

APPENDIX K: BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT



**SCIENTIFIC TERRESTRIAL SERVICES**

Reg No. 2005/122/329/23  
VAT Reg No. 4150274472  
PO Box 751779  
Gardenview  
2047  
Tel: 011 616 7893  
Fax: 086 724 3132  
Email: [admin@sasenvgroup.co.za](mailto:admin@sasenvgroup.co.za)  
[www.sasenvironmental.co.za](http://www.sasenvironmental.co.za)

**BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT AS PART OF THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION PROCESS FOR THE  
DEVELOPMENT OF SURFACE INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE  
MARULA PLATINUM MINE, LIMPOPO PROVINCE**

**Prepared for**

**SLR Consulting Ltd.**

**January 2022**

**Prepared by:** Scientific Terrestrial Services CC  
**Report author:** S. L. Daniels  
D. van der Merwe  
**Report reviewers:** C. Hooton  
C. Steyn  
N. Cloete (Pr.Sci.Nat)  
K. Marais (Pr.Sci.Nat)  
**Report reference:** STS 200060



SAS Environmental Group of Companies

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed to conduct a Biodiversity Assessment as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Authorisation (EA) process for the proposed surface infrastructure development on the existing Marula Platinum Mine, located approximately 30 km northwest of the town of Burgersfort, Limpopo Province, henceforth referred to as the “study area”.

The proposed infrastructure development activities include upgrading and/or the construction of the following infrastructure: ventilation shafts with associated infrastructure, water pipelines and powerlines, a new TSF pipeline, a product stockpile, a compressed airline, and upgrades to the existing change house.

During the field assessment, five habitat units were identified within the study area, namely:

- 1) Degraded Bushveld;
- 2) Encroached Habitat;
- 3) Rocky Habitat (comprising of two subunits, i.e., Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine Habitat);
- 4) Watercourse Habitat; and
- 5) Transformed Habitat.

### Species diversity and habitat integrity:

Overall, the habitat within the study area was degraded and not representative of the reference vegetation type, i.e., the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld. Some places consisted of highly modified and transformed areas, in which vegetation was scarce. Many of the transformed areas (typical of those found close to high-intensity mining operations and housing infrastructure) supported a high abundance of alien and invasive plant (AIP) species. However, the surrounding untransformed, and undeveloped regions did not support high densities of AIPs but were not particularly species rich. Furthermore, the highly fragmented nature of the study area limits the potential for recolonisation of many species, particularly fauna.

The Degraded Bushveld has a moderately low sensitivity. This habitat unit was dominated by *Dichrostachys cineria*. In general, the habitat unit was largely species-poor and had a poorly represented grassy layer. Due to its proximity close to existing mining infrastructure and housing, this habitat unit has been exposed to several anthropogenic activities, including dumping, vehicle movements and livestock grazing, which has resulted in subpar habitat conditions, decreased habitat integrity and a low species diversity. As the habitat unit is degraded in nature, the remaining vegetation is not representative of the reference vegetation type for the area. Little habitat is provided for extensive native floral species diversity and community structure within this habitat unit.

The Encroached Habitat scored a sensitivity of moderately low. The overall species richness of this habitat unit was low. Woody encroachment and overgrazing within the habitat unit is largely evident with *D. cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotia subsp. kraussiana* and ***Terminalia sericea*** as the major encroaching species. Forb and grass species were less dominant within the habitat unit with areas of bare soil scattered throughout. This habitat unit is no longer representative of the reference vegetation type, namely the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, which is moderately species rich.

Both subunits, namely Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine subunits, of the Rocky Habitat unit have a sensitivity of moderately high. Both subunits support typical rocky floral communities. However, they are distinguished from each other based on the dominant rock type that is present within each of the subunits as well as the slightly different floral communities that each support. In particular, the Rocky Outcrop subunit displayed a moderate diversity of floral species and has an overall moderately high level of ecological functioning. Examples of floral species that were encountered within this habitat include *Aloe cryptopoda*, *Scadoxus puniceus*, *Gloriosa superba*, and several tree species including *Vangauria infausta* and ***Terminalia sericea***. The habitat unit did not support AIP species. The Rocky Riverine subunit displayed a moderate diversity of floral species and has an overall moderately high level of ecological functioning, especially given its location next to the Mogompane River. Examples of



floral species that were encountered within this habitat include *A. cryptopoda*, *Kleinia stapeliiformis*, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Eucphrobia tirucalli* and *Tinnea rhodesiana*.

The Watercourse Habitat scored a moderately high sensitivity. This habitat unit consisted of small sections in which the proposed powerlines and water pipelines are to be developed. The vegetation of the watercourse habitat is moderately intact; however, several alien and invasive plant (AIP) species have encroached into the sections of the watercourse within the study area. During the time of assessment, the watercourses were dry.

The Transformed habitat was of low sensitivity. This habitat unit is largely transformed consisting of pavements, informal housing, or mining infrastructure. These areas are chiefly dominated by AIP species, specifically along the roadsides where clearing of vegetation has recently occurred. Many of the gardens surrounding the informal houses also support AIP tree species, that have been historically planted as ornamentals (e.g. *Thevetia peruviana*, Yellow oleander). As such, no important habitat is provided for native floral species diversity or community structure within this habitat unit.

From a faunal perspective the high degree of human activity and the degraded nature of the vegetation is likely to only support common species who are able to survive in such areas. During the assessment, a species poor faunal assemblage with low abundances was observed which is characteristic of human modified landscapes. Furthermore, historic and current persecution of the on-site fauna has impacted on the suitability of the faunal habitat observed resulting in moderately low and low faunal sensitivities for the study area.

#### Conservation significance of the study area:

From a conservation perspective, the proposed development will impact on local and regional floral habitat of conservation concern as it will result in the loss of an Ecological Support Area (ESA) 1 and 2, the remaining extent of the endangered Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (i.e. the reference state) threatened ecosystem.

#### Species of Conservation Concern (SCC):

The Online EIA Screening Tool for the study area indicated that the Plant Species Theme is of high sensitivity, and identified Sensitive species 374, Sensitive species 275, Sensitive species 163, *Polygala sekhukhuniensis*, *Searsia batophylla*, *Asparagus fourei*, and *Asparagus sekukuniensis* as the floral species triggering this sensitivity. The Animal Species Theme was of medium sensitivity, and identified *Aroegas fuscus* (Brown false shieldback), *Dasymys robertsii* (Robert's shaggy rat), and *Sagittarius serpentarius* (Secretary Bird) as potentially being located within the study area. With both floral and faunal habitat integrity and diversity decreased because of various anthropogenic pressures, the conditions to support a diversity of floral and faunal SCC is sub-optimal.

No nationally threatened SCC (i.e., Red Data Listed plants), as defined in Section 52 of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA), were recorded during the site assessment. However, *Searsia batophylla* has the potential to occur within the Watercourse Habitat Unit. A protected tree, namely *Boscia albitrunca*, as defined under The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998, amended 2001) (NFA) was recorded within the Degraded Bushveld Habitat. As this habitat unit neighbours many of the other habitat units, there is a chance that such a species is also present within these habitat units. Two provincially protected plant species, namely *Aloe cryptopoda* and *Scadoxus puniceus*, which are listed in Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003) (LEMA) was also observed in the study area, particularly within the Degraded Bushveld, Rocky Habitat, and the Watercourse Habitat Units. Permits will be required should any of the protected species be removed, destroyed, or relocated with the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries (DEFF) and the Limpopo Economic Development, Environmental and Tourism (LEDET). If a walkdown of the footprint area is conducted prior to construction activities commencing, where these species are rescued and relocated (if encountered), the anticipated impact on their populations will be minimal.

No faunal SCC were recorded within the proposed footprint areas. Only a single avian SCC *Falco biarmicus* (Lanner Falcon) is anticipated to utilise the study area intermittently while foraging. The surrounding areas are for the most part equally degraded with high human activity, as such there is a low chance that other faunal SCC would utilise the footprint areas persistently.



Concluding Remarks:

Following the ecological assessment of the biodiversity within the proposed infrastructure sites, the impacts associated with the proposed development activities were determined. Perceived impacts on the floral and faunal habitat, diversity and SCC are considered to range from medium to insignificant impacts prior to the implementation of mitigation measures. With mitigation measures implemented, the impacts are expected to decrease.

It is the opinion of the ecologists that this study provides the relevant information required to implement Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) and to ensure that the best long-term use of the ecological resources in the study area will be made in support of the principle of sustainable development.





**SCIENTIFIC TERRESTRIAL SERVICES**

Reg No. 2005/122/329/23  
VAT Reg No. 4150274472  
PO Box 751779  
Gardenview  
2047  
Tel: 011 616 7893  
Fax: 086 724 3132  
Email: [admin@sasenvgroup.co.za](mailto:admin@sasenvgroup.co.za)  
[www.sasenvironmental.co.za](http://www.sasenvironmental.co.za)

**BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT AS PART OF THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION PROCESS FOR THE  
DEVELOPMENT OF SURFACE INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE  
MARULA PLATINUM MINE, LIMPOPO PROVINCE**

**Prepared for**

**SLR Consulting Ltd.**

**January 2022**

**Part A: Background Information**

**Prepared by:** Scientific Terrestrial Services CC  
**Report author:** S. L. Daniels  
**Report reviewer:** N. Cloete (Pr.Sci.Nat)  
**Report Reference:** STS 200060



SAS Environmental Group of Companies

## DOCUMENT GUIDE

The table below provides the specialist report requirements for the assessment and reporting of impacts on terrestrial biodiversity in terms of Government Notice 648 as promulgated in Government Gazette 45421 of 2019 in line with the Department of Environmental Affairs screening tool requirements, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998).

No.	Requirements	Section in report/Notes
2.1	<b>Assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified SACNASP registered specialist</b>	<b>Part A – C:</b> Cover Page <b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
2.2	<b>Description of the preferred development site, including the following aspects-</b>	
2.2.1	<i>A description of the ecological drivers/processes of the system and how the proposed development will impact these;</i>	<b>Part B:</b> Section 2.1.2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.2	<i>Ecological functioning and ecological processes (e.g. fire, migration, pollination, etc.) that operate within the proposed development site;</i>	<b>Part B:</b> Section 2.1.2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.3	<i>The ecological corridors that the development would impede including migration and movement of flora and fauna;</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 2.1.1 (flora) <b>Part C:</b> Section 3 (fauna)
2.2.4	<i>The description of any significant landscape features (including rare or important flora/faunal associations, presence of Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) or Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPA) sub catchments;</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 <b>Part B:</b> Section 2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3.2 – 3.7
2.2.5	<i>A description of terrestrial biodiversity and ecosystems on the proposed development site, including –</i> a) <i>Main vegetation types;</i> b) <i>Threatened ecosystems, including Listed Ecosystems as well as locally important habitat types identified;</i> c) <i>Ecological connectivity, habitat fragmentation, ecological processes and fine scale habitats; and</i> d) <i>Species, distribution, important habitats (e.g. feeding grounds, nesting sites, etc.) and movement patterns identified.</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 2 (flora) <b>Part C:</b> Section 3 (fauna)
2.3	<b>Identify any alternative development footprints within the preferred development site which would be of a “low” sensitivity as identified by the national web based environmental screening tool and verified through the Initial Site Sensitivity Verification.</b>	Not Applicable
2.4	<b>The Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment must be based on the results of a site inspection undertaken on the preferred development site and must identify:</b>	
2.5	<b>Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs), including:</b> 2.5.1 <i>The reasons why an area has been identified as a CBA;</i> 2.5.2 <i>An indication of whether or not the development is consistent with maintaining the CBA in a natural or near natural state or in achieving the goal of rehabilitation;</i> 2.5.3 <i>The impact on species composition and structure of vegetation with an indication of the extent of clearing activities;</i> 2.5.4 <i>The impact on ecosystem threat status;</i> 2.5.5 <i>The impact on explicit subtypes in the vegetation;</i> 2.5.6 <i>The impact on overall species and ecosystem diversity of the site; and</i> 2.5.7 <i>The impact on populations of species of special concern in the CBA.</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.6	<b>Terrestrial Ecological Support Areas, including:</b> 2.6.1 <i>The impact on the ecological processes that operate within or across the site;</i> 2.6.2 <i>The extent the development will impact on the functionality of the ESA; and</i> 2.6.3 <i>Loss of ecological connectivity (on site, and in relation to the broader landscape) due to the degradation and severing of ecological corridor or introducing barriers that impede migration and movement of flora and fauna.</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3



2.7	<b>Protected Areas as defined by the National Environmental Management:</b> Protected Areas Act, 2004 (Act No. 57 of 2004) including an opinion on whether the proposed development aligns with the objectives/purpose of the Protected Area and the zoning as per the Protected Area Management Plan.	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis)
2.8	<b>Priority Areas for Protected Area Expansion, including:</b> The way in which in which the development will compromise or contribute to the expansion of the protected area network.	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis)
2.9	Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSA) including: 2.9.1 <i>The impact(s) on the terrestrial habitat of a Strategic Water Source Area; and</i> 2.9.2 <i>The impacts of the development on the SWSA water quality and quantity (e.g. describing potential increased runoff leading to increased sediment load in water courses)</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis)
2.10	Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) sub catchments, including the impacts of the development on habitat condition and/or species in the FEPA sub catchment.	**Assessed separately in the Freshwater Biodiversity Assessments (SAS 220156, 2020)
2.11	Indigenous Forests, including: 2.11.1 <i>Impact on the ecological integrity of the forest;</i> 2.11.2 <i>Extent of natural or near natural indigenous forest area lost.</i>	Not Applicable
3.	The report must contain as a minimum the following information:	
3.1	Contact detail of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
3.2	A signed statement of independence by the specialist.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
3.3	A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 1.4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 1.2
3.4	The methodology used to undertake the site inspection and the specialist assessment, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix C <b>Part B:</b> Section 1.5 <b>Part B:</b> Appendix A <b>Part C:</b> Section 1.2 <b>Part C:</b> Appendix A
3.5	A description of the assumptions made, any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 1.4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 2
3.6	The location of areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation, where relevant.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 3 <b>Part C:</b> Section 4
3.7	Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development based on those already evident on the site and a discussion on the cumulative impacts.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 3 & 4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 5
3.8	Proposed impact management actions and impact management outcomes for inclusion in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr).	<b>Part B:</b> Section 4.4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 5.4
3.9	A motivation must be provided if there were development footprints identified as per paragraph 2.3 in this table were not considered stating reasons why.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 3 & 4
3.10	A reasoned opinion, based on the findings of the specialist assessment, regarding the acceptability or not of the development and if the development should receive approval or not, and any conditions to which the statement is subjected.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 5 <b>Part C:</b> Section 6





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>DOCUMENT GUIDE</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>GLOSSARY OF TERMS</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF ACRONYMS</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Project Description .....	1
1.2 Scope of Work .....	9
1.3 Assumptions and Limitations .....	9
1.4 Legislative Requirements .....	10
<b>2 ASSESSMENT APPROACH</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>3 RESULTS OF THE DESKTOP ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>11</b>
3.1 Conservation Characteristics of the Study Area based on National and Provincial Datasets .....	11
<b>4 STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY REPORT</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>5 REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>20</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: Indemnity and Terms of Use of this Report</b> .....	<b>21</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: Legislative Requirements</b> .....	<b>22</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: Impact Assessment Methodology</b> .....	<b>25</b>
<b>APPENDIX D: Vegetation Types</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>APPENDIX E: Details, Expertise And Curriculum Vitae of Specialists</b> .....	<b>29</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Digital satellite image depicting the MRA in relation to surrounding area. ....	6
Figure 2: The MRA depicted on a 1:50 000 topographical map in relation to the surrounding area.....	7
Figure 3: Proposed infrastructure development layout (i.e., study area) within the existing Marula Platinum Mine. ....	8
Figure 4: The remaining extent of the Makhado Sweet Thornveld (LC), according to the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018).....	15
Figure 5: Protected Areas within 10 km of the study area (NPAES, 2009). ....	16
Figure 6: Importance of the study area according to the Limpopo Conservation Plan Version 2 (2013). ....	17
Figure 7: Importance of the study according to the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2012). ....	18

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Summary of the biodiversity characteristics associated with the study area [Quarter Degree Squares (QDS) 2430AC and 2430CA]. ....	12
--	----



## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Most definitions are based on terms and concepts elaborated by Richardson *et al.* (2011), Hui and Richardson (2017) and Wilson *et al.* (2017), with consideration to their applicability in the South African context, especially South African legislation [notably the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004), and the associated Alien and Invasive Plant (AIP) Species Regulations, 2014].

<b>Alien species</b> (syn. exotic species; non-native species)	A species that is present in a region outside its natural range due to human actions (intentional or accidental) that have enabled it to overcome biogeographic barriers.
<b>Biological diversity or Biodiversity</b> (as per the definition in NEMBA)	The variability among living organisms from all sources including, terrestrial, marine and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part and also includes diversity within species, between species, and of ecosystems.
<b>Biome - as per Mucina and Rutherford (2006); after Low and Rebelo (1998).</b>	A broad ecological spatial unit representing major life zones of large natural areas – defined mainly by vegetation structure, climate and major large-scale disturbance factors (such as fires).
<b>Bioregion (as per the definition in NEMBA)</b>	A geographic region which has in terms of section 40(1) been determined as a bioregion for the purposes of this Act;
<b>Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)</b>	A CBA is an area considered important for the survival of threatened species and includes valuable ecosystems such as wetlands, untransformed vegetation and ridges.
<b>Corridor</b>	A dispersal route or a physical connection of suitable habitats linking previously unconnected regions.
<b>Disturbance</b>	A temporal change, either regular or irregular (uncertain), in the environmental conditions that can trigger population fluctuations and secondary succession. Disturbance is an important driver of biological invasions.
<b>Ecoregion</b>	An ecoregion is a "recurring pattern of ecosystems associated with characteristic combinations of soil and landform that characterise that region".
<b>Endangered</b>	Organisms in danger of extinction if causal factors continue to operate.
<b>Endemic species</b>	Species that are only found within a pre-defined area. There can therefore be sub-continental (e.g. southern Africa), national (South Africa), provincial, regional or even within a particular mountain range.
<b>Ecological Support Area (ESA)</b>	An ESA provides connectivity and important ecological processes between CBAs and is therefore important in terms of habitat conservation.
<b>Habitat</b> (as per the definition in NEMBA)	A place where a species or ecological community naturally occurs.
<b>Important Bird and Biodiversity Area (IBA)</b>	The IBA Programme identifies and works to conserve a network of sites critical for the long-term survival of bird species that: are globally threatened, have a restricted range, are restricted to specific biomes/vegetation types or sites that have significant populations.
<b>Indigenous vegetation</b> (as per the definition in NEMA)	Vegetation occurring naturally within a defined area, regardless of the level of alien infestation and where the topsoil has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years.
<b>Integrity (ecological)</b>	The integrity of an ecosystem refers to its functional completeness, including its components (species) its patterns (distribution) and its processes.
<b>Invasive species</b>	Alien species that sustain self-replacing populations over several life cycles, produce reproductive offspring, often in very large numbers at considerable distances from the parent and/or site of introduction, and have the potential to spread over long distances.
<b>Listed alien species</b>	All alien species that are regulated in South Africa under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004), Alien and Invasive Species (A&I) Regulations, 2016.
<b>Least Threatened</b>	Least threatened ecosystems are still largely intact.
<b>Native species</b> (syn. indigenous species)	Species that are found within their natural range where they have evolved without human intervention (intentional or accidental). Also includes species that have expanded their range as a result of human modification of the environment that does not directly impact dispersal (e.g. species are still native if they increase their range as a result of watered gardens, but are alien if they increase their range as



---

	a result of spread along human-created corridors linking previously separate biogeographic regions).
<b>RDL (Red Data listed) species</b>	According to the Red List of South African plants ( <a href="http://redlist.sanbi.org/">http://redlist.sanbi.org/</a> ) and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), organisms that fall into the Extinct in the Wild (EW), critically endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) categories of ecological status.
<b>(Species of Conservation Concern (SCC)</b>	The term SCC in the context of this report refers to all RDL (Red Data) and IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature) listed threatened species as well as protected species of relevance to the project.



## LIST OF ACRONYMS

AICP	Alien and Invasive Control Plans
AIP	Alien and Invasive Plants
BAP	Biodiversity Actions Plan
BGIS	Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems
BMP	Biodiversity Management Plan
BotSoc	Botanical Society of South Africa
CARA	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983)
CBA	Critical Biodiversity Area
CEM	Certificate in Environmental Law for Environmental Managers
DEFF	Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries
DMR	Department of Mineral Resources
E-GIS	Environmental Geographical Information Systems
EA	Environmental Authorisation
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme
ESA	Ecological Support Area
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSSA	Grassland Society of South Africa
Ha	Hectare
HDPE	High-density polyethylene
IAIA <sub>sa</sub>	International Affiliation for Impact Assessments South Africa Group
IBA	Important Bird Area
IEM	Integrated Environmental Management
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature
LEDET	Limpopo Economic Development, Environmental and Tourism
LEMA	Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No.7 of 2003)
MAMSL	Meters Above Mean Sea Level
MAP	Mean Annual Precipitation
MAPE	Mean Annual Potential for Evaporation
MASMS	Mean Annual Soil Moisture Stress
MAT	Mean Annual Temperature
MFD	Mean Frost Days
MPRDA	Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)
MRA	Mining Rights Area
NBA	National Biodiversity Assessment
NFA	The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998, amended 2001)
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998)
NEMBA	National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004)
NL	Not Listed
NOMR	New Order Mining Right
NPAES	National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy
POSA	Plants of southern Africa
PPP	Public Participation Process
PRECIS	Pretoria Computer Information Systems
QDS	Quarter Degree Square (1:50,000 topographical mapping references)
SAAB	South Africa Association of Botanists
SABAP 2	Southern African Bird Atlas 2
SACAD	South Africa Conservation Areas Database
SACNASP	South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute
SAPAD	South Africa Protected Area Database
STS	Scientific Terrestrial Services CC
SWSA	Strategic Water Source Areas
TOPS	Threatened or Protected species (in terms of NEMBA)
WSA	Water Source Areas



# 1 INTRODUCTION

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed to conduct a Biodiversity Assessment as part of the Basic Assessment (BA) (EIA) and Environmental Authorisation (EA) process for the proposed surface infrastructure development on the existing Marula Platinum Mine, located approximately 30 km northwest of the town of Burgersfort, Limpopo Province.

The project is located in the Greater Tubatse local Municipality which is an administrative area in the Sekhukhune District Municipality of the Limpopo Province. The R37 runs approximately 4 km east of the mine. The proposed infrastructure development consists of waterlines, powerlines, an ore stockpile and two ventilation shafts with associated refrigeration infrastructure (see project description in section 1.1), hereafter collectively referred to as the “study area”. The location and extent of the “study area”, within the mining rights area (MRA) of the mine, referred to as the “MRA”, is indicated in Figures 1 & 2.

The purpose of this report (Part A) is to define the biodiversity of the study area from a desktop conservation database perspective. It is the objective of this desktop assessment to provide detailed information to guide the fieldwork components (discussed in Parts B and C) to ensure that all relevant ecological aspects are considered prior to performing the field assessments. This report is not a standalone report and should be considered together with the outcome of the biodiversity assessments (floral assessment in Part B and the faunal assessment in Part C).

## 1.1 Project Description

Marula Platinum Mine now proposes to change their approved layout by establishing additional surface infrastructure, which will require an amendment to Marulas’ approved EMPr. The proposed additional surface infrastructure comprises the following (Refer to Figure 3 for a detailed map of the proposed development layout):

- The establishment of two additional ventilation shafts;
- The upgrade to refrigeration and ventilation infrastructure at existing ventilation shafts;
- The establishment of additional water pipelines to support the additional ventilation shafts;
- The expansion and establishment of additional power supply and distribution infrastructure in support of the establishment of additional ventilation shaft and upgrades to existing ventilation shafts);
- The establishment of a product stockpile within the existing footprint of the Concentrator Plant;



- The establishment of an additional pipeline to the approved Tailings Storage Facility (TSF); and
- Structural upgrades of the existing change house and compressed airline at the Clapham Shaft Complex.

### Ventilation shafts and upgrades to refrigeration infrastructure

Marula proposes to establish two new additional ventilation shafts within their existing MRA. An upcast and downcast shaft is proposed. The downcast shafts are used to draw clean air into the underground mine workings, whilst the upcast shaft will vent the “dirty/used” air to the surface. There are also existing ventilation shafts on Driekop 253 KT (Ventilation Shaft 6) and Winnarshoek 250 KT (Ventilation Shaft 5). Ventilation Shaft 7 (located on Winnarshoek 250 KT) was approved as part of the Merensky Reef project but is not constructed to date. An overview of these activities is summarised in the table below.

Aspect	Detail	
Proposed establishment of new ventilation shafts - Driekop Shaft	Name	Ventilation Shaft 9.
	Location	Driekop 253 KT (Portion 0)
	Footprint	Within approved footprint of Driekop Shaft 6.
	Technology	Upcast shaft.
	Refrigeration or ventilation infrastructure	Establishment of a new ventilation shaft with surface main fans and electrical rooms.
Proposed establishment of new ventilation shafts - Clapham Shaft	Name	Ventilation Shaft 8.
	Location	Winnarshoek 250 KT (Portion 0)
	Footprint	Approximately 0.5 ha.
	Technology	Downcast shaft.
	Refrigeration or ventilation infrastructure	Establishment of a new bulk air cooler. Establishment of refrigeration plant and condenser cooling towers.
Proposed changes and upgrades at existing infrastructure - Driekop Shaft	Name	Ventilation Shaft 6
	Refrigeration or ventilation infrastructure	Establishment of a new bulk air cooler. Establishment of a refrigeration plant and condenser cooling towers.
	Location of infrastructure	Driekop 253 KT (Portion 0)
	Footprint	Within the existing, approved footprint of the Driekop VS 6 shaft area.
Proposed changes and upgrades at existing infrastructure - Clapham Shaft	Name	Ventilation Shaft 5
	Refrigeration or ventilation infrastructure	Establishment of a new bulk air cooler.
	Location of infrastructure	Winnarshoek 250 KT (Portion 0)
	Footprint	Within the existing, approved footprint of the Clapham VS 5 shaft area.
	Name	Ventilation Shaft 7 (Approved but not constructed)



Aspect	Detail	
	Refrigeration or ventilation infrastructure	Establishment of surface main fans and electrical rooms.
	Location of infrastructure	Winnarshoek 250 KT (Portion 0)
	Footprint	Approximately 1.8 ha.

## Upgrades of existing services and infrastructure:

### Water supply and distribution

Water supply: Raw water required for the proposed project will be sourced from the existing on-site Lebalelo Raw Water Dam (Plant Dam). Marula has sufficient capacity and volume to accommodate the proposed project water requirements and as such no changes are anticipated to the existing water reticulation storage capacities (Plant Dam) or supply demand.

Distribution: The proposed project will require the establishment of pipelines from the Plant Dam to the new ventilation shafts (Driekop Ventilation Shaft 9 and Clapham Ventilation Shaft 8). The proposed HDPE pipelines will have a diameter of approximately 150 mm (0.15 cm) and will be below ground. The proposed pipeline to the Clapham Ventilation Shaft 8 will be approximately 2.1 km in length with a throughput of 24 l/s. The proposed Driekop Ventilation Shaft 9 pipeline will be approximately 5.2 km in length with a throughput of 24 l/s. The water supply pipeline will be fed into the plant room and subsequently through to the cooling tower. The establishment of the proposed Driekop water supply pipeline will have a total area of disturbance of 5 250 m<sup>2</sup>/ 0.525 Ha. The establishment of the proposed Clapham water supply pipeline will have a total area of disturbance of 13 000 m<sup>2</sup> / 1.3 Ha.

Wastewater: Wastewater which contains an elevated salt concentration will emanate from the refrigeration process. This wastewater will be pumped into a surface sump (with approximate dimension of 2 m by 2 m). A return pipeline of approximately 50 mm will carry this wastewater back to the Concentrator Plant. The return pipeline will be located within the same below ground trench as the water supply pipeline to the ventilation shafts and will thus not result in any additional land clearance.

### Power supply and transmission

Supply: Power is currently supplied to the mine by a consumer Eskom substation which is comprised of 2 x 20 MVA transformers. The power demand is expected to exceed the output from the 2 x 20 MVA transformer in 2025. In addition, the power requirements for the establishment of the new Clapham Ventilation Shaft 8 will need to be accommodated. Marula therefore proposes to increase the existing Eskom yard capacity to 60 MVA by the



addition of a 40 MVA transformer. The running load will be 54 MVA. Existing power supply infrastructure is sufficient to support the project components at the remaining ventilation shafts.

**Distribution:** A new 33 kV overhead transmission line will be established from the on-site Eskom yard to the Clapham Ventilation Shaft 8. A new 33 kV overhead transmission line will also be established from the Driekop Shaft Complex to the new Driekop Ventilation Shaft 9, to supply the new ventilation shaft with power. The new 33 kV overhead transmission line will then be fed into a new step-down transformer located at the Clapham and Driekop ventilation shafts. The 33 kV will be stepped down to 11 kV and then fed into the plant room and ventilation fans. The lengths of the Clapham Ventilation Shaft 8 and the Driekop Ventilation Shaft 9 will be 3.8 km and 3.3 km, respectively.

**Disturbance to watercourses:** Watercourses within the proposed project area include the Tshwenyane, Mogompane, Motse Rivers and an unnamed tributary of the Moopetsi River (with riparian vegetation), as well as numerous non-perennial and ephemeral drainage lines. The proposed power distribution lines and tower bases will be located within 32 m the existing watercourses. A water use license (WUL) will need to be applied for due to this disturbance, however this will be undertaken separately from this Basic Assessment process.

### **Establishment of a product stockpile**

To alleviate storage capacity constraints experienced with their current operations, Marula proposes the establishment of an additional product stockpile. The additional product stockpile will reach a maximum capacity of 200 000 tons and will be located within the existing, disturbed footprint of the Concentrator Plant. The proposed location of the product stockpile is disturbed but unlined. The product material is like the mine's existing tailings and is considered low grade ore. The 2015 geochemical waste assessment undertaken by Golder (Golder, 2015) detailed that the tailings material is classified as a Type 3 waste. The results of the assessment indicated that NO<sub>3</sub> leachate concentrations exceeded the TCT0 threshold in two of the tailing composites. The material was reported to require a Class C liner. Marula will further investigate the liner requirements for the proposed stockpile as part of their WUL application which will be undertaken as a separate process.

### **TSF pipeline**

To increase the operational efficiency at the mine, an additional tailings conveyance pipeline is proposed. The proposed additional pipeline will follow the existing overland pipeline route





which runs from the Concentrator Plant to the Phase 2 TSF. The additional pipeline will be 4 km in length with an internal diameter of 243 mm and comprised of HDPE lined steel.

### **Upgrade to existing change house (including lamp room) and compressed airline**

The current change house and lamp room at the Clapham Shaft Complex has reached its current capacity. An upgrade of the change house (and lamp rooms) is now proposed to accommodate an increase of the labour force for 600 people. The actual construction timeline is expected to begin in 2024 / 2025. In addition to the upgrade of the Clapham change house, the existing 400 NB compressed air ring main from compressor house to Clapham UG mine will be upgraded from 400 NB to 600 NB. No change to the pipeline pressure is anticipated. The structural upgrades of the change house and compressed air ring main will be undertaken within the existing and disturbed Clapham Shaft Complex footprint and no additional land clearance will be required.

### **TSF contamination plume remediation**

Marula is investigating various methods of managing the contamination plume emanating from the existing Tailings Dam facility. The investigation of remediation measures is still in a feasibility phase due to budget constraints, as such there are no specific measures available. However, the approved EMPr requires an amendment to accommodate for the inclusion of management measures which are deemed feasible by Marula. The TSF contamination plume component is therefore only administrative at this stage.






Figure 1: Digital satellite image depicting the MRA in relation to surrounding area.






Figure 2: The MRA depicted on a 1:50 000 topographical map in relation to the surrounding area.






Figure 3: Proposed infrastructure development layout (i.e., study area) within the existing Marula Platinum Mine.



## 1.2 Scope of Work

Specific outcomes in terms of Part A of the report are as follows:

- To compile a desktop assessment with all relevant information as presented by SANBI's Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems (BGIS) website (<http://bgis.sanbi.org>) and the Environmental Geographical Information Systems (E-GIS) website (<https://egis.environment.gov.za/>). The desktop assessment aims to gain background information on the physical habitat and potential floral and faunal ecology associated with the study area;
- To state the indemnity and terms of use of this report (Appendix A) as well as to provide the details of the specialists who prepared the reports (Appendix E);
- To outline the legislative requirements that were considered for the assessment (Appendix B of this report); and
- To provide the methodologies followed relating to the impact assessment and development of the mitigation measures (Appendix C) that were applied in the floral and faunal assessments (Part B and Part C).

## 1.3 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable to this report:

- The biodiversity desktop assessment is confined to the study area and does not include detailed results of the MRA or adjacent properties, although ecologically important or sensitive areas according to the desktop databases of the surrounding areas have been included on the relevant maps;
- It is important to note that although all data sources used provide useful and often verifiable, high-quality data, the various databases used do not always provide an entirely accurate indication of the actual site characteristics within the study area at the scale required to inform an environmental process. However, this information is useful as background information to the study and, based on the desktop results, sufficient decision making can take place with regards to the proposed infrastructure development if considered together with the ground-truthed results of the biodiversity assessments (Part B and C); and
- The field assessment was undertaken during late spring (18-19 November 2020). The field assessment aimed to determine the ecological status of the study area, and to “ground-truth” the results of the desktop assessment.



## 1.4 Legislative Requirements

The following legislative requirements were considered during the assessment:

- The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996<sup>1</sup>;
- The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA);
- Government Notice 648 as promulgated in Government Gazette 45421 of 2019 in line with the Department of Environmental Affairs screening tool requirements, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998);
- The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA);
- The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998, amended 2001) (NFA);
- Government Notice R598 Alien and Invasive Species Regulations as published in the Government Gazette 37885 dated 1 September 2014 as it relates to the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998);
- The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA).
- Government Notice 536 List of Protected Tree Species as published in the Government Gazette 41887 dated 7 September 2018 as it relates to the NFA;
- The Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002) (MPRDA); and
- The Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No.7 of 2003) (LEMA).

The details of each of the above, as they pertain to this study, are provided in Appendix B of this report.

## 2 ASSESSMENT APPROACH

Maps and digital satellite images were generated prior to the field assessment in order to determine broad habitats, vegetation types and potentially sensitive sites. The biodiversity desktop assessment is confined to the study area and does not include the neighbouring and adjacent properties, although the sensitivity of surrounding areas is included on the respective maps. Relevant databases and documentation that were considered during the assessment of the study area includes <sup>2</sup>:

---

<sup>1</sup> Since 1996, the Constitution has been amended by seventeen amendments acts. The Constitution is formally entitled the 'Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996'. It was previously also numbered as if it were an Act of Parliament – Act No. 108 of 1996 – but since the passage of the Citation of Constitutional Laws Act, neither it nor the acts amending it are allocated act numbers.

<sup>2</sup> Datasets obtained from:



- The National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) focus areas for Protected Area Expansion, 2009 (Formally and Informally Protected Areas):
- South African Conservation Areas Database, Quarter 2 (SACAD, 2020);
- The South African Protected Areas Database, Quarter 2 (SAPAD, 2020);
- The Limpopo Conservation Plan version 2 (2013),
- Mucina and Rutherford, 2012 and 2018:
  - Biomes, Bioregions and Vegetation Type(s);
- The National Threatened Ecosystems (2011);
- The National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018);
- Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) (2015), in conjunction with the South African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP2); and
- The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

The field assessment took place during late spring (18-19 November 2020) to determine the ecological status of the study area and to “ground-truth” the results of the desktop assessment. Results of the field assessment are presented in Parts B and C.

### **3 RESULTS OF THE DESKTOP ANALYSIS**

#### ***3.1 Conservation Characteristics of the Study Area based on National and Provincial Datasets***

The following section contains data accessed as part of the desktop assessment and are presented as a “dashboard” report below (Table 1). The dashboard report aims to present concise summaries of the data on as few pages as possible in order to allow for improved assimilation of results by the reader to take place. Where required, further discussion and interpretation are provided.

