GUNSTFONTEIN OVERHEAD POWER LINE (OHL) EXTENSION, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

(DEA Reference No.: 14/12/16/3/3/1/2228)

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENT

The Gunstfontein OHL extension Basic Assessment Process was announced on 02 September 2020 through the distribution of the process notification letter. The letter served to invite Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) to register their interest in the project and to submit any comments / queries that they might have.

The Basic Assessment (BA) Report was made available for a 30-day review and comment period from **Friday, 04 September 2020** until **Monday, 05 October 2020**. All written comments received during the BA process were included in the Comments and Responses Report and included in **Appendix C6** of the Revised Basic Assessment Report.

The Revised BA report was subsequently updated to include an optimised layout, and the Revised Draft BAR was then made available for a further 30-day review and comment period from **Friday**, **11 December 2020** until **Monday 01 February 2021**. All written comments received during the BA process have been included in this Comments and Responses Report and included in **Appendix C5** of this final Basic Assessment Report.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / ACRONYMS

ВА	Basic Assessment	BAR	Basic Assessment Report
BGCMA	Breede-Gouritza Catchment Management Agency	DBAR	Draft Basic Assessment Report
DEFF	Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries	EA	Environmental Authorisation
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner	EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme	HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
I&APs	Interested and Affected Parties	NEMA	National Environmental Management Act
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act	NWA	National Water Act
OoS	Organs of State	SAHRIS	South African Heritage Resources Information System
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency	SARAO	South African Radio Astronomy Observatory

1. COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE REVISED BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD (11 December 2020 – 1 February 2021)

1.1. Organs of State

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
1.	This letter serves to inform you that the following information	Motlhodi Mogorosi	The listed activities as described within the final Basic
	must be included to the final BAR:	Case Officer	Assessment Report are specific and linked to the
	(a) Listed Activities	DEFF	development activity. All applicable activities have been
	i. Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are		applied for an assessed.
	applied for, are specific and can be linked to the	Letter: 01 February 2021	
	development activity or infrastructure as described in		
	the project description. Only activities applicable to		
	the development must be applied for and assessed.		
	ii. It is imperative that the relevant authorities are		Please refer to Appendix C of the BAR for a record of relevant
	continuously involved throughout the basic		authorities contacted for comments. Please also refer to the
	assessment process as the development property		biodiversity directorate comments received from Ms Portia
	possibly falls within geographically designated areas		Makitla included in this C&RR (and responses thereto), as well
	in terms of GN R. 985 Activities, Written comments (or		as Figure 5.4. of the final BAR of the project showing the
	proof of the request thereof) must be obtained from		Critical Biodiversity Areas for the project.
	the relevant provincial environmental authority and		
	submitted to this Department. In addition, a		
	graphical representation of the proposed		
	development within the respective geographical		
	areas must be provided.		
	(b) Alternatives		All alternatives considered during the BA process have been
	i. Kindly provide extensive motivation, if no reasonable		included and discussed in detail in the Revised (and Final)
	or feasible alternatives exist in terms of Appendix		Draft BAR in Section 2.3.
	1(3)(1)(h) of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.		
	(c) Public Participation Process		The database containing the full contact details of the
	i. The following information must be submitted with the		registered I&APs are included in Appendix C1 , including the
	final BAR:		map indicating the affected and adjacent landowners, of the
			final BAR.

lo.	Comment	Raised by	Response
	 a) A list of registered interested and affected parties as per Regulation 42 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended; 		
	b) Copies of all comments received during the amended draft BAR comment period; and		All written comments received on the Revised BAR are included in Appendix C5 of the final BAR.
	c) A comment and response report which contains all comments received and responses provided		The C&RR has been updated to include the comments received during the review and comment period of the
	to all comments and issues raised during the public participation process for the amended		Revised BAR and have been responded to in the C&RR.
	draft BAR. Please note that comments received from this Department must also form part of the comment and response report.		Comments received from the DEFF have been included and responded to in this C&RR (Appendix C6 of the final BAR).
	ii. Please ensure that all issues raised and comments received during the circulation of the amended draft BAR from registered I&APs and organs of state which have jurisdiction (including this Department's Biodiversity Section) in respect of the proposed activity are adequately addressed in the final BAR.		All issues raised and comments received during the availability of the Revised BAR from I&APs and organs of state are captured in the C&RR (Appendix C6 of the final BAR). No additional comments, other than those submitted on the BAR, were received from the DEFF's Biodiversity Conservation Directorate.
			All issues raised and comments submitted throughout the Basic Assessment process have been responded to in the C&RR.
	iii. Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be included in the final BAR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof should be submitted to the Department of the		Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders and proof of attempts to obtain comments on the Revised BAR are included in Appendix C4 of the final BAR.
	attempts that were made to obtain comments. The Public Participation Process must be conducted in		Proof of correspondence with organs of state and proof of attempts to obtain comments on the Revised BAR are included
	terms of Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.		in Appendix C3 of the final BAR.
	 (d) Environmental Management Programme i. Please ensure that any site specific mitigation measures identified in the BAR and specialist reports 		All mitigation measures as provided for in the BAR and associated specialist studies have been incorporated and are included in Part C of the generic EMPr.

