

Additional Information Document

SPITZ LAND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT, ROODEPOORT, REFERENCE: GAUT 002/11-12/E0248



Prepared by:

Lizelle Prosch

Marsh Africa | Marsh Risk Consulting

Corner 5th Street and Fredman Drive, Sandton, 2146

PO Box 781692, Sandton, 2147



This additional information document was prepared in response to the enquiry received from the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development on 12 June 2014. The following information was requested:

- 1. Proof of the newspaper advert placed for the Environmental Impact Assessment phase.
- 2. A record of the comments / feedback from City of Johannesburg Municipality.
- 3. A record of the comments received from the National Nuclear Regulator.
- 4. A record of the comments received from the Department of Water Affairs.

1. Environmental Impact Assessment Phase Advertisement

A newspaper advertisement was not placed at the initiation of the environmental impact assessment phase. During project initiation and as part of the Scoping Phase of the project, a newspaper advertisement was placed in the body of the Roodepoort Rekord and the legal section of The Sowetan on 1 March 2012, additional, during this time:

- Regulation size (A2) site notices were placed at five locations.
- Background information documents were distributed to residents and occupiers of land within 100m of the boundaries of the proposed development site extending an invitation to participate in the scoping & environmental impact assessment process.
- Stakeholders including authorities and organs of state that have jurisdiction in the area were notified.
- A meeting between the Environmental Assessment Practitioner, Ward Councillor and members of City of Johannesburg Housing Department was held on 1 March 2011.
- A register of Interested and Affected Parties was opened and maintained.

Stakeholder where requested to register to take part in the consultation process. The following persons / organisations registered:

Stakeholder / I&AP	Contact Person	
DRD Gold*	N Lane	
Mintails Limited**	P Walters	
	S Blaauw	
West Wits Mining**	J Doman	
Johannesburg Social Housing Company (JOSHCO)*	J Maluleke	
Rand Leases Properties**	O Jones	
Ward 127 – Ward Councillor (project area)**	Sabelo Ngcana	
COJ Region 3 Ward Governance*	N Sithole	
Ward 71 – Ward Councillor*	G Niemand	
Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) – Mine Health & Safety*	P Kelley	
National Nuclear Regulator (NNR)**	P Mohajane	
Department of Water Affairs (DWA)*	P Khwinana	
City of Johannesburg Environmental Impact Management**	D Sibeko	
Johannesburg Roads Agency*	-	
Eskom*	Servitude management	
Transnet Pipelines*	Servitude manager	
	M.T Hadebe	
Ikemisetseng Poultry Agricultural Primary	J Letjoko	
Co-operative***	W Honono	

Stakeholder / I&AP	Contact Person
	G Molapo
Akme Development Agency***	-
Andre du Toit Town and Regional Planners***	A du Toit
Roodepoort Chamber of Commerce and Industry*	-
RBA Housing*	J Maluleke
Sol Plaatjes community leader***	N Mgxaji
Individual***	T A Skhosana
Individual***	S Ngwenya

As there is no specific requirements included in either the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Government Notice 543 of 2010 and / or the Public Participation Guideline Government Notice 807 of 2012. Therefore, a second newspaper advertisement to announce the initiation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Phase was not placed as the registered stakeholder list was reviewed and, in the opinion of the environmental assessment practitioner, found to include all major key stakeholders.

During the environmental impact assessment phase, additional efforts was made to ensure that the potentially directly affected communities notified and copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment where delivered to the Matholesville Skills Development Centre and the Sol Plaatje Community Hall during which time the development project was explained to community representatives.

An additional key stakeholder discussion was also held with Ms Nozipho Dlamini at the Mandela Crisis Centre to discuss the impact on Ikemisetseng Poultry Agricultural Primary Co-operative subsistence farming project which lead to a commitment by the applicant to consider the offset of perceived economic displacement related to the existing affected subsistence farmers through a financial contribution to the Mandela Crisis Centre.

2. Comment from the City of Johannesburg Municipality

Comments on the Environmental Impact Assessment Report were received from the City of Johannesburg - Environment Infrastructure and Services Department on the 13th of June 2014. The comments and responses are summarised in the table below. A copy of the comments received is attached to this document (kindly refer to Addendum A).

