Appendix B

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Appendix B1: DEA Meeting Minutes

Appendix B2: Landowner Agreements

Appendix B3: Written Notification

Appendix B4: Proof of Mailing

Appendix B5: Comments and Responses

Working for Wetlands: Gauteng Public Participation Report



This page was left blank intentionally

1 INTRODUCTION

The proposed interventions for wetland rehabilitation require the Working for Wetlands Programme to apply for environmental authorisation in terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (Government Notice (GN) Regulation (R) 982) of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA), as amended. To ensure that the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) can make an informed decision, based on a transparent and meaningful process, this Basic Assessment (BA) process must undergo a Public Participation Process (PPP).

This PPP must be undertaken in accordance with regulations 39-44 of the EIA Regulations. Additional guidance has also been incorporated from the Western Cape¹ Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (DEA&DP) Guideline Document on Public Participation (March 2013).

This Public Participation Report (PPR) has therefore been compiled to collectively represent the consultation process that has been undertaken through the PPP. The following sections include:

- Section 2 A database of interested and affected parties (I&APs) has been created and updated over the last 10 planning years. This database will be updated and maintained throughout the BA process.
- Section 3 The consultation that was undertaken during the pre-application phase of the project has been described. Proof of advertisements and site notices are included in the report, and proof of delivery is attached as Appendix 4 to this PPR (i.e. Appendix B4).
- Section 4 The consultation that was undertaken during the BA phase has been described. Proof of notification has been included in Appendix B4.
- Section 5 Comments received during the PPP and responses provided will be summarised into a table in this section. All original comments and responses will be attached in Appendix B5.
- Section 6 The way forward has been identified in this section.

2 I&AP DATABASE

A register of I&APs has been recorded for WfWetlands over the previous planning years undertaken by Aurecon. The existing national and provincial database has been updated with information from new I&APs responding to the advertisements and site notices throughout the application process. Proactive identification of I&APs, municipal representatives, organs of state, competent authorities and surrounding landowners was also undertaken to update the database specific to the new planning year.

Table 1 on the following page provides a summary of the I&AP database for the Gauteng Province. Please note that contact details have been omitted for privacy reasons.

¹ These guidelines have been considered as best practice even though the project may be located outside of the province.

Table 1: I&AP Database

| Stakeholder | Contact | Organisation | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| State authority - | Mr Mark Anderson | BirdLife South Africa | | |
| national | Ms Mpume Ntlokwana | Department of Agriculture Forestry & Fisheries (DAFF): Deputy Director | | |
| | Ms Wilma Lutsch | Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA): Biodiversity Conservation | | |
| | Mr Danie Smit | DEA: Sensitive Environments | | |
| | Dr Guy Preston | DEA: Natural Resource Management (NRM) | | |
| | Mr Michael Braack | DEA: NRM, Planning | | |
| | Mr Christo Marais | DEA: NRM | | |
| | Ms Naomi Fourie | Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) | | |
| | Dr Paul Meulenbeld | DWS | | |
| | Dr Wietshce Roets | DWS: Instream Water Use | | |
| | Ms Jackie Jay | DWS: Integrated Planning Section | | |
| | Ms Barabara Weston | DWS: Surface Water Reserve Determinations | | |
| | Mr Kelvin Legge | DWS: Integrated Environmental Engineering | | |
| | Mr Bongani Madikizela | Water Research Commission: Research Manager | | |
| | Ms Olga Jacobs | SANParks: Biodiversity and Social Projects | | |
| | Ms Helette Dunne | SANParks: Implementation Manager | | |
| | Mr Steven Segang | Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT) | | |
| | Mr Ahmed Kahn | DEA | | |
| | Mr Louwrens Ferreira | DEA | | |
| | Mr Werner Roux | DEA | | |
| | Ms Kerryn Morrison | EWT | | |
| | Ms Tanya Smith | EWT | | |
| | Morgan Griffiths | WESSA | | |
| | Mr Umesh Bahadur | DEA: Working for Wetlands | | |
| | Dr Farai Tererai | DEA: Working for Wetlands: Manager: Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation | | |
| | Dr Piet-Louis Grundling | DEA: Working for Wetlands: Manager: Implementation | | |
| | Mr Seoka Lekota | DEA: Biodiversity Conservation | | |
| | Mr Dumisani Mabona | DEA: Biodiversity Conservation | | |
| | Mr Ephron Maradwa | DEA: EIA admin | | |
| State authority - provincial | Mr Harmen den Dulk | Gauteng Provincial Government Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD): Deputy Director | | |
| | Mr Marc Leroy | Gauteng Provincial Government Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD): Control Environmental Officer- Grade A | | |

| Stakeholder | Contact | Organisation |
|---------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Mr Rens Botha | Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS): Chief Engineer |
| | Mr Gerhard Cilliers | Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS): Resource Quality Services. Scientific Manager |
| | Ms Maphata Ramphele | Gauteng Department of Sport, Arts Culture and Recreation: Director |
| | Mr Pieter Ackerman | Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS): Chief Landscape Architect, the role fall under Sub Directorate of Instream Water Use |
| | Mr Ian Engelbrecht | Gauteng Provincial Government Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD) |
| | Mr Nhlanhla Makhathini | Gauteng Provincial Government Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD): Assistant Director |
| | Ms Florah Mamabolo | Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS): Assistant Director |
| | Mr Marius Keet | Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS): Senior Manager |
| | Lilian Siwelane | Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS)- |
| | Mr Grant Botha | Provincial Heritage Resources Authority Gauteng: Heritage officer |
| | Mr Siyabonga Buthelezi | Gauteng Provincial Government Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD) |
| Municipality | Mr Livhuwani Siphuma | City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality: Executive Director: Environmental Management and Parks |
| | Dr Moeketsi Mosola | City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality: Municipal Manager |
| | Mr Fazel Sherrif | City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality: Executive Director, Water and Sanitation |
| | Mr Solly Msimanga | City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality: Executive Mayor |
| | Mr Partic Mphahlele | City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality: Acting SED |
| | Cllr Mike Mkhari | City of Tshwane: Councillor |
| | Cllr Derrick Kissoonduth | City of Tshwane: Councillor |
| | Cllr Darryl Moss | City of Tshwane: Councillor |
| Landowners | David Boshoff | City of Tshwane |
| | Lesly Maphupha | Gauteng Provincial Government Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD): |
| | Nyambeni Mphephu | City of Tshwane: Environmental Management |
| | Riaan Marais | Rietvlei Nature Reserve |
| | Alexander Heunis | City of Tshwane |
| General I&APs | Mr Lemson Betha | Wildlife and Environment Society of South Africa (WESSA) |
| | Mr Ralf Bittkau | DA - Democratic Alliance :City Councillor |
| | Ms Terry Calmeyer | ILISO Consulting Environmental: Director (Certified EAP) |
| | Mr Marc de Fontein | Rand Water Foundation |

| Stakeholder | Contact | Organisation |
|-------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Mr David Dewes | DA - Democratic Alliance: City Councillor |
| | Mr Irwin Juckes | Resident in Illiondale wetland area |
| | Marian Kemp | DA - Democratic Alliance: City Councillor |
| | Mr Freddie Letsoko | Gauteng Wetland Forum |
| | Mr Martin Louw | DA - Democratic Alliance: City Councillor |
| | Mr L.C Malan | Little Gnomes Landscaping |
| | Ms Karen Marx | Wildlife and Environment Society of South Africa (WESSA) |
| | Mr Bismark Mashau | Gauteng Wetland Forum |
| | Mr Terrence Mccarthy | Wits University |
| | Mr Irvin Molepo | South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI): Walter Sisulu |
| | Ms Lufuno Mugwena | Mbonelkaphanda Civils |
| | Mr Thompson Mutshinyalo | South Africa National Biodiversity Institute: Walter Sisulu Curator |
| | Mr Gumani Ramaliba | Tshwane Conservation |
| | Ms Phyllis Serumula | Rand Water Foundation |
| | Ms Maroti Tau | Ezemvelo Nature Reserve |
| | Ms Winnie van der Merwe | Kaytec |
| | C Willis | South Africa National Biodiversity Institute: Walter Sisulu |
| | Mr Marc 'de Fontaine | Rand Water |
| | Mr William Mabotha | Rand Water Foundation |
| | | Colbyn Golf Park |
| | | Megalec Power (Pty) Ltd |

3 PRE-APPLICATION PHASE CONSULTATION

Prior to the circulation of the draft Basic Assessment Report (BAR) and submission of the application form to DEA, the following measures are undertaken to ensure that the legislated 30-day public comment period will reach the relevant parties.

3.1 Pre-application meeting with DEA

A pre-application meeting was held between Aurecon, Working for Wetlands, and DEA on 30 October 2017 at Environment House. The meeting minutes are included in Appendix B1.

3.2 Landowner consultation

Landowner consultation is a vital component of the Working for Wetlands Programme Standard Operating Procedures. Landowners are consulted with during the planned Phase 1 and Phase 2 site visits, and Landowner Agreements must be signed prior to any construction commencing. Although it can be difficult to access landowner agreements for the full wetland system (some wetlands have more than 30 properties intersecting

the wetland), landowner agreements will be obtained for work where targeted rehabilitation interventions are planned for the following implementation cycles. Landowner Agreements are included in Appendix B2.

