APPENDIX E1 - SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The following sections present the outcome of the significance rating exercise. The results suggest that almost none of the key issues identified as part of the scoping process had a negative high environmental significance after mitigation. Instead the overall score indicate a low environmental significance score. The results below are as per the impact assessments of Appendix D of the BA Report.

1. Impacts that may result from the construction phase

Direct impacts: During the construction phase minor negative impacts are foreseen over the short term. The latter refers to a period of 12 months. The installation of services may result in the loss or fragmentation of indigenous natural fauna and flora, loss or fragmentation of habitats, disturbance of soils and existing land use (soil compaction), disturbance and displacement of avifauna, water and soil pollution, temporary noise disturbance, generation of waste, impacts on heritage objects, visual intrusions, increase in construction vehicle traffic, impact of construction workers on local communities, influx of job seekers, risk to safety, livestock and farm infrastructure, and increased risk of grass fires. The construction phase will also have a direct positive impact through the provision of employment opportunities for its duration. The abovementioned impacts are discussed in more detail below:

• <u>Direct habitat destruction</u> — The construction phase of the development and associated infrastructure will result in loss of and damage to natural habitats if the vegetation is cleared for the development of the powerline. Rehabilitation of some areas would be possible but there is likely to be long-term damage in large areas. Most habitat destruction will be caused during the construction phase. However, re-growth of grass and dwarf shrubs under the powerline will take place. The areas below the powerline in grassland will have to be cleared (slashed) of excess vegetation at regular intervals to allow access to the area for maintenance, to prevent vegetation from intruding into the legally prescribed clearance gap between the ground and the power line conductors and to minimize the risk of fire which can result in electrical flashovers. These activities will have an impact on birds breeding, foraging and roosting in or in close proximity of the servitude through modification of habitat. The impact of power line and specific placement of the poles should be restricted to the proposed line and not over the larger area. Vegetation communities are likely to be impacted on a small spatial scale in comparison to the extent of the vegetation communities' total area in the region.

Direct habitat destruction	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Probability	Definite (5)	Definite (5)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	Medium (8)	Low (6)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	May cause irreplaceable	Marginal loss of resource
	loss of resources	
Cumulative impact	The loss of vegetation may have a low impact	
Significance	Negative High (70)	Negative medium (60)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, the impact can be mitigated	

- The removal of indigenous flora should be kept to a minimum necessary. Trim, rather than fell of woody species along the edges of the development site where possible. The clearing and damage of plant growth in the riparian and wetland areas should be restricted to the actual crossing where possible, and not into the sensitive adjacent areas. Where protected flora will need to be cleared or pruned, permits should be obtained from the relevant authority.
- Peripheral impacts around the development corridor on the surrounding vegetation of the area should be avoided and a monitoring programme should be implemented to ensure the impacts are kept to a minimum, while the rehabilitation of the power line route should be prioritized after construction has been completed.
- During construction, sensitive habitats must be avoided by construction vehicles and equipment, wherever possible, to reduce potential impacts.
 Only necessary damage must be caused and, for example, unnecessary driving around in the veld or bulldozing natural habitat must not take place.
- An avifauna specialist should be consulted to conduct a specialist study for the project area and monitoring of the potential impact of the powerline in the future.
- All development activities should be restricted to specific recommended areas. The Environment Control Officer (ECO) should control these areas. Storage of equipment, fuel and other materials should be limited to demarcated areas. Layouts should be adapted to fit natural patterns rather than imposing rigid geometries. The entire development footprint should be clearly demarcated prior to initial site clearance and prevent construction personnel from leaving the demarcated area. This would only be applicable to the construction phase of the proposed development.
- The ECO should advise the construction team in all relevant matters to ensure minimum destruction and damage to the environment. The ECO should enforce any measures that he/she deem necessary. Regular environmental training should be provided to construction workers to ensure the protection of the habitat, fauna and flora and their sensitivity to conservation.
- Where holes for poles pose a risk to animal safety, they should be adequately cordoned off to