Comment	Response
All the areas identified as Botanical Sensitive / Floristic Habitat Sensitive, both High sensitive and Medium-high sensitive, must be excluded from the proposed development.	The proposed development layout plan takes into account the proposed PRASA commuter rail line which traverses the proposed development site and will affect a portion of the area identified to have a high botanical sensitivity. Areas adjacent to of the railway are therefore proposed for development taking into considering the anticipated future impact.
	Areas associated with the ridge and watercourses are included as public open space to enable a sizable unit allocation with a view to establish ecological connectively.

Comment	Response
Sensitive areas, together with delineated wetland and its 30 meter buffer, must be overlaid with updated development plan/layout plan, and excluded from the proposed development.	The layout plan in include in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report indicates the ridge as well as the wetland and associated buffers. The plan has been updated to now also include the high and medium-high botanical sensitivity areas as determined in the Biodiversity Assessment (compiled by Bathusi Environmental Consulting, 2012). The updated overlay is attached to this document as Addendum C.
The development plan must show clearly where the storm-water will be attenuated, bearing in mind that attenuation structures will not be supported inside the wetland areas as well as its 30m buffer zone.	The detailed stormwater design is in the process of being developed. The information is not yet available. The comment that attenuation structures will not be supported within the wetland and / or buffer zone is duly noted.
	In the event that the wetland is affected, an application for a water use license will be required. During this application process, additional consultation will be undertaken with the City of Johannesburg.
Where roads will cross the wetland, a span bridge will be most recommended.	This recommendation will be submitted to the project engineers. All activities that affect the water course are subject to a Water Use License and during this application process, additional consultation will be undertaken with the City of Johannesburg.
A composite development plan, taking into consideration all sensitivities and designs mentioned above, must be compiled and submitted to Environmental and Infrastructure Services Department (EISD) for comment and endorsement approval.	The layout plan in include in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report indicates the ridge as well as the wetland and associated buffers. The plan has been updated to now also include the high and medium-high botanical sensitivity areas as determined in the Biodiversity Assessment (compiled by Bathusi Environmental Consulting, 2012). The updated overlay is attached to this document as Addendum B.
All recommendations made in the specialist reports, namely; Air Quality Impact Assessment (page 4), Traffic Impact Study (page 38), and Heritage Study (page 27) must be strictly adhered to.	All mitigation and management measures included in the specialist reports have been considered in the development of the Environmental Management Programme. Tis comment is duly noted.
Building designs for the proposed development must conform to energy efficient building design standards.	Noted. The project application will be made aware of this requirement. Based on site specific development plans, additional measures will be included in the Environmental Management Programme throughout the construction phase of the project as and when appropriate.
Measures to conserve energy must be	The project application has been made aware of

Comment	Response
implemented during all phases of the project.	this requirement. Additional measures will be included in the Environmental Management Programme throughout the construction phase of the project as and when appropriate.
Mine dumps should be grassed to prevent dust from emanating from it while dirt roads should be watered regularly.	Some vegetation regrowth has occurred on the mine residue deposits. The mine residue deposits are currently owned by Mintails and additional measures to establish vegetation on these dumps will be discussed.
	Dust generation avoidance and suppression measures have been included in the Environmental Management Programme.
Township development within 250 metres from the mine dumps should not be allowed	The Air Quality Study that have been undertaken as part of the environmental impact assessment process specifically assessed health risk associated with dust dispersion from the mine residue deposits. The study concluded that the existing buffer of a 100m is adequate. Additional measures to manage any other risks associated with the mine residue deposits have been suggested in the Environmental Management Programme. We kindly request that the City of Johannesburg review the specialist study and proposed management measures in considering this
	development restriction.
It is stated in the Air quality study that PM10 predicted ground levels concentrations exceeded the 2015 standard in the north-west corner of the development. It is recommended that the use of this area (i.e. the north-west corner of the proposed development) be re-considered for another use than residential.	The area where the predicted daily ground level concentrations exceeded the future (2015) standard in the north-west corner of the development has been excluded from the proposed development area.
The project proponent must be informed that West Wits MLI (Pty) Limited has applied for prospecting rights on Portions 401 and the remaining extent of Portion 1 of the farm Roodepoort 237 IQ. The proposed development must therefore make provision not to relocate people in areas close to the mining land in case the land is still suitable for mining.	The proponent is currently aware of the right application and development restrictions that will be imposed as a result of public health and safety concerns will be taken into consideration in the event that the right is approved.
Adequate recreational open space must be provided in the proposed development and no open space contributions would be accepted in lieu of open space by the City of Joburg.	The proponent will be made aware of this requirement.

