

Black Mountain Mining Prospecting Rights Application: Geohydrological Specialist Study: VAALHOEK AREA

Report Prepared for



Report Number 549553/VAALHOEK



Report Prepared by



July 2019

Black Mountain Mining Prospecting Rights Application: Geohydrological Specialist Study: VAALHOEK AREA

EIMS

SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd.
38 Bonza Bay Road
Beacon Bay
East London 5241
South Africa

e-mail: eastlondon@srk.co.za
website: www.srk.co.za

Tel: +27 (0) 43 748 6292
Fax: +27 (0) 43 748 1811

SRK Project Number 549553/VAALHOEK

July 2019

Compiled by:

Gert Nel (Pr. Sci. Nat.)
Partner

Email: egoossens@srk.co.za

Authors:

Gert Nel; Eunice Goossens
Connan Hempel (contributor)

Peer Reviewed by:

Eunice Goossens (Pr. Sci. Nat.)
Principal Hydrogeologist

Executive Summary

Environmental Impact Management Services (Proprietary) Limited (EIMS) appointed SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd (SRK) to undertake a Geohydrological Desktop Specialist study as part of a basic assessment report (BAR) in support of a Mining Prospecting Rights Application.

Summary of principal objectives

The aim of this assessment is to assess the baseline groundwater conditions for the aquifer system/s within the Vaalhoek Area and to highlight possible risks to the groundwater environment accordingly (from a desktop perspective). The scope of work comprises a desk study in which potentially sensitive geohydrological features are highlighted, to investigate the potential impact on these (if any) and to develop management plans to prevent / mitigate any potential impacts.

Outline of work programme

EIMS appointed SRK on 12 June 2019 to conduct a desktop geohydrological assessment on six areas where exploration drilling is planned. The Vaalhoek Area, the focus of this report, is one of the six areas.

Focus on results

From the desktop study and information provided to SRK, by EIMS, the following are concluded for the Vaalhoek Area:

- Although the majority of the area is classed as a minor aquifer system with variable water quality and low expected yields, there are existing groundwater users for which boreholes could be the only water source. It is therefore critical that existing groundwater users be taken into account and that their boreholes are not negatively affected in any way.
- Any negative impact on groundwater and/or groundwater users, whether factual or perceived (complaints from surrounding borehole users) can have a significant financial and reputational impact on the exploration programme and subsequent mining.
- It is not possible to accurately predict the aquifers that will be penetrated when drilling 400 m or more and it is therefore important that support by a geohydrologist is provided before and during the drilling activities.
- Due to the lack of available information, such as hydrocensus information, exact drilling positions, drilling depths and drilling processes, only a basic sensitivity map could be compiled at this stage, incorporating areas covered by quaternary deposits (e.g. sands) and surface water / pans. This information was taken from available geological and topographical maps.

Potential impacts have been identified as:

- Degradation of aquifers;
- Impacts on existing groundwater users; and
- Impacts on surface water features (e.g. streams, rivers, wetlands, saltpans) – which may be recharged by groundwater.

Proposed mitigation measures include:

- Detailed hydrocensus (to include surface water features);

- Once the exact drilling positions are known and the hydrocensus completed, the geohydrological report must be updated and must include an assessment of potential aquifers that could be penetrated by the drilling and whether mixing the water of these aquifers can lead to degradation of any of the aquifers penetrated.

Assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge

Assumptions: SRK assumes that the main purpose of this desktop study is to provide a broad overview of what has been documented for this specific area in terms of the geohydrology. SRK further assumed that the planned exploration has not yet been publicised or discussed with the local municipalities, local farming unions, or any other private or public sector body. SRK therefore did not make contact with any private or public body in terms of the gathering of site specific data. SRK further assumes that a public participation process will be followed whereby existing groundwater users will be consulted.

Limitations: The potential impacts of any drilling activity on the groundwater regime will vary from site to site, even over short distances due to changes in geology and receptors. As no recent hydrocensus across the entire exploration area has been conducted, SRK did not have access to, for example, positions of existing boreholes, dependency on groundwater, specific water quality, depth to groundwater levels and borehole depths. The sensitivity map and groundwater management plan, as presented in this report, must be seen as working documents that must be improved as more information becomes available.

Gaps: Based on the information presented to SRK, by EIMS, the following information gaps have been identified:

- Exact drilling positions and drilling depths;
- On-site storage and handling of any potentially hazardous materials / substances on the drilling site, e.g. fuels (diesel, petrol, paraffin, etc.), oils and cleaning chemicals;
- Detailed hydrocensus within the areas where exploration drilling will take place – the hydrocensus must be completed by a geohydrologist / geohydrological technician who has experience in the collection of geosite data, as prescribed by the Department of Human Settlement, Water and Sanitation (DHSWS).
- Detailed scientific reports (geological and geohydrological) of the exploration area (if any) – sourcing these reports will require open conversations with private and public bodies, in which the purpose of the exploration programme and exploration areas will have to be revealed.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ii
Disclaimer.....	vi
List of Abbreviations	vi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Scope of Work and Terms of Reference.....	1
1.2 Legislative and Policy Framework	1
1.3 Statement of SRK Independence	1
1.4 Summary of Specialist Expertise	1
2 Project Work	2
2.1 Topographical Information	2
2.2 Geology.....	2
2.3 Hydrogeology	3
2.3.1 Groundwater Flow Directions.....	3
2.4 Receiving Environment	5
2.4.1 Existing Groundwater Users	6
2.4.2 Future Groundwater Users.....	7
2.4.3 Groundwater as Natural Resource.....	7
2.5 Consideration of related/significant aspect management plans in the area	7
2.6 Spatial Sensitivity Mapping	7
2.7 Identification, description and assessment of potential impacts	10
3 Possible Impacts and Mitigation Measures	11
3.1 Potential Impact 1: Degradation of Aquifers	12
3.2 Potential Impact 2: Impact on Local Groundwater Users	13
3.3 Potential Impact 3: Degradation of Surface Water (linked to groundwater)	14
4 Geohydrological Management Plan.....	15
5 Conclusions	16
6 Assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge	17
6.1 Assumptions.....	17
6.2 Limitations	17
6.3 Gaps.....	17
8 References	19
Appendix 1: Maps.....	20

