



Shasa Heritage Consultants

Grave Management Plan for *in situ* graves on portion 3 of the farm Coniston 699 MS, Waterpoort, Limpopo Province

REPORT COMPILED BY: FE ROODT

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: F ROODT

DATE: August 2022

54 Wildebeestfontein smallholdings
Polokwane
Limpopo
0700

Phone: 078 618 6204 (Frans)
Email:
shasaheritageconsultants@gmail.com

CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|-----|---------------------------------------|
| 2 | 1 | Introduction |
| 5 | 2 | Social areas and graves |
| | 2.1 | Social areas 2019 |
| | 2.2 | Social areas 2022 |
| | 2.3 | Graves |
| 41 | 3 | Discussion and Recommendations |

List of figures

| | | |
|---------|----|---|
| Fig 1 | 13 | View of family area being pointed out |
| Fig 2 | 13 | View of general family area |
| Fig 3 | 14 | View of cattle area- modern |
| Fig 4 | 14 | View of general family area |
| Fig 5 | 14 | Mr Tshivhula showing family areas |
| Fig 6 | 14 | Family area |
| Fig 7 | 14 | Family area |
| Fig 8 | 14 | Mr Tshivhula's son showing family areas |
| Fig 9 | 16 | View of Mr Khumalo showing where his bedroom was (22.10) |
| Fig 10 | 16 | View of social area as shown by the Khumalo brothers (22.11) |
| Fig 11 | 16 | View of 1 st Khumalo residence (22.12) |
| Fig 12 | 16 | View of family homestead unknown near baobab (22.13) |
| Fig 13 | 19 | View of child grave by building (no 8) |
| Fig 14 | 21 | View of John Molozi grave and Zimbabwean (no 9) |
| Fig 15 | 25 | Mr Tshivhula showing us the family cemetery |
| Fig 16 | 27 | Mr Tshivhula showing us the grave in approximate position of the shrub (22.1) |
| Fig 17. | 29 | Mr Tshivhula showing us the grave in approximate position of the shrub (22.2) |
| Fig 18. | 31 | Mr Tshivhula showing us the grave. Mrs Salina Nancy Khumalo is beside him. |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The proposed parameters of the project entail the clearance of approximately 450 ha of indigenous vegetation for tomato croplands and an S24G rectification process for 59 ha of existing tomato croplands on the Remainder of Portion 3 of the farm Coniston 699 MS in the Waterpoort area, Makhado Local Municipality, Vhembe District, Limpopo Province.

Two cemeteries and 12 grave sites were recorded during survey and through guidance by the local community, during meeting spanning 2019 to 2022. The graves are planned to remain *in situ*. In addition, eleven family (social) areas were recorded. These may yield the remains of babies or very young children who are perhaps no longer remembered as the local community were young when they moved from the area.

It has been recommended that: see pg. 41 for full details

1. A buffer of 30m is maintained around each grave/cemetery, as per SAHRA BGG unit
2. Graves to be fenced off appropriately
3. Family (social) areas to be excluded from development and cordoned-fenced off as graves could be present
4. The delineation of graves and social areas needs to be communicated to farm workers, to ensure that tractors/trucks etc. do not accidentally impact graves
5. Families to have access to graves subject to reasonable conditions and protocols as set by the owner

All graves and social areas are considered to be of HIGH significance and should be respected as such. Any new graves identified by the community should be treated in the same manner as recommended above.

1. INTRODUCTION

This report will enable the Applicant to take pro-active measures to limit the adverse effects that the development could have on graves on the afore-mentioned property.