Comment	Response
A letter from the Nuclear Regulator ascertaining / stating whether land is suitable for the proposed residential development must also be furnished to this department.	

3. Comment form the Department of Water Affairs and the National Nuclear Regulator

Copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report have been submitted to both the Department of Water Affairs (Mr P Khwinana) and the National Nuclear Regulator (Mr P Mohajane) on in April 2014. Proof of delivery in included in Addendum K of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report submitted to the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development in May 2014.

Based on the enquiry received from the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development on 12 June 2014 the aforementioned government department were again contacted to obtain comment proof of such communication is included in Addendum B). To date so comments have been received.

Kindly note that an application for a Water Use License will be submitted to the Department of Water Affairs for activities listed in terms of Section 21 of the National Water Act 36 of 1998 which includes, but is not limited to, impacts on watercourses (including wetlands).

It should be noted that the survey conducted on the accessible areas of the Spitz Land site indicates that 0% of the site requires remediation since the results are well below the reference level of 500 Bq/kg for which a nuclear authorization is not necessary. In accordance with the requirements of the of Regulation 388 of 2010 as promulgated in terms of the National Nuclear Act 47 of 1999, a certificate of exemption and clearance will be applied for and is regarded as a condition for development.



Addendum A





City of Johannesburg

118 Jorissen Street Traduna House Braamfontein PO Box 1049 Johannesburg South Africa 2000 Tel +27(0) 11 587 4237 Fax +27(0) 866277516

www.joburg.org.za

Our Ref: EIM/05/01 Contact: Modise Makwela

COJ Region: C Tel: 011 587 4237 Fax: 086 6277516 Date: 13 June 2014

City Of Joburg
Environment Infrastructure and Services Department

Marsh Africa (Pty) Ltd P.O. Box 2146 Sandton 2147

Dear Sir/Madam

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME FOR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ON THE REMAINING EXTENT OF PORTION 14 OF THE FARM ROODEPOORT 237 IQ (SPITZ LAND), ROODEPOORT.

Ref Number: Gaut: 002/11-12/E0248

The draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report received from Marsh Africa (Pty) Ltd received by this Department on 04 April 2014 has reference.

Description of the project:

The proposed development is for a residential township and will include a residential component of varying market and density ranges, including educational, commercial and social land uses. The subject property is located south west of the Roodepoort Central Business District, directly west of the Durban Roodepoort Deep Gold Mine, within the City of Johannesburg Municipality. The site known as the 'Spitz Land', measures approximately 300 hectares and constitutes defunct mining land located within the Main Reef Mining Belt and was historically mined by DRD Gold.

Guidelines, policies/legislation:

The report takes into account relevant legislation/policies and guidelines applicable to the proposed activity (for example, the CoJ Catchment Management Policy which prohibits development within wetland areas and associated buffers, the National Water Act, 0f 1998, C-Plan etc.)

Description of Alternatives:

The report identified that five alternatives including a 'no-go' alternative as viable in achieving the development objectives, however, more investigation will be required of the following:

- · The potential occurrence of radioactivity on developable areas of the site,
- · Air quality and associated buffers,
- · Agricultural potential,

1

- · Sensitive environments, and
- · Potential human settlement issues due to the existence of the Dunusa informal settlement within the site boundary.

The above alternatives have been adequately described and discussed in the report. The report acknowledges the sensitivity of the site and proposed further investigation of which this Department supports. The 'no-go' alternative had been discussed adequately in the report since a comparison is made in terms of constraints and benefits of not developing the site. In addition, the report states that the proposed development is desirable and that adequate agreement and mitigation measures for the identified environmental impacts/aspects will be strictly adhered to and implemented.

Description and assessment of the identified environmental issues:

It is indicated in the Report that, through the assessment of the receiving environment, consultation with interested and affected parties, consultation with specialist consultants and based on the experience of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP); the environmental and social impact expected from the proposed development project has been

It is stated in the report that nine (9) aspects will be affected by the anticipated impacts; namely, public health and safety, natural resources (high potential agricultural land), socioeconomic environment, biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, air quality and human health, traffic and public safety, ambient noise levels, surface water quality, and visual environment.