- 
- SANBI BGIS (2019). The South African National Biodiversity Institute - Biodiversity GIS (BGIS) [online]. URL: <http://bgis.sanbi.org> as retrieved in 2019; and
  - Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) Environmental Geographical Information Systems (E-GIS) website. URL: <https://egis.environment.gov.za/>



**Table 1: Summary of the biodiversity characteristics associated with the study area [Quarter Degree Squares (QDS) 2430AC and 2430CA].**

DETAILS OF THE STUDY AREA IN TERMS OF MUCINA & RUTHERFORD (2012 AND 2018)		DESCRIPTION OF THE VEGETATION TYPE RELEVANT TO THE STUDY AREA (MUCINA & RUTHERFORD 2006)					
<b>Biome</b>	The study area is situated within the <b>Savanna Biome</b> .	<b>Distribution</b>	Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces: Lowland area from Burgersfort and the lower basin of the Steelpoort River in the south, northwards through the plains of the Motse River basin to Jobskop and Legwareng (south of the Strydpoort Mountains). Continues up the basin of the Olifants River to around Tswaing and the valleys of the Lepellane and Mohlaletsj Rivers.				
<b>Bioregion</b>	The proposed study area is situated within the <b>Central Bushveld Bioregion</b> .						
<b>Vegetation Type</b>	The proposed study area falls within the <b>Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (SVcb 27)</b> .	<b>Climate</b>	Summer rainfall with very dry winters				
<b>CONSERVATION DETAILS PERTAINING TO THE STUDY AREA (VARIOUS DATABASES)</b>			<b>MAP (mm)</b>	<b>MAT (°C)</b>	<b>MFD (days)</b>	<b>MAPE (mm)</b>	<b>MASMS (%)</b>
<b>National Threatened Ecosystems (2011)</b>	<p>According to the National Threatened Ecosystem Dataset, the sections of the study area is located within an ecosystem that is considered <b>Endangered, namely the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld</b>.</p> <p>None of the three proposed ventilation shafts, nor the proposed ore stockpile are located within the remnants of the endangered ecosystem. Only the proposed water pipelines and power line routes are located within remnants of the endangered ecosystem.</p> <p>Endangered (EN) ecosystems have lost significant habitat or have experienced significant deterioration in condition, with loss of structure and function. Further loss or deterioration should be avoided. For Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), the 2011 National list of Threatened Ecosystems remains the trigger for a Basic Assessment in terms of Listing Notice 3 of the EIA Regulations published under the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No 107 of 1998) (NEMA).</p>	<b>Altitude (m)</b>	700–1 100				
		<b>Conservation</b>	<p><b>Vulnerable</b> according to Mucina and Rutherford (2006) but the status has been changed to <b>Endangered</b> according to the updated National Biodiversity Assessment (2018). Target 19%. Nearly 2% statutorily conserved in Potlake, Bewaarkloof and Wolkberg Caves Nature Reserves. Approximately 25% of this area has been transformed and is mainly under dry-land subsistence cultivation. A small area is under pressure from chrome and platinum mining activities and the associated urbanisation. Depending on commodities, this threat could increase in the future. There is a high level of degradation of much of the remaining vegetation by unsustainable harvesting and utilisation. Erosion widespread at usually high to very high levels with donga formation. Alien <i>Agave</i> species, <i>Caesalpinia decapetala</i>, <i>Lantana camara</i>, <i>Melia azedarach</i>, <i>Nicotiana glauca</i>, <i>Opuntia</i> species, <i>Verbesina encelioides</i> and <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> are widespread but scattered.</p>				
<b>National Biodiversity Assessment (2018) Figure 4</b>	<p>The study area falls within the remaining extent of the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (Endangered), which is currently <b>poorly protected</b>.</p> <p>Ecosystem types are categorised as “not protected”, “poorly protected”, “moderately protected” and “well protected” based on the proportion of each ecosystem type that occurs within a protected area recognised in the Protected Areas Act, 2003 (Act No. 57 of 2003), and compared with the biodiversity target for that ecosystem type.</p>	<b>Vegetation &amp; landscape features (Dominant Floral Taxa in Appendix B)</b>	<p>Mainly semi-arid plains and open valleys between chains of hills and small mountains running parallel to the escarpment. Predominantly short, open to closed thornveld with an abundance of <i>Aloe</i> species and other succulents. Heavily degraded in places and overexploited by man for cultivation, mining, and urbanisation. Both man-made and natural erosion dongas occur in areas containing clays rich in heavy metals. Encroachment by indigenous microphyllous (fine-leaved) trees and invasion by alien species is common throughout the area.</p>				





	<p>The ecosystem protection level status is assigned using the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. If an ecosystem type has more than 100% of its biodiversity target protected in a formal protected area either A or B, it is classified as Well Protected;</li> <li>ii. When less than 100% of the biodiversity target is met in formal A or B protected areas it is classified it as Moderately Protected;</li> <li>iii. If less than 50% of the biodiversity target is met, it is classified it as Poorly Protected; and</li> <li>iv. If less than 5% it is Hardly Protected.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Geology and Soils</b></p>	<p>Complex geology, with rocks mainly mafic and ultramafic intrusive rocks of the main to lower zones of the Rustenberg Layered Suite on the eastern lobe of the Bushveld Igneous Complex (Vaalian). The zones (subsuites) are dominated by concentric belts of norite, gabbro, anorthosite and pyroxenite, with localised protrusions of magnetite, chromatite, serpentinised harzburgite, olivine diorite, shale, dolomite and quartzite. Most of the area consists of red apedal soils. Deep, loamy Valsrivier soils are characteristic of the plains and shallow Glenrosa soils are found on the low-lying, rocky hills. Patches of erodable black, melanic structured horizons are common around small mountains. Some Steendal soils are underlain by gypsum. Land types mainly Ae, Ib, Ea and Ia.</p>
<p><b>SAPAD (2020, Q2); SACAD (2020, Q2); NPAES (2009) Figure 5</b></p>	<p>According to the National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES, 2009) database, the study area falls within 10 km of the North East Escarpment Focus Area. The South African Protected Area Database (SAPAD, 2020)<sup>3</sup> and the South African Conservation Areas Database (SACAD, 2020)<sup>4</sup> do not indicate that any protected or conservation areas fall within 10 km of the study area.</p>		
<p><b>IBA (2015)</b></p>	<p>The study area is not located within an Important Bird and Biodiversity Area (IBA, 2015), nor is it located within 10 km of an IBA.</p>		
<p><b>NATIONAL WEB-BASED ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING TOOL (2020)</b></p>			
<p>The Screening Tool is intended to allow for pre-screening of sensitivities in the landscape to be assessed within the EA process. This assists with implementing the mitigation hierarchy by allowing developers to adjust their proposed development footprint to avoid sensitive areas.</p>		<p><b>Plant Species</b></p>	<p>For the Plant Species theme, the entire study area is within an area that has a <b>High sensitivity</b>. Sensitive species identified by the Screening tool include: Sensitive species 374, Sensitive species 275, Sensitive species 163, <i>Polygala sekhukhuniensis</i>, <i>Searsia batophylla</i>, <i>Asparagus fourei</i>, and <i>Asparagus sekukuniensis</i>.</p>
		<p><b>Animal Species</b></p>	<p>For the Animal Species theme, a <b>medium sensitivity</b> was reported for the study area. Sensitive species identified by the Screening tool include: <i>Aroegas fuscus</i> (Brown false shieldback), <i>Dasymys robertsii</i> (Robert's shaggy rat), and <i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i> (Secretary Bird).</p>
		<p><b>Terrestrial Sensitivity</b></p>	<p>The Terrestrial Sensitivity for the study area has a very <b>high sensitivity</b>. Triggered features include: CBA1, CBA2, ESA1, and ESA2.</p>

<sup>3</sup> **SAPAD (2020):** The definition of protected areas follows the definition of a protected area as defined in the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, (Act 57 of 2003). Chapter 2 of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003 sets out the “System of Protected Areas”, which consists of the following kinds of protected areas - 1. Special nature reserves; 2. National parks; 3. Nature reserves; 4. Protected environments (1-4 declared in terms of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2003); 5. World heritage sites declared in terms of the World Heritage Convention Act; 6. Marine protected areas declared in terms of the Marine Living Resources Act; 7. Specially protected forest areas, forest nature reserves, and forest wilderness areas declared in terms of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998); and 8. Mountain catchment areas declared in terms of the Mountain Catchment Areas Act, 1970 (Act No. 63 of 1970).

<sup>4</sup> **SACAD (2020):** The types of conservation areas that are currently included in the database are the following: 1. Biosphere reserves, 2. Ramsar sites, 3. Stewardship agreements (other than nature reserves and protected environments), 4. Botanical gardens, 5. Transfrontier conservation areas, 6. Transfrontier parks, 7. Military conservation areas and 8. Conservancies.



LIMPOPO CONSERVATION PLAN V2 (2013)	
<p><b>Ecological Support Areas 1</b> Figure 6</p>	<p>Parts of the powerlines and waterlines transverse areas considered to be ESA 1.</p> <p>ESA 1 are in a largely natural state.</p> <p>Land management recommendations: Implement appropriate zoning and land management guidelines to avoid impacting on ecological processes. Avoid intensification of land use and fragmentation of natural landscapes. Incompatible land use: Urban land use including Residential (including golf estates, rural residential, and resorts). Business, Mining and Industrial: Infrastructure (roads, powerlines, pipelines).</p>
<p><b>Ecological Support Areas 2</b> Figure 6</p>	<p>Parts of the powerlines and waterlines, the compressed airline, change house as well as three ventilation shafts (and associated infrastructure) are located within an ESA 2.</p> <p>ESA 2 areas are no longer intact but potentially retain significant importance from a process perspective (e.g., maintaining landscape connectivity).</p> <p>Land management recommendations: Maintain current land-use. Avoid any intensification of the current land-use which may result in additional impact on ecological processes. Incompatible land-use: any land-use activity that results in additional impacts on ecological functioning mostly associated with the intensification of and use in the area.</p>
<p><b>Flora</b></p>	<p>A Key location for a key vegetation community intersects the study area. The entire extent of the study area is located within an area identified as important for Red Data Species.</p>
MINING & BIODIVERSITY GUIDELINES	
<p><b>High Biodiversity Importance</b> Figure 7</p>	<p>The study area is located within areas identified as <b>Highest Biodiversity Importance</b> and <b>High Biodiversity Importance</b>, according to the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2012). Small sections of the powerlines and waterlines throughout the study area transverse areas of High Biodiversity Importance.</p> <p><b>Areas of Highest Biodiversity Importance</b> <u>Risk for mining:</u> Highest risk for mining. <u>Implications for mining:</u> EIA's and their associated specialist studies should focus on confirming the presence and significance of these biodiversity features, and to provide site-specific basis on which to apply the mitigation hierarchy to inform regulatory decision-making for mining, water use licenses, and environmental authorisations. If confirmed, the risk of fatal flaws is high.</p> <p><b>Areas of High Biodiversity Importance</b> <u>Risk for mining:</u> High risk for mining. <u>Implications for mining:</u> These areas are important for conserving biodiversity, for supporting or buffering other biodiversity priority areas, for maintaining important ecosystem services for communities or the country. An EIA should include an assessment of optimum, sustainable land use for a particular area and will determine the significance of the impact on spatial biodiversity.</p>
STRATEGIC WATER SOURCE AREA (SWSA) FOR SURFACE WATER (2017)	
<p>Surface water SWsAs are defined as areas of land that supply a disproportionate (i.e., relatively large) quantity of mean annual surface water runoff in relation to their size. They include transboundary areas that extend into Lesotho and Swaziland. The sub-national Water Source Areas (WSAs) are not nationally strategic as defined in the report but were included to provide a complete coverage.</p>	
<p><b>Name &amp; Criteria</b></p>	<p>The study area is not within 10 km of a Strategic Water Source Area.</p>

NBA = National Biodiversity Assessment; SAPAD = South African Protected Areas Database; SACAD = South African Conservation Areas Database; NPAES = National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy; IBA = Important Bird Area; MAP = Mean annual precipitation; MAT = Mean annual temperature; MAPE = Mean annual potential evaporation; MFD = Mean Frost Days; MASMS = Mean annual soil moisture stress (% of days when evaporative demand was more than double the soil moisture supply); CBA = Critical Biodiversity Areas; ESA = Ecological Support Areas.






Figure 4: The remaining extent of the Makhado Sweet Thornveld (LC), according to the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018).






Figure 5: Protected Areas within 10 km of the study area (NPAES, 2009).






Figure 6: Importance of the study area according to the Limpopo Conservation Plan Version 2 (2013).






Figure 7: Importance of the study according to the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2012).



## 4 STRUCTURE OF THE BIODIVERSITY REPORT

**Part A** of this report served to introduce the study area, as well as the general approach to the study. Part A also presents the results of general desktop information reviewed as part of the study including the information generated by the relevant authorities as well as the context of the site in relation to the surrounding anthropogenic activities and ecological character.

**Part B** presents the results of the floral field assessment, data analyses and discussion of the results. Part B then presents the results of the impact assessment where the impacts on floral ecology and biodiversity are discussed.

**Part C** presents the results of the faunal field assessment, data analyses and discussion of the results. Part C then presents the results of the impact assessment where the impacts on faunal ecology and biodiversity are discussed.



## 5 REFERENCES

- Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA)
- Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996
- Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) and SANBI. (2009). *National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy Resource Document*. Online available: <http://bgis.sanbi.org/protectedareas/NPAESinfo.asp>
- Government Notice R598 Alien and Invasive Species Regulations as published in the Government Gazette 37885 dated 1 September 2014 as it relates to the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998)
- Hui C, Richardson DM (2017) *Invasion dynamics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198745334.001.0001>
- IBA: Marnewick MD, Retief EF, Theron NT, Wright DR, Anderson TA. (2015). *Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas of South Africa*. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa. Online available: <http://bgis.sanbi.org/IBA/project.asp>
- Mucina, L. and Rutherford, M.C. (2006). *The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19.*, (South African National Biodiversity Institute: Pretoria, South Africa). *Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa*
- National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA)
- National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA)
- National Web Based Environmental Screening Tool (2020). Accessible online: <https://screening.environment.gov.za/screeningtool/#/pages/welcome>
- Richardson DM, Pyšek P, Carlton JT (2011) A compendium of essential concepts and terminology in invasion ecology. In: Richardson DM (ed) *Fifty years of invasion ecology. The legacy of Charles Elton*. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 409–420. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444329988.ch30>
- SABAP2, 2015. The South Africa Bird Atlas Project 2 database
- SACAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. (2019). *South Africa Conservation Areas Database (SACAD\_OR\_2020\_Q2)*. Online available: [<http://egis.environment.gov.za>]
- SANBI (2009). PRECIS Information Database. The South African National Biodiversity Institute is thanked for the use of data from the National Herbarium, Pretoria (PRE) Computerised Information System (PRECIS). Online available: [http://posa.sanbi.org/intro\\_precis.php](http://posa.sanbi.org/intro_precis.php)
- SANBI. 2018a. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level - remaining extent [Vector] 2018. URL: <http://bgis.sanbi.org>
- SANBI. 2018b. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level layer [Vector] 2018. URL: <http://bgis.sanbi.org>
- SANBI BGIS (2019). The South African National Biodiversity Institute - Biodiversity GIS (BGIS) [online]. URL: <http://bgis.sanbi.org> as retrieved in 2019
- South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI). 2018c. Final Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland [Vector] 2018. Available from the Biodiversity GIS website
- SAPAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. (2019). *South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD\_OR\_2020\_Q2)*. Online available: [<http://egis.environment.gov.za>]
- Van Wyk, A. E., & Smith, G. F. (2001). *Regions of floristic endemism in southern Africa: a review with emphasis on succulents*. Umduas press
- Wilson JRU, Gaertner M, Richardson DM et al (2017) Contributions to the national status report on biological invasions in South Africa. *Bothalia* 47:a2207. <https://doi.org/10.4102/abc.v47i2.2207>





## **APPENDIX A: Indemnity and Terms of Use of this Report**

The findings, results, observations, conclusions and recommendations given in this report are based on the author's best scientific and professional knowledge as well as available information. The report is based on survey and assessment techniques which are limited by seasonality, time and budgetary constraints relevant to the type and level of investigation undertaken as well as the project program and STS CC and its staff, at their sole discretion, reserve the right to modify aspects of the report including the recommendations if and when new information may become available from ongoing research or further work in this field or pertaining to this investigation.

Although STS CC exercises due care and diligence in rendering services and preparing documents, STS CC accepts no liability and the client, by receiving this document, indemnifies STS CC and its directors, managers, agents and employees against all actions, claims, demands, losses, liabilities, costs, damages and expenses arising from or in connection with services rendered, directly or indirectly by STS CC and by the use of the information contained in this document.

This report must not be altered or added to without the prior written consent of the author. This also refers to electronic copies of this report which are supplied for the purposes of inclusion as part of other reports, including main reports. Similarly, any recommendations, statements or conclusions drawn from or based on this report must refer to this report. If these form part of a main report relating to this investigation or report, this report must be included in its entirety as an appendix or separate section to the main report.



## APPENDIX B: Legislative Requirements

### The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996

The environment and the health and well-being of people are safeguarded under the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 by way of section 24. Section 24(a) guarantees a right to an environment that is not harmful to human health or well-being and to environmental protection for the benefit of present and future generations. Section 24(b) directs the state to take reasonable legislative and other measures to prevent pollution, promote conservation, and secure the ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources (including water and mineral resources) while promoting justifiable economic and social development. Section 27 guarantees every person the right of access to sufficient water, and the state is obliged to take reasonable legislative and other measures within its available resources to achieve the progressive realisation of this right. Section 27 is defined as a socio-economic right and not an environmental right. However, read with section 24 it requires of the state to ensure that water is conserved and protected and that sufficient access to the resource is provided. Water regulation in South Africa places a great emphasis on protecting the resource and on providing access to water for everyone.

### The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA)

The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) and the associated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (GN R326 as amended in 2017 and well as listing notices 1, 2 and 3 (GN R327, R325 and R324 of 2017), state that prior to any development taking place which triggers any activity as listed within the abovementioned regulations, an environmental authorisation process needs to be followed. This could follow either the Basic Assessment process or the Environmental Impact Assessment process depending on the nature of the activity and scale of the impact.

### The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA)

The objectives of this act are (within the framework of NEMA) to provide for:

- The management and conservation of biological diversity within the Republic of South Africa and of the components of such diversity;
- The use of indigenous biological resources in a sustainable manner;
- The fair and equitable sharing among stakeholders of the benefits arising from bio prospecting involving indigenous biological resources;
- To give effect to ratify international agreements relating to biodiversity which are binding to the Republic;
- To provide for cooperative governance in biodiversity management and conservation; and
- To provide for a South African National Biodiversity Institute to assist in achieving the objectives of this Act.

This act alludes to the fact that management of biodiversity must take place to ensure that the biodiversity of the surrounding areas are not negatively impacted upon, by any activity being undertaken, in order to ensure the fair and equitable sharing among stakeholders of the benefits arising from indigenous biological resources.

Furthermore, a person may not carry out a restricted activity involving either:

- a) A specimen of a listed threatened or protected species;
- b) Specimens of an alien species; or
- c) A specimen of a listed invasive species without a permit.



## **The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 10 of 1998), as amended in September 2011 (NFA)**

According to the department of Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries (DEFF) (previously the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF)) ©2019 website (<https://www.daff.gov.za/daffweb3/>):

“In terms of the National Forests Act of 1998 certain tree species (types of trees) can be identified and declared as protected. The Department of Water Affairs and Forestry followed an objective, scientific and participative process to arrive at the new list of protected tree species, enacted in 2004. All trees occurring in natural forests are also protected in terms of the Act. Protective actions take place within the framework of the Act as well as national policy and guidelines. Trees are protected for a variety of reasons, and some species require strict protection while others require control over harvesting and utilisation.”

Applicable sections of the NFA pertaining to the proposed project include the below:

### **Section 12:**

Declaration of trees as protected

- 1) The Minister may declare-
  - a. particular tree,
  - b. a particular group of trees,
  - c. a particular woodland; or
  - d. trees belonging to a particular species, to be a protected tree, group of trees, woodland or species.
- 2) The Minister may make such a declaration only if he or she is of the opinion that the tree, group of trees, woodland or species is not already adequately protected in terms of other legislation.
- 3) In exercising a discretion in terms of this section, the Minister must consider the principles set out in section 3(3) of the NFA.

### **Section 15(1):**

No person may cut, disturb, damage or destroy any protected tree or possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree or any forest product derived from a protected tree, except under a licence granted by the Minister or in terms of an exemption from the provisions of this subsection published by the Minister in the Gazette.

Contravention of this declaration is regarded as a first category offence that may result in a person who is found guilty of being sentenced to a fine or imprisonment for a period up to three years, or both a fine and imprisonment.

## **Government Notice 598 Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (2014), including the Government Notice 1003 Alien Invasive Species List as published in the Government Gazette 43726 of 2020, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004)**

NEMBA is administered by the Department of Environmental Affairs and aims to provide for the management and conservation of South Africa's biodiversity within the framework of the NEMA. In terms of alien and invasive species. This act in terms of alien and invasive species aims to:

- Prevent the unauthorised introduction and spread of alien and invasive species to ecosystems and habitats where they do not naturally occur,
- Manage and control alien and invasive species, to prevent or minimise harm to the environment and biodiversity; and
- Eradicate alien species and invasive species from ecosystems and habitats where they may harm such ecosystems or habitats.

Alien species are defined, in terms of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act no 10 of 2004) as:

- (a) A species that is not an indigenous species; or



- (b) An indigenous species translocated or intended to be translocated to a place outside its natural distribution range in nature, but not an indigenous species that has extended its natural distribution range by natural means of migration or dispersal without human intervention.

Categories according to NEMBA (Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2017):

- **Category 1a:** Invasive species that require compulsory control;
- **Category 1b:** Invasive species that require control by means of an invasive species management programme;
- **Category 2:** Commercially used plants that may be grown in demarcated areas, provided that there is a permit and that steps are taken to prevent their spread; and
- **Category 3:** Ornamentally used plants that may no longer be planted.

### **The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA)**

Removal of the alien and weed species encountered in the application area must take place in order to comply with existing legislation (amendments to the regulations under the CARA, 1983 and Section 28 of the NEMA, 1998). Removal of species should take place throughout the construction and operation, phases.

### **Limpopo Environmental Management Act (Act No. 7 of 2003) (LEMA)**

The objectives of this Act are:

- ➤ to manage and protect the environment in the Province;
- ➤ to secure ecologically sustainable development and responsible use of natural resources in the Province;
- ➤ generally, to contribute to the progressive realisation of the fundamental rights contained in section 24 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa Act, 1996 (Act No. 108 of 1996), and
- ➤ to give effect to international agreements effecting environmental management which are binding on the Province.

This Act must be interpreted and applied in accordance with the national environmental management principles set out in Section 2 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998).

### **The Minerals and Petroleum Resource Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002) (MPRDA)**

The obtaining of a New Order Mining Right (NOMR) is governed by the MPRDA. The MPRDA requires the applicant to apply to the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) for a NOMR which triggers a process of compliance with the various applicable sections of the MPRDA. The NOMR process requires environmental authorisation in terms of the MPRDA Regulations and specifically requires the preparation of a Scoping Report, an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Management Programme (EMP), and a Public Participation Process (PPP).



## APPENDIX C: Impact Assessment Methodology

### SLR METHODOLOGY USED IN DETERMINING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Note: Part A provides the definition for determining impact consequence (combining intensity, spatial scale, and duration) and impact significance (the overall rating of the impact). Impact consequence and significance is determined from Part B and C. The interpretation of the impact significance is given in Part D.

The method to be used for assessing risks/impacts is outlined in the sections below.

PART A: DEFINITIONS AND CRITERIA*		
<b>Definition of SIGNIFICANCE</b>	<b>Significance = consequence x probability</b>	
<b>Definition of CONSEQUENCE</b>	<b>Consequence is a function of intensity, spatial extent and duration</b>	
<b>Criteria for ranking of the INTENSITY of environmental impacts</b>	<b>VH</b>	Severe change, disturbance or degradation. Associated with severe consequences. May result in severe illness, injury or death. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern continually exceeded. Substantial intervention will be required. Vigorous/widespread community mobilisation against the project can be expected. May result in legal action if impact occurs.
	<b>H</b>	Prominent change, disturbance or degradation. Associated with real and substantial consequences. May result in illness or injury. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern regularly exceeded. Will definitely require intervention. Threats of community action. Regular complaints can be expected when the impact takes place.
	<b>M</b>	Moderate change, disturbance or discomfort. Associated with real but not substantial consequences. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern may occasionally be exceeded. Likely to require some intervention. Occasional complaints can be expected.
	<b>L</b>	Minor (Slight) change, disturbance or nuisance. Associated with minor consequences or deterioration. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern rarely exceeded. Require only minor interventions or clean-up actions. Sporadic complaints could be expected.
	<b>VL</b>	Negligible change, disturbance or nuisance. Associated with very minor consequences or deterioration. Targets, limits and thresholds of concern never exceeded. No interventions or clean-up actions required. No complaints anticipated.
	<b>VL+</b>	Negligible change or improvement. Almost no benefits. Change not measurable/will remain in the current range.
	<b>L+</b>	Minor change or improvement. Minor benefits. Change not measurable/will remain in the current range. Few people will experience benefits.
	<b>M+</b>	Moderate change or improvement. Real but not substantial benefits. Will be within or marginally better than the current conditions. A small number of people will experience benefits.
	<b>H+</b>	Prominent change or improvement. Real and substantial benefits. Will be better than current conditions. Many people will experience benefits. General community support.
	<b>VH+</b>	Substantial, large-scale change or improvement. Considerable and widespread benefit. Will be much better than the current conditions. Favourable publicity and/or widespread support expected.
<b>Criteria for ranking the DURATION of impacts</b>	<b>VL</b>	Very short, always less than a year. Quickly reversible
	<b>L</b>	Short-term, occurs for more than 1 but less than 5 years. Reversible over time.
	<b>M</b>	Medium-term, 5 to 10 years.
	<b>H</b>	Long term, between 10 and 20 years. (Likely to cease at the end of the operational life of the activity)
	<b>VH</b>	Very long, permanent, +20 years (Irreversible. Beyond closure)
<b>Criteria for ranking the EXTENT of impacts</b>	<b>VL</b>	A part of the site/property.
	<b>L</b>	Whole site.
	<b>M</b>	Beyond the site boundary, affecting immediate neighbours
	<b>H</b>	Local area, extending far beyond site boundary.
	<b>VH</b>	Regional/National



PART B: DETERMINING CONSEQUENCE							
<b>INTENSITY = VL</b>							
<b>DURATION</b>	Very long	VH	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High
	Long term	H	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
	Medium term	M	Very Low	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Short term	L	Very low	Very Low	Low	Low	Low
	Very short	VL	Very low	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Low
<b>INTENSITY = L</b>							
<b>DURATION</b>	Very long	VH	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Long term	H	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
	Medium term	M	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium
	Short term	L	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
	Very short	VL	Very low	Low	Low	Low	Medium
<b>INTENSITY = M</b>							
<b>DURATION</b>	Very long	VH	Medium	High	High	High	Very High
	Long term	H	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Medium term	M	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Short term	L	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
	Very short	VL	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Medium
<b>INTENSITY = H</b>							
<b>DURATION</b>	Very long	VH	High	High	High	Very High	Very High
	Long term	H	Medium	High	High	High	Very High
	Medium term	M	Medium	Medium	High	High	High
	Short term	L	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High
	Very short	VL	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
<b>INTENSITY = VH</b>							
<b>DURATION</b>	Very long	VH	High	High	Very High	Very High	Very High
	Long term	H	High	High	High	Very High	Very High
	Medium term	M	Medium	High	High	High	Very High
	Short term	L	Medium	Medium	High	High	High
	Very short	VL	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
			VL	L	M	H	VH
			A part of the site/ property	Whole site	Beyond the site, affecting neighbours	Extending far beyond site but localised	Regional/ National
<b>EXTENT</b>							

PART C: DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE							
<b>PROBABILITY (of exposure to impacts)</b>	Definite/ Continuous	VH	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
	Probable	H	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
	Possible/ frequent	M	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Medium	High
	Conceivable	L	Insignificant	Very Low	Low	Medium	High
	Unlikely/ improbable	VL	Insignificant	Insignificant	Very Low	Low	Medium
			VL	L	M	H	VVH
<b>CONSEQUENCE</b>							



PART D: INTERPRETATION OF SIGNIFICANCE	
Significance	Decision guideline
Very High	Potential fatal flaw unless mitigated to lower significance.
High	It must have an influence on the decision. Substantial mitigation will be required.
Medium	It should have an influence on the decision. Mitigation will be required.
Low	Unlikely that it will have a real influence on the decision. Limited mitigation is likely required.
Very Low	It will not have an influence on the decision. Does not require any mitigation
Insignificant	Inconsequential, not requiring any consideration.

\*VH = very high, H = high, M= medium, L= low and VL= very low and + denotes a positive impact.

### **Mitigation measure development**

The following points present the key concepts considered in the development of mitigation measures for the proposed development.

- *Mitigation and performance improvement measures* and actions that address the risks and impacts<sup>5</sup> are identified and described in as much detail as possible.
- Measures and actions to address negative impacts will favour avoidance and prevention over minimisation, mitigation or compensation.
- Desired outcomes are defined, and have been developed in such a way as to be *measurable events with performance indicators, targets and acceptable criteria* that can be tracked over *defined periods*, with estimates of the *resources* (including human resource and training requirements) *and responsibilities for implementation*.

### **Recommendations**

Recommendations were developed to address and mitigate impacts associated with the proposed development. These recommendations also include general management measures which apply to the proposed development as a whole. Mitigation measures have been developed to address issues in all phases throughout the life of the operation from planning, through to construction and operation.

<sup>5</sup> Mitigation measures should address both positive and negative impacts



## APPENDIX D: Vegetation Types

### Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (SVcb 27)

**Remarks:** This semi-arid bushveld is a disturbed and degraded system with many erosion dongas. However, much of the erosion can be attributed to inherent edaphic properties. The unit is situated in the Sekhukhuneland CE (Van Wyk & Smith 2001). Several endemic taxa of this unit still require formal description (Siebert et al. 2001). It is related to SVcb 28 Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, SVcb 23 Polokwane Plateau Bushveld and SVcb 15 Springbokvlakte Thornveld in terms of floristic diversity, species richness and vegetation structure (Breebaart & Deutschländer 1997, Siebert et al. 2002b).

**Table D1: Dominant & typical floristic species of the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012)**

Group	Species
<b>Woody Species</b>	
<b>Tall Trees</b>	<i>Vachellia erioloba</i> , <i>Philenoptera violacea</i>
<b>Small trees</b>	<i>Senegalia mellifera</i> subsp. <i>detinens</i> (d), <i>Vachellia nilotica</i> (d), <i>Vachellia tortilis</i> subsp. <i>heteracantha</i> (d), <i>Boscia foetida</i> subsp. <i>rehmanniana</i> (d), <i>Vachellia grandicornuta</i> , <i>Albizia anthelmintica</i> , <i>Balanites maughamii</i> , <i>Combretum imberbe</i> , <i>Commiphora glandulosa</i> , <i>Maerua angolensis</i> , <i>Markhamia zanzibarica</i> , <i>Mystroxydon aethiopicum</i> subsp. <i>schlechteri</i> , <i>Ptaeroxylon obliquum</i> , <i>Schotia brachypetala</i> , <i>Ziziphus mucronata</i> .
<b>Tall shrubs</b>	<i>Searsia engleri</i> (d), <i>Cadaba termitaria</i> , <i>Dichrostachys cinerea</i> , <i>Ehretia rigida</i> subsp. <i>rigida</i> , <i>Grewia bicolor</i> , <i>Karomia speciosa</i> , <i>Maerua decumbens</i> , <i>Rhigozum brevispinosum</i> , <i>R. obovatum</i> , <i>Tinnea rhodesiana</i> , <i>Triaspis glaucophylla</i> .
<b>Low shrubs</b>	<i>Felicia clavopilosa</i> subsp. <i>transvaalensis</i> (d), <i>Seddera suffruticosa</i> (d), <i>Lasiosiphon polycephalus</i> , <i>Gossypium herbaceum</i> subsp. <i>africanum</i> , <i>Jamesbrittenia atropurpurea</i> , <i>Jatropha latifolia</i> var. <i>latifolia</i> , <i>Lantana rugosa</i> , <i>Melhania rehmannii</i> , <i>Justicia divaricata</i> , <i>Myrothamnus flabellifolius</i> , <i>Pechuel-Loeschea leubnitziae</i> , <i>Plinthus rehmannii</i> .
<b>Succulent Shrubs</b>	<i>Aloe cryptopoda</i> (d), <i>Euphorbia enormis</i> (d), <i>Kleinia longiflora</i> (d), <i>Aloe castanea</i> , <i>A. globuligemma</i> .
<b>Succulents</b>	
<b>Succulent climbers</b>	<i>Cynanchum viminalis</i> .
<b>Succulent trees</b>	<i>Euphorbia tirucalli</i> (d).
<b>Herbaceous species</b>	
<b>Herbaceous climbers</b>	<i>Coccinia rehmannii</i> , <i>Decorsea schlechteri</i> .
<b>Herbs</b>	<i>Ocimum filamentosum</i> (d), <i>Phyllanthus maderaspatensis</i> (d), <i>Blepharis integrifolia</i> , <i>Corchorus asplenifolius</i> , <i>Hibiscus praeteritus</i> , <i>Ipomoea magnusiana</i> .
<b>Geophytic Herbs</b>	<i>Drimys altissima</i> , <i>Sansevieria pearsonii</i>
<b>Graminoids</b>	
<b>Grasses</b>	<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i> (d), <i>Enneapogon cenchroides</i> (d), <i>Panicum maximum</i> (d), <i>Urochloa mosambicensis</i> (d), <i>Aristida adscensionis</i> , <i>A. congesta</i> , <i>Eragrostis barbinodis</i> , <i>Paspalum distichum</i> , <i>Schmidtia pappophoroides</i> , <i>Stipagrostis hirtigluma</i> subsp. <i>patula</i> , <i>Tragus berteronianus</i> .

\*(d) – Dominant species for the vegetation type





## APPENDIX E: Details, Expertise And Curriculum Vitae of Specialists

### 1. (a) (i) Details of the specialist who prepared the report

Samantha-Leigh Daniels	PhD Candidate Plant Science (University of Pretoria)
Daryl van der Merwe	MSc (Conservation Biology) (University of Cape Town)
Christien Steyn	MSc Plant Science (University of Pretoria)
Christopher Hooton	BTech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)
Kim Marais	BSc (Hons) Zoology (Herpetology) (University of the Witwatersrand)
Nelanie Cloete	MSc Botany and Environmental Management (University of Johannesburg)

### 1. (A). (ii) The expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae

Company of Specialist:	Scientific Terrestrial Services		
Name / Contact person:	Nelanie Cloete		
Postal address:	PO. Box 751779, Gardenview		
Postal code:	2047	Cell:	084 311 4878
Telephone:	011 616 7893	Fax:	086 724 3132
E-mail:	<a href="mailto:Nelanie@sasenvgroup.co.za">Nelanie@sasenvgroup.co.za</a>		
Qualifications	MSc Environmental Management (University of Johannesburg) MSc Botany (University of Johannesburg) BSc (Hons) Botany (University of Johannesburg) BSc (Botany and Zoology) (Rand Afrikaans University)		
Registration / Associations	Professional member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP) Member of the South African Association of Botanists (SAAB) Member of the International Affiliation for Impact Assessments (IAIAsa) South Africa group Member of the Grassland Society of South Africa (GSSA)		


Company of Specialist:	Scientific Terrestrial Services		
Name / Contact person:	Kim Marais		
Postal address:	PO. Box 751779, Gardenview		
Postal code:	2047	Cell:	071 413 2245
Telephone:	011 616 7893	Fax:	086 724 3132
E-mail:	<a href="mailto:kim@sasenvgroup.co.za">kim@sasenvgroup.co.za</a>		
Qualifications	BSc (Hons) Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand) BSc (Zoology and Conservation) (University of the Witwatersrand)		
Registration / Associations	Registered Professional Scientist at South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP) Member of South African Wetland Forum		



**1. (b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority**

I, Samantha-Leigh Daniels, declare that -

- I act as the **independent specialist** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct



-----  
Signature of the Specialist

I, Daryl van der Merwe, declare that -

- I act as the **independent specialist** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct



-----  
Signature of the Specialist



I, Christien Steyn, declare that -


- I act as the **independent specialist (reviewer)** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct



-----  
Signature of the Specialist

I, Christopher Hooton, declare that -


- I act as the **independent specialist (reviewer)** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct.