- prevent animals falling in and getting trapped and/or injured. This could be prevented by the constant excavating and backfilling during planting of the poles along the lines.
- Poisons for the control of problem animals should rather be avoided since the wrong use thereof can have disastrous consequences for the raptors occurring in the area. The use of poisons for the control of rats, mice or other vermin should only be used after approval from an ecologist.
- Limit pesticide use to non-persistent, immobile pesticides and apply in accordance with label and application permit directions and stipulations for terrestrial and aquatic applications.
- Monitoring should be implemented during the construction phase of the development to ensure that minimal impact is caused to the fauna and flora of the area.
- Placement of pylons should be outside sensitive vegetation units, outcrops and drainage channels and wetlands (including the 32m buffer).
- A detailed wetland assessment should be conducted to determine the exact edges of potential wetlands and drainage channels.

<u>Habitat fragmentation</u> – The construction of the power line development and associated infrastructure will result in natural movement patterns being disrupted for a limited period and, to a varying degree depending on how different species react to these barriers will result in the fragmentation of natural populations, although the impact will be minimal and restricted to the construction phase.

Habitat fragmentation	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Probability	Definite (5)	Definite (5)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Permanent (5)
Magnitude	Medium (8)	Low (6)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	May cause irreplaceable	Marginal loss of resource
	loss of resources	
Cumulative impact	Habitat fragmentation may	have a low impact
Significance	Negative High (70)	Negative medium (60)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, the impact can be mit	igated
	Use existing facilities (6)	e.g., impacted areas) to the
	extent possible to minimize the amount of new	
	disturbance.	
	• Ensure protection of	important resources by
	establishing protective	ve buffers to exclude
	unintentional disturban	ce. All possible efforts must

be made to ensure as little disturbance as possible
to the sensitive features such as surrounding
woodland and riparian woodland outside the
project area during construction.
During construction, sensitive habitats must be
avoided by construction vehicles and equipment,
wherever possible, to reduce potential impacts.
Only necessary damage must be caused and, for
example, unnecessary driving around in the veld or
, ,
bulldozing natural habitat must not take place.
Construction activities must remain within defined
construction areas. No construction / disturbance
will occur outside these areas.

• <u>Increased soil erosion and sedimentation</u> – The construction activities associated with the development may result in widespread soil disturbance and is usually associated with accelerated soil erosion. Soil erosion promotes a variety of terrestrial ecological changes associated with disturbed areas, including the establishment of alien invasive plant species, altered plant community species composition and loss of habitat for indigenous flora.

Increased soil erosion and	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
sedimentation	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Regional (3)	Site (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Medium term (3)
Magnitude	High (8)	Medium (6)
Reversibility	Completely reversible	Completely reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	No loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative High (80)	Negative Medium (44)
Can impacts be mitigated?	 as possible, to ensure prone to erosion are m Cover disturbed soils using vegetation or oth Minimize the amount develop and implemen control practices. Protect sloping areas a that are susceptible to there is no undue so activities within and accamp and Work Areas. Repair all erosion damallow for sufficient rehales. Gravel roads to the cowell drained to limit so Control the flow of r 	divided into as many phases e that the exposed areas inimal at any specific time. as completely as possible, er materials. To fland disturbance and the stringent erosion and dust and drainage channel banks to erosion and ensure that boil erosion resultant from diacent to the construction areas as soon as possible to abilitation growth.

	formation.
	Protect all areas susceptible to erosion and ensure
	that there is no undue soil erosion resultant from
	activities within and adjacent to the construction
	camp and Work Areas.
	Placement of pylons should be outside sensitive
	soil types and drainage channels.

Soil and water pollution - Construction work for the proposed development will always carry a risk of soil and water pollution, with large construction vehicles contributing substantially due to oil and fuel spillages. If not promptly dealt with, spillages or accumulation of waste matter can contaminate the soil and surface or ground water, leading to potential medium/long-term impacts on fauna and flora. During the constructional phase heavy machinery and vehicles would be the main contributors to potential pollution problems.