The report highlights potential environmental issues exerting pressure on the proposed development, namely:

204

acture and Services Department

City Of Joburg
Environment Infrastructure and Service
Impact Management And Compliance

The potential health and safety risk posed to development by tailings and old mining infrastructure (including open mine shafts), currently situated on the site. The potential presence of radioactivity and pollutants of concern across the site due

to activities relating to gold mining.

The potential future mining activities by holders of mining rights on the property (Mintails and West Wits Mining).

following will require further investigation in line with the proposed development:

The potential impact on flora and fauna. Reference is given to areas also indicated in the C-Plan (Version 3), The potential impact on the ridge located on the site,

The potential impact on wetland environments,

The potential impact of increased storm-water flows into the watercourses, wetlands and surrounding areas,

The potential loss of viable agricultural areas of the site used for subsistence agriculture.

Geological constraints as s a result of possible undermining, and

The potential impact on heritage resources.

Evaluation and presentation of mitigation measures:

The report states that the environmental and social impacts associated with the proposed development project can be mitigated to acceptable standard through the implementation of the Environmental Management Programme. This is pending agreement with the local authority /municipality and affected persons pertaining the relocation of persons residing in the informal settlement within the boundaries of the subject property. The method of offset as it relates to the economic displacement of persons currently cultivating land within the boundaries of the subject site must be agreed upon with the local authority.

Public Participation:

The public participation process is in the process of taking place. This department recommends that all issues raised by interested and affected parties be resolved and included in the final report.

Recommendations:

Infrastructure and Services Department

Of Joburg

ent And Compliance

201

9

0

Based on the review of the Report and site visit conducted, the Environment, Infrastructure and Service Department (EISD) would like the project proponents to note the following and the recommendations thereafter:

- 1. In terms of CoJ Biodiversity Sector Plan, the proposed development site forms part of the area mapped as Critical Biodiversity Area 1 (CBA 1) and Ecological Support Area 1 & 2. CBA 1s are areas required to be maintained in a natural or near natural state to meet targets for biodiversity pattern (features) or ecological processes. Ideally CBAs must be protected through implementation of appropriate zoning or intensification of land use to avoid net loss of intact habitat, and where possible, formal conservation protection status must be obtained. Degraded areas must be rehabilitated to a natural or near natural state, and managed for no further degradation.
- Some areas identified as sensitive by the CoJ Biodiversity Sector Plan, are also identified as sensitive in Figure 8 of the Biodiversity Assessment Report of the Draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report.
- In the Joburg 2040, Growth and Development Strategy it is clearly stated that "Maintaining the ecological integrity of the City's natural resources is critical, if the City and its inhabitants are to succeed in sustaining human and economic development".

Thus it is essential for the city to protect, conserve and manage its biodiversity assets. Also, apart from the intrinsic value, biodiversity is our natural heritage and also forms the basis of ecological infrastructure which provides people with ecosystem services such as, but not limited to: water, grazing, pollination, food and recreation. Moreover, it is commonly recognized that biodiversity is one of the fundamental features in climate change and adaptation strategies worldwide and hence important for the city to maintain its functional ecosystems.

In light of the above, the following are recommended:

- All the areas identified as Botanical Sensitive / Floristic Habitat Sensitive, both High sensitive and Medium-high sensitive, must be excluded from the proposed development.
- Sensitive areas, together with delineated wetland and associated 30 meter buffer, must be overlaid with updated development plan/layout plan, and excluded from the proposed development.
- The development plan must show clearly where the storm-water will be attenuated, bearing in mind that attenuation structures will not be supported within the wetland areas as well as its 30m buffer zone.
- Where roads cross wetlands, a span bridge will be most recommended. A composite
 development plan, taking into consideration all sensitivities and designs mentioned
 above, must be compiled and submitted to Environment and Infrastructure Services
 Department (EISD) for comments and endorsement before approval.
- All recommendations made in the specialist reports, namely; Air Quality Impact Assessment (page 4), Traffic Impact Study (page 38), and Heritage Study (page 27) must be strictly adhered to.
- Building designs for the proposed development must conform to energy efficient building design standards.
- · Measures to conserve energy must be implemented during all phases of the project.
- Mine dumps should be grassed to prevent dust from emanating from it while dirt roads should be watered regularly.