-----  
Specialist Signature

I, Kim Marais, declare that -

- I act as the **independent specialist (reviewer)** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct



-----  
Signature of the Specialist



I, Nelanie Cloete, declare that -

- I act as the **independent specialist (reviewer)** in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the relevant legislation and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the applicable legislation;
- I have not, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct



-----  
Signature of the Specialist





**SAS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP OF COMPANIES –  
SPECIALIST CONSULTANT INFORMATION**

**CURRICULUM VITAE OF SAMANTHA-LEIGH DANIELS**

**PERSONAL DETAILS**

Position in Company	Contract Ecologist
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2020

**EDUCATION**

**Qualifications**

PhD (Plant Science) (University of Pretoria)	Present
MSc (Plant Science) (University of Pretoria)	2017
BSc (Hons) Zoology & Entomology (University of Pretoria)	2014
BSC Zoology & Entomology (University of Pretoria)	2013

**AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE**

**South Africa** – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, KwaZulu-Natal, North West

**KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES**

**Experience**

- Desktop Delineations
- Invertebrate and plant surveys along the Sani Pass as part of an ongoing research project
- Bush encroachment surveys within Mpumalanga
- Grassland Surveys at Rietvlei Nature Reserve

**Training**

- Plant species identification
- Herbarium usage and protocols





## SAS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP OF COMPANIES – SPECIALIST CONSULTANT INFORMATION

### CURRICULUM VITAE OF **DARYL VAN DER MERWE**

#### PERSONAL DETAILS

Position in Company	Field Biologist
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2019

#### MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES

Member of the South African Environmental Observation Network (SAEON)

#### EDUCATION

##### Qualifications

MSc (Conservation Biology) (University of Cape Town)	2019
BSc (Hons) Plant Science (Ecology) (University of Pretoria)	2014
BSc Environmental Science (University of Pretoria)	2013

#### AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

**South Africa** – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, Western Cape, Northern Cape

#### KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

##### Biodiversity Assessments

- Faunal assessments
- Invertebrate assessments
- Invertebrate monitoring
- Avifaunal Assessments
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scans
- Terrestrial Monitoring
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting

##### Legislative Requirements, Processes and Assessments

- Water Use Applications (Water Use License Applications/ General Authorisations)
- Environmental and Water Use Audits
- Freshwater Resource Management and Monitoring as part of the EMPR and WUL conditions





## SAS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP OF COMPANIES – SPECIALIST CONSULTANT INFORMATION

### CURRICULUM VITAE OF CHRISTOPHER HOOTON

#### PERSONAL DETAILS

Position in Company	Senior Scientist, Member Biodiversity Specialist
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2013

#### EDUCATION

##### Qualifications

BTech Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)	2013
National Diploma Nature Conservation (Tshwane University of Technology)	2008

##### Short Courses

Certificate – Department of Environmental Science in Legal context of Environmental Management, Compliance and Enforcement (UNISA)	2009
Introduction to Project Management - Online course by the University of Adelaide	2016
Integrated Water Resource Management, the National Water Act, and Water Use Authorisations, focusing on WULAs and IWWMPs	2017

#### AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

**South Africa** – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, Western Cape, Northern Cape, Free State  
**Africa** - Zimbabwe, Sierra Leone

#### KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

##### Biodiversity Assessments

- Floral Assessments
- Faunal Assessments
- Biodiversity Actions Plan (BAP)
- Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP)
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scan
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting
- Biodiversity Offset Plan

##### Freshwater Assessments

- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning





## SAS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP OF COMPANIES – SPECIALIST CONSULTANT INFORMATION

### CURRICULUM VITAE OF CHRISTIEN STEYN

#### PERSONAL DETAILS

Position in Company	Floral Ecologist
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2018

#### MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES

Member of the South African Association of Botanists (SAAB)  
Member of the Botanical Society of South Africa (BotSoc)

#### EDUCATION

##### Qualifications

MSc (Plant Science) (University of Pretoria)	2017
BSc (Hons) Plant Science (Invasion Biology) (University of Pretoria)	2014
BSc Environmental Science (University of Pretoria)	2013

#### AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

**South Africa** – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Northern Cape, Free State

#### KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

##### Biodiversity Assessments

- Terrestrial Ecological and Biodiversity Scoping Assessments
- Terrestrial Ecological and Biodiversity Screening Assessments
- Floral Assessments
- Input into Terrestrial Rehabilitation Plan design with the focus on the re-establishment of vegetation
- Floral Rescue and Relocation Plans
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Terrestrial Monitoring
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting
- Desktop Studies, Mapping and Background Information Research

##### Training

- Alien and Invasive Plant Identification and awareness







## SAS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP OF COMPANIES – SPECIALIST CONSULTANT INFORMATION

### CURRICULUM VITAE OF **KIM MARAIS**

#### PERSONAL DETAILS

Position in Company	Senior Scientist Water Resource Manager
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2015

#### MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES

Professional member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP – Reg No. 117137/17)  
Member of the Western Cape Wetland Forum (WCWF)

#### EDUCATION

##### Qualifications

BSc (Hons) Zoology (University of the Witwatersrand)	2012
BSc (Zoology and Conservation) (University of the Witwatersrand)	2011

##### Short Courses

Aquatic and Wetland Plant Identification (Cripsis Environment)	2019
Tools for Wetland Assessment (Rhodes University)	2018
Certificate in Environmental Law for Environmental Managers (CEM)	2014
Certificate for Introduction to Environmental Management (CEM)	2013

#### KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

##### Biodiversity Assessments

- Biodiversity Action Plans (BAP)
- Alien and Invasive Control Plans (AICP)
- Faunal Eco Scans
- Faunal Impact Assessments

##### Freshwater Assessments

- Desktop Freshwater Delineation
- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning
- Watercourse Maintenance and Management Plans
- Freshwater Offset Plan

##### Aquatic Ecological Assessment and Water Quality Studies

- Riparian Vegetation Integrity (VEGRAI)
- Water quality Monitoring
- Riverine Rehabilitation Plans

##### Legislative Requirements, Processes and Assessments

- Water Use Applications (Water Use Licence Applications / General Authorisations)
- Water Use Audits
- Freshwater Resource Management and Monitoring as part of EMPR and WUL conditions
- Public Participation processes





## SAS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP OF COMPANIES – SPECIALIST CONSULTANT INFORMATION

### CURRICULUM VITAE OF NELANIE CLOETE

#### PERSONAL DETAILS

Position in Company	Senior Scientist, Member Botanical Science and Terrestrial Ecology
Joined SAS Environmental Group of Companies	2011

#### MEMBERSHIP IN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES

Professional member of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP – Reg No. 400503/14)  
 Member of the South African Association of Botanists (SAAB)  
 Member of the International Affiliation for Impact Assessments (IAIAsa) South Africa group  
 Member of the Grassland Society of South Africa (GSSA)  
 Member of the Botanical Society of South Africa (BotSoc)  
 Member of the Gauteng Wetland Forum (GWF)

#### EDUCATION

##### Qualifications

MSc Environmental Management (University of Johannesburg)	2013
MSc Botany (University of Johannesburg)	2007
BSc (Hons) Botany (University of Johannesburg)	2005
BSc (Botany and Zoology) (Rand Afrikaans University)	2004

##### Short Courses

Certificate – Department of Environmental Science in Legal context of Environmental Management, Compliance and Enforcement (UNISA)	2009
Introduction to Project Management - Online course by the University of Adelaide	2016
Integrated Water Resource Management, the National Water Act, and Water Use Authorisations, focusing on WULAs and IWWMPs	2017

#### AREAS OF WORK EXPERIENCE

**South Africa** – Gauteng, Mpumalanga, North West, Limpopo, KwaZulu-Natal, Northern Cape, Eastern Cape, Free State

**Africa** - Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC)

#### KEY SPECIALIST DISCIPLINES

##### Biodiversity Assessments

- Floral Assessments
- Biodiversity Actions Plan (BAP)
- Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP)
- Alien and Invasive Control Plan (AICP)
- Ecological Scan
- Terrestrial Monitoring
- Protected Tree and Floral Marking and Reporting
- Biodiversity Offset Plan

##### Freshwater Assessments

- Desktop Freshwater Delineation
- Freshwater Verification Assessment
- Freshwater (wetland / riparian) Delineation and Assessment
- Freshwater Eco Service and Status Determination
- Rehabilitation Assessment / Planning
- Plant species and Landscape Plan

##### Legislative Requirements, Processes and Assessments

- Water Use Applications (Water Use Licence Applications / General Authorisations)
- Environmental and Water Use Audits
- Freshwater Resource Management and Monitoring as part of EMPR and WUL conditions





**SCIENTIFIC TERRESTRIAL SERVICES**

Reg No. 2005/122/329/23  
VAT Reg No. 4150274472  
PO Box 751779  
Gardenview  
2047  
Tel: 011 616 7893  
Fax: 086 724 3132  
Email: [admin@sasenvgroup.co.za](mailto:admin@sasenvgroup.co.za)  
[www.sasenvironmental.co.za](http://www.sasenvironmental.co.za)

**BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT AS PART OF THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION PROCESS FOR THE  
DEVELOPMENT OF SURFACE INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE  
MARULA PLATINUM MINE, LIMPOPO PROVINCE**

**Prepared for**

**SLR Consulting Ltd.**

**January 2022**

**Part B: Floral Assessment**

**Prepared by:** Scientific Terrestrial Services CC  
**Report author:** S. L Daniels  
**Report reviewers:** N. Cloete (Pr. Sci. Nat)  
C. Steyn  
**Report reference:** STS 200060



SAS Environmental Group of Companies

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF ACRONYMS</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>GLOSSARY OF TERMS</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>DOCUMENT GUIDE</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Scope of Work .....	3
1.3 Assumptions and Limitations .....	3
1.4 General Approach .....	4
1.5 Definitions, descriptions, and taxon nomenclature .....	5
1.6 Sensitivity Mapping .....	5
<b>2 RESULTS OF FLORAL ASSESSMENT</b> .....	<b>6</b>
2.1 Floral Ecological Discussion .....	7
2.1.1 Corridors on site .....	8
2.1.2 Ecological drivers / processes / functioning .....	8
2.2 Degraded Bushveld .....	12
2.3 Encroached Habitat .....	14
2.4 Rocky Habitat .....	16
2.5 Watercourse Habitat .....	19
2.6 Transformed Habitat .....	22
2.7 Sources of Habitat Degradation .....	24
2.7.1 Lack of vegetation and/or diversity .....	24
2.7.2 Bush encroachment .....	24
2.7.3 Alien and Invasive Plant (AIP) Species .....	25
<b>3 SENSITIVITY MAPPING</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b> .....	<b>34</b>
4.1 Activities and Aspect Register .....	35
4.2 Floral Impact Assessment Results .....	37
4.3 Impact Discussion .....	42
4.3.1 Impact on Floral Habitat and Diversity .....	42
4.3.2 Impacts on Floral SCC .....	43
4.3.3 Impact on CBAs, ESAs, Threatened Vegetation and Protected Areas .....	44
4.3.4 Probable Latent Impacts .....	45
4.3.5 Cumulative Impacts .....	45
4.4 Integrated Impact Mitigation .....	45
4.5 Floral Monitoring .....	48
<b>5 CONCLUSION</b> .....	<b>50</b>
<b>6 REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>52</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: Floral Method of Assessment</b> .....	<b>54</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: Floral SCC</b> .....	<b>58</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: Floral Species List</b> .....	<b>64</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Proposed infrastructure development layout within the Marula Platinum Mine.....	2
Figure 2:	Conceptual illustration of the habitat units associated with the study area as identified during the field assessment. ....	9
Figure 3:	Conceptual illustration of the habitat units associated with the northwestern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment. ....	10
Figure 4:	Conceptual illustration of the habitat units associated with the southeastern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment. ....	11
Figure 5:	Bush encroachment evident within the Encroached Habitat Unit. Main encroaches included <i>Dichrostachys cinerea</i> , <i>Vachellia nilotica</i> subsp. <i>kraussiana</i> and <i>Terminalia sericea</i> .....	25
Figure 6:	Conceptual illustration of the habitat sensitivity associated with the study area as identified during the field assessment.....	31
Figure 7:	Conceptual illustration of the habitat sensitivity associated with the northwestern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment. ....	32
Figure 8:	Conceptual illustration of the habitat sensitivity associated with the southeastern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment. ....	33

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Dominant alien floral species identified during the field assessment with their invasive status as per NEMBA: Alien and Invasive Species Lists, GN R1003 of 2020.....	27
Table 2:	A summary of the sensitivity of each habitat unit and implications for development. ....	29
Table 3:	Activities and Aspects likely to impact on the floral resources of the study area. ....	35
Table 4:	Impact on the floral habitat, diversity and SCC from the proposed Powerlines and water and TSF pipelines during the pre-construction phase, the construction phase, and the operational and maintenance phase of the proposed linear development.....	38
Table 5:	Impact on the floral habitat, diversity and SCC from the proposed Ventilation shafts and product stockpile during the preconstruction and planning phase, the construction phase and the decommissioning and closure phase of the mine.....	40
Table 6:	A summary of the mitigatory requirements for floral resources.....	46



## LIST OF ACRONYMS

AICP	Alien and Invasive Control Plans
AIP	Alien and Invasive Plants
BAP	Biodiversity Actions Plan
BGIS	Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems
BMP	Biodiversity Management Plan
BotSoc	Botanical Society of South Africa
CARA	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983)
CBA	Critical Biodiversity Area
CEM	Certificate in Environmental Law for Environmental Managers
DEFF	Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries
DMR	Department of Mineral Resources
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation
E-GIS	Environmental Geographical Information Systems
EA	Environmental Authorisation
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme
ESA	Ecological Support Area
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSSA	Grassland Society of South Africa
Ha	Hectare
IEM	Integrated Environmental Management
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature
LEDET	Limpopo Economic Development, Environmental and Tourism
LEMA	Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003)
MRA	Mining Rights Area
NBA	National Biodiversity Assessment
NFA	The National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998, amended 2001)
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998)
NEMBA	National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004)
NL	Not Listed
NWA	National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)
POSA	Plants of southern Africa
PRECIS	Pretoria Computer Information Systems
QDS	Quarter Degree Square (1:50,000 topographical mapping references)
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute
SAS	Scientific Aquatic Services
STS	Scientific Terrestrial Services CC
TOPS	Threatened or Protected species (in terms of NEMBA)



## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Most definitions are based on terms and concepts elaborated by Richardson *et al.* (2011), Hui and Richardson (2017), Wilson *et al.* (2017) and Skowno *et al.* (2019), with consideration to their applicability in the South African context, especially South African legislation [notably the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004), and the associated Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2014].

<b>Alien species</b> (syn. exotic species; non-native)	A species that is present in a region outside its natural range due to human actions (intentional or accidental) that have enabled it to overcome biogeographic barriers.
<b>Biodiversity Management Plan</b>	A plan aimed at ensuring the long-term survival in nature of an indigenous species, a migratory species or an ecosystem, published in terms of the Biodiversity Act. Norms and standards to guide the development of Biodiversity Management Plans for Species have been developed. At the time of writing, norms and standards for Biodiversity Management Plans for Ecosystems were in the process of being developed.
<b>Biological diversity or Biodiversity (as per the definition in NEMBA)</b>	The variability among living organisms from all sources including, terrestrial, marine and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part and also includes diversity within species, between species, and of ecosystems.
<b>Biome - as per Mucina and Rutherford (2006); after Low and Rebelo (1998).</b>	A broad ecological spatial unit representing major life zones of large natural areas – defined mainly by vegetation structure, climate and major large-scale disturbance factors (such as fires).
<b>Bioregion (as per the definition in NEMBA)</b>	A geographic region which has in terms of section 40(1) been determined as a bioregion for the purposes of this Act.
<b>Casual species</b>	Those alien species that do not form self-replacing populations in the invaded region and whose persistence depends on repeated introductions of propagules (Richardson <i>et al.</i> 2000; Pyšek <i>et al.</i> 2004). The term is generally used for plants.
<b>Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)</b>	A CBA is an area considered important for the survival of threatened species and includes valuable ecosystems such as wetlands, untransformed vegetation and ridges.
<b>Corridor</b>	A dispersal route or a physical connection of suitable habitats linking previously unconnected regions.
<b>Critically Endangered (CR) (IUCN Red List category)</b>	<b>Applied to both species/taxa and ecosystems:</b> A species is Critically Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Critically Endangered, indicating that the species is facing an extremely high risk of extinction. Critically Endangered ecosystem types are considered to be at an extremely high risk of collapse. Most of the ecosystem type has been severely or moderately modified from its natural state. The ecosystem type is likely to have lost much of its natural structure and functioning, and species associated with the ecosystem may have been lost. Critically endangered species are those considered to be at extremely high risk of extinction.
<b>Degradation</b>	The many human-caused processes that drive the decline or loss in biodiversity, ecosystem functions or ecosystem services in any terrestrial and associated aquatic ecosystems.
<b>Disturbance</b>	A temporal change, either regular or irregular (uncertain), in the environmental conditions that can trigger population fluctuations and secondary succession. Disturbance is an important driver of biological invasions.
<b>Driver (ecological)</b>	A driver is any natural or human-induced factor that directly or indirectly causes a change in ecosystem. A direct driver clearly influences ecosystem processes, where



	an indirect driver influences ecosystem processes through altering one or more direct drivers.
<b>Endangered (EN) (Red List category)</b>	<b>Applied to both species/taxa and ecosystems:</b> A species is Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Endangered, indicating that the species is facing a very high risk of extinction. Endangered ecosystem types are at a very high risk of collapse. Endangered species are those considered to be at very high risk of extinction.
<b>Endemic species</b>	Species that are only found within a pre-defined area. There can therefore be sub-continental (e.g. southern Africa), national (South Africa), provincial, regional or even within a particular mountain range.
<b>Ecological Support Area (ESA)</b>	An ESA provides connectivity and important ecological processes between CBAs and is therefore important in terms of habitat conservation.
<b>Habitat (as per the definition in NEMBA)</b>	A place where a species or ecological community naturally occurs.
<b>Indigenous vegetation (as per the definition in NEMA)</b>	Vegetation occurring naturally within a defined area, regardless of the level of alien infestation and where the topsoil has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years.
<b>Integrity (ecological)</b>	The integrity of an ecosystem refers to its functional completeness, including its components (species) its patterns (distribution) and its processes.
<b>Invasive species</b>	Alien species that sustain self-replacing populations over several life cycles, produce reproductive offspring, often in very large numbers at considerable distances from the parent and/or site of introduction, and have the potential to spread over long distances.
<b>Listed alien species</b>	All alien species that are regulated in South Africa under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004), Alien and Invasive Species (A&IS) Regulations, 2014.
<b>Least Threatened</b>	Least threatened ecosystems are still largely intact.
<b>Native species (syn. indigenous species)</b>	Species that are found within their natural range where they have evolved without human intervention (intentional or accidental). Also includes species that have expanded their range as a result of human modification of the environment that does not directly impact dispersal (e.g. species are still native if they increase their range as a result of watered gardens, but are alien if they increase their range as a result of spread along human-created corridors linking previously separate biogeographic regions).
<b>Red Data List (RDL) species</b>	According to the Red List of South African plants ( <a href="http://redlist.sanbi.org/">http://redlist.sanbi.org/</a> ) and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), organisms that fall into the Extinct in the Wild (EW), critically endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) categories of ecological status.
<b>Species of Conservation Concern (SCC)</b>	The term SCC in the context of this report refers to all RDL (Red Data) and IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature) listed threatened species as well as protected species of relevance to the project. These are species and subspecies that are important for South Africa's conservation decision-making processes.
<b>Threatened ecosystem</b>	An ecosystem that has been classified as Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable, based on an analysis of ecosystem threat status. A threatened ecosystem has lost or is losing vital aspects of its structure, function or composition. The Biodiversity Act allows the Minister of Environmental Affairs or a provincial MEC for Environmental Affairs to publish a list of threatened ecosystems. To date, threatened ecosystems have been listed only in the terrestrial environment. In cases where no list has yet been published by the Minister, such as for all aquatic ecosystems, the ecosystem threat status assessment in the NBA can be used as an interim list in planning and decision making. Also see Ecosystem threat status.
<b>Threatened species</b>	A species that has been classified as Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable, based on a conservation assessment (Red List), using a standard set of





	criteria developed by the IUCN for determining the likelihood of a species becoming extinct. A threatened species faces a high risk of extinction in the near future.
<b>Vulnerable (VU) (Red List category)</b>	<b>Applied to both species/taxa and ecosystems:</b> A species is Vulnerable when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Vulnerable, indicating that the species is facing a high risk of extinction. An ecosystem type is Vulnerable when the best available evidence indicates that it meets any of the criteria A to E for VU and is then considered to be at a high risk of collapse.
<b>Weeds</b>	A plant is a weed ' <i>if, in any specified geographical area, its populations grow entirely or predominantly in situations markedly disturbed by man (without, of course, being deliberately cultivated plants)</i> ' (Baker 1965); in cultural terms, weeds are plants ( <b>not necessarily alien</b> ) that grow in sites where they are not wanted and that have detectable economic or environmental impacts (Pyšek et al. 2004).



## DOCUMENT GUIDE

The table below provides the specialist report requirements for the assessment and reporting of impacts on terrestrial biodiversity in terms of Government Notice 648 as promulgated in Government Gazette 45421 of 2019 in line with the Department of Environmental Affairs screening tool requirements, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA).

No.	Requirements	Section in report/Notes
2.1	<b>Assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified SACNASP registered specialist</b>	<b>Part A – C:</b> Cover Page <b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Description of the preferred development site, including the following aspects-</b>	
2.2.1	<i>A description of the ecological drivers/processes of the system and how the proposed development will impact these;</i>	<b>Part B:</b> Section 2.1.2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.2	<i>Ecological functioning and ecological processes (e.g. fire, migration, pollination, etc.) that operate within the proposed development site;</i>	<b>Part B:</b> Section 2.1.2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.3	<i>The ecological corridors that the development would impede including migration and movement of flora and fauna;</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 2.1.1 (flora) <b>Part C:</b> Section 3 (fauna)
2.2.4	<i>The description of any significant landscape features (including rare or important flora/faunal associations, presence of Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) or Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPA) sub catchments;</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 <b>Part B:</b> Section 2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3.2 – 3.7
2.2.5	<i>A description of terrestrial biodiversity and ecosystems on the proposed development site, including –</i> a) <i>Main vegetation types;</i> b) <i>Threatened ecosystems, including Listed Ecosystems as well as locally important habitat types identified;</i> c) <i>Ecological connectivity, habitat fragmentation, ecological processes and fine scale habitats; and</i> d) <i>Species, distribution, important habitats (e.g. feeding grounds, nesting sites, etc.) and movement patterns identified.</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 2 (flora) <b>Part C:</b> Section 3 (fauna)
2.3	<b>Identify any alternative development footprints within the preferred development site which would be of a “low” sensitivity as identified by the national web based environmental screening tool and verified through the Initial Site Sensitivity Verification.</b>	Not Applicable
2.4	<b>The Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment must be based on the results of a site inspection undertaken on the preferred development site and must identify:</b>	
2.5	<b>Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs), including:</b> 2.5.1 <i>The reasons why an area has been identified as a CBA;</i> 2.5.2 <i>An indication of whether or not the development is consistent with maintaining the CBA in a natural or near natural state or in achieving the goal of rehabilitation;</i> 2.5.3 <i>The impact on species composition and structure of vegetation with an indication of the extent of clearing activities;</i> 2.5.4 <i>The impact on ecosystem threat status;</i> 2.5.5 <i>The impact on explicit subtypes in the vegetation;</i> 2.5.6 <i>The impact on overall species and ecosystem diversity of the site; and</i> 2.5.7 <i>The impact on populations of species of special concern in the CBA.</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 2 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.6	<b>Terrestrial Ecological Support Areas, including:</b> 2.6.1 <i>The impact on the ecological processes that operate within or across the site;</i> 2.6.2 <i>The extent the development will impact on the functionality of the ESA; and</i> 2.6.3 <i>Loss of ecological connectivity (on site, and in relation to the broader landscape) due to the degradation and severing of ecological corridor or</i>	



	<i>introducing barriers that impede migration and movement of flora and fauna.</i>	
2.7	<b>Protected Areas as defined by the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2004 (Act No. 57 of 2004) including an opinion on whether the proposed development aligns with the objectives/purpose of the Protected Area and the zoning as per the Protected Area Management Plan.</b>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis)
2.8	<b>Priority Areas for Protected Area Expansion, including:</b> The way in which in which the development will compromise or contribute to the expansion of the protected area network.	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis)
2.9	Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSA) including: 2.9.1 <i>The impact(s) on the terrestrial habitat of a Strategic Water Source Area; and</i> 2.9.2 <i>The impacts of the development on the SWSA water quality and quantity (e.g. describing potential increased runoff leading to increased sediment load in water courses)</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis)
2.10	Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) sub catchments, including the impacts of the development on habitat condition and/or species in the FEPA sub catchment.	**Assessed separately in the Freshwater Biodiversity Assessments (SAS 220156, 2020)
2.11	Indigenous Forests, including: 2.11.1 <i>Impact on the ecological integrity of the forest;</i> 2.11.2 <i>Extent of natural or near natural indigenous forest area lost.</i>	Not Applicable
3.	The report must contain as a minimum the following information:	
3.1	Contact detail of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
3.2	A signed statement of independence by the specialist.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
3.3	A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 1.4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 1.2
3.4	The methodology used to undertake the site inspection and the specialist assessment, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix C <b>Part B:</b> Section 1.5 <b>Part B:</b> Appendix A <b>Part C:</b> Section 1.2
3.5	A description of the assumptions made, any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 1.4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 2
3.6	The location of areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation, where relevant.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 3 <b>Part C:</b> Section 4
3.7	Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development based on those already evident on the site and a discussion on the cumulative impacts.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 3 & 4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 5
3.8	Proposed impact management actions and impact management outcomes for inclusion in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr).	<b>Part B:</b> Section 4.4 <b>Part C:</b> Section 5.4
3.9	A motivation must be provided if there were development footprints identified as per paragraph 2.3 in this table were not considered stating reasons why.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 3 & 4
3.10	A reasoned opinion, based on the findings of the specialist assessment, regarding the acceptability or not of the development and if the development should receive approval or not, and any conditions to which the statement is subjected.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 5 <b>Part C:</b> Section 6



# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed to conduct a Biodiversity Assessment as part of the Basic Assessment (BA) and Environmental Authorisation (EA) process for the proposed surface infrastructure development on the existing Marula Platinum Mine, located approximately 30 km northwest of the town of Burgersfort, Limpopo Province.

The study area is in the Greater Tubatse Local Municipality which is an administrative area in the Sekhukhune District Municipality of the Limpopo Province. The R37 runs approximately 4 km east of the mine. The proposed development activities include upgrading and/or the construction of the following infrastructure: ventilation shafts with associated infrastructure, water pipelines and powerlines, a new TSF pipeline, a product stock ore pile, a compressed airline, and upgrades to the existing change house (see project description in section 1.2 of Part A). Collectively, these activities are hereafter referred to as the “study area” (Figure 1). For a detailed Project description of all proposed development activities, please refer to Part A.

The purpose of this report is to define the floral ecology of the study area, to identify areas of increased Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS), as well as the mapping of such areas, and to describe the Present Ecological State (PES) of the study area. The primary objective of the floral assessment is not to compile an exhaustive species list but rather to ensure that sufficient data are collected to describe all the vegetation communities present in the area of interest, to optimise the detection of species of conservation concern (SCC) and to assess habitat suitability for other potentially occurring SCC (SANBI, 2020).






Figure 1: Proposed infrastructure development layout within the Marula Platinum Mine.



## 1.2 Scope of Work

Specific outcomes in terms of the report are as follows:

- To determine and describe habitat types, communities and the ecological state of the study area and to rank each habitat type based on conservation importance and ecological sensitivity;
- To provide inventories of floral species as encountered within the study area;
- To identify and consider all sensitive landscapes such as indigenous forests, rocky ridges, wetlands and/ or any other special features such as Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) and Ecological Support Areas (ESAs);
- To conduct a Red Data Listed (RDL) floral species assessment as well as an assessment of other SCC, including the potential for such species to occur within the study area;
- To provide detailed information to guide the activities associated with the proposed development within the study area; and
- To ensure the ongoing functioning of the ecosystem in such a way as to support local and regional conservation requirements, to allow regional and national biodiversity targets to be met, and the provision of ecological services in the local area is sustained.

## 1.3 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable to this report:

- The floral assessment is confined to the study area and includes the sites earmarked for development as well as an 80 m buffer around the proposed linear infrastructure (i.e., 40 m either side of pipelines / powerlines etc.). The assessment does not include the entire Mining Rights Area (MRA) nor the neighbouring and adjacent properties. The entire study area and immediate surroundings were, however, included in the desktop analysis of which the results are presented in **Part A: Section 3**;
- With ecology being dynamic and complex, some aspects (some of which may be important) may have been overlooked. It is, however, expected that most floral and faunal communities have been accurately assessed and considered. Relevant online sources and background information were further assessed to improve on the overall understanding of the study area's ecology;
- Sampling, by its nature, means that not all individuals are assessed and identified. Some species and taxa associated with the study area may have been missed during the assessment; and



- The data presented in this report are based on one site visit, undertaken on the 18-19<sup>th</sup> of November 2020 (late spring). A more accurate assessment would require that assessments take place in all seasons of the year. Following the site visit, new infrastructure has been proposed by the client which was not part of the original design. Thus, small portions of the study area, specifically the proposed TSF pipeline has not been thoroughly investigated. However, on-site data was augmented with all available desktop data. Together with project experience in the area, the findings of this assessment are considered an accurate reflection of the ecological characteristics of the study area.

An on-site visual investigation of the assessment areas was conducted on the 18<sup>th</sup> to the 19<sup>th</sup> of November 2020 to confirm the assumptions made during the consultation of the background maps and to determine whether the sensitivity of the terrestrial biodiversity associated with the assessment areas confirms the results of the online National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool.

## 1.4 General Approach

The vegetation surveys are based on the subjective sampling method which is a technique where the specialist chooses specific sample sites within the area of interest, based on their professional experience in the area and background research done prior to the site visit. This allows representative recordings of floral communities and optimal detection of SCC (refer to the methodology description in **Appendix A**).

The below list includes the steps followed during the preparation for, and the conduction of, the field assessments:

- To guide the selection of appropriate sample sites, background data and digital satellite images were consulted before going to site, during which broad habitats, vegetation types and potentially sensitive sites were identified. The results of these analyses were then used to focus the fieldwork on specific areas of concern and to identify areas where targeted investigations were required (e.g., for SCC detection and within the direct footprint of the proposed mining project);
- All relevant resources and datasets as presented by the South African National Biodiversity Institute's (SANBI's) Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems (BGIS) website (<http://bgis.sanbi.org>) and the Environmental Geographical Information Systems (E-GIS) website (<https://egis.environment.gov.za/>), including the Limpopo Conservation Plan v2 (2013) and the online National Web-based Environmental



Screening Tool, were consulted to gain background information on the physical habitat and potential floral diversity associated with the assessment areas;

- Based on the broad habitat units delineated before going to site and the pre-identified points of interest, which is updated based on on-site observations and access constraints, the selected sample areas were surveyed on foot, following subjective transects, to identify the occurrence of the dominant plant species and habitat diversities, but also to detect SCC which tend to be sparsely distributed; and
- Photographs were taken of each vegetation community that is representative of typical vegetation structure of that community, as well as photos of all detected SCC.

Additional information on the method of assessment is provided in **Appendix A** of this report.

### ***1.5 Definitions, descriptions, and taxon nomenclature***

Scientific nomenclature for plant species in this report follows that of the SANBI's Red List of South African Plants Online, as it relates to the Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA). For alien species, the definitions of Richardson et al. (2011) are used. Vegetation structure is described as per Edwards (1983) (refer to Figure A1).

### ***1.6 Sensitivity Mapping***

All the ecological features of the assessment areas were considered, and sensitive areas were assessed and projected onto satellite imagery. The sensitivity map should assist the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) / proponent as to the suitability of the proposed development within the assessment areas.





## 2 RESULTS OF FLORAL ASSESSMENT

The study area falls within the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld vegetation type (listed as vulnerable in Mucina and Rutherford, 2006), i.e., the reference state. Mucina and Rutherford (2006) describe the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld as having mainly semi-arid plains and open valleys between chains of hills and small mountains running parallel to the escarpment. It is heavily degraded in places and overexploited by man for cultivation, mining, and urbanisation. As such it is often prone to severe encroachment by indigenous microphyllous (fine-leaved) trees and invasion by alien species is common throughout the area.

Overall, the habitat within the study area was degraded and not representative of the reference vegetation type. Some places consisted of highly modified and transformed areas, in which vegetation was scarce. Many of the transformed areas (typical of those found close to high-intensity mining operations and housing infrastructure) supported a high abundance of alien and invasive plant (AIP) species. However, the surrounding untransformed, and undeveloped regions did not support high densities of AIPs but were not particularly species rich. The biodiversity of the study area can thus be defined under five broad habitat units, namely Degraded Bushveld, Encroached Habitat, Rocky Habitat (which encompassed two subunits, namely Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine Habitat), Watercourse Habitat and Transformed Habitat (Figures 2 - 4). These habitat units were distinguished based on species composition, vegetation structure, ecological function, biophysical nature of the environment and habitat condition.

The five broad habitat units include:

- 1) **Degraded Bushveld:** This habitat unit was relatively species poor, with a poorly represented grass layer throughout. The woody component included species such as *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Ziziphus mucronata*, and *Boscia albitrunca*. The Ventilation Shafts (and associated infrastructure), as well as parts of the proposed water and power lines were located within this habitat unit;
- 2) **Encroached Habitat:** Located in the northern section of the proposed power line, this habitat unit is characterised by encroached bushveld<sup>1</sup>. The main encroaching species included *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana* and *Terminalia sericea*;

---

<sup>1</sup> According to the DEA's 2019 report on indigenous bush encroachment, "Bush encroachment entails increases in the abundance of indigenous woody vegetation in the grassland and savanna biomes...". (*Towards a policy on indigenous bush encroachment in South Africa (2019)*, Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria, South Africa)



- 3) **Rocky Habitat:** This habitat unit consisted of two subunits, namely Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine Habitat:
- The Rocky Outcrop Subunit comprised of a moderately diverse species composition typical of rocky areas; and
  - The Rocky Riverine Habitat, although supporting a species composition typical of rocky areas, supported a floral community different to that of the Rocky Outcrop Subunit. This habitat subunit bordered but was not located within the Mogompane River.
- 4) **Watercourse Habitat:** This habitat consisted of the watercourses<sup>2</sup> that transverse the proposed powerlines and pipelines in several sections throughout the study area. These watercourses were all dry at the time of assessment. Please also refer to the Watercourse Assessment for further details on watercourses associated with the study area and MRA (SAS 220156, 2020);
- 5) **Transformed Habitat:** This habitat unit includes the road along which the proposed water and powerlines will be located, as well as built-up areas located next to the roads which include informal residential development and mining-related developments. Due to anthropogenic influences, these areas have an altered physical environment and are scarcely vegetated. The vegetation that is present within these areas includes AIP species.

## 2.1 Floral Ecological Discussion

To present a more complete overview of the ecological condition of the vegetation communities associated with the study area, the below section addresses the ecological drivers, functions and corridors that contribute to the current species composition and veld condition. Specific details pertaining to habitat integrity, threat status of the habitat type, the presence of unique landscapes and overall levels of diversity, are provided in more detail in sections 2.2 – 2.7.

---

<sup>2</sup> In terms of the definition contained within the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998) (NWA), a watercourse means:

- A river or spring;
  - A natural channel which water flows regularly or intermittently;
  - A wetland, dam or lake into which, or from which, water flows; and
  - Any collection of water which the Minister may, by notice in the Gazette, declare to be a watercourse;
- and a reference to a watercourse includes, where relevant, its bed and banks.



### **2.1.1 Corridors on site**

Despite both the mining infrastructure and informal housing that surround the study area, there is still a reasonable degree of undeveloped areas within the study area that provide corridors for dispersal, although they are somewhat fragmented. Much of the study area, and its associated habitat units, support species compositions that are fairly degraded in nature, the capacity of the study area to provide dispersal corridors is moderate. This is particularly relevant within the undeveloped and untransformed areas that surround the informal housing and mining infrastructure found throughout the study area. Within parts of the study area where mining operations and housing are prominent, i.e., within the Transformed Habitat Unit, a lack of connective corridors were identified.

### **2.1.2 Ecological drivers / processes / functioning**

Fire and herbivory are recognised as some of the most important drivers of the savanna biome (O'Connor et al. 2014). However, due to the location of the study area, being surrounded by both an active mining area and informal housing, these important ecological drivers (especially fire) are largely absent from the study area. A lack of fire, which functions to maintain the development and structure of these productive communities, is particularly evident throughout all the habitat units. Naturally occurring herbivores are largely absent from the study area, however, herbivory is still present within the system, albeit herbivory from domestic animals (e.g., sheep, goat, and cattle). This has resulted in parts of the veld being heavily overgrazed, which is particularly evident in parts of the Degraded Bushveld Habitat unit.






Figure 2: Conceptual illustration of the habitat units associated with the study area as identified during the field assessment.






Figure 3: Conceptual illustration of the habitat units associated with the northwestern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment.






Figure 4: Conceptual illustration of the habitat units associated with the southeastern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment.



## 2.2 Degraded Bushveld

### VARIOUS VEGETATION COMMUNITIES & THE DIFFERENT VEGETATION STRUCTURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEGRADED BUSHVELD HABITAT UNIT

**Proposed infrastructure located within habitat unit:** Parts of the proposed water and power lines as well as the ventilation shafts (and the associated refrigeration infrastructure).