List of Tables

Table 2-1: List of Properties that form part of the planned Exploration5

Table 2-2: NGA Dataset6

Table 2-3: Planned Activities for the Exploration.....9

Table 3-1: Potential Impact 1 - Degradation of Aquifers 12

Table 3-2: Potential Impact 2: Impact on Local Groundwater Users 13

Table 3-3: Potential Impact on Surface Water Sources 14

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Project Locality2

Figure 2-2: Water Management Areas (as per the DWS classification) – Quaternary Catchments inclusion ...4

Disclaimer

The opinions expressed in this Report have been based on the information obtained by SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd (SRK) from various sources such as the Department of Human Settlement, Water and Sanitation (DHSWS), the National Groundwater Archive (NGA) and others listed under References. SRK has exercised due care in reviewing the obtained information. Whilst SRK has compared the available data with expected values, the accuracy of the results and conclusions from the review are entirely reliant on the accuracy and completeness of the available data. SRK does not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions in the supplied information and does not accept any consequential liability arising from commercial decisions or actions resulting from them. Opinions presented in this report apply to the site conditions and features as they existed at the time of SRK's investigations, and those reasonably foreseeable. These opinions do not necessarily apply to conditions and features that may arise after the date of this Report, about which SRK had no prior knowledge nor had the opportunity to evaluate.

List of Abbreviations

DWS	-	Department of Water and Sanitation (before 30 May 2019)
DHSWS	-	Department of Human Settlement, Water and Sanitation (after 30 May 2019)
NEMA	-	National Environmental Management Act
NWA	-	National Water Act
MPRDA		Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act
WMA		Water Management Area
EWR	-	Ecological Water Requirements
GRU	-	Groundwater Resource Units
GIA	-	Groundwater Impact Assessment
BAR	-	Basic Assessment Report
EMPR	-	Environmental Management Program
EIA	-	Environmental Impact Assessment
GRA2	-	Groundwater Resource Assessment Phase 2
AGEP	-	Average Groundwater Exploitation Potential
SANS	-	South African National Standard
NGA	-	National Groundwater Archive
m amsl	-	metres above mean sea level
m bgl	-	metres below ground level
L/s	-	litres per second
mg/L	-	milligrams per litre
EC	-	Electrical Conductivity
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
GIS	-	Geographic Information Systems

1 Introduction

Environmental Impact Management Services (Proprietary) Limited (EIMS) appointed SRK Consulting (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd (SRK) to undertake a Geohydrological Desktop Specialist study as part of a basic assessment report (BAR) in support of a Mining Prospecting Rights Application, VAALHOEK AREA.

1.1 Scope of Work and Terms of Reference

The aim of this assessment was to assess the baseline groundwater conditions for the aquifer system/s within the Vaalhoek Area and to supply an indication of possible risks to the groundwater environment accordingly. The scope of work comprises a desk study in which potentially sensitive geohydrological features are highlighted, to investigate the potential impact on these (if any) and to develop management plans to prevent / mitigate any potential impacts. No fieldwork or site visit(s) were to be undertaken.

1.2 Legislative and Policy Framework

As per EIMS's request, the geohydrological desktop study is to satisfy the requirements of the NEMA EIA Regulations and the NWA WUL Applications, as well as the relevant MPRDA regulations. The **NWA**, Chapter 3, Part 4 states the following "*The person who owns, controls, occupies or uses the land in question is responsible for taking measures to prevent pollution of water resources*". This includes groundwater. The **MPRDA**, Part IV: Pollution Control and Waste Management Regulation states that the groundwater investigations may include an assessment of "*(iv) the vulnerability and existing potential use of the groundwater resource within the zone that could potentially be affected by the residue facility*". In terms of this report (focussing only on exploration and not mining itself) SRK will replace the term "residue facility" with "exploration activities".

1.3 Statement of SRK Independence

Neither SRK nor any of the authors of this Report have any material present or contingent interest in the outcome of this Report, nor do they have any pecuniary or other interest that could be reasonably regarded as being capable of affecting their independence or that of SRK. SRK has no beneficial interest in the outcome of the assessment which is capable of affecting its independence.

1.4 Summary of Specialist Expertise

Gert Nel is a partner in SRK, qualified **Principal Geohydrologist** and registered Professional Natural Scientist (**Pr. Sci. Nat.**) with over 26 years' of experience in the water and waste fields. Gert started off the first eight years of his career with the South African Department of Water Affairs (DWA) and was involved in geohydrological mapping, water supply and the permitting of solid waste facilities. He then joined the private sector where he continued his involvement in the water and waste fields, but also became involved in geohydrological risk assessments for private industries, including the fuel industry and mines.

Eunice Goossens is a **Principal Hydrogeologist**, registered as a Professional Natural Scientist (**Pr. Sci. Nat.**). Eunice has 20 years' experience in geohydrological investigations and started her career at Department of Water Affairs (DWA) and was involved in groundwater supply investigation as well as geohydrological research projects. She joined the private sector and continued her career in Groundwater Management, Groundwater resource development and evaluation, Geophysical investigations, Sanitation Groundwater Protocol Application, Groundwater database management and processing, GIS applications / mapping and Landsat & Aerial photo Interpretation.

Connan Hempel is a **Senior Geologist** and registered Professional Natural Scientist (**Pr. Sci. Nat.**) with over 20 years’ experience in academic training, mining and exploration. Connan started the first ten years of his career as a Geology Lecturer at the Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University Department of Geosciences. He later joined Anglo American where he worked as a Senior Production Geologist. Prior to joining SRK Consulting, he also worked for Elitheni Coal as a Senior Exploration & Mining Geologist.

2 Project Work

2.1 Topographical Information

The topography of the Vaalhoek Area is depicted in **Map 1, Appendix 1**. The area is located approximately 16 kilometres east of the town of Kenhardt, Northern Cape, South Africa. The area is subdivided into two portions and it covers 20 farms, over an area of 66 042 Ha (660.42 km²). Topographically, the western part of the Vaalhoek Area is the highest with altitudes in the order of 1000 m amsl. The area then drops towards the east to elevations around 900 m amsl. Minor, non-perennial drainages can also be seen in the area. The area is also characterised by several salt pans.