3

- Township development within 250 metres from the mine dumps should not be allowed.
- It is stated in the Air quality study that PM10 predicted ground levels concentrations exceeded the 2015 standard in the north-west corner of the development. It is recommended that the use of this area (i.e. the north-west corner of the proposed development) be reconsidered for another use than residential.
- The project proponent must be informed that West Wits MLI (Pty) Limited has applied for prospecting rights on Portions 401 and the remaining extent of Portion 1 of the farm Roodepoort 237 IQ. The proposed development must therefore make provision not to relocate people in areas close to the mining land in case the land is still suitable for mining.
- Adequate recreational open space must be provided in the proposed development and no open space bulk contributions would be accepted in lieu of open space by the City of Joburg.
- A letter from the Nuclear Regulator ascertaining/stating whether the land is suitable for the proposed residential development must also be furnished to this department.

Please do not hesitate to contact Modise Makwela at (011) 587 4237/0825593696 should you

have queries.

City Of Joburg
Environment Infrastructure and Services Department

ent And Compliance

Kind regards

Signature Date

Ms Lebo Molefe

D: Impact Management and Compliance Monitoring **Environment, Infrastructure and Services Department**

Tel: (011) 587 4205 Fax: 086 627 7516

E-mail: lebomol@joburg.org.za

CC: Marsh Africa (Pty) Ltd Att: Lizelle Prosch Fax: 086 718 1695



Addendum B



Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248

7 messages

Lizelle Prosch < lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za> To: PEMohajane@nnr.co.za, khwinap@dwa.gov.za Fri, Jun 13, 2014 at 3:41 PM

Dear Mr P Mohajane and Mr P Khwinana

With regard to the Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference:

Gaut 002/11-12/E0248; Kindly be that you have been provided with copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment on 4 and / or 5 April 2014 for your review and comment.

We have not received any feedback from you in this regard. Though we have addressed the initial comments you made during the Scoping Phase, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development specifically requested your input and / or comment on the Environmental Impact Assessment Report.

We would appreciate your feedback as soon as is practically possible.

I would also give you a call on Tuesday next week (17 June 2014), to briefly discuss the application, development proposal and management plans.

I have the following numbers for you; please confirm:

Mr P Mohajane: 012 674 7130 Mr P Khwinana: 012 392 1536

Have a good weekend.

Kind Regards

Lizelle

On behalf of Marsh Environmental Services

Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd 41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square

Parktown North Johannesburg 2193

Cell: 082 804 4024

Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za

Fax: 086 718 1695

admin-postmaster@dwa.gov.za <admin-postmaster@dwa.gov.za> To: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za Fri, Jun 13, 2014 at 3:39 PM

Delivery has failed to these recipients or groups:

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

khwinap@dwa.gov.za

The e-mail address you entered couldn't be found. Please check the recipient's e-mail address and try to resend the message. If the problem continues, please contact your helpdesk.