This habitat unit was dominated by *Dichrostachys cinerea* throughout the study area. In general, the habitat unit was largely species poor and had a poorly represented grassy layer. Due to its proximity close to existing mining infrastructure and housing. This habitat unit has been exposed to several anthropogenic activities, including dumping, vehicle movements and livestock grazing, which has resulted in subpar habitat conditions, decreased habitat integrity and a low species diversity. As the habitat unit is degraded in nature, the remaining vegetation is not representative of the reference vegetation type for the area. Little habitat is provided for extensive native floral species diversity and community structure within this habitat unit.

### VEGETATION STRUCTURE AND DOMINANT SPECIES



The vegetation structure of the **Degraded Bushveld** can be described as a **species-poor and *Dichrostachys*-dominated Bushveld**. The low species diversity recorded within the habitat unit is attributed to the disturbed nature of the area. Graminoids were largely absent, with large amounts of bare ground present throughout the habitat unit. Forbs were under-represented, likely attributed to the degree of browsing throughout the habitat. Representative forbs included *Aptosimum lineare*, *Senna italica* subsp. *arachoides* and *Abutilon angulatum*. The woody layer was poorly represented and dominated by *Dichrostachys cinerea*. Other woody species found within this habitat unit, albeit infrequently, included *Vachellia nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana*, *Boscia albitrunca*, and *Gossypium herbaceum* subsp. *africanum*. The protected species, *Aloe cryptopoda*, was located within in this habitat unit. AIPs were not prominent within the habitat unit, however, the occasional AIP found included *Argemone ochroleuca* and *Senna didymobotrya*.

Refer to Appendix C for a list of species recorded within this habitat unit

### SELECTED EXAMPLES OF FLORA RECORDED WITHIN THE DICHROSTACHYS BUSHVELD HABITAT UNIT



From left to right: *Aptosimum lineare*, *Senna italica* subsp. *arachoides*, and *Vachellia nilotica*




<b>Species of Conservation Concern</b>	<p>Activities associated with the development of the surrounding infrastructure and long-term fragmentation from surrounding species sources has destroyed suitable habitat for the establishment and persistence of SCC on the site. Dispersal corridors throughout this habitat unit are moderately fragmented because of the surrounding mining and housing development. As such, the fragmented dispersal corridors on site, together with a decrease in many dispersal agents, have further reduced the potential of SCC re-establishment and persistence. Habitat for floral species within the degraded landscape has been modified to the extent where the likelihood of SCC establishment is low.</p> <p>No threatened SCC (i.e., Red Data Listed plants), as defined in Section 56 of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA), were recorded during the site assessment. No suitable habitat for viable populations of threatened species is available within this habitat unit. According to the National Web-Based Online Screening Tool, seven species were identified as having the potential to be located within the study area (see Appendix B), however, none of these were recorded during the site assessment and the Degraded Habitat no longer provides suitable conditions to support such species – especially not viable populations.</p> <p>A protected species as per Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003) (LEMA), namely <i>Aloe cryptopoda</i>, was observed in this habitat unit. Permits from the Limpopo Economic Development, Environmental and Tourism (LEDET) will be required to remove, cut, or destroy the above-mentioned protected species before any vegetation clearing may take place. Additionally, the National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998, amended 2001) (NFA) protected species, <i>Boscia albitrunca</i>, was observed within this habitat unit albeit not very abundantly. Permits will have to be obtained from the Department of Environment, forest, and Fisheries (DEFF) for the individuals of <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> that will have to be removed for construction to proceed.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix B for a list of species assessed as part of the SCC assessment.</p>
--	---

**BUSINESS CASE**

This habitat unit has a **moderately low habitat sensitivity** from a floral ecological and resource management perspective. The moderately low sensitivity of the unit is attributed to the degraded nature (arising from dumping, vehicle movements and livestock grazing) of the area which has led to a decrease in habitat integrity and ecological functionality.

The habitat unit is located within both an ESA 1 and ESA 2 as per the Limpopo Conservation Plan (Version 2, 2013). These areas are regarded as important for supporting ecological processes and functioning. However, given that the vegetation in this unit is largely in a degraded state, it is anticipated to provide limited ecologically functionality. As such this unit is not considered representative of an ESA any longer. The habitat unit is situated within areas classified as Highest Biodiversity Importance, with a small section of the habitat unit located within an area of High Biodiversity Importance according to the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2012). However, given that no significant biodiversity features were confirmed for this habitat unit, the assigned High, and Highest Biodiversity Areas as per the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines were not conformed for this habitat unit.

The combination of a lack of suitable habitat for floral SCC, fragmented dispersal corridors and the presence of a large amount of *D. cinerea* within the habitat unit denotes that the proposed development within the already disturbed habitat unit is unlikely to have a significant impact on the floral communities present. During construction and post-development, habitat suitable for establishment and proliferation of AIP species is likely to be created. It is thus recommended that AIPs be monitored and controlled. Removal of AIP species to a registered waste facility as well as the implementation of AIP control and maintenance measures at the onset of construction will limit the spread of AIP species to surrounding natural habitat, and subsequently, limit the footprint area for which AIP control management will have to be implemented during the operational and decommissioning and closure activities.





## 2.3 Encroached Habitat

### VARIOUS VEGETATION COMMUNITIES & THE DIFFERENT VEGETATION STRUCTURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE ENCROACHED HABITAT

**Proposed infrastructure located within habitat unit:** Northern section of the proposed power line.

The overall species richness of this habitat unit was low. Woody encroachment and overgrazing within the habitat unit is largely evident with *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana* and *Terminalia sericea* as the major encroaching species. Forb and grass species were less dominant within the habitat unit with areas of bare soil scattered throughout. This habitat unit is no longer representative of the reference vegetation type, namely the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, which is moderately species rich.

#### Vegetation Structure and Dominant Species

The vegetation structure can be described as **encroached, thorny bushveld**. Vegetation cover, aside from encroaching woody species, throughout the habitat, was low. The species richness of the habitat unit was moderately low. Graminoids were largely absent in this habitat unit, with bare soils being particularly dominant throughout. The forb layer was also underrepresented, with the most common forb species being *Aptosimum lineare*.

The unit is heavily encroached by *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana* and *Terminalia sericea*. Other woody species included *Gossypium herbaceum* subsp. *africanum* and the occasional *Ziziphus mucronata*. Although not particularly invaded by AIP species, this habitat unit did support moderately large stands of *Agave sisalana* (NEMBA Category 2).

Refer to Appendix C for a list of species recorded within this habitat unit.



#### Selected examples of flora recorded within the Encroached Habitat



From left to right: *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Gossypium herbaceum* subsp. *africanum* and *Agave sisalana*



<b>Species of Conservation Concern</b>	<p>No nationally threatened SCC (i.e., Red Data Listed plants), as defined in NEMBA Section 56, were recorded during the site assessment. This habitat unit is heavily encroached, and the veld condition is not optimal to support threatened species known for the region.</p> <p>No Specially Protected or Protected species as listed in Schedule 11 (Specially Protected) or Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the LEMA were observed in this habitat unit. No NFA protected species were observed within this habitat unit, however, given that the protected tree species, <i>Boscia albitrunca</i>, was located within the neighbouring Degraded Bushveld Habitat, there is a chance that this species could establish within this habitat unit. It is advised that a walkdown of the footprint areas be conducted before the commencement of any development and all protected species marked for relocation (where feasible) or removal. Permits will have to be obtained from DEFF for potential individuals of <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> that may require removal for construction to proceed.</p> <p>No species from the list provided in the National Web Based Online Screening Tool were recorded within this habitat unit and due to a lack of suitable conditions, none of these species are anticipated to be present within this habitat unit.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix B for a list of species assessed as part of the SCC assessment.</p>
--	--


**Business case**

**Impact Summary:**

This habitat unit is of **moderately low sensitivity** from a floral ecological and resource management perspective. The vegetation unit is heavily encroached and overgrazed and floral diversity low. The habitat unit is classified as High Biodiversity Importance according to the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2013) and is considered as an ESA 2 as per the Limpopo Conservation Plan (Version 2, 2013). However, the habitat unit is not considered unique in the landscape given its encroached nature with the vegetation communities therein no longer representative of the reference state (habitat unit located outside of the remnants of the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld). The Encroached Habitat can still function as an ESA 2, but no significant biodiversity features were present to confirm the area as High Biodiversity Importance as per the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2012). No Floral SCC or protected tree species, as per the NFA, were located within this habitat unit. Given the nature of the habitat unit, and the large degree of grazing and encroachment, it is unlikely that any SCC or NFA protected species will establish within the habitat unit.

The loss of the habitat unit from the area is therefore not considered unacceptable, as the loss of the habitat unit is unlikely to impact upon national and provincial biodiversity and conservation targets.

This habitat unit falls within the area earmarked for the development parts of the northern powerline. The combination of a lack of suitable habitat for other floral SCC and the extent of bush encroachment within the habitat unit has resulted in the degradation of the available habitat. The proposed development is not deemed likely to have significant negative impacts on the encroached floral assemblages. It is however advised that during and post-construction activities, procedures to reduce and control bush encroachment (that result from the proposed activities) within the surrounding area be implemented, particularly that of *V. nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana*, *D. cinerea* and *T. sericea*.



## 2.4 Rocky Habitat

### VARIOUS VEGETATION COMMUNITIES AND THE DIFFERENT VEGETATION STRUCTURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE ROCKY HABITAT UNIT

**Proposed infrastructure located within the habitat unit:** Proposed water and power lines and the TSF pipeline.

This Habitat unit consists of two subunits, namely Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine Habitat. These areas support typical rocky floral communities. However, they are distinguished from each other based on the dominant rock type that is present within each of the subunits as well as the slightly different floral communities that each support.

In particular, the Rocky Outcrop subunit displayed a moderate diversity of floral species and has an overall moderately high level of ecological functioning. Examples of floral species that were encountered within this habitat include *Aloe cryptopoda*, *Scadoxus puniceus*, *Gloriosa superba*, and several tree species including *Vangauria infausta* and *Terminalia sericea*. The habitat unit did not support AIP species.

The Rocky Riverine subunit displayed a moderately high diversity of floral species and has an overall moderately high level of ecological functioning, especially given its location next to the Mogompane River. Examples of floral species that were encountered within this habitat include *Aloe cryptopoda*, *Kleinia stapeliiformis*, *Euphorbia hirta*, *Eucphrobia tirucalli* and *Tinnea rhodesiana*. Although this habitat unit supports a moderately rich indigenous species diversity, two AIP species were identified within this habitat unit, namely *Agave sisalana* (NEMBA Category 2) and *Opuntia Ficus-indica* (NEMBA Category 1b).



Refer to Appendix C for a list of species recorded within this Habitat unit.

**Rocky Outcrops**



**Rocky Riverine Habitat**



Selected examples of flora recorded within the Rocky Outcrop Subunit	Selected examples of flora recorded within the Rocky Riverine subunit
 <p>Left to right: <i>Scadoxus puniceus</i>; <i>Gloriosa superba</i></p>	 <p>Left to right: <i>Eucphobia tirucalli</i>; <i>Kleinia stapeliiformis</i></p>
<p><b>Species of Conservation Concern</b></p>	<p>No threatened SCC (i.e., Red Data Listed plants), as defined in Section 56 of the NEMBA, were recorded during the site assessment. However, from the POC assessment, this habitat unit provides suitable conditions for several SCC that were not encountered during the site assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Asparagus furei</i> (POC = Medium; Status = VU);</li> <li>- <i>Asparagus sekhuhuniensis</i> (POC = Medium; Status = EN);</li> <li>- <i>Euphorbia barnardii</i> (POC = Medium; Status = EN);</li> <li>- <i>Gladiolus sekukuniensis</i> (POC = Medium, Status = VU);</li> <li>- <i>Searsia batophylla</i> (POC = Medium, Status = VU);</li> <li>- <i>Vachellia sekhuhuniensis</i> (POC = Medium; Status = CR);</li> </ul> <p>A protected species as per Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the LEMA, namely <i>Aloe cryptopoda</i>, was observed in habitat subunits. Additionally, <i>Scadoxus puniceus</i>, another protected species as per Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of LEMA was present within the Rocky outcrop subunit. Permits from the LEDET will be required to remove, cut, or destroy the above-mentioned protected species before any vegetation clearing may take place. No NFA protected species were observed within either of the habitat subunit. However, given that the protected tree species, <i>Boscia albitrunca</i>, was located within the neighbouring Degraded Bushveld Habitat, there is a chance that this species could establish within this habitat unit. It is advised that a walkdown of the site be conducted before the commencement of any development and all protected species marked. Permits will have to be obtained from the DEFF for potential individuals of <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> that may require removal for construction to proceed.</p> <p>According to the National Web Based Online Screening Tool, seven species were identified as having the potential to be located within the study area, namely Sensitive species 374, Sensitive species 275, Sensitive species 163, <i>Polygala sekhukuniensis</i>, <i>Searsia batophylla</i>, <i>Asparagus furei</i>, and <i>Asparagus sekukuniensis</i>. None of these species were identified during the field assessment, however the POC assessment indicated that <i>Polygala sekhukuniensis</i>, <i>Searsia batophylla</i>, <i>Asparagus furei</i>, and <i>Asparagus sekukuniensis</i> (all Red Data Listed SCC) scored a POC of medium.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix B for a list of species assessed as part of the SCC assessment.</p>



Business case	
<b>Rocky Outcrops Subunit Sensitivity: Moderately High Habitat</b>	<b>Rocky Riverine Subunit Sensitivity: Moderately High Habitat</b>
Impact summary	
<p>This habitat unit (including both subunits) is of a <b>moderately high sensitivity</b> from a floral ecological and resource management perspective. The habitat is considered natural and in a good condition with both habitat subunits having experienced few impacts (i.e. very little grazing and dumping has occurred within these habitats). The Rocky Outcrops provide unique habitat and support two floral species protected under Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of LEMA. Furthermore, these rocky outcrops support an array of floral species that are not located within the surrounding habitat units (i.e. the Degraded Bushveld and the Transformed Habitat). The Rocky Riverine Habitat is unique given its proximity to the Mogompane River. This subunit supported one species protected under Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of LEMA. This habitat provides unique habitat for floral species that are typical of rocky areas, albeit somewhat different to the Rocky Outcrop subunit, and that is not represented within the surrounding habitat units.</p> <p>The proposed infrastructure area will not directly impact on the Rocky Outcrop Habitat provided that the development of the proposed water and powerlines is restricted to areas right next to the road servitude, and thus avoiding development within the outcrops themselves. This is achievable given that the rocky outcrops are not located on both sides of the existing roads. If development were to occur on the opposite side of the road to the Rocky Outcrops, the negative impacts thereof can be minimized.</p> <p>The proposed infrastructure will directly impact on floral species associated with the Rocky Riverine Habitat. This subunit is located alongside the Mogompane River. As such, this habitat unit provides unique habitat that is characterised by rocky areas. The proposed water and power lines will directly impact on the sensitive Rocky Riverine subunit as well as on various floral species, including one SCC. It is recommended that the proposed pipelines be realigned to exclude impacting on the Rocky Riverine habitat. Both Habitat subunits are located within an ESA 1. Given the sensitivity of the subunits and the unique habitat these areas provide, their presence within an ESA 1 area is confirmed. Furthermore, the rocky outcrop within the northern part of the study area falls within the remnants of the threatened ecosystem.</p> <p>As construction within the Rocky Habitat may provide suitable habitat for the proliferation of AIP species, it is suggested that a management and control plan be implemented to ensure the spread of such species does not occur during and post construction. If the proposed layout is authorised, it will be necessary to conduct a thorough walkdown of both the Rocky Outcrop and the Rocky Riverine subunits within the footprint area, as well as within a 10 m buffer around the footprint area, where all protected floral species are marked for relocation to suitable habitat outside the direct footprint. The SCC walkdown should occur in the flowering season of the species to ensure adequate detection and identification of the species. Good record-keeping will be necessary to record this process and to document all successes and failures associated with the relocation.</p>	



## 2.5 Watercourse Habitat

### VARIOUS VEGETATION COMMUNITIES & THE DIFFERENT VEGETATION STRUCTURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE WATERCOURSE HABITAT

**Proposed infrastructure located within habitat unit:** Proposed water and power lines.

This habitat unit consisted of small sections throughout the study area in which the proposed powerlines and water & TSF pipelines are to be developed. The Watercourse Habitat comprise several features, including rivers, tributaries, ephemeral, and non-perennial drainage lines. The watercourses that traverse the proposed infrastructure include Tshenyane River (northern section of the water lines, running through an existing bridge), the Unnamed Tributary of the Moopetsi River (eastern section of the water line, running through an existing bridge), and non-perennial drainage lines (southern section of the water and power lines). The Mogompane River and the Unnamed tributary of the Motse River fall within the buffer areas surrounding the powerlines and water lines, but not in the proposed footprint of these infrastructure.

The rivers and tributaries within the MRA are characterised by weakly developed and moderately degraded riparian<sup>3</sup> habitat. As these systems receive very little rain, water flows only occur after adequate rain events. Water, therefore, does not accumulate long enough for distinct riparian vegetation to develop and, as such, the riparian vegetation included a species composition similar to that of the surrounding bushveld vegetation. However, in several sections the vegetation structure did in fact differ from surrounding vegetation in that the woody component was denser. It should be noted that several upstream sections of the rivers have severe erosion and bank incision, owing to exposed soils and bare areas in such places, where little or no vegetation was present (i.e., the riparian vegetation is not continuous along these systems). The unnamed tributaries, on the other hand, are characterised by a more continuous vegetation layer that and in several areas have been overgrown / encroached upon by woody species, potentially attenuating flow during rain events (refer to the Watercourse Assessment: SAS 220156, 2020).

For the non-perennial and ephemeral drainage lines, no distinct change in vegetation structure or species composition could be discerned. No riparian vegetation can thus be associated with these systems. The drainage lines were largely characterised by a lack of graminoid cover (though this could be due to season of study) with woody species occurring sporadically along, or within, the drainage lines.

#### Vegetation Structure and Dominant Species

The vegetation structure can be defined as **open, comprising of both a woody layer and a shrub layer**. Floral diversity was intermediate within this habitat unit. Much of the woody and shrub layers included species found throughout the Degraded Bushveld habitat; however, within the riparian habitat the structure of the vegetation differed from that of the surrounding vegetation. The intermediate diversity of floral species can be attributed to most of the watercourses within this region being dry for large parts of the year, as well as the presence of severe erosion and bank incision in some upstream sections, thus resulting in exposed bare soils in such places.


Examples of floral species that were encountered within this habitat include *Aloe cryptopoda*, *Carissa bispinosa*, *Eucphrobia tirucalli*, *Tinnea rhodesiana* and several tree species including *Dichrostachys cinerea* and *Terminalia sericea*.



Dense stands of *Dichrostachys cinerea* along sections of the Watercourse Habitat.

<sup>3</sup> National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998) (NWA): "Riparian Habitat" includes the physical structure and associated vegetation of the areas associated with a watercourse which are commonly characterized by alluvial soils, and which are inundated or flooded to an extent and with a frequency sufficient to support vegetation of species with a composition and physical structure distinct from those of adjacent land areas



<p>Several AIP species have encroached into sections of the watercourse within the study area. This can be related to its proximity to anthropogenic activities (e.g., informal housing and mining-related operations), and overgrazing impacts from domestic cattle and goats. The habitat is naturally more susceptible to erosion due to erosive soils.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix C for a list of species recorded within this habitat unit.</p>		<p>Sections of the Watercourse Habitat with noticeably less dense woody cover that can be attributed to erosion and bank incision.</p>
--	---	--

**Selected examples of flora recorded within the Watercourse Habitat**



**Left:** *Carissa bispinosa*; **Middle:** *Euphorbia tirucalli*; **Right:** *Gomphocarpus fruticosus*

<p><b>Species of Conservation Concern</b></p>	<p>No nationally threatened SCC (i.e., Red Data Listed plants), as defined in NEMBA Section 56, were recorded during the site assessment. However, it should be noted that this habitat unit is suitable to support <i>Searsia batophylla</i> (VU). As such, a walkthrough of the footprint area will be required before any construction commences, and any threatened SCC marked and suitably rescued and relocated to suitable habitat outside of the development footprint.</p> <p>A protected species, <i>Aloe cryptopoda</i>, as per Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the LEMA were observed in this habitat unit for which permits from the LEDET will be required before individuals of this species can be removed, cut, or destroyed prior to any vegetation clearing taking place. No NFA protected species were observed within this habitat unit.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix B for a list of species assessed as part of the SCC assessment.</p>
---	--



Business case													
<p><b>Impact Summary:</b></p> <p>This habitat unit is of <b>moderately high</b> sensitivity from a floral perspective. The Watercourse Habitat is connected to surrounding areas, and as such impacts at a single point in the system can have a significant downstream impact, particularly during high rainfall events. The habitat unit is classified as High Biodiversity Importance according to the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2013) and is transverse both an ESA 1 and ESA 2 as per the Limpopo Conservation Plan (Version 2, 2013). Given the habitat unit's ecological functionality within the ecosystem, its presence as an ESA is confirmed. It is therefore considered an important feature in the landscape, serving as an ecological corridor benefiting both fauna and flora within the region.</p> <p>The proposed development of the powerlines and water and TSF pipelines will directly impact on the Watercourse Habitat within the study area, given that the associated infrastructure transverse the watercourse habitat in several places. For the powerlines it is essential that surface infrastructure be placed outside of the Watercourse Habitat.</p> <p>No Floral SCC (i.e. Red Data Listed plants) were identified during the field assessment, however, the habitat provides suitable conditions to support the SCC, <i>Searsia batrophylla</i>. One protected species, <i>Aloe crytopoda</i>, as per Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the LEMA was observed in this habitat unit. Permits from the LEDET will be required to remove, cut, or destroy the above-mentioned protected species before any vegetation clearing may take place. No NFA protected species were observed within either of the habitat subunits.</p> <p>This habitat unit did support several AIP species. Thus, it is recommended that ongoing alien control be implemented throughout the construction and post-construction phases of the development. Removal of AIP species to a registered waste facility and implementation of AIP control and maintenance measures at the onset of construction and after construction will limit the spread of AIP species to surrounding natural habitat, especially Watercourse Habitat further downstream. Activities that are planned within the delineated Watercourse Habitat or the zones of regulation, as identified in the Watercourse Assessment, will require authorisation from the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS). Stormwater management and erosion control will be essential to prevent siltation of Watercourse Habitat.</p>	<p style="background-color: #ffe0b2; padding: 2px;"><b>Watercourse habitat Sensitivity: Moderately High Habitat</b></p> <table border="1"> <caption>Habitat Sensitivity Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Category</th> <th>Score</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Floral SCC</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Floral Diversity</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Conservation Status</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Habitat Integrity</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Presence of Unique Landscape</td> <td>3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Category	Score	Floral SCC	3	Floral Diversity	3	Conservation Status	3	Habitat Integrity	3	Presence of Unique Landscape	3
Category	Score												
Floral SCC	3												
Floral Diversity	3												
Conservation Status	3												
Habitat Integrity	3												
Presence of Unique Landscape	3												





## 2.6 Transformed Habitat

### VARIOUS VEGETATION COMMUNITIES & THE DIFFERENT VEGETATION STRUCTURES ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRANSFORMED HABITAT

**Proposed infrastructure located within habitat unit:** Proposed water and power lines, compressed air infrastructure, proposed conveyors, change house, and the proposed product stockpile.

The remaining areas were all identified as Transformed Habitat based on the anthropogenically transformed nature of these areas. This habitat unit is largely transformed consisting of pavements, informal housing, or mining infrastructure. These areas are chiefly dominated by AIP species, specifically along the roadsides where clearing of vegetation has recently occurred. Many of the gardens surrounding the informal houses also support AIP tree species, which have been historically planted as ornamentals (e.g. *Thevetia peruviana*, Yellow oleander). As such, no important habitat is provided for native floral species diversity or community structure within this habitat unit.

#### Vegetation Structure and Dominant Species

**No clear vegetation structure can be defined within this habitat unit.** The habitat unit consists largely of AIP species, particularly along the roadsides and within the transformed areas surrounding and within mining-related infrastructure.

Characteristic AIP species located within this habitat unit included *Agave sisalana* (NEMBA Category 2), *Thevetia peruviana* (NEMBA Category 1b), *Ricinus communis* (NEMBA Category 2), *Senna didymobotrya* (NEMBA Category 1b), *Amaranthus thunbergia* (Not Listed, NL), and *Zinnia peruviana* (NL).

Typical indigenous species present throughout the habitat unit included *Gomphocarpus fruticosus*, *Lagerra decurrens* and *Vachellia nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana*.

Refer to Appendix C for a list of species recorded within this habitat unit.



#### Selected examples of flora recorded within the Transformed Habitat



**Left:** *Zinnia peruviana*; **Middle:** *Senna didymobotrya*; **Right:** *Argemone mexicana*



<b>Species of Conservation Concern</b>	<p>No nationally threatened SCC (i.e. Red Data Listed plants), as defined in NEMBA Section 56, were recorded during the site assessment. This habitat unit was encroached, and the veld condition is not optimal to support threatened species known for the region.</p> <p>No Specially Protected or Protected species as listed in Schedule 11 (Specially Protected) or Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the LEMA were observed in this habitat unit. No NFA protected species were observed within this habitat unit and it is unlikely that any will be present within the habitat unit.</p> <p>Refer to Appendix B for a list of species assessed as part of the SCC assessment.</p>
--	--

**Business case**


**Impact Summary:**

This habitat unit is of low sensitivity from a floral ecological and resource management perspective. The vegetation unit is heavily encroached and overgrazed and floral diversity low. The habitat unit is classified as High Biodiversity Importance according to the Mining and Biodiversity Guidelines (2012) and is considered as an ESA 2 as per the Limpopo Conservation Plan (Version 2, 2013). However, due to the extent of habitat modification, the vegetation communities do not confirm the presence of ESAs and important biodiversity features. Although it is located within an endangered ecosystem (National Threatened Ecosystems, 2011, and NBA, 2018), namely the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, these areas are no longer deemed representative of the reference vegetation type.

No Floral SCC (i.e. Red Data Listed plants), provincially protected (as defined by LEMA) or protected tree species (as per the NFA) were located within this habitat unit. Given the built-up nature of the habitat unit, and the large degree of transformation, it is unlikely that any SCC or NFA protected species will establish within the habitat unit.

The habitat unit is not considered unique in the landscape, given its transformed and modified nature. The loss of the habitat unit from the area is therefore not considered unacceptable and is unlikely to impact upon national biodiversity and conservation targets.

Due to the transformed nature of this habitat unit, the proposed development within the habitat unit is not deemed likely to have negative impacts on indigenous floral communities due to only alien-dominated floral assemblages being present. Given that the habitat unit is already dominated by several alien species and that construction activities are likely to provide habitat for the further proliferation of AIP species, it is recommended that AIP management and control plan be implemented to control the spread of such species. Removal of AIP species to a registered waste facility as well as the implementation of AIP control and maintenance measures at the onset of construction as well as after construction will limit the spread of AIP species to surrounding natural habitat.



## **2.7 Sources of Habitat Degradation**

Human activities and/ or climatic variation can gradually, or rapidly, lead to the deterioration of the conditions of land, which impacts on habitat integrity and tends to reduce floral diversity. The cost and effort it will take to restore habitat integrity of an area is positively correlated with the extent to which the veld has been degraded. Determining whether the vegetation of an area has been degraded includes the evaluation of three main indicators (Van Oudtshoorn, 2015), including:

- Lack of vegetation and/or diversity;
- Bush encroachment; and
- Alien and invasive plant species.

The above-listed indicators of habitat degradation are discussed in the below sections. Within the study area, the primary causes of habitat degradation include historic earth-moving activities and grazing pressures.

### **2.7.1 Lack of vegetation and/or diversity**

A lack of vegetation occurred within several habitat units, particularly the Degraded Bushveld, the Encroached Habitat, and the Transformed Habitat. The lack of vegetation across these habitat units is attributed to overgrazing and a lack of a natural fire regime within the study area. Specifically, overgrazing can lead to species loss, as well as reductions in biomass and functional diversity. As such, within the study area, overgrazing has led to a lower species richness than what would be expected from the reference vegetation type (i.e. the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld), as well as a reduction in biomass.

### **2.7.2 Bush encroachment**

According to the Department of Environmental Affairs' (DEA's) 2019 report on indigenous bush encroachment<sup>4</sup> (now the DEFF), "Bush encroachment entails increases in the abundance of indigenous woody vegetation in the grassland and savanna biomes...". The result of bush encroachment includes alterations to the structure and functioning of ecosystems, with these changes becoming increasingly irreversible as the fundamental nature of the ecosystems change. As such, bush encroachment also negatively impacts on the value of ecosystems delivered.

---

<sup>4</sup> Towards a policy on indigenous bush encroachment in South Africa (2019), Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria, South Africa.



Bush encroachment was observed within the study area, particularly with the Encroached Habitat Unit. Within this habitat unit, the main bush encroachers included *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana*, and *Terminalia sericea* (Figure 5).

Avoiding or reversing bush encroachment is possible with rangeland management; however, in cases where bush encroachment has passed the tipping point where the encroacher species account for more than 40% - 50% of vegetation cover, it is recommended that bush encroachment be cleared or thinned either manually or mechanically. The guidance of a suitably qualified person should be sought.



**Figure 5: Bush encroachment evident within the Encroached Habitat Unit. Main encroaches included *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotica* subsp. *kraussiana* and *Terminalia sericea*.**

Current policy and legislation do not deal specifically with bush encroachment. The Conservation of Agricultural Resource Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA) encourages the maintenance of rangelands, but if clearing occurs within an important biodiversity area (e.g. within a CBA or ESA) or if it will affect listed species, it can require authorisation under NEMBA or the NFA. The encroached Habitat Unit is located within an ESA; however, it is no longer representative of an ESA nor does it provide the associated ecological functionality that such areas provide. Although this habitat unit is heavily encroached, it should be noted that the NFA protected tree species, *Boscia albitrunca*, has the potential to be located within the Encroached habitat unit.

### 2.7.3 Alien and Invasive Plant (AIP) Species

South Africa is home to an estimated 759 naturalised or invasive terrestrial plant species (Richardson et al., 2020), with 327 plant species, most of which are invasive, listed in national legislation<sup>5</sup>. Many introduced species are beneficial, e.g. almost all agriculture and forestry

<sup>5</sup> Government Notice 864 Alien Invasive Species List as published in the Government Gazette 40166 of 2016, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No 10 of 2004).



production are based on alien species, with alien species also widely used in industries such as horticulture. However, some of these species manage to “escape” from their original locations, spread and become invasive. Although only a small proportion of introduced species become invasive (~0.1–10%), those that do proceed to impact negatively on biodiversity and the services that South Africa’s diverse natural ecosystems provide (from ecotourism to harvesting food, cut flowers, and medicinal products) (van Wilgen and Wilson, 2018).

## Legal Context

South Africa has released several Acts legislating the control of alien species. Currently, invasive species are controlled by the NEMBA – Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, which were gazetted on 1 August 2014 and became law on 1 October 2014. AIPs defined in terms of NEMBA are assigned a category and listed within the NEMBA List of Alien and Invasive Species (2020) in accordance with Section 70(1)(a) of the NEMBA:

- **Category 1a** species are those targeted for national eradication;
- **Category 1b** species must be controlled as part of a national management programme, and cannot be traded or otherwise allowed to spread;
- **Category 2** species are the same as category 1b species, except that permits can be issued for their usage (e.g. invasive tree species can still be used in commercial forestry providing a permit is issued that specifies where they may be grown and that permit holders “*must ensure that the specimens of the species do not spread outside of the land or the area specified in the permit*”); and
- **Category 3** are listed invasive species that can be kept without permits, although they may not be traded or further propagated, and must be controlled if they occur in protected areas or riparian zones.

Duty of care related to listed invasive species are referred to in NEMBA Section 73<sup>6</sup>. The motivation for this duty of care is both environmentally and economically driven. Management of alien species in South Africa is estimated to cost at least ZAR 2 billion (US\$142 million) each year - this being the amount currently spent by the national government’s DEFF - i.e. the Working for Water programme (van Wilgen, 2020). Managing AIPs early on will reduce clearing costs in the long run.

---

<sup>6</sup> Section 73(2): A person who is the owner of land on which a listed invasive species occurs must-

- a) notify any relevant competent authority, in writing, of the listed invasive species occurring on that land;
- b) take steps to control and eradicate the listed invasive species and to prevent it from spreading; and
- c) take all the required steps to prevent or minimise harm to biodiversity.



## Site Results

Of the AIPs recorded during the field assessment, 13 species are listed under NEMBA Category 1b, two species were listed under NEMBA category 2, one species was listed under NEMBA category 3 (Table 1). Four species were not listed (Table 1) but *Zinnia peruviana* is a recognised problem plant that should be controlled as soon as it becomes evident that these species are impacting on the indigenous floral communities.

The Transformed Habitat, although barely vegetated, supported the most AIP species of all the habitat units. It is advised that an Alien and Invasive Species Management and Control Plan be implemented throughout all phases of construction within all habitat units, particularly within the Transformed Habitat, to limit the spread of AIP species into the surrounding habitat.

**Table 1: Dominant alien floral species identified during the field assessment with their invasive status as per NEMBA: Alien and Invasive Species Lists, GN R1003 of 2020.**

Scientific name (Common name, origin)	NEMBA Category	Degraded Bushveld	Encroached Habitat	Rocky Outcrop Subunit	Rocky Riverine Subunit	Transformed Habitat	Watercourse Habitat
Trees and shrubs							
* <i>Callistemon rigidus</i> (Stiff Bottlebrush, Australia)	3					x	
* <i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> (Jacaranda, South America)	1b					x	
* <i>Lantana camara</i> (Common Lantana, American tropics)	1b					x	x
* <i>Melia azedarach</i> (Syringa, Indomalaya and Australasia)	1b					x	
* <i>Ricinus communis</i> (Castor bean, Africa)	2	x				x	
* <i>Senna didymobotrya</i> (Peanut butter cassia, Africa)	1b					x	x
* <i>Thevetia peruviana</i> (Yellow Oleander, Central America)	1b					x	
* <i>Tipuana tipu</i> (Yellow Bells, South America)	1b	x				x	
Forbs							
* <i>Argemone Mexicana</i> (Mexican prickly poppy), Mexico)	1b	x					
* <i>Argemone ochroleuca</i> (Mexican poppy, Mexico)	1b	x				x	x
* <i>Ciclospermum leptophyllum</i> (Marsh parsley, Australia)	NL	x	x			x	
* <i>Flaveria bidentis</i> (Smelters bush, South America)	1b	x				x	
* <i>Gomphrena cetosoides</i> (Globe Amaranth flower, Central America)	NL	x				x	
* <i>Hibiscus trionum</i> (Flower-of-an-hour, Old World tropics)	NL	x					



Scientific name (Common name, origin)	NEMBA Category	Degraded Bushveld	Encroached Habitat	Rocky Outcrop Subunit	Rocky Riverine Subunit	Transformed Habitat	Watercourse Habitat
* <i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i> (Silverleaf nightshade, North and Central America)	1b	x				x	
* <i>Vinca major</i> (Greater periwinkle, Mediterranean)	1b				x		
* <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> (Large cocklebur, North America)	1b	x				x	x
* <i>Zinnia peruviana</i> (Peruvian zinnia, Peru)	NL	x		x			x
Succulents							
* <i>Agave sisalana</i> (Sisal, Mexico)	2	x	x	x		x	x
* <i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i> (Prickly Pear, Mexico)	1b	x	x			x	x

### 3 SENSITIVITY MAPPING

The National Web-Based Online Screening Tool identified the study area to be in a **high sensitivity** area for the Plant Species Theme. The Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme was identified as having a **very high sensitivity**. Based on the ground-truthed results of the site visit, Table 2 below presents the sensitivity of each identified habitat unit along with an associated conservation objective and implications for development.

Figures 6 – 8 conceptually illustrate the areas considered to be of varying ecological sensitivity and how they will be impacted by the proposed infrastructure development. The areas are depicted according to their sensitivity in terms of the presence or potential for floral SCC, habitat integrity and levels of disturbance, threat status of the habitat type, the presence of unique landscapes and overall levels of diversity (compared to a reference type).



