IMPACT ASSESSMENT

PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE

ASPECT: SEWER INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN

NATURE OF IMPACT: Poor design of the sanitation infrastructure that could result in structural defects or collapse of the sanitation system could negatively affect the receiving environment.

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Regional (4) | Regional (2) |
| Duration | Long term (2) | Temporary (1) |
| Magnitude | Very High (10) | Very Low (2) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | High (4) | Very Low (1) |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Moderate (3) |
| Probability | Highly Likely (4) | Possible (2) |
| Significance | High (96) | Low (18) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

• The existing wastewater treatment works must be able to accommodate the additional loads from the new Extension 5 residential development.

• The appropriate pipeline sizing, gradient and material to be used must be considered and meet the applicable engineering standards.

♦ The engineers responsible for the design must have the necessary skills and expertise.

Cumulative impacts:

None

Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

The sewer design must meet applicable engineering standards to ensure that the sanitation does not collapse because it there are any leaks in the pipeline, it will result with contamination of watercourses and soil.



ASPECT: Non-Compliance to applicable Environmental Legislation

NATURE OF IMPACT: Continuation of the project without obtaining the necessary authorisation, licenses of permits in terms of the applicable legislation could result in continuation of illegal activities.

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Regional (3) | Regional (3) |
| Duration | Long term (4) | Temporary (1) |
| Magnitude | Very High (4) | Low (4) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | High (4) | Low (2) |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Reversible (1) |
| Probability | Definite (5) | Highly Likely (1) |
| Significance | High (95) | Medium (11) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

 Application for Environmental Authorisation and Water Use License in terms of National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) and National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998), the application is in progress.

Observe by Borrow pits that will be used to source construction material must have a Mining Permit/ Right in terms of Mineral Petroleum Resources Development Act (28 of 2002) and Environmental Authorisation in terms of NEMA, 1998 as amended or alternatively, material must be obtained from a commercial quarry...

Cumulative impacts:

None

Residual Impacts:

High

Discussion:

Should the municipality go ahead with the construction of the proposed sanitation infrastructure without obtaining the necessary approvals from competent authorities, they will be in contravention of the applicable environmental legislation and this could result in an administration fine or jail term.



CONSTRUCTION PHASE:

ASPECT: SOCIO-ECONOMIC

NATURE OF IMPACT: Employment opportunities for the local community during construction phase due to the current unemployment rate (economic impact)

| Status (positive or negative) | Positive | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Provincial (4) | Local (2) |
| Duration | Short term (2) | Short term (2) |
| Magnitude | Low (4) | Low (4) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Very Low (1) | Very Low (1) |
| Reversibility | Irreversible (5) | Irreversible (5) |
| Probability | Probable (2) | Highly Likely (4) |
| Significance | Low (32) | Medium (70) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

No mitigation is required because it is a positive impact, however, it can be augmented by the following:

- Local labourers, especially from the Ward 17 and, local sub-contractors and SMMEs should be utilized to a greater extent and recruitment should consider gender equality in mind.
- Work force should include youth, women and disabled. Expanded Public Works Programme targets should be met.
- ♦ Labour intensive construction methods should be adopted where possible.
- Or Community Liaison Officer should be appointed, and Project Steering Committee established prior to construction to ensure that all social issues are resolved, and the project does not result in any delays due to unresolved social issues, e.g. recruitment of local labourers.
- Appropriate training should be provided as well as skills development for the local sub-contractors to improve their CIBD grading level.

Cumulative impacts:

None expected

Residual Impacts:

The general workers would have gained experience and skill to work in similar projects in the future and CIBD grading of sub-contractors will improve.

Discussion:

It is important to involve the councillor of Ward 17 during labour recruitment and a skills audit must be undertaken to determine training that could be offered to the general workers. It is important that the contractor only uses skilled labourers from other areas if they are not available in the Paul Roux/Fateng tse Ntsho area. There should be a database of local sub-contractors that will be empowered from this project.



