	PROPOSED GAROB WIND ENERGY FACILITY					
No.	RAISED BY	COMMENT	RESPONDENT	RESPONSE		
ISSU	SSUE 1: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS AND DRAFT SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD					
1.1	Mr P Novellie	SANParks has no interest in the Copperton area		Noted.		
	CAND	and will not be registering as an interested and				
	SANParks	affected party.				
	Email:					
	29/05/2012					
1.2	Mr J Basson	You will not be met with resistance to the		Noted.		
		implementation of renewable energy projects in				
	Siyathemba Local	the municipality. The council and community are exploring ways in which to improve the local				
	Municipality	economy by tapping into the renewable energy				
	Focus Group	sector.				
	Meeting:					
	21/06/2012					
1.3	Mr J Basson	Since the municipality is investigating ways in	Mr S Johnston	A meeting will be arranged with the specialist that will		
		which to utilise renewable energy, I propose that	6	be undertaking the Social Impact Assessment during		
	Siyathemba Local	a briefing session regarding the municipality's Integrated Development Plan (IDP) be arranged.	Sustainable Futures ZA	the EIA phase. The meeting would focus on the municipality's strategy for renewable energy and the		
	Municipality	Integrated Development Plan (IDP) be arranged.	ZA	social impacts that would emerge as a result of the		
	Focus Group		Focus Group	project.		
	Meeting:		Meeting:			
	21/06/2012		21/06/2012			
1.4	Mr P Fourie	Will we (the farmers) be notified when specialists	Mr S Johnston	Proper protocol needs to be followed when requesting		
	Farm Nelspoortjie	intend to access the site?	Sustainable Futures	access to the site. Savannah Environmental will ensure that farmers are notified before the specialists		
	rai iii Neispoolitjie		ZA	access the sites.		
	Public Meeting:			435555 4.75 5.1555		
	21/06/2012		Public Meeting:			
			21/06/2012			
1.5	Mr M Sirenya	Your letter dated 7 June 2012 refers. This office		Noted.		
	Department of	acknowledges receipt of you letter.				
	Water Affairs	With reference to your letter to this office, we				
	Trator Arians	distributed your request with the Department for				
	Letter:	recommendations. This could take about six to				
	11 June 2012	eight weeks to finalize.				

## **ISSUE 2: HERITAGE RESOURCES**

2.1 Mrs C Scheermeyer

> SAHRA: Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite Unit

Email: 29/05/2012

In terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, no 25 of 1999, heritage resources, including archaeological or paleontological sites over 100 years old, graves older than 60 years, structures older than 60 years are protected. They may not be disturbed without a permit from the relevant heritage resources authority. This means that before such sites are disturbed by development it is incumbent on the developer (or mine) to ensure that a Heritage Impact Assessment is done. This must include the archaeological component (Phase 1) and any other applicable heritage components. Appropriate (Phase 2) mitigation, which involves recording, sampling and dating sites that are to be destroyed, must be done as required.

In the Background Information Document received by SAHRA there was no indication of such an assessment of the paleontological or archaeological resources. The quickest way forward is to contact suitably qualified specialists to provide a Phase 1 Paleontological and/or Archaeological Impact Assessment Report.

The Phase 1 Impact Assessment Report will identify the archaeological sites and assess their significance. It should also make recommendations (as indicated in section 38) about the process to be followed. For example, there may need to be a mitigation phase (Phase 2) where the specialist will collect or excavate material and date the sites.

Where bedrock is to be affected, or where there are coastal sediments, or marine or river terraces and in potentially fossiliferous superficial deposits, a Paleontological study must be undertaken to assess whether or not the development will impact upon paleontological

Mr B Khupe

Savannah Environmental

Email: 15/06/2012

Thank you very much for you response and comments on the proposed Garob Wind Energy Facility project.

Please note, the draft scoping report for the proposed development has be prepared and is currently available for review.

As part of the scoping phase studies a Heritage Scoping Study was conducted to determine the potential for heritage resources on site.

A copy of the Scoping report which includes the Heritage Scoping Study was sent to SAHRA's offices for comments (see attached cover letter).

The review period for the scoping report is between 7 June 2012 and 9 July 2012.