**Table 2: A summary of the sensitivity of each habitat unit and implications for development.**

Sensitivity	Habitat Unit	Development Implications
Moderately High Sensitivity	<p><b>Rocky Habitat (encompassing the Rocky Outcrop subunit and the Rocky Riverine Subunit) &amp; Watercourse Habitat</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Conservation Objective for areas of Moderately High Sensitivity:</u> Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, limit development and disturbance.</p> <p>Areas of moderately high sensitivity include those areas, particularly the Rocky Habitat and the watercourse Habitat, where the floral diversity was intermediate to moderately high, the habitat was largely intact and where features of conservation significance were present, including the below list:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Confirmed presence of protected plant species according to Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003) (LEMA), namely <i>Aloe cryptopoda</i> and <i>Scadoxus puniceus</i> within the Rocky Habitat;</li> <li>- Areas confirmed to be ESA 1 within both the Rocky and Watercourse Habitat; and</li> <li>- Watercourses are legally protected within the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998) (NWA).</li> </ul> <p><b>Development options:</b> Development within these habitat subunits is likely to result in the loss of floral habitat, protected floral species (as per Schedule 12 of LEMA), and species diversity. Two protected species as identified by LEMA were recorded within the Rocky Habitat and it is anticipated that more are present. Both the Rocky Habitat subunits and parts of the Watercourse Habitat Unit are situated within an ESA 1, which is important for ecological functioning. Furthermore, given the location of the Rocky Riverine Subunit near the Mogompane River, the ecological functioning of this habitat unit is of particular importance, particularly those associated with the edge effects of the river system. As far as is possible, development should be avoided within the Rocky Riverine Subunit and if feasible, other layout options for water and power lines should be sought for the development that transverses this subunit. Should this not be feasible due to definite locations for the powerline and water line routes, strict rehabilitation measures must be implemented to restore the habitat back to its pre-development state or improved state.</p> <p>Development around the Rocky Outcrop subunit can be minimised provided that the development of the proposed water and powerlines are restricted to next to the existing servitude, opposite the Rocky outcrops and thus avoiding development of the outcrops themselves. This is achievable given that the rocky outcrops are not located on both sides of the existing roads, and thus if construction were to take place on the opposite side of the road, the negative impacts on these rocky outcrops can be minimised.</p> <p>Development around the watercourse habitat should be avoided as far as is possible and the zones of regulations from the Freshwater Report taken into consideration (SAS 220156). AIP management plan will need to be implemented if development is approved as AIP species can easily spread downstream and impact on habitat outside of the development footprint. Furthermore, edge effects of the associated watercourse system should be managed.</p>





Sensitivity	Habitat Unit	Development Implications
Moderately Low Sensitivity	Degraded Bushveld & Encroached Habitat	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Conservation Objective for areas of Moderately Low Sensitivity:</u> Optimise the development potential while improving the biodiversity integrity of the surrounding natural habitat and managing edge effects.</p> <p>These floral communities are of moderately low importance and significance from a floral resource management perspective. This is due to historic anthropogenic activities (e.g., dumping) and current grazing pressures which have altered the floral species composition significantly from the reference state (i.e. the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld). Decreased habitat integrity and bush encroachment have resulted in low potential for SCC to be present.</p> <p>Despite the moderately low floral richness within the Degraded Bushveld Habitat, a protected species as per Schedule 12 (Protected Plants) of the Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003) (LEMA), namely <i>Aloe cryptopoda</i>, was observed in this habitat unit. Permits from the Limpopo Economic Development, Environmental and Tourism LEDET will be required to remove, cut, or destroy the above-mentioned protected species before any vegetation clearing may take place. Additionally, the NFA protected species, <i>Boscia albitrunca</i>, was observed within this habitat unit albeit not very abundantly. Permits will have to be obtained from the Department of Environment, forest, and Fisheries (DEFF) for the individuals of <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> that will have to be removed for construction to proceed.</p> <p><b>Development options:</b> In its current modified state, these areas are not deemed important to support indigenous floral communities; however, where these areas fall outside of the approved development footprint, but within the powerline and water line servitudes, they should be managed as ecological support areas to reach a functioning ecological condition, e.g., control bush encroachment. As such, development within these areas can be optimised, but edge effects should be strictly managed.</p>
Low Sensitivity	Transformed Habitat	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Conservation Objective for areas of Low Sensitivity:</u> Optimise development potential.</p> <p>This habitat unit is of low ecological importance and sensitivity and development related activities are unlikely to have any significant impact on the floral community. The Transformed Habitat has experienced large degrees of modification and provides little habitat for indigenous floral species. Much of the habitat unit is dominated by a lack of vegetation but where vegetation is present, AIP species dominate. As such, AIP control must take place to improve possible function of the area and to control edge effects.</p> <p><b>Development options:</b> The habitat within the Transformed Habitat unit has been notably degraded from a floral species perspective. Anthropogenic activities over the years has led to a decreased habitat integrity and low species diversity. Human disturbance and presence within this habitat have led to the proliferation of AIPs and the subsequent loss of floral diversity. It is highly recommended that an AIP control and management plan be implemented during all phases of construction of the water line and power servitudes to limit the spread of AIP species to the surrounding areas.</p>






Figure 6: Conceptual illustration of the habitat sensitivity associated with the study area as identified during the field assessment.






Figure 7: Conceptual illustration of the habitat sensitivity associated with the northwestern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment.






Figure 8: Conceptual illustration of the habitat sensitivity associated with the southeastern section of the study area as identified during the field assessment.



## 4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The sections below provide the significance of perceived impacts arising from the proposed infrastructure development for the study area. The proposed development activities include upgrading and/or the construction of the following infrastructure: ventilation shafts with associated infrastructure, water pipelines and powerlines, a new TSF pipeline, a product stock ore pile, a compressed airline, and upgrades to the existing change house.

An impact discussion and assessment of all potential Planning Phase (Pre-construction and Planning), Construction, Decommissioning & Closure Phases impacts are provided in Section 4.2 and 4.3. All mitigatory measures required to minimise the perceived impacts are presented in Section 4.4.



## 4.1 Activities and Aspect Register

The table below indicates the perceived risks to floral species associated with the activities pertaining to the proposed infrastructure development at Marula Mine.

**Table 3: Activities and Aspects likely to impact on the floral resources of the study area.**

ACTIVITIES AND ASPECTS REGISTER	Wate, TSF and Power line	Stockpile and Shafts
<b>Planning Phase (Pre-Construction and Planning)</b>	<b>Applicable</b>	<b>Applicable</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Potential failure to relocate, where feasible, all floral SCC, protected species according to LEMA or NFA protected trees species to suitable habitat outside the development footprint (i.e. in the Rocky Habitat and the Degraded Bushveld Habitat).</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Loss of floral SCC, protected species as per LEMA and NFA within the development footprint areas in the study area.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Potential inadequate design of stormwater management and erosion control, resulting in increased risk of erosion and loss of topsoil;</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Loss of favourable floral habitat beyond the authorised footprint, leading to a decline in floral diversity.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Inconsiderate planning of infrastructure placement and design within the Rocky Habitat (especially within the Rocky Riverine Subunit), leading to the loss of intact floral habitat, as well as unnecessary edge effect impacts on areas outside of the proposed development footprint.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Degradation and modification of the receiving environment, loss of floral habitat.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Potential failure to design and implement an Alien and Invasive Plant (AIP) Management/Control plan before the commencement of construction activities, resulting in the spread of AIPs from the development footprint to surrounding natural habitat.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Spreads of AIPs, leading to potential loss of floral species diversity from surrounding natural habitat.</li> </ul>	X	X
<b>Construction and Operational (Mining) Phases</b>	<b>Applicable</b>	<b>Applicable</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Site clearing and the removal of vegetation.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Loss of floral habitat, diversity and potentially occurring floral SCC.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Potential failure to monitor the success of relocated floral SCC.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Loss of SCC individuals.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proliferation of AIP species that colonise in areas of increased disturbances and that outcompete native species, including the further transformation of adjacent natural habitat such as open bushveld that surround the greater study area.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Loss of favourable floral habitat outside of the direct development footprint, including a decrease in species diversity and a potential loss of floral SCC.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Dumping of construction material within areas where no construction is planned, thereby leading to further habitat disturbance - allowing the establishment and spread of AIPs.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Loss of favourable floral habitat, diversity and SCC as AIPs outcome and replace these species.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Failure to rehabilitate bare areas or disturbed sites as soon as they become available, potentially resulting in loss of viable soils, increased erosion risks and/or the proliferation of AIPs.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Long-term loss of favourable habitat for the establishment of floral species. Loss of floral diversity and SCC.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Overexploitation through the removal and/or collection of important or sensitive floral SCC beyond the direct footprint area due to increased presence of workers on site. The study area supports two</li> </ul>	X	X



ACTIVITIES AND ASPECTS REGISTER	Wate, TSF and Power line	Stockpile and Shafts
provincially protected species, <i>Aloe cryptopoda</i> and <i>Scadoxus puniceus</i> , as well as a NFA protected tree species, <i>Boscia albitrunca</i> , that are not anticipated to be restricted to the footprint area. - <b>Impact:</b> Local loss of floral SCC individuals beyond the footprint areas.		
- Additional pressure on floral habitat by increased human movement associated with the proposed mining activities, including increased vehicular movement, contributing to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overexploitation through the removal and/or collection of important or sensitive floral SCC beyond the direct footprint area;</li> <li>• Increased introduction and spread of AIPs; and</li> <li>• Increased risk of fire frequency.</li> </ul> - <b>Impact:</b> Loss of sensitive floral habitat and the potential loss of floral SCC.	X	X
- Potentially poorly managed edge effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ineffective rehabilitation of compacted areas, bare soils, or eroded areas leading to ongoing proliferation of AIP species in disturbed areas and subsequent spread to surrounding natural areas altering the floral habitat; and</li> <li>• Compaction of soils outside of the study area due to indiscriminate driving of construction vehicles through natural vegetation.</li> </ul> - <b>Impact:</b> Loss of floral habitat, diversity and SCC within the direct footprint of the proposed development. Loss of surrounding floral diversity and floral SCC through the displacement of indigenous flora by AIP species - especially in response to disturbance in natural areas.	X	X
- Potential failure to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implement a Biodiversity Management Plan (BMP); and</li> <li>• Initiate the rehabilitation plan and monitoring of alien floral communities during the operational phase.</li> </ul> - <b>Impact:</b> Permanent transformation of floral habitat and long-term degradation of floral habitat within the region.	X	X
- Excavation and compaction of soils leading to increased runoff and sedimentation of surrounding Watercourses. - <b>Impact:</b> Loss of favourable floral habitat and decline in diversity.	X	
- Dust generated during construction and operational activities accumulating on the surrounding floral individuals, altering the photosynthetic ability of plants <sup>7</sup> and potentially further decreasing optimal growing/re-establishing conditions. - <b>Impact:</b> Declines in plant functioning leading to loss of floral species and habitat for optimal growth.	X	X
- Decreased ecoservice provision & decreased ability to support biodiversity by ESA due to vegetation and soil disturbance. - <b>Impact:</b> Loss or alteration of ESA Habitat and associated ecological functionality.	X	X
<b>Operational Phases / Decommissioning &amp; Closure</b>		
- Increased introduction and proliferation of alien plant species due to a lack of maintenance activities, or poorly implemented and monitored AIP Management programme, leading to ongoing displacement of natural vegetation outside of the footprint area. - <b>Impact:</b> Ongoing or permanent loss of floral habitat, diversity and potentially occurring SCC.	X	X

<sup>7</sup> Sett, R. (2017). Responses in plants exposed to dust pollution. Horticulture International Journal, 1(2), 00010.).



ACTIVITIES AND ASPECTS REGISTER	Wate, TSF and Power line	Stockpile and Shafts
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rehabilitation of currently degraded habitat and management of bush encroached areas.</li> <li>- <b>Impact (positive):</b> Some ecological functioning will be restored that has been lost due to habitat degradation.</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Potentially ineffective rehabilitation of exposed and impacted areas potentially leading to a shift in vegetation type.</li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Permanent loss of floral habitat, diversity and SCC, and a higher likelihood of edge effect impacts on adjacent and nearby natural vegetation of increased sensitivity (such as the Rocky habitat and Watercourse Habitat within ESA 1 and ESA 2).</li> </ul>	X	X
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Potential poor management and failure to monitor rehabilitation efforts, leading to:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landscapes left fragmented, resulting in reduced dispersal capabilities of floral species and a decrease in floral diversity;</li> <li>• Compacted soils and increased AIP cover limiting the re-establishment of natural vegetation;</li> <li>• Increased risk of erosion in areas left disturbed.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- <b>Impact:</b> Long-term (or permanent) loss of floral habitat, diversity, and SCC.</li> </ul>		X

## 4.2 Floral Impact Assessment Results

The below table indicates the perceived risks to the floral ecology associated with all phases of the proposed development. The table also provides the findings of the impact assessment undertaken with reference to the perceived impacts prior to the implementation of mitigation measures and following the implementation of mitigation measures. The mitigated results of the impact assessment have been calculated on the premise that all mitigation measures as stipulated in this report are adhered to and implemented. Should such actions not be adhered to, it is highly likely that post-mitigation impact scores will increase.





**Table 4: Impact on the floral habitat, diversity and SCC from the proposed Powerlines and Water and TSF pipelines during the pre-construction phase, the construction phase, and the operational and maintenance phase of the proposed linear development.**

Infrastructure	UNMANAGED					Significance	MANAGED					Significance
	Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability		Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	
<b>PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of floral Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Powerline	M	L	M	L	H	Medium	L	L	L	L	M	Low
Water & TSF Pipelines	H	H	M	L	H	Medium	M	H	L	L	M	Low
<b>Impact on Floral SCC</b>												
Powerline	L	L	M	L	H	Medium	VL	L	L	L	M	Low
Water & TSF Pipelines	H	H	4	L	H	Medium	VH	H	M	L	M	Low
<b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of floral Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Powerline	M	L	M	L	H	Medium	L	L	L	L	M	Low
Water & TSF Pipelines	VH	H	M	L	VH	Medium	H	H	L	L	H	Medium
<b>Impact on Floral SCC</b>												
Powerline	L	L	M	L	H	Medium	VL	L	L	L	M	Low
Water & TSF Pipelines	VH	H	M	L	H	Medium	H	H	M	L	M	Low
<b>OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of floral Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Powerline	L	L	L	L	H	Medium	VL	L	VL	L	M	Low



Water & TSF Pipelines	M	H	M	L	H	Medium	L	H	L	L	M	Low
<b>Impact on Floral SCC</b>												
Powerline	VL	L	L	L	H	Medium	VL	L	VL	L	M	Low
Water & TSF Pipelines	H	H	M	L	H	Medium	M	H	L	L	M	Low
<b>DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of floral Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Powerline	L	M	M	M	H	Medium	VL	M	L	L	M	Low
Water & TSF Pipelines	L	VL	VL	L	L	Low	VL	VL	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>Impact on Floral SCC</b>												
Powerline	H	M	M	M	H	Medium	M	M	L	L	M	Low
Water & TSF Pipelines	VL	VL	VL	L	L	Low	VL	VL	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT



**Table 5: Impact on the floral habitat, diversity and SCC from the proposed Ventilation shafts and product stockpile during the preconstruction and planning phase, the construction phase and the decommissioning and closure phase of the mine.**

Infrastructure	UNMANAGED					Significance	MANAGED					Significance
	Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability		Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	
<b>PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND PLANNING PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of floral Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	M	M	M	M	H	Medium	L	M	L	L	M	Low
Product Stockpile	M	VL	L	L	L	Low	L	VL	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>Impact on Floral SCC</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	H	M	M	M	H	Medium	M	M	L	M	M	Low
Product Stockpile	L	VL	L	L	L	Low	VL	VL	1	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONAL PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of floral Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	M	M	M	M	H	Medium	L	M	L	L	M	Low
Product Stockpile	M	VL	L	L	L	Low	L	VL	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT



Impact on Floral SCC												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	H	M	M	M	H	Medium	M	M	L	M	M	Low
Product Stockpile	L	VL	L	L	L	Low	VL	VL	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE												
Impact of floral Habitat and Diversity												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	L	M	M	M	H	Medium	VL	M	L	L	M	Low
Product Stockpile	L	VL	VL	L	L	Low	VL	VL	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT
Impact on Floral SCC												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	H	M	M	M	H	Medium	M	M	L	L	M	Low
Product Stockpile	VL	VL	VL	L	L	Low	VL	VL	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT



### **4.3 Impact Discussion**

Prior to mitigation measures implemented, the impact of the proposed development on the floral ecology of the study area is anticipated to be moderately unfavourable for the development of the ventilation shafts, conveyors, airline and changeroom upgrade as well as the water & TSF pipelines, and the powerlines. However, the impact associated with the development of the stockpiles is anticipated to be less detrimental.

With mitigation measures implemented, the direct and indirect impacts on the floral ecology for the study area may be reduced to medium to low levels during both the construction and operational phases where the development of the ventilation shafts and associated refrigeration infrastructure, conveyors, airline and changeroom upgrade as well as the water lines and powerlines are associated. In contrast, with mitigation measures in place, the development of the stockpiles is anticipated to be insignificant during the construction and operational phases of the development due to placement within already transformed habitat.

The impact on floral SCC varies slightly between the proposed infrastructure but without any mitigation measures implemented, the impact on floral SCC is anticipated to be Medium for the development of the ventilation shafts, conveyors, airline, changeroom upgrade, water and TSF pipelines and the powerlines. The impact significance can be reduced to Low levels if species are adequately rescued and relocated prior to the commencement of vegetation clearance. The impact on floral SCC for the stockpiles prior to mitigation is anticipated to be low, whereas with the implementation of mitigation measures is anticipated to be insignificant.

As part of the rehabilitation actions, disturbed areas (as a result of construction and / or operational activities) that are not within the development footprint must be rehabilitated appropriately and AIP establishment controlled within such areas.

#### **4.3.1 Impact on Floral Habitat and Diversity**

The impact assessment was undertaken on all aspects of floral ecology deemed likely to be affected by the proposed infrastructure development. The proposed development will result in the clearance of vegetation, which will lead to a loss of floral habitat and diversity within the study area.

The development of the proposed water and TSF pipelines and the power lines will result in the greatest impact in terms of size of the habitat impacted during construction; however, the reference vegetation type is well represented within the greater region. As such a significant loss of the associated degraded floral communities is not anticipated. However, the proposed



water and powerlines do transverse a sensitive habitat, namely a Rocky Habitat subunit (i.e., the Rocky Riverine subunit) as well as the Watercourse Habitat. The development of the proposed water and within this area is deemed likely to impact of the floral habitat and diversity that is located within this area. If the powerlines are constructed at heights greater than the height of the Rocky Outcrops, impacts on the floral communities within this habitat subunit can be greatly decreased. Although, the proposed development of the water and powerlines will lead to a loss of floral species in the footprint area, it is not likely to impact floral communities at a larger local and regional (provincial) level.

The proposed development of the ventilation shafts (and associated refrigeration structures), conveyors, airline and changeroom upgrade is not anticipated to be significant due to the small size thereof. The area identified for the development of the shafts is also no longer considered to be a good representation of the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld vegetation type. A lack of important ecological processes (such as fire) within these areas has led to a degraded system. Given the degree of degradation and overgrazing within the area identified for development of the ventilation shafts, the area is not anticipated to provide suitable habitat for other indigenous species. As such, a significant loss of floral communities is not anticipated with the specified development, nor is it likely to impact floral communities at a larger local and regional (provincial) level.

The proposed locations of the product stockpiles will receive the smallest impact in terms of size of the habitat lost. This habitat unit is no longer considered to be a good representation of the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld vegetation type, particularly as it is located within an already transformed area. As such, a significant loss of floral communities is not anticipated for the development of the stockpile. Development of the stockpile is further unlikely to impact floral communities at a larger local and regional (provincial) level.

#### **4.3.2 Impacts on Floral SCC**

Placement of the development infrastructure is likely to have an unfavourable impact on protected floral species (LEMA) such as *Aloe cryptopoda* (within the Degraded Bushveld and Rocky Habitat Units) and *Scadoxus puniceus* (within the Rocky Outcrop Subunit), specifically along the routes identified for the proposed water and powerlines.

The study area is not associated with a high diversity of SCC or protected floral species according to LEMA and the NFA, nor were a high abundance of individuals observed. However, the loss of *Aloe cryptopoda*, *Scadoxus puniceus* and *Boscia albitrunca* individuals is considered definite, especially along the routes identified for the development of the water



and TSF pipelines and the powerlines. Impacts on SCC from the proposed powerline can be greatly reduced if vegetation clearing is kept only to areas where infrastructure will be erected and vegetation in between these structures be maintained.

Activities which are likely to negatively affect the flora of conservation concern within and around the study area include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Placement of infrastructure (water and TSF pipelines) within sensitive floral habitat (particularly within the Watercourse Habitat and Degraded Bushveld Habitat Units) or habitat favoured by the recorded protected floral species. Sensitive habitats are likely to be affected regardless of alternative routes as Watercourse Habitat in particular will still be traversed;
- Irreversible destruction of favourable floral habitat during construction and operational activities; and
- Poorly managed AIP proliferation with subsequent displacement of floral SCC.

A walkdown of the footprint area prior to construction activities should be conducted. Should these species or any other floral SCC or protected species as per the LEMA and the NFA be encountered during any phase of the proposed development, these species should be rescued and relocated by a suitably qualified specialist and either relocated to suitable habitat within the study area outside of the development footprint or moved to registered nurseries such as the Agricultural Research Council (ARC) or the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI). Any other floral SCC encountered during the construction phase of the proposed development should also be relocated by a suitably qualified specialist and, where required, the necessary permits should be applied for.

#### **4.3.3 Impact on CBAs, ESAs, Threatened Vegetation and Protected Areas**

The proposed development will not impact on any CBAs, or protected areas (within 3 km of the study area). However, the proposed development will impact on ESAs as well as a threatened ecosystem, namely the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, which is endangered. ESAs are important features in the greater landscape and provide unique conditions for flora and important ecological functionality within the ecosystem. The current layout of the proposed development transverses mostly ESA 1 areas, although ESA 2 areas are also affected. Due to their ecological importance, it is recommended that impacts to ESAs be avoided or minimised as far as possible and kept to approved areas only.

Although the study area falls within an endangered ecosystem, much of the proposed development does not fall within the fragmented remnants of the vegetation unit. Where



development does fall within such remnants (i.e., parts of both the powerlines and water lines), it is recommended that the development, and associated vegetation clearing, be kept to what is absolutely necessary and kept within the approved areas only.

#### **4.3.4 Probable Residual Impacts**

Even with extensive mitigation and rehabilitation, residual impacts on the receiving floral ecological environment are still likely. Although rehabilitation during the closure and decommissioning of the mine is planned, it is still unlikely to result in the complete restoration of the receiving environment to the vegetative reference state. Rehabilitation, if suitably undertaken, will however result in a suitable vegetation cover of indigenous species known from the area, thereby minimising long term residual impacts. Rehabilitation efforts however may be hindered as a result of land use activities associated with the neighbouring communities, notably increased grazing and use of natural resources in the rehabilitated areas. The following points highlight the key residual impacts that have been identified:

- Continued AIP proliferation;
- Potential continued loss of protected floral species and suitable habitat; and
- Bush encroachment limiting floral species establishment in the rehabilitated areas as well as the remaining surrounding areas.

#### **4.3.5 Cumulative Impacts**

Currently, the current greatest threat to the floral ecology that are likely to contribute to cumulative impacts on the floral communities within the surrounding areas are bush encroachment, overgrazing, and the continued proliferation of AIP species, resulting in the overall loss of native floral communities within the local area.

### **4.4 Integrated Impact Mitigation**

The table below highlights the key, general integrated mitigation measures that are applicable to the proposed development in order to suitably manage and mitigate the ecological impacts that are associated with all phases of the proposed development. Provided that all management and mitigation measures are implemented, as stipulated in this report, the overall risk to floral and faunal diversity, habitat and SCC can be mitigated and minimised.





**Table 6: A summary of the mitigatory requirements for floral resources.**

<b>Project phase</b>	Planning Phase
<b>Impact Summary</b>	Loss of floral habitat, species and SCC
<b>Proposed mitigation and management measures:</b>	
<b>Floral Habitat and Diversity</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Minimise loss of indigenous vegetation where possible through adequate planning and, where necessary, by incorporating the sensitivity of the biodiversity report as well as other specialist studies; and</li> <li>- Prior to the commencement of construction activities, an AIP Management/Control Plan should be compiled for implementation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Removal of AIPs should preferably commence during the pre-construction phase and continue throughout the construction and operational phases. AIPs should be cleared within the study area before any vegetation clearing activities commence, thereby ensuring that no AIP propagules are spread with construction rubble, or soils contaminated with AIP seeds during the construction phase; and</li> <li>- An AIP Management/Control Plan should be implemented by a qualified professional. No use of uncertified chemicals may be used for chemical control of AIPs. Only trained personnel are to use chemical and mechanical control methods of AIPs. Chemical control may not be used within the Watercourse Habitat.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<b>Floral SCC</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Species protected under NFA and Schedule 12 of the Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No 7 of 2003) were recorded on site. Suitable habitat for such species is present, especially in the within the Degraded Bushveld and Rocky Habitats. A walkdown of the footprint area is required before construction activities commence where anticipated floral SCC/protected species are searched and marked (if encountered); and</li> <li>- If SCC/protected species are encountered and will be affected by the construction activities, these species must be marked and where possible, relocated to suitable habitat surrounding the disturbance footprint. Suitable habitat is available in nearby surrounding locations. A licence from the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries (DEFF) is required for the removal of NFA protected tree species (<i>Boscia albutruncea</i>). For the removal, destruction, or relocation of protected flora in terms of the LEMA (Schedules 11 and 12), a license is required from the Department of Economic. Development, Environment &amp; Tourism (LEDET).</li> </ul>	
<b>Project phase</b>	Construction and Operational Phases
<b>Impact Summary</b>	Loss of floral habitat, species and SCC
<b>Proposed mitigation and management measures:</b>	
<b>Development footprint</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The construction footprint must be kept as small as possible in order to minimise impact on the surrounding environment (edge effect management);</li> <li>• Removal of vegetation must be restricted to what is absolutely necessary and should remain within the approved development footprint.</li> <li>• Vehicles should be restricted to travelling only on designated roadways to limit the ecological footprint of the construction activities. Additional road construction should be limited to what is absolutely necessary, and the footprint thereof kept to a minimal;</li> <li>• No collection of indigenous floral species must be allowed by construction personnel, especially with regards to floral SCC (if encountered);</li> <li>• Care should be taken during the construction and operation activities to limit edge effects on the surrounding habitats. This can be achieved by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Demarcating all footprint areas during construction activities;</li> <li>- Rubble is to be disposed of on the existing waste rock dump (WRD) whilst cleared alien invasive plant species are to be taken to a registered waste disposal facility;</li> <li>- All soils outside of the operational area that have been compacted as a result of construction activities should be ripped and profiled and reseeded;</li> <li>- Manage the spread of AIP species, which may affect remaining natural habitat within surrounding areas. Specific mention in this regard is made to Category 1b and 2 species identified within the development footprint areas (refer to section 2.7.3 of this report); and</li> <li>- No dumping of waste is allowed on site. Rcoek material and any rubble removed as a result of the construction activities should be disposed of on the WRD. No temporary dump sites should be allowed in areas with natural vegetation. Waste disposal containers and bins should be provided during the construction phase for all construction rubble and general waste. Vegetation cuttings must be carefully collected and disposed of at a separate waste facility.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• If any spills occur, they should be immediately cleaned up to avoid soil contamination that can hinder floral rehabilitation later down the line. Spill kits should be kept on-site within workshops. In the event</li> </ul>	



<p>of a breakdown, maintenance of vehicles must take place with care, and the recollection of spillage should be practised, preventing the ingress of hydrocarbons into the topsoil; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Upon completion of construction activities, where bare / disturbed areas remain that are not part of the everyday operations/functions of the mine, these areas are to be revegetated with indigenous species.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Alien Vegetation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Edge effects arising from the proposed development, such as erosion and alien plant species proliferation, which may affect adjacent natural areas, need to be strictly managed. Specific mention in this regard is made of Category 1b and 2 AIP species (as listed in the NEMBA Alien species lists, 2020), in line with the NEMBA Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (2014) (section 2.7.3 of this report);</li> <li>• Ongoing alien and invasive plant monitoring and clearing/control should take place throughout the construction and operational phase of the development, and a 30 m buffer surrounding the study area should be regularly checked for AIP proliferation and to prevent spread into surrounding natural areas; and</li> <li>• Alien vegetation that is removed must not be allowed to lay on unprotected ground as seeds might disperse upon it. All cleared plant material to be disposed of at a licensed waste facility which complies with legal standards.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Floral SCC</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No collection of floral SCC must be allowed by construction personnel; and</li> <li>• Edge effect control needs to be implemented to prevent further degradation and potential loss of floral SCC outside of the proposed development footprint area.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Fire</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No illicit fires must be allowed during the construction of the proposed development.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Rehabilitation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rehabilitation of natural vegetation should proceed in accordance with the rehabilitation plan. The rehabilitation plan should consider all phases of the project indicating rehabilitation actions to be undertaken during and once construction has been completed, ongoing rehabilitation/monitoring during the operational phase of the project as well as rehabilitation actions to be undertaken after operations have ceased;</li> <li>• Any natural areas beyond the direct footprint, which have been affected by the construction or operational activities, must be rehabilitated using indigenous species;</li> <li>• Floral monitoring should be done annually during operational activities. Please also refer to the monitoring guidelines in section 4.5;</li> <li>• Areas that have been disturbed as a result of mining activities must be rehabilitated as soon possible. This will not only reduce the total disturbance footprint but will also reduce the overall rehabilitation effort and costs associated with it; and</li> <li>• All soils compacted because of construction activities falling outside of the project area should be ripped and profiled. Special attention should be paid to alien and invasive control within these areas.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project phase</b>	Decommissioning & Closure Phase
<b>Impact Summary</b>	Loss of floral habitat, species and SCC
<b>Proposed mitigation and management measures:</b>	
<p><b>Development footprint</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No additional habitat outside of the footprint areas is to be disturbed during the closure phase;</li> <li>• No vehicles are allowed to indiscriminately drive through sensitive habitat and natural areas; and</li> <li>• No dumping of litter must be allowed on-site.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Alien Vegetation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Edge effects such as erosion and alien plant species proliferation, which may affect adjacent natural areas, need to be strictly managed. Specific mention in this regard is made of Category 1b and 2 AIP species (as listed in the NEMBA Alien species lists, 2020), in line with the NEMBA Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (2014) (section 2.7.3 of this report);</li> <li>• Ongoing alien and invasive plant monitoring and clearing/control should take place throughout the closure phase, and the project perimeters should be regularly checked for AIP establishment to prevent spread into surrounding natural areas; and</li> <li>• Alien vegetation that is removed must not be allowed to lay on unprotected ground as seeds might disperse upon it. All cleared plant material to be disposed of at a licensed waste facility, which complies with legal standards.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Floral SCC</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As far as possible, no collection of floral SCC/protected or medicinal floral species within the study area or adjacent natural habitat must be allowed during the decommissioning and closure phase of the proposed development; and</li> </ul>	



- Edge effect control needs to be implemented to prevent further degradation and potential loss of floral SCC/protected species or suitable habitat for such species outside of the proposed development footprint.

#### Rehabilitation

- All infrastructure and footprint areas should be rehabilitated in accordance with the rehabilitation plan;
- All rehabilitated areas should be rehabilitated to a point where natural processes will allow the ecological functioning and biodiversity of the area to be re-instated;
- Edge effects such as erosion and AIP proliferation, which may affect adjacent or downstream sensitive habitat, need to be strictly managed adjacent to the footprint areas and as part of the rehabilitation phase;
- Ongoing alien and invasive vegetation monitoring and clearance should take place throughout the rehabilitation phase of the project;
- Due to the impacts on ESA 1, ESA 2 and an endangered ecosystem, rehabilitation must be to the pre-mined condition. Where possible, vegetation condition should be improved through bush encroachment and AIP management; and
- Monitoring of rescued and relocated floral SCC should continue during the Decommissioning & Closure Phase until it is evident that the species have successfully established. Where possible, these species should be reintroduced into rehabilitation sites.

## 4.5 Floral Monitoring

A floral monitoring plan must be designed and implemented throughout all phases of the proposed mining project, should it be approved. The following points aim to guide the design of the monitoring plan, and it must be noted that the monitoring plan must be continually updated and refined for site-specific requirements:

- Permanent monitoring plots must be established within (target area) and surrounding (reference area) all rehabilitated areas. These plots must be designed to accurately monitor the following parameters:
  - Species diversity and species abundance;
  - Recruitment of indigenous species and of alien and invasive species, including alien vs Indigenous plant ratios;
  - Erosion levels and the efficacy of erosion control measures; and
  - Vegetation community structure including species composition and diversity which should be compared to pre-development conditions and work towards the post-closure objective.
- Monitoring of all the natural areas should continue throughout the operational phase to ensure these systems are not adversely affected by associated activities;
- The rehabilitation plan must be continuously updated (i.e. adaptive management) in accordance with the monitoring results to ensure that optimal rehabilitation measures are employed. Adaptive management is an integral part of any rehabilitation plan as it assesses monitoring results to allow rehabilitation measures to be revisited and to be adapted accordingly;



- Results of the monitoring activities must be considered during all phases of the proposed project and action must be taken to mitigate impacts as soon as negative effects from mining activities become apparent; and
- The method of monitoring must be designed to be subjective and repeatable to ensure consistent results.



## 5 CONCLUSION

STS was appointed to conduct a Faunal and Floral Ecological Assessment as part of the EIA and Authorisation process for the proposed surface infrastructure development on the existing Marula Platinum Mine, located approximately 30 km northwest of the town of Burgersfort, Limpopo Province, henceforth referred to as the “study area”.

The study is located within the MRA of the Marula Platinum Mine. During the field assessment, five broad habitat units were identified within the study area, namely Degraded Bushveld, Encroached Habitat, Rocky Habitat (encompassing two smaller subunits, namely Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine subunits), Watercourse Habitat and Transformed Habitat. The Degraded Bushveld and Encroached Habitat were of moderately low sensitivity. The Degraded Bushveld supported a relatively low species richness and is degraded largely due to dumping and overgrazing within the habitat unit. The Encroached Habitat was encroached, with the main encroaching species being *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotica* and *Terminalia sericea*. The Rocky Habitat, which consisted of two subunits (i.e. Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine Habitat) and the Watercourse Habitat were of moderately high sensitivity. These habitat units were scored this sensitivity as the area provides unique habitat for floral species. The Transformed Habitat is of low sensitivity and is not deemed important to support floral communities given the transformed state and level of AIP proliferation within the habitat unit. Impacts from the proposed development on these habitat units will be of low significance.

No SANBI Red Data Listed species were observed during the field assessment. However, an NFA species was encountered within the study area, namely *Boscia albutruncia* (within the Degraded Bushveld Habitat). Furthermore, two protected species, *Aloe cryptopoda* and *Scadoxus puniceus*, as per Schedule 12 of the Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003) were identified within the Degraded Bushveld, Watercourse Habitat and within the Rocky Habitat. It is recommended that a summer season walkdown be undertaken and all potentially occurring protected floral species within the final development footprint be marked by means of GPS. Permits from LEDET and DEFF should be obtained to remove, cut, or destroy the above-mentioned protected species before any vegetation clearing may take place.

The proposed infrastructure area will impact on two habitat units namely, the Rocky Habitat (including both subunits) as well as the Watercourse Habitat. As such, the following recommendations are proposed: development of the proposed water and powerlines should be restricted to areas right next to the road servitude, avoiding development within the outcrops themselves. This is achievable given that the rocky outcrops are not located on both sides of the existing roads. If development were to occur on the opposite side of the road to



the Rocky Outcrops, the negative impacts thereof can be minimised. The proposed water and power lines will directly impact on the sensitive Rocky Riverine subunit as well as on various floral species, including one SCC. It is recommended that the proposed power and water and TSF pipeline path which overlaps the Rocky Riverine Habitat should be realigned to exclude this moderately sensitive habitat. Development within the watercourse habitat should be avoided where possible. Activities that are planned within the delineated Watercourse Habitat or the zones of regulation, as identified in the Watercourse Assessment, will require authorisation from the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS). Stormwater management and erosion control will be essential to prevent siltation of Watercourse Habitat.

Following the biodiversity assessment within the study area, the impacts associated with the proposed development activities were determined. The impacts arising from the proposed development are predominantly medium. With mitigation measures fully implemented, it is the opinion of the specialist that all impacts can be effectively reduced to low and insignificant levels.

It is the opinion of the ecologists that this study provides the relevant information required to implement Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) and to ensure that the best long-term use of the ecological resources in the study area will be made in support of the principle of sustainable development.