1.1 The below tabled regulations which have applicability:

| Applicable guidelines considered | As stated |
|--|--|
| National Heritage Resources Act No 25 of 1999 (NHRA) National Heritage Resource Act 25 of 1999 Section 36 (3) | No person may, without a permit issued by the South African Heritage Resource Agency (SAHRA) or a provincial heritage resources authority— (a) destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position or otherwise disturb the grave of a victim of conflict, or any burial ground or part thereof which contains such graves; (b) destroy, damage, alter, exhume, remove from its original position or otherwise disturb any grave or burial ground older than 60 years which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority; or (c) bring onto or use at a burial ground or grave referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) any excavation equipment, or any equipment which assists in the detection or recovery of metals. |
| The Extension of Security of Tenure Act 62, 1997. Chapter III Section 6(4) | Any person shall have the right to visit and maintain his or her family graves on land which belongs to another person, subject to any reasonable condition imposed by the owner or person in charge of such land in order to safeguard life or property or to prevent the undue disruption of work on the land. |

1.2 Significance was determined by the following ranking categories:

The significance of heritage resources is ranked into the following categories.

| Significance rating | Action required |
|---------------------|--|
| Not protected | 1a. No action required |
| Low | 2a. Recording and documentation (Phase 1) of site adequate; no further action required 2b. Controlled sampling (shovel test pits, auger sampling), mapping and documentation (Phase 2 investigation); permit required for sampling and destruction |
| Medium | 3. Excavation of representative sample, 14C dating, mapping and documentation (Phase 2 investigation); permit required for sampling and destruction [including 2a & 2b] |
| High | 4a. Nomination for listing on Heritage Register (National, Provincial or Local) (Phase 2 & 3 investigation); site management plan; permit required if utilised for education or tourism 4b. Graves: Locate demonstrable descendants through social consulting; obtain permits from applicable legislation, ordinances and regional by-laws; exhumation and reinternment [including 2a, 2b & 3] |

Nomination and protection levels of significance:

| Level | Details | Action |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| National (Grade 1) | Site is considered to be of National Significance | Nominated to be declared by SAHRA |
| Provincial (Grade 2) | Site is considered to be of Provincial Significance | Nominated to be declared by Provincial Heritage Authority |
| Local Grade 3A | Site is considered to be of HIGH significance locally | Site should be retained as a heritage site |
| Local Grade 3B | Site is considered to be of HIGH significance locally | The site should be mitigated and part retained as a heritage site |
| Generally Protected A | High to Medium significance | Mitigation necessary before destruction |
| Generally Protected B | Medium significance | Site needs to be recorded before destruction |
| Generally Protected C | Low significance | No further recording before destruction |

Graves are rated as HIGH significance

1.3 Terminology

- Early Stone Age:** Predominantly the Acheulean hand axe industry complex dating to + 1Myr yrs – 250 000 yrs. before present.
- Middle Stone Age:** Various lithic industries in SA dating from ± 250 000 yr. - 30 000 yrs. before present.
- Late Stone Age:** The period from ± 30 000-yr. to contact period with either Iron Age farmers or European colonists.
- Early Iron Age:** Most of the first millennium AD
- Middle Iron Age:** 10th to 13th centuries AD
- Late Iron Age:** 14th century to colonial period. *The entire Iron Age represents the spread of Bantu speaking peoples.*
- Historical:** Mainly cultural remains of western influence and settlement from AD1652 onwards – mostly structures older than 60 years in terms of Section 34 of the NHRA, though more recent remains can be termed historically significant should the remains hold social significance for the local community.
- Phase 1 assessment:** Scoping surveys to establish the presence of and to evaluate heritage resources in a given area
- Phase 2 assessments:** In depth culture resources management studies which could include major archaeological excavations, detailed site surveys and mapping / plans of sites, including historical / architectural structures and features. Alternatively, the sampling of sites by collecting material, small test pit excavations or auger sampling is required.
- Sensitive:** Often refers to graves and burial sites although not necessarily a heritage place, as well as ideologically significant sites such as ritual / religious places. *Sensitive* may also refer to an entire landscape / area known for its significant heritage remains.