ASPECT: FLORA-PIPELINE

NATURE OF IMPACT: Destruction/Damage of Red Data Listed Species, Nationally or Provincially protected species due to clearance of vegetation

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | |
| Extent | Local (2) | Local (2) | |
| Duration | Long Term (4) | Long Term (4) | |
| Magnitude | Very Low (2) | Very Low (2) | |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Moderate (3) | Low (2) | |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Low (4) | |
| Probability | Possible (2) | Probable (1) | |
| Significance | Low (30) | Low (14) | |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | Yes | |
| | | | · |

Mitigation:

The proposed pipeline must be constructed as close as possible to the National Road N5 national highway in order to restrict and prevent significant impact on the relevant vegetation type, the broader continuous wetland area to the south.

♦ A Provincial Flora Permit must be obtained from DESTEA for the removal/destruction of all the provincially protected species *Helichrysum rugulosum* individuals, prior to commencement of any construction activities.

The degree and duration of the construction impacts of the proposed development on the remaining undisturbed relatively natural terrestrial grassland portions, the broader continuous wetland area to the south as far as practicably possible in order to minimise the negative ecological impact.

• Exposure of bare ground should be minimized by limiting grubbing and soil stripping to areas where excavation is to begin within 30 days.

♦ Topsoil stripping must be limited to the development footprint.

Adequately cordon-off the proposed development construction footprint area and to ensure that the construction machinery and equipment is within the proposed construction footprint area and to ensure environmentally responsible construction practices and activities.

♦ No unnecessary/unauthorised footprint expansion into the surrounding undeveloped areas must take place.

No site construction basecamps may be established within the surrounding undeveloped areas and outside the proposed development footprint, specifically not within the broader continuous wetland area to the south.

No new temporary roads or tracks may be constructed or implemented within the surrounding undeveloped areas and specifically not within the broader wetland area to the south.

Cumulative impacts:

Low



Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

Although there are no Red Data Listed and nationally protected species, the contractor must ensure that the construction activities including movement of the construction machinery and vehicles are confined to the development footprint to lessen impact on the ecological characteristics of the site and surroundings.

ASPECT: FLORA-SEWER PIPE BRIDGE

NATURE OF IMPACT: Destruction riparian of vegetation due to clearance of vegetation on the Sand River.

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Local (2) | Local (2) |
| Duration | Long Term (4) | Long Term (4) |
| Magnitude | Very Low (2) | Very Low (2) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Moderate (3) | Low (2) |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Low (4) |
| Probability | Possible (2) | Probable (1) |
| Significance | Low (30) | Low (14) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

♦ Construction must take place during the dry season.

- The degree and duration of the construction impacts of the proposed development on the small portion of Sand River must be minimised as far as practically possible.
- Adequately cordon-off the proposed development construction footprint area and to ensure that the construction machinery and equipment is within the proposed construction footprint area and to ensure environmentally responsible construction practices and activities.
- ♦ No unnecessary/unauthorised footprint expansion into the surrounding undeveloped areas must take place.
- ♦ No new temporary roads or tracks may be constructed or implemented outside the development footprint.

Cumulative impacts:

Low



Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

Although there are no Red Data Listed, Nationally or Provincially protected species, the contractor must ensure that the construction activities including movement of the construction machinery and vehicles are confined to the development footprint to lessen impact on the ecological characteristics of the riparian vegetation. Indiscriminate destruction of the riparian vegetation must be avoided.

ASPECT: TRANSFORMATION OF VEGETATION ALONG THE PIPELINE ROUTE

NATURE OF IMPACT: Transformation of vegetation along the pipeline route associated with the Eastern Free State Clay Grassland Vegetation Type (Gm3)

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Footprint (1) | Footprint (1) |
| Duration | Long Term (4) | Short Term (3) |
| Magnitude | Low (4) | Very Low (2) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Moderate (3) | Very Low (1) |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Moderate (3) |
| Probability | High (4) | Likely (3) |
| Significance | Medium (64) | Low (30) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

Oconstruction must be as close as possible to the N5 national highway in order to restrict impact and prevent significant impact on the relevant vegetation type, the broader wetland area to the south.

