## KAROSHOEK SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY PROJECT EIA PHASE COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response	
	General			
1.	Logistics and transport of project materials and warehousing. We would like to introduce ourselves as a major role player in the transport and logistics field, not only in the Northern Cape, but also having a national footprint with Warehousing the main centres. It would be much appreciated if we could be registered with FG Emvelo Energy (Edms ) Bpk as a vendor on this project, and arrange for a meeting in this regard.	Francois Kempen, Mac Donalds Transport, comment by fax and e-mail, 30 March 2012.	Comment noted. Contact details and request have been passed onto the developer. FG Emvelo will contact you should they wish to meet with your company.	
2.	Industry participant. Development consideration.	Nasi Rwigema, Project Manager Solafrica Independent Power Producer, comment by e-mail, 30 March 2012.	Comment noted. Registered on the stakeholder database.	
3.	Dear Sir/Madam, This serves as a notice of receipt and confirms that your application has been captured in our electronic AgriLand tracking and management system. It is strongly recommended that you use the on-line AgriL and application facility in future. Detail of your application as captured: Type: Draft Scoping Reports: Karoshoek Solar Valley Your reference number: 14/12/16/3/3/2/292Dated: 23 March 2012. Please use the following reference number in all enquiries: AgriLand reference number: 2012_03_0325	Use and Soil Management, National Department of Agriculture, Forestry and	Comment noted.	

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response
	Enquiries can be made to the above postal, fax		
	or e-mail address.		
4.	To: Shawn Johnston, Unlike most other Regions,	Suzanne Erasmus, Chairperson,	Comment noted.
	WESSA Northern Cape is unstaffed, and run by a	Wildlife and Environmental	
	group of volunteers. We currently have nobody	Society of South Africa,	
	on our Committee to handle the Conservation	Northern Cape Branch,	
	portfolio, and pressure of work means that we	comment by e-mail, 02 April	
	are not able to participate in Environmental	and 13 June 2012	
	Impact Assessments at this time. However, we		
	will try to monitor documents sent to us and		
	respond as and when we are able to do so.		
	Please note that a lack of response does not		
	mean that we condone this project; it simply		
	means that we do not have the capacity to		
	respond to all correspondence received. In the		
	interest of saving paper, trees and costs, please		
	do not post any hardcopies or discs to us, unless		
	specifically requested. We cannot currently deal		
	with these and they will be destroyed. Our		
	contact details. Should your project fall outside		
	the Northern Cape Province, consult our website		
	(www.wessa.org.za) for the contact details of		
	the relevant WESSA office. Please do not use the		
	e-mail address se@museumsnc.co.za for WESSA		
	correspondence. Kindly send all documents to		
	WESSA:NC at wessanc@yahoo.com. Our fax		
	(053 842 1433) belongs to the McGregor		
	Museum, and should only be used under		
	exceptional circumstances, and for single pages		
	only. This is a communal machine, so please		
	mark all documents clearly 'WESSA', or direct		

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response
	them to me by name. We prefer to		
	communicate by e-mail.		
		Land Claim by Mr. P. Steenka	amp
5.	After my discussion with Mr. Shawn Johnston I	P. Steenkamp, Landowner Farm	Comments noted. The issue of the land claim will be dealt
	would like to pass the following information on.	0 of Karos 959, comment by	with by the developer and the landowner outside of the EIA
	There was a sale agreement around the Farm 0	fax 30 March 2012.	process.
	of Karos 959 between Mr. P.L.B Steenkamp and		
	Mr. Van Rensburg. Unfortunately the sales		
	contract was unsuccessful due to the neglect of		
	certain agreements. I P.L.B. Steenkamp have		
	restitution rights on the said property and invoke		
	my right to restitution. A dispute has been		
	declared for a claim for restitution on the		
	property, for compensation and loss of income.		
	This information was sent to Mr. Truter of AIDA		
	Properties as well, however Mr. Truter is		
	ignoring it. You can acquire more information		
	about the case, the case number is: 2130/2010		
	at the High Court in Kimberley. Law suites have		
	been issued and the court case has started on		
	09 March. I can be contacted at 079 881 9360		
	and my Advocate Kamie Strydom on 082 524		
	9133.		
		Agriculture and Forestry	
6.	Page 28 of the DSR refers to the Department of	Jacoline Mans, Chief Forester:	Comment noted. Relevant changes made to the FSR.
	Forestry and Fishery (DAFF). Please note it	NFA Regulation, National	
	should read Department of Agriculture, Forestry	Department of Agriculture	
	and Fisheries.	Forestry and Fisheries Northern	
		Cape, comment by e-mail, 10	
		April 2012.	