2. SOCIAL AREAS AND GRAVES RECORDED

2.1 Each family (social) area recorded is listed below:

2.1 Social areas 2019

A. General site description: Con 19/01 to Con 19.7

| Number allocated | Type site | GPS | Notes |
|------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| 19.1 | Seshokane family area | S22° 52' 01.6" E29° 41' 06.9" | All family, kraal areas were shown to the field team by Mr Samuel Tshivhula, and GPS designates roughly the center of each family settlement area |
| 19.2 | Ratshikane kraal area | S22° 52' 04.0" E29° 41' 06.0" | |
| 19.3 | Frans Tshivhula (Samuel) father's cattle kraal area | S22° 52' 15.3" E29° 41' 09.9" | |
| 19.4 | Mmboyi family area | S22° 52' 15.9" E29° 41' 07.8" | |
| 19.5 | Frans Tshivhula (Samuel) father built with bricks from local soil- family area | S22° 52' 16.0" E29° 41' 09.3" | |
| 19.6 | Machete family area | S22° 52' 17.6" E29° 41' 06.7" | |
| 19.7 | Ramavhila family area | S22° 52' 18.8" E29° 41' 05.4" | |

B. Site evaluation

| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>Historic value</u> | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X |
| B2. Regional context | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | |
| B3. Condition of site | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Intangible history | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium Low |
| International | | X |
| Provincial | | X |





| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Local | | | X |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | X |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | X |
| High | | | |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | | | |
| Destruction | | | X |
| Uncertain | | | |
| G. Recommended mitigation | | | |
| It is recommended that the area be excluded from development. Although the Tshivhula family did not have objection to development occurring there is still a chance of infant burials where homesteads existed. | | | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements: National heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999. | | | |
| I. Images | | | |
|  <p>Fig 1. View of family area being pointed out</p> | |  <p>Fig 2. View of general family area</p> | |
|  <p>Fig 3. View of old cattle area- modern</p> | |  <p>Fig 4. View of family area</p> | |



Fig 5. Mr Tshivhula showing family areas



Fig 6. General family area







Fig 7. General family area



Fig 8. Mr Tshivhula's son showing family areas

2.2 Social areas 2022

| A. General site description: Con 22.10- Con 22.13 | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Number allocated | Type site | GPS | Notes |
| 22.10 | Edward Khumalo childhood homestead | S22° 52' 51.0" E29° 41' 11.9" | Mr Khumalo stated that his family left the homestead in roughly the 1990's Mr Khumalo stated that his family moved from here |
| 22.11 | Social area for the community | S22° 52' 51.1" E29° 41' 13.5" | |
| 22.12 | A homestead- 1 st for Mr Khumalo | S22° 52' 53.0" E29° 41' 17.2" | |
| 22.13 | A homestead- unknown- near baobab | S22° 52' 54.9" E29° 41' 03.2" | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | | Yes | No |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | | X | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | | X |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | | X |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | | X |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | | X |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | | X |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | | X | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | | X |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | | X |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | | X |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | | X | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | | Intangible history | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | | | X |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | X | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Low | |
| Medium | X |
| High | |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | |
| None | |
| Peripheral | |
| Destruction | X |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation | |
| It is recommended that the area be excluded from development. Mr Khumalo stated that he would prefer the area not be ploughed. In this respect 22.11 is located in a residential garden. There remains a possibility that child graves may not all be remembered and associated with the homesteads. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements: National heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images | |
|  |  |
| Fig 9. View of Mr. Khumalo showing where his bedroom was (22.10) | Fig 10. View of social area as shown by the Khumalo brothers (22.11) |
|  |  |
| Fig 11. View 1 st Khumalo residence (22.12) | Fig 12. View of family homestead unknown near baobab (22.13) |

Significance: Generally protected B

Recommendation:

Family (social) areas are recommended to be excluded from the development area due to social links to the land and the possibility of graves existing where homesteads were located

2.3 GRAVES

All graves were shown to the heritage surveyor by Mr Samuel Tshivhula and family in 2019. He further accompanied the community group in 2022. A representative of the descendant family was asked to point out the graves and point to the approximate position in a photograph. In this regard, graves were shown in 2022 by Ms Selina Nancy Khumalo (accompanied by Mr Tshivhula) and Edward Khumalo and the wider community.