Diagnostic information for administrators:

```
Generating server: dwa.gov.za
khwinap@dwa.gov.za
#550 5.1.1 RESOLVER.ADR.RecipNotFound; not found ##
Original message headers:
Received: from CENWTMG102.dwa.gov.za (10.123.198.30) by CENWEXC101.dwa.gov.za (10.123.198.15) with Microsoft SMTP Server (TLS) id 14.2.347.0; Fri, 13 Jun 2014 15:39:41 +0200
Received: from securemail~pl~mx6.synaq.com (196.35.198.146) by mail.dwa.gov.s. (164.151.129.100) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 14.2.347.0; Fri, 13 Jun 2014 15:39:41 +0200
15:39:41 +0200

Received: from soho01.pwhlinserve.com ([5.9.80.252]) by securemail-pl-mx6.synaq.com with esmtps (TLSv1:AE3256-SHA:256) (Exim 4.63) (envelope-from fixelle@proschoonsulting.co.sa>) id 1WvRjW-0! khwinap@dwa.gov.sa; Fri, 13 Jun 2014 15:41:31 +0200

Received: from mail-myd-f52.google.com ([74.125.82.52]:64652) by soho01.pwhlinserve.com with esmtpsa (TLSv1:RC4-SHA:128) (Exim 4.80.: (envelope-from fixelle@proschoonsulting.co.sa>) id 1WvRjS-0! khwinap@dwa.gov.sa; Fri, 13 Jun 2014 15:41:27 +0200

Received: by mail-myd-f52.google.com with SMTP id b13so2725362wgh.11 for <khwinap@dwa.gov.sa>; Fri, 13 Jun 2014 06:41:26 -0700 (FDT)

MIME-Version: 1.0
                                                                                                                                                id 1WvRjW-0005zH-NL
                                                                                                                                     id 1WvRjS-0006AJ-WC
                                                                                                                                                                                                      for
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Received: by 10.180.126.98 with SMTP id mx2mr4798060wib.55.1402666886617;
Fri, 13 Jun 2014 06:41:26 -0700 (PDT)
Received: by 10.216.179.137 with HTTP; Fri, 13 Jun 2014 06:41:26 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Fri, 13 Jun 2014 15:41:26 +0200
Message-ID: <CAM7giMEqgkNPDU9HqxTSHGSHjAEKVAbR5_dK5pazJpYm00-sw@mail.gmail.com>
Subject: Spits Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference:
  Gaut 002/11-12/E0248
From: Lizelle Prosch <lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za>
To: <PEMohajane@nnr.co.za>, <khwinap@dwa.gov.za>
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary="e89a8f83899583e01204fbb7d5de"
X-Spam-Score: -1.0 (-)
X-Spam-Report: Spam detection software, running on the system "soho01.pwhlinserve.com", has
 identified this incoming email as possible spam. The original message has been attached to this so you can view it (if it isn't spam) or label similar future email. If you have any questions, see the administrator of that system for details.
  Content preview: Dear Mr P Mohajane and Mr P Khwinana With regard to the "Spits
         Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, "Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248;
Kindly be that you have been provided with copies of the Environmental Impact
Assessment on 4 and / or 5 April 2014 for your review and comment. [...]
  Content analysis details: (-1.0 points, 5.0 required)
                                              description
    pts rule name
    1.0 ALL TRUSTED Fassed through trusted hosts only via SMTP
0.0 HTML MESSAGE BODY: HTML included in message
0.0 T_FILL_THIS_FORM_SHORT Fill in a short form with personal information
   -1.0 ALL TRUSTED
W-SYNAQ-Pinpoint-Information: Please contact Internet Solutions for more information X-SYNAQ-Pinpoint-ID: 1WvRjW-0005rH-NL X-SYNAQ-Pinpoint: Found to be clean X-SYNAQ-Pinpoint: Found to be clean X-SYNAQ-Pinpoint-SpamCheck: not spam, SpamAssassin (not cached, score=-1.889, required 5, BAYES 00 -1.90, HTML MESSAGE 0.00, T FILL THIS FORM SHORT 0.01)
X-Pinpoint-From: liselle@proschconsulting.co.sa
X-Spam-Flag: NO
Return-Path: limelle@proschoonsulting.co.ma
```

2 attachments

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut ... Page 3 of 11

☐ ATT00001	
☐ ATT00002 5K	
Lizelle Prosch <lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za> To: khwinanap@dwa.gov.za</lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za>	Thu, Jun 19, 2014 at 8:49 AM
Dear Mr Khwinana	
Kindly refer to my email below. I have received a delivery e	error message on my previous email.
Kind Regards	
Lizelle	
From: Lizelle Prosch < lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za> Date: Fri, Jun 13, 2014 at 3:41 PM Subject: Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Ro 12/E0248 To: PEMohajane@nnr.co.za, khwinap@dwa.gov.za	oodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-
Dear Mr P Mohajane and Mr P Khwinana	
With regard to the Spitz Land Environmental Impa	ct Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference:
Gaut 002/11-12/E0248; Kindly be that you have been	provided with copies of the
Environmental Impact Assessment on 4 and / or 5 Ap	ril 2014 for your review and comment.
We have not received any feedback from you in this r initial comments you made during the Scoping Phase, and Rural Development specifically requested your in Environmental Impact Assessment Report.	the Gauteng Department of Agriculture

We would appreciate your feedback as soon as is practically possible.

I would also give you a call on Tuesday next week (17 June 2014), to briefly discuss the application, development proposal and management plans.