3.3 Advertisements

Advertisements were placed in the national newspapers the *Sunday Times* (in English) and *Die Rapport* (in Afrikaans) to allow the public the opportunity to register their interest in the project. The advertisement in *Die Rapport* was published on 5 November 2017, and the advertisement in the Sunday Times will be published on 12 November 2017.

The English text has been included below in Figure 1 to ensure the text is legible. Proof of the page on which the advertisement in *Die Rapport* was published, is included in Figure 2. Please note that the original page size is A2, and the advertisement is therefore clearly legible. Following the 30-day public comment period, the proof of advertisement in the *Sunday Times* will be included in this document.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS: WORKING FOR WETLANDS PROGRAMME

Proposal: The Working for Wetlands (WfWetlands) Programme intends to rehabilitate a number of degraded wetlands within South Africa. Wetland rehabilitation involves the construction of a variety of interventions that could include, for example, gabion and concrete structures, as well as soft options such as re-vegetation and/ or alien plant removal. The number, type, scale and location of each of these interventions vary according to the nature and magnitude of the problem and the state of the wetland (i.e. the receiving environment).

Legal Framework: Authorisation is required in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998), as amended, as described below:

A. National Environment Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA), as amended: Rehabilitation proposals trigger the requirement for Basic Assessment (BA) approval in terms of the 2014 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (Government Notice Regulation (GN R) 982, as amended) pursuant to NEMA. Aurecon South Africa (Pty) Ltd (Aurecon) has been appointed to undertake the BA processes and separate provincial applications will be submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) as the competent authority. The Listed Activities that are relevant to each application in terms of the 2014 EIA Regulations are GN R 983 (as amended): 12 and 48 (Listing Notice 1) and GN R 985 (as amended): 14 and 23 (Listing Notice 3).

B. National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998 (NWA): In terms of Section 39 of the NWA, a General authorisation (GA) has been granted for certain activities that are listed under the Act that usually require a Water Use Licence; as long as these activities are undertaken for wetland rehabilitation and the primary purpose of the rehabilitation is for conservation purposes (i.e. GN R 1198 of 18 December 2009).

Opportunity to Participate: Notice is hereby given of a public participation process in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations (2014) and the NWA (1998). Draft BA Reports for the seven affected provinces, and Rehabilitation Plans for each of the associated wetland projects, are available to Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) for a public comment period of 30 days from **10 November – 12 December 2017.** Notification will be sent to all identified and registered I&APs prior to the start date of this comment period. Digital copies of the reports will be available for download from Aurecon's website (<u>http://aurecongroup.com/en/public-participation.aspx</u>).

| Province | Repor | ts | Nearest City (Tewn(a) | |
|-------------------|-------|---|---|--|
| Province | BAR | Rehabilitation Plan | Nearest City / Town(s) | |
| Eastern Cape | Yes | Gatberg, Qunu | Maclear, Umthatha | |
| Free State | Yes | Seekoeivlei, Upper Wilge, Maluti and Golden Gate | Memel, Harrismith and Phuthaditjhaba | |
| Gauteng | Yes | Gauteng North | Pretoria | |
| KwaZulu- Natal | Yes | KZN Midlands, KZN North and Maputaland | Hluhluwe, Vryheid and Howick | |
| *Limpopo | Yes | Sekhukhune, Waterberg and Mahumani | Mogaladi, Lephalale and Baleni / Giyani | |
| *Mpumalanga | Yes | Lowveld Botanical Gardens and Draaikraal | Nelspruit and Dullstroom | |
| *Western Cape | Yes | Agulhas, Table Mountain National Park, West Coast and Peninsula | · · · | |

* Registered I&APs will be informed of the availability of the BAR and/or Rehabilitation Plans for public comment as soon as they are available.

I&APs should refer to the relevant province and wetland project, and provide their comments together with their name, contact details and an indication of any direct business, financial, personal or other interest which they have in the applications to the contact person indicated below.

Contact: Franci Gresse / Simamkele Ntsengwane (of Aurecon) by 12 December 2017

E-mail: franci.gresse@aurecongroup.com / Simamkele.Ntsengwane@aurecongroup.com

Tel: 021 526 9560, Fax: 021 526 9500, or Post: P.O. Box 494, Cape Town, 8000



Figure 1: Advertisement for the Working for Wetlands Programme 2017/2018 Planning Cycle




Figure 2: Proof of advertisement in Die Rapport (5 November 2017)

Site notices will be fixed on the farm boundaries of the affected wetland systems. The text of the site notice in English is included in

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS: WORKING FOR WETLANDS PROGRAMME GAUTENG PROVINCE

Proposal: The Working for Wetlands (WfWetlands) Programme intends to rehabilitate a number of degraded wetlands within South Africa. Wetland rehabilitation involves the construction of a variety of interventions that could include, for example, gabion and concrete structures, as well as soft options such as re-vegetation and/ or alien removal. The number, type, scale and location of each of these interventions vary according to the nature and magnitude of the problem and the state of the wetland (i.e. the receiving environment). Each Province has been considered separately and includes a number of Wetland Projects within which specific wetlands have been identified for rehabilitation. The following wetland rehabilitation projects are proposed in the **Gauteng** Province for the 2017/2018 planning cycle:

| PROJECT | WETLAND SYSTEM | NEAREST TOWN | LATITUDE (DDMMSS) | LONGITUDE (DDMMSS) |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Doornrandjies | | 25°53'39.57"S | 27°59'40.70"E |
| Gauteng North | Colbyn | Pretoria | 25°44'15.98"S | 28°15'33.28"E |
| | Rietvlei Dam | | 25°55'35.75"S | 28°18'15.22"E |

Legal Framework: Authorisations are required in terms of the National Environment Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA), as amended, as described below:

A. National Environment Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA), as amended: Rehabilitation proposals trigger the requirement for a Basic Assessment (BA) in terms of the 2014 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (Government Notice Regulations (GN R) 982) pursuant to NEMA. Aurecon South Africa (Pty) Ltd (Aurecon) has been appointed to undertake the BA processes to authorise wetland systems within the applicable provinces, as well as rehabilitation plans for each wetland project identified. These rehabilitation plans will have detailed information on the proposed interventions and will be used on site during implementation. Both the Basic Assessment Report and Rehabilitation Plans will be submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) as the competent authority. The Listed Activities that are relevant to each application in terms of the 2014 EIA Regulations are GN R 983: 12, and 48 (Listing Notice 1) and GN R 985: 14 and 23 (Listing Notice 3).

B. National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998 (NWA): In terms of Section 39 of the NWA, a General Authorisation (GA) has been granted for certain activities that are listed under the Act that usually require a Water Use Licence; as long as these activities are undertaken for wetland rehabilitation and the primary purpose of the rehabilitation is for conservation purposes (i.e. GN R1198 of 18 December 2009).

Opportunity to Participate: Notice is hereby given of a public participation process in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations (2014) and the NWA (1998). Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) are invited to register their interest for future correspondence to the people mentioned below and to submit comments on the above mentioned reports between **10 November – 12 December 2017**. I&APs should please refer to the province, and where possible the project, and provide any comments with their name, contact details and an indication of any direct business, financial, personal or other interests which they have in the applications. I&APs also have 30 days to comment on the proposed exemptions from the public participation process.

More information can be found in a 'context document' available for download from Aurecon's website (http://aurecongroup.com/en/public-participation.aspx).

Contact: Franci Gresse / Simamkele Ntsengwane (of Aurecon) by **12 December 2017.** E-mail: franci.gresse@aurecongroup.com / Simamkele.Ntsengwane@aurecongroup.com Tel: 021 526 9560, Fax: 021 526 9500, or Post: P.O. Box 494, Cape Town, 8000

Figure 3, and will be followed by proof of placement of the site notices in the sub-section thereafter. The site notice was of a size and content required by the relevant guidelines.

Please note that the proof of placement of posters will be included in the PPR for the Final Basic Assessment Report.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS: WORKING FOR WETLANDS PROGRAMME GAUTENG PROVINCE

Proposal: The Working for Wetlands (WfWetlands) Programme intends to rehabilitate a number of degraded wetlands within South Africa. Wetland rehabilitation involves the construction of a variety of interventions that could include, for example, gabion and concrete structures, as well as soft options such as re-vegetation and/ or alien removal. The number, type, scale and location of each of these interventions vary according to the nature and magnitude of the problem and the state of the wetland (i.e. the receiving environment). Each Province has been considered separately and includes a number of Wetland Projects within which specific wetlands have been identified for rehabilitation. The following wetland rehabilitation projects are proposed in the **Gauteng** Province for the 2017/2018 planning cycle:

| PROJECT | WETLAND SYSTEM | NEAREST TOWN | LATITUDE (DDMMSS) | LONGITUDE (DDMMSS) |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Doornrandjies | | 25°53'39.57"S | 27°59'40.70"E |
| Gauteng North | Colbyn | Pretoria | 25°44'15.98"S | 28°15'33.28"E |
| | Rietvlei Dam | | 25°55'35.75"S | 28°18'15.22"E |

Legal Framework: Authorisations are required in terms of the National Environment Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA), as amended, as described below:

A. National Environment Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA), as amended: Rehabilitation proposals trigger the requirement for a Basic Assessment (BA) in terms of the 2014 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (Government Notice Regulations (GN R) 982) pursuant to NEMA. Aurecon South Africa (Pty) Ltd (Aurecon) has been appointed to undertake the BA processes to authorise wetland systems within the applicable provinces, as well as rehabilitation plans for each wetland project identified. These rehabilitation plans will have detailed information on the proposed interventions and will be used on site during implementation. Both the Basic Assessment Report and Rehabilitation Plans will be submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) as the competent authority. The Listed Activities that are relevant to each application in terms of the 2014 EIA Regulations are GN R 983: 12, and 48 (Listing Notice 1) and GN R 985: 14 and 23 (Listing Notice 3).