Figure 2-1: Project Locality

2.2 Geology

The terrain consists of flat lying plains with Cenozoic and Karoo-aged sediments overlying the Dwyka Group consisting of tillite, diamictite, sandstone and dolomitic limestone. The southern parts of the two project areas are further characterised by large dolerite intrusions. Alluvium and sand are mapped

along the non-perennial rivers and pan areas. Minor granite and gneiss occurrences are found mapped in the northern areas. Refer to **Map 2** in **Appendix 1**.

2.3 Hydrogeology

The following key information sources were consulted:

- Vegter, J.R., Seymour A., 1995. Groundwater Resources of the Republic of South Africa – Two Map sheets and explanatory brochure. DWAF).
- Parsons, R., Conrad, J., WRC Report No KV 116/98, “Explanatory Notes for the Aquifer Classification Map of South Africa”.
- Groundwater Resource Assessment Phase 2 (GRA 2), DWS, 2003
- Department of Water and Sanitation, South Africa, October 2016. Determination of Ecological Water Requirements for Surface water and Groundwater in the Lower Orange WMA. Groundwater EWR report.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. Hydrogeological Map Series of the Republic of South Africa. Completed in 2002”.

According to Vegter and Seymour (1995), two small portions, one in the western parts of the area and a portion in the southeast have a low groundwater potential of 10 – 20% with the remainder of the area having a groundwater potential of 20 – 30 %. These percentages indicate the probability of drilling a successful borehole (yield > 2 L/s).

DWS initiated a project in 2003, referred to as the Groundwater Resource Assessment Phase 2 (GRA 2) and focussed on the quantification of the groundwater resources of South Africa on a national scale. The project included the quantification of recharge, storage and sustainable yield of the aquifer systems throughout South Africa. The expected average groundwater exploitation potential (AGEP) in the Vaalhoek area is < 2 500 m³/km²/annum.

Based on the Aquifer Classification Map (Vegter), the aquifer is classified as a minor aquifer region – therefore being a moderately yielding aquifer system of variable water quality. These aquifers can be fractured or potentially fractured rocks which do not have a high permeability, or other formations with variable permeability.


The Vaalhoek Area falls entirely within the Lower Orange WMA (see **Figure 2-2**). The EWR report of 2016 covers the Lower Orange WMA and from this report the following information is deemed relevant to the Vaalhoek Area:

- The Vaalhoek Area have an estimated 30 – 60 % dependency of groundwater (i.e. domestic use, irrigation, stock watering, bulk supply, mining).
- The Vaalhoek Area falls within D53D and D53G which, according to the EWR report, is described as “metamorphic terrain of poor groundwater quality”.

Refer to **Figure 2-2** (the insert) for the positioning of the Vaalhoek Area relevant to the quaternary catchments. The expected electrical conductivity (EC) for the entire area is 300 – 1000 mS/m

2.3.1 Groundwater Flow Directions

In the absence of field measurements (water level data) and accurate elevations of boreholes, no accurate groundwater contour map can be compiled. If one assumes that the groundwater table will follow the topography and surface drainage directions, then the inferred groundwater flow is depicted in **Map 3, Appendix 1**.



Path: G:\PROJECTS\CURRENT PROJECTS\549553_EIMSGWRISKASS\8GIS\GISPROJ\MXD\VAALHOEK FINAL MAPS\549553_EIMS_Area 6 VAALHOEK - Map Water Management Areas_20190716.mxd

Revision: A Date: 00 00 2011

Figure 2-2: Water Management Areas (as per the DWS classification) – Quaternary Catchments inclusion

2.4 Receiving Environment

For the purpose of the geohydrological desktop assessment, and considering that no fieldwork has been conducted, the receiving environment of the Vaalhoek Area is considered to be:

- Existing groundwater users (via boreholes and springs, where applicable);
- Future groundwater users (via boreholes and springs, where applicable); and
- Groundwater, as a natural resource that falls under the protection of the National Water Act.

In the absence of sufficient data, aspects relating to groundwater recharge have not been taken into account. These include:

- Natural groundwater recharge areas (groundwater catchments); and
- Areas where managed aquifer recharge (artificial recharge) could be considered.

Due to insufficient data, the following receiving environments have also been excluded:

- Wetlands (if there are any in the area) that are groundwater fed - not all wetlands are partially or fully dependent on groundwater for sustainability, but due consideration must be given when boreholes are drilled within 500 m of a wetland, regardless whether the boreholes are for exploration, monitoring or water supply.
- Streams or rivers that are dependent on groundwater inflow – in many cases the base of streams and rivers sits below the groundwater table and are therefore experiencing lateral recharge from groundwater. Groundwater can therefore sustain the baseflow of a river or stream in cases where the water table (or perched water level) is located higher (in terms of elevation, i.e. metres above sea level) than the base of the river or stream.

Information provided to SRK, by EIMS, indicates that the farms listed in **Table 2-1** will be targeted for the exploration programme (Ref: Black Mountain Prospecting Work Programme).

Table 2-1: List of Properties that form part of the planned Exploration

No.	SG Code	Easting	Northing	Label	Area [ha]
1	C0360000000019500001	20.19715	-29.46355	1/195	4187.02
2	C0360000000019500002	20.21276	-29.42613	2/195	4216.12
3	C0360000000022600002	20.01977	-29.49107	2/226	4162.41
4	C0360000000023900001	20.00566	-29.61626	1/239	4864.55
5	C0360000000024000002	20.06113	-29.55755	2/240	1769.13
6	C0360000000024000004	20.06348	-29.60635	4/240	914.991
7	C0360000000024300001	20.47945	-29.49639	1/243	2574.28
8	C0360000000024400000	20.53372	-29.58047	RE/244	4134.55
9	C0360000000024400004	20.50702	-29.60967	4/244	1276.31
10	C0360000000024400006	20.47865	-29.63187	6/244	2351.6
11	C0360000000024400007	20.50579	-29.67646	7/244	2334.67
12	C0360000000019500000	20.25398	-29.48764	RE/195	1679.71
13	C0360000000019500003	20.28872	-29.54451	3/195	1686.63
14	C0360000000019500005	20.23316	-29.52341	5/195	1700.04
15	C0360000000019500006	20.26972	-29.52240	6/195	1669.31
16	C0360000000019700005	20.11607	-29.41856	5/197	2986.25
17	C0360000000042400000	20.44453	-29.54192	424	10520.7
18	C0360000000037800000	20.01116	-29.53423	378	1327.62
19	C0360000000038300000	20.43020	-29.58512	383	6154.77
20	C0360000000023900000	19.99282	-29.57756	RE/239	5509.03

2.4.1 Existing Groundwater Users

To capture all existing groundwater users a hydrocensus¹ will be required. The National Groundwater Archive (NGA) data for this specific area is outdated and the data can therefore not be used to accurately determine how many existing groundwater users there are, and what the water is used for.