- The degree and duration of the construction impacts of the proposed development on the remaining undisturbed relatively natural terrestrial grassland portions be minimised as far as practically possible.
- Adequately cordon-off the proposed development construction footprint area and to ensure that the construction machinery and equipment movement is within the proposed construction footprint area and to ensure environmentally responsible construction practices and activities.
- ♦ No unnecessary/unauthorised footprint expansion into the surrounding undeveloped areas must take place.
- ♦ No new temporary roads or tracks may be constructed or implemented outside the development footprint.
- The construction footprint must be adequately rehabilitated as soon as practically possible after construction in order to ensure continued ecological functionality and integrity of the terrestrial grassland.



A Rehabilitation Management Plan must be developed by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist.

Cumulative impacts:

Medium

Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

The proposed pipeline route will be underground except at the section where it crosses the Sand River, therefore, with adequate implementation of outlined mitigation measures, natural plant succession would take place.

ASPECT: TRANSFORMATION OF VEGETATION ALONG THE SEWER PIPE BRIDGE

NATURE OF IMPACT: Transformation of vegetation along the sewer pipe bridge development footprint associated with the Eastern Free State Clay Grassland Vegetation Type (Gm3)

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | |
| Extent | Local (2) | Footprint (1) | |
| Duration | Long Term (4) | Short Term (3) | |
| Magnitude | Very Low (2) | Very Low (2) | |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Moderate (3) | Very Low (1) | |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Low (4) | |
| Probability | Likely (3) | Likely (3) | |
| Significance | Low (45) | Low (33) | |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | | |

Mitigation:

The degree and duration of the construction impacts of the proposed development on the small portion of Sand river be minimised as far as practically possible.

Adequately cordon-off the proposed development construction footprint area and to ensure that construction machinery and equipment operate only within the footprint and not outside the cordoned off area.

Adequate operational procedures for machinery and equipment must be developed in order to strictly govern movement of machinery within the project footprint areas and ensure environmentally responsible construction practices and activities.

◊ No unnecessary/unauthorised footprint expansion into the surrounding undeveloped areas must take place.

◊ No new temporary roads or tracks may be constructed or implemented outside the development footprint.

> The construction footprint must be adequately rehabilitated as soon as practically possible after construction in order to ensure continued ecological



functionality and integrity of the terrestrial grassland.

A Rehabilitation Management Plan must be developed by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist.

Cumulative impacts:

Low

Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

The proposed sewer bridge will be used to carry the proposed sewer pipeline, therefore riparian vegetation along the sewer pipe bridge will be transformed and contractor must put effort in place not to extent construction activities within the surrounding area not included in the footprint. Rehabilitation must be followed immediately when construction activities ceases.

ASPECT: SOIL EROSION

NATURE OF IMPACT: Clearing of vegetation and earthmoving activities could result in accelerated soil erosion.

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Local (2) | Local (2) |
| Duration | Long Term (4) | Medium Term (3) |
| Magnitude | Medium (6) | Very Low (2) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Low (2) | Very Low (1) |
| Reversibility | High (2) | High (2) |
| Probability | High (4) | Probable (1) |
| Significance | Medium (64) | Low (11) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

♦ Vegetation clearance must be done in phases to minimize the exposure of bare soil.

Adequate Stormwater and Erosion Management measures must be implemented for the proposed sewer bridge area in order to sufficiently manage stormwater runoff to prevent any significant erosion from occurring. These include measures to stabilize riverbanks within disturbed areas as well as areas subject to perpetual present erosional features making use of geotextiles, silt traps or silt fences along areas with steep slopes and gabions in areas that suffer greater erosional impacts.

♦ ECO must routinely inspect erosion management features for functionality.

All excavations must be filled and rehabilitated before construction moves off site to abate channel and gulley formation.



Cumulative impacts:

Medium

Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

Routine inspection of the construction area must be done to ensure that any signs of erosion are attended to.

ASPECT: ALIEN INVASIVE SPECIES

NATURE OF IMPACT: Spread of alien invasive species

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Regional (3) | Local (2) |
| Duration | Long Term (4) | Medium Term (3) |
| Magnitude | Low (4) | Very Low (2) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Medium (3) | Low (2) |
| Reversibility | High (2) | High (2) |
| Probability | High (4) | Probable (1) |
| Significance | Medium (64) | Low (11) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

◊ Construction activities must be limited to the development footprint.

All the identified alien invasive species individuals must be actively eradicated from the assessment area and adequately disposed of in accordance with the National Environmental Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004); Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2014.

