Lengana Solar Power Plant: Comments and Response Report (Appendix C7)

Comments received prior to the release of the Draft Scoping Report and during the initial Public Participation30-day comment period

Organisation	Person	Issue or comment raised (see Appendix C5 & C6 of the Final Scoping report)	Addressing or incorporation of issue or comment
South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA)	Sityhilelo Ngcatsha	In a letter, dated 18 November 2022, an interim comment was submitted by SAHRA for the project. The content of the comment is included below: Thank you for notifying the SAHRA on the proposed development of a photovoltaic solar facility and associated infrastructure on the Remaining Extent, Portion 1 and Portion 2 of the Farm Ceylon No. 311 and Portion 0 of the farm Bluffpoint No. 327, in Windburg, situated within the Mantsopa Local Municipality, Free State. The project entails the generation of up to 300MW electrical power through photovoltaic (PV) panels and the total footprint of the project will be approximately 500 hectares including the supporting infrastructure on site. As the proposed development is undergoing an EA Application process in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA) and the NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, it is incumbent on the developer to ensure that a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is done as per section 38(3) and 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act 25 of 1999 (NHRA). This must include an archaeological component, palaeontological component and any other applicable heritage components. The HIA must be conducted as part of the EA Application in terms of NEMA and the NEMA EIA Regulations. The archaeological component of the HIA should follow the SAHRA 2007 Minimum Standards: Archaeological	The comments made by SARHA is acknowledged. The requirements for heritage and palaeontological specialists and reports have been adhered to. The draft scoping assessment report will be uploaded to the online SARHIS portal for comment, as well as the heritage and palaeontological reports.

Component of Impact Assessment Report. The quickest	
process to follow for the archaeological component would be	
to contract a qualified archaeologist (see www.asapa.co.za or	
www.aphp.org.za). The proposed development area is located	
mostly within an area of very high sensitivity in terms of	
palaeontological resources as per the SAHRIS PalaeoSensitivity	
map. As such, a field-based Palaeontological Impact	
Assessment (PIA) must be undertaken by a qualified	
palaeontologist (See	
https://www.palaeosa.org/heritagepractitioners.html for a list	
of qualified palaeontologists). The report must comply with	
the 2012 Minimum Standards: Palaeontological Components	
of Heritage Impact Assessments.	
Any other heritage resources as defined in section 3 of the	
NHRA that may be impacted, such as built structures over 60	
years old, sites of cultural significance associated with oral	
histories, burial grounds and graves, graves of victims of	
conflict, and cultural landscapes or viewscapes must also be	
assessed. The NEMA EIA documents and appendices must be	
submitted at the start of the public review periods in order for	
an informed comment to be issued that can be incorporated	
into the final reports for submission to the competent	
authority.	

I&AP	Raymond Takuba	In an email dated 21 December 2022 the following comments were received:	In an email dated 20 January 2023 Environamics responded:
		Good day Ansone,	Good Day Mr Takuba
	l		I trust this email finds you well.
		Please kindly register both Siya and myself as I&APs on the above mentioned project and the associated grid connections.	Please not that Ansone is no longer with Environamics.
		Please also kindly share with us a shapefile indicating both the PV facility and grid connection so we may assess the impact.	I have taken note of your previous emails and shall register you as an I&AP.
		Best Regards	Have a great weekend!
			Warm Regards