
# APPENDIX C8 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT



**PAGE** 

# KIARA PV 2 FACILITY AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, NORTH WEST PROVINCE

#### **COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT**

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	. COMMENTS SUBMITTED DURING THE SCOPING PHASE (INITIAL CONSULTATION & 30-DAY REVIEW PERIOD)	1	
	1.1. Organs of State	1	
	1.2 Key Stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)	8	3

The Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (S&EIA) process for the Kiara PV Cluster and associated infrastructure was announced on **Friday**, **24 June 2022**. A Background Information Document (BID) was distributed and served to invite Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) to register their interest in the project and to submit any comments / queries regarding the proposed project. The notification of the availability of the Scoping Report for review and comment was included in the notification of the distribution of the BID. All written comments received from the commencement of the Scoping phase to date have been included in the table below.

The Scoping Report was made available for a 30-day review and comment period from **Friday**, **24 June 2022** until **Monday**, **25 July 2022**. All written comments received during the announcement of the S&EIA process, as well as the 30-day review and comment period have been included in this Comments and Responses Report (C&RR) to be submitted with the final EIA Report to the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment for their acceptance.

The C&RR is included in **Appendix C8** of the final Scoping Report.

#### NOTE:

All comments captured in the C&RR are verbatim and have not been summarised nor edited for typing or grammatical errors

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / ACRONYMS

APM	Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorites	EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
C&RR	Comments and Response Report	NHRA	National Heritage Resource Agency
BID	Background Information Document	PIA	Palaeontological Impact Assessment
ВА	Basic Assessment	PV	Photovoltaic
DSR	Draft Scoping Report	SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency
EA	Environmental Assessment		

# 1. COMMENTS SUBMITTED DURING THE SCOPING PHASE (INITIAL CONSULTATION & 30-DAY REVIEW PERIOD)

## 1.1. Organs of State

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
1.	Milicent Solomons	This letter serves to inform you that the following information		
		must be included to the Final Scoping Report:		
	Department of Forestry, Fisheries	Listed Activities and Application Form		
	and the Environment (DFFE)	Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are		All relevant listed activities are applied
		applied for, are specific and can be linked to the		for, are specific and can be linked to
	Letter dated: 25 July 2022	development activity or infrastructure as described in		the development activity or
		the project description. Please provide the details,		infrastructure as described in the
		capacities and thresholds of the specific project		project description. Only activities
		infrastructure, not a repetition of the listed activity		applicable to the development have
		thresholds (i.e., a description of the dangerous goods		been applied for and assessed. All
		facility infrastructure, capacity and location, and the		listed activities applied for are
		length and width of the main access roads.		indicated in <b>Chapter 7, Table 7.2</b> , of
				the Final Scoping Report.
		It is imperative that the relevant authorities are		Appendix C of the final Scoping
		continuously involved throughout the environmental		Report contains all evidence of
		impact assessment process, as the development		relevant authorities that have been
		property possibly falls within geographically designated		consulted as part of this EIA process to
		areas in terms of Listing Notice 3 Activities. Written		date. A preliminary sensitivity map
		comments must be obtained from the relevant		indicating all preliminary sensitivities
		authorities (or proof of consultation if no comments were		identified during the scoping phase is
		received) and submitted to this Department. In		included as <b>Figure 10.1</b> and in
		addition, a graphical representation of the proposed		Appendix K of the final Scoping
		development within the respective geographical areas		Report.
		must be provided. Please also ensure that the potential		
		impacts on the affected Critical Biodiversity Areas and		Furthermore, the potential impacts on
		Ecological Support Areas are fully assessed in the final		the affected Critical Biodiversity Areas
		ElAr.		and Ecological Support Areas will be
				fully assessed in the EIAr phase.

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		If the activities applied for in the application form differ		An amended application form is not
		from those mentioned in the final SR, an amended		required for final submission of the final
		application form must be submitted. Please note that		Scoping Report.
		the Department's application form template has been		
		amended and can be downloaded from the following		
		link		
		https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms.		
		Layout & Sensitivity Maps		
		Please provide a layout map which indicates the following:		Please refer to Figure 10.1 of the
		The proposed location of the PV arrays and associated		final scoping report indicating all
		infrastructure of the proposed 130MW Kiara PV1, PV2,		preliminary sensitivities identified
		PV3 and PV4 Photovoltaic Solar Energy Facilities.		during the scoping phase and
		The location of the proposed Kiara PV1, PV2, PV3 and		Figure 9.6 for a cumulative map. It
		PV4 solar PV facilities in relation to the cluster of the 3		should be noted that the full
		additional planned PV facilities (i.e., Kiara PV5, PV6 and		extent of the development area
		Kiara PV7).		has been considered within this
		The proposed grid infrastructure connecting the PV		final scoping report with the aim of
		facilities to the existing Watershed Substation, overlain		determining the suitability from an
		by the sensitivity map.		environmental and social
		All supporting onsite infrastructure e.g., roads (existing)		perspective and identifying the
		and proposed).		areas that should be avoided in
		The location of sensitive environmental features on site      CRAs haritage sites well and a designate lines at a		the development planning. Within
		e.g., CBAs, heritage sites, wetlands, drainage lines etc. that will be affected.		the identified development area,
		Buffer areas; and		a facility layout detailing the proposed infrastructures will be
				defined for assessment in the EIA
		<ul><li>All "no-go" areas.</li><li>The above map must be overlain with a sensitivity map</li></ul>		phase. The layout map will be
		and a cumulative map which shows neighbouring		prepared in accordance with the
		renewable energy developments and existing grid		relevant requirement as stated.
		infrastructure.		Tolevani requiement as statea.
		Google maps will not be accepted.		Please note that the proposed
				grid connection infrastructure will