Adequate Alien Invasive Species Establishment Management and Prevention Plan compiled by a suitably qualified and experienced Ecologist must be implemented during the construction and operational phase.

Areas within and immediately surrounding the proposed development footprint must be adequately rehabilitated as soon as practicably possible after construction in order to prevent significant alien invasive species establishment.

◊ Routine monitoring must be undertaken to control the spread of invasive species.

| Cumulative impacts: | |
|---------------------|--|
| Low | |
| Residual Impacts: | |



Limited

Discussion:

The disturbance of soils will enhance the growth and recruitment of exotic and pioneering vegetation, therefore, the construction site must be kept weed and alien free because if there is an infestation, it could spread to the surrounding undeveloped areas.

ASPECT: WATER QUALITY OF THE WATERCOURSES

| | NATURE OF IMPACT: Contamination of the Sand River | , wetland, and small drainage ephemeral drainage line. |
|--|---|--|
|--|---|--|

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Regional (3) | Regional (3) |
| Duration | Short Term (2) | Short Term (2) |
| Magnitude | High (8) | Medium (6) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | High (4) | Low (2) |
| Reversibility | Moderate (3) | Medium (3) |
| Probability | High (4) | Possible (2) |
| Significance | Medium-High (80) | Low (32) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

A comprehensive South African Scoring System 5 (SASS 5) aquatic biomonitoring must be conducted of the Sand River directly downstream of the proposed project area prior to commencement of the construction phase. This information will serve as baseline watercourse health data to be used for subsequent monitoring assessment to be conducted. Such an assessment must be conducted by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist.

Water samples of the Sand River must be collected directly downstream of the proposed project area prior to commencement of the construction phase by a suitably qualified specialist. The quality of these samples must be chemically and biologically analysed at an accredited laboratory in order to serve as baseline water quality date to be used for subsequent monitoring assessment to be conducted.

- If hydrocarbons or other chemicals are to be stored on site during the construction phase, the storage areas must be situated as far away as practicably possible from the watercourses.
- The storage areas must be adequately bunded in order to be able to contain a minimum of 150% of the capacity of the storage tanks/units.
- Adequate hydrocarbon and other chemical storage, handling, usage, and emergency spill procedures must be developed, and Accidental spills must be reported and cleaned immediately. Contaminated soils must be removed and disposed of at a registered disposal site. All relevant construction personnel must be sufficiently trained on- and apply these procedures during the entire construction phase.
- ♦ The construction equipment and machinery must be properly maintained and serviced



Erosion control of disturbed areas must be implemented to avoid silts entering into aquatic habitats and impacting water quality downstream of the site. \Diamond Adequate stormwater and erosion management measures must be implemented for the entire assessment area during the construction and operational \Diamond phase. This must be done to ensure and sufficiently manage stormwater runoff, clean/dirty water separation towards the Sand River, wetland, and small ephemeral water drainage line in order to ensure ecological integrity of the watercourses and wetland. No dumping of rubble or excess material must take place within the watercourses. \diamond General waste must be collected in drum containers and disposed weekly or when full at the Paul Roux solid waste site. No dumping of waste is allowed \Diamond within the construction site including watercourses. If ready mix concrete is not to be used, concrete mixing must be done on impermeable surfaces at designated areas and no concrete mixing would be \diamond allowed within the watercourses. All visible remains of concrete must be physically removed as soon as possible and disposed to a suitable site. All used cement bags should be properly disposed after use. Cumulative impacts: Low **Residual Impacts:** Limited Discussion: Water quality degradation as a result of siltation of the watercourse through erosional features, fluid leaks, poor waste management, is a common issue for construction projects taking place within watercourses, therefore, the contractor must ensure that workforce including sub-contractor are trained on measures to be implemented to lessen the impact. Good construction practices must be in place.

ASPECT: DISTURBANCE TO THE SAND RIVER CHARACTERISTICS

NATURE OF IMPACT: Impeding and diverting water flow of the Sand River.