Soil and water pollution	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Regional (3)	Site (2)
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	Probable (2)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Low (2)
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Moderate (52)	Negative low (16) - negligible
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, the impact can be mitigated Any excess or waste material or chemicals should be removed from the site and discarded in an environmentally friendly way. The ECO should enforce this rule rigorously. Hazardous chemicals to be stored on an impervious surface protected from rainfall and storm water run-off. Spill kits should be on-hand to deal with spills immediately. All vehicles should be inspected for oil and fuel leaks on a regular basis. Vehicle maintenance yards on site should make provision for drip trays that will be used to capture any spills. Drip trays should be emptied into a holding tank and returned to the supplier.	

• <u>Air pollution</u> - The environmental impacts of wind-borne dust, gases and particulates from the construction activities associated with the proposed development are primarily related to human health and ecosystem damage.

One of the primary impacts on the biophysical environment is linked to emission of dusts and fumes from the transportation system. Dust pollution will impact the most severe during the construction phase. Construction vehicles and equipment are the major

contributors to the impact on air quality. Dust is generated during site clearance for the construction of infrastructure. Diesel exhaust gasses and other hydrocarbon emissions all add to the deterioration in air quality during this phase. Vehicles travelling at high speeds on dirt roads significantly aggravate the problem.

Although the potential for severe fugitive dust impacts is greatest within 100 m of the dust-generating activities, there is still the potential for dust to affect vegetation up to five kilometres or more downwind from the source. Dust deposited on the ground may cause changes in soil chemistry (chemical effects) and may over the long-term result in changes in plant chemistry, species composition and community structure. Sensitivities to dust deposition of the various plant species present in the area are not known. It is therefore difficult to predict which species may be susceptible.

Poor air quality results in deterioration of visibility and aesthetic landscape quality of the region, particularly in winter due to atmospheric inversions.

Air pollution	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (2)	Site (2)
Probability	Definite (5)	Highly Probable (5)
Duration	Medium term (3)	Medium term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Low (2)
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Moderate (55)	Negative low (16)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, the impact can be mitigated • A speed limit should be enforced on dirt roads (preferably 30-40km/h). • Implement standard dust control measures, including periodic spraying (frequency will depend on many factors including weather conditions, soil composition and traffic intensity and must thus be adapted on an on-going basis) of construction areas and access roads, and ensure that these are continuously monitored to ensure effective implementation.	

• Spread and establishment of alien invasive species - Continued movement of vehicles on and off the site during the construction phase will result in a risk of importation of alien species. Vehicles often transport many seeds, and some may be of invader species, which may become established along the access road, especially where the area is disturbed. The construction phase carries by far the greatest risk of alien invasive species being imported to the site, and the high levels of habitat disturbance also provide the greatest opportunities for such species to establish themselves, since most indigenous species are less tolerant of disturbance. The biggest risk is that seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites.

Spread and establishment of	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
alien invasive species	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (2)	Site (2)
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	Probable (2)
Duration	Permanent (5)	Medium term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Low (2)
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Moderate (55)	Negative low (14)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, the impact can be mit Control involves killing the seedlings which en managing an alternati growth and re-invasion will be controlled in that category by the Considering that sm species was observed to institute strict control onto site, which should noxious plants and ste before transport to the spray all materials with herbicides prior to transport area on site. The control of weeds and construction site for construction phase. A listed by the CARA eradicated. Rehabilitate disturbed to reduce the area who he at a strong advantate establish. Institute a monitoring invasive species ear established and, in the release of seeds. eradication/control	igated g the plants present, killing nerge, and establishing and we plant cover to limit remarked. Weeds and invader plants the manner prescribed for ARA or in terms of Working the control of these species or to the construction phase all populations of these during the field surveys. Of over materials brought d be inspected for seeds of ps taken to eradicate these estite. Routinely fumigate or the appropriate low-residual asport to or in a quarantine factor is responsible for the invader plants within the rather duration of the alien invasive tree species are gulations should be areas as quickly as possible here invasive species would age and most easily able to programme to detect alien ly, before they become exase of weeds, before the Once detected, an programme should be that the species' do not

