



Department:
Mineral Resources
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Saveways Crescent Centre, Mandela Drive, Emalahleni, 1035

Enquiries: Ms T.T. Ntsizi Ref: MP 30/5/1/2/2/(300) EM

E-Mail Address: Thembakazi.ntsizi@dmr.gov.za

Sub-Directorate: Mine Environmental Management

REGISTERED MAIL

P O Box 90349

BERTSHAM

2013

For attention: Adrie Joubert

Email: adrie@geosoilwater.co.za

APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION IN TERMS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 (ACT 107 of 1998) AS AMENDED (NEMA) AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) REGULATIONS, 2014 IN RESPECT OF LISTED ACTIVITY THAT HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED BY APPLICATION IN RESPECT OF THE FARM VLAKVARKFONTEIN 213 IR, SITUATED WITHIN MAGESTRIAL DISTRICT OF NKANGALA: MPUMALANGA PROVINCE.

The above mentioned matter bears reference:

- a) This letter serves to inform you that the Environmental Scoping Report for public review submitted on 19 October 2017 to this office is hereby acknowledged.
- b) Kindly note that development cannot commence prior the Department deciding on this application.

Should you require any further clarity regarding the above, do not hesitate to contact the above-mentioned person at the contact details provided.

Yours faithfully

REGIONAL MANAGER: MINERAL REGULATION

MPUMALANGA REGION

DATE: 20/11/2017



mineral resources

Department:
Mineral Resources
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X7279, Emalahleni, 1035, Tel: 013-653 0500, Fax: (013) 656 1474
Saveways Crescent Centre, Mandela Drive, Emalahleni, 1035
Enquiries: Ms T. T. Ntsizi Ref: MP 30/5/1/2/2(300) EM
E-Mail Address: Thembakazi.ntsizi@dmr.gov.za
Sub-Directorate: Mine Environmental Management

REGISTERED MAIL

Ntshovelo Mining Resources (Pty) Limited
P.O. Box 90349
BERTSHAM
2013

For attention: Adrie Joubert

Email: adrie@geosoilwater.co.za

APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION IN TERMS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 (ACT 107 of 1998) AS AMENDED (NEMA) AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) REGULATIONS, 2014 IN RESPECT OF LISTED ACTIVITY THAT HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED BY APPLICATION IN RESPECT OF THE FARM VLAKVARKFONTEIN 213 IR, SITUATED WITHIN MAGESTRAL DISTRICT OF NKANGALA: MPUMALANGA PROVINCE.

The above mentioned matter bears reference:

- a) This letter serves to inform you that the final Environmental Scoping Report submitted on 27 November 2017 to this office is hereby acknowledged.
- b) Kindly note that development cannot commence prior the Department deciding on this application.

Should you require any further clarity regarding the above, do not hesitate to contact the above-mentioned person at the contact details provided.
Yours faithfully

REGIONAL MANAGER: MINERAL REGULATION
MPUMALANGA REGION

DATE: 05/12/2017



mineral resources

Department:
Mineral Resources
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X7279, Emalahleni, 1035, Tel: 013-653 0500, Fax: (013) 656 1474

Saveways Crescent Centre, Mandela Drive, Emalahleni, 1035

Enquiries: T. T. Ntsizi **Ref:** MP 30/5/1/2/3/2/1(300) EM

E-Mail Address: thembakazi.ntsizi @dmr.gov.za

Sub-Directorate: Mine Environmental Management

Registered Mail

The Directors

Ntshovelo Mining Resources (Pty) Limited

P.O. Box 90349

BERTSHAM

2013

Attention: Adrie Joubert

Email: adrie@geosoilwater.co.za

APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION IN TERMS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 (ACT 107 of 1998) NEMA AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) REGULATIONS 2004, IN RESPECT OF THE LISTED ACTIVITIES THAT HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED BY THE APPLICATION, IN RESPECT OF THE FARM VLAKVARKFONTEIN 213 IR, SITUATED WITHIN MAGESTRAL DISTRICT OF NKANGALA: MPUMALANGA PROVINCE.

