M. Pheto (Mononono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	This is the scoping phase of the project and we are here to get your comments on the proposed projects. A round of feedback meetings will be planned once the impact assessment studies have been completed. The findings of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports and you will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
When will SLR/PPM/BBKTA return with feedback from our questions and comments? There are often presentations, but never any answers to our questions.	S Teke (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
Was the Department of Land Affairs informed of this meeting and the proposed project?	Bafedile Moselane (Moses Kotane Local Municipality) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	The Department of Land Affairs (now called the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform) has been notified of the proposed projects and was invited to attend this meeting.
The municipality is aware that there is an issue with regards to the community of Lesetlheng and the BBKTA.	Bafedile Moselane (Moses Kotane Local Municipality) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	SLR has been made aware of this issue during the consultation process. SLR recognises all IAPs and as such will provide all IAPs with the opportunity to provide input into the EIA processes.
The municipality must check with Land Affairs with regards to the deed searches and land claims (of the Lesetlheng community).	Mpho Molongoana (Moses Kotane Local Municipality) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	Your comment is noted. SLR has also checked these details as part of the EIA process.
Is the 40 day review period legislated?	Bafedile Moselane (Moses Kotane Local Municipality) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	Yes, NEMA states that there is a 40 day period for regulatory authorities to review the Scoping Report.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Will we receive the minutes of this meeting?	Mpho Molongoana (Moses Kotane Local Municipality) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	Minutes of the meetings will be included as an appendix in the Scoping Report. The MKLM will receive a copy of the Scoping Report to review.
The cumulative impacts are not being addressed.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Specialist studies that will be undertaken as part of the current EIAs and will consider the cumulative impact of the three proposed projects (PPM pit extension, Sedibelo infrastructure changes and Magazynskraal mine).
I proposed that a study be undertaken on the northern side of the Black Rhino Game Lodge to determine the cumulative effect on both the Black Rhino Game Lodge and the Pilaesburg National Park.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Your comment has been noted. The specialist studies will be focussed on the mining areas but will assess impacts on surrounding land users, water users and communities.
It is difficult to be positive about the proposed projects. Reports produced by SLR have mitigation measures in place, but the mine does not comply with their EMP.	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Your comment is noted. The relevant mines are responsible for implementing the EMP commitments.
How do we reach SLR if we have further issues or comments?	Stephen Nomaele (Mononono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	The contact person at SLR is Ntsako Baloyi. He can be contacted telephonically on 011 467 0945. Comments can be submitted in writing as follows: Fax: 011 467 0978
Where can SLR be contacted?	Bonolo Mothlabe (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Post: PO Box 1596, Cramerview, 2060
SLR should be here to consult with us as to how the land should be used, not to tell us what is going to be done on our land.	Molefe (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	As the independent environmental consultants, SLR are here to present a proposed project on behalf of our client and obtain input, issues and concerns regarding the proposed project from all IAPs. Please provide any input you would like to regarding land use and any other issues related to the proposed projects. This can be done in writing or telephonically. Please contact SLR in this regard (contact details provided above).
Our chief should be the one to address the community on issues that affect us.	Timothy (Lesobeng-Kgamatha),	SLR, as the independent environmental consultant, must consult with all interested and affected parties regarding the

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012	proposed projects. SLR approached the BBKTA to develop a strategy to engage with all potentially affected communities in the area. We are now implementing the agreed plan for engagement. We also asked the BBKTA to inform the communities of these meetings. This consultation process is only for the proposed projects and is completely separate from your normal communication procedures with the tribal authority and chief.
Was the chief involved in organising these meetings? Why is the chief not speaking to us at the tribal authority as is custom?	Lenah Mabula (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012	
The community can see that the mine is expanding. Ngweding is very close, whereas Ntswana-le-Metsing is not as close and therefore less affected.	Beatrice Phiri (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	This comment is noted and Ntswana-le-Metsing will still be consulted as they are an adjoining village.
It was mentioned that the government departments review and make the final decision, yet how will they know where Ngweding is and its close proximity to the mine. The departments should come and see for themselves how directly affected this community will be.	Joseph Mogale Pisi Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	The DMR, DWA and DEDECT have been invited to site visits, informed of these meetings and will review the Scoping Reports and EIA and EMP reports. These reports will include a map indicating the proximity of Ngweding, as well as other communities in the vicinity, are to the current and proposed mining areas.
The administrators at the Bojanala Municipality and the BBKTA should be here to listen to the community's questions, comments and frustrations.	G R Magule (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	Moses Kotane Local Municipality (MKLM) community development workers and a representative from the BBKTA were present at the meeting. Representatives from the Bojanala Platinum District Municipality (BPDM) were invited to all scoping meetings. The MKLM, BPDM and BBKTA will also receive copies of the Scoping and EIA and EMP Reports for review, and this Issues Report will be included in these reports.
The government will benefit the most from this project. The environmental representatives of the Moses Kotane and Bojanala Municipalities should be here listening and taking note of the issues for themselves.	Pisi Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
When Hylton Allison was last here he said that he would get back to us with feedback to our questions. When we heard nothing, the youth got together and wrote a letter to the mine stating their comments and concerns. This letter was sent to the mine, and the response was that of "don't call us, we'll call you". This is not acceptable.	J Mothale (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012. Obakeng Mosiamisi, (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on	Hylton Allison communicated these issues to Lerato Ramabulana (PPM) and Lebogang Mataboge (BBKTA) and requested a response because these issues related to the existing PPM operations, and not the proposed project. The result of this request is that a second meeting will be held after this scoping meeting where the community can communicate their issues to BBKTA and PPM.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	12 March 2012.	
We are not concerned about this process. Our concern is ensuring that the mine keeps all the promises it is making. PPM has a poor record in this regard.	Thabo Mabolawa (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Your comment has been noted. The current consultation process relates only to the proposed projects. Please contact PPM directly for any issues regarding current operations.
Thank you for coming to present the proposed projects. Why are we only receiving the BID now, and not earlier so that we could go through the document before the meeting?	Sophie Mokoteng (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	The BID can be taken home with you for you to read and add your comments/questions to the comment sheet. Thereafter SLR will arrange with the BBKTA to collect the comment sheets and include the comments in the environmental process. The BID contains the same information as the presentation given during the scoping meetings.
Is the Tuschenkomst water supply part of these proposed projects?	Charles Nmutandani (DWA) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	No, the water supply project does not form part of these proposed projects.
Does legislation not stipulate specific buffer zone in terms of the distance that mining activities may take place from nature conservation areas?	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	In terms of legislation, any mining activity within a 5km radius's of nature conservation areas needs to be applied for in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998). No buffer zones are legislated.
Who do you apply too?		In the North West Province, applications are submitted to the Department and Economic Development, Environment, Conservation and Tourism.
In order to please Lesetlheng you must acknowledge that we have been wronged in the past. Engage with the directors and inform them of our grievances. The board members must acknowledge that the Lesetlheng community is special and must not be grouped with other IAPs.	S Rasepae (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	The directors of the applicant companies are aware of the current situation. In addition, they will be informed of the grievances raised during this meeting.
The Lesetlheng people were not consulted about the river diversion at Sedibelo.	T Pilane (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	A public participation process was conducted in 2007 as part of PPM's original EIA and EMP report. Task teams were established between PPM, the BBKTA and the surrounding communities to inform the local people about the projects. The diversion of the Wilgespruit was included in PPM's original EIA and EMP report, which was authorised in 2008.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
		Neither SLR nor PPM was involved in the Sedibelo EIA and therefore we cannot comment on the process that was followed for that project.
The community views all of these projects as one combined project. Changes are being proposed and we are not being informed.	S Rasepae (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	SLR has attempted to consult with the Lesetlheng community on numerous occasions. This includes the community meeting that was scheduled for 5 March 2012, as well as attempts to consult with the land users on Wilgespruit and Magazynskraal in February 2012. To date, all of these consultations have been unsuccessful. Today's meeting is part of the public consultation process that is currently taking place as part of the environmental assessment process for the proposed projects.
What is the status of the current EIA process?		The EIA process is currently in the public participation stage of the scoping phase.
We see media reports claiming that the projects have been authorised.		<p>The proposed projects require two primary authorisations, firstly in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 28 of 2002 (MPRDA) and secondly in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA). The proposed Tuschenkomst pit expansion project has been approved in terms of the MPRDA only. It is noted that the newspaper article is ambiguous.</p> <p>The environmental authorisation that was granted to the Itereleng Bakgatla Mineral Resources (IBMR) in 2008 has also been transferred into PPM's name which enables various activities associated with the pit expansion to legally take place. There are activities associated with the pit extension that still require authorisation in terms of NEMA and none of these activities will take place until authorisation has been obtained. The activities associated with the revised Sedibelo infrastructure layout and the Magazynskraal projects have not received decisions from either of the decision-making authorities.</p>
The Lesetlheng community does not want a public meeting until the land issue has been resolved. Request for SLR to write a formal letter to the Lesetlheng community that will be		SLR agreed to submit a formal letter requesting permission to engage the community regarding the proposed projects and the