Existing borehole information

A data search on the NGA² revealed 29 existing boreholes, of which:

- 17 boreholes had water level data – the average groundwater level was 17.22 m bgl³;
- 17 boreholes had recorded yields - the average yield being 1.06 L/s and the maximum recorded yield 4.73 L/s;
- 29 boreholes had recorded boreholes depths – the average depth being 45 m bgl and the deepest 96 m bgl; and
- 18 boreholes had recorded water strike depths – the average strike depth being 30 m bgl and the maximum strike depth 53 m bgl.

The data that was obtained from the NGA however dates back to 1949, with the most recent data being from 1991. Although this data provides some information on the use of groundwater at the time, it does not necessarily reflect the current number of boreholes and current use. As GPS (Global Positioning System) technology was not readily available in the 1940's – 1980's the coordinates of the boreholes are not deemed very accurate and would have to be field-verified. This information will have to be obtained by means of a hydrocensus across the entire area. Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference. provides the borehole information as recorded on the NGA. A plot of the **NGA data** is presented by **Map 4, Appendix 1**.

Table 2-2: NGA Dataset

Site ID No.	Other No.	Latitude	Longitude	Date established	Depth [m bgl]	Groundwater level [m bgl]	Reported Yield [L/s]	Waterstrike depth [m bgl]
2920DA00032	134471/6	-29.62594	20.50815	06-Feb-80	21.00			
2920DA00029	123670/1	-29.62593	20.50815	01-Apr-76	55.00	24.00	0.05	42.00
2920DA00030	123666/2	-29.62591	20.50806	24-Mar-76	15.00			
	574	-29.62591	20.50815	29-Mar-49	81.38			
2920DA00025	134472/4	-29.62591	20.50815	07-Feb-80	21.00			
2920DA00026	37390	-29.62591	20.50815	15-Mar-49	96.01	27.43	1.27	39.62
2920DA00028	37656	-29.62591	20.50815	11-Apr-49	77.41	27.43	0.42	36.57
2920DA00031	123667/0	-29.62591	20.50818	31-Mar-76	24.00	5.20	0.30	17.00
2920DA00033	60178	-29.62591	20.50819	03-Feb-56	78.63	36.57	0.18	43.58
2919DB00008	2919DB00008	-29.60644	19.95377		40.00	18.00		
2919DB00001	2919DB00001	-29.58369	19.96588		21.34	14.63	4.73	14.63
2920CB00001	144532/6	-29.58368	20.41593		36.00			30.00
2920CB00014	119194/3	-29.53370	20.44926	01-Aug-74	58.00		0.06	43.00
2920CB00012	120203/7	-29.53369	20.44926	13-Aug-74	27.50			
2920CB00011	120202/9	-29.53368	20.44926	05-Aug-74	28.50			
2920CB00013	119191/9	-29.53368	20.44926	25-Jul-74	30.50	12.00	4.26	24.00
2919BD00065	2919BD00065	-29.49564	19.99096		80.00			
2920AC00009	2920AC00009	-29.45039	20.19926	18-Mar-75	24.90			
2920AC00007	121604/4	-29.45038	20.19926	17-Mar-75	27.40	8.50	0.25	20.70
2920AC00005	114425	-29.45037	20.19926	01-Nov-72	32.01	11.58	0.53	24.38
2920AC00003	114416	-29.45036	20.19926	19-Oct-72	60.96	18.59	0.38	35.05
2920AC00001	106626	-29.45035	20.19926	26-Aug-70	46.02	16.46	0.91	42.67

¹ Hydrocensus – field survey to capture all existing boreholes, springs and dugholes.

² Maintained by the DHSWS

³ Bgl = below ground level

Site ID No.	Other No.	Latitude	Longitude	Date established	Depth [m bgl]	Groundwater level [m bgl]	Reported Yield [L/s]	Waterstrike depth [m bgl]
2920AC00008	121607/8	-29.45035	20.19926	18-Mar-75	30.40	14.00	0.26	22.50
2920AC00002	106627	-29.45035	20.19927	10-Aug-70	28.96	16.76	0.80	23.47
2920AC00004	114419	-29.45035	20.19928	31-Oct-72	60.96	22.25	0.13	53.34
2920AC00006	120257/4	-29.45035	20.19929	13-Mar-75	76.20			
2920AC00010	121608/6	-29.45035	20.19931	25-Mar-75	26.80	10.40	0.74	11.50
2920AC00017	2920AC00017	-29.44562	20.20781		80.00			
2920AC00014	157341	-29.43341	20.09870	16-Apr-91	18.00	9.00	2.81	16.00

2.4.2 Future Groundwater Users

The drivers for future groundwater development usually include the following:

- Existing boreholes that dry up;
- Increase in groundwater demand (e.g. population growth, economic growth, agricultural growth);
- Insecurity of bulk water supplies;
- Surface water shortages (as result of global warming, increased demand); and
- Prolonged droughts.

From a desktop study it is not possible to determine the future demand on groundwater, as the existing use and growth factors have to be taken into account.

2.4.3 Groundwater as Natural Resource

Groundwater falls under the protection of the National Water Act, and may not be polluted.

2.5 Consideration of related/significant aspect management plans in the area

SRK is not aware of any specific aspect management plans in the Vaalhoek Area, besides the regulations previously discussed.