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Regional (3) | Regional (3) |
| Duration | Short Term (2) | Short Term (2) |
| Magnitude | Medium (6) | Medium (6) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | High (4) | Low (2) |
| Reversibility | Medium (3) | High (2) |
| Probability | High (4) | Possible (2) |
| Significance | Medium (72) | Low (30) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |
| Mitigation: | | |



- Adequate stormwater measures must be implemented during the construction and operation phase to ensure continued flow of the watercourse.
- The pipeline must be placed over the watercourse on aboveground elevated concrete slabs in order to ensure the continued flow and ecological integrity of the watercourse.
- Any material used to impede or divert the water flow must be removed immediately when construction of the sewer pipe bridge is completed.
- No dumping of rubble or excess building material should take place within the watercourse including the riparian zones.
- The construction footprint through all these portions must also be adequately rehabilitated as soon as practicably possible after construction in order to ensure the continued flow and subsequent ecological functionality and integrity of the watercourse and wetland.
- A Rehabilitation Management Plan must be developed for this by a suitably qualified and experienced Ecologist.

Cumulative impacts:

Low

Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

There should be no hinderance to the water flow post construction. Therefore, all the material that was introduced to impede or divert the water flow must be removed. Continuation of construction without a Water Use License is a transgression to the National Water Act, 1998.

| ASPECT: DESTRUCTION OF WETLAND FUNCTIONALITY | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| ovided by the wetland. | | | |
| Negative | | | |
| Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | | |
| Regional (3) | Regional (3) | | |
| Short Term (2) | Short Term (2) | | |
| High (8) | Medium (6) | | |
| High (4) | Low (2) | | |
| Medium (3) | High (2) | | |
| High (4) | Possible (2) | | |
| Medium-High (80) | Low (30) | | |
| Yes | | | |
| | ovided by the wetland. Negative Without Mitigation Regional (3) Short Term (2) High (8) High (4) Medium (3) High (4) Medium-High (80) Yes | | |

Mitigation:

Adequate stormwater and erosion measures must be implemented for the entire assessment area during the construction and operation phase to ensure sufficiently maintained stormwater runOff, in order to ensure subsequent ecological functionality and integrity of the wetland.

- No dumping of rubble or excess building material should take place within the wetland area.
- ◊ Storage of machinery & surplus materials to be only allowed outside of wetland area.



| \diamond | Entrenching the pipeline deep enough below the watercourse with proper reinstatement of soil layering to retain sol structure and therefore abate erosion through scouring. |
|------------|--|
| \diamond | Limit the construction footprint which is to remain within designated access roads. |
| \diamond | The construction footprint through all these portions must also be adequately rehabilitated as soon as practicably possible after construction in order to ensure the continued flow and subsequent ecological functionality and integrity of the watercourse and wetland. |
| \diamond | The movement of heavy machinery within wetland zones should be limited to only single access roadways; |
| \diamond | Upon completion of the construction phase, this roadway should be ripped and/or disk ploughed to loosen the compacted soils and to allow for the establishment of vegetation within the affected areas. |
| \diamond | Guideline procedures on trenching on wetlands outlined in the Environmental Management Programme should be followed |
| \diamond | A Rehabilitation Management Plan must be developed for this by a suitably qualified and experienced Ecologist. |
| Cumul | ative impacts: |
| Low | |
| Residu | ial Impacts: |
| Limited | |
| Discus | sion: |
| The co | ntractor must ensure that wetland are sensitive areas and good environmental practise must be in place for the duration of the construction and |
| rehabili | tation measures implemented immediately when construction activities ceases. |
| | |

| ASPECT: CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| NATURE OF IMPACT: Use of material that is not suitable for bedding, backfilling, and/or blanket material. | | | |
| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | | |
| | Without Mitigation With Mitigation | | |
| Extent | Local (2) | Local (2) | |
| Duration | Temporary (1) | Temporary (1) | |
| Magnitude | High (8) | Low (4) | |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Very Low (1) | Moderate (3) | |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Moderate (3) | |
| Probability | Highly Likely (4) | Possible (2) | |
| Significance | Medium (64) | Low (26) | |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | | |
| Mitigation: | | | |

Clayey material removed from the trenches must not be used, it must be cut to spoil in so far as economically possible. No spoil material must be stored within the watercourses including riparian zone.



• Control testing must be conducted to exercise process control on the materials used for backfilling.