## 6 REFERENCES

- BRAHMS Online Copyright © 1985 - 2020 Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford. Online available: <http://posa.sanbi.org/sanbi/Websites>.
- Bromilow, C. 2001. Problem Plants of South Africa Revised Edition, First Impression. Briza Publications, Pretoria, RSA.
- Edwards, E., 1983. A broad-scale structural classification of vegetation for practical purposes. *Bothalia*, 14(3/4), pp.705-712.
- Government Gazette No. 43855. 30 October 2020. Procedures for the assessment and minimum criteria for reporting on identified environmental themes in terms of sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation.
- Government Notice R598 Alien and Invasive Species Regulations as published in the Government Gazette 37885 dated 1 September 2014 as it relates to the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No 10 of 2004).
- Government Notice No. 1003 Alien Invasive Species List as published in the Government Gazette 43726 of 2020, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No 10 of 2004).
- Government Notice (GN) 1002 of 2011. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (10/2004): National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection. Government Gazette 34809. Gunamani T, Gurusamy R, Swamynathan K. 1991. Effect of dust pollution on the dermal appendages and anatomy of leaves in some herbaceous plants. *J Swamy Boli Club*. 1991;8(3-4):79-85.
- Henderson, L. 2001. Alien Weeds and Invasive plants – A Complete Guide to Declared Weeds and Invaders in South Africa. Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Council Handbook No 12. Pretoria.
- Hui C, Richardson DM. 2017. Invasion dynamics. Oxford University Press, Oxford. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198745334.001.0001>
- Low, A.B. and Rebelo, A.G. (eds). 1998. Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Department of Environmental Affairs & Tourism, Pretoria
- Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds). 2012. The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. *Strelitzia* 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, RSA
- Mureva, A. and Ward, D., 2016. Spatial patterns of encroaching shrub species under different grazing regimes in a semi-arid savanna, eastern Karoo, South Africa. *African Journal of Range & Forage Science*, 33(2), pp.77-89.
- Naik DP, Ushamani, Somasekhar RK. 2005. Reduction in protein and chlorophyll contents in some plant species due to some stone quarrying activity. *Environ Polln Cont J*. 2005; 8:42-44.
- O'Connor, T. G., Puttick, J. R., and Hoffman, T. M. 2014. Bush encroachment in southern Africa: changes and causes. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science*. 31:2, 67-88, DOI: [10.2989/10220119.2014.939996](https://doi.org/10.2989/10220119.2014.939996)
- Pooley, E. 2005. A field guide to wildflowers: KwaZulu-Natal and the eastern region. Second Edition. Natal Flora Publ. Trust.
- Raimondo, D., von Staden, L., Foden, W., Victor, J.E, Helme, NA., Turner, R.C, Kamundi, DA. & Manyama, PA. (eds). 2009. Red List of South African Plants *Strelitzia* 25. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. Version 2014.1.



- Richardson DM, Pyšek P, Carlton JT. 2011. A compendium of essential concepts and terminology in invasion ecology. In: Richardson DM (ed) Fifty years of invasion ecology. The legacy of Charles Elton. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 409–420. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444329988.ch30>.
- SANBI. 2018. The Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland, Mucina, L., Rutherford, M.C. and Powrie, L.W. (Editors), Online, <http://bgis.sanbi.org/Projects/Detail/186>, Version 2018.
- SANBI. 2018a. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level layer 2018.
- SANBI. 2018b. Terrestrial ecosystem threat status and protection level - remaining extent 2018.
- SANBI. 2020. Draft Species Environmental Assessment Guideline. Guidelines for the implementation of the Terrestrial Flora (3c) & Terrestrial Fauna (3d) Species Protocols for environmental impact assessments in South Africa. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. Version 1.0.
- SANBI BGIS. 2019. The South African National Biodiversity Institute - Biodiversity GIS (BGIS) [online]. URL: <http://bgis.sanbi.org> as retrieved in 2020.
- SAS 220156. 2020. Watercourse ecological assessment as part of the environmental and water use authorisation processes for ventilation shafts at Marula Platinum Mine, Limpopo Province. Prepared for SLR Environmental Ltd. Prepared by Scientific Aquatic Services CC. December 2020
- Sett, R. 2017. Responses in plants exposed to dust pollution. Horticulture International Journal, 1(2), 00010.).
- Skowno, A.L., Raimondo, D.C., Poole, C.J., Fizzotti, B. & Slingsby, J.A. (eds.). 2019. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 Technical Report Volume 1: Terrestrial Realm. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12143/6370>
- The National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998).
- The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004).
- Van Oudtshoorn, F. (1999). Guide to Grasses of Southern Africa. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Briza Publications, Pretoria.
- Van Wyk, B. and Malan, S. (1998). Field Guide to the Wild Flowers of the Highveld. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.
- Vicente, J., Pereira, H., Randin, C., Gonçalves, J., Lomba, A., Alves, P., Metzger, J., Cezar, M., Guisan, A. & Honrado, J. 2013. Environment and dispersal paths override life strategies and residence time in determining regional patterns of invasion by alien plants. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 16: 1-10.
- Wilson JRU, Gaertner M, Richardson DM et al (2017) Contributions to the national status report on biological invasions in South Africa. Bothalia 47: a2207. <https://doi.org/10.4102/abc.v47i2.2207>.





## APPENDIX A: Floral Method of Assessment

### *Floral Species of Conservational Concern Assessment*

Prior to the site visit, a record of floral SCC and their habitat requirements was developed for the study area, which includes consulting the National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool. Because not all SCC have been included in the Screening Tool layers (e.g. NT and DD taxa), it remains important for the specialist to be on the lookout for additional SCC. For this study, two primary sources were consulted and are described below.

#### The National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool

The Screening Tool was accessed to obtain a list of potentially occurring species of conservation concern for the study area. Each of the themes in the Screening Tool consists of theme-specific spatial datasets which have been assigned a sensitivity level namely, “*low*”, “*medium*”, “*high*” and “*very high*” sensitivity. The four levels of sensitivity are derived and identified in different ways, e.g. for **confirmed** areas of occupied habitat for SCC a Very High and High Sensitivity is assigned and for areas of suitable habitat where SCC may occur based on spatial models only, a Medium Sensitivity is assigned. The different sensitivity ratings pertaining to the Plant [and Animal] Protocols are described below<sup>8</sup>:

- **Very High:** Habitat for species that are endemic to South Africa, where all the known occurrences of that species are within an area of 10 km<sup>2</sup> are considered Critical Habitat, as all remaining habitat is irreplaceable. Typically, these include species that qualify under Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), or Vulnerable (VU) D criteria of the IUCN or species listed as Critically/ Extremely Rare under South Africa’s National Red List Criteria. For each species reliant on a Critical Habitat, all remaining suitable habitat has been manually mapped at a fine scale.
- **High:** Recent occurrence records for all threatened (CR, EN, VU) and/or rare endemic species are included in the high sensitivity level. Spatial polygons of suitable habitat have been produced for each species by intersecting recently collected occurrence records (those collected since the year 2000) that have a spatial confidence level of less than 250 m with segments of remaining natural habitat.
- **Medium:** Model-derived suitable habitat areas for threatened and/or rare species are included in the medium sensitivity level. Two types of spatial models have been included. The first is a simple rule-based habitat suitability model where habitat attributes such as vegetation type and altitude are selected for all areas where a species has been recorded to occur. The second is a species distribution model which uses species occurrence records combined with multiple environmental variables to quantify and predict areas of suitable habitat. The models provide a probability-based distribution indicating a continuous range of habitat suitability across areas that have not been previously surveyed. A probability threshold of 75% for suitable habitat has been used to convert the modelled probability surface and reduce it into a single spatial area which defines areas that fall within the medium sensitivity level.
- **Low:** Areas where no SCC are known or expected to occur.

#### BRAHMS Online Website

The Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA) is accessed to obtain plant names and floristic details (<http://posa.sanbi.org/>) for species of conservation concern within a selected boundary;

<sup>8</sup> More details on the use of the Screening Tool for Species of Conservation Concern can be found in the below resources:

- South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI). 2020. Draft Species Environmental Assessment Guideline. Guidelines for the implementation of the Terrestrial Flora (3c) & Terrestrial Fauna (3d) Species Protocols for environmental impact assessments in South Africa. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. Version 1.0.
- The National Web based Environmental Screening Tool website: <https://screening.environment.gov.za/screeningtool/#/pages/welcome>



- This website provides access to South African plant names (taxa), specimens (herbarium sheets) and observations of plants made in the field (botanical records). Data is obtained from the BODATSA, which contains records from the National Herbarium in Pretoria (PRE), the Compton Herbarium in Cape Town (NBG & SAM) and the KwaZulu-Natal Herbarium in Durban (NH).
- Information on habitat requirements etc. is obtained from the SANBI Red List of South African Plants website (<http://redlist.sanbi.org/>).
- Typically, data is extracted for the Quarter Degree Square (QDS) in which the study area is situated but where it is deemed appropriate, a larger area can be included.

### NEMBA TOPS Species

The Threatened or Protected Species (TOPS) Regulations (GN 255 of 2015) under Section 56(1) of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004) (NEMBA), were taken into consideration for the Limpopo Province.

### Specially Protected and Protected Species

The Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003) (LEMA) provides a list of Specially Protected Plants (Schedule 11) and Protected Plants (Schedule 12) for the Limpopo Province. These species formed part of the SCC assessment. The list is available online at the following link: [https://www.unodc.org/res/cld/document/limpopo-environmental-management-act-7-of-2003.html/Limpopo\\_Enviro\\_Management\\_Act.pdf](https://www.unodc.org/res/cld/document/limpopo-environmental-management-act-7-of-2003.html/Limpopo_Enviro_Management_Act.pdf)

Throughout the floral assessment, special attention was paid to the identification of any of these SCC as well as the identification of suitable habitat that could potentially support these species.

The Probability of Occurrence (POC) for each floral SCC is described:

- **“Confirmed”**: if observed during the survey;
- **“High”**: if within the species’ known distribution range and suitable habitat is available;
- **“Medium”**: if either within the known distribution range of the species or if suitable habitat is present; or
- **“Low”**: if the habitat is not suitable and falls outside the distribution range of the species.

The accuracy of the POC is based on the available knowledge about the species in question, with many of the species lacking in-depth habitat research.

### Floral Habitat Sensitivity

The floral habitat sensitivity of each habitat unit was determined by calculating the mean of five different parameters which influence floral communities and provide an indication of the overall floristic ecological integrity, importance and sensitivity of the habitat unit. Each of the following parameters are subjectively rated on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = lowest and 5 = highest):

- **Floral SCC**: The confirmed presence or potential for floral SCC or any other significant species, such as endemics, to occur within the habitat unit;
- **Unique Landscapes**: The presence of unique landscapes or the presence of an ecologically intact habitat unit in a transformed region;
- **Conservation Status**: The conservation status of the ecosystem or vegetation type in which the habitat unit is situated based on local, regional and national databases. Whether the habitat is representative of a Critical Biodiversity Area or forms part of an Ecological Support Area is also taken into consideration;
- **Floral Diversity**: The recorded floral diversity compared to a suitable reference condition such as surrounding natural areas or available floristic databases; and
- **Habitat Integrity**: The degree to which the habitat unit is transformed based on observed disturbances which may affect habitat integrity.



Each of these values contribute equally to the mean score, which determines the floral habitat sensitivity class in which each habitat unit falls. A conservation and land-use objective is also assigned to each sensitivity class which aims to guide the responsible and sustainable utilization of the habitat unit in question. In order to present the results use is made of spider diagrams to depict the significance of each aspect of floral ecology for each vegetation type. The different classes and land-use objectives are presented in the table below:

**Table A1: Floral habitat sensitivity rankings and associated land-use objectives.**

Score	Rating significance	Conservation objective
1 < 1.5	Low	Optimise development potential.
≥1.5 <2.5	Moderately low	Optimise development potential while improving biodiversity integrity of surrounding natural habitat and managing edge effects.
≥2.5 <3.5	Intermediate	Preserve and enhance biodiversity of the habitat unit and surrounds while optimizing development potential.
≥3.5 <4.5	Moderately high	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, limit development and disturbance.
≥4.5 ≤5.0	High	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, no-go alternative must be considered.

## Vegetation Surveys

When planning the timing of a floristic survey, it is important to remember that the primary objective is not an exhaustive species list but rather to ensure that sufficient data are collected to describe all the vegetation communities present in the area of interest, to optimise the detection of SCC and to assess habitat suitability for other potentially occurring SCC (SANBI, 2020).

The vegetation survey incorporates the subjective (or stratified) sampling method. Subjective sampling is a sampling technique in which the specialist relies on his or her own professional experience when choosing sample sites within the study area. This allows representative recordings of floral communities and optimal detection of SCC. Subjective sampling is used to consider different areas (or habitat units) which are identified within the main body of a habitat/study area.

One of the problems with random sampling, another popular sampling method, is that random samples may not cover all areas of a study area equally and thus increase the potential to miss floral SCC. Random sampling methods also tend to require more time in the field to locate the amount of SCC that can be detected using subjective sampling methods - In the context of an EIA where time constraints are often restrictive, priority needs to be given to collecting data in the shortest time possible without compromising the efficiency of locating SCC (SANBI, 2020).

Vegetation structure has been described following the guideline in Edwards (1983). Refer to Figure A1 below:






Figure A1: Diagrammatic representation of structural groups and formation classes. Only dominant growth forms are shown.



## APPENDIX B: Floral SCC

South Africa uses the internationally endorsed IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria in the Red List of South African plants. This scientific system is designed to measure species' risk of extinction. The purpose of this system is to highlight those species that are most urgently in need of conservation action. For the POC assessment, a list of Red Data Listed (RDL) species previously recorded within the 10 km of the study area was pulled from the Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA) (<http://posa.sanbi.org/>). This list was further cross-checked with the NEMA TOPS flora) to identify provincially protected species previously recorded for the area.

### Definitions of the national Red List categories

Categories marked with <sup>N</sup> are non-IUCN, national Red List categories for species not in danger of extinction but considered of conservation concern. The IUCN equivalent of these categories is Least Concern (LC).

- **Extinct (EX)** A species is Extinct when there is no reasonable doubt that the last individual has died. Species should be classified as Extinct only once exhaustive surveys throughout the species' known range have failed to record an individual.
- **Extinct in the Wild (EW)** A species is Extinct in the Wild when it is known to survive only in cultivation or as a naturalized population (or populations) well outside the past range.
- **Regionally Extinct (RE)** A species is Regionally Extinct when it is extinct within the region assessed (in this case South Africa), but wild populations can still be found in areas outside the region.
- **Critically Endangered, Possibly Extinct (CR PE)** Possibly Extinct is a special tag associated with the category Critically Endangered, indicating species that are highly likely to be extinct, but the exhaustive surveys required for classifying the species as Extinct has not yet been completed. A small chance remains that such species may still be rediscovered.
- **Critically Endangered (CR)** A species is Critically Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Critically Endangered, indicating that the species is facing an extremely high risk of extinction.
- **Endangered (EN)** A species is Endangered when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Endangered, indicating that the species is facing a very high risk of extinction.
- **Vulnerable (VU)** A species is Vulnerable when the best available evidence indicates that it meets at least one of the five IUCN criteria for Vulnerable, indicating that the species is facing a high risk of extinction.
- **Near Threatened (NT)** A species is Near Threatened when available evidence indicates that it nearly meets any of the IUCN criteria for Vulnerable and is therefore likely to become at risk of extinction in the near future.
- <sup>N</sup>**Critically Rare** A species is Critically Rare when it is known to occur at a single site but is not exposed to any direct or plausible potential threat and does not otherwise qualify for a category of threat according to one of the five IUCN criteria.
- <sup>N</sup>**Rare** A species is Rare when it meets at least one of four South African criteria for rarity but is not exposed to any direct or plausible potential threat and does not qualify for a category of threat according to one of the five IUCN criteria. The four criteria are as follows:
  - Restricted range: Extent of Occurrence (EOO) <500 km<sup>2</sup>, OR
  - Habitat specialist: Species is restricted to a specialized microhabitat so that it has a very small Area of Occupancy (AOO), typically smaller than 20 km<sup>2</sup>, OR
  - Low densities of individuals: Species always occurs as single individuals or very small subpopulations (typically fewer than 50 mature individuals) scattered over a wide area, OR
  - Small global population: Less than 10 000 mature individuals.
- **Least Concern** A species is Least Concern when it has been evaluated against the IUCN criteria and does not qualify for any of the above categories. Species classified as Least Concern are considered at low risk of extinction. Widespread and abundant species are typically classified in this category.



- **Data Deficient - Insufficient Information (DDD)** A species is DDD when there is inadequate information to make an assessment of its risk of extinction, but the species is well defined. Listing of species in this category indicates that more information is required, and that future research could show that a threatened classification is appropriate.
- **Data Deficient - Taxonomically Problematic (DDT)** A species is DDT when taxonomic problems hinder the distribution range and habitat from being well defined, so that an assessment of risk of extinction is not possible.
- **Not Evaluated (NE)** A species is Not Evaluated when it has not been evaluated against the criteria. The national Red List of South African plants is a comprehensive assessment of all South African indigenous plants, and therefore all species are assessed and given a national Red List status. However, some species included in [Plants of southern Africa: an online checklist](#) are species that do not qualify for national listing because they are naturalized exotics, hybrids (natural or cultivated), or synonyms. These species are given the status Not Evaluated and the reasons why they have not been assessed are included in the assessment justification.

The below tables present the results of the POC assessment.

**Table B1: National red listed plant species recorded in the surrounding areas within the QDS 2430AC and 2430CA. Data obtained from the new Plants of southern Africa (new POSA) online catalogue. Data is obtained from the Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA), which contains records from the National Herbarium in Pretoria (PRE), the Compton Herbarium in Cape Town (NBG & SAM) and the KwaZulu-Natal Herbarium in Durban (NH).**

Family	Scientific name	National Red List status	Habitat Description	POC
Acanthaceae	<i>Dicliptera fruticosa</i>	NT	<u>Range:</u> Strydpoort Mountains to Ohrigstad <u>Major Habitats:</u> Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld, Pong Dolomite Mountain Bushveld, Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, Gravelotte Rocky Bushveld <u>Description:</u> Savanna and open woodland, shady areas on rocky magnetite and dolomite slopes. <u>Population trend:</u> Decreasing	Low
Anacardiaceae	<i>Searsia batophylla</i>	VU	<u>Range:</u> Sekhukhuneland <u>Major Habitats:</u> Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld <u>Description:</u> Dry bushveld, in low-lying areas and along watercourses, 650-975 m <u>Population trend:</u> Unknown	Medium
Asparagaceae	<i>Asparagus fourei</i>	VU	<u>Range:</u> Sekhukhuneland, Burgersfort to Penge <u>Major Habitats:</u> Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, Pong Dolomite Mountain Bushveld <u>Description:</u> Mixed bushveld, on rocky, dolomite outcrops <u>Population trend:</u> Stable	Medium
Asparagaceae	<i>Asparagus sekukuniensis</i>	EN	<u>Range:</u> Leolo Mountains, Sekhukhuneland <u>Major Habitats:</u> Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld <u>Description:</u> Bushveld, on rocky slopes <u>Population trend:</u> Decreasing	Medium



Euphorbiaceae	<i>Euphorbia barnardii</i>	EN	<p><u>Range:</u> Sekhukhuneland, from the Strydpoort Mountains southwards along the Leolo Mountains to Steelpoort</p> <p><u>Major Habitats:</u> Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld</p> <p><u>Description:</u> Savanna and closed woodland, rocky slopes and summits, mainly norite outcrops, with one subpopulation on banded ironstone. At most sites, the habitat has been degraded to a shrubby, succulent-dominated vegetation with low grass and tree cover.</p> <p><u>Population trend:</u> Decreasing</p>	Medium
Fabaceae	<i>Vachellia sekhukhuniensis</i>	CR	<p><u>Range:</u> North-eastern boundary of Sekhukhuneland</p> <p><u>Major Habitats:</u> Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld</p> <p><u>Description:</u> Open woodlands and wooded grassland on quartzite ridges</p> <p><u>Population trend:</u> Decreasing</p>	Medium
Iridaceae	<i>Gladiolus sekukuniensis</i>	VU	<p><u>Range:</u> Leolo and Strydpoort Mountains</p> <p><u>Major Habitats:</u> Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld, Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld</p> <p><u>Description:</u> Banded ironstone in soil containing lumps of calcrete, or on norite.</p> <p><u>Population trend:</u> Stable</p>	Medium
Polygalaceae	<i>Polygala sekhukhuniensis</i>	VU	<p><u>Range:</u> Sekhukhuneland</p> <p><u>Major Habitats:</u> Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld</p> <p><u>Description:</u> Sparsely vegetated heavy metal rich soils on lower slopes and valley bottoms</p> <p><u>Population trend:</u> Decreasing</p>	Low

CR= Critically Endangered, EN= Endangered, EW = Extinct in the Wild, NT = Near Threatened, VU= Vulnerable, P= Protected, POC = Probability of Occurrence

**Table B2: TOPS plant list for the Limpopo Province.**

SCIENTIFIC NAME	HABITAT	DISTRIBUTION / RANGE	NATIONAL RED LIST STATUS	POC
<i>Bowiea volubilis</i> <i>subsp. volubilis</i>	Low and medium altitudes, usually along mountain ranges and in thickly vegetated river valleys, often under bush clumps and in boulder screens. Tolerates wet and dry conditions, growing predominantly in summer rainfall areas with an annual rainfall of 200-800 mm.	Eastern Cape to Limpopo Province. Widespread elsewhere in southern and eastern Africa.	VU	Low
<i>Brackenridgea zanguebarica</i>	In South Africa: stony, light grey and shallow sandy loam in woodland, also on the southern aspect of dry mountain bushveld.	One known subpopulation in South Africa occurs in the Thengwe district in Venda. Also occurs in Zimbabwe, Mozambique and northwards to Tanzania.	CR	Low
<i>Dioscorea sylvatica</i>	Wooded and relatively mesic places, such as the moister bushveld areas, coastal bush and wooded mountain kloofs.	Western Cape, Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, Free State, Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Limpopo Province, Swaziland, Zimbabwe and Zambia.	VU	Low



SCIENTIFIC NAME	HABITAT	DISTRIBUTION / RANGE	NATIONAL RED LIST STATUS	POC
<i>Drimia sanguinea</i>	Open veld and scrubby woodland in a variety of soil types.	Northern Cape and across to Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces, Namibia, Botswana and Zimbabwe.	NT	Medium
<i>Encephalartos brevifoliolatus</i>	Short grassland in open protea savanna.	Formerly occurred near the Blyde River Canyon Nature Reserve	EW	Low
<i>Encephalartos cupidus</i>	Grassland, on steep, rocky slopes or cliffs and sometimes near seepage areas bordering gallery forests.	Extinct throughout most of the range in Limpopo and Mpumalanga, presently restricted to a small area in northern Mpumalanga.	CR	Low
<i>Encephalartos dolomiticus</i>	Grassland, in shallow soils on dolomite ridges.	Sekhukhuneland.	CR	Low
<i>Encephalartos dyerianus</i>	Open grassland and shrubland on the slopes of low granite hills.	Phalaborwa.	CR	Low
<i>Encephalartos eugene-maraisii</i>	Sandstone hills and rocky ridges in open grassland and savanna.	Waterberg.	EN	Medium
<i>Encephalartos hirsutus</i>	Exposed quartzite cliffs in mountain bushveld.	Soutpansberg Mountains.	CR	Low
<i>Encephalartos inopinus</i>	Shallow soils on steep, rocky slopes and gorges, restricted to dolomite.	Steelpoort and Olifants River valleys.	CR	Low
<i>Encephalartos nubimontanus</i>	Steep cliffs in low open woodland.	Formerly occurred in the Mountains north of Penge.	EW	Low
<i>Encephalartos transvenosus</i>	Tall grassveld and mixed bushveld, mainly on steep rocky slopes facing southeast in the mistbelt zone.	Limpopo Drakensberg Escarpment and Soutpansberg.	LC	Low
<i>Euphorbia groenewaldii</i>	Gentle, northwest-facing slopes of small granite hills and ridges between bands of schist or in gritty red sandy loam soil, 1100-1500 m.	East of Polokwane. Polokwane Plateau Bushveld, Mamabolo Mountain Bushveld	CR	Medium
<i>Harpagophytum procumbens</i>	Well drained sandy habitats in open savanna and woodlands.	Within South Africa this species occurs in the Northern Cape, North West, Free State, and Limpopo Provinces and the largest populations are found in the communally owned areas of the North West Province and the north eastern parts of the Northern Cape.	LC	Medium
<i>Harpagophytum zeyheri</i> subsp. <i>zeyheri</i>	On Kalahari sand in dry open woodland.	Gauteng, Limpopo, Mpumalanga, North West.	LC	Low
<i>Mondia whitei</i>	Mainly swamp forest in South Africa and occasionally in riverine and coastal forest, further north it is found in Afromontane forest. It is currently restricted to lower elevations, although historically it was recorded in higher altitude midlands forest.	From Guinea-Bissau through tropical Africa to KwaZulu-Natal.	EN	Low
<i>Prunus africana</i>	Evergreen forests near the coast, inland mistbelt forests and afromontane forests up to 2100 m.	Widespread in Africa from the southern Cape, through KwaZulu-Natal, Swaziland and northwards in to Zimbabwe and central Africa and the islands of Madagascar and Comoros.	VU	Low
<i>Siphonochilus aethiopicus</i>	Tall open or closed woodland, wooded grassland or bushveld.	Sporadically from the Letaba catchment in the Limpopo Lowveld to	CR	Low





SCIENTIFIC NAME	HABITAT	DISTRIBUTION / RANGE	NATIONAL RED LIST STATUS	POC
		Swaziland. Extinct in KwaZulu-Natal. Widespread elsewhere in Africa.		
<i>Warburgia salutaris</i>	Variable, including coastal, riverine, dune and montane forest as well as open woodland and thickets.	North-eastern KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga and Limpopo Province. Also occurs in Swaziland, Mozambique and Zimbabwe and Malawi.	EN	Low

CR= Critically Endangered, EN= Endangered, EW = Extinct in the Wild, LC = Least Concern; NT = Near Threatened, VU= Vulnerable, P= Protected, POC = Probability of Occurrence

**Table B3: NFA plant list for species with a known distribution range falling within the study area<sup>9</sup>.**

SCIENTIFIC NAME	HABITAT & DISTRIBUTION <sup>10</sup> & <sup>11</sup>	NATIONAL RED LIST STATUS	POC
<i>Boscia albitrunca</i>	Habitat mainly includes dry, open woodland and bushveld, mostly in hot, arid, semi-desert areas, often on termitaria. The vast distribution range covers Botswana, Limpopo, Gauteng, North-West, Swaziland, the Free State, Northern Cape and KwaZulu-Natal. It also extends into Zambia, Zimbabwe, and Mozambique.	LC	Confirmed
<i>Combretum imberbe</i>	The leadwood can be found in all the bushveld regions and in mixed forest in southern Africa. Preferred habitat includes open bushveld, mixed woodland, rivers or dry watercourses and often on alluvial soils. It is widespread in Lowveld areas and grows along streams and rivers. <i>Combretum imberbe</i> is widespread in northern Namibia. It is also found in Mpumalanga, Limpopo, North-West Province, Mozambique, and into tropical Africa.	LC	High
<i>Balanites maughanii</i>	The plants can be found in small colonies in the bushveld, sand forest, on sandstone outcrops, along riverbanks, near springs and around pans.	LC	Low
<i>Catha edulis</i>	Khat is found in woodlands and on rocky outcrops. It is scattered in KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern Cape, mostly from the mistbelt, moving inland. It is also found in the Western Cape, Mpumalanga, Swaziland, Mozambique and through to tropical Africa and the Arab countries.	LC	Low
<i>Elaeodendron transvaalense</i>	Savanna or bushveld, from open woodland to thickets, often on termite mounds.	NT	Low
<i>Sclerocarya birrea</i> subsp. <i>caffra</i>	The Marula is widespread in Africa from Ethiopia in the north to KwaZulu-Natal in the south. In South Africa it is more dominant in the Baphalaborwa area in Limpopo. It occurs naturally in various types of woodland, on sandy soil or occasionally sandy loam.	LC	High
<i>Philenoptera violacea</i>	Alluvial flats in bushveld	LC	Low
<i>Pittosporum viridiflorum</i>	<i>Pittosporum viridiflorum</i> is widely distributed in the eastern half of South Africa, occurring from the Western Cape up into tropical Africa and beyond to Arabia and India. It grows over a wide range of altitudes and varies in form from one location to another. <i>Pittosporum viridiflorum</i> grows in tall forest and in scrub on the forest margin, kloofs and on stream banks.	LC	Low
<i>Prunus africana</i>	<i>Prunus africana</i> is confined to evergreen forests from near the coast to the mist belt and montane forests in KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, Swaziland, Mpumalanga, Zimbabwe, and tropical Africa. This It is a moderately fast-growing tree which is sensitive to heavy frost, preferring areas where there is regular rain; it will tolerate moderate frosts.	VU	Low

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.thetreeapp.co.za/team/>

<sup>10</sup> <http://pza.sanbi.org/>

<sup>11</sup> <http://redlist.sanbi.org/index.php>



SCIENTIFIC NAME	HABITAT & DISTRIBUTION <sup>10</sup> & <sup>11</sup>	NATIONAL RED LIST STATUS	POC
<i>Vachellia erioloba</i>	Found in dry woodland, bushveld, grassland, and watercourses in arid areas usually on stony or sandy soil. Widespread in the arid northern provinces of South Africa, also Namibia, Botswana, Zimbabwe, southern Angola, and south-western Zambia.	LC	Medium

**CR=** Critically Endangered, **EN=** Endangered, **EW =** Extinct in the Wild, **LC = Least Concern; NT =** Near Threatened, **VU=** Vulnerable, **P=** Protected, **POC =** Probability of Occurrence



## APPENDIX C: Floral Species List

**Table C1: Dominant woody floral species encountered during the field assessment. Alien species identified during the field assessment are indicated with an asterisk (\*).**

Scientific name	Degraded Bushveld	Encroached Habitat	Rocky Outcrop Subunit	Rocky Riverine Subunit	Transformed Habitat	Watercourse Habitat
Trees and shrubs						
* <i>Callistemon rigidus</i>					x	
* <i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i>					x	
* <i>Lantana camara</i>					x	x
* <i>Melia azedarch</i>					x	
* <i>Ricinus communis</i>	x				x	
* <i>Senna didymobotrya</i>					x	x
* <i>Thevetia peruviana</i>					x	
* <i>Tipuana tipu</i>	x				x	
<i>Bauhinia galpinii</i>		x			x	
<i>Boscia albitrunca</i>	x					
<i>Carissa bispinosa</i>		x	x			x
<i>Celtis africana</i>						x
<i>Dichrostachys cinerea</i>	x	x			x	x
<i>Diospyros lycoides</i>			x	x		x
<i>Englerophytum magalies montanum</i>				x		
<i>Gossypium herbaceum</i>	x	x				
<i>Grewia flavescens</i>			x	x		
<i>Gymnosporia buxifolia</i>			x	x		x
<i>Terminalia sericea</i>	x	x	x	x		x
<i>Vachellia nilotica</i>	x	x	x	x	x	
<i>Vangauria infausta</i>			x	x		
<i>Ziziphus mucronata</i>	x	x				x
Forbs						
<i>Aptosimum lineare</i>	x	x	x	x		x
* <i>Argemone mexicana</i>	x					
* <i>Argemone ochroleuca</i>	x				x	x
* <i>Ciclospermum leptophyllum</i>	x	x			x	
* <i>Flaveria bidens</i>	x				x	
* <i>Gomphrena cetosoides</i>	x				x	
* <i>Hibiscus trionum</i>	x					
* <i>Solanum elaeagnifolium</i>	x				x	
* <i>Vinca major</i> (NEMBA Category 1b)				x		
* <i>Xanthium strumarium</i>	x				x	x
* <i>Zinnia peruviana</i>	x		x			x
<i>Abutilon angultatum</i>	x					



<i>Asparagus suaveolens</i>	x	x				x
<i>Chamaecrista mimosoides</i>	x					
<i>Commicarpus pentandrus</i>	x	x	x	x	x	
<i>Euphorbia hirta</i>	x				x	
<i>Geigeria burkei</i> subsp. <i>Burkei</i>	x	x	x	x		
<i>Gloriosa superba</i>			x			
<i>Gomphocarpus fruticosus</i>	x		x			x
<i>Indigophera holubii</i>	x				x	
<i>Jatropha erythropoda</i>			x	x		
<i>Kleinia longiflora</i>			x			
<i>Ledebouria marginata</i>			x	x		x
<i>Leonotis nepetifolia</i> var. <i>nepetifolia</i>	x				x	
<i>Plantago laceoloata</i>	x				x	
<i>Scadoxus puniceus</i>			x			
<i>Senna italica</i> subsp. <i>arachoides</i>	x		x	x		
<i>Solanum lichtensteinii</i>	x	x			x	
<i>Tapinanthus oleifolius</i>	x					
<i>Tinnea rhodesiana</i>			x	x		
<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	x				x	
<b>Succulents</b>						
* <i>Opuntia cf ficus-indica</i>	x	x			x	x
* <i>Agave sisalana</i>	x	x	x		x	x
<i>Aloe Cryptopoda</i>	x		x	x		x
<i>Eucphrobia tirucalli</i>	x		x	x		x
<i>Euphorbia griseola</i> subsp. <i>Griseola</i>			x			
<i>Kleinia stapeliiformis</i>			x			
<i>Sarcostemma viminale</i>			x	x		
<b>Graminoids</b>						
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	x	x			x	
<i>Eragrostis capensis</i>			x	x		
<i>Eragrostis rigidior</i>	x				x	
<i>Heteropogon contortus</i>	x				x	
<i>Hyparrhenia hirta</i>		x			x	x
<i>Melinis repens</i>	x	x	x	x	x	
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	x					
<i>Paspalum distichum</i>	x				x	
<i>Themeda triandra</i>	x	x			x	x
<i>Urochloa mosambicensis</i>	x	x			x	





**SCIENTIFIC TERRESTRIAL SERVICES**

Reg No. 2005/122/329/23  
VAT Reg No. 4150274472  
PO Box 751779  
Gardenview  
2047  
Tel: 011 616 7893  
Fax: 086 724 3132  
Email: [admin@sasenvgroup.co.za](mailto:admin@sasenvgroup.co.za)  
[www.sasenvironmental.co.za](http://www.sasenvironmental.co.za)

**BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT AS PART OF THE  
ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION PROCESS FOR THE  
DEVELOPMENT OF SURFACE INFRASTRUCTURE AT THE  
MARULA PLATINUM MINE, LIMPOPO PROVINCE**

**Prepared for**

**SLR Consulting Ltd.**

**January 2022**

**Part C: Faunal Assessment**

**Prepared by:** Scientific Terrestrial Services CC  
**Report author:** D. van der Merwe  
**Report reviewers:** C. Hooton  
K. Marais (Pr. Sci. Nat)  
**Report reference:** STS 200060



SAS Environmental Group of Companies

## DOCUMENT GUIDE

The table below provides the specialist report requirements for the assessment and reporting of impacts on terrestrial biodiversity in terms of Government Notice 648 as promulgated in Government Gazette 45421 of 2019 in line with the Department of Environmental Affairs screening tool requirements, as it relates to the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998).

