APPENDIX F Impact Assessment

DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF A **SECTION OF A WATER PIPELINE TO CONNECT THE BLOEMSPRUIT WWTW TO MOCKES DAM, BLOEMFONTEIN**

Applicant: Mangaung Metro Municipality

MDA Ref No: 40673 2018

November 2019 Date:



Town & Regional Planners,

Physical Address: 9 Barnes Street, Westdene, Bloemfontein, 9301 Postal Address: PO Box 100982,

Brandhof, 9324

Tel: 051 4471583, Fax: 086 455 2568 E-mail: admin@mdagroup.co.za

1. METHODOLOGY

- 1.1. Impact assessment must take into account the nature, scale and duration of effects on the environment whether such effects are positive (beneficial) or negative (detrimental). Each issue / impact is also assessed according to the project stages from planning, through construction and operation to the decommissioning phase. Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimization of an impact is noted. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance has also been included.
- 1.2. A rating system is applied to the potential impact on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the mitigation of the impact. In assessing the significance of each issue the following criteria (including an allocated point system) is used:

Table 1: Criter	ia for the class	sification of an impact			
Nature	A brief descr	A brief description of the environmental aspect being			
	impacted upon by a particular action or activity is presented.				
Extent	Considering	the area over which the impact will be expressed.			
(Scale)	Typically, the	severity and significance of an impact have			
	different sca	les and as such bracketing ranges are often			
	required. This	is often useful during the detailed assessment			
	phase of a p	roject in terms of further defining the determined			
	significance	or intensity of an impact.			
	Site	Within the construction site			
	Local	Within a radius of 2 km of the construction site			
	Regional	Provincial (and parts of neighbouring provinces)			
	National The whole of South Africa				
Duration	Indicates what the lifetime of the impact will be.				
	Short-term	The impact will either disappear with mitigation			
		or will be mitigated through natural process in a			
		span shorter than the construction phase			
	Medium-	The impact will last for the period of the			
	term	construction phase, where after it will be entirely			
		negated			
	Long-term	The impact will continue or last for the entire			
		operational life of the development, but will be			
		mitigated by direct human action or by natural			
		processes thereafter			

Table 1: Criter	ia for the clas:	sification of an impact
	Permanent	The only class of impact which will be non- transitory. Mitigation either by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or in such a time span that the impact can be considered transient
Intensity	Describes wh	nether an impact is destructive or benign.
	Low	Impact affects the environment in such a way that natural, cultural and social functions and processes are not affected.
		It is important to note that the status of an impact is assigned based on the status quo – i.e. should the project not proceed. Therefore not all negative impacts are equally significant.
	Medium	Effected environment is altered, but natural and social functions and processes continue albeit in a modified way, cultural
	High	Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they temporarily cease
	Very high	Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they permanently cease
Probability	Describes the	e likelihood of an impact actually occurring.
	Improbable	Likelihood of the impact materializing is very low
	Possible	The impact may occur
	Highly probable	Most likely that the impact will occur
	Definite	Impact will certainly occur
Significance	characteristic	is determined through a synthesis of impact cs. It is an indication of the importance of the ms of both physical extent and time scale, and licates the level of mitigation required.
	Low impact	No permanent impact of significance. Mitigatory measures are feasible and are readily instituted as part of a standing design, construction or operating procedure
	Medium impact	Mitigation is possible with additional design and construction inputs

Table 1: Criter	Table 1: Criteria for the classification of an impact				
	High	The design of the site may be affected.			
	impact	Mitigation and possible remediation are needed			
		during the construction and/or operational			
		phases. The effects of the impact may affect the			
		broader environment			
	Very high	The design of the site may be affected. Intensive			
	impact	remediation as needed during construction			
		and/or operational phases. Any activity which			
		results in a "very high impact" is likely to be a			
		fatal flaw			
Status	Denotes the	perceived effect of the impact on the affected			
	area.				
	Positive	Beneficial impact			
	Negative	Deleterious or adverse impact			
	Neutral	Impact is neither beneficial nor adverse			

The suitability and feasibility of all proposed mitigation measures will be included in the assessment of significant impacts. This will be achieved through the comparison of the significance of the impact before and after the proposed mitigation measure is implemented.

DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSING OF POSSIBLE IMPACTS, ISSUES AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Developments such as these do have, like many other types of developments, various direct but also indirect impacts on the environment. These impacts have to be managed in order to have the minimum environmental impact and the maximum benefit to man.