2.6 Spatial Sensitivity Mapping

According to UNEP-WCMC. (2018),

Sensitivity mapping provides a visual representation of risks, and assets which may be exposed to them. Multiple environmental sensitivity mapping approaches exist, with methods and uses varying based on stakeholders' values, drivers of change, data availability, and the technical capacity of the users. Sensitivity mapping is often carried out using geographic information systems (GIS) technology. The amount and/or type of data used to produce a sensitivity map will affect and limit its potential uses. Nevertheless, environmental sensitivity mapping can have a wide variety of applications. These include but are not limited to:

- *Helping decision-makers understand where protection of valuable environmental assets is needed, which could aid the development of protected area networks;*
- *Informing governmental and private sector spatial planning at the project level, targeting activities to the locations where they will have the lowest impact;*
- *Supporting all stages of impact management, including prevention, mitigation, preparedness, operations, relief, recovery and integration of lessons learned;*
- *Aiding situational awareness and response strategy development for responders and decision makers during an incident.*

In the case of the Vaalhoek Area and in order to create a spatial sensitive map, the following are deemed of key importance:

- Exploration methods:
 - Drilling positions and drilling methods;
 - The processes that will be followed during the exploration phase; and
 - Implementation and auditing of the groundwater management plan.
- Receiving environment (for the purpose of this report, specifically groundwater):
 - Areas overlain with sand / alluvial deposits (quaternary deposits) – these are highly permeable and contamination may migrate rapidly⁴ towards groundwater and surface water; and
 - Proximity of surface water features such as wetlands, streams, rivers and earth dams.

Drilling Positions, Drilling depths and Exploration Processes

Exact drilling positions: According to Black Mountain: *“it is not possible to give details of the drilling program before the surveys and surface work phase 1 is completed. The targeting of all drilling activities will be dependent on the results obtained during the preceding phases of prospecting, namely the geological mapping and geophysical surveying and as such it is currently not possible to include a finalized surface plan showing the intended location, extent and depth of boreholes to be completed.”*

Drilling depths and drilling methods: According to Black Mountain: *The initial planned invasive exploration activities will consist of diamond drill boreholes drilled to appropriate depths to target any anomalies identified during Phases 2 & 3 of the non-invasive portion of the prospecting work plan. Percussion Rotary Air Blast (RAB) or Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling may be carried out for pre-collaring of diamond drill boreholes or for obtaining samples if significant depth of cover is encountered over particular targets. No bulk sampling work is to be carried out during this prospecting program.*

According to Black Mountain, the planned phases detailed in **Table 2-3** below will be used to investigate the prospecting area.

⁴ The migration of a pollution plume is dependent on (1) the viscosity of the pollutant, (2) pathway medium, (3) the volume of pollutant and (4) hydraulic head.

Table 2-3: Planned Activities for the Exploration

Phase	Activity (what are the activities that are planned to achieve optimal prospecting)	Skill(s) required (refers to the competent personnel that will be employed to achieve the required results)	Timeframe (in months) for the activity)
1	Non-Invasive Prospecting Desktop Study: Literature Survey / Review	Geologist	Month 1-12
2	Non-Invasive Prospecting Regional Airborne Geophysical Survey	Geophysicist / Geologist / field crew	Month 6-12
3	Non-Invasive Prospecting Ground Geophysical Survey and Geological Field Mapping	Geologist & field crew	Month 12-24
4	Invasive Prospecting Exploration Boreholes (6 RAB holes – 2400m; 4 DD holes – 2000m)	Geologist / drill rig team / field crew / laboratory technicians	Month 24-34
5	Non-Invasive Prospecting Compilation, interpretation and modeling of data	Geologist / Geophysicist	Month 34-36
6	Non-Invasive Prospecting Detailed Ground Geophysical Survey on individual positively mineralized targets to define possible extent	Geophysicist / Geologist / field crew	Month 36-42
7	Invasive Prospecting Boreholes to confirm continuity of mineralization & potential deposit size (20 DD holes – 8000m)	Geologist / drill rig team / field crew / laboratory technicians	Month 42-48
8	Invasive Prospecting Resource definition drilling (40 DD holes – 16000m)	Geologist / drill rig team / field crew / laboratory technicians	Month 48-60
9	Non-Invasive Prospecting Analytical Desktop Pre-Feasibility Study	Economic Geologist / Mining Geologist	Month 54-60

From the available information gathered during SRK's desktop assessment of the geology, geohydrology, NGA data and information provided by EIMS, the potentially **groundwater sensitive** areas have been restricted to:

- Areas covered by quaternary deposits (e.g. alluvial sands); and
- Existing boreholes.

Other sensitive areas that can be linked to groundwater include:

- Surface water features (e.g. rivers); and
- Salt Pans

The sensitivity map for the Vaalhoek Area is shown in **Appendix 1, Map 5** and shows the areas that have been mapped on the geological map series as quaternary deposits. Mapped rivers and salt pans are also shown. A buffer zone of 150 m from mapped rivers / streams is also drawn. The distance of 150 m has been taken from the DWS document "Groundwater Protocol for the Protection of Aquifers from On-site Sanitation". Although the current exploration activities do not involve the installation of on-site sanitation systems, in SRK's professional opinion, the protocol guidelines can be applied for this project⁵.

A hydrocensus of the targeted areas (farms) will provide key information pertaining to specific areas where existing boreholes, springs, rivers, streams and wetlands (groundwater fed) are situated. The spatial sensitivity map can then be updated.

2.7 Identification, description and assessment of potential impacts

From Table 2-3, the "invasive Prospecting" works (Phases 4, 7 and 8) will include Diamond Drilling with possible Percussion Rotary Air Blast (RAB) or Reverse Circulation (RC) for pre-collaring of diamond drill boreholes.

Aquifers are vulnerable to degradation during and following exploration drilling in the following ways:

- Exploration boreholes left open may lead to the inflow of contaminated run-off from the surface;
- Aquifers of good water quality may be connected to aquifers with poor and/or unacceptable water quality via the drilling processes;
- Aquifers with useable quantities of water may be connected to leakage zones / unsaturated zones; and
- Groundwater wastage can occur during drilling, negatively affecting nearby boreholes (groundwater users).

Waterways, such as streams, springs and rivers are also vulnerable to negative impact from exploration drilling activities. These negative impacts may manifest via contaminated groundwater, where the groundwater table is present at a higher altitude than the base of the river or stream and groundwater therefore feeds the stream or river. Drainage of contaminated water from the drilling processes may enter rivers, streams or springs directly. Contaminated drilling spoils that are left on the surface may be washed into rivers, streams or springs during rains.