The Scoping Report (SR) and Plan of Study for Environmental Impact Assessment received by the Department on 27 November 2017 refers:

1. The Department has evaluated the submitted SR and Plan of Study for Environmental Impact Assessment dated 27 November 2017 and is satisfied that the documents comply with the minimum requirements of Appendix 2(2) of National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (as amended) (NEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014. The SR is hereby accepted by the Department in terms of regulation 22(a) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014.
2. You may proceed with the environmental impact assessment process in accordance with the tasks contemplated in the Plan of Study for Environmental Impact Assessment as required in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014 and include applicable waste listed activity as per GNR.921 in terms of National Environmental Management: Waste Act, Act 59 of 2008.

3. It should be noted that the Department requires the following to be undertaken and form part of the final EIR and EMPr to be submitted.
 - a) All the activities to be undertaken on site must be described and the impacts that they will have on the physical, biological, social, economic and cultural aspects of the environment must be assessed
 - b) A description of the impact management objectives, including management statements identifying the impacts and risks that need to be avoided, managed and mitigated as identified through the environmental impact assessment process for all of phases of the development and the method of monitoring of the implementation of the impact management actions.
 - c) Feasible and reasonable alternatives based on the different types/categories of alternatives must be identified and assessed, so that the Department can be able to make an informed decision.
 - d) Public Participation Process must be transparent and all comments received during the process must be incorporated into the comments and response report of the final Environmental Impact Report.
 - e) Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be included in the EIAR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof of the attempts that were made to obtain comments should be submitted to the Department
 - f) All comments from interested and affected parties must be adequately addressed in the final Environmental Impact Report.
 - g) For linear activities such as roads and pipelines, a description of the co-ordinates of the corridor in which the proposed activities are to be undertaken. The impacts of these linear activities must be thoroughly assessed.
 - h) A motivation for the need and desirability of the project must be included.
4. The applicant is hereby reminded to comply with the requirements of regulation 3 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 with regards to the time period allowed for complying with the requirements of the Regulations.
5. Please ensure that the EIAR includes the A3 size locality maps of the area and illustrates the exact location of the proposed development. The maps must be of acceptable quality and as a minimum, have the following attributes:
 - Maps are relatable to one another;
 - Co-ordinates;
 - Legible legends;
 - Indicate alternatives;

- Scale and
 - Vegetation types of the study area.
6. You are requested to submit two (2) hard copies of the EIAR and EMPr and at least one electronic copy (CD/DVD) of the complete EIAR and EMPr to this Regional Office.
7. Your attention is brought to Section 24F of the NEMA which stipulates "that no activity may commence prior to an environmental authorisation being granted by the competent authority".

Yours faithfully


.....

REGIONAL MANAGER: MINERAL REGULATION

MPUMALANGA REGION

DATE...06/02/2018

CC Attention: Adrie Joubert,

ELMS Environmental Impact Management Service

Email: adrie@geosoilwater.co.za

MEETING AGENDA

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
MEETING NO. 1. AUTHORITY CONSULTATION MEETING	PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES		
MEETING VENUE	DMR OFFICES, SAFEWAYS CRESCENT SHOPPING CENTRE	DATE	05/09/2017

Name	Company	Initials
Martha Seshweni	DMR	MS
Nthombi Dlamini	DMR	ND
Adri Joubert	GSW	AJ
Liam Whitlow	EIMS	LW
John von Meyer	EIMS	JvM
Brian Whitfield	EIMS	BW
Item	Responsibility	Date
1. Introductions and Welcome	N/A	N/A
2. Presentation: Vlakvarkfontein Extension Project	JvM	N/A
3. Confirmation of the legal application process:	N/A	N/A
3.1. New Integrated Environmental Authorisation (Scoping and Environmental Impact Report (S&EIR)) for:		
• Proposed new mining area extension		
• New Processing Plant		
• New Water Treatment Facility		
• New residue deposits and/or residue stockpiles (requiring Waste Management Licence).		
3.2. New Integrated Water Use Licence (IWUL) for:		
• Discard (wash plant waste) disposal; and		
• New Water Treatment Facility		
3.3. Amendments to existing Environmental Management Plan and		
3.4. Section 102 Amendment for:		
• Revised Mine Works Programme to include extension of mining areas and processing plant; and		
• Revised consolidated EMPR to include processing plant and extension of mining areas.		
4. Confirmation of templates, application forms/process, etc.:	N/A	N/A
4.1. DMR EIA Application form.		
4.2. Waste Management Licence Application form.		
4.3. SR and EIR/EMPR to comply with NEMA GNR 982 but will not strictly follow DMR template.		
4.4. Integrated Scoping and EIA to include all new NEMA listed activities, NEMWA waste activities, NWA S21 water uses as well as relevant amendment applications assessments.		
4.5. Section 102 Application including timing thereof.		
4.6. Number of copies of reports for submission.		
• Proposed specialist studies		

