APPENDIX C8 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT

PAGE

KIARA PV 4 FACILITY AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, NORTH WEST PROVINCE

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	CO	MMENTS SUBMITTED DURING THE SCOPING PHASE (INITIAL CONSULTATION & 30-DAY REVIEW PERIOD)	ł
	1.1.	Organs of State	l
	1.2 Ke	y Stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)	3

The Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (S&EIA) process for the Kiara PV Cluster and associated infrastructure was announced on **Friday**, **24 June 2022**. A Background Information Document (BID) was distributed and served to invite Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) to register their interest in the project and to submit any comments / queries regarding the proposed project. The notification of the availability of the Scoping Report for review and comment was included in the notification of the distribution of the BID. All written comments received from the commencement of the Scoping phase to date have been included in the table below.

The Scoping Report was made available for a 30-day review and comment period from **Friday**, **24 June 2022** until **Monday**, **25 July 2022**. All written comments received during the announcement of the S&EIA process, as well as the 30-day review and comment period have been included in this Comments and Responses Report (C&RR) to be submitted with the final EIA Report to the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment for their acceptance.

The C&RR is included in **Appendix C8** of the final Scoping Report.

NOTE:

All comments captured in the C&RR are verbatim and have not been summarised nor edited for typing or grammatical errors

APM	Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorites	EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
C&RR	Comments and Response Report	NHRA	National Heritage Resource Agency
BID	Background Information Document	PIA	Palaeontological Impact Assessment
BA	Basic Assessment	PV	Photovoltaic
DSR	Draft Scoping Report	SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency
EA	Environmental Assessment		

1. COMMENTS SUBMITTED DURING THE SCOPING PHASE (INITIAL CONSULTATION & 30-DAY REVIEW PERIOD)

1.1. Organs of State

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
1.	Milicent Solomons	This letter serves to inform you that the following information		
		must be included to the Final Scoping Report:		
	Department of Forestry, Fisheries	Listed Activities and Application Form		
	and the Environment (DFFE)	• Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are		All relevant listed activities are applied
		applied for, are specific and can be linked to the		for, are specific and can be linked to
	Letter dated: 25 July 2022	development activity or infrastructure as described in		the development activity or
		the project description. Please provide the details,		infrastructure as described in the
		capacities and thresholds of the specific project		project description. Only activities
		infrastructure, not a repetition of the listed activity		applicable to the development have
		thresholds (i.e., a description of the dangerous goods		been applied for and assessed. All
		facility infrastructure, capacity and location, and the		listed activities applied for are
		length and width of the main access roads.		indicated in Chapter 7, Table 7.2, of
				the Final Scoping Report.
		• It is imperative that the relevant authorities are		Appendix C of the final Scoping
		continuously involved throughout the environmental		Report contains all evidence of
		impact assessment process, as the development		relevant authorities that have been
		property possibly falls within geographically designated		consulted as part of this EIA process to
		areas in terms of Listing Notice 3 Activities. Written		date. A preliminary sensitivity map
		comments must be obtained from the relevant		indicating all preliminary sensitivities
		authorities (or proof of consultation if no comments were		identified during the scoping phase is
		received) and submitted to this Department. In		included as Figure 10.1 and in
		addition, a graphical representation of the proposed		Appendix K of the final Scoping
		development within the respective geographical areas		Report.
		must be provided. Please also ensure that the potential		
		impacts on the affected Critical Biodiversity Areas and		Furthermore, the potential impacts on
		Ecological Support Areas are fully assessed in the final		the affected Critical Biodiversity Areas
		ElAr.		and Ecological Support Areas will be
				fully assessed in the EIAr phase.

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		If the activities applied for in the application form differ		An amended application form is not
		from those mentioned in the final SR, an amended		required for final submission of the final
		application form must be submitted. Please note that		Scoping Report.
		the Department's application form template has been		
		amended and can be downloaded from the following		
		link		
		https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms.		
		Layout & Sensitivity Maps		
		Please provide a layout map which indicates the following:		• Please refer to Figure 10.1 of the
		The proposed location of the PV arrays and associated		final scoping report indicating all
		infrastructure of the proposed 130MW Kiara PV1, PV2,		preliminary sensitivities identified
		PV3 and PV4 Photovoltaic Solar Energy Facilities.		during the scoping phase and
		• The location of the proposed Kiara PV1, PV2, PV3 and		Figure 9.6 for a cumulative map. It
		PV4 solar PV facilities in relation to the cluster of the 3		should be noted that the full
		additional planned PV facilities (i.e., Kiara PV5, PV6 and		extent of the development area
		Kiara PV7).		has been considered within this
		• The proposed grid infrastructure connecting the PV		final scoping report with the aim of
		facilities to the existing Watershed Substation, overlain		determining the suitability from an
		by the sensitivity map.		environmental and social
		All supporting onsite infrastructure e.g., roads (existing		perspective and identifying the
		and proposed).		areas that should be avoided in
		• The location of sensitive environmental features on site		the development planning. Within
		e.g., CBAs, heritage sites, wetlands, drainage lines etc.		the identified development area,
		that will be affected.		a facility layout detailing the
		Buffer areas; and		proposed infrastructures will be
		All "no-go" areas.		defined for assessment in the EIA
		The above map must be overlain with a sensitivity map		phase. The layout map will be
		and a cumulative map which shows neighbouring		prepared in accordance with the
		renewable energy developments and existing grid infrastructure.		relevant requirement as stated.
		 Google maps will not be accepted. 		• Please note that the proposed
				grid connection infrastructure will

