

Nature and Consequences of impact		Duration / Frequency of activity likely to cause impact	Geographical Extent	Severity (level of damage caused) if impact were to occur	Probability of impact without mitigation	Significance before application of Mitigation Measures	Will activity cause irreplaceable loss of resources?	Mitigation	Probability of impact after mitigation	Significance after application of Mitigation Measures
The following table rates impacts after the application of mitigation measures and operates on a scale of 0-14. A score of between 1 and 5 is rated as low. A score of between 6 and 10 is rated as medium. A score of between 11 and 14 is rated as high.		0 = No impact 1 = short term / once off 2 = medium term / during operation 3 = long term / permanent	0 = No impact 1 = point of impact / restricted to site 2 = local / surrounding area 3 = regional	0 = No impact 1 = minor; 3 = medium 5 = major	0 = No impact 1 = Low 2 = Medium 3 = High	1 - 5 = low. 6 - 10 = medium. 11 - 14 = high.	10 = Yes = No	0 = No impact - 5 = can be fully mitigated - 3 = can be partially mitigated - 1 = unable to be mitigated	0 = No impact 1 = Low 2 = Medium 3 = High	1 - 5 = low. 6 - 10 = medium. 11 - 14 = high.
		A	B	C	D	Significance	E	F	G	Significance
Z	31. There is a greater potential for erosion to take place within the Mvoti River and its associated riparian and wetland areas, resulting in downstream sedimentation of this eroded material.	2	2	4	3	11	0	-3	1	9
	32. Pier obstructing flow within the watercourses. This increases the potential for erosion to take place within the Mvoti River and its associated riparian and wetland areas, resulting in downstream sedimentation of this eroded material.	3	2	4	3	12	0	-1	3	14
AA	33. Having a raised pipe above the surface level would expose the pipe to flood damage and consequential ongoing maintenance and service disruption.	3	1	3	3	10	0	-3	1	8
	34. The exposed pipes will detract from the aesthetics of the surround area.	3	2	3	3	11	0	-1	1	11