SIGNED

NEXT MEETING :

DATE :

26/09/2017



MINUTES OF MEETING

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Closure Costing (2015 NEMA rates GN1147 vs DMR guideline rates) <p>4.7. NEMA GNR982 Section 8 guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any other processes or decision-support tools required? Any specialist guidelines that must be considered? Any matters that may prejudice the success of the application? Formally adopted minutes? 		
5. Other Discussion Items	N/A	N/A

MINUTES	Responsibility
The Purpose and Description of the project was provided by EIMS and the following was discussed:	JvM
<p>1. Applications to DMR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Section 102 amendment would be required as there would be changes to the EMPR and MWP. The EIA application can be submitted along with the Section 102 application and that there is no need to wait for the EA to be granted before submitting the S102 application. A decision on the S102 would however only be issued once the EIA process is concluded. The December 2014 EA application form is still the most recent and current application form at this time. The DMR official appointed to the project would only be appointed once the application is submitted and as such, the responsible official could not be confirmed at this stage. Only one hard copy of the application is required to be submitted to the DMR and that it is not necessary to utilize the SAMRAD online application submission system. Separate envelopes must be used when submitting the application: one for the EA/WML and Amendment application and one for the S102 application. Within the EA application form, an indication of the inclusion of the WML and Amendments should be clearly stated. The application can be submitted along with the draft scoping report and the existing mining right reference number must be used as the reference number in the application/reports. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>2. EIA process and templates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strict compliance with the DMR template is not required and the EIA and associated reports should follow the NEMA guidelines for the EIA (i.e.: reports structure/template must consider the NEMA guidelines). Furthermore, the DMR cannot issue the EA without the "RoD comments" from the DWS and as such, the IWULA should be aligned to this requirement. GSW and EIMS acknowledged that this consultation process with DWS would be managed in an attempt to align the IWULA processing with the EIA process. 	GSW/EIMS



MINUTES OF MEETING

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The detailed design maps would likely be required by DWS and the engineers' technical report should accompany the EIA report. GSW and EIMS are to confirm this requirement with the DWS during the DWS pre-application meeting. All relevant listed activities (NEMA, NEMWA and NWA) are to be included in the relevant application forms as well as reports and assessed during the course of the EIA process. An integrated process is acceptable to combine the EIA, WML, Amendment and IWUL Applications. 	
<p>3. Waste discard:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The option of discarding wash plant waste (filter cake) to the pit during backfill/rehabilitation was discussed. DMR had no preference at this stage however it was noted that input from DWS is required before DMR can grant the Integrated EA (specifically Waste Management Licence) for the project. The Integrated EA would therefore include conditions specified by DWS to address the relevant waste related aspects. GSW and EIMS are to consult with the DWS in this regard for final clarity. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>4. Financial Provision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It would be acceptable to review the existing closure costing and update accordingly in line with the extended mining areas as per the DMR / MPRDA guidelines and associated rates. This is based on the fact that this is an existing approved mining right with existing closure costings. DMR has no preference for using the NEMA 2015 regulations for this application however the option for utilising the NEMA 2015 regulations is up to the Applicants discretion for future alignment with the NEMA 2015 regulations. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>5. Land claims:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any existing land claims could potentially present a problem to the decision making process depending on the issues raised and the status thereof at the time of completion of the EIA report. DMR recently had a meeting with the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform regarding land claims impacts on mining rights and mining applications however there were unfortunately no firm outcomes from this meeting. GSW and EIMS confirmed that they would as a minimum try to include the land claimants as interested and affected parties in the EIA process and any feedback in this regard will be presented in the relevant reports. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>6. Specialist studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed specialist studies presented during the meeting are considered adequate at this stage. Should any additional specialist studies be identified during the scoping phase, these should be undertaken and findings included in the EIA phase. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>7. Alternatives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant alternatives are to be assessed in line with the NEMA guidelines and no specific alternatives are suggested at this stage. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>8. NEMA GNR982 Section 8 guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a minimum, the EIA process should follow the NEMA guidelines. No other specific decision-support tools, specialist guidelines, or matters that may prejudice the success of the application are suggested at this time. 	GSW/EIMS