2.3.1

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 8 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 52' 53.7" E29° 41' 11.3" | | | |
| Child grave near building | | | |
| This grave is situated to the western side of a building. The grave is not marked. | | | |
| Mr Tshivhula pointed out the grave | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Has possibly been impacted on by the building | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | | X | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | | | X |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |


| | |
|--|---|
| E. General statement of site significance | |
| Low | |
| Medium | |
| High | X |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | |
| None | |
| Peripheral | X |
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation | |
| Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements | |
| NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images | |
|  | |

Fig 13. View of child grave by building (no 8)

2.3.2

A. General site description: Graves Site 9

GPS: S22° 52' 46.2" E29° 41' 07.1"

2 graves, 1 John Molozwi and 1 unnamed Zimbabwean

2022 addendum:

A new lined water storage dam was built in the interim 3 years between 2019 and 2022. The grave had been fenced, but directly against the grave. It now lies at the foot of the dam wall. The grave has not been damaged, as can be seen on face value. The dam did not trigger an EIA and at the time, this heritage report was not finalised as it has been stop start over the past 3 year and impacted by lockdown in the interim.

9?? is the GPS position as taken in 2019. This correlates in terms of what the community said is marked as 9. It is essentially the same grave. Both are left on the map. Technology improves at a great speed, thus perhaps it is simply technology that gives a slightly different reading. Thus showing the importance of including a photograph to assist in determination of position.

B. Site evaluation

| | Yes | No | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Has possibly been impacted on by the building | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | | X | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |


| | |
|--|---|
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | |
| E. General statement of site significance | |
| Low | |
| Medium | |
| High | X |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | |
| None | |
| Peripheral | X |
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images | |
|  | |

Fig 14. View of John Molozi grave and Zimbabwean (no 9)

2.3.3


| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 10 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 52' 15.4" E29° 41' 09.7" | | | |
| Grave of unnamed child | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | | X | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Has possibly been impacted on by the building | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | | X | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | | | X |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | | | X |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | | | X |
| Destruction | | | |
| Uncertain | | | |
| G. Recommended mitigation | | | |

Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded.

H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements
NHRA 25 of 1999.

2.3.4

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 11 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 52' 06.6" E29° 41' 07.5" | | | |
| Family cemetery. The cemetery is fenced and is still used for burials. There has been a dispute regarding the boundary and this was marked in 2019 as per what Mr Samuel Tshivhula showed the fieldworkers. It appears that the majority of Mr Tshivhula's family are interred in the cemetery marked here and appropriate respect and tribute was adhered to. The Ratshikani also have family in the cemetery. | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | X | | |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | X | | |


| | |
|---|--|
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded.. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images  | |
| <p>Fig 15. Mr. Tshivhula showing us the family cemetery</p> | |

2022

Graves were shown by the wider community with Mr Samuel Tshivhula being the primary representative. These are marked by using blue pins and numbered 22.1 etc. A wider community engagement was decided at a community meeting on 19 February 2022, and the major grave showing day was decided for 15 April 2022, Easter Friday.

2.3.5

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 22.1 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 53' 13.6" E29° 41' 19.9" | | | |
| The grave is unmarked. Is remembered by Salina Nancy Khumalo, who was first showed the grave in 1979. It is estimated that the grave dates to approximately the 1940's. The grave is located near the road, gate and a farm building. | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Low | |
| Medium | |
| High | X |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | |
| None | |
| Peripheral | X |
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation | |
| Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded.. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements | |
| NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images | |
|  | |
| Fig 16. Mr Tshivhula showing us the grave in the approximate position of the shrub. | |

2.3.6

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 22.2 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 52' 49.9" E29° 41' 33.4" | | | |
| The grave is unmarked. Is remembered by Salina Nancy Khumalo. The grave is situated in the vicinity of the large shrub. | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | X | | |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | X | | |
| Destruction | | | |
| Uncertain | | | |

G. Recommended mitigation

Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded..

H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements

NHRA 25 of 1999.

I. Images



Fig 17. Mr. Tshivhula showing us the grave in the approximate position of the shrub.

2.3.7

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 22.3 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 52' 55.6" E29° 41' 30.2" | | | |
| The grave is unmarked. Is remembered by Salina Nancy Khumalo. The grave is of 1 person (Makongodza) and dates to approximately the 1940's. | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | X | | |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | X | | |
| Destruction | | | |
| Uncertain | | | |

G. Recommended mitigation

Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded..