I have the following numbers for you; please confirm: Mr P Mohajane: 012 674 7130 Mr P Khwinana: 012 392 1536

Have a good weekend.

Kind Regards

Lizelle

On behalf of Marsh Environmental Services

Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd 41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square Parktown North Johannesburg 2193

 $https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2 \& ik=32 ec 295381 \& view=pt \& search=sent \& th=146... \quad 2014/06/20$

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut ... Page 4 of 11

Cell: 082 804 4024

Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za

Fax: 086 718 1695

Patle Mohajane <PEMohajane@nnr.co.za>

Thu, Jun 19, 2014 at 9:16 AM

To: Lizelle Prosch Lizelle Prosch Lizelle Prosch Lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za, "khwinap@dwa.gov.za" khwinap@dwa.gov.za

Dear Lizelle

As per our teleconversation . I will attend to the matter after you give me a link to the assessment.

Regards

Patle

From: Lizelle Prosch [mailto:lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za]

Sent: 13 June 2014 03:41 PM

To: Patle Mohajane; khwinap@dwa.gov.za

Subject: Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-

12/E0248

Dear Mr P Mohajane and Mr P Khwinana

With regard to the **Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference:** Gaut 002/11-12/E0248; Kindly be that you have been provided with copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment on 4 and / or 5 April 2014 for your review and comment.

We have not received any feedback from you in this regard. Though we have addressed the initial comments you made during the Scoping Phase, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development specifically requested your input and / or comment on the Environmental Impact Assessment Report.

We would appreciate your feedback as soon as is practically possible.

I would also give you a call on Tuesday next week (17 June 2014), to briefly discuss the application, development proposal and management plans.

I have the following numbers for you; please confirm:

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut ... Page 5 of 11

Mr P Mohajane: 012 674 7130 Mr P Khwinana: 012 392 1536

Have a good weekend.

Kind Regards

Lizelle

On behalf of Marsh Environmental Services

Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd 41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square Parktown North Johannesburg 2193

Cell: 082 804 4024

Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za

Fax: 086 718 1695

Lizelle Prosch < lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za>
To: Patle Mohajane < PEMohajane@nnr.co.za>

Thu, Jun 19, 2014 at 10:29 AM

Hi Patle

Thank you for your mail. I forwarded the link to you just after we spoke; it will come from "dropbox". Please let me know if you received it.

Thank you very much.

Lizelle

Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd 41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square Parktown North Johannesburg 2193

Cell: 082 804 4024

Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za

Fax: 086 718 1695

On Thu, Jun 19, 2014 at 9:16 AM, Patle Mohajane <PEMohajane@nnr.co.za> wrote:

Dear Lizelle

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut ... Page 6 of 11

As per our teleconversation . I will attend to the matter after you give me a link to the assessment. Regards Patle From: Lizelle Prosch [mailto:lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za] Sent: 13 June 2014 03:41 PM To: Patle Mohajane; khwinap@dwa.gov.za Subject: Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248 Dear Mr P Mohajane and Mr P Khwinana With regard to the Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248; Kindly be that you have been provided with copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment on 4 and / or 5 April 2014 for your review and comment. We have not received any feedback from you in this regard. Though we have addressed the initial comments you made during the Scoping Phase, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development specifically requested your input and / or comment on the Environmental Impact Assessment Report. We would appreciate your feedback as soon as is practically possible. I would also give you a call on Tuesday next week (17 June 2014), to briefly discuss the application, development proposal and management plans. I have the following numbers for you; please confirm: Mr P Mohajane: 012 674 7130 Mr P Khwinana: 012 392 1536 Have a good weekend. Kind Regards

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut ... Page 7 of 11

```
Lizelle
    On behalf of Marsh Environmental Services
    Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd
    41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square
    Parktown North
    Johannesburg
    2193
    Cell:
             082 804 4024
    Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za
             086 718 1695
    Fax:
                                                                                    Fri, Jun 20, 2014 at 9:29 AM
Lizelle Prosch < lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za>
To: khwinanap@dwa.gov.za
 Dear Mr Khwinana
 I apologise for being so insistent.
  Based on our discussion yesterday; I hoped to receive comment from your Department regarding the Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment. You mentioned that you will be referring me to
  someone else. Could you possibly let me know who that is and kindly provide a contact number.
  Thank you very much!
  Kind Regards
  Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd
  41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square
  Parktown North
  Johannesburg
  2193
  Cell:
           082 804 4024
  Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za
           086 718 1695
  On Thu, Jun 19, 2014 at 8:49 AM, Lizelle Prosch < lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za> wrote:
    Dear Mr Khwinana
    Kindly refer to my email below. I have received a delivery error message on my previous email.
    Kind Regards
    Lizelle
            - Forwarded message ---
```