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
presented at the community meeting on Sunday. The community will decide if they want to have a meeting or not.		environmental assessment process that is currently underway.
Geology		
How will community members be protected from possible sink holes and compensated from the effects thereof?	Jerry Modise (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012. M. Setshwane (Magalane) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Section 2.6.1 of the scoping report describes the natural geology of the area and sinkholes are not prevalent in the area due to the nature of the geology. The potential for subsidence as a result of underground mining will be addressed in the EIA and EMP reports. This will include an assessment of the potential impact and relevant management measures.
Blasting related issues		
Will the mine take responsibility for the cracking of our houses though blasting?	Dipuo Molefe (Mononono) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012. Obert Myfana (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012. Jerry Modise (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012. Mack Diatshwana (Legkraal - Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012. M. Mmankgaki, and Peter (Ramasedi) 9 March 2012. Martha Dube (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	This issue is noted and this potential impact has been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012. Joseph Mogale and Jamo Tsulego (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012. Mapitso Dibatana ad M.D Mosikasi (Magalane) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
What studies will be done to ensure that underground mining will not affect communities – particularly blasting?	A.L Tlabyane (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	This potential impact has been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
Noise		
Where will the helipad be situated?	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Current project planning indicates that the helipad will be located adjacent to the Sedibelo plant and offices.
We prefer the location of the plant located on Magazynskraal.	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Your comment has been noted.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Heritage and Cultural issues		
<p>In terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, no 25 of 1999, heritage resources, including archaeological or palaeontological sites over 100 years old, graves older than 60 years, structures older than 60 years are protected. They may not be disturbed without a permit from the relevant heritage resources authority. This means that before such sites are disturbed for development it is incumbent on the developer to ensure that a heritage impact assessment is done. They must include the archaeological component (Phase 1) and any other applicable heritage components. Appropriate (Phase 2) mitigation, which involves recording, sampling and dating sites that are destroyed, must be done as required.</p> <p>Where bedrock is affected, or where there are coastal sediments, or marine or river terraces and in potentially fossiliferous superficial deposits, a Palaeontological Desk Top study must be undertaken to assess whether or not the development will impact upon palaeontological resources. Any other heritage resources that may be impacted such as built structures over 60 years old, sites of cultural significance associated with oral histories, burial grounds and graves, graves of victims of conflict and cultural landscapes or viewsapes must also be assessed.</p>	<p>Andrew Salomon (SAHRA) Email received 29/02/2012</p>	<p>The comments from SAHRA are noted and section 6.1 of the scoping reports refer to the further heritage and cultural investigations to take place. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.</p> <p>Visual impact assessments are planned for the Magazynskraal and Sedibelo EIA's and will include a cumulative impact assessment which will include the PPM pit extension project. Dr Julius Pistorius, an accredited heritage specialist, has conducted a Phase 1 archaeological, cultural and heritage resources study for the PPM, Sedibelo and Magazynskraal Projects. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures.</p> <p>A desktop assessment of paleontological resources has been undertaken by Professor Bruce Rubidge, an accredited paleontological specialist, for the PPM, Sedibelo and Magazynskraal Projects. The results of these studies has been included in the scoping reports (section 2.3) and found that it is unlikely that paleontological resources occur in the three project areas due to the nature of the geology.</p>
<p>There are old graves near the Motlhabe area.</p>	<p>Motsoi Phiri and Ikagele Tau (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.</p>	<p>Thank you for your input. The heritage specialist will be notified of these graves.</p>
<p>What happens if an old grave is discovered during the mining process? How will this situation be handled?</p>	<p>Lizzy Moroke (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.</p>	<p>If a grave is found by chance the mine will stop work in that area and notify the South African Heritage Resources Agency as well as an accredited heritage specialist. The correct legislated process will then be followed to move the grave if it cannot be avoided.</p>

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Our forefather's graves were disturbed by mining developments. We ask for respect for the graves on our land.	Ikagele Tau (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	Through discussions during the scoping meeting, it was determined that this issue was not related to PPM or the proposed projects. A heritage assessment has been carried out to identify all cultural/heritage sites (including graves) on the proposed project area.
What will happen to the Heritage Park?	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	The proposed heritage park is still being proposed. The North West Parks and Tourism Board are being consulted with regards to the proposed projects. In addition, PPM does communicate with the Heritage Park regularly.
I am concerned about the impacts that the proposed projects will have on the proposed Heritage Park on the farm Witkleinfontein.	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	This issue will be investigated during the environmental assessment process.
Traffic related issues		
There are significant underground excavations from previous mining operations, and these may lie directly beneath the proposed road diversion number 1.	Petrus Cilliers (Chrometco Ltd) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	Thank you for your information. This will be taken into account in the road design process.
Two of the suggested road diversion layouts may affect Chrometco's future plans. Would we have "broken" access?		Chrometco will be consulted on the final layout of the road. Should the road be closed, it would be blocked for public use but mine related traffic would still have access.
With regards to the proposed road diversion, will the new road be tarred? What are the details of the proposed plans?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	PPM will construct the road to the standard of the Road's Department. In this regard the road will not be tarred.
Whichever diversion is used, it would be better if the new road is tarred.		
The mine should assist in upgrading the road. It does not necessarily need to be tarred but just upgraded. We live close to the mine and are most affected by the increased traffic volumes.	Mack Diatshwana (Legkraal - Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012. Miriam (Magalane) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	PPM will construct the road to the standard of the Road's Department. In this regard the road will not be tarred.
How will the mines maintain the roads in the area?	Pady Kamogelo Moya	This issue is noted and the impact has been identified as

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	(Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Scoping meeting held on 25 March 2012.	requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the scoping reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
How can PPM assist in upgrading local roads?	Joseph Ntuane (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	The road network falls under the authority of the Department of Roads. In this regard, discussions will be held between Department of Roads and the relevant mining operations regarding the recommended management measures.
There is already a road between Mogwase and Legkraal passing Ngweding. This road has been damaged by the mine. It is now for use at own risk, and in a bad condition. Before the mine diverts the roads, the mine must fix and maintain the existing roads.	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
Can the mines assist with tarring of the roads in the area to improve the road surface, prevent vehicles getting stuck in the wet season and making it safer for children to get to school.	Mantwa Merriam Mosikare (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), comment sheet received, dated 20 March 2012.	
How will underground mining affect the use of roads in the communities – especially the road alongside the pit?	Meisie Yende (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The diversion of the road should be checked with the North West provincial road's department and possibly used as part of the mine's Social and Labour Plan.	Bafedile Moselane (Moses Kotane Local Municipality) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	The provincial department will be consulted for authorisation of the proposed diversion. The road diversion will not form part of the mine's Social and Labour Plan.
We are not happy about the proposed road diversions. We do not want to aggravate the current situation as it is going to result in a cumulative effect.	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Your comment has been noted. A traffic impact study will be conducted as part of the EIA process and will address the potential cumulative effects of all three proposed projects.
Did the North West Parks Board have any comments on the proposed road diversions?	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	No significant concerns have been raised to date by the North West Parks Board to date.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Soil and Land Capability Related Issues		
With regards to soil and land capability, if the mine removes the soil, what will happen to the community's livelihood?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	The potential impacts on soil and land use capability will be assessed as part of the current EIA processes. The findings of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports and will include an assessment of the potential impact as well as management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
Biodiversity		
The area contains sensitive biodiversity, how is the mine taking this into account?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	The projects are being planned to minimise the impacts on biodiversity to as much as possible, particularly in the context of the proposed Heritage Park. The potential impacts on biodiversity will be assessed as part of the current EIA processes. The findings of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports and will include an assessment of the potential impacts as well as management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
The Wilderness section of the Pilanesberg Game Reserve is the area of the park where all the scarce species are located.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Thank you for your information. This is noted and will be considered during the biodiversity studies.
I am concerned about an increase in poaching.	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	The potential impacts on biodiversity, including possible poaching, will be assessed as part of the current EIA processes. The findings of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports and will include an assessment of the potential impacts as well as management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
What red data species currently occur in the area?	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	The biodiversity specialist study will provide a list of red data species included on site and will be included in the EIA/EMP report for your review.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Surface water		
I have cattle on Magazynskraal. My concern is that the mine will cause surface water pollution which will affect my cattle.	R. Tsheole (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	The potential impacts on surface water will be assessed as part of the current EIA processes. The findings of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports and will include an assessment of the potential impacts as well as management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
What will happen if our livestock get sick from contaminated water? Will we be compensated?	Judge Molefe (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	
We would like the dam at Rooderand to be upgraded so it can be used for cattle farming.	Mack Diatshwana (Legkraal - Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	The applicant companies do not own the dams on Rooderand, nor are the project areas located on the portions of Rooderand where the dams are located. This request will however be forwarded to PPM, the IBMR and Richtrau for consideration.
There are two dams that were mentioned in the presentation, is the mine intending to rebuild them?	Sandra Mafisa (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	It is understood that the two dams were destroyed years ago. The mine is not planning on rebuilding them and it should be noted that the applicant companies do not own the dams.
Groundwater		
The description provided for the current existing environment does not include the Legkraal springs, referred to as the "pannetjies". These springs are important to migrating animals, this is due to the fact that outside the crater, streams are non-perennial and there are not a lot of dams. The pannitjies is therefore only water source for animals between the months of May and November.	Alan Green and Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owners) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Thank you for this information. This will be taken into account in the various specialist studies.
If the pannetjies dried up there won't be any migrating animals. It is therefore proposed that these springs be included in your investigations as certain species specifically migrate to this area, especially in winter.		
In a previous consultation process we were told that the pit could become flooded to be used as a dam. How will the community be compensated if the water in the pit becomes polluted? We do not want the pit to be flooded.	M. Mmankgali (Ramasedi) Comment sheet dated 9 March 2012	Your issue has been noted. This issue relates to a separate project. The relevant EIA/EMP document is currently being reviewed by the DMR and in the event that the pit water becomes polluted PPM commits to providing the affected communities with the equivalent water quantity and quality.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
The water here has a lot of lime in it. This is affecting our health.	M.D Mosikasi (Magalane) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012	The EIA and EMP reports will provide information on the current quality of the groundwater.
When will communities be informed of the effects of water impacts? Will we be involved in managing these impacts?	Nathan (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	This potential impact has been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
How will pollution of groundwater resources be controlled?	Dipuo Molefe (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
Visual related issues		
The southern waste rock associated with the Sedibelo Platinum Mine will be visible from the Black Rhino Game Lodge. Infrastructure needs to be positioned to have the least impact on eco-tourism.	Cliff Jackson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	These issues have been forwarded to PPM, IBMR and Richtrau management for consideration with respect to the site layouts. It will also be communicated to the visual specialist to assess as part of the visual impact assessment component of the EIA.
The plant must be hidden from sight. We need to approach a professional, we do not have capacity. The cumulative impacts will be a disaster. We receive nice EIA/EMP documents yet nothing gets done once the mine is established.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	
We are aware that the existing topsoil stock piles can be used as berms, however their effectiveness is limited due to maximum height specifications as a result of compaction and health and safety. I agree that the topsoil stockpiles are not located in the correct place. In this regard, infrastructure designs need to be to our advantage.	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	
There is a section of the Pilanesberg Game Reserve that is referred to as the Wilderness section. This specific section of the park is not accessible to the general public visiting the Pilanesberg Game Reserve. The Black Rhino Game Lodge has access to this section of the park. Regular walks and	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
drives take place in the Wilderness section and as such the location of the proposed waste rock dumps will be visible during game walks and drives and is therefore not an ideal location.		These issues have been forwarded to PPM, IBMR and Richtrau management for consideration with respect to the site layouts. It will also be communicated to the visual specialist to assess as part of the visual impact assessment component of the EIA.
Is it possible to make the waste rock dumps less intrusive visually?	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	A visual impact assessment will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. As well as assessing impacts, these studies will develop management and mitigation measures. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
Health and Safety related issues		
How will the health and safety of the community and workers be ensured, especially with regards to the high rates of HIV and AIDS.	Unknown IAP (Legkraal) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	This issue is noted and the potential impact has been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
Will the standard of health care be improved, specifically with regards to the local clinics?	Mariam Komane (Northam) Scoping meeting held on 23 March 2012.	
Are people's health in any danger because of the project?	Debra Khwebe (Mononono) Comment sheet received, dated 30 March 2012. Prescilla Motlhabane (Northam) Comment sheet received, dated 23 March 2012.	
There should be no risk to people's lives and our livestock	Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	
What health issues are related to such a mining project? How will these impacts affect the community?		