Issues identified during the Basic Assessment process are discussed and assessed below:

1. VEGETATION DESTRUCTION								
Assessment								
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status		
Without Mitigation	Local	Permanent	Very high	Definite	High	Negative		
With Mitigation	Site	Long term	High	Definite	Medium	Negative		
Recommendation								
Phase	Description	of recommend	ation					
General	• Please re	efer to the Speci	alist Reports in A	ppendix D for more	e recommendatior	าร		
Planning Phase	• None							
Construction	 Establish 	ment of alien / i	nvader vegetati	on will be monitore	ed and these spec	ies will be removed		
phase and	by hand	or by an approv	ved chemical be	efore gestation the	reof.			
operational phase	 Vegetat 	ion clearance w	ill be limited to t	he required area.				
	A permi	t for the remove	al of protected	plant species will	be obtained before	ore the removal of		
	these sp	ecies (if any).						
	• Care sho	ould be taken to	limit unnecessa	ry destruction of the	e natural vegetation	on.		
	All humo	an movement a	nd activities mu	ust be contained v	within designated	construction areas		
	and the habitat.	and the planned site access road in order to prevent peripheral impacts on surrounding natural						
	No fire-w	vood may be co	llected in the ve	eld without permissi	on from the landov	wner.		
		•		e must be develope				
				regularly to ensure		mpliance.		
	• If erosion	 If erosion is evident, proper erosion control measures should be implemented as soon as possible. 						
Post construction	• The alie	The alien control and monitoring programme used during the construction and operational						
phase and	phase m	phase must be carried over into the post construction and rehabilitation phase.						
rehabilitation	• Erosion s	hould be prever	nted as far as po	ossible and attende	ed to, as serious er	osion may occur at		
phase	barren a	ireas.						

1. VEGETATION DESTRUCTION

- Return and spread topsoil cover (to original depth, or as recommended by the ecological specialist) over rehabilitated area.
- Vegetation should be allowed to re-establish naturally over disturbed area to be rehabilitated.
- Areas which show no vegetation growth nine months after completion of the rehabilitation work, must be ripped, additional topsoil spread and seeded with indigenous grass species / hydroseeded.
- Species, especially grasses, trees and shrubs occurring in the region must be used to rehabilitate disturbed areas.
- Keep animals away from the site, at least until the vegetation has re-established sufficiently.

2. LOSS OF SOIL								
Assessment								
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status		
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative		
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Negative		
Recommendation								
Phase	Description	of recommendo	ation					
General	Please re	fer to the Speci	alist Reports in A	ppendix D for more	e recommendation	ns		
Planning Phase	site, as no • However	 No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 						
Construction phase and operational phase	rehabilite - Bricks m - Stockpil - The gra • Speed lir of design • Dust cor construct • All humo and the habitat.	ation process, for nay be placed of les should not be dient of stockpil mit will be enfor- nated roads / po natrol measures tion period. an movement a planned site ac	r example: around the stock higher than 1.3 es should not be ced on the cor athways. will be implem and activities mad cess road in ora	expiles, to limit the loss 5 m. The greater than 1:1.5 instruction vehicles of the contained with the loss con	ss thereof due to ro and these vehicles dust generation within designated oheral impacts on	will only make use occurs during the construction areas surrounding natural		
		•		nted in order to m		•		

2. LOSS OF SOIL	
	prevent erosion.
	Visual inspections for the occurrence of erosion should be undertaken on a weekly basis during
	the construction phase.
	If erosion is evident, proper erosion control measures should be implemented as soon as possible.
Post construction	Erosion should be prevented as far as possible and attended to, as serious erosion may occur at
phase and	barren areas.
rehabilitation	Return and spread topsoil cover (to original depth, or as recommended by the ecological
phase	specialist) over rehabilitated area.
	Vegetation should be allowed to re-establish naturally over disturbed area to be rehabilitated.
	Areas which show no vegetation growth nine months after completion of the rehabilitation work,
	must be ripped, additional topsoil spread and seeded with indigenous grass species.