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
	have been incorporated into the generic		
	Environmental Management Programme (EMPr).		
	General		Comment noted. The final BAR will be submitted to the
	Should you fail to meet any of the timeframes stipulated in		department within the regulated timeframes.
	Regulation 19 of the NEMA E1A Regulations, 2014, as amended,		
	your application will lapse.		
	You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National		Comment noted, no further action required.
	Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as		
	amended, that no activity may commence prior to an		
	Environmental Authorisation being granted by the Department.		
2.	The following comments are made as a requirement in terms of	Natasha Higgitt	Comment noted, no further action required.
	section 3(4) of the NEMA Regulations and section 38(8) of the	Heritage Officer	
	NHRA in the format provided in section 38(4) of the NHRA and	SAHRA	
	must be included in the Final BAR and EMPr:		
	• 38(4)a – The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and	Letter: 29 January 2021	
	Meteorites (APM) Unit has no objections to the proposed		
	amendment to the authorised development, including the		
	revised layout as noted in the revised DBAR;		
	• 38(4)b - The recommendations of the specialists are		Comment noted, no further action required.
	supported and must be adhered to. No further additional		
	specific conditions are provided for the development;		
	• 38(4)c(i) – If any evidence of archaeological sites or remains		Please refer to the Outcome: Archaeological and built
	(e.g. remnants of stone-made structures, indigenous		environment heritage resources, contained in Part C (page
	ceramics, bones, stone artefacts, ostrich eggshell		149) of the project EMPr, where these conditions have been
	fragments, charcoal and ash concentrations), fossils or		included verbatim.
	other categories of heritage resources are found during the		
	proposed development, SAHRA APM Unit (Natasha		
	Higgitt/Phillip Hine 021 462 5402) must be alerted as per		
	section 35(3) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of		
	the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51(1)e of the		
	NHRA and item 5 of the Schedule;		

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
	38(4)c(ii) – If unmarked human burials are uncovered, the SAHRA Burial Grounds and Graves (BGG) Unit (Thingahangwi Tshivhase/Mimi Seetelo 012 320 8490), must be alerted immediately as per section 36(6) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51(1)e of the NHRA and item 5 of the Schedule:		
	38(4)d – See section 51(1) of the NHRA;		
	38(4)e – The following conditions apply with regards to the appointment of specialists: i) If heritage resources are uncovered during the course of the development, a professional archaeologist or palaeontologist, depending on the nature of the finds, must be contracted as soon as possible to inspect the heritage resource. If the newly discovered heritage resources prove to be of archaeological or palaeontological significance, a Phase 2 rescue operation may be required subject to permits issued by SAHRA;		
	The Final BAR and EMPr must be submitted to SAHRA for record purposes;		The final BAR and EMPr will be uploaded onto SAHRIS CaseID 15175.
	The decision regarding the EA Amendment Application must be communicated to SAHRA and uploaded to the SAHRIS Case application.		The EA issued by the DEFF for the application will be uploaded onto SAHRIS CaseID 15175.

1.2. Key Stakeholders

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
1.	Please find attached Eskom general comments for works at or	John Geeringh	Comment noted, no further action required. Please refer to the
	near servitudes should any work be required to be done in	Senior Consultant	comments and response provided on the content of the
	existing servitudes.	Environmental Management	document below.
		Land and Rights	
	The information included in the MS Word document attached to	Eskom Transmission Division	
	the e-mail is captured below.	Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd	
	Eskom requirements for work in or near Eskom servitudes.		The requirements for development at or near Eskom
		E-mail: 06 January 2021	infrastructure servitudes are noted. The proposed OHL
	1. Eskom's rights and services must be acknowledged and		Extension currently complies with Eskom's setbacks, and this will
	respected at all times.		be further confirmed/ ensured by the Applicant during the
	2. Eskom shall at all times retain unobstructed access to and		detail design phase of the powerline infrastructure.
	egress from its servitudes.		
	3. Eskom's consent does not relieve the developer from		In addition, the need to comply with Eskom requirements (as
	obtaining the necessary statutory, land owner or		appliable) is contained within Part C, section 7.1.5 "Project
	municipal approvals.		location" within the EMPr for the project.
	4. Any cost incurred by Eskom as a result of non-compliance		
	to any relevant environmental legislation will be charged		
	to the developer.		
	5. If Eskom has to incur any expenditure in order to comply		
	with statutory clearances or other regulations as a result of		
	the developer's activities or because of the presence of		
	his equipment or installation within the servitude restriction		
	area, the developer shall pay such costs to Eskom on		
	demand.		

- 6. The use of explosives of any type within 500 metres of Eskom's services shall only occur with Eskom's previous written permission. If such permission is granted the developer must give at least fourteen working days prior notice of the commencement of blasting. This allows time for arrangements to be made for supervision and/or precautionary instructions to be issued in terms of the blasting process. It is advisable to make application separately in this regard.
- 7. Changes in ground level may not infringe statutory ground to conductor clearances or statutory visibility clearances. After any changes in ground level, the surface shall be rehabilitated and stabilised so as to prevent erosion. The measures taken shall be to Eskom's satisfaction.
- 8. Eskom shall not be liable for the death of or injury to any person or for the loss of or damage to any property whether as a result of the encroachment or of the use of the servitude area by the developer, his/her agent, contractors, employees, successors in title, and assignees. The developer indemnifies Eskom against loss, claims or damages including claims pertaining to consequential damages by third parties and whether as a result of damage to or interruption of or interference with Eskom's services or apparatus or otherwise. Eskom will not be held responsible for damage to the developer's equipment.