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
				<ul> <li>be submitted as a separate BA process</li> <li>Please refer to Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2 and Appendix K for the location of the proposed Kiara PV facilities.</li> </ul>
		Public Participation Process  Please ensure that all issues raised, and comments received on the draft SR from registered I&APs and organs of state which have jurisdiction (including this Department's Biodiversity Section:  BCAdmin@environment.gov.za ) in respect of the		A record of all the raised comments is appended to the final Scoping Report;  Appendix C7.
		proposed activity are adequately addressed in the Final SR. Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be included in the Final SR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof must be submitted to the Department of the attempts that were made to obtain comments. The Public Participation Process must be conducted in terms of the approved public participation plan and Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.		All correspondence with various stakeholders is appended to the final Scoping Report – <b>Appendix C5</b> is the Organs of State correspondence and <b>Appendix C6</b> is the Key Stakeholders and I&APs.
		A comments and response trail report (C&R) must be submitted with the final SR. The C&R report must incorporate all historical comments (pre and post submission of the draft SR) for this development. The C&R report must be a separate document from the main report and the format must be in the table format which reflects the details of the I&APs and date of comments received, actual comments received, and response provided. Please ensure that comments made by I&APs		A record of all the comments received and reference engagement material is included in <b>Appendix C7</b> of the final Scoping Report.

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		are comprehensively captured (copy verbatim if		
		required) and responded to clearly and fully. Please		
		note that a response such as "Noted" is not regarded as		
		an adequate response to I&AP's comments.		
		<ul> <li>Specialist Assessments to be conducted in the EIA Phase</li> <li>Specialist studies to be conducted must provide a detailed description of their methodology, as well as indicate the locations and descriptions of turbine</li> </ul>		The identified specialist studies include a detailed description of the methodology followed as well as an indication of the location and description of the development and
		positions, and all other associated infrastructures that they have assessed and are recommending for authorisations.		all other associated infrastructure.
		The specialist studies must also provide a detailed description of all limitations to their studies. All specialist studies must be conducted in the right season and providing that as a limitation, will not be accepted.		All specialist reports compiled for the Final Scoping Report include a detailed description of the limitations of the studies. All specialist studies have been conducted in the correct season.
		Should the appointed specialists specify contradicting recommendations, the EAP must clearly indicate the most reasonable recommendation and substantiate this with defendable reasons; and were necessary, include further expertise advice.		No contradicting recommendations were provided by the specialists as part of the scoping process. This comment is noted and will be taken into consideration during the EIA Phase of the process.
		It is further brought to your attention that Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation, which were promulgated in Government Notice No. 320 of 20 March 2020 (i.e. "the Protocols"), and in Government Notice No. 1150 of 30 October 2020 (i.e. protocols for terrestrial plant and		The specialist studies have been conducted in accordance with Government Notice No. 320 of 20 March 2020 (i.e., "the protocols"), and Government Notice No. 1150 of 30 October 2020.

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		animal species), have come into effect. Please note that		
		specialist assessments must be conducted in		
		accordance with these protocols. Please note further		
		that the protocols require the specialists' to be		
		registered with SACNASP.		
		Please include a table in the report, summarising the		Refer to <b>Chapter 7, table 7.2</b> for a table
		specialist studies required by the Department's		which summarize the specialist studies
		Screening Tool, a column indicating whether these		required by the Department's
		studies were conducted or not, and a column with		Screening Tool and an indication of
		motivation for any studies not conducted. Please note		which studies were undertaken.
		that if any of the specialists' studies and		Where studies have not been
		requirements/protocols recommended in the		undertaken, a motivation has been
		Department's Screening Tool are not commissioned,		provided.
		motivation for such must be provided in the report per		
		the requirements of the Protocols.		
		Cumulative Assessment to be conducted in the EIA Phase		A scoping level evaluation of potential
		Should there be any other similar projects within a 30km		cumulative impacts is provided in
		radius of the proposed development site, the cumulative		<b>Section 9.4</b> of the Final Scoping Report.
		impact assessment for all identified and assessed		Renewable energy facilities within a
		impacts must be refined to indicate the following:		30km radius of the proposed
		Identified cumulative impacts must be clearly		development have been identified as
		defined, and where possible the size of the identified		detailed in <b>Section 9.4</b> of the Scoping
		impact must be quantified and indicated, i.e.		Report. An assessment of potential
		hectares of cumulatively transformed land.		cumulative impacts will be undertaken
		Detailed process flow and proof must be provided,		during the EIA Phase of the process in
		to indicate how the specialist's recommendations,		accordance with these requirements.
		mitigation measures and conclusions from the		
		various similar developments in the area were taken		
		into consideration in the assessment of cumulative		
		impacts and when the conclusion and mitigation		
		measures were drafted for this project.		