3. POLLUTION CONTROL								
Assessment								
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status		
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	High	Definite	High	Negative		
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Negative		
Recommendation								
Phase	Description	of recommendat	ion					
General	Please re	fer to the Special	ist Reports in A	ppendix D for more	recommendation	าร		
Planning Phase	site, as no • However	 No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 						
Construction phase and operational phase	 operation Best prace No waste water fee Waste cle Suitable v Waste wi DWS shoresources Record s manner i 	nal phase. ctices should be ince (general / constitutes. assification should waste bins etc. will be removed frould be notified as. hould be kept on which spill was	mplemented in struction / pot d be undertake ill be available m site and disport any spillage a site to indicate treated.	ential hazardous / en. on site for the temposed of at an auth	es / pollution / ero etc.) may be dur porary disposal of orised landfill site. 24 hours of occur pection, any spillo	mped in the veld /		
		•				oosed of does not		

3. POLLUTION CONT	ROL
	conform to the DWS standards.
	Visual inspections should be undertaken at least every 6 months to investigate the occurrence of
	sedimentation and erosion.
	Proper erosion mitigation measures should be implemented.
Post construction	Maintenance and repair will be undertaken when necessary.
phase and	All temporary infrastructure related to the construction phase will be removed from site.
rehabilitation	Temporary concrete surfaces (if any) will be removed and compacted areas ripped.
phase	No waste will be dumped on site and any waste occurring on site will be removed and disposed
	of according to best practices.

4. LOSS OF ANIMAL LIFE								
Assessment								
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status		
Without Mitigation	Local	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative		
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Neutral		
Recommendation								
Phase	Description	of recommend	ation					
General	Please re	efer to the Speci	alist Reports in A	Appendix D for more	e recommendatio	ns		
Planning Phase	No envi	ronmental mitigo	ation measures	is required during t	he planning phas	e on the proposed		
	site, as r	no mitigation me	asures are to be	e implemented on s	ite during the plan	ning phase.		
	 Howeve 	er, the engineers	s, specialists ar	nd environmental (consultants took v	various factors into		
	conside	ration, to be imp	lemented durin	g the construction ,	operational phas	se.		
Construction	No anim	nals may be cap	tured / harmed	/ killed on site.				
phase and	• Speciali	 Specialists should be appointed to remove / translocate species, if required. The necessary 						
operational phase	permits	permits should also be obtained.						
	Any occ	currences of har	med animals sh	ould be reported t	o the ECO, the re	quired steps should		
	be take	n and should be	recorded as su	ch.				
Post construction	No anim	No animals may be captured / harmed / killed on site.						
phase and	• Speciali	sts should be ap	opointed to re	move / translocate	species, if requi	red. The necessary		
rehabilitation	permits	should also be ol	otained.					
phase	Any occ	currences of har	med animals sh	ould be reported t	o the ECO, the re	quired steps should		
	be take	n and should be	recorded as su	ch.				

5. Surface Water							
Assessment							
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status	
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative	
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Neutral	
Recommendation							
Phase	Description	of recommendat	ion				
General	 Please re 	fer to the Special	ist Reports in A	opendix D for more	recommendation	าร	
Planning Phase	 No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 						
Construction phase and operational phase	prevent e Construct of water required The nece obtained Daily insp during th Best prac waterwa Best prac	erosion. tion activities in volume is required, when should the necest essary authorisation of the construction phase in the construction phase in the construction phase in the construction of the construction phase in the construction of the con	vaterways shown re possible. 2/3 sary authorisations (altering an occurrence of mase. implemented	uld be undertaken 3 of the waterways ons be received fro d impeding of bed surface water and in the case of sp	in such a manne s may be diverted om DWS. s / banks of water soil pollution are	er and this will also rethat no damming data time, where sources) should be to be undertaken, in / erosion at the urbed due to the	
D 1 ' ''	1	tion activities.	\	1 1 111 1 1	1. 1 1		
Post construction	Disturbed	d waterways (if ar	ny) should be re	ehabilitated accord	ding to best practi	ces.	

5. Surface Water	
phase and	All polluted areas should be cleaned as soon as possible.
rehabilitation	Waste to be removed from site.
phase	

6. VISUAL IMPACT

The visual impact of the proposed development in the landscape is the function of several factors of which the viewing distance, visual absorption capacity and landform are measurable. Other factors are difficult to categorize because they are subjective viewpoints.

The visual impact for the proposed development is largely due to:

- The topography in terms of elevation and aspect;
- The vegetative cover in terms of its extent and height;
- The extent of the proposed development;
- Distance from point of origin; and
- The low visual absorption capacity of the surrounding landscape.

Factors of visual impact

Visual character:

The visual character of an area has different elements that provide an overall perceived ambience. In the consideration of the visual character of a site, it is important to include not only the internal land use but that of the surrounding land as well.

At this site, the visual character is mainly the city of Bloemfontein, agricultural area adjacent to Bloemfontein, the Airport as well as the holdings adjacent to Bloemfontein; all of which are located within viewing distance of the site.