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Air quality		
Does each property in the proposed project have air monitoring stations?	Sandra Mafisa (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	PPM and Sedibelo currently have dust buckets on site as they are approved mining operations. The Magazynskraal site does not have dust buckets as it is currently a prospecting operation. Should the mining right be granted, dust buckets will be established on Magazynskraal.
How will dust be controlled to prevent diseases within the surrounding communities?	Dipuo Molefe (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	An air quality impact assessment will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. As well as assessing impacts, these studies will develop management and mitigation measures. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
The traffic from the mine results in many issues for us. Firstly, a large amount of dust is generated from high traffic volumes which impacts on our health.	Lizzy (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
The issue of dust pollution greatly affects our livestock as well as our crops. How will this impact be managed?	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
How will air pollution be controlled?	Petrus (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	
How will the increase in dust pollution affect our plants and crops? The dust already covers the crops.	Joseph Modimakwane (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	
What is the impact of smoke from blasting on the health of community members? What will be done to minimise this impact?	George Monaro (Mothabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	An air quality impact assessment will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. As well as assessing impacts, these studies will develop management and mitigation measures. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
What is the expected air quality impact of the mines on the surrounding community? I would assume that a 50km radius is studied, but sometimes the effects fall further than specified.	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on	The air quality specialists that have been commissioned for the proposed projects will model the potential impacts that could arise as a result of the projects. The studies will not be limited by a

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
How does the mine plan to address this?	6 March 2012.	specific area. The specialist studies will include management measures to mitigate the potential impacts. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
On some occasions, the terms of reference can be limited and therefore some areas are excluded. It is important to gain a true reflection of the impacts.		The scoping reports outline the scope of the air quality studies. Airshed Planning Professionals will develop a model and will also suggest mitigation measures to limit the potential impacts.
When will communities be informed of the effects of air impacts? Will we be involved in managing these impacts?	Nathan (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	This potential impact has been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
We are very close to the mine. What precautions will be taken to prevent dust from blasting? The dust here already affects the health of community members and blasting creates a lot of extra dust.	Simon Sithole (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
This is a dry area. Increased mining activity will increase the dust issues.	Martha Dube (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
Socio-economic issues: Community Benefits and Development		
Despite being independent, how can SLR assist us in developing skills or acquiring qualifications? We are asking SLR for help because PPM and BBKTA do nothing for us.	Johanna (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	SLR has been appointed to conduct environmental assessments for the proposed projects in terms of the applicable environmental laws. SLR will forward the communities requests to PPM, the IBMR and Richtrau.
How will the proposed project affect a community such as Magong?	Benjamin Moroke (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Various specialist studies will be conducted as part of the EIA processes and will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects. Section 6 of the relevant Scoping Reports outline what studies are planned. The EIA and EMP reports will present the findings of these specialist studies and describe and assess all potential impacts, including potential impacts on communities. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
A letter of application for funding (infrastructure, equipment and training) is submitted by the chairperson of the Manamakgoteng for the Modderkuil Vegetable Project to the project proponents for consideration.	Gopolang Karimetsa (chairperson) and Matshido Karimetsa (project manager), of the Manamakgoteng for a Vegetable Project	This request has been forwarded to PPM, IBMR and Richtrau management for consideration.
Will the mine help the community with developments, such as improvements to the roads, water supply, electricity and general infrastructure?	Unknown IAP (Legkraal) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012. Dipuo Molefe (Mononono) Comment sheet received, dated 9 March 2012. Zacharia Dibatane (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 8 March 2012. Mogapi Timothy Tsheole (Saulspoort/Moruleng) Comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012. Meme Rebecca Moeng (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 11 March 2012. Pady Kamogelo Moya (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 25 March 2012. Keorapetse Pilane (Rhenosterkraal/Magong community) Comment sheet received, dated 26 March 2012.	The request for the construction of community infrastructure has been forwarded to PPM, IBMR and Richtrau management for consideration.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	<p>Seiphithhile Moate (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 12 March 2012.</p> <p>Julia M. Mpete (Rhenosterkraal/Magong community) Comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012.</p> <p>Mariam Komane (Northam) Comment sheet received, dated 23 March 2012</p> <p>Zacharia Dibatane (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 8 March 2012.</p> <p>Mantwa .Merriam Mosikare (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 20 March 2012.</p> <p>Shiela Mampu (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 15 March 2012.</p> <p>Pady Kamogelo Moya (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 25 March 2012.</p> <p>Stephen Nomaele (Mononono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.</p> <p>David Sekale, Themba,</p>	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	David Sekale and Judge Molefe (Motlhabe), Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012. Titus Pilane, Elliot Dibatana, Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing), Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012. S Teke, Pisi Phiri, Mogale Mothlabe and Molifi Teke (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
Will the project build secondary and tertiary institutions in our area?	Confidence Rebone Mmualefe (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Comment sheet received, dated 24 March 2012.	These requests have been forwarded to PPM, IBMR and Richtrau management for consideration.
Will these developments bring street lights to the communities?	Confidence Rebone Mmualefe (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Comment sheet received, dated 24 March 2012	
What are the plans for youth development in the community?	Debra Khwebe (Mononono) Comment sheet received, dated 30 March 2012. Prescilla Motlhabane (Northam) Comment sheet received, dated 23 March 2012.	Section 3.14 of the scoping reports describe the potential positive impacts of these projects, such as additional job opportunities, stimulation of the economy on a local and broader scale and direct investment in local communities through the implementation of Local Economic Development projects in accordance with the three mine's Social and Labour Plans. These positive, as well as potential negative, socio-economic impacts will be investigated further in the assessment phase of the EIA processes for the three projects and management measures will be developed to enhance
How will this project benefit the youth of the Mononono community?	Debra Khwebe (Mononono), comment	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	sheet received, dated 30 March 2012. Tsholofelo Chase Segone (Ntswana-le-Metsing), Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	positive impacts and prevent and minimise negative impacts. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
How will this community benefit from this mining project which is within 6km from here?	Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
What benefits will there be to those in need of food and clothes?	Confidence Rebone Mmualefe (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Comment sheet received, dated 24 March 2012. Seiphithhile Moate (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 12 March 2012.	
We want guarantees that money will be pumped into the communities otherwise we will ensure that this project does not go ahead. We should be kicking you out of here.	Shati Moeng (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
How will the community benefit from the mines? There used to be a pipeline here which was removed. We need water.	O. Motlhaga (Kgathata / Lesobeng) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012	
How will the community benefit from this project? The mine does what it wants and does not consult with us. We want proper benefits.	Molefe (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Section 3.14 of the scoping reports describe the potential positive impacts of these projects, such as additional job opportunities, stimulation of the economy on a local and broader scale and direct investment in local communities through the implementation of Local Economic Development projects in accordance with the three mine's Social and Labour Plans. These positive, as well as potential negative, socio-
How will the communities benefit from the projects?	Petrus (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
How will the Bakgatla-ba-Kgafela benefit from this?	M. Mmankgali (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	economic impacts will be investigated further in the assessment phase of the EIA processes for the three projects and management measures will be developed to enhance positive impacts and prevent and minimise negative impacts. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
I am annoyed about this project. PPM is far from Sefikile and I can't see how our youth will benefit from expanding the mine. Swartklip mine is much close but is doing nothing for us. PPM is the same. How will we benefit from this project?	Thumi (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	
We need to assess the SLP and mining charter.	Obert Myfana (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012	Your comment is noted. The individual mining houses should be contacted directly to obtain a copy of the SLP.
There is always water available for mining. How will PPM ensure that the communities also have access to this water?	Jerry Modise (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	The provision of water is a municipal responsibility. PPM is not a water service provider.
The supply of water to the communities and the number of water tanks should be increase as the current infrastructure is insufficient.	Dipuo Molefe (Mononono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
Water scarcity is a huge problem. We often get deliveries of water that is of poor quality. Can PPM assist us?	M. Molemane (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
PPM must assist in providing us with water as there is a severe water shortage. We don't want PPM to fill the pit. We sometimes have to wait until night time to get water.	Joseph Ntuane (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
There needs to be a transparent recruitment process. I would encourage the youth to go and get the necessary skills that the mine desires.	Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
How do community members participate in the procurement process (e.g. equipment supply)? Is there a process to follow?	Tsholofelo Leoto (Magong) Scoping meeting held on	Thank you for your comment. Both the Recruitment and Procurement processes are regulated by law and will be fair and transparent. The Companies will abide by all legislation and controls (response given by project proponents)