No.	Requirements	Section in report/Notes
2.1	<b>Assessment must be undertaken by a suitably qualified SACNASP registered specialist</b>	<b>Part A:</b> Cover Page; and Appendix E
2.2	<b>Description of the preferred development site, including the following aspects-</b>	
2.2.1	<i>A description of the ecological drivers/processes of the system and how the proposed development will impact these;</i>	<b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.2	<i>Ecological functioning and ecological processes (e.g. fire, migration, pollination, etc.) that operate within the proposed development site;</i>	<b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.3	<i>The ecological corridors that the development would impede including migration and movement of flora and fauna;</i>	<b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.4	<i>The description of any significant landscape features (including rare or important flora/faunal associations, presence of Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) or Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPA) sub catchments;</i>	<b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.2.5	<i>A description of terrestrial biodiversity and ecosystems on the proposed development site, including –</i> <i>a) Main vegetation types;</i> <i>b) Threatened ecosystems, including Listed Ecosystems as well as locally important habitat types identified;</i> <i>c) Ecological connectivity, habitat fragmentation, ecological processes and fine scale habitats; and</i> <i>d) Species, distribution, important habitats (e.g. feeding grounds, nesting sites, etc.) and movement patterns identified.</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 3 (flora) <b>Part C:</b> Section 3 (fauna)
2.3	<b>Identify any alternative development footprints within the preferred development site which would be of a “low” sensitivity as identified by the national web based environmental screening tool and verified through the Initial Site Sensitivity Verification.</b>	Nonapplicable. Entire subject property falls within a very high terrestrial sensitivity. <b>Part B:</b> Section 4
2.4	<b>The Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment must be based on the results of a site inspection undertaken on the preferred development site and must identify:</b>	
2.5	<b>Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs), including:</b> 2.5.1 <i>The reasons why an area has been identified as a CBA;</i> 2.5.2 <i>An indication of whether or not the development is consistent with maintaining the CBA in a natural or near natural state or in achieving the goal of rehabilitation;</i> 2.5.3 <i>The impact on species composition and structure of vegetation with an indication of the extent of clearing activities;</i> 2.5.4 <i>The impact on ecosystem threat status;</i> 2.5.5 <i>The impact on explicit subtypes in the vegetation;</i> 2.5.6 <i>The impact on overall species and ecosystem diversity of the site; and</i> 2.5.7 <i>The impact on populations of species of special concern in the CBA.</i>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 3 <b>Part C:</b> Section 3
2.6	<b>Terrestrial Ecological Support Areas, including;</b> 2.6.1 <i>The impact on the ecological processes that operate within or across the site;</i> 2.6.2 <i>The extent the development will impact on the functionality of the ESA; and</i> 2.6.3 <i>Loss of ecological connectivity (on site, and in relation to the broader landscape) due to the degradation and severing of ecological corridor or introducing barriers that impede migration and movement of flora and fauna.</i>	
2.7	<b>Protected Areas as defined by the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, 2004 (Act No. 57 of 2004) including an opinion on whether the proposed development aligns with the objectives/purpose of the Protected Area and the zoning as per the Protected Area Management Plan.</b>	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 3



<b>2.8</b>	<b>Priority Areas for Protected Area Expansion, including:</b> The way in which in which the development will compromise or contribute to the expansion of the protected area network.	<b>Part A:</b> Section 3 (desktop analysis) <b>Part B:</b> Section 3
<b>2.9</b>	Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSA) including: 2.9.1 <i>The impact(s) on the terrestrial habitat of a Strategic Water Source Area;</i> <i>and</i> 2.9.2 <i>The impacts of the development on the SWSA water quality and quantity (e.g. describing potential increased runoff leading to increased sediment load in water courses)</i>	Addressed in the Freshwater Assessment (SAS 220156, 2020)
<b>2.10</b>	Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area (FEPA) sub catchments, including the impacts of the development on habitat condition and/or species in the FEPA sub catchment.	Addressed in the Freshwater Assessment (SAS 220156, 2020)
<b>2.11</b>	Indigenous Forests, including: 2.11.1 <i>Impact on the ecological integrity of the forest;</i> 2.11.2 <i>Extent of natural or near natural indigenous forest area lost.</i>	Not Applicable
<b>3.</b>	The report must contain as a minimum the following information:	
<b>3.1</b>	Contact detail of the specialist, their SACNASP registration number, their field of expertise and a curriculum vitae.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
<b>3.2</b>	A signed statement of independence by the specialist.	<b>Part A:</b> Appendix E
<b>3.3</b>	A statement on the duration, date and season of the site inspection and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment.	<b>Part B:</b> Section 2.1
<b>3.4</b>	The methodology used to undertake the site inspection and the specialist assessment, including equipment and modelling used, where relevant.	<b>Part A:</b> Section Appendix C
<b>3.5</b>	A description of the assumptions made, any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data.	<b>Part C:</b> Section 1.3
<b>3.6</b>	The location of areas not suitable for development, which are to be avoided during construction and operation, where relevant.	<b>Part C:</b> Section 4
<b>3.7</b>	Additional environmental impacts expected from the proposed development based on those already evident on the site and a discussion on the cumulative impacts.	<b>Part C:</b> Section 5
<b>3.8</b>	Proposed impact management actions and impact management outcomes for inclusion in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr).	<b>Part C:</b> Section 5.4
<b>3.9</b>	A motivation must be provided if there were development footprints identified as per paragraph 2.3 in this table were not considered stating reasons why.	<b>Part C:</b> Section 4
<b>3.10</b>	A reasoned opinion, based on the findings of the specialist assessment, regarding the acceptability or not of the development and if the development should receive approval or not, and any conditions to which the statement is subjected.	<b>Part C:</b> Section 5.3 <b>Part C:</b> Section 6



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>DOCUMENT GUIDE</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>ACRONYMS</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>GLOSSARY OF TERMS</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Assumptions and Limitations .....	2
<b>2. ASSESSMENT APPROACH</b> .....	<b>4</b>
2.1 General approach .....	4
2.2 Sensitivity Mapping .....	5
<b>3. FAUNAL ASSESSMENT RESULTS</b> .....	<b>5</b>
3.1 Faunal Habitat .....	5
3.2 Mammals .....	10
3.3 Avifauna .....	12
3.4 Herpetofauna .....	14
3.5 Invertebrates .....	15
3.6 Faunal Species of Conservational Concern Assessment .....	16
<b>4. SENSITIVITY MAPPING</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>5. IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b> .....	<b>20</b>
5.1 Activities and Aspect Register .....	20
5.2 Faunal Impact Assessment Results .....	22
5.3 Impact Discussion .....	27
5.3.1 Impact on Faunal Habitat and Diversity .....	27
5.3.2 Impacts on Faunal SCC .....	27
5.3.3 Probable Residual Impacts .....	28
5.3.4 Cumulative Impacts .....	28
5.4 Integrated Impact Mitigation .....	29
<b>6. CONCLUSION</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>7. REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>33</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: Faunal Method of Assessment</b> .....	<b>34</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: Faunal SCC</b> .....	<b>36</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: Faunal Species List</b> .....	<b>38</b>





## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Field assessment results pertaining to mammal species within the study area. ...	10
Table 2:	Field assessment results pertaining to avifaunal species within the study area....	12
Table 3:	Field assessment results pertaining to reptile and amphibian species within the study area.....	14
Table 4:	Field assessment results pertaining to insect species within the study area.....	15
Table 5:	Faunal SCC that may occur within the subject property due to suitable habitat. A full list of POC calculations is presented in Appendix A .....	16
Table 6:	A summary of the sensitivity of each habitat unit and implications for the proposed activities. ....	17
Table 7:	Aspects and activities register considering faunal resources during the pre-construction and planning phases.....	20
Table 8:	Impact on the faunal habitat, diversity, and SCC associated with the Ventilation Shafts (and their associated refrigeration infrastructure) and the Ore Stockpile. ...	23
Table 9:	Impact on the faunal habitat, diversity, and SCC associated with the Powerlines and water and TSF Pipelines. ....	25
Table 10:	A summary of the mitigatory requirements for faunal resources.....	29

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Conceptual illustration of the proposed infrastructure development within the study area in relation to the existing Marula Platinum Mine.....	3
Figure 2:	Habitat units associated with the north-western portions of the study area as identified during the 2020 assessment. ....	8
Figure 3:	Habitat units associated with the south-eastern portion of the study area as identified during the 2020 assessment. ....	9
Figure 4:	Habitat sensitivity map for the north-western portion of the study area.....	18
Figure 5:	Habitat sensitivity map for the Clapham Vent Shafts portions of the study area. ...	19



## ACRONYMS

<b>BGIS</b>	Biodiversity Geographic Information Systems
<b>CR</b>	Critically Endangered
<b>DEFF</b>	Department: Environment, Forestry and Fisheries
<b>EAP</b>	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
<b>EIS</b>	Ecological Importance and Sensitivity
<b>EN</b>	Endangered
<b>EW</b>	Extinct in the Wild
<b>GIS</b>	Geographic Information System
<b>GPS</b>	Global Positioning System
<b>IBA</b>	Important Bird Area
<b>IEM</b>	Integrated Environmental Management
<b>IIE</b>	Independent Institute of Education (Pty) Ltd
<b>IUCN</b>	International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources
<b>LEMA</b>	Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No.7 of 2003)
<b>LC</b>	Least Concern
<b>NT</b>	Near Threatened
<b>NYBA</b>	Not yet been assessed
<b>MAMSL</b>	Meters Above Mean Sea Level
<b>P</b>	Protected
<b>PES</b>	Present Ecological State
<b>POC</b>	Probability of Occurrence
<b>PRECIS</b>	Pretoria Computerised Information System
<b>QDS</b>	Quarter Degree Square
<b>RDL</b>	Red Data Listed
<b>RE</b>	Regionally Extinct
<b>SABAP 2</b>	Southern African Bird Atlas Project 2
<b>SANBI</b>	South Africa National Biodiversity Institute
<b>SP</b>	Specially Protected
<b>STS</b>	Scientific Terrestrial Services
<b>SCC</b>	Species of Conservation Concern
<b>TOPS</b>	Threatened or Protected Species
<b>VU</b>	Vulnerable



## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

<b>Alien and Invasive species</b>	A species that is not an indigenous species; or an indigenous species translocated or intended to be translocated to a place outside its natural distribution range in nature, but not an indigenous species that has extended its natural distribution range by natural means of migration or dispersal without human intervention.
<b>Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)</b>	A CBA is an area considered important for the survival of threatened species and includes valuable ecosystems such as wetlands, untransformed vegetation and ridges.
<b>Endangered</b>	Organisms in danger of extinction if causal factors continue to operate.
<b>Endemic species</b>	Species that are only found within a pre-defined area. There can therefore be sub-continental (e.g. southern Africa), national (South Africa), provincial, regional or even within a particular mountain range.
<b>Ecological Support Area (ESA)</b>	An ESA provides connectivity and important ecological processes between CBAs and is therefore important in terms of habitat conservation.
<b>Integrity (ecological)</b>	The integrity of an ecosystem refers to its functional completeness, including its components (species) its patterns (distribution) and its processes.
<b>Least Threatened</b>	Least threatened ecosystems are still largely intact.
<b>Red Data listed (RDL) species</b>	Organisms that fall into the Extinct in the Wild (EW), critically endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) categories of ecological status.
<b>Species of Conservation Concern (SCC)</b>	The term SCC in the context of this report refers to all RDL (Red Data) and IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature) listed threatened species as well as protected species of relevance to the project.



# 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed to conduct a Biodiversity Assessment as part of the Basic Assessment (BAR) for Environmental Authorisation (EA) of the proposed surface infrastructure development on the existing Marula Platinum Mine, located approximately 30 km northwest of the town of Burgersfort, Limpopo Province.

The study area is in the Greater Tubatse Local Municipality which is an administrative area in the Sekhukhune District Municipality of the Limpopo Province. The R37 runs approximately 4 km east of the mine. The proposed development activities include upgrading and/or the construction of the following infrastructure: ventilation shafts with associated infrastructure, water pipelines and powerlines, a new TSF pipeline, a product stock ore pile, a compressed airline, and upgrades to the existing change house. The location and extent of the study area is indicated in Figure 1. For a detailed Project description of all proposed development activities, please refer to section 1.2 of Part A.

The purpose of this report is to define the faunal ecology of the study area as well as mapping and defining areas of increased Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) and to define the Present Ecological State (PES) of the study area. The objective of this study is:

- To provide inventories of faunal species as encountered within the study area;
- To determine and describe habitat types, communities and the ecological state of the study area and to rank each habitat type based on conservation importance and ecological sensitivity;
- To identify and consider all sensitive landscapes including rocky ridges, wetlands and/or any other special features;
- To conduct a Red Data Listed (RDL) species assessment as well as an assessment of other Species of Conservation Concern (SCC), including potential for such species to occur within the study area;
- To provide detailed information to guide the activities associated with the proposed development activities associated within the study area; and
- To ensure the ongoing functioning of the ecosystem in such a way as to support local and regional conservation requirements and the provision of ecological services in the local area.



## 1.2 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable to this report:

- With ecology being dynamic and complex, some aspects (some of which may be important) may have been overlooked. It is, however, expected that most faunal communities have been accurately assessed and considered and the information provided is considered sufficient to allow informed decision making to take place and facilitate integrated environmental management;
- Due to the nature and habits of most faunal taxa, the high level of surrounding anthropogenic activities, it is unlikely that all species would have been observed during a field assessment of limited duration. Therefore, site observations were compared with literature studies where necessary;
- This assessment was limited to the study area only and did not consider the entire Mining Right Area;
- Following the site visit, new infrastructure has been proposed by the client which was not part of the original design. Thus, small portions of the study area, specifically portions of the proposed TSF pipeline have not been thoroughly investigated. However, it is the opinion of the ecologist that through on-site observations of the area and its surroundings sufficient information has been captured to accurately discuss these localities;
- Sampling by its nature, means that not all individuals are assessed and identified. Some species and taxa within the footprint area may therefore have been missed during the assessment; and
- A field assessment was undertaken from the 18-19<sup>th</sup> of November 2020 (summer season), to determine the faunal ecological status of the study area, and to “ground-truth” the results of the desktop assessment (presented in Section A). A more accurate assessment would require that assessments take place in all seasons of the year. However, on-site data was significantly augmented with all available desktop data and specialist experience in the area, and the findings of this assessment are considered to be an accurate reflection of the ecological characteristics of the study area.






Figure 1: Conceptual illustration of the proposed infrastructure development within the study area in relation to the existing Marula Platinum Mine.



## 2. ASSESSMENT APPROACH

The field assessment was undertaken on the 18-19<sup>th</sup> November 2020 (summer season), to determine the faunal ecological status of the study area. A reconnaissance ‘walkabout’ was initially undertaken to determine the general habitat types found throughout the study area, following this, specific study sites were selected that were considered to be representative of the habitats found within the study area, with special emphasis being placed on areas that may potentially support faunal SCC. Sites were investigated on foot in order to identify the occurrence of fauna within the study area. Sherman and camera traps were used to increase the likelihood of capturing and observing mammal species, notably nocturnal and reclusive mammals.

A detailed explanation of the method of assessment is provided in Appendix A of this report. The faunal categories covered in this assessment are mammals, avifauna, reptiles, amphibians, general invertebrates and arachnids. For the methodologies relating to the impact assessment and development of the mitigation measures, please refer to Appendix C of Part A of the study.

### 2.1 General approach

In order to accurately determine the PES of the study area and capture comprehensive data with respect to faunal taxa, the following methodology were applied:

- Maps and digital satellite images were consulted prior to the field assessment in order to determine broad habitats, vegetation types and potentially sensitive sites. An initial visual on-site assessment of the study area was made in order to confirm the assumptions made during consultation of the digital satellite imagery;
- A literature review with respect to habitats, vegetation types and species distribution was conducted. For a detailed description of the vegetation types and habitats associated with the study area, please refer to Part B report;
- Relevant databases considered during the assessment of the study area included the Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBA, 2015), South African Bird Atlas Project 2 (SABAP2), International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), the Northern Cape Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA) Map (2016) and the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA, 2018);
- Specific methodologies for the assessment, in terms of field work and data analysis of faunal ecological assemblages are presented in Appendix A of this report; and



- For the methodologies relating to the impact assessment and development of the mitigation measures, please refer to Appendix C of Part A.

## 2.2 Sensitivity Mapping

All the ecological features associated with the study area were considered, and sensitive areas were assessed. In addition, identified locations of protected species were marked by means of Global Positioning System (GPS). A Geographic Information System (GIS) was used to project these features onto satellite imagery and/or topographic maps. The sensitivity map should guide the final design and layout of the proposed development activities. Please refer to Section 4 of this report for further details.

## 3. FAUNAL ASSESSMENT RESULTS

### 3.1 Faunal Habitat

Four habitat units are associated with the proposed developments. These habitat units are discussed briefly in terms of faunal utilisation and importance below. For a more detailed description and discussion of these habitat units please refer to the Part B: Floral Report. Figure 2 provides a visual representation of the various habitats within the study area.

**Degraded Bushveld:** This habitat unit was relatively species poor, with a poorly represented grass layer throughout. The woody component included species such as *Dichrostachys cineria*, *Ziziphus mucronata*, and *Boscia albitrunca*. The two Clapham Ventilation Shafts (the approved Clapham Vent Shaft and the proposed Clapham Vent Shaft), the Driekop Ventilation Shaft together with their proposed refrigeration infrastructure as well as parts of the proposed water and power lines proposed were located within this habitat unit. The unit is the most well represented within the study area and because of its low floral diversity provides lowered forage availability for fauna, especially for grazers as the forb, herb and grass layer are poorly developed and heavily grazed. Nevertheless, within the study area this unit is anticipated to host the greatest assemblage of fauna (predominantly as a result of the extent of this habitat compared to the other habitat types);

**Encroached Habitat:** Located in the northern section of the proposed power line, this habitat unit is characterised by encroached bushveld. The main encroaching species included *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Vachellia nilotica* and *Terminalia Sericea*. This unit comprises a small highly fragmented unit and is not anticipated to host a diverse assemblage of fauna or one





that is different from the surrounding habitat due to the degree of fragmentation and degradation that has occurred here;

**Rocky Habitat:** This habitat unit consisted of two subunits, namely Rocky Outcrops and Rocky Riverine Habitat:

- The Rocky Outcrop sub-unit comprises a fairly diverse species composition typical of rocky areas; and
- The Rocky Riverine Habitat, although supporting a species composition typical of rocky areas, supported a floral community different to that of the Rocky Outcrop sub-unit. This habitat unit consisted of flat, white-grey rocks as opposed to the rich red rocks of the Rocky Outcrops. This habitat sub-unit bordered the Mogompane River.

Fauna favouring rocky habitat, such as arachnids and reptiles will find suitable shelter within these habitats. Forage for species inhabiting this location will be limiting and thus will be heavily competed for due to the small area which they overlay and highly fragmented nature of the units.

**Watercourse Habitat:** This habitat unit consisted of the watercourses that traverse the proposed infrastructure throughout the study area (i.e., mostly the powerlines and the water and TSF pipelines). These watercourses were all dry at the time of assessment and are expected to be dry for the vast majority of the year (thus can be considered ephemeral watercourses). As they are only expected to flow during times of high rainfall, they do not sustain a wet response for a suitable period of time to facilitate habitat capable of supporting water dependant amphibian or insect species. In some cases, the structure of vegetation along the watercourses was denser and taller than the adjacent degraded bushveld unit which would be favoured by avian species., however, in most cases the watercourses were badly eroded and vegetation structure and species composition hardly changed or were more degraded than the surrounding areas, reducing shelter and resources for fauna within this unit.

**Transformed Habitat:** This habitat unit includes the road along which the proposed water and TSF pipelines and powerlines will be located, as well as built-up areas located next to the roads which include informal residential development and mining related developments. Due to anthropogenic influences, these areas have an altered physical environment and are scarcely vegetated. The vegetation that is present within these areas include a dominance of AIP and garden ornamental species. Avifaunal diversity appeared highest in this unit as the gardens and fruit trees within the human settlements increased foraging and habitat suitability. Insects and reptiles will also use this resource, however, an intermediate diversity for these classes is anticipated due to the transformed state of these locations.



Figure 2 below provides a visual representation of the above-mentioned habitat units while Sections 3.2 - 3.5 provide a dashboard report of the findings of each faunal class.






Figure 2: Habitat units associated with the north-western portions of the study area as identified during the 2020 assessment.








Figure 3: Habitat units associated with the south-eastern portion of the study area as identified during the 2020 assessment.



### 3.2 Mammals

**Table 1: Field assessment results pertaining to mammal species within the study area.**

Mammal Habitat Sensitivity	Moderately low	Photographs:	
<p><b>Photograph Notes:</b>  <b>Top:</b> Left and Right – Domestic animals are ubiquitous within the study area resulting in a heavily grazed study area where resource competition is high.  <b>Bottom:</b> Left – General indication of heavily grazed graminoid and shrub layer reducing forage for primary consumers. Right – <i>Herpestes sanguineus</i> (Slender Mongoose) observed within the Rocky Riverine Habitat.</p>			
<p><b>Mammal Sensitivity Graph:</b></p> 			
<p><b>Mammal SCC</b></p>	<p>During the field assessment, no mammal SCC were observed. None of the proposed infrastructure is located within habitat that is deemed favourable or suitable for mammal SCC. The National Web Based Screening Tool indicated that <i>Dasymys robertsii</i> (Robert's Shaggy Rat), a Vulnerable species may occur within the study area. The absence of surface water areas and wetland renders the habitat unsuitable for the species.</p>		





<p><b>Mammal Discussion</b></p>	<p>Long term habitat disturbance and, in many instances, habitat loss has led to a notable decrease in mammal species diversity and abundance. Existing mining activities, continued human presence in the areas and probable persecution from snaring activities has further led to a loss of diversity throughout the proposed infrastructure development sites. The resultant mining activities and habitat disturbance has led to a loss of habitat connectivity and food resources, resulting in a loss of habitat suitability and overall integrity.</p> <p>Only common mammal species adept at surviving in disturbed habitats in close proximity to rural housing may occur within the area. Given the localities of the various infrastructure development sites, which are to be placed between and along existing infrastructure and rural households, it is unlikely that in the long term these areas will serve as suitable habitats or areas of refuge or importance for mammal species. The area is largely transformed rural residential areas, where hunting with dogs and trapping utilising snares is frequent, and mammal population numbers have decreased. Sightings of common mammals are rare within the study area and restricted to the mountainous regions to the west where trapping no longer occurs (communication with community members). Furthermore, high populations of domestic dogs and cats in the area further reduce the potential for any mammal SCC.</p> <p>Whilst on site signs of <i>Lepus saxatilis</i> (Scrub Hare) were noted while a single indigenous mammal, <i>Galerella sanguinea</i> (Slender Mongoose), was observed. These species are adept at surviving within disturbed habitats and are often noted within areas adjacent to communities.</p>
<p><b>Business Case and Conclusion</b></p>	<p>The overall mammal species diversity for the proposed development sites is deemed to be moderately low. Loss of mammal species abundance and diversity is a result of the high pressures from the activities of humans within the broader locality around the study area and the probable persecution (hunting/snaring) of mammals by the local communities.</p> <p>The proposed developments are unlikely to contribute further to the loss of species diversity and abundance, and although the developments will lead to the loss of habitat within the study area, the small size and already disturbed nature of the sites is not likely to impact mammal species populations in the region. The development will further not lead to any loss of habitat connectivity nor will they impact upon any mammal migrations or corridors of movement as it predominantly adjacent existing roads or infrastructure.</p>



### 3.3 Avifauna

**Table 2: Field assessment results pertaining to avifaunal species within the study area.**

Avifaunal Habitat Sensitivity	Intermediate	Photographs:	
<p><b>Photograph Notes:</b>  <b>Images: Top:</b> Left to right – <i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i> (Diederik Cuckoo), <i>Crithagra sulphurata</i> (Brimestome Canary) and <i>Erythropygia paena</i> (Kalahari scrub robin).  <b>Bottom:</b> Left – <i>Plecopasser mahali</i> (White-browed Sparrow-Weaver). Right – <i>Colius striatus</i> (Speckled Mousebird).</p>			
<p><b>Avifaunal Sensitivity Graph:</b></p> 			
<p><b>Avifaunal SCC</b></p>	<p>During the field assessment an individual <i>Gyps coprotheres</i> (Cape Vulture) was noted soaring to the east of the study area. <i>Falco biarmicus</i> (Lanner Falcon) have a high reporting rate within the area and will likely utilize some of the transformed habitat to hunt should an opportunity present itself. Due to the degraded nature of the habitat and limited food resources it is unlikely that further avifaunal SCC will inhabit the proposed development sites. Due to the low levels of applicable food resources, it is further unlikely that any avifaunal SCC will forage in the proposed infrastructure development sites. <i>Sagittarius serpentarius</i> (Secretarybird) was flagged by the national web based Screening Tool, however, the encroached/dense habitat and high abundance of humans and their associated activities within the study area will not be suitable for this species.</p>		
<p><b>Avifaunal Discussion</b></p>	<p>Avifaunal diversity is considered intermediate, largely restricted to small common species with a low abundance of birds of prey. Within the study area it was also evident that the human settlements (within the Transformed Habitat) attracted more bird species than the adjacent Degraded Bushveld. This is predominantly due to the increased structure and the presence of fruiting trees within the household gardens foraging opportunities for avifauna, increasing both abundance and diversity of birds. The Degraded Bushveld was homogenous in its structure with a species poor floral assemblage and heavily grazed forb, herb and graminoid layer reducing its favourability to most avifaunal</p>		





	<p>species, the limited food resources are likely to be a key driver in limiting avifaunal abundance herein. The Rocky Habitat and Encroached Habitat comprised of small portions within the study area, however, the greater structural diversity, floral diversity and shelter, specifically within the Rocky Outcrop sub-unit, did appear to be favoured by many avifaunal species. The overall disturbed nature of the habitats, transformation of suitable habitat and surrounding existing mining activities has led to notable decrease in habitat integrity.</p>
<p><b>Business Case and Conclusion</b></p>	<p>The overall avifaunal species diversity for the proposed infrastructure development sites is deemed to be intermediate. Historic habitat disturbance due to human settlement and the adjacent community related agricultural activities and subsequently the development of the mine has had a significant impact on avifaunal species abundance within the proposed development areas.</p> <p>The proposed infrastructure developments are unlikely to contribute further to the loss of avifaunal species diversity and abundance, and although the developments will lead to the loss of habitat within some of the footprint areas, the small size and already disturbed nature of the sites is not likely to impact avifaunal species populations in the region. The development will further not lead to any loss of habitat connectivity nor will they impact upon migration routes, important foraging areas or corridors of movement.</p>





### 3.4 Herpetofauna



**Table 3: Field assessment results pertaining to reptile and amphibian species within the study area.**

Herpetofauna Habitat Sensitivity	Moderately low	Photographs:	
<p><b>Photograph Notes:</b>  <b>Image:</b> <i>Trachylepis punctatissima</i> (Speckled Rock Skink) observed adjacent to the Clapham Vent shaft within the Degraded Bushveld.</p>			
<p><b>Herpetofauna Sensitivity Graph:</b></p> 			
<p><b>Herpetofauna SCC</b></p>	<p>No amphibian or reptile SCC were observed during the assessment, nor are any expected to occur within the proposed development sites due to the unsuitable habitat available within the footprint areas. A cautionary note regarding <i>Python natalensis</i> (Southern African Python) which local community members have claimed to see - it is anticipated that should this species occur here it would be under severe threat as a result of human wildlife conflict.</p>		
<p><b>Business Case and Conclusion</b></p>	<p>Overall, the herpetofauna sensitivity is deemed to be intermediate at most, owing to the decreased levels of suitable habitat for amphibians and the general degraded and disturbed nature of the surrounding habitat as well as high human activity within the study area. Few amphibian species are expected to occur within the study area due to insufficient habitat, whilst only common and widely occurring reptiles will likely utilise the habitats. The proposed infrastructure developments are unlikely to contribute further to the loss of herpetofauna diversity and abundance, and although the developments will lead to the loss of habitat within the footprint areas, the small size and already disturbed nature of the sites is not likely to impact on species populations in the region. The development will further not lead to any loss of habitat connectivity nor will the developments impact upon migration routes, important foraging locations or corridors of movement.</p>		



### 3.5 Invertebrates

Table 4: Field assessment results pertaining to insect species within the study area.

Insect Habitat Sensitivity	Intermediate	Photographs:			
<p><b>Photograph Notes:</b>  <b>Image: Top:</b> Left to right – Remains of a Milkweed Locust (<i>Phymateus</i> sp.), <i>Mylabris oculata</i> (CMR Bean Beetle), Leaf Beetle (<i>Antipus</i> sp.) and the web of a spider (Lycosidae).</p>					
<p><b>Insect Sensitivity Graph:</b></p> 		<p><b>Invertebrate Discussion</b></p> <p>Invertebrate diversity and abundance across the various project development areas were intermediate and moderately low respectively. Habitat degradation and transformation coupled with high human activity is considered to be a major factor contributing to this lowered diversity. The degraded habitat and reduced floral species composition limits insect diversity as suitable food resources are not readily available. The resources that are available are severely competed for by the domestic animals within the study area and overgrazing was apparent. The decreased abundance and diversity of insects directly impacts on arachnid species populations, as insects form the base food resource for arachnid species. It is probable the diversity and abundance will increase after good rains are received, this was taken into account for the diversity scoring. As such, it is likely that the areas between mine infrastructure and households will have an increased insect abundance and diversity, and concurrently an increased arachnid diversity and abundance, as habitat and food resources are more readily available and accessible in these locations.</p>			
<p><b>Invertebrate SCC</b></p>	<p>During the field assessment no invertebrate SCC were observed nor, given the disturbed and sub-optimal condition of the available habitat, are any expected to occur within the study area. Furthermore, it must be noted that the Limpopo State of the Environment Report (SoER) (2004) makes no provision for arachnid species within its protected species lists. <i>Aroegas fuscus</i> (Brown False Shielback), an endangered species was flagged by the national web based Screening Tool, however, the species is found at elevations above 1200m in grassland and thus the site, which occurs below 1000m in bushveld habitat is not considered suitable.</p>				
<p><b>Business Case and Conclusion</b></p>	<p>Overall, the invertebrate sensitivity is deemed to be intermediate. The degraded habitats combined with limited niche habitat, reduced surface water availability and food resources is not conducive to supporting high diversities of invertebrate species. Given the low abundance and intermediate diversity observed and degraded state of the habitat units, it is unlikely that the proposed developments will lead to further invertebrate diversity and abundance declines in the region. The proposed infrastructure developments will further not lead to any loss of habitat connectivity or niche areas of habitat, nor will the developments impact upon corridors of movement.</p>				



### 3.6 Faunal Species of Conservational Concern Assessment

During field assessments, it is not always feasible to identify or observe all species within an area, largely due to the secretive nature of many faunal species, possible low population numbers or varying habits of species. As such, and to specifically assess an area for faunal SCC, a Probability of Occurrence (POC) method is used, utilising known distribution and on-site habitat to determine the probability of faunal SCC occurrence within the study area.

Species listed in Appendix B whose known distribution ranges and habitat preferences include the study area were taken into consideration. Following the assessment of the SCC which are known to occur within the region, comparisons were drawn between these species space and habitat requirements and that which is available within the study area. Taking into consideration the degraded and isolated state of the habitat units within the study areas, few faunal SCC are expected to occur within or rely upon these areas for continued survival.

Table 7 below lists all species as per Appendix B, those Specially Protected by Limpopo Environmental Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 7 of 2003) (LEMA) or within any South African Atlas Red-List and TOPS that are considered to have a Medium or High POC and may occur within the study area. Due to the habitat units associated with the study area the likelihood for faunal SCCs occurring within the study area is deemed to be low. Should any faunal SCC in Appendix B of this report, be encountered within the active mining area and be at risk from the activities a biodiversity specialist must be consulted, in order to advise on the best way forward. For mitigation on how to appropriately manage and treat potential SCC present in the study area refer to Section 5.2.

**Table 5: Faunal SCC that may occur within the subject property due to suitable habitat. A full list of POC calculations is presented in Appendix A**

Scientific and Common Name	Habitat Description	Red List (Global) Status	Regional Status	POC (%)
<b>AVIFAUNA</b>				
<i>Falco biarmicus</i> (Lanner Falcon)	<p><b>Range:</b> Southern Europe and the Arabian Peninsula with most of its range within Africa.</p> <p><b>Major habitats:</b> Forest, Savanna, shrubland, Grassland, Rocky areas (inland cliffs and mountains) and desert. Favours open grassland, agricultural areas or cleared woodland near cliffs.</p> <p><b>Description:</b> Inhabits a wide variety of habitats and may illustrate crepuscular behaviour. Mostly resident with some birds migrating to west Africa.</p> <p><b>Food:</b> Birds, small mammals, insects and reptiles.</p> <p><b>Available habitat with the study area:</b> Margins of the Degraded and Transformed Habitat units.</p>	LC	VU	70



Should any faunal SCC as listed in Appendix C of this report be encountered during the course of the study area activities, all operations must be stopped immediately, and a biodiversity specialist must be consulted, in order to advise on the best way forward.

## 4. SENSITIVITY MAPPING

Figure 3 below conceptually illustrates the faunal ecological sensitivity for the various areas. The areas are depicted according to their sensitivity in terms of the presence or potential for faunal SCC, habitat integrity, levels of disturbance and overall levels of diversity. Table 6 below presents the sensitivity of each habitat along with an associated conservation objective and implications for the proposed activities.

**Table 6: A summary of the sensitivity of each habitat unit and implications for the proposed activities.**

Habitat Unit	Sensitivity	Development Implications
<b>Degraded Bushveld</b> <b>Encroached Habitat</b> <b>Rocky Habitat and</b> <b>Watercourse Habitat</b>	<p><b>MODERATELY LOW</b></p> <p>Optimise development potential while improving biodiversity intactness of surrounding natural habitat and managing edge effects.</p>	<p>The habitat integrity of these areas is considered moderately low and has been degraded as a result of historic agricultural activities, erosion, existing human settlements and existing mining. Only one faunal SCC (Lanner Falcon) may utilise the Degraded Bushveld and habitat adjacent to it for foraging purposes, however it is not likely to be reliant on the available habitat for breeding. As such, the development will not reduce breeding productivity or potential of the SCC. Development within these habitat units is not expected to have a significant negative impact on the local or regional ecology of the area, provided mitigation measures are adhered to.</p>
<b>Transformed Habitat</b>	<p><b>Low</b></p> <p>Optimise development potential.</p>	<p>The habitat within these areas is deemed of low sensitivity as a result of its altered state and lack of suitable habitat for most faunal classes. Development within these areas is unlikely to lead to high impact to faunal habitat or species diversity, provided mitigation measures are implemented, as discussed in Section 5.</p>






Figure 4: Habitat sensitivity map for the north-western portion of the study area.






Figure 5: Habitat sensitivity map for the Clapham Vent Shafts portions of the study area.



## 5. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The sections below provide the significance of perceived impacts arising from the proposed infrastructure development for the study area.

An impact discussion and assessment of all potential pre-construction, construction, operational and maintenance phase impacts are provided in Section 5.2 and 5.3. All mitigatory measures required to minimise the perceived impacts are presented in Section 5.4.

### Proposed Activity Description:

The proposed development activities include upgrading and/or the construction of the following infrastructure: ventilation shafts with associated infrastructure, water pipelines and powerlines, a new TSF pipeline, a product stock ore pile, a compressed airline, and upgrades to the existing change house.

### 5.1 Activities and Aspect Register

The table below indicates the perceived risks to faunal species associated with the activities pertaining to the proposed infrastructure developments at the existing Marula Platinum Mine within the study area.

**Table 7: Aspects and activities register considering faunal resources during the pre-construction and planning phases.**

ACTIVITIES AND ASPECTS REGISTER	
<b>Pre-Construction Phase</b>	
-	Inconsiderate planning of infrastructure placement and design, leading to unnecessary edge effect impacts on areas outside of the proposed development footprint.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Degradation and modification of the receiving environment, loss of faunal habitat.
-	Potential failure to implement the required mitigation measures before and at the commencement of construction activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential failure to have a Rehabilitation Plan and anti-collision measures (for bird strikes) developed before the commencement of the development.</li> </ul>
-	<b>Impact:</b> Long-term or permanent degradation and modification of the receiving environment, loss of SCC and fauna habitat.
-	Potential inadequate design of electricity pylons and powerlines increasing the possibility of birds being electrocuted by or colliding with infrastructure.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Long-term collision and electrocution risks to SCC species leading to a reduction in SCC diversity.
-	Potential failure to design and implement an Alien and Invasive Plant (AIP) Management/Control plan before the commencement of construction activities, resulting in the spread of AIPs from the development footprint to surrounding natural habitat.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Spreads of AIPs, leading to potential loss of faunal habitat.
<b>Construction Phase</b>	
-	Site clearing and the removal of vegetation.
-	Uncontrolled and unplanned site clearing and the removal of vegetation and destruction of avifaunal habitat and forage.



### ACTIVITIES AND ASPECTS REGISTER

-	<b>Impact:</b> Loss of faunal habitat, diversity and abundance.
-	Proliferation of AIP species that colonise in areas of increased disturbances and that outcompete native plant species, including the further transformation of adjacent natural habitat (especially within the Watercourse Habitat).
-	<b>Impact:</b> Loss of habitat within and outside of the direct development footprints, including a decrease in species diversity.
-	Potential failure to implement a rehabilitation and an alien floral control plan after the construction phase.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Potentially leading to permanent transformation of avifaunal habitat and long-term degradation of important avifaunal habitat within the region.
-	Dumping of construction material within areas where no construction is planned, thereby leading to further habitat disturbance - allowing the establishment and spread of AIPs.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Loss of faunal habitat, diversity and species abundance.
-	Potentially poorly managed edge effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ineffective rehabilitation of compacted areas, bare soils, or eroded areas leading to ongoing proliferation of AIP species in disturbed areas and subsequent spread to surrounding natural areas altering the faunal habitat; and</li> <li>• Compaction of soils outside of the study area due to indiscriminate driving of construction vehicles through natural vegetation.</li> </ul>
-	<b>Impact:</b> Loss of faunal habitat and species diversity within the direct footprint of the proposed development. Loss of surrounding faunal diversity and habitat through the displacement of indigenous flora by AIP species - especially in response to disturbance in natural areas.
-	Increased risk of collisions with the project infrastructure and/or electrocution while perching on the pylons or powerlines.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Local loss of avifaunal SCC abundance and diversity.
-	Ensure erosion preventative measures are considered along the water and TSF pipeline locations to reduce to faunal habitat loss as the area is prone to high erosion.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Loss of faunal and floral habitat.
-	Possible increased fire frequency during construction.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Loss or alteration of faunal habitat and species diversity.
-	Potential failure to concurrently rehabilitate bare or disturbed sites as soon as the construction activities have occurred will potentially result in loss of viable soils, increasing erosion risk and/or permitting the proliferation of AIPs.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Long-term loss of favourable habitat for faunal species. Loss of faunal diversity and potential SCC which will disperse into the surrounding area in search of favourable habitat.
<b>Operational and Maintenance Phases</b>	
-	Ineffective rehabilitation of exposed and impacted areas potentially leading to vegetation succession and a possible reduction of faunal diversity and exclusion of all SCC over the long-term. <b>Impact:</b> Permanent loss of faunal habitat, diversity and SCC, and a higher likelihood of edge effect impacts on adjacent and nearby natural avifaunal habitat of increased sensitivity. Further reduction of available habitat in the long-term, compounding the limiting factors to faunal assemblages.
-	Increased introduction and proliferation of alien plant species due to a lack of maintenance activities, or poorly implemented and monitored AIP Management programme, leading to ongoing displacement of natural vegetation outside of the footprint area.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Ongoing or permanent loss of remaining faunal habitat, species diversity and exclusion of SCC.
-	Potential poor management and failure to monitor rehabilitation efforts, leading to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landscapes being left fragmented, resulting in reduced migration capabilities of avifaunal species, isolation of avifaunal populations and a decrease in avifaunal diversity;</li> <li>• Compacted soils limiting the re-establishment of natural vegetation; and</li> <li>• Increased risk of erosion in areas left disturbed.</li> </ul>
-	<b>Impact:</b> Long-term (or permanent) loss of avifaunal habitat, diversity and SCC.
-	Increased risk of collisions with the project infrastructure and/or electrocution while perching on the pylons or powerlines.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Local loss of avifaunal SCC abundance and diversity.
-	Increased risk of collisions with the project infrastructure and/or electrocution while perching on the pylons or powerlines.
-	<b>Impact:</b> Local loss of avifaunal SCC abundance and diversity.