In the event that the report has not yet reached your desk, please follow the link below for access to the electronic copy of the report on our web page.

http://www.savannahsa.com/projects/index.php#88

		resources – or at least a letter of exemption from a Palaeontologist is needed to indicate that this is unnecessary. If the area is deemed sensitive, a full Phase 1 Paleontological Impact Assessment will be required and if necessary a Phase 2 rescue operation might be necessary. (See attached list of accredited Palaeontologists).  If the property is very small or disturbed and there is no significant site the specialist may choose to send a letter to the heritage authority to indicate that there is no necessity for any further assessment.  Any other heritage resources that may be impacted such as built structures over 60 years old, sites of cultural significance associated with oral histories, burial grounds and graves, graves of victims of conflict, and cultural landscapes or viewscapes must also be addressed.		
ISSUE	E 3: IMPACTS ON R	OADS		
3.1	Ms C Runkel  SANRAL Statutory Control  Email: 14/06/2012  Mr H Greef  Northern Cape Department of Roads and Public Works Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	The South African National Roads Agency SOC Limited (SANRAL) has no comment with regards to the Garob wind energy facility as it does not affect a national road under SANRAL's jurisdiction.  SANRAL Ref No.: NC11/2/1  The R357 has a building line restriction of 95m (measured from the centre line of the road). No development is permitted to take place within the 95m.	Ms G Wood Savannah Environmental  Email: 15/06/2012 Mr S Johnston Sustainable Futures ZA Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	Thank you for your comment with regard to the proposed Garob Wind Energy Facility. Your response has been noted and will be captured in the Comments and Responses Report which will be appended to the Final Scoping Report that will be submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs.  Comment noted.

3.3	Mr H Greef  Northern Cape Department of Roads and Public Works  Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	The developer must take cognisance of the following in their planning:  • the height restrictions of bridges and railway underpasses in the area; and  • the tonnage of delivery and construction vehicles and the impacts this would have on the culverts.	juwi Renewable Energies Public Meeting 21/06/2012	A full traffic impact assessment (TIA) will be undertaken at a later phase in the project. This will be communicated to the Department.
3.4	Mr C Bellingham juwi Renewable Energies Public Meeting 21/06/2012	What could be done to accommodate the turning angle of the trucks carrying the blades?	Mr S Johnston  Sustainable Futures ZA  Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	The TIA engineers would be able to provide information on this. They have modeling software which would determine the best possible options. The Northern Cape Department of Transport and Public Works would need to be consulted in this regard.
3.5	Mr H Greef  Northern Cape Department of Roads and Public Works  Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	There may be a need backfill to reduce the gradient of the access road to the site. Would this form part of the EIA?	Mr B Khupe  Savannah Environmental  Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	This would form part of the EIA under the Traffic Management Plan.
3.6	Mr BK Markman  Northern Cape Department of Roads and Public Works District Manager  Letter 3/07/2012	<ul> <li>The Department of Roads and Public Works is the road authority in the Northern Cape. Man Road 771 will be affected by your proposal. The following conditions apply for the road: <ul> <li>no new buildings and/or structures should be constructed within a 95m corridor along the road measured from the centre line of the road.</li> <li>No new access should be constructed or upgraded, and</li> <li>No new access roads crossing should be constructed or upgraded; and</li> <li>No new power line or water line crossings should be constructed without the approval of the local road authority.</li> </ul> </li></ul>		Comment noted.

ISSU	ISSUE 4: GOVERNMENT INITIATIVES IN THE RENEWABLE ENERGY SECTOR				
4.1	Mr J Basson	The municipality has recently signed an MOU with	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.	
		the Department of Energy (DoE) for the			
	Siyathemba Local	development of 6000ha of land for solar energy	Sustainable Futures		
	Municipality	facilities. 1000ha of land would be developed by	ZA		
		private developers while 5000ha of land would be			
	Focus Group	developed by government. This initiative is	Focus Meeting:		
	Meeting:	funded by the Central Energy Fund. The (DoE)	21/06/2012		
	21/06/2012	will develop the area as part of the Solar Park			
		Corridor.			
4.2	Mr J Basson	The municipality and the DoE aim to establish a	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.	
		Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) to manage the			
	Siyathemba Local	community trusts for the renewable energy	Sustainable Futures		
	Municipality	related projects. The municipality would want to	ZA		
		ensure that private developers are contributing			
	Focus Group	5% to the community trusts as required.	Focus Group		
	Meeting:		Meeting:		
	21/06/2012		21/06/2012		
4.3	Mr J Basson	I would recommend that you engage the	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.	
		Northern Cape Department of Economic			
	Siyathemba Local	Development and Tourism with regard to the	Sustainable Futures		
	Municipality	Biosphere Programme.	ZA		
	Facus Craun		Facus Craun		
	Focus Group		Focus Group		
	Meeting: 21/06/2012		Meeting: 21/06/2012		
	21/06/2012		21/06/2012		
ISSU	L E 5: TECHNICAL AS	PECTS OF THE PROJECT			
5.1	Mr J Basson	Is there sufficient wind in the area where the	Mr C Bellingham	There is sufficient wind to generate electricity. The	
		project is proposed?	3	wind speed is above 6.5 knots at 80km above ground.	
	Siyathemba Local		juwi Renewable		
	Municipality		Energies		
	, ,		3		
	Focus Group		Focus Group		
	Meeting:		Meeting:		
	21/06/2012		21/06/2012		
5.2	Mr J Basson	Are wind projects also granted 20 permits?	Mr C Bellingham	Yes.	
	Siyathemba Local		juwi Renewable		
	Municipality		Energies		
	mainoipanty	I	Littor gros		