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	7 March 2012	
Why does the Social and Labour Plan (SLP) only focus on communities in the area, why is the Black Rhino Game Lodge not considered? Efforts could be made in assisting with saving the Rhino's.	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Your comment is noted, and will be forwarded to PPM, the IBMR and Richtrau for consideration.
You say that the project will benefit Black Rhino. It does not, it only benefits the community.		Section 3.14 of the scoping reports describe the potential positive impacts of these projects, such as additional job opportunities, stimulation of the economy on a local and broader scale and direct investment in local communities through the implementation of Local Economic Development projects in accordance with the three mine's Social and Labour Plans. These positive, as well as potential negative, socio-economic impacts will be investigated further in the assessment phase of the EIA processes for the three projects and management measures will be developed to enhance positive impacts and prevent and minimise negative impacts. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
The communities do not benefit, farm workers have no water. Economics out strides everything.	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
The community is only concerned about job opportunities, they do not care about the negative environmental impacts.		
Socio-economic issues: Relocation & resettlement		
There are currently people living on Magazynskraal. How does the mine plan to address this?	Moremi D Lesejane (NWPTB) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	There are on-going relocation discussions with the BBKTA. The identified people include cattle herders and small scale farmers. The mine is committed to a fair and transparent relocation process. As such a clear plan will be developed to accomplish this (response given by project proponents).
I would like to be part of that process.		Your request is noted and you will be invited to relevant discussions.
This is not the first consultation we have been part of. They relocated us during the Pilanesberg Game Reserve/National Park Project. Now it seems we will be moved again and we are uncertain of where we will end up. It's as if we will continue to be pushed around by different people.	M. Mmankgaki (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	There are on-going relocation discussions with the BBKTA. The identified people include cattle herders and small scale farmers. The mine is committed to a fair and transparent relocation process. As such a clear plan will be developed to accomplish this (response given by project proponents).
We were previously displaced due to mining projects but have still not received any compensation. How will PPM	Peter (Ramasedi)	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
compensate us for this mine?	Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	
Socio-economic issues: Land Use		
The community is bothered by the idea that a mine will be located on our grandparents land.	Pinky Thebe (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	Your comments are noted. Potential land use impacts have been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The historical ties to the land are noted as are the current agricultural practices and this will be taken into consideration in the assessment of land use impacts as outlined in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
You identified post mining land use changes as an issue needing mitigation. However, you left out pre-mining land use changes despite your maps clearly showing agricultural activity in the area. As affected parties, for us this is very critical because the proposed mines are threatening our livelihood as our land is used for agriculture by people who can never be employed by the mines even if you promise jobs for the affected. The proposed mining development has already impacted negatively on us because they have bought uncertainty and disrupted our plans for future agricultural activities. Use of the land for agriculture has been passed on from one generation to the present since the early 1900's and is expected to continue into the future with support from government and other structures.	Matshego (Aubrey) Matshego. Received via email, 16 March 2012.	
I have no interest in this project because you take our cultivated farm land as the Bakgatla and turn it into a mine without engaging with us as the affected community.	Morelle Tau Stephen (Saulspoort/Moruleng) Comment sheet received, dated 19 March 2012.	
Magazynskraal is the only land that is fruitful (fertile) for the people of Mamilwe (The greater Tsheolespoort) to grow crops and breed their livestock. Where are we going to get land as good as Magazynskraal that is rich in grazing crops and grazing livestock?	Mogapi Timothy Tsheole (Saulspoort/Moruleng) Comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012.	Your comments are noted. Potential land use impacts have been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The historical ties to the land are noted as are the current agricultural practices and this will be taken into consideration in the assessment of land use impacts as outlined in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
What impacts will the open pits have on the grazing of livestock?	Petrus (Manamakgoteng), Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	
There are people farming and grazing livestock on all of the properties mentioned for this project. If mining goes ahead,	Radikanyane Tsoku (Manamakgoteng),	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
how will these people be compensated?	Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	
We have always grazed cattle and grown crops on Magazynskraal which is traditionally our land. How is it that the king is not here to discuss these issues with us? The elders must first discuss this with the chief and the give feedback to the community. You cannot just come here and tell us there will be a mine.	Timothy (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	Your comments are noted. Potential land use impacts have been identified as requiring further investigations in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The historical ties to the land are noted as are the current agricultural practices and this will be taken into consideration in the assessment of land use impacts as outlined in Section 6.1 of the Scoping Reports. The results of these studies will be provided in the EIA and EMP reports along with relevant management and mitigation measures. You will have an opportunity to review these reports during the public review period.
I have cattle on Magazynskraal. How will the presence of the mine influence my livelihood? The mine will cause traffic, increased dust and bring excavators.	Modise Magoleng (Lesobeng-Kgamatha), Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	
Our farming activities will be affected by the presence of a mine. What will PPM do about this issue?	Jacobeth Pilane (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	
What will happen to the people who lose farmlands and are displaced?	O. Motlhaga (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	
There are number boards placed in the ground where I am farming. We were told that a shaft will be put there but we were not told anything about this. We need clarity on this issue. Will our farmlands be taken away?	Mmapula Medupe (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	In addition it should be noted that there are on-going relocation discussions with the BBKTA and the state (DRD&LR). The identified people include cattle herders and small scale farmers. The Companies are committed to a fair and transparent relocation process where necessary. As such a clear plan will be developed to accomplish this (response given by project proponents).
Is there alternative land set aside to relocate displaced farmers?	Meshack Pilane (Lesobeng-Kgamatha) Scoping meeting held on 8 March 2012.	
Mining activities have resulted in less rainfall which means we cannot farm anymore and support our families.	Shati Moeng (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
People from Lesetlheng currently use Wilgespruit and Magazynskraal for cattle grazing and crops, yet there are	T Pilane (Lesetlheng)	SLR has attempted to consult with the Lesetlheng community on numerous occasions. This includes the community meeting