H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements

NHRA 25 of 1999.


I. Images



Fig 18. Mr. Tshivhula showing us the grave. Ms. Selina Nancy Khumalo is beside him.

2.3.8

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 22.4 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 52' 06.6" E29° 41' 05.9" | | | |
| The grave is unmarked. The grave is remembered by Mr Samuel Tshivhula. It had been unknowingly graded over to clear the road, prior to being marked sometime between 2019 and 2022. Does not appear to be damaged, by sight only. Is currently fenced. The grave possibly belongs to Tshihangwa. The family was unfortunately not present to show the exact position. | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | X | | |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | X | | |

| | |
|---|--|
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation Grave to remain <i>in situ</i> . Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded.. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images | |
|  | |
| <p>Fig 19. Mr. Tshivhula showing us the grave.</p> | |

2.3.9

G. General site description: Graves Site 22.5**GPS:** S22° 52' 15.6" E29° 41' 09.6"

The grave is unmarked and was shown to us by Mr Tshivhula. He stated that the grave is that of a child bitten by a snake. Tshavhubwa was the name supplied. It may be the same as 2019 no 10, but this supposition is unconfirmed. To remain on the safe side- it is marked as a separate grave.

H. Site evaluation

| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| I. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| J. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | | | X |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| K. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | | | X |
| L. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | | | X |
| Destruction | | | |

Uncertain

J. Recommended mitigation

Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded..

K. Applicable legislation and legal requirements

NHRA 25 of 1999.


L. Images



Fig 20. Mr. Tshivhula showing us the grave.

2.3.10

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| A. General site description: Graves Site 22.6 | | | |
| GPS: S22° 52' 18.2" E29° 41' 06.5" | | | |
| A spoon from the mid 1900's was recorded <i>en route</i> to the grave, substantiating that the area was an occupation area. | | | |
| The grave was shown to us by Mr Samuel Tshivhula. Not much is remembered about the deceased. It is possibly the Machete family child who also died from a snake bite. | | | |
| B. Site evaluation | | | |
| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | X | | |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | X | | |

| | |
|---|--|
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded.. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images | |
|  | |
| Fig 21. Mr. Tshivhula showing us the grave. | |

2.3.11

A. General site description: Graves Site 22.7**GPS:** S22° 52' 17.9" E29° 41' 07.0"

The grave was shown to us by Mr Samuel Tshivhula. Not much is remembered about the deceased. The area has recently been fenced. Mr Tshivhula pointed out grave goods near the end of the fenced area. The exact position of the grave is unknown.

B. Site evaluation

| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | | | X |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| E. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | | | X |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | | | X |
| Destruction | | | |

Uncertain

G. Recommended mitigation

Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded..

H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements

NHRA 25 of 1999.

I. Images



Fig 22. Mr. Tshivhula showing us the grave.

2.3.12

A. General site description: Graves Site 22.8

GPS: 4 point- estimated to be the outer edges of the cemetery.

S22° 52' 39.6" E29° 41' 21.9"

S22° 52' 37.7" E29° 41' 22.9"

S22° 52' 38.7" E29° 41' 22.0"


S22° 52' 38.8" E29° 41' 22.8"

S22° 52' 38.1" E29° 41' 22.4" Pin

The cemetery was shown to us by Mr Tshivhula and the wider community. The graves are located under the trees. The 4 point GPS is what is presumed to be the outer edges. There are 12+ marked graves and it is unknown how many unmarked graves. Direct family members were not present at the time.

B. Site evaluation

| B1. Heritage value | | Yes | No |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | | X | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | | X |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | | X |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | | X |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | | X |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | | X |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | | X |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | | X | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | | X |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | | X |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | | X |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | | X | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| C. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| D. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | X | | |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| E. General statement of site significance | |
| Low | |
| Medium | |
| High | X |
| F. Rating of potential impact of development | |
| None | |
| Peripheral | X |
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| G. Recommended mitigation | |
| Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded.. | |
| H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements | |
| NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| I. Images | |
|  | |
| Fig 23. The community showing us the cemetery | |

2.3.13

A. General site description: Graves Site 22.9**GPS:** S22° 52' 39.8" E29° 41' 21.6"

The grave was shown to us by Mr Tshivhula. He stated that the grave is where the ashy soil is. And that it is a child's grave. No further information was available.