 Negative effect of human activities - An increase in human activity on the site and surrounding areas is anticipated. The risk of snaring, killing, and hunting of certain faunal species is increased. If staff compounds are erected for construction workers, the risk of pollution because of litter and inadequate sanitation and the introduction of invasive fauna and flora are increased. The presence of many construction workers or regular workers during the construction phase on site over a protracted period will result in a greatly increased risk of uncontrolled fires arising from cooking fires, improperly disposed cigarettes etc.

Negative effect of human activities	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (2)	Site (2)
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	Probable (2)
Duration	Medium term (3)	Medium term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Low (2)
Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Moderate (44)	Negative low (14)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Low cumulative impact	

<u>Road mortalities</u> - Large numbers of fauna are also killed daily on roads. They are either being crushed under the tyres of vehicles in the case of crawling species, or by colliding with the vehicle itself in the case of avifauna or flying invertebrates. The impact is intensified at night, especially for flying insects, as result of their attraction to the lights of vehicles.

Road mortalities	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (2)	Site (2)
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	Highly Probable (4)
Duration	Medium term (3)	Medium term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Low (2)

Reversibility	Reversible	Reversible
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Moderate (44)	Negative low (28)
Can impacts be mitigated?	practical, construction of the nearby villages daily to the site. The ECO should reincluding storage faci eradicate any invasivanimals. Maintain proper findevelopment footprint. Educate construction of correct disposal of ciga. More fauna is normal travel. A speed lindeper (preferably 40 km/hour install speed bumps in limit tends to be disoblessen the probability negative consequences.	commodated on the site. If workers should stay in one is / towns and transported gularly inspect the site, lities and compounds and we or exotic plants and rebreaks around entire workers regarding risks and rettes. It will be enforced in the considered to a sections where the speed eyed. (Speed limits will also of road accidents and their

<u>Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats</u> - These impacts are expected
to start during the construction phase, but will reduce through the operational phase, and
be eliminated after decommissioning. The habitats are likely to be directly
impacted/disturbed and the increased disturbance is likely to deter protected species from
accessing the area.

Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Probable (3)	Unlikely (1)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	High (3)	High (3)
Reversibility	Barely Reversible (3)	Partly Reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
	(2)	(2)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Moderate (36)	Negative low (24)
 Can impacts be mitigated? 	Yes, the impact can be mitigated	
	Limit the construction footprint.	
	Retain indigenous vegetation wherever possible.	
	Limit access to remainder of area.	

•	Avoid construction during the breeding season (summer).
•	Laydown areas to be placed only disturbed zones.
•	Construct in shortest timeframe.
•	Control noise to minimum.

 <u>Displacement of resident avifauna through increased disturbance</u> - These impacts are expected to start during the construction phase, and will decline through the operational phase, disappearing after decommissioning. Many of the resident species are expected to be displaced, either temporarily or permanently, due to the habitat transformation and ongoing human presence and disturbance.

Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Possible (2)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Partly Reversible (2)	Partly Reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Low (20)	Negative low (20)
• Can impacts be mitigated?	None required due to the low significance	

 Loss of important avian habitats - The site contains a threatened habitat types, namely the Vaal-Vet Sandy Grassland (Gh10) classified as Endangered. This is expected to be disturbed and transformed during construction. Furthermore, the area is within a critical biodiversity area, an ecological support area and is a focus area for protected area expansion.

Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Possible (2)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Barely Reversible (3)	Barely Reversible (3)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Low (22)	Negative low (22)
Can impacts be mitigated?	None required due to the low significance	

<u>Temporary noise disturbance</u> - Construction activities will result in the generation of noise over a period of 12 months. Sources of noise are likely to include vehicles, the use of machinery such as drills and people working on the site. The noise impact is unlikely to be significant; but construction activities should be limited to normal working days and hours (7:00 – 18:00).