The meeting was adjourned at 12:00



MINUTES OF MEETING

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------

099

Document Control

	Name:	Date:	Signature:
Compiled by:	John von Mayer	2017/09/06	
Reviewed by:	Brian Whitfield	2017/09/26	
Approved	M Seshweni		



MEETING ATTENDANCE REGISTER				
EIMS Ref	1188	Project Name	Vlakvarkfontein Mine Extension	
Description of Meeting	Pre-Application Meeting with DMR			
Meeting Venue	DMR offices	Date	5 September 2017	Time
				10:00

Page 1 of 2

Representative	Company / Organisation	Telephone	Fax	E-mail address	Signature
M Seshuvaran	DMR	013 6380300		m.seshuvaran@dmr.gov.za	[Signature]
N Dlamini	DMR	013 6330500		N.Dlamini@dmr.gov.za	[Signature]
A Joubert	DMR GSW	0829268460		adri@geosoilwater.co.za	[Signature]
L Whitlow	EIMS/GSW	011 7897170		liam@eims.co.za	[Signature]
J van Mager	EIMS	"		john@eims.co.za	[Signature]
B. Whitfield	EIMS/GSW	0826889850		brian@eims.co.za	[Signature]

M E E T I N G A G E N D A

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAQVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
MEETING NO.	2. DWS AUTHORITY CONSULTATION MEETING	PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES	
MEETING VENUE	DWS OFFICES, BRONKHORSTSPRUIT	DATE	21/09/2017

Name	Company	Initials
Mokgadi Maloba	DWS	MM
Martha Mokonyane	Ntshovelo Mining Resources	MMO
Adri Joubert	GSW	AJ
Liam Whitlow	EIMS	LW
John von Mayer	EIMS	JvM
Brian Whitfield	EIMS	BW
Item	Responsibility	Date
1. Introductions and Welcome	N/A	N/A
2. Presentation: Vlakvarkfontein Extension Project	JvM	N/A
3. Confirmation of the legal application process: 3.1. New Integrated Water Use Licence (IWUL) for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discard (wash plant waste) disposal; PCD; New water treatment facility; Disposal of underground water; Mining infrastructure (pits, roads, etc.); and 	N/A	N/A
4. Confirmation of templates, application forms/process, etc.: 4.1. Integrated Scoping and EIA to include all new NEMA listed activities, NEMWA waste activities, NWA S21 water uses as well as relevant amendment applications assessments. 4.2. Scheduling of IWULA along with EIA (including DMR required feedback from DWS) 4.3. Level of detail required for IWULA 4.4. Number of copies of reports for submission. 4.5. Specialist studies 4.6. Alternatives 4.7. DWS considerations regarding discarding waste to pit 4.8. NEMA GNR982 Section 8 guidance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any other processes or decision-support tools required? Any specialist guidelines that must be considered? Any matters that may prejudice the success of the application? Formally adopted minutes? 	N/A	N/A
5. Other Discussion Items	N/A	N/A

MINUTES	Responsibility
The Purpose and Description of the project was provided by EIMS and the following was discussed:	JvM
1. Applications to DWS:	