B. Site evaluation**B1. Heritage value****Yes****No**Historic value

It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history.

X

It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa.

X

It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa.

X

Aesthetic value

It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group.

X

Scientific value

It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage.

X

It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period.

X

It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation.

X

Social value

It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place).

X

Tourism value

It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination.

X

Rarity value

It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage.

X

Representative value

It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects.

X

B2. Regional context

Other similar sites in the regional landscape.

X

B3. Condition of site

Integrity of deposits/structures.

Good integrity and maintained by families

C. Sphere of significance**High****Medium****Low**

International

X

Provincial

X

Local

X

Specific community

X

D. Field Register rating

National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained]

Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained]

Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised]

Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained]

Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation]

X

Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded]

Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action]

E. General statement of site significance

Low

Medium

High

X

F. Rating of potential impact of development

None

Peripheral

X

Destruction

Uncertain

G. Recommended mitigation

Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded..

H. Applicable legislation and legal requirements

NHRA 25 of 1999.

I. Images



Fig 24. Mr. Tshivhula pointing out the position of the grave


2.3.14

G. General site description: Graves Site 22.14**GPS:** S22° 52' 59.5" E29° 40' 43.0"

Mr Tshivhula remarked that the pin represents the place where a woman was murdered and buried. As far as the community could remember the female's name was Modjadji Machete, the mother of the child bitten by a snake. She was reportedly murdered by Adam Machete and Modjadji's family forced him to bury her at his family grave. The Machete were not available to substantiate the claim.

H. Site evaluation

| B1. Heritage value | Yes | No | |
|---|---|---------------|------------|
| <u>Historic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance to the community or pattern of South Africa's history or precolonial history. | X | | |
| It has strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa. | | X | |
| It has significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa. | | X | |
| <u>Aesthetic value</u> | | | |
| It has importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a particular community or cultural group. | | X | |
| <u>Scientific value</u> | | | |
| It has potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural and cultural heritage. | | X | |
| It has importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period. | | X | |
| It has importance to the wider understanding of the temporal change of cultural landscapes, settlement patterns and human occupation. | | X | |
| <u>Social value</u> | | | |
| It has strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons (sense of place). | X | | |
| <u>Tourism value</u> | | | |
| It has significance through its contribution towards the promotion of a local sociocultural identity and can be developed as tourist destination. | | X | |
| <u>Rarity value</u> | | | |
| It possesses unique, uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage. | | X | |
| <u>Representative value</u> | | | |
| It is important in demonstrating the principle characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects. | | X | |
| B2. Regional context | | | |
| Other similar sites in the regional landscape. | X | | |
| B3. Condition of site | | | |
| Integrity of deposits/structures. | Good integrity and maintained by families | | |
| I. Sphere of significance | High | Medium | Low |
| International | | | X |
| Provincial | | | X |
| Local | X | | |
| Specific community | X | | |
| J. Field Register rating | | | |
| National/Grade 1 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Provincial/Grade 2 [should be registered, retained] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3A [should be registered, mitigation not advised] | | | |
| Local/Grade 3B [High significance; mitigation, partly retained] | | | |
| Generally Protected A [High/Medium significance, mitigation] | | | X |
| Generally protected B [Medium significance, to be recorded] | | | |
| Generally Protected C [Low significance, no further action] | | | |
| K. General statement of site significance | | | |
| Low | | | |
| Medium | | | |
| High | | | X |
| L. Rating of potential impact of development | | | |
| None | | | |
| Peripheral | | | X |

| | |
|---|--|
| Destruction | |
| Uncertain | |
| J. Recommended mitigation Grave to remain in situ. Area to be fenced with a buffer of 30m. It is recommended to fall within the area to be excluded.. | |
| K. Applicable legislation and legal requirements NHRA 25 of 1999. | |
| L. Images  | |
| <p>Fig 25. Mr. Tshivhula pointing out the position of the grave/murder</p> | |

