"No-Go" Development Alternative Nature of Impact Without Mitigation (Baseline) With Mitigation (Baseline)															
	Nature of Imp	pact		Wi	thout Mitigation (Without With Mitigation With M						With Mitigation			
Number	Aspect	Impact	Probability (Likelihood)	Extent	Duration (Frequency)	Magnitude (Intensity/ Severity)	Receiving Environment (Consequence)	Mitigation Score (Baseline)	Probability (Likelihood)	Extent	Duration (Frequency	Magnitude (Intensity/ Severity)	Receiving Environment (Consequence)	Score (Impact Assessment)	Proposed Mitigation
				Please	note that impacts		main impacts assoc	clated with the "no-	go" developme	nt alternative.			, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
CONSTRUCTION PHASE															
1	services (sewage, ar	essure on existing (water supply, nnd solid waste ervices)	-16	-4	-8	-16	-8	-11							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. It must be noted that illegal settling may continue due to the expected increase in population (increasing (i) loss of environmental features, and (ii) pressure on existing services)
2	Botanical	Loss of ESAs	-8	-2	-4	-8	-8	Ġ.							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. It must be noted that illegal settling may continue due to the expected increase in population (increasing (i) loss of environmental features, and (ii) pressure on existing services)
3	Freshwater	Contamination of watercourses by lack of adequate services (e.g. sewage treatment)	-8	-4	-8	-16	-8	- 9							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. It must be noted that illegal settling may continue due to the expected increase in population (increasing (i) loss of environmental features, and (ii) pressure on existing services)
4		Erosion & Sedimentation	-16	-2	-8	-16	-4	-10							The area is susceptible to erosion which may result in sedimentation of watercourses present within the proposed site for development.
5	Visual	Negative visual impact of the proposed development	-8	-2	-16	-8	-4	ŵ							The implementation of the no-go option would mean the status quo of the environment will remain the same. No mitigation measures recommended.