Cumulative impacts:

Low

Residual Impacts:

None

Discussion:

The material on site is clayey thus not suitable for backfilling, bedding, or blanket materials. Therefore, suitable material must be obtained from a commercial quarry or a permitted borrow pit.

| ASPECT: TRAFFIC IMPACT | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|
| ional Road | | | |
| Negative | | | |
| Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | | |
| Local (2) | Local (2) | | |
| Temporary (1) | Temporary (1) | | |
| High (8) | Low (4) | | |
| Very Low (1) | Very Low (1) | | |
| Reversible (1) | Reversible (1) | | |
| Likely (3) | Possible (2) | | |
| Low (39) | Low (18) | | |
| Yes | | | |
| | ional Road Negative Without Mitigation Local (2) Temporary (1) High (8) Very Low (1) Reversible (1) Likely (3) Low (39) Yes | | |

Mitigation:

- Or Traffic management plan should be compiled prior to construction activities.
- ♦ Compliance with traffic control regulations should be mandatory.
- Only drivers with valid licenses must be allowed to drive on the construction site and operate equipment they are licensed for.
- A Road users must be notified timeously of any delays.
- ◊ In the event of abnormal vehicles, the local Department of Traffic must be notified timeously.

Cumulative impacts:

Low

Residual Impacts:

None

Discussion:

The movement of construction vehicles must be effectively managed in a way that other road users are alerted of any impact on the traffic flow so as to avoid



any incidences/accidents.

| ASPECT: HERITAGE ARTEFACTS | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| NATURE OF IMPACT: Destruction of Heritage Artefacts during by construction activities. | | | |
| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | | |
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | |
| Extent | Regional (3) | Local (2) | |
| Duration | Permanent (5) | Temporary (1) | |
| Magnitude | High (8) | Low (4) | |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Definite (5) | Low (2) | |
| Reversibility | Irreversible (5) | High (2) | |
| Probability | Highly Likely (4) | Possible (2) | |
| Significance | High (104) | Low (22) | |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | | |
| An Archaeologist must be appointed to monitor of the river at the bridge. | excavations at the Sand River crossing a | as well as 10m wide sections of alluvium flanking both sides | |

A Chance Finds Procedures outlined in the EMPr must be followed should any heritage and/or fossil resources be uncovered during all phases of the project. The procedure must be included in the toolbox talks.

Should the contractors make any archaeological, geological, or paleontological findings, it must be reported to the RE and an archaeologist and/or archaeologist should confirm the findings. SAHRA should be informed of the findings within 24 hours. Construction work must not proceed if it will cause damage to such findings. Unauthorized persons may not remove artefacts or cultural or historical importance from the site.

| Cumulative impacts: |
|---|
| Low |
| Residual Impacts: |
| Limited |
| Discussion: |
| The contractor must work diligently and train the workforce so that the understand the process that needs to be followed in case there is unearthing of |

Archaeological, Palaeontological Artefacts or human remains during earthmoving activities.



ASPECT: HEALTH AND SAFETY

| NATURE OF IMPACT: Impact on the health and safe | ty of the workers and neighbouring lan | d users | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | Negative | |
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | |
| Extent | Local (2) | Local (2) | |
| Duration | Temporary (1) | Temporary (1) | |
| Magnitude | Medium (6) | Low (4) | |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | Low (2) | Low (2) | |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Moderate (3) | |
| Probability | Highly Likely (4) | Possible (1) | |
| Significance | Medium-High (76) | Low (12) | |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | | |
| | • | | |

Mitigation:

♦ The construction site must adhere to the Occupational Health and Safety Act (Act 85 of 1993)

On the Contactor must provide employees with suitable equipment to protect them from hazards being presented and that will allow them to work without risk to their health in a hazardous environment, e.g. hard hats, gloves, boots, etc.

An emergency preparedness plan should be compiled and approved by the resident engineer and ECO before construction commences. A list of all emergency telephone numbers, i.e. fire, ambulance, safety officer, etc. should be available all the time at the construction site.

A medical first aid kit should be available on site for duration of the project.

♦ Safety nets/danger tapes must be placed around excavations.

• Pedestrian management measures must be in place to ensure free flowing of movement between Paul Roux and Fateng tse Ntsho.