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

From: Lizelle Prosch < lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za> Date: Fri, Jun 13, 2014 at 3:41 PM

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut ... Page 8 of 11

Subject: Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248

To: PEMohajane@nnr.co.za, khwinap@dwa.gov.za

Dear Mr P Mohajane and Mr P Khwinana

With regard to the Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort,

Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248; Kindly be that you have been provided with copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment on 4 and / or 5 April 2014 for your review and comment.

We have not received any feedback from you in this regard. Though we have addressed the initial comments you made during the Scoping Phase, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development specifically requested your input and / or comment on the Environmental Impact Assessment Report.

We would appreciate your feedback as soon as is practically possible.

I would also give you a call on Tuesday next week (17 June 2014), to briefly discuss the application, development proposal and management plans.

I have the following numbers for you; please confirm:

Mr P Mohajane: 012 674 7130 Mr P Khwinana: 012 392 1536

Have a good weekend.

Kind Regards

Lizelle

On behalf of Marsh Environmental Services

Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd 41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square Parktown North Johannesburg 2193

Cell: 082 804 4024

Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za

Fax: 086 718 1695

Lizelle Prosch lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za>
To: Patle Mohajane <PEMohajane@nnr.co.za>

Fri, Jun 20, 2014 at 10:35 AM

Dear Patle

Hope that you are well.

Sorry to be so insistent.

I am just following up on whether you received the dropbox link and whether we can expect any comment from you department.

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut ... Page 9 of 11

Looking forward to hear from you soon. Kind Regards Lizelle Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd 41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square Parktown North Johannesburg 2193 082 804 4024 Cell: Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za Fax: 086 718 1695 On Thu, Jun 19, 2014 at 10:29 AM, Lizelle Prosch < lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za> wrote: Hi Patle Thank you for your mail. I forwarded the link to you just after we spoke; it will come from "dropbox". Please let me know if you received it. Thank you very much. Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd 41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square Parktown North Johannesburg 2193 Cell: 082 804 4024 Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za Fax: 086 718 1695 On Thu, Jun 19, 2014 at 9:16 AM, Patle Mohajane <PEMohajane@nnr.co.za> wrote: Dear Lizelle As per our teleconversation . I will attend to the matter after you give me a link to the assessment. Regards Patle

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Ga... Page 10 of 11

From: Lizelle Prosch [mailto:lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za] Sent: 13 June 2014 03:41 PM To: Patle Mohajane; khwinap@dwa.gov.za Subject: Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248 Dear Mr P Mohajane and Mr P Khwinana With regard to the Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Gaut 002/11-12/E0248, Kindly be that you have been provided with copies of the Environmental Impact Assessment on 4 and / or 5 April 2014 for your review and comment. We have not received any feedback from you in this regard. Though we have addressed the initial comments you made during the Scoping Phase, the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development specifically requested your input and / or comment on the Environmental Impact Assessment Report. We would appreciate your feedback as soon as is practically possible. I would also give you a call on Tuesday next week (17 June 2014), to briefly discuss the application, development proposal and management plans. I have the following numbers for you; please confirm: Mr P Mohajane: 012 674 7130 Mr P Khwinana: 012 392 1536 Have a good weekend. Kind Regards Lizelle On behalf of Marsh Environmental Services Lizelle Prosch Environmental and Sustainability Consulting Services (Pty) Ltd

https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2&ik=32ec295381&view=pt&search=sent&th=146... 2014/06/20

41 7th Avenue, Unit 35, Parktown Square

Parktown North Johannesburg 2193

Gmail - Spitz Land Environmental Impact Assessment, Roodepoort, Reference: Ga... Page 11 of 11

Cell: 082 804 4024
Email: lizelle@proschconsulting.co.za
Fax: 086 718 1695

 $https://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=2 \& ik=32 ec 295381 \& view=pt \& search=sent \& th=146... \quad 2014/06/20$



Addendum C