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
plans to build mines on these properties.	Lesetlheng	that was scheduled for 5 March 2012, as well as attempts to
The biggest challenge is that the Lesetlheng people belong on Magazynskraal. History can prove that. The community is not kept in the loop.	representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	consult with the land users on Wilgespruit and Magazynskraal in February 2012. To date, all of these consultations have been unsuccessful. Today's meeting is part of the public consultation process that is currently taking place as part of the environmental assessment process for the proposed projects.
Socio-economic issues: Skills and Training		
Such projects should ensure that the youth are assisted in terms of skills development and employment. We had a forum with the mine which was working well but then it stopped. We require skills development programmes.	Tidimalo Kgatlhang (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	Thank you for your comments. Your requests have been forwarded to PPM, IBMR and Richtrau management for consideration.
The youth require skills development to empower them. This would be a more developmental approach.	Lerato Motsie (Magalane) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
I would like the proposed project managers to provide educational skills training, to develop our youth in different ways.	Relebogile, Selby, Molefe Lesetlheng Community Following postponed Lesetlheng Community Meeting 6/03/2012 Mantwa Merriam Mosikare (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), comment sheet received, dated 20 March 2012. Pady Kamogelo Moya (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), comment sheet received, dated 25 March 2012. Seiphithile Moate (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), comment sheet received, dated 12 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Tidimalo Kgatlheng, Thumi and Thabo Dube (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
We need skills development as well as upgrading of the roads.	Tsholofelo Leoto (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Thank you for your comments. Your requests/comments have been forwarded to PPM, IBMR and Richtrau management for consideration.
People in Bakgatla actually do have skills. PPM should stop hiring people outside these communities.	Johanna (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
People need to be given skills development so that we can get jobs when new projects such as this arise.	Willy Pilane (Lekutung) Comment sheet received, dated 6 March 2012.	
What skills will the community be given to benefit from mining in the area?	Mogapi Timothy Tsheole (Saulspoort/Moruleng) Comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012.	
Before the proposed Magazynskraal mine starts operating, why doesn't the mine train the youth so that when it is constructed, it can hire the local skilled people?	Kamogelo Moyo (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
Most people here at this meeting are unemployed despite living next door to the mine. Could the mine run skills development programs to enable us to be employed for future projects?	Unknown IAP (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
How can community members get involved in these projects and what benefits will we receive? Will skills development centres be established?	Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng), Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
How can PPM assist matriculants to further their studies?	Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng),	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
Socio-economic issues: Employment		
I like the fact that these projects are coming to this area. We want proper jobs and youth development. The youth need opportunities as the elders have had their opportunities and have ruined our lives. We want jobs so that we can change our lives and develop the area ourselves. We are not happy with the decisions taken by our elders.	Relebogile, Selby, Molefe (Lesetlheng) By fax 6 March 2012	Your comments are noted. Thank you for your input.
I would like to get employment from the project.	SKR (Lekutung) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
Will the mine hire many people on a permanent basis rather than a temporary basis?	Unknown IAP (Legkraal) Comment sheet dated 9 March 2012. Seiphithhile Moate (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), comment sheet received, dated 12 March 2012. Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng), Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	No additional employment opportunities will be created as part of the proposed PPM pit extension as existing employment contracts will be extended. Approximately 6 000 temporary employment opportunities during the construction phase and 4 000 permanent employment opportunities during the operational phase will be created as a result of the Sedibelo and Magazynskraal operations. Each mining operation has a combination of skilled and semi-skilled personnel. In each case (position) preference would be given to the local community if they meet the skills required for any given position. As such the current operating companies recruitment policies and procedures have been developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation. The future companies recruitment policies and procedures will be developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation (response given by project proponents).
At the peak of construction there will be 6 000 jobs available. Will these be temporary or permanent jobs? Will the jobs for the operations phase be permanent or temporary? Where will people be hired from for these jobs?	Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
We have seen many mining projects come and go but none of them provide us with the jobs that they have promised.	Jacob Pilane (Lekutung)	
There should be an equal balance and consistency when it comes to hiring people from different villages.	Comment sheet received, dated 6 March 2012.	
We are unemployed and need to be recruited for jobs.	Willy Pilane (Lekutung)	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Comment sheet received, dated 6 March 2012.	No additional employment opportunities will be created as part of the proposed PPM pit extension as existing employment contracts will be extended. Approximately 6 000 temporary employment opportunities during the construction phase and 4 000 permanent employment opportunities during the operational phase will be created as a result of the Sedibelo and Magazynskraal operations.
With regards to social development and transformation, jobs have been promised yet there are no supplier or tender jobs advertised.	Obert Myfana (Moruleng) Comment sheet received, dated 6 March 2012.	
Payment to secure employment during the recruitment process should be avoided as this only encourages corruption. Jobs cannot be bought.	Ketumile Teme (Lekutung) Comment sheet received, dated 6 March 2012. Lucky Phalatse (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	Each mining operation has a combination of skilled and semi-skilled personnel. In each case (position) preference would be given to the local community if they meet the skills required for any given position. As such the current operating companies recruitment policies and procedures have been developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation. The future companies recruitment policies and procedures will be developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation (response given by project proponents).
There is a lot of mining activity in this area yet many people remain unemployed. Will this mine provide jobs for people here?	S. Pilane (Ramasedi) Comment sheet received, dated 9 March 2012. M.D Mosikasi, S. Setshwane, Kenneth (Magalane) Comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012.	
How many jobs will result from this proposed project?	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
How many people will be employed from this project and what process will be used for recruitment? We were once taken for recruitment but did not hear any feedback. We need to know what skills the mine requires.	Nathan (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	
A project of this size will create many jobs. How will corruption be prevented in the recruitment process and ensure that the hiring process is transparent?	Lucky Phalatse (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	6 March 2012	
<p>Will people be hired according to their skills or could unskilled people also get jobs? Will age be considered in the hiring process or will people of all ages be considered</p>	<p>Lucky Phalatse (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012</p>	<p>No additional employment opportunities will be created as part of the proposed PPM pit extension as existing employment contracts will be extended. Approximately 6 000 temporary employment opportunities during the construction phase and 4 000 permanent employment opportunities during the operational phase will be created as a result of the Sedibelo and Magazynskraal operations.</p> <p>Each mining operation has a combination of skilled and semi-skilled personnel. In each case (position) preference would be given to the local community if they meet the skills required for any given position. As such the current operating companies recruitment policies and procedures have been developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation. The future companies recruitment policies and procedures will be developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation (response given by project proponents).</p>
<p>Will any jobs be available or will the mines bring their own employees?</p>	<p>Kay Sello (Lekutung) Comment sheet received, dated 6 March 2012. Lerato Motsie (Magalane) Comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012.</p>	
<p>Will the mines provide employment for unemployed communities and for students who have just graduated?</p>	<p>Thabo Lebotse (Lekutung community), comment sheet received, dated 6 March 2012.</p>	
<p>What channels should people go through who are interested in getting involved in these projects for employment?</p>	<p>Kidilemi Mopedi (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012</p>	
<p>This project will decrease the unemployment rate in our community and increase job opportunities if we were to be recruited and hired.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How will the recruitment process be done for the project? 2. What sort of qualifications or experience is required to be considered for recruitment? 3. How will we know when the recruitment process begins? 4. If one does not have the necessary certifications, will they still be considered for jobs or not? 5. Who is the contact person who deals with the recruitment process? 6. When the project commences and it is realised that local communities are not being hired, what must be done? 7. Is someone is not hired, is there a possibility to have someone to give feedback as to why the hiring was not 	<p>Julia M. Mpete (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012. Mariam Komane (Northam) Comment sheet received, dated 23 March 2012. Zacharia Dibatane (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 8 March 2012. Mantwa Merriam Mosikare</p>	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
successful?	(Rhenosterkraal/Magong), Comment sheet received, dated 20 March 2012. Shiela Mampu (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 15 March 2012. Pady Kamogelo Moya (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 25 March 2012.	No additional employment opportunities will be created as part of the proposed PPM pit extension as existing employment contracts will be extended. Approximately 6 000 temporary employment opportunities during the construction phase and 4 000 permanent employment opportunities during the operational phase will be created as a result of the Sedibelo and Magazynskraal operations. Each mining operation has a combination of skilled and semi-skilled personnel. In each case (position) preference would be given to the local community if they meet the skills required for any given position. As such the current operating companies recruitment policies and procedures have been developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation. The future companies recruitment policies and procedures will be developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation (response given by project proponents).
Last year Mr Hylton Allison spoke of 50 jobs being provided. The Department of Minerals in Mafikeng asked that this number be increased but instead it was dropped to just 20 jobs. Many promises are being broken all the time.	William (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	BPDM requested PPM to increase the jobs from 20 to 50. PPM responded that 50 jobs are not sustainable and 20 were (response given by PPM).
Socio-economic issues: Social ills		
The current proposed location for employee accommodation is unacceptable considering that 2000 people will be staying on site. These accommodation facilities need to be moved elsewhere.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Your comment has been noted. This request has been forwarded to IBMR and PPM for consideration.
There is a high influx of migrant workers. Where are they all going to be accommodated? How does the mine plan to handle this?	Obert Myfana (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	There is an approved accommodation block at the Sedibelo operation on the farm Wilgespruit 2 JQ that could house up to 3,500 people.
Waste Management Related Issues		
Is there currently a waste facility present on Magazynskraal/Sedibelo?	Sandra Mafisa (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on	No waste facilities have been established at Sedibelo or Magazynskraal. However the IBMR do hold a licence to develop a general waste disposal site on Wilgespruit Farm.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	6 March 2012	This is still planned for development.
Specifically with regards to waste permits, what are the mines proposed plans for when the three operations become one?	Charles Nemutandani (DWA) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	As indicated above, Sedibelo already has approval to develop a general waste disposal site as well as a general and hazardous waste storage and handling area. Richtrau and PPM will apply for similar activities, however if the operation is consolidated in the future then waste will be managed at one site.
We are concerned that the waste disposal might not fall under one mining rights' responsibility.	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	
Landfill sites generate bad odours and attract rodents.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Your comment has been noted. This issue will be investigated as part of the environmental assessment process.
Closure and rehabilitation		
What are the closure options for the Sedibelo open pit?	Chris de Bruyn FSE/ North West Ecoforum Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	Section 3.8 of the Sedibelo scoping report indicates that the open pit will be filled concurrently during operation, but the final void will be backfilled during the closure phase. This is in accordance with the approved EMP.
Is PPM happy with leaving the waste dumps to form part of the proposed Heritage Park?	Chris de Bruyn FSE/ North West Ecoforum Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	PPM, as part of its proposed closure objectives, proposes to contour and rehabilitate any remaining waste dumps in an effort to emulate the natural landscape as far as practically possible and create stable final land forms that will not significantly affect the heritage park.
How much backfilling has been carried out to date?		PPM has backfilled approximately 5% of the Tuschenkomst pit.
Does the MPRDA and NEMA ensure that rehabilitation and the effects of mining are inspected?	Petrus (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	Yes, it will be ensured that the commitments set out by the mine in the approved EMPs are adhered to. It is a legal requirement that a detailed rehabilitation plan be developed and implemented according to the MPRDA and NEMA.
How will rehabilitation of damaged land be conducted?		Section 3.8 of the Scoping Reports provides conceptual frameworks for closure and rehabilitation.
How will the other pits be used?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	All open pits, with the exception of the Tuschenkomst pit, will be backfilled and the area rehabilitated to pre-mining capability as far as practically possible. It is proposed that the Tuschenkomst pit will be flooded and will