SIGNED	NEXT MEETING :
DATE : 16/02/2018	



MINUTES OF MEETING

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A single new WUL that updates everything can be applied for. All existing and new water uses should be specified in this application. • GSW should state in the submission that a single license is requested to be obtained. • The new license will supersede the old license. • It will be important to identify which uses were previously licensed. There is no need to re-apply for water uses that don't change, for example (c) and (i) water uses or boreholes however forms will be required for all new water uses. • The application should only be submitted to DWS once all public participation has been completed and all other requirements for the application are met. The application should be complete at the outset, there is no use in submitting an application to DWS without all these requirements being met. • The application to DWS can be submitted at the same time as the final EIA report is submitted to DMR. • DWS prefer the application to be made online. Everything, including the specialist studies, can be submitted online. One hard copy and one cd will also be required to be submitted. • The pre-application meeting will officially trigger the start of the DWS 106 day period. This meeting is not officially the pre-application meeting and the official pre-application meeting will be at a later stage. • GN704 is the most important regulation that specifies the process to be followed. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>2. Designs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An authorization will not be granted based on conceptual designs. Detailed design drawings are required before the application can be submitted. • Detailed design drawings will be required for PCD, discard facility, stormwater management facilities and pipelines (discharge points and crossings). 	GSW/EIMS
<p>3. Public Participations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 60 days public participation is required for the technical report. • The advert must clearly state the 60 day review period for the technical report. • The Wilge forum must be included on the PP database. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>4. Timeframes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timeframes for RoD comments from DWS to DMR cannot be confirmed. These comments would be submitted from head office • A meeting should be setup as soon as possible with DWS to discuss the RoD comments. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>5. Water Options / additional points of clarity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The option of putting the discard back into the pit (rather than disposing of it on surface) may be viable and acceptable as long as it can be motivated for. The least impact solution should be selected. • The filter press will get rid of most of the water. The final filter cake product should be at most 20% water. • The options of post-closure long-term treatment of water and selling that water on to a third party for use (as proposed by the groundwater specialist) may be feasible as long as it can be justified in the report with regards to impacts etc. It needs to be motivated. 	

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00



MINUTES OF MEETING

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------

Document Control

	Name:	Date:	Signature:
Compiled by:	J. von Mayer	2017/09/26	
Reviewed by:	L. Whitlow		
Approved	M. Maloba		

MEETING AGENDA

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
DWS AUTHORITY CONSULTATION PRE-APPLICATION MEETING			PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES
MEETING VENUE	MANUNGU MINE BOARDROOM	DATE	8 FEBRUARY 2018

Name	Company	Initials
Gloria Mmadi Moloto	DWS	GMM
Isaac Tlagadi	DWS	IT
Koketso Mphago	Mbuyelo	KM
Martha Mokonyane	Mbuyelo	MMO
Humphrey Mohlahlo	Mbuyelo	HM
Sello Monnanyana	Mbuyelo	SM
Riaan de Beer	BEAL	RdB
Gys Muller	BEAL	GM
Louis von der Walt	GW2	LvdW
Eugene de Villiers	ECMA	EdV
Adri Joubert	GSW	AJ
Sonja vd Giessen	EIMS	SvdG
John von Mayer	EIMS	JvM
Brian Whitfield	EIMS	BW
Item	Responsibility	Date
1. Introductions and Welcome	BW	N/A
2. Presentation: Vlakvarkfontein Extension Project	JvM	N/A
3. Confirmation of the water uses and application processes	EIMS and DWS	N/A
4. Confirmation of timeframes and scheduling	DWS	N/A
5. Clarification items	DWS	N/A
5.1. In-pit discard		
5.2. Lined waste rock dumps		
5.3. Other discussion items		

Minutes	Responsibility
The Purpose and Description of the project was provided by EIMS and the following was discussed:	JvM
1. Discard to pit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The option of putting the discard back into the pit (rather than disposing of it on surface) may be viable and acceptable as long as it can be motivated for. The least impact solution should be selected. In-pit discard has been authorized by DWS in the past – application under GN704. A meeting is to set up by the regional office with head office (Gift or Kelvin) in order to obtain further clarity on DWS' stance regarding this. Head office to advise on the options for a risk-based approach to the discard and the barrier requirements. 	GSW/EIMS
2. Consolidated applications vs New applications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DWS must align the validity of the WUL with the validity of the mining right. 	