B. National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998 (NWA): In terms of Section 39 of the NWA, a General Authorisation (GA) has been granted for certain activities that are listed under the Act that usually require a Water Use Licence; as long as these activities are undertaken for wetland rehabilitation and the primary purpose of the rehabilitation is for conservation purposes (i.e. GN R1198 of 18 December 2009).

Opportunity to Participate: Notice is hereby given of a public participation process in terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations (2014) and the NWA (1998). Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) are invited to register their interest for future correspondence to the people mentioned below and to submit comments on the above mentioned reports between **10 November – 12 December 2017**. I&APs should please refer to the province, and where possible the project, and provide any comments with their name, contact details and an indication of any direct business, financial, personal or other interests which they have in the applications. I&APs also have 30 days to comment on the proposed exemptions from the public participation process.

More information can be found in a 'context document' available for download from Aurecon's website (<u>http://aurecongroup.com/en/public-participation.aspx</u>).

Contact: Franci Gresse / Simamkele Ntsengwane (of Aurecon) by **12 December 2017.** E-mail: <u>franci.gresse@aurecongroup.com</u> / Simamkele.Ntsengwane@aurecongroup.com Tel: 021 526 9560, Fax: 021 526 9500, or **Post:** P.O. Box 494, Cape Town, 8000





4 BASIC ASSESSMENT PHASE CONSULTATION

The Basic Assessment Report (BAR) for the Gauteng was made available for a 30-day public comment period from 10 November to 12 December 2017. Registered I&APs identified in the pre-application phase were notified of this comment period via letters delivered by courier, post or email. The written notification provided to the I&APs is included in Appendix B2.

Hardcopies and electronic copies on CD were made available to selected organs of state and municipalities based on their internal requirements. Electronic copies were made available to I&APs receiving email via Dropbox, and the full report was uploaded to the Aurecon website: <u>http://www.aurecongroup.com/en/public-participation.aspx.</u> The proof of delivery and notification is included in Appendix B3.

5 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Following the 30-day public comment period, Table 2 will be updated with a summary of the comments received and responses provided by Aurecon, the applicant, or the wetland specialist (where appropriate). The original comments and responses will be included in Appendix B4.

| Table 2: I&AP | Comments and | Responses |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|
|---------------|--------------|-----------|

| No. | Date of comment, format of comment, name of organisation/ I&AP | Comment | Response Specialist | from | EAP/ | Applicant/ |
|-----|--|---------|------------------------|------|------|------------|
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |

6 WAY FORWARD

This PPR was compiled for the draft BAR and will be updated following the 30-day public comment period (10 November – 12 December 2017). This document forms an annexure to the draft BAR for Working for Wetlands Programme for the Gauteng which will be submitted to the DEA for review and decision making in December 2017.

This document should be seen as a live document which will be updated and circulated upon finalisation of the BAR.

7 Appendices

- Appendix 1 | DEA Meeting Minutes
- Appendix 2 | Landowner Agreement(s)
- Appendix 3 | Written Notification
- Appendix 4 | Proof of Delivery
- Appendix 5 | Comments and Responses

Appendix B1

DEA PRE-APPLICATION MEETING MINUTES

Aurecon South Africa (Pty) Ltd Reg No 1977/003711/07 Aurecon Centre 1 Century City Drive Waterford Precinct Century City Cape Town 7441 PO Box 494 Cape Town 8000 South Africa
 T
 +27 21 526 9400

 F
 +27 21 526 9500

 E
 capetown@aurecongroup.com

 W
 aurecongroup.com



Meeting Record

| Project number | 113223 | Meeting date | 30 October 2017 |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Project name | Working for Wetlands | Recorded by | ZP |
| Meeting/subject | Pre-application meeting with DEA | Total pages | 7 |

| Present | Apology | Copy | Name | Organisation | Contact details |
|---------|---------|------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|
| | V | V | Mr Danie Smit (DS) | DEA: IEA | 012 399 9394 dsmit@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Mr Vincent Chauke (VC) | DEA: Protected Areas | 012 399 9399 vchauke@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Mr Coenraad Agenbach (CA) | DEA: SID | 012 399 9403 cagenbach@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Ms Zamalanga Langa (ZL) | DEA: Protected Areas | 012 399 9389 zlanga@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Ms Nyiko Nkosi (NN) | DEA: Protected Areas | 012 399 9392 nnkosi@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Ms Dakato Notsholombo (DN) | DEA: SID | 012 399 8877 dnotsholombo@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Mrs Olivia Letlalo (OL) | DEA: SID | 012 399 8815 oletlalo@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Ms Samkelisiwe Dlamini (SD) | DEA: IEA | 012 399 9379 sdlamini@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Dr Farai Tererai (FT) | NRM: WfWetlands | 012 399 8970 ftererai@environment.gov.za |
| V | | V | Ms Franci Gresse (FG) | Aurecon | 021 526 6022 franci.gresse@aurecongroup.com |
| V | V | | Ms Zoë Palmer (ZP) | Aurecon | 021 526 6069 zoe.palmer@aurecongroup.com |

| ltem | Торіс | Recommended action | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| 1 | Welcome and introductions NN welcomed everyone to the meeting. She highlighted that the 2017 environmental authorisation (EA processes for Working for Wetlands (WfWetlands) would be assessed by all directorates within the Chief Directorate of Integrated Environmental Authorisations, the Strategic Infrastructure Developmen (SID) directorate, Public Sector, Directorate of Integrated Permitting System as well as the Protected Areas (PA) directorate as part of the work-sharing initiative within DEA. NN requested that each attendee introduce themselves and indicate their department. | | |
| FG began the presentation thanking DEA for the opportunity have been involved in the project since 2010, and VC and Z project has a wealth of shared experiences on the process. | | 0 0 | |
| 3 | FG provided an outline of the agenda for the meeting and key definitions that would be used through the process. | | |
| 4 | FG provided an overview of the WfWetlands Process by explaining the three phases according to w wetlands are identified, prioritised and rehabilitated. | | |

| ltem | Торіс | Recommended action |
|------|---|---|
| | Phase 1 : Areas for potential rehabilitation are identified by the Coordinator (PC) ¹ that should be taken forward as priority areas assessment, the developed knowledge from previous planning cy stakeholders such as the local wetland forum, conservation bod undertaken to validate the findings, and get a better understate problems, etc. Landowner engagement is an important part of the | . This process is guided by a desktop ycles, as well as engagement with key ies, etc. A ground-truthing exercise is anding of the drivers of the wetland |
| | Phase 2: The priority areas from Phase 1 are then visited by a mucconsisting of an Engineer, a Wetland Specialist, an environment the PC to plan specific interventions to rehabilitate the identifie other stakeholders (such as Implementing Entities, conservation the planning visit to share their local knowledge of the systems a | al assessment practitioner (EAP) and d wetland problems. In some cases, bodies, park managers, etc.) join for |
| | During the planning process ² the wetland specialist typically ex- objective should be realised by the intervention. The engineer options that could be considered which is then debated by the intervention for the specific site. The EAP further ensures that the the necessary permitting and licensing procedures, and provides for implementation. | r provides rehabilitation intervention team to select the most appropriate he planning is undertaken in line with |
| | Key deliverables in this phase include the following, more detail f Landowner Agreements Provincial Basic Assessment Report (BAR) (Item 8) National Environmental Management Programme (EMF Project Specific Wetland Status Quo Report Project Specific Rehabilitation Plan | |
| | Phase 3: Following the receipt of the necessary approvals, the (usually the year after the planning site visit, but sometimes a few Project Implementation Plans (PIPs) are compiled and ap interventions that will be used, and costed for. Final construction off by the Engineer, and the interventions will be set out with the construction activities will commence, and will be audited by WfW off by the Engineer. | years later). To get to implementation, proved which identify the specific n drawings will be drafted and signed Implementing Entities. Following this, |
| 5 | During the above discussion, FG highlighted that WfWetlands purpose of the programme is to rehabilitate damaged wetlands. <i>A</i> supporting and efficient process so that as much funds as possi and materials for the interventions. | As such, the planning is aimed to be a |
| 6 | EMPr The construction environmental management plan (CEMP) was the Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA) Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA) Environmental management promulgated and has been updated regularly undergoing significant improvement to make the document more | rironmental Impact Assessment (EIA) y since then. This year the EMPr is |
| | General environmental management measures are included in th mitigation hierarchy. Furthermore, key roles and responsibilities use this document in addition to the Rehabilitation Plan which w measures worth implementing. | are fully detailed. The purpose is to |

¹ The PC is an Assistant Director for Wetland Projects from the DEA, who is responsible for the management of the WfWetlands Programme within a specific province.