⁵ The "safe distance" between any exploration borehole and the relevant (nearest) receptors will have to be determined and adjusted once the exact drilling positions are known

3 Possible Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The aim of this section is to make a preliminary assessment of any potential groundwater impacts that are likely to arise as a result of the proposed **prospecting activities**. It must be kept in mind that no details of the prospecting / exploration activities were available at the time of writing this report. Where reference is made to possible pollution / contamination, it refers to any contamination that can result from the invasive work, i.e. drilling. This may include, but is not limited to, on-site spills (e.g. fuels and oils), sanitation, litter and mixing of poor water quality with good water quality.

EIMS provided SRK with an evaluation format to be used in the reporting of possible impacts, the severity of the impacts and mitigation measures during the exploration phases. Based on the various evaluation criteria, the following potential impacts have been identified:

- **Potential Impact 1: Degradation of aquifers** (see Table 3-1)
- **Potential Impact 2: Impact on existing groundwater users** (see Table 3-2)
- **Potential Impact 3: Degradation of surface water** (that could be linked to groundwater) (see Table 3-3)

In assessing the potential impacts, and considering mitigation measures, SRK assumed that the drilling positions cannot be moved by a distance > 1 km as the drilling will be target specific and not random.

3.1 Potential Impact 1: Degradation of Aquifers

Terms used:

- **Mitigation:** To reduce the risk of the drilling activities having a negative impact on the aquifer system or various aquifer systems (to be determined) a detailed geohydrological assessment would be required for the exploration area and could have to be adapted for every drilling position. A qualified geohydrologist must form part of the exploration project team, to provide the necessary input and scientific support in terms of preventing / mitigating degradation of aquifers.
- **Pre-mitigation:** Refers to drilling in the absence of a detailed geohydrological impact assessment and no on-site geohydrological drilling control.
- **Post Mitigation:** Assumes that the proposed mitigation measures have been put in place.
- **Alternative 1:** In this case there is no alternative to drilling and therefore Alternative 1 = No Alternative.

Table 3-1: Potential Impact 1 - Degradation of Aquifers

Impact Name	Degradation of aquifers				
Alternative	Alternative 1				
Environmental Risk					
Attribute	Pre-mitigation	Post-mitigation	Attribute	Pre-mitigation	Post-mitigation
Nature	-1	-1	Magnitude	4	2
Extent	3	3	Reversibility	3	2
Duration	4	2	Probability	3	3
Environmental Risk (Pre-mitigation)					-10.50
Mitigation Measures					
<i>Detailed geohydrological assessment of expected aquifers and support during drilling phases – input to the EMP</i>					
Environmental Risk (Post-mitigation)					-6.75
Degree of confidence in impact prediction:					Low
Impact Prioritisation					
Public Response					3
High: Issue has received an intense meaningful and justifiable public response					
Cumulative Impacts					2
Medium: Considering the potential incremental, interactive, sequential, and synergistic cumulative impacts, it is probable that the impact will result in spatial and temporal cumulative change.					
Degree of potential irreplaceable loss of resources					3
High: Where the impact may result in the irreplaceable loss of resources of high value (services and/or functions).					
Prioritisation Factor					1.83
Final Significance					-12.38

3.2 Potential Impact 2: Impact on Local Groundwater Users

Terms used:

- **Mitigation:** To reduce the risk of the drilling activities having a negative impact on any existing groundwater user (i.e. boreholes) a detailed hydrocensus, followed by a geohydrological assessment would be required for the exploration area. The geohydrological report must include a risk assessment (source-pathway-receptor) of every drill site with nearby boreholes / springs in mind. A qualified geohydrologist must form part of the exploration project team, to provide the necessary input and scientific support in terms of preventing / mitigating impacts on nearby groundwater users.
- **Pre-mitigation:** Refers to drilling in the absence of a hydrocensus, absence of a detailed geohydrological report and no on-site geohydrological drilling control.
- **Post Mitigation:** Assumes that the proposed mitigation measures have been put in place.
- **Alternative 1:** In this case there is no alternative to drilling and therefore Alternative 1 = No Alternative.

Table 3-2: Potential Impact 2: Impact on Local Groundwater Users

Impact Name	Impact on local GW users				
Alternative	Alternative 1				
Environmental Risk					
Attribute	Pre-mitigation	Post-mitigation	Attribute	Pre-mitigation	Post-mitigation
Nature	-1	-1	Magnitude	4	2
Extent	3	3	Reversibility	3	2
Duration	2	2	Probability	3	2
Environmental Risk (Pre-mitigation)					-9.00
Mitigation Measures					
<i>Detailed hydrocensus, detailed geohydrological assessment and geohydrological support during drilling phases – input to the EMP</i>					
Environmental Risk (Post-mitigation)					-4.50
Degree of confidence in impact prediction:					Low
Impact Prioritisation					
Public Response					3
High: Issue has received an intense meaningful and justifiable public response					
Cumulative Impacts					2
Medium: Considering the potential incremental, interactive, sequential, and synergistic cumulative impacts, it is probable that the impact will result in spatial and temporal cumulative change.					
Degree of potential irreplaceable loss of resources					3
High: Where the impact may result in the irreplaceable loss of resources of high value (services and/or functions).					
Prioritisation Factor					1.83
Final Significance					-8.25

3.3 Potential Impact 3: Degradation of Surface Water (linked to groundwater)

Terms used:

- **Mitigation:** To reduce the risk of the drilling activities having a negative impact on any nearby surface water that may be linked to groundwater (e.g. wetlands that are sustained by groundwater, streams / rivers that are partly recharged by groundwater), the detailed geohydrological report must include a risk assessment (source-pathway-receptor) of every drill site with nearby surface water features in mind. The drilling must also be overseen by a qualified geohydrologist, who will also brief the drilling contractor on the possible risks to the receptors so that the drilling contractor can have a contingency plan in place
- **Pre-mitigation:** Refers to drilling in the absence of a hydrocensus (which must also detect surface water features), absence of a detailed geohydrological impact assessment and no on-site geohydrological drilling control.
- **Post Mitigation:** Assumes that the proposed mitigation measures have been put in place.
- **Alternative 1:** In this case there is no alternative to drilling and therefore Alternative 1 = No Alternative.

