Marquard, Free State Province for Aluf Farming (PTY) Ltd. Environmental Impact Report
APPENDIX 3
DFFE SCREENING TOOL REPORT

S24G Application for A Feedlot Development,

SCREENING REPORT FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATION AS REQUIRED BY THE 2014 EIA REGULATIONS – PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT FOOTPRINT ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY

EIA Reference number:

Project name: S24G Aluf Farming Feedlots

Project title: Environmental Impact Report for the Unlawful Commencement of a Feedlot Facility,

Marquard Area, Setsoto Local Municipality, Free State Province

Date screening report generated: 01/09/2022 11:33:42

Applicant: Aluf Farming (PTY) Ltd

Compiler: SPOOR Environmental Services (PTY) Ltd

Compiler signature:

Application Category: Agriculture_Forestry_Fisheries | Animal Production






Table of Contents

Proposed Project Location	3
Orientation map 1: General location	3
Map of proposed site and relevant area(s)	4
Cadastral details of the proposed site	4
Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area	5
Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application	5
Environmental screening results and assessment outcomes	5
Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions	5
Map indicating proposed development footprint within applicable development incentive, restriction, exclusion or prohibition zones	
Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity	
Specialist assessments identified	
Results of the environmental sensitivity of the proposed area	9
MAP OF RELATIVE AGRICULTURE THEME SENSITIVITY	9
MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY	10
MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY	11
MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY	12
MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY	13
MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY	14
MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY	15
MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY	16
MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL RIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY	17

Proposed Project Location

Orientation map 1: General location



Map of proposed site and relevant area(s)



Cadastral details of the proposed site

Property details:

No	Farm Name	Farm/ Erf No	Portion	Latitude	Longitude	Property Type
1	DEMILANDER	273	0	28°40'4.41S	27°12'29.32E	Farm
2	DEMILANDER	273	0	28°40'4.41S	27°12'29.32E	Farm Portion

Development footprint¹ vertices:

Footprint	Latitude	Longitude	
1	28°40'2.33S	27°12'38.88E	
1	28°39'58.59S	27°12'40.66E	
1	28°40'0.6S	27°12'48.85E	
1	28°40'6.03S	27°12'45.44E	
1	28°40'2.33S	27°12'38.88E	
2	28°39'57S	27°12'35.77E	
2	28°39'55.72S	27°12'36.35E	
2	28°39'56.64S	27°12'39.1E	
2	28°39'57.91S	27°12'38.55E	
2	28°39'57S	27°12'35.77E	
3	28°40'18.02S	27°12'36.51E	
3	28°40'8.43S	27°12'40.6E	
3	28°40'9.17S	27°12'42.97E	
3	28°40'19.31S	27°12'40.4E	

¹ "development footprint", means the area within the site on which the development will take place and incudes all ancillary developments for example roads, power lines, boundary walls, paving etc. which require vegetation clearance or which will be disturbed and for which the application has been submitted.

3	28°40'18.02S	27°12'36.51E
4	28°40'8.4S	27°12'40.51E
4	28°40'18.02S	27°12'36.45E
4	28°40'17.22S	27°12'34E
4	28°40'7.67S	27°12'38.18E
4	28°40'8.4S	27°12'40.51E
5	28°40'2.24S	27°12'34.07E
5	28°40'2.22S	27°12'34.12E
5	28°40'2.19S	27°12'34.18E
5	28°40'2.19S	27°12'34.23E
5	28°40'2.2S	27°12'34.29E
5	28°40'2.23S	27°12'34.33E
5	28°40'2.29S	27°12'34.37E
5	28°40'2.39S	27°12'34.36E
5	28°40'2.5S	27°12'34.3E
5	28°40'2.52S	27°12'34.23E
5	28°40'2.5S	27°12'34.18E
5	28°40'2.48S	27°12'34.12E
5	28°40'2.44S	27°12'34.05E
5	28°40'2.38S	27°12'34.01E
5	28°40'2.29S	27°12'34.03E
5	28°40'2.24S	27°12'34.07E

Wind and Solar developments with an approved Environmental Authorisation or applications under consideration within 30 km of the proposed area

No nearby wind or solar developments found.

Environmental Management Frameworks relevant to the application

No intersections with EMF areas found.

Environmental screening results and assessment outcomes

The following sections contain a summary of any development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions that apply to the proposed development footprint as well as the most environmental sensitive features on the footprint based on the footprint sensitivity screening results for the application classification that was selected. The application classification selected for this report is:


Agriculture_Forestry_Fisheries | Animal Production.