9. No mechanical equipment, including mechanical excavators or high lifting machinery, shall be used in the vicinity of Eskom's apparatus and/or services, without prior written permission having been granted by Eskom. If such permission is granted the developer must give at least seven working days' notice prior to the commencement of work. This allows time for arrangements to be made for supervision and/or precautionary instructions to be issued by the relevant Eskom Manager

Note: Where and electrical outage is required, at least fourteen work days are required to arrange it.

- 10. Eskom's rights and duties in the servitude shall be accepted as having prior right at all times and shall not be obstructed or interfered with.
- 11. Under no circumstances shall rubble, earth or other material be dumped within the servitude restriction area. The developer shall maintain the area concerned to Eskom's satisfaction. The developer shall be liable to Eskom for the cost of any remedial action which has to be carried out by Eskom.
- 12. The clearances between Eskom's live electrical equipment and the proposed construction work shall be observed as stipulated by Regulation 15 of the Electrical Machinery Regulations of the Occupational Health and Safety Act, 1993 (Act 85 of 1993).
- 13. Equipment shall be regarded electrically live and therefore dangerous at all times.

	14. In spite of the restrictions stipulated by Regulation 15 of the		
	Electrical Machinery Regulations of the Occupational		
	Health and Safety Act, 1993 (Act 85 of 1993), as an		
	additional safety precaution, Eskom will not approve the		
	erection of houses, or structures occupied or frequented		
	by human beings, under the power lines or within the		
	servitude restriction area.		
	15. Eskom may stipulate any additional requirements to	1	
	highlight any possible exposure to Customers or Public to		
	coming into contact or be exposed to any dangers of		
	Eskom plant.		
	16. It is required of the developer to familiarise himself with all]	
	safety hazards related to Electrical plant		
	17. Any third party servitudes encroaching on Eskom		
	servitudes shall be registered against Eskom's title deed at		
	the developer's own cost. If such a servitude is brought		
	into being, its existence should be endorsed on the Eskom		
	servitude deed concerned, while the third party's		
	servitude deed must also include the rights of the affected		
	Eskom servitude.		
2.	Kindly note that the comments that were issued are still applicable and we have no further comments.	Makhosi Mthimkhulu BGCMA	
	The content of the BGCMA letter dated 26 August 2015 is	E-mail: 11 January 2021	
	captured below and responded to by the EAP.	,	
	The Breede-Gouritz Catchment Management Agency		We note that the BGCMA comment letter dated 26 August
	(BGCMA) has the following comments:		2015 was issued in response to the EIA process for the
	1. No operation is allowed within 100m of a water resource or		Gunstfontein Wind Farm in 2015 (i.e. a separate application to
	1:100 year floodline whichever is the greatest. If the		the current BESS application). As such not all comments are
	proposed activity falls within these criteria, you need to		applicable to the current application (OHL Extension) – for
	apply for water use license to ensure that the riparian		example, the reference to "page 50" refers to the Gunstfontein
	ecological status of the water resource will not be negatively impacted.		Wind Farm EIA Report (2015, separate application).
	педанувну ппрастеа.		

- Refer to page 50 of your report. The crossing of watercourses constitutes a Water Use authorisation in terms of section 21 of the National Water Act (NWA) 1998(Act No. 36 of 1998). Please submit a Water Use License application to this Agency.
- 3. Please note that no water maybe abstracted from any surface water body and groundwater unless authorized by this Agency.
- 4. No surface, ground or storm water may be polluted as a result of any activities on the site.

5. The rehabilitation of the site must ensure that the final conditions of the site is environmentally acceptable and that there will be no adverse long term effects on the surrounding environment especially the water resources.

The above notwithstanding, it is confirmed that a water use authorisation application is currently underway for the project for all water uses applicable to the development under the National Water Act (NWA) 1998(Act No. 36 of 1998). All conditions of the authorisation issued will be binding on the proponent.

All potential impacts to water resources will be in accordance with the requirements and conditions of the water use authorisation currently in process for this project.

Mitigation and monitoring measures to avoid or minimise potential impacts to water resources are contained in the EMPr, specifically Part B: Impact management outcome: Pollution and contamination of the watercourse environment and erosion are prevented, as well as Impact management outcome: Impacts to the environment caused by stormwater and wastewater discharges during construction are avoided.

Please also refer to the EMPr Part C: Impact management outcome: Minimise hydrological impact.

The abovementioned objectives all contain measures towards control and minimisation of pollution to groundwater, stormwater and surface water features.

These measures form part of the EMPr and will be binding on the Applicant.

Please refer to page 148 of the final EMPr where this condition has been included verbatim. Please also refer to Appendix 5 – Revegetation and Rehabilitation Plan (of the EMPr).