² Please note that this description is highly simplified for the purpose of these minutes. Kindly refer to the Context Document in Appendix 1 which provides more detail.

| ltem | Торіс | Recommended action | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 7 | Legislation FG highlighted that the WfWetlands Programme triggers the following legislation: NEMA (submission of application for EA and BAR) NWA (registration of interventions for GA under Section 21) NHRA (SAHRA included as a commenting authority, only some projects require heritage processes and where necessary a heritage consultant will be appointed to undertake an HIA). NEMA – BAR and Rehab Plans | | | | |
| | Prior to 2014, each proposed intervention (up to 800 per year) However, the nature of the WfWetlands Programme made this ve in which the interventions are proposed are dynamic and the rec rapidly between planning and implementation which may requir metres (making the authorised coordinate irrelevant) or may nee the EA, would add months delaying the implementation thereof. Since 2014, WfWetlands has requested the DEA to authorise wet | ry cumbersome. The wetland systems beiving environment can often change be an intervention to be moved a few ed to be changed entirely. To amend land systems ³ under the BAR process | | | |
| | using high-level information, with the condition that a Rehabilitation Plan must be approved by the DEA before implementation commences. | | | | |
| | Question (Q) (NN): Will the EMPr be included in the BAR? | | | | |
| 8 | Answer (A) (FG): Yes. We try to provide as much information as possible to help the Department make an informed decision. However, this EMPr will be general and site-specific measures will only be included in the applicable rehabilitation plan. | | | | |
| | Q (NN): Will the rehabilitation plans then come after the BAR? | | | | |
| | A (FG): Yes. Often due to time constraints, we will submit them at the same time. But if a wetland system is authorised in year one, then we submit a rehabilitation plan for a wetland (also referred to as a hydro-geomorphic unit (HGM unit)) within that system for year one, two, three etc. to the Department for approval (as a condition of the Environmental Authorisation). | | | | |
| | Comment (CA): For the last 15 years, there have been examples on other projects where IEM provided classes/ categories of interventions so you don't have to reinvent the wheel every time. | | | | |
| | Q (CA): How do you assess alternatives? | | | | |
| | A (FG): We don't explicitly assess the impact of alternatives because of the iterative process that is undertaken to ensure the selected intervention is the best suited intervention for the site. | | | | |
| | Comment (CA): Rather than keeping quiet about alternatives, please acknowledge that you've addressed it through your process. That way people who are not familiar with the project won't make assumptions (i.e. that the information hasn't been considered). | | | | |
| | FG displayed the new BAR template on the screen and circu perusal. | lated a hard copy for the attendees' | | | |
| 9 | FG highlighted that a table is provided in the front of the report to serve as a checklist that meets the requirements of Appendix 1 of the EIA regulations. This enables the reader to locate the necessary information in the report. | | | | |
| | A BAR is written at a provincial level, which will include one to four projects that will be further detailed in the applicable rehabilitation plans. | | | | |

³ A wetland system consists of various hydro-geomorphic (HGM) units which are interlinked with each other. These HGM-units are defined based on their "geomorphic setting (e.g. hillslope or valley-bottom; whether drainage is open or closed), water source (surface water dominated or sub-surface water dominated) and pattern of water flow through the wetland unit (diffusely or channelled)" (Source: WET-Health series). (Please refer to the end of this document for an illustration).

| ltem | Торіс | Recommended action |
|------|--|---|
| | The following list provides the outline of the proposed BAR for 2 key suggestions to improve the template. | 017. The column alongside highlights |
| | 1. Introduction and background Section 1 provides a description of the projects within the province, and highlights the current wetland systems included in the application for EA. Key wetland information such as name, central coordinate and property description is included here. | Include a more transparent introduction to the project and phases to assist readers that are unfamiliar with the Programme. For example, an infographic that guides |
| | Q (NN): Are the wetlands in the same area for the projects? | the reader to the relevant sections. |
| | A (FG): Yes. A project area is an envelope of some wetland systems in proximity to one another. During implementation, a contractor is appointed per project so the wetlands need to be close to one another to reduce unnecessary costs (such as travel). | Highlight specialist input and acknowledge clearly why a specialist report does not accompany the BAR. |
| | 2. Legal and planning context A table (similar to what was included in the old BAR form) is included and provides an overview of the legal and policy frameworks that are considered for this project. | (NN) Under Listing Notice 3, please address the sub-activities in your description. E.g. the x project is |
| | The National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998) (NWA) has provided legislation for WfWetlands that enables it to register each intervention for general authorisation (GA) rather than having to undertake a water use license process. The GA requirements are based on the contents of the rehabilitation plans and is therefore not available at the time of the BAR. | located within the xx Protected Area. |
| | With regard to the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) (NHRA), the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) is a registered interested and affected party (I&AP) and reports are uploaded on the SAHRIS for consideration, although comments are rarely received. In some projects, where heritage concerns arise, heritage specialists will be appointed to assist with assessment of potential impacts (e.g. MPU and WC). | |
| | Listed activities are specific to the years' activities but are broad as the detailed work might not have yet been planned. Activities with exclusions for maintenance management plans are not included in the BAR, but are highlighted in the Rehab Plan. Expansion activities are included in case interventions need to be adjusted, e.g. if gabion baskets break, or something upstream affects the planned intervention. | |
| | 3. Methodology | |
| | This section provides a description on the approach to the project, detailing the three phases mentioned above in Row 4. | |
| | It describes the impact assessment methodology that is applied. | |
| | The assumptions and limitations are also addressed. There are a few gaps in knowledge due to the planning process, but these are generally addressed during the Phase 2 planning process. | |
| | 4. Public participation WfWetlands builds on existing I&AP databases every year, and do not remove I&APs unless they specifically request to be | |

| ltem | Торіс | Recommended action |
|------|--|---|
| | removed. We try to get all adjacent landowners, but for big systems it can be challenging. We do however also advertise in national newspapers and place site notices on wetland boundary fences. | |
| | Most of the comments received on reports today include "Thank you for the good work", "Is there a way that I can be involved" (collaboration or job seeker), and "I know of a wetland that needs rehabilitation". | |
| | To date, no appeals have been lodged against the Programme. | |
| | In terms of circulating reports for public comment, CDs with electronic copies are circulated as far as possible to limit printing (costs can exceed R50,000 with limited comments received). | |
| | 5. Activity description This section provides an overview of the types of interventions that might be considered for Phase 2 planning. Illustrations of these are included in Appendix A (Engineering Booklet). | |
| | The need and desirability and alternatives are also detailed in this section. | |
| | 6. Baseline description This section provides the baseline description of the wetland systems including the biophysical, cultural and heritage, and socio-economic environment. | The section headings should be updated as the biophysical description currently looks like it is missing. |
| | The biophysical description is currently very high level and more information is provided in detail in the rehabilitation plans. It does however provide information on the general problems occurring within the wetland system and the rehabilitation objectives WfWetlands would like to achieve. | This must contain enough information that will enable the case officer to make an informed decision as a stand-alone report. |
| | The cultural and heritage environment is described very generally, but is broadened with detail where more information is applicable (e.g. Mpumalanga and Western Cape). | |
| | The socio-economic environment provides high-level baseline based on StatsSA statistics, and then highlights the potential benefits created by the programme. | |
| | 7. Impact assessment Construction and operational impacts are detailed in tables with impact descriptions, assessment of impacts, and proposed mitigation measures. Mitigation measures are included in EMPr which will accompany the BARs and rehabilitation plans. Each assessment includes the no-go alternative. | |
| | 8. Conclusion and way forward Concluding information and summary of assessment. Level of confidence etc. | |
| 10 | Q & A on report <i>Q</i> (<i>NN</i>): What type of specialist studies do you do for the pro | oject? |
| 10 | A (FG): We get input into the BAR from the wetland specialist planning, but this report is only included in the Rehab Plan. If a | |