Temporary noise disturbance	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Probable (3)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible	Completely reversible
	(1)	(1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	The impact would result in negligible to no	
	cumulative effects (1).	
Significance	Negative low (20)	Negative low (9)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, management actions related to noise	
	pollution are included in the EMPr – refer to	
	Appendix F.	

Generation of waste - general waste, construction waste, sewage and grey water - The
workers on site are likely to generate general waste such as food wastes, packaging, bottles,
etc. Construction waste is likely to consist of packaging, scrap metals, waste cement, etc.
The applicant will need to ensure that general and construction waste is appropriately
disposed of i.e. taken to the nearest registered landfill. Sufficient ablution facilities will have
to be provided, in the form of portable/VIP toilets. No pit latrines, French drain systems or
soak away systems shall be allowed.

Generation of waste	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local/district (2)	Local/district (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative medium (13)	Negative low (13)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, it is therefore important that all management	
	actions and mitigation measures included in the EMPr	
	are implemented – refer to Appendix F.	

• <u>Impacts on heritage objects</u> – In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was appointed to conduct a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the area where it is planned to develop the grid infrastructure.

Impacts on heritage objects	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)

Probability	Possible (2)	Possible (2)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource	
	(2)	(2)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact.		
Significance	Negative low (24) Negative low (12)		
Can impacts be mitigated?	If archaeological sites or graves are exposed during		
	construction work, it should immediately be reported		
	to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and		
	evaluation of the finds can be made. Implement the		
	evaluation of the finds cal	n be made. Implement the	
		so refer to the mitigation	

<u>Visual intrusion</u> – Visual impacts are expected to occur on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed project. Impacts during the construction phase of the project mainly relate to construction activities, dust generation and there may be a notable increase in heavy vehicles utilising the roads to the grid connection corridor that may cause, at the very least, a visual nuisance to other road users and landowners in the area

Visual intrusion	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Probable (3)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resources	No loss of resources (1)
	(1)	
Cumulative impact	· ·	(2). The construction of
	the solar plant and asso	ociated infrastructure will
	increase the cumulative visual impact of	
	industrial type infrastructure in the region.	
Significance	Negative low (28) Negative low (13)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, mitigation measures are included in the	
	visual impact assessment study and the EMPr.	
	Retain and maintain natural vegetation	
	immediately adjacent to the development	
	footprint.	_
	• Ensure that vegetation is not unnecessarily removed during the construction phase.	
	Plan the placement of laydown areas and	
	temporary construction equipment camps in	
	order to minimise vegetation clearing (i.e., in	
		reas) where possible.
	·	ities and movement of
		ers and vehicles to the
		action site and existing

 access roads. Ensure that rubble, litter, etc. are appropriately stored (if it can't be removed daily) and then disposed of regularly at a
licenced waste site.
Reduce and control dust during construction by utilising dust suppression measures.
Reduce construction activities between 07:00 and 18:00, where possible, in order to reduce the impacts of construction lighting.
Rehabilitate all disturbed areas immediately after
the completion of construction work and
maintain good housekeeping.

Indirect impacts: The nuisance aspects generally associated with the installation of infrastructure will also be applicable to this development, which relates primarily to the increase in construction vehicle traffic.

Increase in construction vehicle traffic – Building materials and infrastructure will be transported to site on a daily basis and there will be an increase in construction vehicles on access roads. The movement of heavy construction vehicles during the construction phase has the potential to damage local farm roads and create dust and safety impacts for other road users in the area. The potential safety for other road users associated with the movement of construction related traffic along these roads is likely to be low. The contractor should be required to ensure that damage to the road is repaired before the handover of the project.