	6. Please note that all requirements as stipulated in the National Water Act (NWA) 1998(Act No. 36 of 1998) must be adhered to.		A water use authorisation application is currently underway for the project for all water uses applicable to the relevant components of the project under the National Water Act (NWA) 1998(Act No. 36 of 1998). All conditions of the authorisation issued will be binding on the proponent.
	7. Please note that this Agency reserves the right to amend and / or add to the comments made above in the light of subsequent information received.		Comment noted, no further action required.
3.	SARAO has completed the risk re-assessment with regard to the electromagnetic emissions of the for the above mentioned project and its possible impact on the SKA radio telescope.	Selaelo Matlhane Spectrum & Telecommunication Manager SARAO	Comment noted, no further action required.
	This project will pose a low risk of interference to the SKA and therefore, SARAO does not object to the development of the project.	Letter: 26 January 2021	
	Thank you for your patience and our office remains open for any discussion relating to this project and its impact on the SKA radio telescope.		

1.3. Interested and Affected Parties

No. Comment Raised by Response	
--------------------------------	--

1.	Ek neem kennis van u beplanning van Gunsfontein windplaas.	Francois Conradie	It is confirmed that the Gunstfontein overhead power line
		Landowner: Standvastigheid	extension traverses the following properties:
	As eienaar van Standvastigheid en Appelfontein vra ek u om	and Appelfontein	Remainder of Portion 1 of the Farm Orange Fontein 203
	die roetes van u 132kv lyn te beplan dat u nie nodig het om oor		Remainder of the Farm Annex Orange Fontein 185
	my eiendom te gaan nie.	E-mail: 27 January 2021	Remainder of the Farm Leeuwe Hoek 183
			Farm De Hoop 202
	<u>Translation</u> :		and would therefore not transfer or impact the Farms
	I take note or the Gunstfontein windfarm development.		Standvastigheid and Appelfontein.
	I request, as owner of Standvastigheid and Appelfontein, that		
	there is no need for the 132kV power line route to traverse my		
	properties.		

2. COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT REVIEW AND COMMENT PERIOD (4 September 2020 – 5 October 2020)

2.1. Organs of state

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
1.	Final Comment	Natasha Higgitt	Comment acknowledged. No further action required.
	The following comments are made as a requirement in terms of	Heritage Officer	
	section 3(4) of the NEMA Regulations and section 38(8) of the	and	
	NHRA in the format provided in section 38(4) of the NHRA and	Phillip Hine	
	must be included in the Final BAR and EMPr:	Manager: Archaeology,	
	• 38(4)a – The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and	Palaeontology and Meteorites	
	Meteorites (APM) Unit has no objections to the proposed	Unit	
	amendment to the authorised development;	SAHRA	
	• 38(4)b - The recommendations of the specialists are		Comment acknowledged. No further action required.
	supported and must be adhered to. No further additional	Letter: 20 November 2020	
	specific conditions are provided for the development		
	• 38(4)c(i) – If any evidence of archaeological sites or remains		Please refer to the Revised Draft EMPr, section C,
	(e.g. remnants of stone-made structures, indigenous		Archaeological and built environment heritage resources
	ceramics, bones, stone artefacts, ostrich eggshell		objective for the inclusion of this condition. Please also refer to
	fragments, charcoal and ash concentrations), fossils or		section 6.6 (assessment of impacts on Heritage Resources) of

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
	other categories of heritage resources are found during the proposed development, SAHRA APM Unit (Natasha Higgitt/Phillip Hine 021 462 5402) must be alerted as per section 35(3) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51(1)e of the NHRA		the Revised Draft Basic Assessment report, for inclusion of this condition.
	 and item 5 of the Schedule; 38(4)c(ii) – If unmarked human burials are uncovered, the SAHRA Burial Grounds and Graves (BGG) Unit (Thingahangwi Tshivhase/Mimi Seetelo 012 320 8490), must be alerted immediately as per section 36(6) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51(1)e of the NHRA and item 5 of the Schedule; 		Please refer to the Revised Draft EMPr, section C, Archaeological and built environment heritage resources objective for the inclusion of this condition. Please also refer to section 6.6 (assessment of impacts on Heritage Resources) of the Revised Draft Basic Assessment report, for inclusion of this condition.
	• 38(4)d – See section 51(1) of the NHRA;		The following text comprises Section 51(1) of the NHRA: Offences and penalties 51. (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law, any person who contravenes— (a) sections 27(18), 29(10), 32(13) or 32(19) is guilty of an offence and liable to a fine or imprisonment or both such fine and imprisonment as set out in item 1 of the Schedule; (b) sections 33(2), 35(4) or 36(3) is guilty of an offence and liable to a fine or imprisonment or both such fine and imprisonment as set out in item 2 of the Schedule; (c) sections 28(3) or 34(1) is guilty of an offence and liable to a fine or imprisonment or both such fine and imprisonment as set out in item 3 of the Schedule; (d) sections 27(22), 32(15), 33(1), 35(6) or 44(3) is guilty of an offence and liable to a fine or imprisonment or both such fine and imprisonment as set out in item 4 of the Schedule;