Significance: High for all graves and cemeteries

2.3 SUMMARY OF RECORDED HERITAGE RESOURCES AND IMPACTS

| Type | Number As on map | GPS | Recorded artefact/feature/grave | Impact WITH mitigation | | |
|--------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|--------------|
| | | | | High | Medium | Low/ None |
| Social | 1 | S22° 52' 01.6" E29° 41' 06.9" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 2 | S22° 52' 04.0" E29° 41' 06.0" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 3 | S22° 52' 15.3" E29° 41' 09.9" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 4 | S22° 52' 15.9" E29° 41' 07.8" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 5 | S22° 52' 16.0" E29° 41' 09.3" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 6 | S22° 52' 17.6" E29° 41' 06.7" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living | |

| | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 7 | S22° 52' 18.8" E29° 41' 05.4" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Grave | 8 | S22° 52' 53.7" E29° 41' 11.3" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 9 | S22° 52' 46.2" E29° 41' 07.1" | Graves | X | | |
| Grave | 10 | S22° 52' 15.4" E29° 41' 09.7" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 11 | S22° 52' 06.6" E29° 41' 07.5" | Cemetery | X | | |
| Grave | 22.1 | S22° 53' 13.6" E29° 41' 19.9" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 22.2 | S22° 52' 49.9" E29° 41' 33.4" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 22.3 | S22° 52' 55.6" E29° 41' 30.2" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 22.4 | S22° 52' 06.6" E29° 41' 05.9" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 22.5 | S22° 52' 15.6" E29° 41' 05.4" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 22.6 | S22° 52' 18.2" E29° 41' 06.5" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 22.7 | S22° 52' 17.9" E29° 41' 07.0" | Grave | X | | |
| Grave | 22.8 | S22° 52' 38.1" E29° 41' 22.4" | Cemetery | X | | |
| Grave | 22.9 | S22° 52' 39.8" E29° 41' 21.6" | Grave | X | | |
| Social | 22.10 | S22° 52' 51.0" E29° 41' 11.9" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 22.11 | S22° 52' 51.1" E29° 41' 13.5" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 22.12 | S22° 52' 53.0" E29° 41' 17.2" | Traditional social | | Due to community living | |

| | | | | | | |
|--------|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Social | 22.13 | S22° 52' 54.9" E29° 41' 03.2" | Traditional residential | | Due to community living memory intrinsically linked to land | |
| Grave | 22.14 | S22° 52' 59.5" E29° 40' 43.0" | Grave | X | | |

3. DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The graves on Coniston 699 MS portion 3 still have much meaning to the local community and should remain *in situ* and conserved. The below recommendations should conserve the graves and mitigate any negative impacts that the proposed development could entail, not only at the onset of development but through the years of future farming.

It is thus recommended:

1. The areas where social/family areas were recorded be excluded from development and cordoned off to prevent farm machinery accidentally impacting social resources and possibly unknown and unmarked graves.
2. The family cemeteries: Access to the graves needs to be provided, within reason and according to farm security protocols, to the descendants as the ancestors still play a role in the lives of the living family. These cemeteries should be appropriately fenced and cordoned off.
3. All grave areas indicated that fall inside or outside the excluded area (already fenced and cordoned off (see 1 above)- should be fenced off, with access for families allowed as per 2 above.
4. A buffer zone of 30m has been provided for the graves. Grouped together these areas should be included in the excluded area.
5. The delineation of graves and social areas needs to be communicated to farm workers, to ensure that tractors/trucks etc. do not accidentally impact graves or social areas
6. Monitoring should take place when ground works begin. Although the community has stated that they know of no further graves, there remains a possibility that other graves, especially those of children and babies, may still be found during ploughing and general ground works, vigilance therefore needs to be maintained.

This Grave Management Plan should be read in conjunction with the Heritage Resources Scoping report.



Liesl Stegmann
BA Hons Archaeology Unisa,



Frans E Roodt
BA Hons Archaeology Unisa



FRANS ROODT (*BA Hons, MA Archaeology, Post Grad. Dip. Museology; UP*)
Principal Investigator for SHASA Heritage Consultants