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
		be used to store water.
Water supply		
I am using a portion of Rooderand 46 JQ for grazing cattle. A contractor from Boynton came and removed the water pipeline without consulting us. We now have no water for grazing or crop production. This is unacceptable.	Moses Molemane (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	Thank you for your comment. However, it should be noted that Boynton and PPM did not appoint a contractor to remove any water lines (response given by PPM).
I am concerned about the amendment of the SLP. Does this mean the PPM water project will be abolished?	D. Tlabiane (Mothabe) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	The amendment of the SLP will have no impact on the proposed water supply project. The EIA/EMP you refer to has been under review with the DMR and other government Departments since August 2011.
With regards to the water project that was previously discussed, would the assistance with supplying the communities with water start at the end of the new project or while this project is running?	Johanna Motaung (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	The EIA/EMP you refer to has been under review with the DMR and other government Departments since August 2011.
Will the community be supplied with water at the same time as the mine or will we have to wait until the mine closes to get water from their supply?	Mirium (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
We need answers regarding sourcing water from the previous projects before we can continue with this proposed project.	Tidimalo Kgathang (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	The EIA/EMP you refer to has been under review with the DMR and other government Departments since August 2011.
Land Claim		
The Lesetlheng community are based on the farm Kruidfontein 40 JQ and are currently in a dispute with the BBKTA regarding landownership. Lesetlheng owns a total of thirteen farms, which includes the areas that will be affected by the proposed projects, as well as areas around Sun City. The farm Wilgespruit 2 JQ is pilot case farm. If we are successful with this land claim we will continue with claims on the other farms.	S Rasepae (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	PPM acknowledges the land claim on the properties that will be affected by the proposed projects. However, PPM must consult with the legal entity and the current title deed states that the land is owned by the Bakgatla Tribe. Therefore PPM will consult with the Bakgatla-Ba-Kgafela Tribal Authority (BBKTA) as the land owner and all the surrounding communities as interested and/or affected parties (IAPs). If the land claim is successful, PPM will engage with the Lesetlheng community as the land owner. SLR will contact the official at the Department of Land Affairs in
We are not opposed to the mining operations. We want to see development in our communities. However we cannot let this process proceed as we are the rightful land owners of the	S Rasepae and G Maledu (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Sedibelo properties.	representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	Pretoria to ascertain the status of the land claim.
The BBKTA does not want to come to the party. During the days of Placerdome and Barrick the land claim was in an advanced stage, but now we don't hear anything. Sedibelo land belongs to Lesetlheng and we should be consulted as the key stakeholders. The official that we dealt with at Land Affairs head office in Pretoria was Vuyi Nxasane.	T Pilane (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	
The Lesetlheng community should not be overlooked because of the current land dispute. We should not be treated as outsiders.	S Rasepae (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	SLR has attempted to consult with the Lesetlheng community on numerous occasions. This includes the community meeting that was scheduled for 5 March 2012, as well as attempts to consult with the land users on Wilgespruit and Magazynskraal in February 2012. To date, all of these consultations have been unsuccessful. The meeting that was held with the community representatives is part of the public consultation process that is currently taking place as part of the environmental assessment process for the proposed projects.

TABLE 2: ISSUES AND COMMENTS RELATED TO CURRENT MINING / PROSPECTING OPERATIONS, PREVIOUS PROJECTS AND THE TRIBAL AUTHORITY

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Issues related to the tribal authority		
Why does the tribal authority send white people to us before calling a mass meeting? Why did they not send tribal elders to tell us to about the proposed project? People like Kobedi Pilane.	Mogapi Timothy Tsheole (Saulspoor/Moruleng), comment sheet received, dated 13 March 2012.	SLR cannot respond to this question. Please approach the BBKTA directly in this regard.
Is there cooperation between the mine and the tribal authority? A lot of damage was caused when the community forums were disbanded as everybody started doing their own thing.	Seiphithile Moate (Rhenosterkraal/Magong), comment sheet received, dated 12 March 2012.	Yes, there is co-operation between the BBKTA and the mining companies (response given by project proponents).
Can a platform be created to follow up on issues raised that have not been dealt with by the leadership of BBKTA?	M. Pheto (Mononono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	The current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects and cannot address any issues related to the BBKTA leadership.
The Kgosana lives far away and cannot come all the way for nothing. He knows about these issues but nothing is ever done.	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
I hope that BBKTA will involve the larger area with regards to business opportunities for the community.	Ntswiwe Phiri (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	
We are disappointed that the BBKTA only sent one representative to such an important meeting. The tribal authority should have consulted with us first.	Eva Thambe (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
The BBKTA is only what it is today because of its people. They should therefore care for their people but they do nothing for us.		
If our needs are not met we will unite as one force and (toy-toy) strike at the mine to ensure that we are heard. We are tired of being pushed around and taken for a ride.		
There are no benefits from the BBKTA. There are meetings announced on loudhailers, but the meetings never happen. I	G R Magule (Ngwedding)	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
am willing to sign my name and stand up for what I believe at a meeting with the BBKTA and Bojanala Municipality.	Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
The community is getting frustrated. We have considered destroying the mine so that we can all live in peace.	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	Your comment is noted and will be brought to the attention of PPM.
Technical / management related issues		
Please supply Platinum Australia with copies of the following documents: prospecting permits; EMP on prospecting permits; mining right; EMP relevant to mining right and scope change.	Gordon Ramsay (Platinum Australia) Email received 07/03/2012	PPM management will address this issue directly with Platinum Australia. The reference numbers for the applicable prospecting permits and mining right for the project areas are contained in Section 2.4.2 of each of the Scoping Reports.
Unless we can get full commitments from you that the mine will be run properly, we will make life very difficult for you and environmental lawyers will be involved.	Chris de Bruyn (FSE) Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	Your comments are noted and will be forwarded to PPM management.
I am being totally ignored. If you are running a public participation process, why can't you make me happy by meeting my needs?		
I have serious issues with how PPM mine is being run. The issues I have raised have been ignored. I have tried to take these issues up with higher management but have got nowhere. They choose to ignore me.		
Can I have a copy of the public participation records for the Magazynskraal prospecting EMP.	Chris de Bruyn (FSE) Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	A copy of the documents will be forwarded to FSE.
Are both platinum and chrome resources located on Rooderand 46JQ?	Alan Green (Black Rhino) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Yes. PPM holds the mining right for both platinum and chrome on Rooderand portion 3. The IBMR holds the mining right for both platinum and chrome on Rooderand portion 1 (response given by project proponents).
We have tried to work together with the mine, yet we receive nothing and nobody benefits. We have lost trust in the capabilities of the mine.	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Your comments are noted and will be forwarded to PPM management.
PPM needs to consider options relating to quieting the existing plant.		