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		The cumulative impacts significance rating must also		
		inform the need and desirability of the proposed		
		development.		
		A cumulative impact environmental statement on		
		whether the proposed development must proceed.		
		Environmental Management Programme		Where required the generic
		The EMPr must include the following:		Environmental Management
		• It is drawn to your attention that for <u>substation and</u>		Programme, contemplated in
		overhead electricity transmission and distribution		Regulations 19(4) will be used and
		infrastructure, when such facilities trigger activity 11 or 47		submitted with the final report over
		of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations		and above the EMPr for the PV facility.
		Listing Notice 1 of 2014, as amended, and any other		
		listed and specified activities necessary for the		
		realisation of such facilities, the generic Environmental		
		Management Programme, contemplated in		
		Regulations 19(4) must be used and submitted with the		
		final report over and above the EMPr for the facility.		
		Further to the above, you are required to comply with		
		the content of the EMPr in terms of Appendix 4 of the		
		Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014, as		
		amended.		
		<u>General</u>		
		You are further reminded to comply with Regulation 21(1) of		The Scoping Report has been
		the NEMA EIA Regulations 2014, as amended, which states		subjected to a 30-day review period
		that:		and the final Scoping Report is
		"If S&EIR must be applied to an application, the applicant		submitted within the prescribed
		must, within 44 days of receipt of the application by the		timeframe of the Regulations.
		competent authority, submit to the competent authority a		
		scoping report which has been subjected to a public		The final Scoping Report complies with
		participation process of at least 30 days and which reflects		the requirements of Appendix 2 and
		the incorporation of comments received, including any		Regulation 21(1) of the EIA
		comments of the competent authority"		Regulations.

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		You are further reminded that the final SR to be submitted to		
		this Department must comply with all the requirements in		The Applicant acknowledges that no
		terms of the scope of assessment and content of Scoping		activity may commence prior to
		reports in accordance with Appendix 2 and Regulation 21(1)		receipt of the Environmental
		of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.		Authorisation.
		Further note that in terms of Regulation 45 of the EIA		
		Regulations 2014, as amended, this application will lapse if		
		the applicant fails to meet any of the timeframes prescribed		
		in terms of these Regulations, unless an extension has been		
		granted in terms of Regulation 3(7).		
		You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National		
		Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as		
		amended, that no activity may commence prior to an		
		Environmental Authorisation being granted by the		
		Department.		
2	Elijah Katsetse	Interim Comment		
	Heritage Officer			
	and	The SAHRA's APM Unit acknowledges reciept of the DSR and		
	Phillip Hine	Heritage Screener and the recommendations contained		
	Manager: Archaeology,	therein. SAHRA supports the recommendations of the		
	Palaeontology and Meteorites	specialist and requests that the following is undertaken in		
	Unit	terms of section 38(3) of the NHRA (25 of 1999):		
	SAHRA			
		The specialist has recommended a field-based		A full field-based Archaeological
	Letter: 28 July 2022	archaeological impact assessment. A field-based		Impact Assessment (AIA) that
		assessment of the impact to archaeological resources must		complies with section 38(3) of both the
		be conducted by a qualified archaeologist. The report must		NHRA and SAHRA minimum standards
		comply with section 38(3) of the NHRA and the SAHRA 2006		will be undertaken by a qualified
		Minimum Standards: Archaeological and Palaeontological		Cultural Heritage Specialist and will be
		Component of Impact Assessments, and the 2012 Minimum		submitted as part of the EIAr.
		Standards: Archaeological Component of Heritage Impact		

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		Assessments. The Minimum Standards provides allowance for a Letter of Recommendation for Exemption that can be submitted by a qualified archaeologist should they deem it Appropriate		
		The proposed development footprint is located in an area of Very High sensitivity for palaeontological resources as per the SAHRIS PalaeoSensitivity map. As such, a field-based Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) is required to be conducted as part of the EA process. The desktop PIA must be compiled by a qualified palaeontologist and must comply with the SAHRA 2012 Minimum Standards: Palaeontological Components of Heritage Impact Assessments.		A full Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) that complies with SAHRA's minimum standards will be undertaken by a qualified Palaeontologist and will be submitted as part of the EIAr.
		Further comments will be issued upon receipt of the above requested reports and the submission of the draft EIA with appendices.		
		Should you have any further queries, please contact the designated official using the case number quoted above in the case header.		

## 1.2 Key Stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

ı	No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
1	1	No comments received		