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response
7.	The DAFF is mainly concerned about the	Jacoline Mans, Chief Forester:	Comment noted. The ecologist took this into consideration
	potential impact on protected tree species. See	NFA Regulation, National	during the EIA phase.
	the National Forest Act of 1998 (NFA) as	Department of Agriculture	
	amended, section 12(1)(d) read with s15(1) and	Forestry and Fisheries Northern	
	s62(2). The list of protected tree species was	Cape, comment by e-mail, 10	
	published in GN 734 of 16 September 2011.	April 2012.	
8.	The impact on protected trees must be	Jacoline Mans, Chief Forester:	Comment noted. There are three tree species protected under
	minimized through careful site selection. If the	NFA Regulation, National	the National Forests Act that were observed within the site and
	impact cannot be avoided and numerous	Department of Agriculture	may be impacted by the development. The protected tree
	protected trees will be affected by the larger	Forestry and Fisheries Northern	species observed were Boscia albitrunca and Acacia erioloba
	Karoshoek Solar Valley development, a	Cape, comment by e-mail, 10	and <i>Aloe dichotoma</i> (Refer to Appendix F for ecology report)
	biodiversity offset might be required. It is not	April 2012.	
	clear from the DSR what will be the extent of the		
	impact on protected trees. This office is kindly		
	requesting additional information e.g. the		
	number of protected trees that will be affected,		
	to enable us to determine whether a biodiversity		
	offset will be triggered. If an offset is triggered,		
	the DAFF recommends that it will be included in		
	the conditions of the Environmental		
	Authorization. The nature of the offset must be		
	negotiated with the DAFF and the Department of		
	Environment and Nature Conservation Northern		
	Cape (DENC).		
9.	The Lower Gariep Alluvial Vegetation type should	Jacoline Mans, Chief Forester:	Comment noted. The Lower Gariep Alluvial Vegetation will not
	be considered a 'no-go' for development due to	NFA Regulation, National	be impacted by the proposed development.
	its 'endangered' status. Gordonia Duneveld	Department of Agriculture	
	should also be excluded from development if	Forestry and Fisheries Northern	No areas of Godonia Duneveld occur within the proposed
	possible due to the presence of sand dunes.	Cape, comment by e-mail, 10	development areas, but some areas of this vegetation type
	Drainage lines should be avoided as it may	April 2012.	occur along the eastern margin of the development areas of

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response
	contain higher densities of protected trees.		site 1.3. The developer is advised to avoid this vegetation type. Mitigation measures within the EIA report includes
			"Sensitive areas such as drainage lines should be demarcated
			by an ecologist prior to construction" (Refer to Appendix F for
			ecology report).
10.	The developer must note that Environmental	Jacoline Mans, Chief Forester:	Comment noted.
	Authorization issued by the Department of	NFA Regulation, National	
	Environmental does not imply that a Forest Act	Department of Agriculture	
	License will automatically be issued. Forest Act	Forestry and Fisheries Northern	
	Licenses can be and have been refused in the	Cape, comment by e-mail, 10	
	past.	April 2012.	
11.	The DAFF is kindly requesting copies of the	•	Comment noted. The ecology study and draft EMP are
	specialist studies that will be conducted during	NFA Regulation, National	appended to the Draft EIA report, which will be submitted to
	the EIA phase, especially the ecological and/or	Department of Agriculture	the DAFF for review and comment once available (Refer to
	biodiversity assessment. This office would also	Forestry and Fisheries Northern	Appendix F for ecology report, and Appendix L for the EMP for
	like to get a copy of the draft Environmental	Cape, comment by e-mail, 10	each site).
	Management Plan (EMP) to see how the impact	April 2012.	
10	on protected trees will be managed.		
12.	Dear Sir/Madam, This serves as a notice of	, , , ,	Comment noted.
	receipt and confirms that your application has	Use and Soil Management,	
	been captured in our electronic AgriLand	National Department of	
	tracking and management system. It is strongly	Agriculture, Forestry and	
	recommended that you use the on-line AgriLand application facility in future. Detail of your	Fisheries, comment by e-mail, 17 May 2012.	
	application as captured: Type: Larger Karoshoek	17 May 2012.	
	Valley Solar Park Your reference number:		
	14/12/16/3/3/1/554 Property Description: Karos		
	959 Date: 7 May 2012. Please use the following		
	reference number in all enquiries:		
	AgriLand reference number: 2012_05_0146		
	1 - 3 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3		

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response
	Enquiries can be made to the above postal, fax		
	or e-mail address. Yours sincerely, HJ Buys		
	pp DIRECTOR: LAND USE AND SOIL		
	MANAGEMENT Online application available at:		
	http://www.agis.agric.za/agriland		
		Heritage	
13.	In terms of the National Heritage Resources Act,	Katie Smuts and Colette	Comments noted. A heritage impact assessment (HIA) was
	no 25 of 1999, heritage resources, including	Scheermeyer, South African	conducted for the entire Karoshoek Solar Valley Development
	archaeological or palaeontological sites over 100	Heritage Resources	in a single report. Each site was however assessed separately
	years old, graves older than 60 years, structures	Agency,comment by e-mail, 28	within the impact assessment section in the HIA. The HIA also
	older than 60 years are protected. They may not	May2012.	took paleontological sites into consideration (refer to appendix
	be disturbed without a permit from the relevant		H for HIA).
	heritage resources authority. This means that		
	before such sites are disturbed by development		
	it is incumbent on the developer (or mine) to		
	ensure that a Heritage Impact Assessment is		
	done. This must include the archaeological		
	component (Phase1) and any other applicable		
	heritage components. Appropriate (Phase 2)		
	mitigation, which involves recording, sampling		
	and dating sites that are to be destroyed, must		
	be done as required. In the Final Scoping Report		
	received by SAHRA it was indicated that the		
	developer will conduct the relevant assessments		
	of the archaelogical resources on the properties.		
	A separate assessment will need to be compiled		
	for each of the freestanding developments (Sites		
	2, 3, 4 and 5), while Sites 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4 can		
	be assessed in a single report.		

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response
	The Phase 1 Impact Assessment Report will		
	identify the archaeological sites and assess their		
	significance. It should also make		
	recommendations (as indicated in section 38)		
	about the process to be followed. For example,		
	there may need to be a mitigation phase (Phase		
	2) where the specialist will collect or excavate		
	material and date the site. At the end of the		
	process the heritage authority may give		
	permission for destruction of this site.		
	No indication was made that a similar		
	assessment would be made of the		
	Palaeontological resources of the area. Where		
	bedrock is to be affected, or where there are		
	coastal sediments, or marine or river terraces		
	and in potentially fossiliferous superficial		
	deposits, a Palaeontological study must be		
	undertaken to assess whether or not the		
	development will impact upon palaeontological		
	resources or at least a letter of exemption from		
	a Palaeontologist is needed to indicate that this		
	is unnecessary. If the area is deemed sensitive,		
	a full Phase 1 Palaeontological Impact		
	Assessment will be required and if necessary a		
	Phase 2 rescue operation might be necessary.		
	(See attached list of accredited		
	Palaeontologists). A single regional		
	Palaeontological assessment will suffice for all		
	the proposed developments.		

No.	Issue	Raised by	Response
	If the property is very small or disturbed and		
	there is no significant site the specialist may		
	choose to send a letter to the heritage authority		
	to indicate that there is no necessity for any		
	further assessment.		
	Any other heritage resources that may be		
	impacted such as built structures over 60 years		
	old, sites of cultural significance associated with		
	oral histories, burial grounds and graves, graves		
	of victims of conflict, and cultural landscapes or		
	viewscapes must also be assessed.		