	Meeting: 21/06/2012		Meeting: 21/06/2012	
ISSU	E 6: INFRASTRUCTI	JRE AND SERVICES		
6.1	Mr J Basson	There are plans to upgrade the Eskom 132kV power line to a 400kV power line. This would	Mr S Johnston	Integration between Eskom and the project is crucial.
	Siyathemba Local Municipality	mean that a new power line would need to be built to connect to the Caprum substation.	Sustainable Futures ZA	
	Focus Group Meeting:		Focus Group Meeting:	
	21/06/2012		21/06/2012	
6.2	Mr J Basson	There is a large water pipeline which lies adjacent to the farm. The project can utilise water from	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.
	Siyathemba Local	the pipeline. The developers would need to	Sustainable Futures ZA	
	Municipality	consult the municipality in this regard.	ZA	
		The developers must investigate other technical	Focus Group	
	Focus Group	requirements such as a batching site; borrow pits	Meeting:	
	Meeting: 21/06/2012	etc. prior to commencing the project.	21/06/2012	
6.3	Mr M Van Niekerk	Are there batching plants in this area?	Mr J Basson	The nearest batching plants are in De Aar and Kimberley.
	juwi Renewable Energies		Siyathemba Local Municipality	
	Focus Group		Focus Group	
	Meeting:		Meeting:	
<u></u>	21/06/2012		21/06/2012	
6.4	Mr H Greef	Borrow-pit applications would need to be addressed in the EIA.	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.
	Northern Cape		Sustainable Futures	The developer must determine where they will source
	Department of Roads and Public		ZA	aggregates from for internal and arterial roads.
	Works		Public Meeting:	

	Meeting: 21/06/2012	implications in terms of housing development and the development of amenities.	Meeting: 21/06/2012	
10.2	Mr J Basson	The municipality will ensure that the developers	Mr S Johnston	
	Siyathemba Local Municipality	comply with BEE components in terms of job creation, procurement, secondary industries and social contributions.	Sustainable Futures ZA	
	Focus Group Meeting: 21/06/2012		Meeting: 21/06/2012	
10.3	Mr P Fourie	Wind Farms will have a tourism attraction value.	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.
	Farm Nelspoortjie		Sustainable Futures ZA	
	Public Meeting: 21/06/2012		Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	
ISSU	E 11: ROLE OF ORG	ANS OF STATE IN THE PROJECT		
11.1	Mr J Basson	The municipality will ensure that the developers	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.
	Siyathemba Local Municipality	comply with BEE components in terms of job creation, procurement, secondary industries and social contributions.	Sustainable Futures ZA	
	Focus Group Meeting:		Focus Group Meeting:	
LCCLII	21/06/2012		21/06/2012	
12.1	Mr P Fourie	Three renewable energy facilities are proposed	Mr C Bellingham	The developers that are proposing the renewable
12.1	Farm Nelspoortjie	for the area located north-west of the farm.	juwi Renewable Energies	energy facilities are Mililo, Mainstream and Plan 8.  The three independent power producers' developers need to be registered on the project's I&AP database.
	Public Meeting: 21/06/2012		Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	, ,
12.2	Mr P Fourie	An EIA is being undertaken to relocate the airstrip.	Mr S Johnston	The Civil Aviation Authority would need to comment in this regard.
	Farm Nelspoortjie	ansurp.	Sustainable Futures ZA	tilis regard.
	Public Meeting:			

	21/06/2012		Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	
12.3	Mr C Bellingham juwi Renewable Energies	Would the Department of Environmental Affairs view the cumulative effect of developing wind and solar energy facilities in the same vicinity positively or negatively?	Mr S Johnston  Sustainable Futures ZA	The fact that all the infrastructure is consolidated in one area may not necessarily be viewed negatively.
	Public Meeting 21/06/2012		Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	
12.4	Mr P Fourie	Would the redevelopment of the Copperton Mine not have an impact on the grid connectivity in	Mr S Johnston	juwi Renewable Energies would need to clarify this with the mine and with Eskom.
	Farm Nelspoortjie	the area?	Sustainable Futures	the mine and with Eskom.
	Public Meeting: 21/06/2012		Public Meeting:	
	2170072012		21/06/2012	
12.5	Mr P Fourie	We need to emphasise the positives of the development i.e. that there are a lot of energy	Mr S Johnston	Comment noted.
	Farm Nelspoortjie	users in the area.	Sustainable Futures	
	Public Meeting:		ZA	
	21/06/2012		Public Meeting: 21/06/2012	