Increase in construction vehicle traffic	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local/Regional (2)	Local/Regional (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible (1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	The impact would result in low cumulative effects. If damage to roads is not repaired then this will affect the farming activities in the area and result in higher maintenance costs for vehicles of local farmers and other road users. The costs will be borne by road users who were no responsible for the damage.	
Significance	Negative low (22)	Negative low (11)
Can impacts be mitigated?	 Yes, the impact can be mitigated. The contractor must ensure that damage caused by construction related traffic to the relevant roads is repaired before the completion of the construction phase. The costs associated with the repair must be borne by the contractor. Dust suppression measures must be implemented 	

	for heavy vehicles such as wetting of gravel roads
	on a regular basis and ensuring that vehicles used
	to transport sand and building materials are fitted
	with tarpaulins or covers;
•	All vehicles must be road-worthy and drivers must
	be qualified and made aware of the potential
	road safety issues and need for strict speed limits.

• Impact of construction workers on local communities - The presence of construction workers poses a potential risk to family structures and social networks. While the presence of construction workers does not in itself constitute a social impact, the manner in which construction workers conduct themselves can impact on local communities. The most significant negative impact is associated with the disruption of existing family structures and social networks.

Increase of construction	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
workers on local communities	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local/Regional (2)	Local/Regional (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible (1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource (2)	Marginal loss of resource (2)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative effects.	
Significance	Negative low (24)	Negative low (12)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Low cumulative effects.	

• <u>Influx of job seekers</u> - Large construction projects tend to attract people to the area in the hope that they will secure a job, even if it is a temporary job. These job seekers can in turn become "economically stranded" in the area or decide to stay on irrespective of finding a job or not.

Influx of job seekers	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local/Regional (2)	Local/Regional (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible (1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative effects	
Significance	Negative low (22) Negative low (11)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	implement a "locals firs	bb. The potential influx of s likely to be low. The es are proposed: and practicably possible, t" policy, specifically with ow skilled opportunities;

• Risk to safety, livestock and farm infrastructure - The presence on and movement of construction workers on and off the site poses a potential safety threat to local famer's and farm workers in the vicinity of the site threat. In addition, farm infrastructure, such as fences and gates, may be damaged and stock losses may also result from gates being left open and/or fences being damaged or stock theft linked either directly or indirectly to the presence of farm workers on the site.

Risk to safety, livestock and	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
farm infrastructure	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Local/Regional (2)	Local/Regional (2)	
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible	
		(1)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)	
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative effects, provided losses are		
	compensated for.		
Significance	Negative low (22)	Negative low (11)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	Key mitigation measures include:		
	The construction area should be fenced off prior		

- to the commencement of the construction phase. The movement of construction workers on the site should be confined to the fenced off area; Contractors appointed should provide daily transport for low and semi-skilled workers to and from the site. This would reduce the potential risk of trespassing on adjacent properties; Contractors should be held liable compensating farmers in full for any stock losses and/or damage to farm infrastructure that can be linked to construction workers. Contractors appointed must ensure that construction workers who are found guilty of trespassing, stealing livestock and/or damaging farm infrastructure are dismissed and charged. This should be contained in the Code of Conduct.
 - The housing of construction workers on the site should be strictly limited to security personnel.

African labour legislation;

All dismissals must be in accordance with South

<u>Increased risk of grass fires</u> - The presence of construction workers and construction-related
activities on the site poses an increased risk of grass fires that could in turn pose a threat to
livestock, crops, wildlife and farmsteads in the area. In the process, farm infrastructure may
also be damaged or destroyed and human lives threatened.