Relevant development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions

The following development incentives, restrictions, exclusions or prohibitions and their implications that apply to this footprint are indicated below.

No intersection with any development zones found.

Map indicating proposed development footprint within applicable development incentive, restriction, exclusion or prohibition zones



Proposed Development Area Environmental Sensitivity

The following summary of the development footprint environmental sensitivities is identified. Only the highest environmental sensitivity is indicated. The footprint environmental sensitivities for the proposed development footprint as identified, are indicative only and must be verified on site by a suitably qualified person before the specialist assessments identified below can be confirmed.

Theme	Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
Agriculture Theme		Х		
Animal Species Theme			Х	

Page 6 of 17 <u>Disclaimer applies</u> 01/09/2022

Aquatic Biodiversity Theme			Χ
Archaeological and Cultural			Χ
Heritage Theme			
Civil Aviation Theme		Х	
Defence Theme			Χ
Paleontology Theme	Х		
Plant Species Theme			Χ
Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme	Х		

Specialist assessments identified

Based on the selected classification, and the environmental sensitivities of the proposed development footprint, the following list of specialist assessments have been identified for inclusion in the assessment report. It is the responsibility of the EAP to confirm this list and to motivate in the assessment report, the reason for not including any of the identified specialist study including the provision of photographic evidence of the footprint situation.


N	Special	Assessment Protocol
0	ist	
	assess ment	
1	Landsca	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
_	pe/Visua	/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
	l Impact	rotocols.par
	Assessm	
2	ent Archaeol	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	ogical	/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
	and	Agazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pur
	Cultural	
	Heritage Impact	
	Assessm	
	ent	
3	Palaeont	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	ology Impact	/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
	Assessm	
	ent	
4	Terrestri	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	al Biodiver	/Gazetted Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment Protocols.pdf
	sity	
	Impact	
	Assessm	
5	ent Aquatic	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	Biodiver	/Gazetted_Aquatic_Biodiversity_Assessment_Protocols.pdf
	sity	Addzetted_Aquatic_Blodiversity_Assessment_Protocols.pul
	Impact	
	Assessm ent	
6	Hydrolo	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	gy	/Gazetted_General_Requirement_Assessment_Protocols.pdf
	Assessm	Tourse Tourse Trought Control of
7	ent Traffic	https://energing.on.ingposet.com/CompositeDownloads/AccessingDownloads
'	Impact	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols
	Assessm	/Gazetted_General_Requirement_Assessment_Protocols.pdf

	ent	
8	Socio- Economi c Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
9	Ambient Air Quality Impact Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted General Requirement Assessment Protocols.pdf
1 0	Plant Species Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted Plant Species Assessment Protocols.pdf
1 1	Animal Species Assessm ent	https://screening.environment.gov.za/ScreeningDownloads/AssessmentProtocols/Gazetted Animal Species Assessment Protocols.pdf

Results of the environmental sensitivity of the proposed area.

The following section represents the results of the screening for environmental sensitivity of the proposed footprint for relevant environmental themes associated with the project classification. It is the duty of the EAP to ensure that the environmental themes provided by the screening tool are comprehensive and complete for the project. Refer to the disclaimer.


MAP OF RELATIVE AGRICULTURE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	X		

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
High	Annual Crop Cultivation / Planted Pastures Rotation;Land capability;06. Low-Moderate/07. Low-
	Moderate/08. Moderate
Medium	Land capability;06. Low-Moderate/07. Low-Moderate/08. Moderate

MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY



Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		Х	

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Mammalia-Hydrictis maculicollis


MAP OF RELATIVE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Χ

Sensitivity	Feature(s)	
Low	Low sensitivity	


MAP OF RELATIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL HERITAGE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Χ

Sensitivity	Feature(s)	
Low	Low sensitivity	

MAP OF RELATIVE CIVIL AVIATION THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	X		

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
High	Within 8 km of other civil aviation aerodrome


MAP OF RELATIVE DEFENCE THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Х

Sensitivity	Feature(s)	
Low	Low Sensitivity	

MAP OF RELATIVE PALEONTOLOGY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Medium	Features with a Medium paleontological sensitivity
Very High	Features with a Very High paleontological sensitivity

MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY



Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			Χ

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity

MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Very High	Vulnerable ecosystem