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Procedural issues		
Did the same public consultation process take place in the initial stages for existing infrastructure?	Gabriel Masyane (Manamakgoteng) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	Yes, consultation took place for PPM's previous EIA/EMP reports, as well as the Sedibelo EIA/EMP report. Public participation is a legal requirement for environmental impact assessments. A similar process was followed and similar meetings were held.
Communication and trust issues		
It is good to have the presentation here today, but at the end of the day nothing ever changes. When Shibi was still doing the engagement with communities, there were forums, but that still had no progress. The community doesn't see the benefits of the mine, only the negative effects.	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Your comment is noted and will be forwarded to PPM management for consideration.
We recommend that community forums are established who will liaise with Pilanesburg Platinum Mine and note all our grievances as a community.	Shiela Mampu (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 15 March 2012. Meme Rebecca Moeng (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 11 March 2012	This request is noted and will be forwarded to PPM management for consideration.
We understand that Lerato is employed by PPM, but in future she must consult the community with my authorisation.	Pinky Thebe (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Your comment is noted.
What is the name and contact details for the community liaison officer at PPM. Where can they be found or contacted?	Bonolo Mothlabe (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	Currently there is no community liaison officer at PPM. Until such a person is appointed please contact Lerato Ramabulana on 083 561 0900.
There is no transparency between the BBKTA and PPM. The two parties have made decisions without consulting the community that will be directly affected.	Pisi Phiri (Ngwedding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	This comment is noted and will be forwarded to PPM management for consideration.
We do not trust Boynton therefore we do not trust SLR. Boynton does nothing for us so we don't want them or SLR here.	Cornelius (Magong) Scoping meeting held on	Your comment is noted.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	13 March 2012.	
Not everyone shares the feeling of hostility towards SLR and PPM as there may be benefits for some of us. Therefore we don't want you to feel unwelcome because of the comments that have been made.	Boipulo (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	Your comment is noted.
Last year the youths wrote LTP tests and some of us were asked to go for medical tests but there were strikes at the mine during this time. Can we get feedback on what is happening with this? We are still waiting.	M.P Ramogogodi (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects.
Lerato from PPM has forgotten about us. She does not do her job.	Simon Sithole (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	
Is there any way we can sign an agreement to ensure that PPM keeps to its promises? In the past we have been promised many things but they never follow through on their commitments. We don't believe anything they say anymore.	Rapula Mafuka (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
We would appreciate improved relationships between the mine and the surrounding communities. Maybe PPM could assist in canteens or accommodation for mine staff.	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
There is generally not enough consultation done by the mine. We are affected by operations but are not consulted sufficiently. This needs to improve.	Tidimalo Kgathang (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	
The BBKTA is the problem as they don't want to talk to us. On 28 June, sixteen people were arrested at meetings in Moruleng as they did not agree with the BBKTA.	T Pilane (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
		Pretoria to ascertain the status of the land claim.
There is a lack of trust. The BBKTA are dishonest.	T Pilane (Lesetlheng), Lesetlheng Representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	The directors of the applicant companies are aware of the current situation. In addition, they will be informed of the grievances raised during this meeting.
Our issue is with the BBKTA, especially the Kgosi, as they do not consult with anyone. SLR and Pilanesberg Platinum Mine (PPM) must take this information to the relevant directors of the applicant companies so they are aware of our grievances.	S Rasepae (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	
There is currently a commission taking place within the tribe. A decision is expected in December, and there may be a change in dynamics once a decision has been reached.	T Pilane (Lesetlheng) Lesetlheng representatives meeting, 26 July 2012	Neither SLR nor PPM was aware of this commission.
Legal compliance of existing operations		
Existing issues between PPM and the Black Rhino Game Lodge have not been resolved. Why should we believe what is included in the EMP. The EMP is meaningless. The mine must be held accountable. I feel like we are wasting our time, nothing will ever be done.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects.
Where PPM has made an effort to fulfil their promises, it has been on such a small scale, and old issues have not been resolved. How can we be positive about the proposed expansion projects if current problems with the mine cannot be resolved?	Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	
We have put substantial investments into the Black Rhino Game Lodge. We have tried to work together with the mine and have held numerous meetings with them in an attempt to find realistic solutions to the problems. The mine agrees, then notifies us that they don't have money to implement the measures that were agreed upon in meetings, but the mine has 170 million to buy a new portion of Sedibelo's mining right.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner), Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
<p>We are being overwhelmed. I want PPM to be held accountable for what they are committed to do as per their EMP. The mine is not complying with its approved EMP and as such I want a summons to be issued to close the mine otherwise it is just going to get out of control. The mine says nice things yet nothing is done. We need to take the correct legal steps to close the mine.</p>	<p>Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.</p>	<p>These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects.</p>
<p>To my knowledge the law stipulates that a water licence needs to be granted before any activities as per the National Water Act (No. 36 of 1998) are undertaken. PPM is currently operating without a license.</p>	<p>Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.</p>	
<p>I want to know what the mine is supposed to comply to, and what they have done.</p>	<p>Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.</p>	<p>The current PPM commitments are provided in the approved EIA/EMP reports.</p>
<p>The mine makes promises about implementing measures that may help reduce the current negative impacts of the mining operation towards the Black Rhino Game Lodge, however nothing is done.</p>	<p>Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.</p>	<p>These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects.</p>
<p>PPM promised to paint the silo at the mine in a colour that compliments the surrounding environment as per their current EMP commitment. To date this has not been done. PPM claims that they do not have money to paint the silo, however they do have money to expand the mine. Further to this, PPM also says that they do not have money to screen the plant, yet they stand to get 550 million from the proposed operation. We have reached the point where we want to call the media.</p>	<p>Deon Strydom (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.</p>	
<p>The mine needs to make more effort and start to comply with its currently approved EMP commitments.</p>		
<p>Blasting issues</p>		
<p>A per the agreement between Black Rhino and PPM, no blasting is allowed to take place on Saturdays. The mine is blasting on Saturdays again.</p>	<p>Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.</p>	<p>This comment has been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such blasting impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current</p>

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
		EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
Noise issues		
The trucks make a noise during the day and night.	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such noise impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
The noise produced during blasting is affecting the health of the community.	Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The direct negative impact on the Pilanesberg National Park is the constant noise.	Michael Crowther (NWPTB) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	
Traffic issues		
There are high speed incidents on the P50-1 and high levels of traffic near Lesetlheng, yet nothing is done about this.	Obert Myfana (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such traffic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
The current tar road (P54-1) is already a “no-go” zone due to potholes.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	
Trucks from the mine drive very fast and we lose many of our livestock through the increased accidents. We suggest that PPM assists in fencing the road to prevent this problem.	Moses Molemane, Andrew Phiri (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such traffic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
Mine vehicles travel at high speeds around the communities. We request speed humps to reduce speed and spraying of water to reduce dust. We have even lost children due to PPM vehicles driving too fast.	Ruth Motswegae (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	
The speed at which PPM vehicles drive on out roads is	Martha Leseyane	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
causing much harm to us. It results in increased dust, loss of livestock and presents danger to children playing.	(Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such traffic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
There was an understanding that PPM would be developing the road infrastructure. At the moment the roads are dangerous for our children to walk along. The mine trucks damage our roads.	Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The mine said that it would supply the community with soil to fix the roads, but when the community went to collect the soil the mine said they had no trucks to transport the soil.	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The mine serves us no purpose. We were promised that our roads were to be upgraded because the mine trucks destroy the road surfaces but this did not happen.	Jacob (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such traffic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
There was a road that was built by Malan in the apartheid years, but since then the mine has closed the road.	Pisi Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	It is unclear which road the IAP is referring to. The only road that has been closed by PPM is the Z536 south of Ngweding. A temporary fire break road has been constructed for public use until the new road (along the northern boundary of the farm Wilgespruit 2 JQ) is complete.
The P50-1 is shown as open on the map, yet in reality it is closed. The road network is very important in a rural community.	Mogale Mathlabe (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	The P50-1 has not been closed and is open for use by the public.
The road running alongside the pit has a 'use at own risk' sign. Does this mean the mine will not be liable if anything happens to us while using it? Even the fence next to the pit is sinking. We are concerned for our safety.	M.D Mosikasi (Magalane) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012	The road noted for use "at own risk" is only a temporary fire break road that is being used while approval is obtained to construct the new road to replace the Z536 that has been closed by mining operations (response given by project proponents) .
Biodiversity		
We have lost a lot of Black Rhino habitat on the northern slopes of the volcano, and we assume this is due to habitat	Michael Crowther (NWPTB)	Your comments are noted.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
disturbance from the current mining operations. The mining developments in the north as well as those to the south are putting huge amounts of pressure on the Pilanesberg National Park.	Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	
Water related issues (including flooding of the Tuschenkomst pit)		
The diversion of the Wilgespruit has negatively affected us as it causes the water to disappear. There is now no water for livestock.	Aaron Molemane (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	Your comment is noted. The surface water studies to be conducted as part of the current EIA processes will determine the state of the current environment as part of these studies.
There were two taps in our village but the mine contractors destroyed them.	Molifi Teke (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	This comment has been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects.
There was a promise made by PPM to give all stakeholders taps. What is happening with this promise?	Richard (Magalane) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	This issue is related to the water supply project. Previous scoping meetings discussions have centred around community stand pipes and not the promise of individual taps. This project is still under review with the DMR.
Will water pipes be installed for domestic use as well?	Peter (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
We still have not reviewed the studies on the proposed reservoir project. I am not happy with the fact that Platmin will have access to the rehabilitation fund.	Chris de Bruyn FSE Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	Your comment is noted. The specialist studies were included in the EIA/EMP report that was distributed for public review in 2011.
With regards to the water quality of the flooded pit, will the mine have a maintenance plan in place for several years?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	If the pit flooding project is approved, the mine will establish a trust that will be responsible for the water quality monitoring and distribution of water from the pit. There is also a treatment plant on the mine where water can be treated. The trust, which will include the Moses Kotane Municipality and the communities, will decide how the water is used.
What capacity of water will the pit hold?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	Between 50 and 60MI in total. There will be 1.70 megalitres of water per day available to be used by the community. A portion of rainwater can also be captured (response provided by PPM).
Will the stormwater management measures channel water into		Yes.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
the pit?		
During the public meetings for a previous Boynton project, we were promised that the mine would provide the community with water from a nearby pipeline. This did not happen.	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	This issue is related to the water supply project. This project is still under review with the DMR.
We need answers regarding sourcing water from the previous projects before we can continue with this proposed project.	Tidimalo Kgatlhang (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	
We are concerned about the pipeline running next to this community. What will happen if this pipe bursts? This will affect us despite the fact that we are not benefiting from the pipe being here anyway.	Lizzy (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	It is assumed that this issue relates to the Magalies Water pipeline. In this regard, all queries should be directed to Magalies Water.
Visual issues		
Credit needs to be given where it is due. The lights at PPM have been angled down.	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	Thank you for your positive feedback to the mine.
From a visual perspective, flashing lights at PPM are visible from the Black Rhino Game Lodge at night.	Alan Green (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such visual impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
A visual assessment was previously done on the impacts of lighting. That study was not done professionally, as currently experience negative visual impacts from the mine lighting.	Chris Basson (Black Rhino land owner) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012.	
Health and safety issues		
I have found livestock inside the mining area. We are concerned that our livestock eat contaminants which then make us sick.	Stephen Monometsi (Motlhaba) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	This comment has been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects.
There is an issue with boreholes that have been drilled and have been left open. This is dangerous for children playing near these boreholes.	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	This issue will be investigated during the environmental assessment process.