| ltem | Topic Recommended action | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | heritage environment, then a heritage specialist will be appointed to undertake a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA). | | | | |
| | Comment (NN): Please ensure that this is properly explained in the report. Otherwise we may assume that the EAP is the only party providing input. | | | | |
| | Q (SD): As a new person to the project, the overview of the project is not clear. Could this be improved? | | | | |
| | A (FG): We could highlight more information in the introduction and provide a diagram that provides a better overview. | | | | |
| | Q (DN): How was the application previously assessed, as it might be different with new case- officers? | | | | |
| | Q (CA): What was in the authorisation? Can we discuss the authorisation conditions internally and set up a meeting to plan way forward? | | | | |
| | DEA representatives agreed to this approach. | | | | |
| | Comment (NN): Because this project is different, the reminders must occur upfront in the report describing the process undertaken. | | | | |
| | describing the process undertaken. Q (NN): For a maintenance management plan (i.e. the rehabilitation plan) do you include the excluded listed activities there? | | | | |
| | A (FG): No, but we highlight the impacts from those sorts of activities, so that the information is provided. But they are not explicitly assessed in the rehabilitation plans. | | | | |
| | But they are not explicitly assessed in the rehabilitation plans. <i>Q</i> (<i>CA</i>): Essentially we need to have enough information to make an informed decision. Have there been any appeals? | | | | |
| | A (FG, VC, FT): No appeals since we started. | | | | |
| | Q (CA): Without specialist input into your BAR, how are you going to know about an endangered fish species, for example, in an aquatic ecosystem? How are you going to assess that impact? | | | | |
| | A (FG): Where information is available on the occurrence of endangered species, the relevant specialists are contacted and involved during the planning phase. This information is often also obtained during Phase 1 when the PCs present the Phase 1 information to Wetland Forums and/or other conservation bodies. | | | | |
| | We also recently had a situation in Agulhas with a newly described fish species (red fin) that was found on site by the Implementing Entity during the construction phase. CapeNature was contacted as soon as the fish were spotted to provide guidance, and mitigation measures were implemented. | | | | |
| 11 | FG offered for the new case officers to join the planning team on the Phase 2 planning site visit for Nylsvley in the next few weeks. CA agreed that it would be good to see the implemented interventions and get an idea of the planning process. The invitation should be extended to the Chief Director and a range of case officers. | | | | |
| | FG added that a "mock" planning session could also be arranged at a wetland located closer, like Colbyn. This wetland also includes existing intervention structures that could be shown to the Department. | | | | |
| 12 | Amendment process FG raised a concern with the current amendment process. The process is currently cumbersome and expensive, which directly removes money that could be spent on rehabilitating wetlands. This process also requires a 30-day public comment period which also has time implications. | | | | |

| Item | Торіс | Recommended action | | |
|------|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | OL asked if this was something that could be addressed through changed due to an emergency? CA indicated that lives need section to be applicable. | - | | |
| | CA stated that legal requirements cannot be avoided. Aurecon could send a well-motivated email to the Department to enquire if there are other methods available. For example, another method could be to reconsider what boundaries/ parameters are authorised from the beginning of the process. | | | |
| | Q (CA): Do you pay application fees for EIA processes? | | | |
| | A (FG): No, WfWetlands is exempt as it is a government project. | | | |
| 13 | NN closed the meeting shortly after 12h15. | | | |




Figure 1.3: Two wetlands, the first comprising three different hydro-geomorphic units and the second comprising two units (see Table 1.2 for definitions of the HGM unit types)

Figure 1 | Illustration of two wetlands/ wetland systems comprising different HGM units (Source: WET-Health series)

WORKING FOR WETLANDS: CONTEXT DOCUMENT

1. Introduction

Working for Wetlands (WfWetlands) is a government programme managed by the Natural Resource Management Programme (NRMP) of the Department of Environmental Affairs, and is a joint initiative with the Departments of Water and Sanitation (DWS), and Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF). In this way the programme is an expression of the overlapping wetland-related mandates of the three parent departments, and besides giving effect to a range of policy objectives, it also honours South Africa's commitments under several international agreements, especially the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands.

The programme is mandated to protect pristine wetlands, promote their wise-use and rehabilitate those that are damaged throughout South Africa, with an emphasis on complying with the principles of the Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) and using only local Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises (SMMEs). The EPWP seeks to draw significant numbers of unemployed people into the productive sector of the economy, gaining skills while they work and increasing their capacity to earn an income.

2. Wetlands and their importance

Once considered valueless wastelands that needed to be drained or converted to more useful land use purposes, wetlands are now seen in an entirely different light. Today wetlands are more commonly perceived as natural assets and natural infrastructure able to provide a range of products, functions and services free of charge.

That which actually constitutes a wetland is often not fully understood. Common misconceptions have been that wetlands must be wet, must have a river running through them, or must always be situated in low-lying areas. The definition of a wetland is much broader and more textured: they are characterised more by soil properties and flora than by an abundance of water.

The National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998 defines a wetland as:

"land which is transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface or the land is periodically covered with shallow water, and which land in normal circumstances supports or would support vegetation typically adapted to life in saturated soil".

The Ramsar Convention defines wetlands as:

"areas of marsh, fen, peatland or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt, including areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed 6m" (Article 1, Ramsar Convention on Wetlands. 1971).

Wetlands can therefore be seasonal and may experience regular dry spells (sometimes even staying dry for up to several years), or they can be frequently or permanently wet. Wetlands can occur in a variety of locations across the landscape (**Plate A**), and may even occur at the top of a hill, nowhere near a river. A pan, for example, is a wetland which forms in a depression. Wetlands also come in many sizes; they can be as small as a few square metres (e.g. at a low point along the side of a road) or cover a significant portion of a country (e.g. the Okavango Delta).



Plate A: A large, seasonal wetland identifiable by the characteristic flora. This wetland contained no surface water at the time of the photograph

Page ∤a

Wetland ecosystems provide a range of ecological and social services which benefit people, society and the economy at large:

- Improving the ecological health of an ecosystem by performing many functions that include flood control, water purification, sediment and nutrient retention and export, recharge of groundwater, as well as acting as vital habitats for diverse plant and animal species.
- Providing ecological infrastructure replacing the need for municipal infrastructure by providing the same or better benefit at a fraction of the cost, for example:
 - The movement of water in the landscape is slowed down by wetlands, which offers the dual benefit of flood control as well as a means of purification.
 - The slow movement of water allows heavier impurities to settle and phreatic vegetation and microbacteria the opportunity to remove pollutants and nutrients.
- Functioning as valuable open spaces and create recreational opportunities for people that include hiking along wetlands, fishing, boating, and bird-watching.



• Having cultural and spiritual significance for the communities living nearby. Commercially, products such as reeds and peat are also harvested from wetlands (**Plate B**).

Plate B: Commercial products made by locals from reeds harvested from wetlands

Wetlands are thus considered to be critically important ecosystems as they provide both direct and indirect benefits to the environment and society.

3. Wetland degradation

It has been estimated that originally over 10% of the Republic of South Africa (RSA) was covered by wetlands. However, this figure decreases significantly every year owing to unsustainable land-use practices. It is estimated that more than 50% of South Africa's wetlands have been destroyed through drainage of wetlands for crops and pastures, poorly managed burning regimes, overgrazing, disturbances to wetland soils, vegetation clearing as well as industrial and urban development (including mining activities).

Although wetlands are high-value ecosystems that make up only a small fraction of the country, they rank among the most threatened ecosystems in South Africa. According to a recent Council of Scientific Research (CSIR) study (Nel and Driver, 2012), South Africa's remaining wetlands were identified as the most threatened of all South Africa's ecosystems, with 48% of wetland ecosystem types being critically endangered, 12% endangered and 5% vulnerable. Only 11% of wetland ecosystem types are well protected, with 71% not protected at all.

The remaining wetland systems suffer from severe erosion and sedimentation, undesirable plant species and aquatic fauna infestations, unsustainable exploitation, artificial drainage and damming, and pollution. The continued degradation of wetlands will impact on biodiversity, ecological function, and the provision of ecosystem services with subsequent impacts on livelihoods and economic activity, as well as health and wellbeing of communities. In the absence of functional wetlands, the carbon cycle, the nutrient cycle and the water cycle would be significantly altered, mostly detrimentally.

Page / b

Wetland conservation and rehabilitation should be at the heart of water management. It is necessary to prioritise South Africa's remaining wetlands such that those that offer valuable ecosystem services and are least impacted by current pressures or threats are offered immediate attention to avoid further loss, conversion or degradation.

4. The Working for Wetlands Programme

South Africa is a dry country, but is endowed with exceptionally rich biodiversity. The nation has a pressing reason to value the water-related services that wetlands provide. It is estimated that by 2025, South Africa will be one of fourteen African countries classified as "*subject to water scarcity*" (UNESCO, 2000). The conservation of wetlands is fundamental to the sustainable management of water quality and quantity, and wetland rehabilitation is therefore essential to conserving water resources in South Africa.

The guiding principles of the National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998, recognise the need to protect water resources. In responding to the challenge of stemming the loss of wetlands and maintaining and enhancing the benefits they provide, government has recognised that, in order to be truly effective, strategies for wetland conservation need to include a combination of proactive measures for maintaining healthy wetlands, together with interventions for rehabilitating those that have been degraded. These objectives are currently being expressed in a coordinated and innovative way through the WfWetlands Programme.

Working for Wetlands pursues its mandate of wetland protection, wise use and rehabilitation in a manner that maximises employment creation, supports small emerging businesses, and transfers skills amongst vulnerable and marginalised groups. In the 13 years since 2004, the WfWetlands Programme has invested just under R1 billion in wetland rehabilitation and has been involved in over 1,300 wetlands, thereby improving or securing the health of over 70 000 hectares of wetland environment. The WfWetlands Programme has a current budget of just over R 130 million, of which approximately 35% is allocated directly to paying wages. Being part of the EPWP, the WfWetlands Programme has created more than 27 000 jobs and over 3 million person-days of paid work. The local teams are made up of a minimum of 55% women, 55% youth and 2% disabled persons.