Scale of landscape:

Visual scale is the apparent size relationships between landscape components and their surroundings (Smardon, et al. 1986).

Visual analysis:

In this section the intensity of the visual impact of the development on the surrounding area is described. Aspects such as viewshed, visual absorption capacity and the appearance of the development from critical viewpoints will be used to determine this impact.

The site proposed pipeline and associated infrastructure is situated on the outskirts of the town of Bloemfontein. The pipeline will pass through the Roodewal Small Holdings and join the tarred road at the north eastern border of the small holdings. The pipeline will cross peri-urban, transformed and natural areas. Several watercourses will also be crossed by the pipeline.

Alternative 1 RedRoute & Alternative 2 GreenRoute

The vegetation along the northern pipeline route has mostly been transformed from the natural condition with only a few remnant patches of natural vegetation remaining and these also not in a good condition. The vegetation along the route is therefore no longer considered to consist of the threatened Bloemfontein Dry Grassland.

Alternative 3_{BlueRoute}

The southern pipeline route alternative contains sections which has been modified and transformed significantly from the natural condition and these portions are consequently of relatively low conservation value. However, several large portions of relatively natural vegetation still remain, especially in the eastern section of the pipeline. Consisting of Bloemfontein Dry Grassland, a Threatened Ecosystem, and highly likely containing protected species, these sections should be regarded as sensitive and having a significant conservation value. The condition of the vegetation in these sections does however seem to be somewhat degraded along the border fences which should decrease the impact the proposed pipeline will have.

Adequate mitigation will however be required which should include minimising the disturbance footprint and conducting a walkthrough survey to identify and mark protected species along the pipeline route. It is recommended that any protected plant specimens which will be affected by the pipeline construction should be removed and transplanted adjacent to the pipeline in an area of suitable and similar habitat. Permits must be obtained for those specimens to be transplanted.

Site evaluation in terms of visual impact

Visual assessment ratings rates each criterion listed in the table from, high, medium to low according to specific characteristics of those criteria.

Visual assessment criteria used to determine the degree of visual impact of							
the proposed ac	tivities on the enviror	ment (adapted from MEDIUM	Klapwijk 1998) LOW				
Visibility	Very visible from many places beyond 1km	Visible from within 1km zone but partially obscured by intervening objects	Only partially visible within the 1km zone and beyond due to screening by intervening objects				
Visual quality	A very attractive setting	A setting with some aesthetic and visual merit	A setting which has little aesthetic merit				
Visible man- made structures	Buildings as a dominant visual element	Buildings as a partial visual element	Buildings as a minor visual element				
Surrounding landscape compatibility	Cannot accommodate proposed development without appearing totally out of place.	Can accommodate the proposed development without appearing totally out of place	Usually suits or matches the proposed development				
Character of site or surrounding area	Exhibits a definite character	Exhibits some character	Little or no character				
Contrast between human scale and vertical & horizontal elements in the landscape	There is high contrast	Landscape with some contrast	Limited vertical variation. Most elements are related to human and horizontal scale				
Visual absorption capacity (VAC)	Inability of landscape to visually absorb a development because of a	The lower ability of the landscape to visually absorb the development due to less diverse	The ability of landscape to easily accept visually a particular				

Visual assessment criteria used to determine the degree of visual impact of				
the proposed activities on the environment (adapted from Klapwijk 1998)				
CRITERIA	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	
	limited vegetation	landform,	development	
	cover, flat slope	vegetation &	because of its	
	and uniform	texture	diverse landform,	
	texture		vegetation and	
			texture	
View distance	More than 5km	Between 5km &	Between 1km &	
(uninterrupted)		1km	500m	
Critical views	Views of the	Some views of the	Limited views to	
	development are	development from	the development	
	to be seen by	surrounding routes	from roads and	
	many people	and housing	housing	
	passing on road			
	routes and from			
	prominent areas			

Results and conclusions on visual impact of development assessment

Aspect	Result	
Visibility	HIGH	
Visual quality	MEDIUM	
Visible man-made structures		
Surrounding landscape compatibility		
Character of site or surrounding area		
Contrast between human scale, vertical & horizontal elements in		
the landscape		
Visual absorption capacity (VAC)		
View distance (uninterrupted)		
Critical views		

The proposed development will have a medium visual impact. This is largely due to:

- The extent of the development
- The surrounding agricultural as well as residential areas, the locality of the existing airport as well as the current state of the various water resources in the area.