No.	Raised by	Comment Respon	nded by	Response
				be submitted as a separate BA
				process
				• Please refer to Figure 1.1 and
				Figure 1.2 and Appendix K for the
				location of the proposed Kiara PV
				facilities.
		Public Participation Process		
		Please ensure that all issues raised, and comments required on the draft SD from registered USADs and		A record of all the raised comments is
		received on the draft SR from registered I&APs and		appended to the final Scoping Report;
		organs of state which have jurisdiction (including this Department's Biodiversity Section:		Appendix C7.
		BCAdmin@environment.gov.za) in respect of the		
		proposed activity are adequately addressed in the Final		All correspondence with various
		SR. Proof of correspondence with the various		stakeholders is appended to the final
		stakeholders must be included in the Final SR. Should you		Scoping Report – Appendix C5 is the
		be unable to obtain comments, proof must be		Organs of State correspondence and
		submitted to the Department of the attempts that were		Appendix C6 is the Key Stakeholders
		made to obtain comments. The Public Participation		and I&APs.
		Process must be conducted in terms of the approved		
		public participation plan and Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42,		
		43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.		
		A comments and response trail report (C&R) must be		A record of all the comments received
		submitted with the final SR. The C&R report must		and reference engagement material
		incorporate all historical comments (pre and post		is included in Appendix C7 of the final
		submission of the draft SR) for this development. The C&R		Scoping Report.
		report must be a separate document from the main		
		report and the format must be in the table format which		
		reflects the details of the I&APs and date of comments		
		received, actual comments received, and response		
		provided. Please ensure that comments made by I&APs		

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		are comprehensively captured (copy verbatim if		
		required) and responded to clearly and fully. Please		
		note that a response such as "Noted" is not regarded as		
		an adequate response to I&AP's comments.		
		Specialist Assessments to be conducted in the EIA Phase		The identified specialist studies include
		• Specialist studies to be conducted must provide a		a detailed description of the
		detailed description of their methodology, as well as		methodology followed as well as an indication of the location and
		indicate the locations and descriptions of turbine		description of the development and
		positions, and all other associated infrastructures that		all other associated infrastructure.
		they have assessed and are recommending for authorisations.		
		• The specialist studies must also provide a detailed		All specialist reports compiled for the
		description of all limitations to their studies. All specialist		Final Scoping Report include a
		studies must be conducted in the right season and		detailed description of the limitations
		providing that as a limitation, will not be accepted.		of the studies. All specialist studies
				have been conducted in the correct
				season.
		Should the appointed specialists specify contradicting		No contradicting recommendations
		recommendations, the EAP must clearly indicate the		were provided by the specialists as
		most reasonable recommendation and substantiate this		part of the scoping process. This comment is noted and will be taken
		with defendable reasons; and were necessary, include		into consideration during the EIA
		further expertise advice.		Phase of the process.
		It is further brought to your attention that Procedures for	-	The specialist studies have been
		the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on		conducted in accordance with
		identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections		Government Notice No. 320 of 20
		24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental		March 2020 (i.e., "the protocols"), and
		Management Act, 1998, when applying for		Government Notice No. 1150 of 30
		Environmental Authorisation, which were promulgated		October 2020.
		in Government Notice No. 320 of 20 March 2020 (i.e.		
		"the Protocols"), and in Government Notice No. 1150 of		
		30 October 2020 (i.e. protocols for terrestrial plant and		

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
No.	Raised by	 animal species), have come into effect. Please note that specialist assessments must be conducted in accordance with these protocols. Please note further that the protocols require the specialists' to be registered with SACNASP. Please include a table in the report, summarising the specialist studies required by the Department's Screening Tool, a column indicating whether these studies were conducted or not, and a column with motivation for any studies not conducted. Please note that if any of the specialist' studies and requirements/protocols recommended in the Department's Screening Tool are not commissioned, motivation for such must be provided in the report per the requirements of the Protocols. Should there be any other similar projects within a 30km radius of the proposed development site, the cumulative impact assessment for all identified and assessed impacts must be refined to indicate the following: Identified cumulative impacts must be clearly defined, and where possible the size of the identified impact must be quantified and indicated, i.e. hectares of cumulatively transformed land. Detailed process flow and proof must be provided, to indicate how the specialist's recommendations, 		ResponseRefer to Chapter 7, table 7.2 for a table which summarize the specialist studies required by the Department's Screening Tool and an indication of which studies were undertaken. Where studies have not been undertaken, a motivation has been provided.A scoping level evaluation of potential cumulative impacts is provided in Section 9.4 of the Final Scoping Report. Renewable energy facilities within a 30km radius of the proposed development have been identified as detailed in Section 9.4 of the Scoping Report. An assessment of potential cumulative impacts will be undertaken during the EIA Phase of the process in accordance with these requirements.