Wetlands are not easy ecosystems to map at a broad scale as they are numerous, often small and difficult to recognise and delineate on remotely sensed imagery such as satellite photos. The WfWetlands Programme houses the National Wetlands Inventory Project (NWI) which aims to provide clarity on the extent, distribution and condition of South Africa's wetlands. The project clarifies how many and which rivers and wetlands have to be maintained in a natural condition to sustain economic and social development, while still conserving South Africa's freshwater biodiversity.

The National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA) has used the NWI data to produce the most comprehensive national wetland map to date, called the NFEPA Atlas. This atlas enables the planning of wetland rehabilitation on a catchment scale.

Other activities that form part of the WfWetlands Programme include:

- Raising awareness of wetlands among workers, landowners and the general public; and
- Providing adult basic education and training, and technical skills transfer (in line with the emphasis of the EPWP on training, the WfWetlands Programme has provided 250,000 days of training in vocation and life skills).

5. Rehabilitation interventions

The successful rehabilitation of a wetland requires that the cause of damage or degradation is addressed, and that the natural flow patterns of the wetland system are re-established (flow is encouraged to disperse rather than to concentrate). Approximately 800 interventions are implemented every year in the WfWetlands Programme. The key purposes of implementing interventions include:

- Restoration of hydrological integrity (e.g. raising the general water table or redistributing the water across the wetland area);
- Recreation of wetland habitat towards the conservation of biodiversity; and
- Job creation and social upliftment.

Typical activities undertaken within the projects include:

 Plugging artificial drainage channels created by development or historical agricultural practices to drain wetland areas for other land use purposes;

Page / c

- Constructing structures (gabions, berms, weirs) to divert or redistribute water to more natural flow paths, or to prevent erosion by unnatural flow rates that have resulted from unsustainable land use practices or development; and
- Removing invasive alien or undesirable plant species from wetlands and their immediate catchments (in conjunction with the Working for Water initiative).

Methods of wetland rehabilitation may include hard engineering interventions such as:

- Earth berms or gabion systems to block artificial channels that drain water from or divert polluted water to the wetland;
- Concrete and gabion weirs to act as settling ponds, to reduce flow velocity or to re-disperse water across former wetland areas thereby re-establishing natural flow paths;
- Earth or gabion structure plugs to raise channel floors and reduce water velocity;
- Concrete or gabion structures to stabilise head-cut or other erosion and prevent gullies;
- Concrete and/or reno mattress strips as road crossings to address channels and erosion in wetlands from vehicles; and
- Gabion structures (mattresses, blankets or baskets) to provide a platform for the growth of desired wetland vegetation.

Soft engineering interventions also offer successful rehabilitation methods, and the following are often used together with the hard engineering interventions:

- The use of biodegradable or natural soil retention systems such as eco-logs, Macmat-R plant plugs, grass or hay bales, and brush-packing techniques;
- The re-vegetation of stabilised areas with appropriate wetland and riparian plant species;
- Alien invasive plant clearing, which is an important part of wetland rehabilitation (this is supported by the Working for Water Programme).
- The fencing off of sensitive areas within the wetland to keep grazers out and to allow for the re-establishment of vegetation;
- In some instances, the use of appropriate fire management and burning regimes. The removal of undesirable plant and animal species; and
- In some wetlands, it may be possible to involve the community to develop a management plan for wise use within a wetland. This can involve capacity building through educating and training the community members who would monitor the progress. A plan could involve measures such as rotational grazing with long term benefits for rangeland quality.

6. Programme, projects and phases

In order to manage the **WfWetlands Programme**, wetlands have been grouped into "projects", and each **Wetland Project** encompasses several smaller wetland systems which are each divided into smaller, more manageable and homogenous wetland units. A Wetland Project may be located within one or more quaternary catchments within a Province. The WfWetlands Programme is currently managing 37 Wetland Projects countrywide, and rehabilitation activities range from stabilising degradation to the more ambitious restoration of wetlands to their original conditions.

Each Wetland Project is managed in three phases (as shown in the flow diagram in **Plate C**) over a two-year cycle. The first two phases straddle the first year of the cycle and involve planning, identification, design and authorisation of interventions. The third phase is implementation, which takes place during the second year.

In order to undertake these three phases, a collaborative team has been established as follows. The **Programme Team** currently comprises two subdirectories: a) Implementation and After Care and b) Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation. The Assistant Directors for Wetlands Programmes (ASDs)¹ report to the Implementation and After Care Deputy Director and are responsible for the identification and implementation of projects in their regions. The Programme Team is further supported by a small team that fulfil various roles such as Geographical Information Systems (GIS) and training. Independent Design Engineers and Environmental Assessment Practitioners (EAPs) are appointed to undertake the

planning, design and authorisation components of the project. The project team is assisted by a number of wetland specialists who provide scientific insight into the operation of wetlands and bring expert and often local knowledge to the project teams. They are also assisted by the landowners and implementers who have valuable local knowledge of these wetlands.

Page∤e

The first phase is the identification of suitable wetlands which require intervention. The purpose of Phase 1 and the associated reporting is to identify:

- Priority catchments and associated wetlands/ sites within which rehabilitation work needs to be undertaken; and
- Key stakeholders who will provide meaningful input into the planning phases and wetland selection processes, and who will review and comment on the rehabilitation proposals.

Phase 1 commences with a catchment and wetland prioritisation process for every province. The Wetland Specialist responsible for a particular province undertakes a desktop study to determine the most suitable wetlands for the WfWetlands rehabilitation efforts. The involvement of Provincial Wetland Forums and other key stakeholders is a critical component of the wetland identification processes since these stakeholders are representative of diverse groups with shared interests (e.g. from government institutions to amateur ecological enthusiasts). This phase also involves initial communication with local land-owners and other Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) to gauge the social benefits of the work. Aerial surveys of the areas in question may be undertaken, as well as limited fieldwork investigations or site visits to confirm the inclusion of certain wetland projects or units. Once wetlands have been prioritised and agreed on by the various parties, specific rehabilitation objectives are determined for each wetland following a rapid wetland assessment undertaken by the Wetland Specialist.

Phase 2 requires site visits attended by the fieldwork team comprising a Wetland Specialist, a Design Engineer, an EAP, and an ASD. Other interested stakeholders or authorities, landowners and in some instances the Implementing Agents (IAs) may also attend the site visits. This allows for a highly collaborative approach, as options are discussed by experts from different scientific disciplines, as well as local inhabitants with deep anecdotal knowledge. While on site, rehabilitation opportunities are investigated. The details of the proposed interventions are discussed, some survey work is undertaken by the engineers, and Global Positioning System (GPS) coordinates and digital photographs are taken for record purposes. Furthermore, appropriate dimensions of the locations are recorded in order to design and calculate quantities for the interventions. At the end of the site visit the rehabilitation objectives together with the location layout of the proposed interventions are agreed upon by the project team.

During Phase 2, monitoring systems are put in place to support the continuous evaluation of the interventions. The systems monitor both the environmental and social benefits of the interventions. As part of the Phase 2 site visit, a maintenance inventory of any existing interventions that are damaged and/or failing and thus requiring maintenance is compiled by the ASD, in consultation with the Design Engineer.

Based on certain criteria and data measurements (water volumes, flow rates, and soil types); the availability of materials such as rock; labour intensive targets; maintenance requirements etc., the interventions are then designed. Bills of quantity are calculated for the designs and cost estimates made. Maintenance requirements for existing interventions in the assessed wetlands are similarly detailed and the costs calculated. The Design Engineer also reviews and, if necessary, adjusts any previously planned interventions that are included into the historical Rehabilitation Plans.

Phase 2 also comprises a reporting component where Rehabilitation Plans are prepared for each Wetland Project. The Rehabilitation Plans include details of each intervention to be implemented, preliminary construction drawings and all necessary documentation required by applicable legislation. The Rehabilitation Plans are reviewed by various government departments, stakeholders and the general public before a specific subset of interventions are selected for implementation.

Landowner consent is an important component of each phase in each Wetland Project. The flow diagram, **Plate C**, demonstrates the point at which various consent forms must be approved via signature from the directly affected landowner. The ASDs are responsible for undertaking the necessary landowner engagement and for ensuring that the requisite landowner consent forms required as part of Phase 1 and 2 of this project are signed.

These include:

- WW(0): Standard operating procedure,
- WW(1): Wetland survey and Inspection consent,
- WW(2): Terms and Conditions for carrying out wetland rehabilitation,
- WW(3): Wetland Rehabilitation Activities Consent,
- WW(4): Property Inspection Prior to Wetland Rehabilitation, and
- WW(5): Notification of Completion of Rehabilitation.

Without these signed consent forms the WfWetlands Programme will not be able to implement rehabilitation interventions on the affected property.

Page / f

Phase 3 requires that certain Environmental Authorisations are obtained before work can commence in the wetlands (please see subsequent sections of this document for detail on Environmental Authorisations). Upon approval of the wetland Rehabilitation Plans by DEA, the work detailed for the project will be implemented within a year with on-going monitoring being undertaken thereafter. The Rehabilitation Plans are considered to be the primary working document for the implementation of the project via the construction/ undertaking of interventions² listed in the Plan.