SIGNED

NEXT MEETING :

DATE :

27/02/2018

MINUTES OF MEETING

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It should not be a problem to consolidate the applications however DWS discuss internally and confirm, however even if consolidated the department does not extend the original license validity period and therefore Ntshovelo would need to apply again once the validity of the license expires. It was decided that a new application will be submitted for the new water uses instead of a consolidated application for all water uses in order to avoid any potential issues with validity periods for the various licenses and to avoid delays due to admin issues. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>3. Scheduling and lodging of applications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The follow up meeting with Kelvin or Gift at DWS can be scheduled by the regional office once GSW has obtained the signed engineering drawings. GSW should contact DWS when ready to set up that meeting. GSW should come prepared with motivations where required (e.g.in-pit discard, unlined waste rock stockpiles) as well as the signed conceptual designs. DWS is facing IT and server challenges with the online application system. GSW should supply the application on a flash drive and a single hard copy as well as online The scheduling of the submission should be determined by the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to align the legislated timeframes for all applications. The DWS and the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) would liaise between one another during the application process as and when necessary. The WUL technical report (IWWMP) can be made available along with the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) and must be made available to the public for a period of 60 days. How the EIAR public review period aligns with the WUL technical report review is up to the EAP to decide however the DWS has no objection to aligning the two reviews. The DWS will send an acknowledgement letter, either through the online system or manually and the 300-day process period for the WUL starts from the receipt of that letter. DMR will wait for comments on the waste issues from DWS after the final reports have been submitted to them. DMR will stipulate timeframes to DWS for comment, usually 30 to 60 days. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>4. Waste Classification for waste-rock stockpiles</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design drawings will be required for PCD, discard facility, stormwater management facilities and pipelines (discharge points and crossings). Timeframes for EA comments from DWS to DMR cannot be confirmed. These comments would be submitted from Head Office. A meeting should be set up with DWS Head Office in order to obtain further clarity. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>5. Clarification of water uses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pit dewatering should be licensed under (j) only, (a) should be excluded. The use of the final void sump water for dust suppression should be licensed under a (g) only. 	GSW/EIMS
<p>6. Additional points of clarity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The cost of the IWUL application is still R114. Once application is submitted DWS will appoint the relevant official to take over. All communication must go through the regional office in Bronkhorstspuit . Conceptual drawings are fine for the purposes of the EIA and technical report in support of the WULA as long as they have been signed off by an engineer. Details on the landscape management plan (in support of Section 21(c&i)) can be obtained from Pieter Ackerman, Paul Meulenbeld and Wietsche Roets from Head Office). Resource Quality Objectives for the catchment area must be included in the EIA. 	GSW/EIMS

MINUTES OF MEETING

JOB NO.	1188	PROJECT NAME	VLAKVARKFONTEIN MINE EXTENSION
---------	------	--------------	--------------------------------

The meeting was adjourned at 12:30

Document Control

	Name:	Date:	Signature:
Compiled by:	J. von Mayer	2018/02/08	
Reviewed by:	L. Whitlow		
Approved	M. Moloto		



MEETING ATTENDANCE REGISTER						
Job No.	1100	Project name	Makwato Coal Mine Extension			
Description of meeting	DWS Pre-Application Meeting			Page 1 of 1		
Meeting venue	Manungu Colliery	Date	15 February 2018	Time	08:30	
Meeting attendees						
Name and Surname	Telephone Number	E-mail Address	Signature			
John van Meye	094 444 3273	john@ems.co.za				
Seige id Eiken	083 3884633	seige@ems.co.za				
Riaan de Beer	076 011 2331	riaan@ems.co.za				
Gus Miller	072 546 926	gus@ems.co.za				
Emis ud vait	0824658476	em@ems.co.za				
Eugene de Villiers	082 776 1691	eugene@ems.co.za				
Mutha Mampone	0711652327	mutha@mampone.com				
Gloria Mmadu Moko	013 7322061	moko@mampone.com				
HEMPHEE MOKHLO	071 212 2847	hemph@ems.co.za				
Sello Mampone	083 554 9947	sello@mampone.com				
KORISO MOKHLO	083 698 7983	koriso@mampone.com				
Brian Whitfield	0826889350	brian@ems.co.za				