Table 3-3: Potential Impact on Surface Water Sources

Impact Name	Degredation of surface water				
Alternative	Alternative 1				
Environmental Risk					
Attribute	Pre-mitigation	Post-mitigation	Attribute	Pre-mitigation	Post-mitigation
Nature	-1	-1	Magnitude	4	2
Extent	3	3	Reversibility	3	2
Duration	3	2	Probability	2	2
Environmental Risk (Pre-mitigation)					-6.50
Mitigation Measures					
<i>Detailed hydrocensus, including capturing surface water and risk assessment report – as input to EMP</i>					
Environmental Risk (Post-mitigation)					-4.50
Degree of confidence in impact prediction:					Low
Impact Prioritisation					
Public Response					3
High: Issue has received an intense meaningful and justifiable public response					
Cumulative Impacts					2
Medium: Considering the potential incremental, interactive, sequential, and synergistic cumulative impacts, it is probable that the impact will result in spatial and temporal cumulative change.					
Degree of potential irreplaceable loss of resources					1
Low: Where the impact is unlikely to result in irreplaceable loss of resources.					
Prioritisation Factor					1.50
Final Significance					-6.75

4 Geohydrological Management Plan

The desktop study has highlighted potential risks and impacts that the invasive phases of the exploration (i.e. drilling) could cause. As the details of the drilling processes, drilling depths and drilling positions are not known at this stage, the potential risks that have been highlighted in this report is generic of nature and applies to exploration drilling in general.

The geohydrological management plan (GMP) cannot be finalised at a desk study phase as the identified risks and potential impacts are site specific and currently the final drilling positions have not been finalised yet. A GMP can be compiled for the Vaalhoek Area once a hydrocensus has been completed, also taking cognisance of the specific drilling positions and potential receptors.

Site specific information / instructions that will ultimately have to be included in the final GMP and overseen by an experienced and qualified geohydrologist (Pr. Sci. Nat. registered) must include:

- A description of the expected geological formations that will be penetrated and the expected aquifer characteristics associated with each geological formation – depth of the borehole will dictate the potential risks;
- Expected water qualities of each aquifer (associated with the different geological formations) that will be penetrated – depth of the exploration borehole will dictate the potential risks;
- An assessment of the potential degradation of the aquifers should variable water qualities mix;
- Surrounding groundwater users and the protection thereof: positions of boreholes, depths, abstraction rates, water quality and dependency of the owner of his/her borehole.

The following mitigation measures should be implemented as standard during the prospecting phase in order to limit the impact on groundwater resources:

- Ensure vehicles and equipment are in good working order.
- Place oil traps under stationary machinery, only re-fuel machines at fuelling station, construct structures to trap fuel spills at a fuelling station, immediately clean oil and fuel spills and dispose contaminated material (soil, etc.) at licensed sites only.
- Ensure that good housekeeping rules are applied.
- A procedure for the storage, handling and transport of different hazardous materials must be drawn up and strictly enforced.
- Implement and follow water saving procedures and methodologies.
- If boreholes are to be drilled to supply water for the staff or drilling processes;
 - Ensure the location of the borehole/s is selected to prevent a negative effect on the groundwater levels of existing boreholes.
 - Ensure the abstraction from the borehole/s is determined scientifically to prevent over abstraction.
 - Liaise with potentially affected groundwater water users and monitor any potential impact.
 - The distance between a planned exploration drill hole and a privately owned borehole is important to note, as it also affects the distance (pathway) that any potential pollutant must migrate to reach the borehole
- Monitoring of the groundwater quality during and after activities are completed.

- Portable chemical toilets must be used during the exploration phase.
- Mud pits (if to be used) must be lined and properly covered with impermeable material after completion of exploration boreholes
- Cap and seal all exploration boreholes to prevent surface water from entering the borehole.

It is not currently known whether groundwater from boreholes is considered to be utilised during the prospecting phase. It is anticipated that water will be brought onto site and trucked to the identified drill sites.

During exploration drilling the following information must be recorded and reported on:

- a) Aquifer type;
- b) Depths to first water strike;
- c) Depths to deeper water zones;
- d) Salinity of water strike zones (EC measurement with field probe);
- e) Strike yields;
- f) Standing water level (allow several hours after completion); and
- g) Hole completion details (e.g. cement / bentonite plug, backfill material, bore cap, bore number and coordinates).

5 Conclusions

From the desktop study and information provided to SRK by EIMS, the following are concluded for the Vaalhoek Area:

- Although the majority of the area is being classed as a poor aquifer system with potentially poor water quality and low expected yields, there are existing groundwater users for which boreholes could be their only water source. It is therefore critical that existing groundwater users be taken into account and that their boreholes are not negatively affected in any way.
- Any negative impact on groundwater and/or groundwater users, whether factual or perceived (complaints from surrounding borehole users) can have a significant financial and reputational impact on the exploration programme and subsequent mining.
- It is not possible to accurately predict the aquifers that will be penetrated when drilling 400 m or more and it is therefore important that support by a geohydrologist is provided before and during the drilling activities.
- Due to the lack of information, such as hydrocensus information, exact drilling positions and drilling depths, a very basic sensitivity map could be compiled at this stage, incorporating areas covered by quaternary deposits (e.g. sands) and surface water / pans. This information was taken from available geological and topographical maps.

6 Assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge

6.1 Assumptions

SRK assumes that the main purpose of this desktop study is to provide a broad overview of what has been documented for this specific area in terms of the geohydrology. SRK further assumed that the planned exploration has not yet been publicised or discussed with the local municipalities, local farming unions, or any other private or public sector body. SRK did therefore not make contact with any private or public body in terms of the gathering of site specific data. The information on which the desktop study is based, is therefore mainly the available information from the Department of Human Settlement, Water and Sanitation, on a national scale, and published reports that we could source. SRK further assumes that a public participation process will be followed whereby existing groundwater users will be included.

6.2 Limitations

The potential impacts of any drilling activity on the groundwater regime will vary from site to site, even over short distances due to changes in geology and receptors. As no recent hydrocensus across the entire exploration area has been conducted, SRK did not have access to, for example, positions of existing boreholes, dependency on groundwater, specific water quality, depth to groundwater levels and borehole depths. The sensitivity map and groundwater management plan, as presented in this report, must be seen as working documents that must be improved as more information becomes available.