Comment	Raised by	Response
		 (e) sections 27(23)(b), 32(17), 35(3), 36(3) or 51(8) is guilty of an offence and liable to a fine or imprisonment or both such fine and imprisonment as set out in item 5 of the Schedule; (f) sections 32(13), 32(16), 32(20), 35(7)(a), 44(2), 50(5) or 50(12) is guilty of an offence and liable to a fine or imprisonment or both such fine and imprisonment as set out in item 6 of the Schedule.
		The following has now been included into the project EMPr, under section C, Archaeological and built environment heritage resources objective: "Offences and penalties in terms of heritage resources
		conditions contained in this EMPr will be in accordance with the requirements of the National Heritage Resources Act, in particular Section 51(1)."
		This condition has also been added to section 6.6 (assessment of impacts on Heritage Resources) of the Revised Draft Basic Assessment Report.
appointment of specialists: i) If heritage resources are uncovered during the course of the development, a professional archaeologist or palaeontologist, depending on the nature of the finds, must be contracted as soon as possible to inspect the heritage resource. If the newly discovered heritage resources prove to be of archaeological or palaeontological significance, a Phase 2 rescue operation may be required subject to permits issued		Please refer to the Revised Draft EMPr, section C, Archaeological and built environment heritage resources objective for the inclusion of this condition. Please also refer to section 6.6 (assessment of impacts on Heritage Resources) of the Revised Draft Basic Assessment report, for inclusion of this condition.
	38(4)e – The following conditions apply with regards to the appointment of specialists: i) If heritage resources are uncovered during the course of the development, a professional archaeologist or palaeontologist, depending on the nature of the finds, must be contracted as soon as possible to inspect the heritage resource. If the newly discovered heritage resources prove to be of archaeological or palaeontological significance, a Phase 2	38(4)e – The following conditions apply with regards to the appointment of specialists: i) If heritage resources are uncovered during the course of the development, a professional archaeologist or palaeontologist, depending on the nature of the finds, must be contracted as soon as possible to inspect the heritage resource. If the newly discovered heritage resources prove to be of archaeological or palaeontological significance, a Phase 2 rescue operation may be required subject to permits issued

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
	The Final BAR and EMPr must be submitted to SAHRA for record purposes;		The final BAR and EMPr will be uploaded onto SAHRIS under CaseID 15175 following submission to the Competent Authority for decision making.
	The decision regarding the EA Amendment Application must be communicated to SAHRA and uploaded to the SAHRIS Case application.		The EA, when issued will be uploaded onto SAHRIS under CaseID 15175.
2.	SARAO has completed the preliminary risk re-assessment with regard to the electro-magnetic emissions for the above mentioned project and its possible impact on the SKA radio telescope.	Selaelo Matlhane Spectrum & Telecommunicator Manager SARAO	Comment acknowledged. No further action required.
	This project will pose a low risk of interference to the SKA and therefore, SARAO does not object to the development of the project.	Letter: 15 October 2020	
	Thank you for your patience and our office remains open for any discussion relating to this project and its impact on the SKA radio telescope.		
3.	In overall, there are no potential impacts associated with the proposed development that are considered to be of high significance and which cannot be mitigated to an acceptable level. As such, there are no fatal flaws or other major impediments that should prevent the development from going ahead.	Portia Makitla Case Officer DEFF: Biodiversity Conservation Directorate Letter: 05 October 2020	Comment acknowledged. No further action required.
	 In order to minimize the negative impacts that might occur as a result of the proposed development, the following recommendation must be considered: Suitable bird repelling structures and bird diverters must be considered to avoid collision of birds with the power line; Areas with large trees must be avoided as they serve as potential roosting and breeding habitat for a variety of birds, including raptors; 		Bird-diverters will be considered and installed as required by the mitigation measures put forward in the EMPr (refer objectives: minimise direct avifaunal impacts during construction; and minimise direct avifaunal impacts during operation). All possible breeding sites of raptors and other wild bird species have been considered during the planning of the development programme as per the mitigation measures put

C	Comment	Raised by	Response
			forward in the EMPr. As per the mitigation measures in the EMPr, breeding sites will be kept intact and disturbance to breeding birds will be avoided. Special care will be taken where nestlings or fledglings are present.
•	A specialist must be appointed to search and identify any active breeding site on site and if found must be demarcated as a NO-GO Zone and monitored during construction;		A specialist has been appointed to search and identify any active breeding sites on site and to demarcate any no-go zones requiring further monitoring during construction. Please refer to the Objective: Minimise direct avifaunal impacts during construction via habitat loss and disturbance within the EMPr for inclusion of the requirement of monitoring where such no-go zones are determined.
•	All disturbed, exposed earth and cleared areas must be rehabilitated with indigenous perennial shrubs and grasses from the local area;		As per several of the mitigation measures in the EMPr, all disturbed, exposed earth and cleared areas will be rehabilitated with indigenous perennial shrubs and grasses from the local area once construction is completed.
•	Permits from relevant authorities must be obtained prior to commencement of any construction activities for the removal or disturbance of any TOPs, Red Data listed or Provincially protected species;		As per several of the mitigation measures in the EMPr, all Permits from relevant authorities will be obtained prior to the commencement of any construction activities for the removal or disturbance of any TOPs, Red Data listed or Provincially protected species.
•	Sensitive areas in close proximity to the development footprint must be demarcated as no-go area i.e. drainage lines; and		No no-go zones were determined by the specialists with only pylons being excluded from the very high sensitivity areas (both ecological and avifaunal). The layout has therefore included consideration of the avoidance of these very high sensitivity areas in terms of pylons only.
			Please refer to section 8.3 of the BAR for a discussion on the sensitivities determined by the specialists.
•	Erosion and Alien Plant Species Management Plan, and Rehabilitation Plan must be submitted as part of the final report to mitigate on habitat degradation due to erosion		Please refer to Appendix 3, 4 and 5 of the Revised Draft EMPr for the following plans, in accordance with this requirement:
	and alien plant invasion.		Appendix 3: Erosion Management Plan