It is typically at this point in the process when the final construction drawings are issued to the IAs. IAs are currently employed in the WfWetlands Programme and are responsible for employing contractors and their teams (workers) to construct the interventions detailed in each of the Rehabilitation Plans. For all interventions that are based on engineering designs (typically hard engineered interventions), the Design Engineer is required to visit the site before construction commences to ensure that the original design is still appropriate in the dynamic and ever-changing wetland system. The Design Engineer will assist the IAs in pegging and setting-out interventions. The setting-out activities often coincide with the Phase 1 activities for the next planning cycle. Phase 3 concludes with the construction of the interventions, but there is an on-going monitoring and auditing process that ensures the quality of interventions, the rectification of any problems, and the feedback to the design team regarding lessons learnt.

² This could include soft options such as alien clearing or eco-logs, as well as hard structures for example weirs.

Page ∤ g




Plate C: The Working for Wetlands planning process (Phase 1 to Phase 3)

Rehabilitation work within floodplain systems

Based on lessons learnt and project team discussions held during the National Prioritisation workshop in November 2010 the WfWetlands Programme took an in-principle decision regarding work within floodplain systems.

Recognising the ecosystem services provided by floodplain wetlands and the extent to which they have been transformed, WfWetlands do not intend to stop undertaking rehabilitation work in floodplains entirely. Instead, WfWetlands propose to adopt an approach to the rehabilitation of floodplain areas that takes into account the following guiding principles:

- a) As a general rule, avoid constructing hard interventions within an active floodplain channel; and rather
- b) Explore rehabilitation opportunities on the floodplain surface using smaller (possibly more) softer engineering options outside of the main channel.

When rehabilitation within a floodplain setting is being contemplated, it will be necessary to allocate additional planning resources, including the necessary specialist expertise towards ensuring an adequate understanding of the system and appropriate design of the interventions.

7. Environmental legislation

One of the core purposes of the WfWetlands Programme is the preservation of South Africa's valuable wetland systems through rehabilitation and restoration.

South Africa has rigorous and comprehensive environmental legislation aimed at preventing degradation of the environment, including damage to wetland systems. The following legislation is of relevance:

- The National Environmental Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA), as amended
- The National Water Act, No.36 of 1998 (NWA)
- The National Heritage Resources Act, No. 25 of 1999 (NHRA)

Development proposals within or near any wetland system are subject to thorough bio-physical and socio-economic assessment as mandatory processes of related legislation. These processes are required to prevent degradation of the environment and to ensure sustainable and environmentally conscientious development.

The WfWetlands Programme requires that both hard and soft interventions are implemented in the wetland system, and it is the activities associated with the construction of these interventions that triggers requirements for various authorisations, licenses or permits. However, it is important to note that the very objective of the WfWetlands Programme is to improve both environmental and social circumstances. The WfWetlands Programme gives effect to a range of policy objectives of environmental legislation, and also honours South Africa's commitments under several international agreements, especially the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands.

Memorandum of Understanding for Working for Wetlands Programme

A Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) has been entered into between DEA, DAFF and DWS for the WfWetlands Programme. Through co-operative governance and partnerships, this MoU aims to streamline the authorisation processes required by the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998), the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998), and the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) to facilitate efficient processing of applications for authorisation of wetland rehabilitation activities.

Table A: List of applicable legislation

| Title of legislation, policy or guideline | Administering authority | Date |
|--|---|----------------|
| The Constitution of South Africa, Act No.108 of 1996 | National Government | 1996 |
| National Environmental Management Act, No.107 of 1998 | Department of Environmental Affairs | 1998 |
| The National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998 | Department of Water and Sanitation | 1998 |
| Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, No. 43 of 1983 | Department of Agriculture, Forestry & Fisheries | 1983 |
| National Heritage Resources Act, No. 25 of 1999 | National Heritage Resources Agency | 1999 |
| World Heritage Conventions Act, No. 49 of 1999 | Department of Environmental Affairs | 1999 |
| The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, No. 10 of 2004 | Department of Environmental Affairs | 2004 |
| National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, No. 57 of 2003 | Department of Environmental Affairs | 2003 |
| The Mountain Catchments Areas Act, No. 63 of 1970 | Department of Water and Sanitation | 1970 |
| EIA Guideline Series, in particular: Guideline 5 - Companion to the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2010 (DEA, October 2012) Guideline 7 - Public Participation in the EIA process, 2012 (DEA, October 2012) Guideline 9 - Guideline on Need and Desirability, 2010 (DEA, October 2014) DEA&DP. 2013. Guideline on Public Participation (DEA&DP, March 2013). DEA&DP. 2013. Guideline on Alternatives (DEA&DP, March 2013). | Department of Environmental Affairs | 2012 - 2014 |
| International Conventions, in particular: The Ramsar Convention Convention on Biological Diversity United Nations Conventions to Combat Desertification New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD) The World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) | International Conventions | N/A |

Of particular relevance in **Table A** is the following legislation and the WfWetlands Programme has put systems in place to achieve compliance:

- The National Environmental Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (NEMA), as amended
 - In terms of the 2014 Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations pursuant to the NEMA, certain activities that may have a detrimental impact on the environment (termed Listed Activities) require an Environmental Authorisation (EA) from the DEA. The implementation of interventions will trigger NEMA Listing Notices 1 and 3 (G.N. R983 and G.N R985 as amended by R327 and R324 respectively). In order to meet the requirements of these Regulations, it is necessary to undertake a Basic Assessment (BA) Process and apply for an EA. This was previously undertaken on an annual basis per Province for each individual wetland unit. However as of 2014, applications were submitted (per Province) for wetland systems, allowing WfWetlands to undertake planning in subsequent years within these wetlands without having to undertake a BA process. The rehabilitation plans still however require approval from the competent authority (i.e. DEA).
 - Basic Assessment Reports (BARs) will be prepared for each Province where work is proposed by the WfWetlands Programme. These BARs will present all Wetland Projects that are proposed in a particular province, together with information regarding the quaternary catchments and the wetlands that have been prioritised for the next few planning cycles (anywhere from one to three planning cycles depending

on the information gained through the Catchment Prioritisation Process). The EA's will be inclusive of all Listed Activities that may be triggered and will essentially authorise any typical wetland rehabilitation activities required during the WfWetlands Programme implementation phase. Note that certain Listed Activities have been excluded from the Basic Assessment as they fall under the ambit of a 'maintenance management plan' in the form of the Rehabilitation Plan for each project and are therefore subject to exclusion. The impacts thereof have however been considered within the respective Rehabilitation Plans.

- A condition of the EAs is that **Rehabilitation Plans** will be prepared every year after sufficient field work has been undertaken in the wetlands that have an EA. These Rehabilitation Plans will be made available to registered Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) before being submitted to DEA for approval. The Rehabilitation Plans will describe the combination and number of interventions selected to meet the rehabilitation objectives for each Wetland Project, as well as an indication of the approximate location and approximate dimensions (including footprint) of each intervention.
- The National Water Act, No.36 of 1998 (NWA)
 - In terms of Section 39 of the NWA, a General authorisation³ (GA) has been granted for certain activities that are listed under the NWA that usually require a Water Use License; as long as these activities are undertaken for wetland rehabilitation. These activities include '*impeding or diverting the flow of water in a watercourse*⁴' and '*altering the bed, banks, course or characteristics of a watercourse*⁵' where they are specifically undertaken for the purposes of rehabilitating⁶ a wetland for conservation purposes. The WfWetlands Programme is required to register the 'water use' in terms of the GA.
- The National Heritage Resources Act, No. 25 of 1999 (NHRA)
 - In terms of Section 38 of the NHRA; any person who intends to undertake a development as categorised in the NHRA must at the very earliest stages of initiating the development notify the responsible heritage resources authority, namely the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) or the relevant provincial heritage agency. These agencies would in turn indicate whether or not a full Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) would need to be undertaken. Should a permit be required for the damaging or removal of specific heritage resources, a separate application will be submitted to SAHRA or the relevant provincial heritage agency for the approval of such an activity. WfWetlands has engaged with SAHRA regarding the wetland planning process and has committed to achieving full compliance with the heritage act over the next few years.

³Government Notice No. 1198, 18 December 2009

⁴Section 21(c) of the NWA, No. 36 of 1998

⁵Section 21(i) of the NWA, No. 36 of 1998

⁶Defined in the NWA as "the process of reinstating natural ecological driving forces within part of the whole of a degraded watercourse to recover former or desired ecosystem structure, function, biotic composition and associated ecosystem services".