6.3 Gaps

Based on the information presented to SRK, by EIMS, the following information gaps have been identified:

- Exact drilling positions and drilling depths;
- Storage and handling of any potentially hazardous materials / substances on the drilling site, e.g. fuels (diesel, petrol, paraffin, etc.), oils and cleaning chemicals;
- Detailed hydrocensus within the areas where exploration drilling will take place – the hydrocensus must be completed by a geohydrologist / geohydrological technician who has experience in the collection of geosite data, as prescribed by the DWS.
- Detailed scientific reports (geological and geohydrological) of the exploration area (if any) – sourcing these reports will require open conversations with private and public bodies, in which the purpose of the exploration programme and exploration areas will have to be revealed.

A **detailed geohydrological assessment** is required prior to any invasive exploration work (e.g. drilling).

Prepared by

SRK Consulting - Certified Electronic Signature



549553/43661/Report

4019-3840-8655-NELG-17/07/2019

This signature has been printed digitally. The Author has given permission for its use for this document. The details are stored in the SRK Signature Database



Gert Nel (Pr. Sci. Nat.)

Partner

Reviewed by

SRK Consulting - Certified Electronic Signature



549553_EIMSGWRISKASS/43660/Report

3302-4660-5490-GOOS-16/07/2019

This signature has been printed digitally. The Author has given permission for its use for this document. The details are stored in the SRK Signature Database



Eunice Goossens (Pr. Sci. Nat.)

Principal Hydrogeologist

All data used as source material plus the text, tables, figures, and attachments of this document have been reviewed and prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering and environmental practices.

8 References

Department of Water Affairs. (February 2006). *Groundwater Resources Assessment Phase II, Project No. 3003-150.*

Department of Water Affairs. (March 2003). *A Protocol to manage the potential of groundwater contamination from on site sanitation, 2nd Ed.*

Department of Water Affairs. (March 2006). *A guideline for the assessment, planning and management of groundwater resources in South Africa.*

Directorate Catchment Management, DWA, GIS and Cartographic: Helena Fourie. (n.d.). *1: 2 000 000 Water Management areas of the Republic of South Africa.*

P.H. Macey, H. S. (2011). *The Geology of the Loeriesfontein Area, Explanation Sheet 3018.*

Appendix 1: Maps

Map 1 - Topography

Map 2 – Geology

Map 3 – Groundwater Flow Directions

Map 4 – NGA Data

Map 5 – Sensitivity Map

SRK Report Distribution Record

Report No.


549553/VAALHOEK

Name/Title	Company	Copy	File Type	Date	Authorised by
Mr. GP Kriel	EIMS	1	Electronic	16 July 2019	E. Goossens
SRK Electronic	SRK Consulting	2	Electronic	16 July 2019	E. Goossens

Approval Signature:



This report is protected by copyright vested in SRK (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd. It may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means whatsoever to any person without the written permission of the copyright holder, SRK.





- Legend**
- Application area
 - Target Farm
 - Drainage Region
- Altitude [m amsl]**
- < 840
 - 841 - 860
 - 861 - 880
 - 881 - 900
 - 901 - 920
 - 921 - 940
 - 941 - 960
 - 961 - 980
 - 981 - 1000
 - 1001 - 1020
 - 1021 - 1040
 - 1041 - 1060
 - > 1061
- Non perennial river
 - Non-perennial river bed / Pan / Saltpan / Marsh

Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community

Data Source:	
Scale: 1:235,000	
Projection:	Datum: HH94
Central Meridian/Zone:	
Date:	Compiled by:
15/07/2019	GOOS
Project No:	Fig No:
549553	MAP1
Revision: A Date: 14 06 2019	



Black Mountain Mining - VAALHOEK
MAP 1: TOPOGRAPHY




Code	Unit	Description
		- Alluvium
Q:		- Sand, redbrown sandy soil
Tec / T-c:		- Calcrete
J-d:		- Dolerite
C-Pd:	Dwyka Group	- Tillite, diamictite, subordinate sandstone, mudstone and dolomitic limestone
Mbj:	Basjan Granite	- Leucocratic, medium to coarse-grained, foliated granite gneiss
Mvl:	Vaalhoek Granite	- Foliated, fine- to medium-grained, dark-weathered biotite granite
Mbs:	Bossiekom Formation	- Yellow- to red-brown-weathering, medium-grained gneiss; lenses of amphibolite, calc-silicate rocks and quartzite


- Legend**
- Application area
 - Target Farm
 - Non perennial river
 - Non-perennial river bed / Pan / Saltpan / Marsh

Data Source:
 Scale: 1:235,000
 Projection: Datum: HH94
 Central Meridian/Zone:

Date: 15/07/2019
 Compiled by: GOOS
 Project No: 549553
 Fig No: MAP2
 Revision: A Date: 14 06 2019



Data Source:	
Scale: 1:235,000	
Projection:	Datum: HH94
Central Meridian/Zone:	
Date:	Compiled by:
15/07/2019	GOOS
Project No:	Fig No:
549553	MAP3
Revision: A Date: 14 06 2019	





- Legend**
- Application area
 - Target Farm
 - Non perennial river
 - Non-perennial river bed / Pan / Saltpan / Marsh
 - NGA Existing borehole plot

Data Source:	
Scale: 1:235,000	
Projection:	Datum: HH94
Central Meridian/Zone:	

Date:	Compiled by:
15/07/2019	GOOS
Project No:	Fig No:
549553	MAP4



Black Mountain Mining - VAALHOEK
MAP 4: EXISTING BOREHOLE PLOT



- Legend**
- Application area
 - Target Farm
 - Non perennial river
 - Non-perennial river bed / Pan / Saltpan / Marsh
 - Quaternary Deposits
 - 150 m bufferzone from rivers

Data Source:	
Scale: 1:235,000	
Projection:	Datum: HH94
Central Meridian/Zone:	

Date:	Compiled by:
15/07/2019	GOOS
Project No:	Fig No:
549553	MAP5



Black Mountain Mining - VAALHOEK
MAP 5: SENSITIVE AREAS