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
			Appendix 4: Alien Plant Species Management Plan
			Appendix 5: Rehabilitation Plan
	Lastly; the final report must also comply with all the		As this is a power line development, the guideline relating to
	requirements as outlined in the EIA guideline for renewable		wind energy development is not applicable. Regular
	energy projects and the Revised Best Practice Guideline for		monitoring of the power line is however required within Section
	Birds & Wind Energy for assessing and monitoring the impact of		C, objective: minimise direct avifaunal impacts during
	wind energy facilities on birds in Southern Africa.		operation.
4.	This letter serves to inform you that the following information	Matlhodi Mogorosi	All relevant listed activities have been applied for and are
	must be included to the final BAR:	Case Officer	specific to this project.
	Listed Activities	DEFF	
	a) Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are applied		
	for, are specific and can be linked to the development	Letter: 01 October 2020	
	activity or infrastructure as described in the project		
	description. Only activities applicable to the		
	development must be applied for and assessed.		
	b) If the activities applied for in the application form differ		Listed activities listed in the BAR are the same as those included
	from those mentioned in the final BAR, an amended		in the application. No amended application is applicable.
	application form must be submitted. Please note that the		
	Departments application form template has been		
	amended and can be downloaded from the following		
	link https://www.environment.gov.zaidocurnents/forms.		
	c) It is imperative that the relevant authorities are		Please refer to Appendix C of the BAR for a record of relevant
	continuously involved throughout the basic assessment		authorities contacted for comments. Please also refer to the
	process as the development property falls within		biodiversity directorate comments received from Ms Portia
	geographically designated areas in terms of GN R. 985		Makitla included in this C&RR (and responses thereto), as well
	Activities, Written comments (or proof of the attempt to		as the sensitivity map for the project showing the Critical
	obtain such comments) must be obtained from the		Biodiversity Areas for the project.
	relevant authorities and submitted to this Department. In		
	addition, a graphical representation of the proposed		
	development within the respective geographical areas		
	must be provided.		

o. Co	omment	Raised by	Response
d)		Ruised by	All relevant listed activities applicable in these circumstances, have been addressed in the BAR and EMPr with appropriate, proven mitigation measures to mitigate the impacts on watercourses to acceptable levels. It is noted that the ecological specialist assessment considered both terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity and put forward recommended mitigation measures for any potential drainage line crossings, which have been integrated into the BAR.
La e)	levels. Inyout & Sensitivity Maps Please provide a layout map which indicates the following: (i) The proposed grid connection extension infrastructure, overlain by the sensitivity map; (ii) Ail supporting onsite infrastructure e.g. roads (existing and proposed); (iii) The location of sensitive environmental features on site e.g. CBAs, heritage sites, wetlands, drainage lines etc.		A layout map indicating the proposed grid connection extension infrastructure, overlain onto the sensitivity map, including buffer areas or no-gos (as applicable) has been included as Figure 8.1 (Figure 8.1, Layout Map, Revision 1 December 2020) in the BAR. This layout map is the final layout for the facility and requested for approval along with the EA, should the project be granted a positive authorisation.
g) Alt	lternatives		A layout map has been overlain onto the sensitivity map and a cumulative map which shows neighbouring renewable energy developments and existing grid infrastructure have been included as Figures 8.1 and Figure 7.1 respectively in the BA Report. No google maps have been used. All alternatives considered during the BA process have been included in the Revised Draft BAR in Section 2.3.

).	Co	mment	Raised by	Response
	Spe	ecialist Assessments		All specialist studies conducted provided a detailed
	i)	Specialist studies to be conducted must provide a		description of their methodology, as well as all other
		detailed description of their methodology, as well as all		associated infrastructures that they assessed and are
		other associated infrastructures that they have assessed		recommending for the authorisation.
		and are recommending for the authorisation.		
	j)	The specialist studies must also provide a detailed		All specialist studies conducted provide a detailed description
		description of all limitations to their studies. All specialist		of all limitations to their studies as far as possible.
		studies must be conducted in the right season and		
		providing that as a limitation, will not be accepted.		
	k)	Should the appointed specialists specify contradicting		There are no contradicting recommendations within any of the
		recommendations, the EAP must clearly indicate the most		specialist studies.
		reasonable recommendation and substantiate this with		
		defendable reasons; and were necessary, include further		
		expertise advice.		
	I)	Specialist Declaration of Interest forms must be attached		Please refer to Appendix I for specialist declarations for all
		to the final BAR. You are therefore requested to submit		specialists.
		signed Specialist Declaration of Interest forms for each		
		specialist study conducted. The forms are available on		
		Department's website (please use the Departments		
		template).		
	Cui	mulative Assessment		Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Revised Draft basic assessment
	m)	Should there be any other similar projects within a 30km		report for a complete detailing of the cumulative impacts
		radius of the proposed development site, the cumulative		assessment and discussion related to the proposed project.
		impact assessment for all identified and assessed impacts		
		must be refined to indicate the following:		
		(i) Identified cumulative impacts must be clearly defined,		
		and where possible the size of the identified impact		
		must be quantified and indicated, i.e. hectares of		
		cumulatively transformed land.		
		(ii) Detailed process flow and proof must be provided, to		Please refer to Chapter 7 of the Revised Draft basic assessment
		indicate how the specialist's recommendations,		report for a process flow and discussion on the process for
		mitigation measures and conclusions from the various		assessment of the cumulative impacts.