Appendix B2

LANDOWNER AGREEMENTS



Working for Wetlands Programme

Wetlands Rehabilitation Activities Consent

| | Property Details |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Property Type: | Nature reserve |
| Registration Division: | |
| | Environmental management |
| Farm Number: | |
| | 377-JR |
| Portion Number: | 1/R |
| Farm Name: | |
| | Rietvlei Nature Reserve |
| Surveyor-General Key: | 059200000/1/R |
| Province: | |
| | Gauteng |
| Unique Wetland Number: | |
| | A21A - 01 & 02 & 03 |
| | |
| | Owner Details |
| Owner Name: | City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality |
| (Full Names/Full Registered Name) | |
| Person Type: | Company Close corporation Trust Natural person |
| Registration/Identity | |
| Number: | Without applicable. Easy a trust attack a popy of the latest latters of trustepshin |

| | documents: | Owner's chosen address for delivery of notices and | Registration/Identity Number: | Person Type: | Owner Name: (Full Names/Full Registered Name) | - - - - |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------|--|------------------|
| Telephone Number: 0 8 2 7 9 1 (012) 345 1812 | Game Reserve Koad Main Gate P. O. B.O. J PRETORIA | Postal Address : Rietvlei Nature Reserve | (Where applics issued by the I | Company | City of Tshv | Q |
| Telephone Number: 0 % 2 つ 역 1 3 S 기 기 (012) 345 1812 | Main Gate P. O. BOX 1454 PRETORIA 0001 | s : e Reserve | (Where applicable. For a trust, attach a copy of the latest letters of trusteeship issued by the Master of the High Court.) | Close corporation | City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality | Owner Details |
| Email Address: NyambeniM@tshwane.gov.za | Main Gate Game Reserve Road | Physical Address : Rietvlei Nature Reserve | opy of the latest l | Trust | icipality | |
| shwane.gov.za | rve Road | is: Ire Reserve | etters of trusteeship | Natural person | - | |

Project Name:

WFW 003

I/We hereby consent to the Working for Wetlands Programme and its appointed implementers undertaking the wetland rehabilitation activities listed in annexure "WFW 003A" attached hereto, for the project referred to above, subject to my/our approval of the relative Wetland Rehabilitation Plan, on the property described above of which I am the owner.

| Name | Nyambeni Mphephu | Position | Field Warden |
|-----------|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Signature | (intrate | Date | 15 September 2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | | Please fax or post this form to: | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Telefax (012) 8435165 | Private Bag X101, PRETORIA, 0001 | With a copy to: | |

Appendix B3

WRITTEN NOTIFICATION



PO Box 494 Cape Town 8000 Email: Franci.Gresse@aurecongroup.com

9 November 2017

Dear Sir / Madam,

WORKING FOR WETLANDS REHABILITATION PROJECT PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS: AVAILABILITY OF BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORTS AND REHABILITATION PLANS FOR COMMENT

This letter is available in any of the official languages on written request.

This letter serves to inform you of the Working for Wetlands Programme's (WfWetlands) proposal to rehabilitate a number of wetlands in South Africa. We would also like to notify you of your opportunity to comment on the Basic Assessment Reports (BARs) in terms of the regulations pursuant to the National Environmental Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 (as amended) (NEMA).

1. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

WfWetlands is a government programme managed by the Natural Resource Management (NRM) directorate of the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA), and is a joint initiative with the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) and the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF). The programme is mandated to rehabilitate damaged wetlands and to protect pristine wetlands throughout South Africa. Emphasis is placed on complying with the principles of the Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) which seeks to draw significant numbers of unemployed people into the productive sector of the economy, gaining skills while they work and increase their ability to earn an income.

Aurecon South Africa (Pty) Ltd (Aurecon) has been appointed to undertake the project activities and associated reporting requirements by WfWetlands. The Aurecon team comprises of Design Engineers and Environmental Assessment Practitioners (EAPs) who undertake the planning, design and authorisation components of the project. The Aurecon team is assisted by an external team of Wetland Specialists who provide scientific insight into the operation of wetlands and bring expert and often local knowledge of the wetlands. The project team is also complimented by the WfWetlands Programme's provincial coordinators (PCs) who are responsible for provincial planning and implementation.

2. THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, NO. 107 OF 1998 (AS AMENDED) (NEMA)

2.1 Basic Assessment

In terms of the environmental management principles of NEMA certain activities that may have a detrimental impact on the environment (termed Listed Activities) require Environmental Authorisation (EA) from DEA. Many of the activities associated with the rehabilitation of the wetland are listed Activities in terms of Government Notice Regulation (GN R) 983 Listing Notice 1 and GN R985 Listing Notice 3 of NEMA:

- Listing Notice 1: Activities 12, and 48
- Listing Notice 3: Activities 14 and 23

In terms of GN R982, activities identified in Listing Notices 1 and 3 require a Basic Assessment (BA) process to be undertaken during which potential biophysical and socio-economic impacts are identified and assessed. Aurecon has undertaken this process on behalf of WfWetlands, and separate BA applications for each province will be submitted to the DEA for consideration. Please note that a BA process is being undertaken for the following provinces:

| Province | Project | Nearest Town (s): |
|---------------|--|--|
| Eastern Cape | Gatberg, Qunu | Maclear, Umthatha |
| Free State | Seekoeivlei, Upper Wilge, Maluti and Golden Gate | Memel, Harrismith and Phuthaditjhaba |
| | | |
| Gauteng | Gauteng North | Pretoria |
| KwaZulu-Natal | KZN Midlands, KZN North and Maputaland | Hluhluwe, Vryheid and Howick |
| Western Cape | Agulhas, Groot Winterhoek Wilderness Area, Table | Bredasdorp, Worcester, Porterville and Cape Town |
| | Mountain National Park, West Coast and Peninsula | |

The provincial level Basic Assessment Reports (BARs) provide the findings of the associated investigations and are available for public comment. The BARs describe the wetland systems that were identified as priorities for this planning cycle, together with the baseline information on the quaternary catchment. Please note that a BA process is being undertaken for seven provinces, i.e. Eastern Cape, Free State, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, Limpopo, Mpumalanga and Western Cape. *The rehabilitation plans for these provinces will be made available for public comment in early 2018 to all registered 1&APs for a 30-day comment period. Registered 1&APs will be informed of the availability of the rehabilitation plans and commencement of the commenting period via email or mail.*

Note: A condition of the EAs will be that annual Rehabilitation Plans must be submitted to DEA for approval after sufficient field work has been undertaken for the authorised Wetland Projects. These Rehabilitation Plans include specialist reports prepared by the Wetland Specialist (which provide a site description, detailed baseline information, and the wetland context within the greater catchment). The Rehabilitation Plans also include the proposed interventions, objectives, their design details and specification, and proposed locations.

3. THE NATIONAL WATER ACT, NO. 36 OF 1998 (NWA)

Activities associated with the rehabilitation of wetlands may constitute "water use" in terms of the NWA, and may therefore require general authorisation or licenses from DWS. In general, a water use must be licensed unless:

- a) It is listed in Schedule one (1) of the NWA,
- b) It is existing lawful use ,
- c) It is permissible under a General Authorisation (GA), and
- d) If a responsibility authority waives the need for a licence.

In terms of Section 39 of the NWA, a GA has been granted for certain activities that are listed that usually require a Water Use License. Such a GA (i.e. GN R1198 of 18 December 2009) exists for wetland rehabilitation as long as the activities are for conservation purposes. As some of the rehabilitation activities entail *'impeding or diverting the flow of water in a watercourse'* and/ or *'altering the bed, banks, course or characteristics of a watercourse'*, a number of GAs for water uses will be registered with the DWS for structures that would ordinarily require a Water Use License.

4. OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE

Public Participation procedures are specified as a minimum requirement of the BA Process and must ensure that all Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) (including State Departments) have an opportunity to participate. The requisite PPP (in terms of Section 41 of GN R982) has commenced and to date included the publication of national

advertisements in *Die Rapport* on **05 November 2017** and *The Sunday Times* on **12 November 2017** and the placement of site notices at the wetland site boundaries. The BARs will be made available for a 30 day comment period from **10 November to 12 December 2017**.

Kindly find enclosed an electronic copy of the reports for your perusal. The reports are also available for download from the Aurecon Website: <u>http://aurecongroup.com/en/public-participation.aspx</u>. Please be aware that you will be required to register on the website and then again on the project to access the documents. Should you have any trouble accessing the documents, please do not hesitate to contact Mr Simamkele Ntsengwane (details below).

Please submit your comments by 12 December 2017 to the EAPs listed below.

| Contact Person: | Miss Franci Gresse | Mr Simamkele Ntsengwane | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Tel: | (021) 526 6022 | (021) 526 9560 | |
| Email: | Franci.Gresse@aurecongroup.com | Simamkele.Ntsengwane@aurecongroup.com | |
| Fax: | (021) 526 9500 | | |
| Mail: | PO Box 494, Cape Town, 8000 | | |

5. WAY FORWARD

Following the 30 day public comment period, the BARs will be updated by incorporating any I&AP comments received on the reports (where relevant). All comments will be recorded and responded to in a Comments and Response Report which will be circulated to all who have provided comment. The updated BARs will then be submitted to DEA for their decision. Once DEA has made their decision on the proposed projects, all registered I&APs will be notified of the outcome of the decision within fourteen (14) calendar days of the decision and the right to appeal.

Yours sincerely AURECON

FRANCI GRESSE Senior Environmental Consultant: Environment and Planning

Appendix B4

PROOF OF MAILING

Proof of mailing will be included with the Final Basic Assessment Report submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs.

Appendix B5

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Any comments received and responses sent during the 30-day public comment period will be included with the Final Basic Assessment Report submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs.