

## Appendix E5: Comments and Responses Report

**Table 1: Issues Raised by Interested and Affected Parties in response to the BID**

Interested and/or Affected Party	Issue raised	Response (by SRK unless otherwise specified)
<p>B Nongcula – Novetshe Development Project                      G Eales – Glendore Sand &amp; Stone                      C Threadingham – Leads2Business                      R Luyt – Kini Bay Village Association                      B Reeves – ECPTA                      J Webb – Oceanview Forest Resort</p>	<p>Request to be registered as IAP</p>	<p>[SRK]: Registered on IAP database.</p>
<p>I Moore – Kini Bay Village Association</p>	<p>Kini Bay Reservoir is silted up with no date for repairs. As Chairman of the Village Association, I want to be informed.</p>	<p>[SRK]: Registered as IAP on database. The Kini Bay pipeline, as associated works or demolition of the reservoir, is no longer within the scope of this study. It has been included as part of another phase for implementation in the future.</p>
<p>R Luyt – Kini Bay Village Association</p>	<p>Kindly confirm that the project will cover Kini Bay needs.</p>	<p>[SRK]: The Kini Bay pipeline, as associated works or demolition of the reservoir, is no longer within the scope of this study. It has been included as part of another phase for implementation in the future.</p>
<p>R Luyt – Kini Bay Village Association</p>	<p>Is provision being made for any major developments in the Seaview area. What and where are these developments?</p>	<p>[SRK]: Please refer to section A(9)(b) of the Pre-Application Draft Basic Assessment Report (this report) for the relevant information on future developments.</p>
<p>B Nongcula – Novetshe Development Project</p>	<p>Proposed project will help to alleviate drought in the area.</p>	<p>[SRK]: The proposed infrastructure will ensure efficient storage and reticulation of water to the relevant NMBM water supply zones of the available water. Note that additional water will not be available.</p>

Interested and/or Affected Party	Issue raised	Response (by SRK unless otherwise specified)
S October - ECPTA	<p>The proposal falls within the 5km buffer zone of the Island Nature Reserve (INR) and some of the infrastructure (specifically one of the proposed reservoirs and the proposed 315mm pumping and 350mm gravity mains) are located within and in close proximity of the INR. Concern that this infrastructure is located within CBAs 1 and 2, which will negatively impact biodiversity. Alternative routes and locations must be assessed for the pumping and gravity mains, and the reservoir.</p>	<p>[SRK]: The proposed 2.5 ML Upper Seaview Reservoir site falls within The Island Nature Reserve and pipelines towards and from the reservoir will also be installed in close proximity to this reserve (see activity description and the relevant maps in the report).</p> <p>Note that the project team went through a process of trying to locate alternative sites for the relevant infrastructure, however due to the elevation requirement of the reservoir in order to be able to gravity feed into the Seaview Pump Station Complex, no other site in the surrounding area could be located. See section 2 of the Pre-Application Draft Basic Assessment Report (this report) for a discussion on project alternatives.</p> <p>The potential impacts of the proposed infrastructure are discussed in section D of the report.</p>
N Gouws – SANRAL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The 350 m diameter gravity pipeline shall be laid inside a sleeve pipe which shall be jacked through underneath the road;</li> <li>• Such sleeve pipe shall extend across the full width of the national road reserve;</li> <li>• The top convex of the sleeve pipe shall be at least one metre below the surface of the road and natural ground level; and</li> <li>• No pipeline shall be affixed to our structures.</li> </ul>	<p>[SRK]: Design comments noted and forwarded to the project engineers.</p>