Increased risk of grass fires	Pre-mitigation impact Post mitigation imparating rating		
Status (positive or negative)	Negative Negative		
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)	
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible (1)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)	
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative effects (1), provided losses are compensated for.		
Significance	Negative low (16)	Negative low (8)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	The mitigation measures include:		
	 Contractor should ensure that open fires on the site for cooking or heating are not allowed except in designated areas; Contractor to ensure that construction related activities that pose a potential fire risk, such as welding, are properly managed and are confined to areas where the risk of fires has been reduced. Measures to reduce the risk of fires include avoiding working in high wind conditions when the risk of fires is greater. In this regard special care should be taken during the high risk dry, 		

windy winter months;
 Contractor to provide adequate fire fighting equipment on-site, including a fire fighting vehicle;
 Contractor to provide fire-fighting training to selected construction staff;
 No construction staff, with the exception of security staff, to be accommodated on site over night;
 As per the conditions of the Code of Conduct, in the advent of a fire being caused by construction workers and or construction activities, the
appointed contractors must compensate farmers for any damage caused to their farms. The
contractor should also compensate the fire
fighting costs borne by farmers and local authorities.

5.1.1 Impacts that may result from the operational phase

Direct impacts: During the operational phase the grid connection corridor will house the proposed grid connection infrastructure and the impacts are generally associated with visual intrusion and avifauna impacts and the change in the sense of place. The operational phase will also have a direct positive impact through the provision of permanent employment opportunities, although very limited. The abovementioned impacts are discussed in more detail below:

Potential visual impacts on sensitive visual receptors within a 5km radius - The power line is expected to have a relative impact on visual receptors located within a 5km radius of the proposed project. These most sensitive of these visual receptors are road users, as well as agricultural developments in the area. The power line was assessed within a 200m wide corridor. Due to the height of the Power Lines and extent of the project, no viable mitigation measures can be implemented to eliminate the visual impact of the power line. Numerous other power lines and substations are present in the area surrounding the proposed power line.

Visual impacts within 5km	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)	
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)	
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)	
Magnitude	High (3)	High (3)	
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resources (1)	No loss of resources (1)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact		
Significance	Negative medium (39)	Negative medium (39)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, mitigation measures are included in the EMPr – Appendix F.		
	Retain / re-establish and maintain natural		

•	vegetation under the power line. Maintain general appearance of the power
line corridor.	

Potential visual impacts on sensitive visual receptors within the region (5-10km radius) - The power line is expected to have a low visual impact on observers located between 5-10km from the proposed power line. There are a number of agricultural developments located in the area. The power line was assessed within a 200m wide corridor. Due to the height of the Power Lines and extent of the project, no viable mitigation measures can be implemented to eliminate the visual impact of the power line. Numerous other power lines and mines are present in the area.

Visual impacts within 5km	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Possible (2)	Possible (2)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resources	No loss of resources (1)
	(1)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Low (28)	Negative Low (28)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, mitigation measures are included in the EMPr – Appendix F. • Retain / re-establish and maintain natural	
	vegetation under the power line.Maintain general appearance of the power line corridor.	

<u>Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats</u> - These impacts are expected
to start during the construction phase, but will reduce through the operational phase, and
be eliminated after decommissioning. The habitats are likely to be directly
impacted/disturbed and the increased disturbance is likely to deter protected species from
accessing the area.

Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Possible (2)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Barely Reversible (3)	Barely Reversible (3)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Low (22)	Negative low (22)
 Can impacts be mitigated? 	None required due to the low significance	

 <u>Displacement of resident avifauna through increased disturbance</u> - These impacts are expected to start during the construction phase, and will decline through the operational phase, disappearing after decommissioning. Many of the resident species are expected to be displaced, either temporarily or permanently, due to the habitat transformation and ongoing human presence and disturbance.

Displacement of resident avian species from important habitats	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Possible (2)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Partly Reversible (2)	Partly Reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Low (20)	Negative low (20)
• Can impacts be mitigated?	None required due to the low significance	

Collision when flying into power line infrastructure - The 132 kV power lines are expected to
be quite high and some species that are sensitive to power line collisions occur on site.
These impacts are expected to start during the construction phase, will last through the
operational phase, but will cease upon decommissioning and demolition.