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Air quality		
There are serious concerns regarding dust generation at the current PPM operation. How many water carts are being run per day at PPM to suppress the dust?	Chris de Bruyn FSE/ North West Ecoforum Focussed meeting held 29 February 2012	PPM indicated that between 6-7 water trucks are operational a day at the current PPM operation.
Are there air quality stations in and around the mine? Where are they located and what do they monitor?	Mpho Molongoana (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	There are dust buckets at PPM and in the surrounding areas that measure dust fallout. There is also an internal weather station at PPM.
Does Bojanala Municipality receive air quality reports from the mine?	Sandra Mafisa (MKLM) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012	Not currently, however if the municipality requests the reports PPM would be happy to make them available.
The dust generated from mining activities has now reached an unacceptable level despite what the mine says.	Moses Molemane (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such air quality assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
The issue of dust is seriously affecting our health.	M. Molemane (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012. Simon Sithole and Martha Dube (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012. Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012. Mogale Mathlabe (Ngwedding) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
	Shati Moeng and A. Setswe (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
We request spraying of water to reduce dust.	Ruth Motswegae (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	These comments have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such air quality assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
The speed at which PPM vehicles drive on our roads results in increased dust.	Martha Leseyane (Legkraal / Bofule), Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012.	
The issue of dust is highly problematic and is mainly caused by speeding PPM vehicles.	Unknown IAP (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
What is the long term cumulative impact of the open cast mining on the community? The dust may compromise the immune system of the HIV or elderly as well as other members of the community.	Mogale Mathlabe (Ngwedding) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	
Socio-economic: Community benefits and development		
We always hear about the mines' new projects yet there are no benefits or jobs available to the community. We are all still unemployed.	Simon Thelede, Obert Myfana, O. Motlhaga (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	The current operating companies recruitment policies and procedures have been developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation. The future companies recruitment policies and procedures will be developed and implemented to comply with all relevant legislation and as such to be fair and transparent. Each mining requires a combination of skilled and semi-skilled personnel. In each case (position) preference would be given to the local community if they meet the skills required for any given position. The Companies are committed to abiding by the law (responses given by the project proponents).
What recruitment process is being used at PPM? This needs to made more transparent.	Tommy (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
We want to know how the recruitment process at Boynton works. We know nothing about this process. It is as if Boynton has something to hide.	A. Setswe (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
We sense that there is corruption. Who is being employed and how does the recruitment process work?	Pinky Thebe (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	These comments, requests and queries have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such socio-economic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes and will assess the potential positive and negative impacts of the proposed projects. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
At the similar meetings last year the community was offered food parcels, what has happened this year?	Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing), Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The community of Ngweding is negatively affected yet the benefits and profits go to the community of Moruleng. We want to follow the correct processes with voicing our complaints, rather than toy-toy like the Lesetlheng community.	P C Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
There is no transparency between PPM and the communities. We need to know the production levels and profits made.	Jacob (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
What does the mining charter say about involvement with communities? We want the mine to adhere to the mining charter.		
What are the community involvement programs that the mine proposes?	Bonolo Mothlabe (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	
From 2005, I have been participating in various workshops and formed part of the community forum but even today, we have benefited nothing from the mine.	Meme Rebecca Moeng (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Comment sheet received, dated 11 March 2012.	
The mines are not benefitting the community in any way. The impacts are negative and as a result they should close and give the community back its land.	Thebia Thembiso (Moruleng) Scoping meeting held on 5 March 2012.	
Since the mine was established 5 years ago, it has contributed nothing to the local community. The community depends on the environment, plants and livestock, and these have been degraded.	Pisi Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	
This is not the first consultation. PPM makes many promises which it does not keep. The mines are getting rich but the	Judge Molefe (Motlhabe)	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
communities do not benefit at al. Nothing is happening for us at Bakgatla.	Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	These comments, requests and queries have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such socio-economic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes and will assess the potential positive and negative impacts of the proposed projects. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
All of the benefits from the mine go to Moruleng only. Everyone should be benefiting.	Benjamin Moroke (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	
The community of Ngweding is negatively affected yet the benefits and profits go to the community of Moruleng. We want to follow the correct processes with voicing our complaints, rather than toy-toy like the Lesetlheng community.	P C Phiri (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
The mine does not assist the mine with anything. The mine only affects the community negatively.	Molifi Teke (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
The mine should cooperate and work with the community. There needs to be consistency with the development of the community.	Molifi Teke (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
We, as the neighbouring community to the mine, should be the most developed community. Yet the mine ignores the comments and questions from our community	Jamo Tsulego (Ngweding) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	
We are very close to the mine and are badly affected by its activities but the mine does nothing for us. We want to know why. We feel that the mine is only here to make money and leave us to suffer from the effects of the mining process.	Themba (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012	
It seems like the government only thinks about us because of the presence of the mine. If the mine was not here, we would have no electricity or phone lines.	Stephen Nomaele (Monono) Scoping meeting held on 7 March 2012	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
Socio-economic: Skills development and training		
How does the ABET centre function? The intention was that the mine would hire skilled people, and that the unskilled people go to ABET to learn the necessary skills. There are young kids from school that have been transferred to ABET and get educated there for three years, yet they are still not hired by the mine?	Dithupa Modibane (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	The mine has ABET training on site and supports the community with learnerships and other tertiary training off site (response given by PPM).
People are employed on a temporary basis. The community needs skills training. Some people have been attending ABET for the past 4 years, but they are not progressing. How will the mine ensure secure employment?	Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
PPM does not fulfil their promises such as the skills training that were promised for the youth.	Seiphithhile Moate (Rhenosterkraal/Magong) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012.	This comment has been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such socio-economic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes and will assess the potential positive and negative impacts of the proposed projects. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
The mine always says the communities do not have skills and that's why they do not get hired. Does the mine want to tell me that our community does not even have licensed drivers to drive the mine trucks?	A Setswe (Magong) Scoping meeting held on 13 March 2012.	This comment has been forwarded to relevant mine management.
Boynton needs to work with the communities and help us acquire basic skills. The mine needs to help not only Sefikile but all the surrounding communities.	Thabo Dube (Sefikile) Scoping meeting held on 12 March 2012	This comment has been forwarded to relevant mine management.
Socio-economic: Employment		
I can confirm to SLR that the local residents are not the main workforce and these projects are not benefitting the community on an economic, social and sustainable level.	Thebyane Tshepiso (Moruleng) Comment sheet received, dated 5 March 2012.	These comments and requests have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such socio-economic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes and will assess the potential positive and negative impacts of the proposed projects. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
		and develop management and mitigation measures.
Ramasedi is a doorstep community to PPM but we have no jobs. PPM should consider us first for employment. Maybe the mine could implement a form of employment quotas within the communities.	Refilwe Masilela (Ramasedi) Comment sheet received, dated 9 March 2012.	These comments and requests have been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such socio-economic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes and will assess the potential positive and negative impacts of the proposed projects. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
When the statistical analysis of employed community members is complete, we want to check that it is correct. PPM claim that they hire locals but their employees are outsiders.	Meisie Yende and Joyce Pule (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
It seems that nobody from Motlhabe is being hired by the mine. PPM is only hiring outsiders.	B. Morake (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The local people are not employed. There is one person employed by PPM in our village and other than that they seem to only employ people from the Eastern Cape or other villages.	Elliot Dibatana (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The community isn't getting hired by the mine. In 2005, Boynton said that they would up skill and train the local community. Nothing is happening and we, as the community, are getting frustrated with PPM.	Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
The mine should recruit and hire within the local community. There is a lack of cooperation between the mine and the community. Both parties should start engaging in a positive and progressive manner.	Meme Moeng (Ntswana-le-Metsing) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	
Current employees at the mine are not from local communities. Why are locals not given preference for jobs? We don't have money required to bribe people to get jobs at PPM.	S. Pilane (Ramasedi) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
It is very important to give preference to neighbouring communities for employment.	Simon Sithole (Motlhabe) Scoping meeting held on 10 March 2012.	

ISSUE RAISED	BY WHOM AND WHEN	RESPONSE GIVEN BY PROJECT TEAM (changed where relevant for the purposes of the Scoping report)
How many people are employed at the mine?	Mienie Farrell (Chrometco) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012. Nathan (Motlhabe) Comment sheet received, dated 10 March 2012.	There are currently 1500 people employed at PPM.
Socio-economic: Housing		
How many of the employees are housed on site?	Mienie Farrell (Chrometco) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	They are employed from the local community as far as possible. Currently no employees are housed on site at PPM. There is an approved accommodation block at the Sedibelo operation on the farm Wilgespruit 2 JQ that will house up to 3,500 people.
Socio-economic: Social ills		
There are increased general theft problems since PPM has started.	Michael Crowther (NWPTB) Scoping meeting held on 6 March 2012.	This comment has been forwarded to relevant mine management. However the current consultation process deals only with the proposed projects. As such socio-economic impact assessment studies will be conducted as part of the current EIA processes and will assess the potential positive and negative impacts of the proposed projects. These studies will assess potential impacts of the proposed projects and develop management and mitigation measures.
Issues relating to other mining companies		
There is an open pit at Rooderand from DCM mine. This pit is not covered which is dangerous for livestock and children.	Mack Diatshwana (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	These issues should be raised directly with the responsible company.
Prospecting boreholes are not being covered which is causing injuries to our cattle.	Aaron Molemane (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	
Waste water from DCM mine at Rooderand is causing health issues for cattle.	Aaron Molemane (Legkraal / Bofule) Scoping meeting held on 9 March 2012	