Warning signage must be in place to alert the public of the dangers of undergoing construction activities.

◊ Construction site must be secured against unauthorized access.

Cumulative impacts:

None

Residual Impacts:

None

Discussion:

There is constant movement of people between Paul Roux and Fateng tse Ntsho, therefore, good construction practices must be in place to ensure the safety of the public and also not subjecting the workers to working conditions that are harmful to their health and well-being.



Operational Phase

| ASPECT: INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| NATURE OF IMPACT: Potential leaks of the infrastructure that | at could result in soil and water | pollution. |
| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | |
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation |
| Extent | Regional (3) | Local (3) |
| Duration | Medium Term (3) | Short Term (2) |
| Magnitude | High (8) | Low (4) |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | High (4) | Moderate (3) |
| Reversibility | Low (4) | Moderate (3) |
| Probability | Likely (3) | Probable (1) |
| Significance | Medium (66) | Low (15) |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | |

Mitigation:

• Operation and Maintenance Plan must be in place and regular inspection followed.

♦ An Emergency Response Procedure must be developed.

Adequate leakage detection and prevention measures must be implemented for the pipeline in order to detect any potential leakages and subsequent contamination of underground water, the Sand River, or the Wetland.

The integrity of the pipeline must be inspected on a minimum biannual basis (twice a year) in order to ensure there is no risk of leakage or overflows occurring. If any leakages or compromises to the integrity of the pipeline are detected, these issues must immediately be resolved, and the leakages repaired. The competent authority must be notified of any such leakages.

Ontaminated areas must also be rehabilitated as soon as possible after detection. A suitably qualified and experienced ecologist must be appointed to advise on and oversee the rehabilitation process.

SASS 5 aquatic bio-monitoing assessment must be conducted of the Sand River directly downstream of the proposed project area on a minimum annual basis in order to ensure that the ecological functionality and integrity of the watercourse is maintained. This information must be compared to the baseline data collected during the initial assessment prior to the commencement of the operational phase. Such an assessment must be conducted by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist.

Water samples of the Sand River must be collected directly downstream of the proposed project area on a minimum annual basis. The quality of these samples must be chemically and biologically analysed by an accredited laboratory and compared to the baseline data collected during the initial assessment prior to the commencement of the operational phase.

If any reduction in SASS 5 scores (watercourse health) or chemical and biological water quality is determined due to the project, the competent authority must immediately be notified and the necessary steps must be followed by the applicant to locate and remediate the source of contamination/health reduction as soon as practically possible.



| umulative impacts: | |
|--------------------|--|
| OW | |
| esidual Impacts: | |
| imited | |

Discussion:

To ensure optimal functioning of the infrastructure, effective maintenance of the sewerage system must be in place and the municipality is responsible to immediately address any accidental leaks or blockages during the operational phase.

No-Go Option

ASPECT: NO PROVISION OF SANITATION FACILITIES TO EXTENSION 5, FATENG TSE NTSHO DEVELOPMENT

NATURE OF IMPACT: Inability for the municipality to provide basic sanitation services to the new Extension 5 Development could result in social unrests and use of poor sanitation facilities that are detrimental to the health and well-being of the residents.

| Status (positive or negative) | Negative | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Without Mitigation | With Mitigation | |
| Extent | Regional (4) | Local (2) | |
| Duration | Long term (2) | Short term (2) | |
| Magnitude | High (8) | Low (4) | |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources? | High (4) | Low (2) | |
| Reversibility | N/A | N/A | |
| Probability | Definite (5) | Possible (2) | |
| Significance | Medium-High (90) | Medium (20) | |
| Can impacts be mitigated or augmented | Yes | | |

Mitigation:

• The proposed development of the sanitation infrastructure should go ahead as planned to enable the municipality to provide basic sanitation services.

Cumulative impacts:

High

Residual Impacts:

Limited

Discussion:

Should the proposed project not go ahead as planned, the municipality would not be able to provide basic sanitation services to the new Extension 5, Fateng tse Ntsho Development. Therefore, this is deemed as not a feasible option as the additional loads from the development has been catered for in the development of the Paul Roux wastewater treatment works.