Collision of avifauna when flying into power line infrastructure	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Very High (4)	High (3)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Partly Reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative Very High (74) Negative medium (33)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	 Yes, impacts can be mitigated. Undertake a walk-through after pole positions are determined to demarcate sections requiring bird deterrents/flappers. Install flappers on all required sections of power lines (as directed by avifaunal specialist) on or directly adjacent to site. Undertake quarterly fatality monitoring. 	

<u>Electrocution when perched on power line infrastructure</u> - The 132 kV power lines are
expected to be quite high and some species that are sensitive to power line electrocution
occur on site. These impacts are expected to start during the construction phase, will last

through the operational phase, but will cease upon decommissioning and demolition.

Electrocution when perched on power line infrastructure	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Unlikely (1)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Very High (4)	High (3)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Reversible (1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative High (68)	Negative medium (33)
• Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, impacts can be mitigated.	
	Pole designs to discourage bird perching and to	
	be signed off by avifaunal specialist.	
	Undertake quarterly fatality monitoring.	

Indirect impacts: The operational phase will have an indirect negative impact through the change in the sense of place and an indirect positive impact through the provision of additional electrical infrastructure.

• Change in the sense of place – An area's sense of place is created through the interaction of various characteristics of the environment, including atmosphere, visual resources, aesthetics, climate, lifestyle, culture, and heritage. An area's sense of place is however subjective and largely dependent on the demographics of the population residing within the area and their perceptions regarding trade-offs. For example, while some individuals may prefer not to see any form of infrastructure development, others may have an interest in large-scale infrastructure, or engineering projects, and the operation of such facilities, and consider the impact to be less significant. Such a scenario may especially be true given that the project comprises a Renewable Energy project and could therefore be seen as benefitting the local environment, when compared to non-renewable energy generation projects.

An impact on the sense of place is one that alters the visual landscape to such an extent that the user experiences the environment differently, and more specifically, in a less appealing or less positive light. The visual impacts associated with the impact on sense of place relate to the change in the landscape character and visual impact of the power line. The area surrounding the power line route is degraded and characterised by agricultural developments and mines. Considering this, it can be anticipated that the visual and sense of place impacts associated with the operation of the facility will be of low significance.

Change in sense of place	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Possible (2)	Possible (2)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Reversible (1)	Reversible (1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Significant loss of	Significant loss of

	resource (3)	resource (3)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative low (26)	Negative low (26)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, mitigation measures relating to visual impacts are included in the EMPr.	

5.1.2 Impacts that may result from the decommissioning and closure phase

Direct impacts: In the case of the proposed power line the decommissioning phase is likely to involve the disassembly and replacement of the existing components with more modern / improved technology. This is likely to take place in the 20 - 30 years post commissioning. The decommissioning phase is therefore likely to create additional, construction type jobs, as opposed to the jobs losses typically associated with decommissioning. If infrastructures are removed after a 20/30 year period, the site will be returned to its natural state. Therefore, the physical environment will benefit from the closure of the solar facility.

• Rehabilitation of the physical environment – The physical environment will benefit from the closure of the solar facility (and the associated grid connection infrastructure) since the site will be restored to its natural state.

Rehabilitation of the physical	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
environment	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Probable (3)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	N/A	N/A
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A
Cumulative impact	The impact would result in negligible to no	
	cumulative effects (1)	
Significance	Negative low (7)	Negative low (16)
Can impacts be mitigated?	No mitigation measures required.	

 Generation of waste - The removal of the supporting infrastructure such as the concrete foundations, cabling, fencing and control rooms, etc. will generate waste. Some of the waste will where possible be recycled, for example steel support structures can be re-used elsewhere or melted down to form new products. The applicant will need to assess the project lifespan and make suitable arrangements for waste disposal when the site is decommissioned.

Generation of waste	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	High (3)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)

Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact	
Significance	Negative medium (45)	Negative low (26)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes – refer to the EMPr, Appendix F.	

Indirect impacts: No indirect impacts are anticipated from the decommissioning phase of the proposed development.