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
	similar developments in the area were taken into consideration in the assessment of cumulative impacts and when the conclusion and mitigation measures were drafted for this project,		Specialist impacts, conclusions and mitigation measures were developed during the course of this Basic Assessment process (June 2020 – December 2020).
	(iii) The cumulative impacts significance rating must also inform the need and desirability of the proposed development.		Please refer to Section 2.4 of the Revised Draft Basic Assessment report for consideration of the cumulative impacts and their ratings in terms of the need and desirability of the proposed project. Please also refer to Section 2.4 of the Basic Assessment for a discussion on the cumulative impacts and how those inform the need and desirability.
	(iv) A cumulative impact environmental statement on whether the proposed development must proceed.		Please refer to Section 7.7 of the Revised Draft Basic Assessment for a cumulative impact statement.
	 Public Participation Process n) The following information must be submitted with the final BAR: (i) A list of registered interested and affected parties as per Regulation 42 of the NEMA EFA Regulations, 2014, as amended; 		The database containing the contact details of registered I&APs is included as Appendix C1 to the Revised Draft BAR.
	(ii) Copies of all comments received during the draft BAR comment period; and		The draft Basic Assessment Report was made available for review and comment from Friday , 04 September 2020 until Monday , 05 October 2020 , and comment received during this comment period is included in Appendix C5 of the Revised Draft BAR.
			In addition, the revised Draft Basic Assessment, including the optimised layout, was made available for a further public review period from 11 December 2020 to 1 February 2021.
	(iii) A comment and response report which contains all comments received and responses provided to all comments and issues raised during the public		The C&RR (this document) which includes written comments received on the draft BAR is included as Appendix C6 of the Revised Draft BAR. The comments received from the
	participation process for the draft BAR. Please note		competent authority are captured in the C&RR.

Raised by Response	Comment	No. Cor
' la	that comments received from this Department must also form part of the comment and response report.	
the draft BAR from state which have thment's Biodiversity posed activity are Biodiversity Conservation Directorate, are included in Appendix C5 of the Revised Draft BAR.	o) Please ensure that all issues raised and comments received during the circulation of the draft BAR from registered I&APs and organs of state which have jurisdiction (including this Department's Biodiversity Section) in respect of the proposed activity are adequately addressed in the final BAR.	0)
Proof of correspondence with various stakeholders is attached in Appendix C3 (Consultation with Organs of State) and Appendix C4 (Consultation with Organs of State) and Appendix C4 (Consultation with Stakeholders) of the Revised Draft BAR. Proof of attempts that were made to obtain comments is attached in Appendices C3 and C4 of the Revised Draft BAR. The Public Participation Process has been conducted in terms of Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended (GNR 326), as well as in accordance with the approved Public Participation Plan. The approved Public Participation Plan is included in the Revised Draft BAR as Appendix C7. The BAR was made available for a 30-day review and comment period from, Friday, 04 September 2020 until Monday, 05 October 2020. As per the approved Public Participation Plan, the availability of the BAR was: • Advertised in Noordwester/Oewernuus/Messenger, a local community newspaper, on Friday, 04 September	p) Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be included in the final BAR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof should be submitted to the Department of the attempts that were made to obtain comments. The Public Participation Process must be conducted in terms of Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.	p)
The BAR was made available for a comment period from, Friday, 04 Sc Monday, 05 October 2020. As per to Participation Plan, the availability of the land to Advertised in Noordwester/Oewe		