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		 Comment The cumulative impacts significance rating must also inform the need and desirability of the proposed development. A cumulative impact environmental statement on whether the proposed development must proceed. Environmental Management Programme The EMPr must include the following: It is drawn to your attention that for substation and overhead electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure, when such facilities trigger activity 11 or 47 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Listing Notice 1 of 2014, as amended, and any other listed and specified activities necessary for the realisation of such facilities, the generic Environmental Management Programme, contemplated in Regulations 19(4) must be used and submitted with the final report over and above the EMPr for the facility. 	Kesponded by	Where required the generic Environmental Management Programme, contemplated in Regulations 19(4) will be used and submitted with the final report over and above the EMPr for the PV facility.
		 Former to the above, you are required to comply with the content of the EMPr in terms of Appendix 4 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014, as amended. 		
		General You are further reminded to comply with Regulation 21(1) of the NEMA EIA Regulations 2014, as amended, which states that: "If S&EIR must be applied to an application, the applicant must, within 44 days of receipt of the application by the competent authority, submit to the competent authority a scoping report which has been subjected to a public participation process of at least 30 days and which reflects the incorporation of comments received, including any comments of the competent authority"		The Scoping Report has been subjected to a 30-day review period and the final Scoping Report is submitted within the prescribed timeframe of the Regulations. The final Scoping Report complies with the requirements of Appendix 2 and Regulation 21(1) of the EIA Regulations.

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		You are further reminded that the final SR to be submitted to		
		this Department must comply with all the requirements in		The Applicant acknowledges that no
		terms of the scope of assessment and content of Scoping		activity may commence prior to
		reports in accordance with Appendix 2 and Regulation 21(1)		receipt of the Environmental
ľ		of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.		Authorisation.
ľ		Further note that in terms of Regulation 45 of the EIA		
1		Regulations 2014, as amended, this application will lapse if		
1		the applicant fails to meet any of the timeframes prescribed		
		in terms of these Regulations, unless an extension has been		
		granted in terms of Regulation 3(7).		
ľ		You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National		
ſ		Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as		
ſ		amended, that no activity may commence prior to an		
ľ		Environmental Authorisation being granted by the		
1		Department.		
2	Elijah Katsetse	Interim Comment		
	Heritage Officer			
ľ	and	The SAHRA's APM Unit acknowledges reciept of the DSR and		
	Phillip Hine	Heritage Screener and the recommendations contained		
	Manager: Archaeology,	therein. SAHRA supports the recommendations of the		
	Palaeontology and Meteorites	specialist and requests that the following is undertaken in		
	Unit	terms of section 38(3) of the NHRA (25 of 1999):		
	SAHRA			
		The specialist has recommended a field-based		A full field-based Archaeological
	Letter: 28 July 2022	archaeological impact assessment. A field-based		Impact Assessment (AIA) that
ſ		assessment of the impact to archaeological resources must		complies with section 38(3) of both the
		be conducted by a qualified archaeologist. The report must		NHRA and SAHRA minimum standards
		comply with section 38(3) of the NHRA and the SAHRA 2006		will be undertaken by a qualified
		Minimum Standards: Archaeological and Palaeontological		Cultural Heritage Specialist and will be
		Component of Impact Assessments, and the 2012 Minimum		submitted as part of the ElAr.
		Standards: Archaeological Component of Heritage Impact		

No.	Raised by	Comment	Responded by	Response
		Assessments. The Minimum Standards provides allowance for		
		a Letter of Recommendation for Exemption that can be		
		submitted by a qualified archaeologist should they deem it		
		Appropriate		
		The proposed development footprint is located in an area of		A full Palaeontological Impact
		Very High sensitivity for palaeontological resources as per the		Assessment (PIA) that complies with
		SAHRIS PalaeoSensitivity map. As such, a field-based		SAHRA's minimum standards will be
		Palaeontological Impact Assessment (PIA) is required to be		undertaken by a qualified
		conducted as part of the EA process. The desktop PIA must		Palaeontologist and will be submitted
		be compiled by a qualified palaeontologist and must		as part of the ElAr.
		comply with the SAHRA 2012 Minimum Standards:		
		Palaeontological Components of Heritage Impact		
		Assessments.		
		Further comments will be issued upon receipt of the above		
		requested reports and the submission of the draft		
		EIA with appendices.		
		Should you have any further queries, please contact the		
		designated official using the case number quoted		
		above in the case header.		

1.2 Key Stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs)

No.	Comment	Raised by	Response
1	No comments received		