**ACTIVITIES AND ASPECTS REGISTER**

- On-going disturbance during operational phase may lead to erosion and sedimentation of surrounding habitats.
- **Impact:** Degradation of favourable habitat and limited potential for faunal habitat and species re-establishment.

## **5.2 Faunal Impact Assessment Results**

The below table indicates the perceived risks to the faunal ecology associated with all phases of the proposed infrastructure development. The table also provides the findings of the impact assessment undertaken with reference to the perceived impacts prior to the implementation of mitigation measures and following the implementation of mitigation measures. The mitigated results of the impact assessment have been calculated on the premise that all mitigation measures as stipulated in this report are adhered to and implemented. Should such actions not be adhered to, it is highly likely that post-mitigation impact scores will increase.



**Table 8: Impact on the faunal habitat, diversity, and SCC associated with the Ventilation Shafts (and their associated refrigeration infrastructure) and the Ore Stockpile.**

Habitat Unit	UNMANAGED					Significance	MANAGED					Significance
	Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability		Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	
<b>PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND PLANNING PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of faunal Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low	VL	L	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT
Product Stockpile	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low	VL	L	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>Impact on faunal SCC</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low	VL	L	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT
Product Stockpile	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low	VL	L	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of faunal Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline	M	L	VL	VL	H	Very Low	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low



and changeroom upgrade												
Product Stockpile	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low	VL	L	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>Impact on faunal SCC</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	M	L	VL	VL	H	Very Low	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low
Product Stockpile	L	L	VL	VL	M	Very Low	VL	L	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of faunal Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	L	VH	VL	VL	VH	Very Low	L	H	VL	VL	H	Very Low
Product Stockpile	L	H	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT	VL	H	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT
<b>Impact on faunal SCC</b>												
Shafts (including ventilation and associated refrigeration infrastructure), airline and changeroom upgrade	L	VH	VL	VL	H	Very Low	L	H	VL	VL	M	Very Low
Product Stockpile	L	H	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT	VL	H	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT



**Table 9: Impact on the faunal habitat, diversity, and SCC associated with the Powerlines and water and TSF Pipelines.**

Habitat Unit	UNMANAGED					Significance	MANAGED					Significance
	Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability		Intensity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	
<b>PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of faunal Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Powerlines	M	L	L	L	M	Very Low	L	L	L	L	L	Very Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	M	L	L	L	M	Very Low	VL	L	L	L	L	Very Low
<b>Impact on faunal SCC</b>												
Powerlines	M	L	L	L	M	Very Low	L	L	L	L	L	Very Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	L	L	L	L	M	Very Low	VL	L	L	L	L	Very Low
<b>CONSTRUCTION PHASE</b>												
<b>Impact of faunal Habitat and Diversity</b>												
Powerlines	M	L	L	L	VH	Low	L	L	L	L	H	Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	M	L	L	L	VH	Low	L	L	L	L	H	Low
<b>Impact on faunal SCC</b>												
Powerlines	M	L	L	L	H	Low	L	L	L	L	M	Very Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	L	L	L	L	M	Low	L	L	L	L	L	Very Low



OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PHASE												
Impact of faunal Habitat and Diversity												
Powerlines	M	VH	L	L	VH	Low	L	H	L	L	H	Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	M	VH	L	L	M	Very Low	L	H	L	L	L	Very Low
Impact on faunal SCC												
Powerlines	M	VH	L	L	VH	Low	L	H	L	L	M	Very Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	M	VH	L	L	M	Very Low	L	H	L	L	L	Very Low
DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE												
Impact of faunal Habitat and Diversity												
Powerlines	L	VH	VL	VL	VH	Very Low	L	H	VL	VL	H	Very Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	L	H	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT	VL	H	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT
Impact on faunal SCC												
Powerlines	L	VH	VL	VL	H	Very Low	L	H	VL	VL	M	Very Low
Water and TSF Pipelines	L	H	VL	VL	L	INSIGNIFICANT	VL	H	VL	VL	VL	INSIGNIFICANT



### 5.3 Impact Discussion

The perceived impact significance of the proposed infrastructure development (prior to mitigation) on faunal habitat, diversity and SCC ranges from low to insignificant. The relatively decreased scoring prior to mitigation measures is largely based on the fact that the sites are of small size, already in varying stages of habitat degradation and located within or immediately adjacent to existing mining activities or surface infrastructure. Although the sites are already degraded, there remains the opportunity to further reduce environmental impact risks by implementing the recommended mitigation measures. Through implementing mitigation measures not only will the overall impact significance decrease, the effort, time and financial input costs for rehabilitation and AIP control will be reduced.

#### 5.3.1 Impact on Faunal Habitat and Diversity

The various proposed infrastructure developments will result in the clearance of vegetation within the Vent Shafts and associated refrigeration infrastructure areas and to a limited extent along the proposed powerline and water and TSF pipeline routes. The habitat within these sites has already been disturbed and degraded to varying degrees, providing limited habitat to faunal species. Although these developments will lead to loss of habitat in these sites, it is not expected to be significant to faunal species nor, should the provided mitigation be implemented, have a significant impact to faunal species diversity or abundance in the region. All edge effects are to be monitored to ensure that the surrounding natural habitat is not impacted upon, thereby ensuring no further impacts to faunal species diversity and habitat occurs. Particular attention should be made to Watercourse Habitat areas as the potential for AIP is high in this habitat. Impacts anticipated to occur to faunal habitat and diversity within the study area range from low to very low prior to mitigation implementation. With mitigation measures full implemented the impacts can be reduced in most cases to lower impacts significance, low and very low impact scores. Impacts anticipated from the linear (powerlines and water and TSF pipelines) infrastructure will incur slightly higher impacts as the extent over which these infrastructures occur is greater than the remaining activities, though these impacts are predominantly envisaged to occur during the construction phase, with operational phase impacts decreasing..

#### 5.3.2 Impacts on Faunal SCC

Only a single SCC is anticipated to utilize the site while foraging, namely: *Falco biarmicus* (Lanner Falcon). This species is considered to be Vulnerable on a regional scale due to transformation of grassland habitat through urbanisation, agriculture and afforestation and the corresponding decreases in its preferred prey and foraging opportunities. The proposed



activities are mostly linear and occur adjacent to existing disturbances or along roads and thus will not result in the large scale alteration of suitable habitat. Impacts to this SCC are largely low and very low prior to the implementation of mitigation measures while with mitigation measures in place it is anticipated that the impacts can largely be reduced to mostly very low and insignificant levels. A single SCC *Gyps coprotheres* (Cape Vulture) was seen soaring above the region to the east of the study site, however, onsite characters and disturbances do not provide suitable habitat for this species within the study area. The degraded state of the habitat both within the sites as well as in the surrounding areas and the adjacent mining activities preclude most faunal SCC from these sites. However, best construction and operation practices must still be employed alongside the recommended mitigation measures to ensure no further habitat degradation occurs. This is important to assist in future rehabilitation activities, increasing the potential that SCC may in the future be able to recolonise these areas post mine closure.

### 5.3.3 Probable Residual Impacts

Even with extensive mitigation and rehabilitation, residual impacts on the receiving faunal ecological environment are still likely. Although rehabilitation during the closure and decommissioning of the mine is planned, it is still unlikely to result in the complete restoration of the receiving environment to that of the reference state. Rehabilitation, if suitably undertaken, will however result in a suitable vegetation cover of indigenous plant species which will provide habitat for fauna and allow for the recolonisation of the rehabilitated areas. Rehabilitation efforts however may be hindered as a result of land use activities associated with the neighbouring communities, notably increased grazing and use of natural resources in the rehabilitated areas. The following points highlight the key residual impacts that have been identified:

- Edge effects such as further habitat fragmentation and AIP proliferation (particularly in Watercourse Habitat); and
- Disturbed areas are highly unlikely to be rehabilitated to baseline levels of ecological functioning and loss of faunal habitat and species diversity will most likely be long term.

### 5.3.4 Cumulative Impacts

The local area has already been subjected to extensive impacts as a result of historic agriculture, housing and the existing mining activities, with most of the proposed activities occurring along existing infrastructure and in already degraded habitat of a small extent. The development will nonetheless lead to common faunal species being displaced from some of the proposed sites into the adjacent habitats. This may lead to increased competition for space



and food resources, however, given the small abundance and diversity in the footprint areas, this impact is not expected to be significant. Edge effects and AIP proliferation are more concerning over the long-term. AIP proliferation will ultimately lead to loss of viable habitat in the surrounding areas, displacing faunal species further as indigenous floral species (faunal habitat and food resources) are displaced and lost.

### 5.4 Integrated Impact Mitigation

The table below highlights the additional general mitigation measures that are applicable to the project, in addition to those mentioned in the impact table, in order to suitably manage and mitigate the ecological impacts that are associated with the proposed infrastructure development within the study area.

**Table 10: A summary of the mitigatory requirements for faunal resources.**

<b>Project phase</b>	<i>Planning Phase</i>
<b>Impact Summary</b>	<i>Loss of faunal habitat and species diversity</i>
<b>Management Measures</b>	<b>Proposed mitigation and management measures:</b>
	<b>Faunal Habitat and Diversity</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- At all times, ensure that sound environmental management is in place during the planning phase;</li> <li>- Minimise loss of indigenous vegetation where possible through refining the final development footprint, optimising the design within habitat of lowered ecological importance and sensitivity; and</li> <li>- Design of infrastructure should be environmentally sound and all construction equipment to be utilised must be a good working condition, and all possible precautions taken to prevent potential spills and /or leaks.</li> </ul>
<b>Project phase</b>	<i>Construction Phase</i>
<b>Impact Summary</b>	<i>Loss of faunal habitat and species diversity</i>
<b>Management Measures</b>	<b>Proposed mitigation and management measures:</b>
	<b>Development footprint</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The development footprint should be demarcated, and it should be ensured that no development related activities take place outside of the demarcated footprint;</li> <li>- Faunal habitat beyond the demarcated area should not be altered;</li> <li>- Construction equipment should be restricted to travelling only on designated roadways to limit the ecological footprint of the development activities. Additional road construction should be limited to what is absolutely necessary, and the footprint thereof kept to a minimal;</li> <li>- No dumping of litter or cleared vegetation on site should be allowed. As such it is advised vegetation cuttings (especially AIP) to be carefully collected and disposed of at a separate waste facility;</li> <li>- All rubble waste generated is to be disposed of on the existing waste rock dump (WRD);</li> <li>- No illicit fires must be allowed during the construction phase of the proposed development.</li> <li>- If any pollutant spills occur, they should be immediately cleaned up to avoid soil contamination that can hinder floral rehabilitation later down the line and faunal recolonization. In the event of a breakdown, maintenance of vehicles must take place with care, and the collection of spillages should be practised preventing the ingress of hydrocarbons into the topsoil;</li> <li>- Anti-collision devices should be installed along the entire length of the powerline. These must be Eskom approved anti-collision devices that are durable as the area is prone to strong winds. Anti-collision devices must be installed as soon as the wires are strung. The devices must be installed 5m apart and alternate between a light and dark colour in order to increase the visibility of the wires.</li> </ul>





	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Any structures which may act as perching sites for birds should be installed with anti-perching spikes;</li> <li>- Excavated topsoil must be stored with associated native vegetation debris for subsequent use in rehabilitation;</li> <li>- An AIP control plan must be developed for the site and must include ongoing alien and invasive plant monitoring and clearing/control throughout all phases of the development;</li> <li>- Alien vegetation that is removed must not be allowed to lay on unprotected ground as seeds might disperse upon it. All cleared plant material to be disposed of at a licensed waste facility, which comply with legal standards;</li> <li>- During the site-pegging phase of surface infrastructure, should any faunal SCC (albeit considered unlikely) be observed, all activities should be halted and a suitably qualified specialist is to be contact to advise on the best way forward;</li> <li>- Edge effect control needs to be implemented to ensure no further degradation and potential loss of faunal habitat outside of the proposed project footprint areas occurs; and</li> <li>- Smaller species such as scorpions and reptiles are likely to be less mobile during the colder periods of the year, as such should any be observed in the footprint sites during clearing and operational activities, they are to be carefully and safely moved to an area of similar habitat outside of the disturbance footprint. Construction personnel are to be educated about these species and the need for their conservation. Smaller scorpion species and harmless reptiles should be carefully relocated by a suitably nominated construction person or staff member. For larger venomous snakes, a suitably trained official or specialist should be contacted to affect the relocation of the species, should it not move off on its own.</li> </ul>
<b>Project phase</b>	<i>Operational Phase</i>
<b>Impact Summary</b>	<i>Loss of faunal habitat and species diversity</i>
	<p><b>Development footprint</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All vehicles should be restricted to travelling only on designated roadways to limit the ecological footprint of the development activities;</li> <li>- No litter or cleared plant material should be dumped or allowed to remain on-site. As such it is advised that vegetation cuttings to be carefully collected and disposed of at a separate waste facility;</li> <li>- Ongoing alien and invasive plant monitoring and clearing/control should take place throughout the operational phase, and the project perimeters should be regularly checked for AIP establishment to prevent spread into surrounding natural areas which may alter the suitability of the habitat to avifaunal species;</li> <li>- Disturbed areas and areas of bare soil resulting from construction or operation activities that do not form part of the immediate active mine area should be immediately rehabilitated. Rehabilitated efforts should continue to be monitored throughout the operational phase, until natural processes will allow the ecological functioning and biodiversity of the area to be re-instated;</li> <li>- No hunting/trapping or collecting of faunal species is allowed; and</li> <li>- Alien vegetation that is removed must not be allowed to lay on unprotected ground as seeds might disperse upon it. All cleared plant material to be disposed of at a licensed waste facility, which comply with legal standards.</li> </ul>
<b>Project phase</b>	<i>Decommissioning &amp; Closure Phase</i>
<b>Impact Summary</b>	<i>Loss of faunal habitat and species diversity</i>
	<p><b>Development footprint</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No additional habitat outside of the footprint areas is to be disturbed during the closure phase;</li> <li>- All edge effects are to be managed and remediated immediately</li> <li>- Ongoing alien and invasive plant monitoring and clearing/control should take place throughout the operational phase, and the project perimeters should be regularly checked for AIP establishment to prevent spread into surrounding natural areas</li> </ul>

## 6. CONCLUSION

Scientific Terrestrial Services (STS) was appointed to conduct a faunal assessment as part of the Basic Assessment (BA) for the Environmental Authorisation (EA) process for the proposed



surface infrastructure development on the existing Marula Platinum Mine, located approximately 30 km northwest of the town of Burgersfort, Limpopo Province. During the field assessment it was noted that the majority of the study area had undergone degradation as a result of human settlements, historic agriculture and/or mining leaving few, highly fragmented, natural portions interspersed within the study area.

Following the field assessment, four faunal habitats were noted, namely the Degraded Bushveld, Encroached Habitat, Rocky Habitat and Transformed Habitat. These habitat units have all been subjected to varying degrees of impact and as a result support a limited diversity of faunal species. The Rocky Habitat are considered the most natural, however, these units comprise of small portions of the study area and are not anticipated to host significantly different assemblages of fauna as they are highly fragmented within the locality. The proposed activities will also, for the most part, occur adjacent to existing roads and infrastructure or where previous disturbances have already altered the vegetation reducing the potential to destroy sensitive habitat.

No faunal SCC were recorded within the proposed footprint areas, and only a single species (Lanner Falcon) is expected to occur therein where foraging is likely. A single Cape Vulture was also noted flying a few kilometres to the east of the study area, however, the surrounding areas are for the most part degraded, and thus this opportunistic feeder will only utilise the study area should a carcass of a domestic animal present itself, otherwise the habitat is not considered suitable for this species. Due to the degraded nature of the study area there is a low chance that further faunal SCC would even utilise the footprint areas periodically.

Perceived impacts to faunal species and faunal habitat are deemed to have very low to low significance levels prior to mitigation. With mitigation measures implemented, the impacts are expected to decrease to low and insignificant levels in almost all cases. Impacts are largely of lower significance as the proposed activities are not anticipated to transform or destroy sensitive areas or large portions of habitat and are not likely to result in changes to the faunal diversity or abundances within the study area.

The objective of this study was to provide sufficient information on the faunal ecology of the area, together with other studies on the physical and socio-cultural environment, in order for the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) and the relevant authorities to apply the principles of Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) and the concept of sustainable development. It is the opinion of the ecologist that this study provides the relevant information required in order to implement IEM and to ensure that the best long-term use of the ecological



resources in the study area will be made in support of the principle of sustainable development.



## 7. REFERENCES

- Alexander, G and Marais, J 2008 Second Edition. A guide to the reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.
- Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J. and De Villiers, M.S. (eds). 2014. Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South African, Lesotho and Swaziland. Suricata 1. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Barnes, K.N. (Ed). 2000. The Eskom Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Birdlife South Africa, Johannesburg, RSA.
- Branch, B. 1998. Third Edition. Field Guide to Snakes and other Reptiles in Southern Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA.
- Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J. and De Villiers, M.S. (eds). 2014. Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South African, Lesotho and Swaziland. Suricata 1. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Carruthers, V. 2001. Frogs and frogging in Southern Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA.
- Endangered Wildlife Trust (Conservation Breeding Specialist Group). 2004. Red Data Book of the Mammals of South Africa: A conservation Assessment.
- Henning, G.A & Henning, S.F. 1989\*. South African Red Data Book of Butterflies. South African National Scientific Programmes Report No. 158.
- Leeming, J. 2003. Scorpions of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA
- Leroy, A. & Leroy, J. Second Edition. 2003. Spiders of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA.
- Marais, J. 2004. A complete guide to the Snakes of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA.
- Minter, L.R., Burger, M., Harrison, J.A., Braack, H.H., Bishop, P.J., & Kloepfer, D. (Eds). 2004. Atlas and Red Data Book of the Frogs of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. SI/MAB Series #9. Smithsonian Institute, Washington, DC, USA.
- Picker, M., Griffiths, C. & Weaving, A. 2004. New Edition. Field Guide to Insects of South Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA.
- Sinclair, I., Hockey, P. & Tarboton, W. 2002. Third Edition. Sasol Birds of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, RSA.
- Smithers, R. H. N. 2000. Third Edition. Edited by Peter Apps. The Mammals of the Southern African. A Field Guide. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, RSA.
- Southern African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP) 2. 2015. Online available: <http://sabap2.adu.org.za/>.
- Walker, C. 1988. Fourth Edition. Signs of the Wild. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA
- Woodhall, S. 2005. Field Guide to Butterflies of South Africa. Struik Publishers (Pty) Ltd, Cape Town, RSA.



## APPENDIX A: Faunal Method of Assessment

It is important to note that due to the nature and habits of fauna, varied stages of life cycles, seasonal and temporal fluctuations along with other external factors, it is unlikely that all faunal species will have been recorded during the site assessment. The presence of anthropogenic activities adjacent to the sites will have an impact on faunal behaviour and in turn the rate of observations.

### ***Mammals***

Mammal species were recorded during the field assessment with the use of visual identification, spoor, calls, dung and other notable field signs. Due to the short duration, limited size and disturbed nature of the environment, camera and Sherman traps were not employed. Specific attention was paid to mammal SCC as listed by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), the Limpopo province and NEMBA.

### ***Avifauna***

The Southern African Bird Atlas Project 2 database (<http://sabap2.adu.org.za/>) was compared with the recent field survey of avifaunal species identified in the study area. Field surveys were undertaken utilising direct observation and bird call identification techniques in order to accurately identify avifaunal species. Specific attention was given to avifaunal SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the IUCN.

### ***Reptiles***

Reptiles were identified during the field survey. Suitable applicable habitat areas (rocky outcrops and fallen dead trees) were inspected and all reptiles encountered were identified. The data gathered during the assessment along with the habitat analysis provided an accurate indication of which reptile species are likely to occur on the study area. Specific attention was given to reptile SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the IUCN.

### ***Amphibians***

Identifying amphibian species is done by the use of direct visual identification along with call identification technique. Amphibian species flourish in and around wetland, riparian and moist grassland areas. It is unlikely that all amphibian species will have been recorded during the site assessment, due to their cryptic nature and habits, varied stages of life cycles and seasonal and temporal fluctuations within the environment. The data gathered during the assessment along with the habitat analysis provided an accurate indication of which amphibian species are likely to occur within the study area as well as the surrounding area. Specific attention was given to amphibian SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the IUCN.

### ***Invertebrates***

Whilst conducting transects through the study area, all insect species visually observed were identified, and where possible photographs taken. It must be noted, however that due to the cryptic nature and habits of insects, varied stages of life cycles and seasonal and temporal fluctuations within the environment, it is unlikely that all insect species will have been recorded during the site assessment period. Nevertheless, the data gathered during the assessment along with the habitat analysis provided an accurate indication of which species are likely to occur in the study area at the time of the survey. Specific attention was given to insect SCC listed on a regional and national level, as well as those identified by the IUCN.

### ***Arachnids***

Suitable applicable habitat areas (rocky outcrops, sandy areas and fallen dead trees) where spiders and scorpions are likely to reside were searched. Rocks were overturned and inspected for signs of these species. Specific attention was paid to searching for Mygalomorphae arachnids (Trapdoor and Baboon spiders) as well as potential SCC scorpions.



## Faunal Species of Conservational Concern Assessment

The Probability of Occurrence (POC) for each faunal SCC is described:

- **“Confirmed”**: if observed during the survey;
- **“High”**: if within the species’ known distribution range and suitable habitat is available;
- **“Medium”**: if either within the known distribution range of the species or if suitable habitat is present; or
- **“Low”**: if the habitat is not suitable and falls outside the distribution range of the species.

The accuracy of the POC is based on the available knowledge about the species in question, with many of the species lacking in-depth habitat research.

## Faunal Habitat Sensitivity

The sensitivity of the study area for each faunal class (i.e. mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians and invertebrates) was determined by calculating the mean of five different parameters which influence each faunal class and provide an indication of the overall faunal ecological integrity, importance and sensitivity of the study area for each class. Each of the following parameters are subjectively rated on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = lowest and 5 = highest):

- **Faunal SCC**: The confirmed presence or potential for faunal SCC or any other significant species, such as endemics, to occur within the habitat unit;
- **Habitat Availability**: The presence of suitable habitat for each class;
- **Food Availability**: The availability of food within the study area for each faunal class;
- **Faunal Diversity**: The recorded faunal diversity compared to a suitable reference condition such as surrounding natural areas or available faunal databases; and
- **Habitat Integrity**: The degree to which the habitat is transformed based on observed disturbances which may affect habitat integrity.

Each of these values contribute equally to the mean score, which determines the suitability and sensitivity of the study area for each faunal class. A conservation and land-use objective is also assigned to each sensitivity class which aims to guide the responsible and sustainable utilization of the study area in relation to each faunal class. The different classes and land-use objectives are presented in the table below:

**Table A1: Faunal habitat sensitivity rankings and associated land-use objectives.**

Score	Rating significance	Conservation objective
1.0 < 1.5	Low	Optimise development potential.
≥1.5 <2.5	Moderately low	Optimise development potential while improving biodiversity integrity of surrounding natural habitat and managing edge effects.
≥2.5 <3.5	Intermediate	Preserve and enhance biodiversity of the habitat unit and surrounds while optimising development potential.
≥3.5 <4.5	Moderately high	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, limit development and disturbance.
≥4.5 ≤ 5.0	High	Preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the habitat unit, no-go alternative must be considered.



## APPENDIX B: Faunal SCC

### Faunal Species of Conservation Concern

**Table B1: Red Data Mammal species listed in the Limpopo SoER 2004 report including IUCN status.**

Scientific name	Common Name	Limpopo SoER 2004 Status	IUCN Red List Status
<i>Diceros bicornis</i>	Black Rhinoceros	CR	CR
<i>Neamblysomus julianae</i>	Juliana's golden mole	CR	VU
<i>Loxodonta africana</i>	African elephant	VU	VU
<i>Lycaon pictus</i>	African wild dog	EN	EN
<i>Amblysomus gunningi</i>	Gunning's golden mole	VU	EN
<i>Lutra maculicollis</i>	Spotted-necked otter	VU	LC
<i>Acinonyx jubatus</i>	Cheetah	VU	VU
<i>Felis lybica</i>	African Wild Cat	VU	NYBA
<i>Panthera leo</i>	Lion	VU	VU
<i>Ceratotherium simum</i>	White rhinoceros	NT	NT

LC = Least concerned, CR = Critically Endangered, EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened. NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN.

**Table B2: Red Data Bird species listed in the Limpopo SoER 2004 report including IUCN status.**

Scientific name	Common Name	Limpopo SoER 2004 Status	IUCN Red List Status
<i>Gyps coprotheres</i>	Cape Vulture	T	VU
<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	Black Stork	T	LC
<i>Falco naumanni</i>	Lesser Kestrel	T	LC
<i>Certhilauda chuana</i>	Short-clawed Lark	T	LC
<i>Pterocles gutturalis</i>	Yellow throated Sandgrouse	T	LC
<i>Anthropoides paradiseus</i>	Blue Crane	T	VU
<i>Gyps africanus</i>	White backed Vultures	T	EN
<i>Ardeotis kori</i>	Kori Bustard	T	LC
<i>Scotopelia peli</i>	Pel's Fishing Owl	T	LC
<i>Bucorvus leadbeateri</i>	Southern Ground Hornbill	T	VU
<i>Buphagus erythrorhynchus</i>	Red-billed Oxpecker	T	LC
<i>Terathopius ecaudatus</i>	Bateleur	T	NT
<i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i>	Martial Eagle	T	NT
<i>Aquila rapax</i>	Tawny Eagle	T	LC
<i>Torgos tracheliotos</i>	Lappet faced Vulture	T	VU
<i>Trigonoceps occipitalis</i>	White headed Vulture	T	VU
<i>Buphagus africanus</i>	Yellow billed Oxpecker	T	LC
<i>Stephanoaetus coronatus</i>	Crowned hawk Eagle	T	NT

LC = Least concerned, CR = Critically Endangered, EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened. NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN. T = listed as threatened but with no specific status for the Limpopo Province



**Table B3: Red Data Amphibian species listed in the Limpopo SoER 2004 report including IUCN status.**

Scientific name	Common Name	Limpopo SoER 2004 Status	IUCN Red List Status
<i>Breviceps sylvestris</i>	Transvaal forest rain frog	VU	EN
<i>Ptychadena uzungwensis</i>		P	LC
<i>Leptopelis bocagii</i>		P	LC
<i>Hemisis guineensis</i>	Guinea Snout-burrower	P	LC

LC = Least concerned, CR = Critically Endangered, EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened, P = Peripheral. NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN.

**Table B4: Red Data Reptile species listed in the Limpopo SoER 2004 report including IUCN status.**

Scientific name	Common Name	Limpopo SoER 2004 Status	IUCN Red List Status
<i>Homoroselaps dorsalis</i>	Striped Harlequin snake	R	NT
<i>Xenocalamus transvaalensis</i>	Transvaal Quill-snout snake	R	DD
<i>Lamprophis swazicus</i>	Swazi Rock Snake	R	NT
<i>Python natalensis</i>	African Python	VU	NYBA
<i>Lygodactylus methueni</i>	Methuen's Dwarf Gecko	VU	VU
<i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	Nile Crocodile	VU	LC
<i>Lycophidion variegatum</i>	Variegated Wolf snake	P	NYBA
<i>Psammophis jallae</i>	Jalla's Sand snake	P	NYBA

R = Rare, DD = Data Deficient, LC = Least concerned, CR = Critically Endangered, EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened, P = Peripheral. NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN.

**Table B5: Red Data Invertebrates species mentioned in the Limpopo SoER 2004 report including IUCN status.**

Scientific name	Common Name	Limpopo SoER 2004 Status	IUCN Red List Status
<i>Taurhina splendens</i>	Splendid fruit chafer *	T	NYBA
<i>Charaxes marieps</i>	Marieps Charaxes butterfly *	T	NYBA
<i>Trichostetha fascicularis</i>	Protea beetle *	T	NYBA
<i>Ischnestoma ficqui</i>	Fruit eating beetles *	T	NYBA

R = Rare, DD = Data Deficient, LC = Least concerned, CR = Critically Endangered, EN = Endangered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened. NYBA = Not yet been assessed by the IUCN. T = listed as threatened but with no specific status for the Limpopo Province. \* Very little detailed or general information exists on terrestrial invertebrates in the Limpopo Province, thus in general there is very little consolidated information regarding invertebrates (Limpopo SOER, 2004).

### South African Bird Atlas Project 2 list

**Table B6: Avifaunal Species for the pentads 2425\_3000, 2430\_3000 and 2430\_3005 within the QDS 2430AC and 2430CA.**

Pentads	Link to pentad summary on the South African Bird Atlas Project 2 web page
2425_3000	<a href="http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2425_3000">http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2425_3000</a>
2430_3000	<a href="http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2430_3000">http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2430_3000</a>
2430_3005	<a href="http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2430_3005">http://sabap2.adu.org.za/coverage/pentad/2430_3005</a>





## APPENDIX C: Faunal Species List

**Table C1: Mammal species recorded (\*) or expected to occur in site.**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Threat Status
<i>Lepus saxatilis</i>	Scrub hare	LC
<i>Sylvicapra grimmia</i>	Common Duiker	LC
* <i>Galerella sanguinea</i>	Slender Mongoose	LC
<i>Genetta genetta</i>	Small-spotted Genet	LC

LC = Least Concern

**Table C2: Avifaunal species recorded (\*) or expected to occur on site.**

Scientific name	Common Name	Threat Status
* <i>Uraeginthus angolensis</i>	Blue Waxbill	LC
* <i>Oena capensis</i>	Namaqua Dove	LC
<i>Erythropygia paena</i>	Kalahari Scrub Robin	LC
* <i>Tchagra australis</i>	Brown-crowned Tchagra	LC
<i>Granatina granatina</i>	Violet-eared Waxbill	LC
<i>Cinnyris talatala</i>	White-bellied Sunbird	LC
<i>Sylvia subcaerulea</i>	Chestnut-vented Tit-babbler	LC
<i>Crithagra sulphurata</i>	Brimstone Canary	LC
<i>Trisholaema leucomelas</i>	Acacia Pied Barbet	LC
<i>Milvus parasitus</i>	Yellow-billed Kite	LC
<i>Corvus albus</i>	Pied Crow	LC
<i>Plocepasser mahali</i>	White-browed Sparrow-weaver	LC
<i>Colius striatus</i>	Speckled Mousebird	LC
<i>Acridotheres tristis</i>	Common Myna	LC
* <i>Passer melanurus</i>	Cape Sparrow	LC
* <i>Streptopelia capicola</i>	Cape Turtle Dove	LC
* <i>Motacilla capensis</i>	Cape Wagtail	LC
* <i>Lanius collaris</i>	Common Fiscal	LC
* <i>Pycnonotus tricolor</i>	Dark-capped Bulbul	LC
<i>Numida meleagris</i>	Helmeted Guineafowl	LC
<i>Passer domesticus</i>	House Sparrow	LC
* <i>Streptopelia senegalensis</i>	Laughing Dove	LC
<i>Chrysococcyx caprius</i>	Diederik Cuckoo	LC
<i>Dicrurus adsimilis</i>	Fork-tailed Drongo	LC
<i>Corythaixoides concolor</i>	Grey Go-away-bird	LC
* <i>Pternistis natalensis</i>	Natal Spurfowl	LC

\*Species observed on site, LC = Least Concern



**Table C3: Amphibian species previously recorded by SAFAP for the QDS (2430AC and 2430AC).**

Scientific name	Common Name	Threat Status
<i>Sclerophrys garmani</i>	Toad	Least Concern
<i>Sclerophrys gutturalis</i>	Toad	Least Concern
<i>Poyntonophrynus fenoulheti</i>	Fenoulhet's Toad	Least Concern
<i>Sclerophrys capensis</i>	Raucous Toad	Least Concern
<i>Breviceps adspersus</i>	Bushveld rain frog	Least Concern
<i>Kassina senegalensis</i>	Bubbling Kassina	Least Concern
<i>Chiromantis xerampelina</i>	Foam Nest Tree Frog	Least Concern
<i>Hyperolius marmoratus</i>	Marbled Reed Frog	Least Concern
<i>Hyperolius pusilus</i>	Water Lily Reed Frog	Least Concern
<i>Ptychadena oxyrhynchus</i>	South African Sharp Nosed Frog	Least Concern
<i>Ptychadena porosissima</i>	Striped Grass Froh	Least Concern
<i>Phrynobatrachus mababiensis</i>	Mababe Puddle Frog	Least Concern
<i>Phrynomantis bifasciatus</i>	Banded Rubber Frog	Least Concern
<i>Ptychadena anchietae</i>	Plain Grass Frog	Least Concern
<i>Pyxicephalus edulis</i>	African Bull Frog	Least Concern
<i>Tomopterna natalensis</i>	Natal Sand Frog	Least Concern
<i>Ptychadena mossambica</i>	Broad banded Grass Frog	Least Concern
<i>Tomopterna cryptotis</i>	Tremelo Sand Frog	Least Concern

LC = Least Concern

**Table C4: Reptile species recorded (\*) or expected to occur on site.**

Scientific name	Common Name	Threat Status
<i>Trachylepis varia</i>	Variable Skink	NYBA
* <i>Trachylepis margaritifer</i>	Rainbow Skink	LC
* <i>Trachylepis punctatissima</i>	Speckled Rock Skink	LC
<i>Pachydactylus panctatus</i>	Speckled Gecko	NYBA
<i>Matobosaurus Validus</i>	Common Plated Lizard	NYBA

\*Species observed on site, LC = Least Concern

**Table C5: Insect species recorded (\*) or expected to occur on site.**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Threat Status
<i>Dischista rufa</i>	Savannah Fruit Chafer	NYBA
<i>Antipus</i> sp.	Leaf Beetle	NA
<i>Trinervitermes</i> sp.	Snouted harvester termites	NA
<i>Conocephalus caudatis</i>	Meadow Katydid	NYBA
* <i>Musca domestica</i>	House Fly	NYBA
<i>Spialia</i> sp.	Sandman	NA
<i>Creoleon</i> sp.	Large Grassland Antlion	NA
<i>Amblysterna natalensis</i>	Jewel beetle	NYBA
<i>Acmaeodera</i> sp	Jewel beetle	NA
<i>Mylabris</i> sp	Blister Beetle	NA
* <i>Acrotylus</i> sp	Burrowing Grasshoppers	NA
<i>Lycus</i> sp.	Net-winged Beetle	NA
<i>Garreta</i> sp	Dung Beetle	NA
* <i>Danaus chrysippus</i>	African Monarch	LC
<i>Sonchias sternalis</i>	Four-spot Leaf Beetle	NYBA
<i>Leucocelis amethustina</i>	Amethyst Fruit Chafer	NYBA



Scientific Name	Common Name	Threat Status
<i>Eupezus natalensis</i>	Tree Darkling Beetle	NYBA
<i>Gymnopleurus humanus</i>	Small Green Dung Beetle	NYBA
<i>Anomalipus elephas</i>	Large Armoured Darkling Beetle	NYBA
* <i>Alcimus</i> sp.	Robber Fly	NA
<i>Kheper nigroaeneus</i>	Large Copper Dung Beetle	NYBA
<i>Protostrophus</i> sp	Bearded Weevils	NA
<i>Pachylomerus femoralis</i>	Flattened Giant Dung Beetle	NYBA
<i>Thermophilum homoplatum</i>	Two-spotted Ground Beetle	NYBA
<i>Macrotoma palmata</i>	Large Brown Longhorn	NYBA
* <i>Anoplolepis custodiens</i>	Pugnacious Ant	NYBA

\*Species observed on site, LC = Least Concern, NYBA = Not Yet Been Assessed

**Table C6: Arachnid species expected to occur on site.**

Scientific Name	Common Name	Threat Status
<i>Argiope lobate</i>	Black-lobed Garden Orb-web Spider	NYBA
<i>Thomisus</i> sp	NA	NYBA
<i>Agelena</i> sp.	NA	NYBA
Miturgidae	NA	NYBA
<i>Euryopsis</i> sp.	NA	NYBA
Lycosidae	NA	NYBA

NYBA = Not Yet Been Assessed