) .	Comment	Raised by	Response
			 Notification letter sent to all registered I&APs (Appendix C4) and Organs of State (Appendix C3) on the project database informing them of the application and that the BAR is available for review and comment. The BAR was also made available for downloaded from Savannah Environmental's website and could also be sent via other file transfer services i.e. We Transfer, Dropbox, etc. or on CD, on request, from Savannah Environmental.
	Environmental Management Programme		Please refer to Appendix 2 of the EMPr for a CV and expertise
	q) It is noted that the compulsory generic Environmental Management Programme (EMPr), contemplated in Regulations 19(4) for overhead electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure, where such facilities trigger activity 11 or 47 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Listing Notice 1 of 2014, as amended, and any other listed and specified activities necessary for the realisation of such facilities, has been included in the BAR. Please ensure that the generic EMPr includes the expertise of the EAP to prepare an EMPr, including a curriculum vitae.		of the EAP.
	r) It is noted that the generic EMPr states that audit reports are to be submitted to the Competent Authority at a		The following schedule for auditing and reporting is proposed:
	frequency determined by the EA, however, please		i. Monthly ECO audits with associated monthly audit
	recommend a suitable frequency for submission of environmental audit reports to the Department. This may		report to be kept within the site environmental file; ii. Monthly submission of ECO audit reports once the
	be done in the comments and response report, in		monthly report is finalised. In essence, once monthly
	response to this comment.		ECO report submission to DEFF Compliance directorate.
			iii. An independent external Audit, in accordance with Regulation 34 of the EIA Regulations, should be undertaken within 6 months of the end of the construction phase and every 5 years thereafter

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
			(unless otherwise recommended by the independent
			auditor)
	Environmental Impact Statement		The Impact Statement in Section 8.5 of the Revised Draft BAR
	s) It is noted that an environmental impact statement is		has been updated to include a summary of the key findings of
	included in the draft BAR, however you are kindly		the environmental impact assessment, in accordance with this
	requested to expand the impact statement to include the		comment.
	following —		
	(i) A summary of the key findings of the environmental		
	impact assessment;		
	(ii) a map at an appropriate scale which superimposes		Please refer to Figure 8.1, Layout Map, Revision 1 December
	the proposed activity and its associated structures and		2020 in the Revised Draft BAR for a map as per the requirements
	infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the		of this comment.
	preferred site indicating any areas that should be		
	avoided, including buffers; and		
	(iii) a summary of the positive and negative impacts and		The Impact Statement in Section 8.5 of the Revised Draft BAR
	risks of the proposed activity and identified		has been updated to include a summary of the positive and
	alternatives.		negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and
			identified alternatives.
	Please also ensure that the final BAR includes the period for		Please refer to Section 8.6 of the Revised Draft Basic Assessment
	which the Environmental Authorisation is required and the date		report for the EA period, as well as date of activity conclusion
	on which the activity will be concluded as per Appendix		as per this comment. A 10-year validity period is requested for
	1(3)(1)(q) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended.		the Environmental Authorisation if approved by the competent
		-	authority.
	You are further reminded to comply with Regulation 19(1)(a) of		Comment acknowledged. Note that a notification in terms of
	the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, which states		Regulation 19(b) of the EIA Regulations was submitted to the
	that: Where basic assessment must be applied to an		Department on 1 December 2020, advising the Department
	application, the applicant must, within 90 days of receipt of the		that the Revised Draft BAR would be submitted within 140 days
	application by the competent authority, submit to the		of application. No further action required.
	competent authority -		
	(a) a basic assessment report, inclusive of specialist reports, an		
	EMPr, and where applicable a closure plan, which have been subjected to a public participation process of at least 30 days		
	subjected to a public participation process of at least 30 days		

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
	and which reflects the incorporation of comments received,		
	including any comments of the competent authority."		
	Should there be significant changes or new information that		As per above response. No further action required.
	has been added to the BAR or EMPr which changes or		
	information was not contained in the reports or plans consulted		
	on during the initial public participation process, you are		
	required to comply with Regulation 19(b) of the NEMA EIA		
	Regulations, 2014, as amended, which states: "the applicant		
	must, within 90 days of receipt of the application by the		
	competent authority, submit to the competent authority — (b)		
	a notification in writing that the basic assessment report,		
	inclusive of specialist reports an EfvfPr, and where applicable,		
	a closure plan, will be submitted within 140 days of receipt of		
	the application by the competent authority, as significant		
	changes have been made or significant new information has		
	been added to the basic assessment report or EMPr or, where		
	applicable, a closure plan, which changes or information was		
	not contained in the reports or plans consulted on during the		
	initial public participation process contemplated in		
	subregulation (1)(a) and that the revised reports or, EMPr or,		
	where applicable, a closure plan will be subjected to another		
	public participation process of at least 30 daysff.		
	Should you fail to meet any of the timeframes stipulated in		Comment acknowledged. No further action required.
	Regulation 19 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended,		
	your application will lapse.		
	You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National		Comment acknowledged. No further action required.
	Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as		
	amended, that no activity may commence prior to an		
	Environmental Authorisation being granted by the Department.		

2.2. Key stakeholders

No.	Comment	Raised by		Response
1.	Please send me a KMZ file of the proposed infrastructure to be	John Geeringh		The requested .KMZ file was e-mailed to the stakeholder on 04
	developed.	Senior	Consultant	September 2020.
		Environmental Man	agement	
		Land and Rights		
		Eskom Transmission I	Division	
		E-mail: 04 Septemb	er 2020	

2.3. Registered I&APs

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
1.	Can you please provide me with the password to download	Shaun Taylor	The requested Release Code was provided via e-mail on 07
	the report?	Environment, Archaeology &	September 2020.
		Biodiversity	
		Enel	
		E-mail: 07 September 2020	

3. COMMENTS RECEIVED AT THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE BASIC ASSESSMENT PROCESS

No comments received during commencement of the Basic Assessment process.