

Impact Assessment

Proposed construction of an abstraction works and pipeline from the Orange River to the Plangeni Settlement near Keimoes, Northern **Cape Province** 

**Applicant:** Kai !Garib Municipality MDA Ref No: 41056 Date: June 2023

Town & Regional Planners, Environmental & Development

Consultants

Physical Address: 9 Barnes Street, Westdene, Bloemfontein, 9301 Postal Address: P.O. Box 100982, Brandhof, 9324 Tel: 051 447 1583, Fax: 051 448 9839 E-mail: admin@mdagroup.co.za

## 1. METHODOLOGY

- 1.1. Impact assessment must take into account the nature, scale and duration of effects on the environment whether such effects are positive (beneficial) or negative (detrimental). Each issue / impact is also assessed according to the project stages from planning, through construction and operation to the decommissioning phase. Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimization of an impact is noted. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance has also been included.
- 1.2. A rating system is applied to the potential impact on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the mitigation of the impact. In assessing the significance of each issue the following criteria is used:

| Table 1: Crite | ria for the clas                         | sification of an impact   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Nature         | A brief des                              | scription of the environmental aspect being   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | impacted up                              | oon by a particular action or activity is presented.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extent         | Ŭ  | the area over which the impact will be expressed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Scale)        |  | e severity and significance of an impact have   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | ales and as such bracketing ranges are often  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | s is often useful during the detailed assessment  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | roject in terms of further defining the determined  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | or intensity of an impact.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Site                                     | Within the construction site  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Local                                    | Within a radius of 2 km of the construction site  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Regional                                 | Provincial (and parts of neighbouring provinces)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | National                                 | The whole of South Africa   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration       |  | at the lifetime of the impact will be.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Short-term                               | The impact will either disappear with mitigation  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | or will be mitigated through natural process  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | span shorter than the construction phase |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Medium-                                  | The impact will last for the period of the  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | term                                     | construction phase, where after it will be entirely   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | negated   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Long-term                                | The impact will continue or last for the entire   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | operational life of the development, but will be  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | mitigated by direct human action or by natural  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | processes thereafter  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Permanent                                | The only class of impact which will be non-   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | transitory. Mitigation either by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or in such a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | time span that the impact can be considered   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  | transient   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Table 1: Crite | ria for the clas  | sification of an impact  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Intensity      | Describes whether an impact is destructive or benign.<br>It is important to note that the status of an impact is assigned<br>based on the status quo – i.e. should the project not<br>proceed. Therefore not all negative impacts are equally<br>significant. |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Low   | Impact affects the environment in such a way<br>that natural, cultural and social functions and<br>processes are not affected.   |  |  |  |  |
|                | Medium  | Effected environment is altered, but natural and social functions and processes continue albeit in a modified way.   |  |  |  |  |
|                | High  | Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they temporarily cease   |  |  |  |  |
|                | Very high   | Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they permanently cease   |  |  |  |  |
| Probability    | Describes the likelihood of an impact actually occurring  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Improbable  | Likelihood of the impact materializing is very low   |  |  |  |  |
|                | Possible  | The impact may occur   |  |  |  |  |
|                | Highly<br>probable  | Most likely that the impact will occur   |  |  |  |  |
|                | Definite  | Impact will certainly occur  |  |  |  |  |
| Significance   | Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact<br>characteristics. It is an indication of the importance of the<br>impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and<br>therefore indicates the level of mitigation required.             |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Low<br>impact   | No permanent impact of significance.<br>Mitigatory measures are feasible and are readily<br>instituted as part of a standing design,<br>construction or operating procedure  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Medium<br>impact  | Mitigation is possible with additional design and construction inputs  |  |  |  |  |
|                | High<br>impact  | The design of the site may be affected.<br>Mitigation and possible remediation are<br>needed during the construction and/or<br>operational phases. The effects of the impact<br>may affect the broader environment |  |  |  |  |
|                | Very high<br>impact   | The design of the site may be affected. Intensive<br>remediation as needed during construction<br>and/or operational phases. Any activity which<br>results in a "very high impact" is likely to be a<br>fatal flaw |  |  |  |  |

| Table 1: Criteria for the classification of an impact |  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Status  | Denotes the perceived effect of the impact on the affected |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | area.  |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Positive   | Beneficial impact |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Negative Deleterious or adverse impact                     |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Neutral Impact is neither beneficial nor adverse           |                   |  |  |  |  |  |

The suitability and feasibility of all proposed mitigation measures will be included in the assessment of significant impacts. This will be achieved through the comparison of the significance of the impact before and after the proposed mitigation measure is implemented.

## 2. DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSING OF POSSIBLE IMPACTS, ISSUES AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Developments such as these do have, like many other types of developments, various direct but also indirect impacts on the environment. These impacts have to be managed in order to have the minimum environmental impact and the maximum benefit to man.

Issues identified during the Basic Assessment process are discussed and assessed below in Table 2 and include recommended mitigation measures:

Table 2: Possible Impacts and Recommended Mitigation Measures

| 1. VEGETATION DEST  | IRUCTION  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Construction activities and trenching along the pipeline route will lead to vegetation destruction. An Ecological and |   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Wetland Assessment was undertaken to determine the vegetation along the site and identify possible protected plant    |   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| species. The Ecolog   | gical and Wet   | land Assessment  | t is included as  | Appendix D1 in the B   | asic Assessment   | Report (BAR).  |  |  |
| Assessment  | 1   |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Mitigation Status   | Extent  | Duration   | Intensity   | Probability  | Significance  | Status   |  |  |
| Without Mitigation  | Local   | Permanent  | High  | Definite   | Medium  | Negative   |  |  |
| With Mitigation   | Site  | Long term  | Medium  | Highly probable  | Low   | Negative   |  |  |
| <b>Recommended Mit</b>  | igation Meau  | res  |   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Phase   | Description   | of recommenda  | tion  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Planning Phase  | <ul> <li>should in erosion a</li> <li>A suitably route price construct</li> <li>Where the removal,</li> <li>Several we should be consist Mesemble</li> <li>A few of recommendation</li> </ul> | corporate erosic<br>round the inlet p<br>y qualified ecolo<br>or to constructio<br>tion.<br>The necessary p<br>videspread and c<br>e obtained to re<br>of Ruschia sp<br>ryanthemum gue<br>her succulents of<br>ended that per<br>tion and then r | on structures sup<br>pipeline.<br>ogist or botanist<br>on to identify an<br>ies (Boscia albi<br>ermits will have<br>common protect<br>move any of th<br>o., Ruschia<br>erichianum.<br>are considered<br>rmits be obtai<br>noved to adja | uld aim to minimise t<br>ch as rock-packs or<br>t should undertake a<br>nd locate all protect<br>itrunca, Boscia foetid<br>to be obtained to do<br>cted species are of low<br>nese that will be affect<br>cononotata, Mese<br>less common, has a<br>ined where these w<br>icent areas where the<br>ensis, Aloe hereroensis | other suitable st<br>walkthrough suited plants that<br>a) will be affect<br>o so.<br>wer conservation<br>cted by the pipe<br>mbryanthemum<br>higher conservation<br>will be affected<br>ney will remain | ructures to prevent<br>rvey of the pipeline<br>will be affected by<br>ted and will require<br>n value and permits<br>eline. These species<br>coriarium, and<br>ation value and it is<br>d by the pipeline<br>unaffected. These |  |  |

| Construction<br>phase                                     | <ul> <li>Removal of vegetation should also be kept to a minimum.</li> <li>The disturbance caused by construction will also cause susceptible conditions for further establishment of exotics and the current survey has also indicated this to be the case. It is therefore recommended that weed eradication be initiated at the construction sites and maintained until rehabilitation has been completed.</li> <li>The footprint of disturbance and clearance of vegetation must always be kept to a minimum. This is especially relevant where clearance of any riparian vegetation is required along the Orange River or watercourses affected by the pipeline will take place.</li> <li>Care should be taken to limit unnecessary destruction of the surrounding natural vegetation.</li> <li>All human movement and activities must be contained within designated construction areas and the planned site access road in order to prevent peripheral impacts on surrounding natural habitat.</li> </ul> |
|---|---|
| Post construction<br>phase and<br>rehabilitation<br>phase | <ul> <li>Adequate monitoring of weed and invasive species establishment and their continued eradication must be maintained (Appendix B of the Ecological and Wetland Assessment). Where category 1 and 2 weeds occur, they require removal by the property owner according to the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, No. 43 of 1983 and National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, No. 10 of 2004.</li> <li>Vegetation should be allowed to re-establish naturally over disturbed areas.</li> </ul>  |

| 2. LOSS OF TOPSOII      | <u>L</u>  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Due to trenching a      | ind constructi  | ion activities, tops  | oil will need to b   | e stored for reuse du   | ring the rehabilit   | tation phase. These  |  |  |  |
| stockpiles need to      | be managed  | d to prevent loss o   | f topsoil and erc  | osion.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment              |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mitigation Status       | Extent  | Duration  | Intensity  | Probability   | Significance   | Status   |  |  |  |
| Without Mitigation      | Local   | Long-term   | Medium   | Highly probable   | High   | Negative   |  |  |  |
| With Mitigation         | Site  | Medium-term   | Low  | Possible  | Low  | Neutral  |  |  |  |
| Recommended Mi          |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase<br>Planning Phase |   | of recommenda   |  | Id aim to minimise th   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction            | should in<br>erosion  | ncorporate erosic<br>around the inlet p   | n structures suc<br>ipeline.   | h as rock-packs or a to the virgin soil leve  | other suitable st  | ructures to prevent  |  |  |  |
| phase                   | <ul> <li>this will p</li> <li>When e</li> <li>vegetat</li> <li>Subsoil s</li> <li>instated</li> <li>hamper</li> <li>Topsoil s</li> <li>re-use in</li> <li>Bricks r</li> <li>Stockp</li> <li>The gro</li> <li>Dust co</li> <li>construct</li> <li>Storm w</li> <li>prevent</li> <li>Visual in</li> </ul> | promote erosion of<br>xcavating trench<br>ion and stored on<br>should be used as<br>to the virgin soil le<br>integration with t<br>tockpiles should be<br>the rehabilitation<br>may be placed ar<br>iles should not be<br>adient of stockpile<br>antrol measures with<br>ction period.<br>rater measures with<br>erosion. | and cause flow b<br>es the upper 30<br>the site. These s<br>backfilling and<br>evel and not dep<br>he surrounding r<br>be stored in an a<br>process, for exc<br>ound the stockp<br>higher than 2 m<br>s should not be<br>vill be implement | parriers.<br>) cm, or topsoil, show<br>hould then be replace<br>not as top dressing.<br>pressed or elevated<br>natural areas.<br>upproved location ar<br>ample:<br>piles, to limit the loss t | uld be removed<br>ced on top of th<br>. The soil surface<br>as this will prom<br>nd in an approv<br>hereof due to ro<br>ust generation | d together with the<br>le installed pipeline.<br>e should also be re-<br>ote erosion and will<br>ed manner for later<br>ainy events.<br>occurs during the<br>er and this will also |  |  |  |

|                         | • If erosion is evident, proper erosion control measures should be implemented as soon as possible.  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Post construction       | <ul> <li>Return and spread topsoil over rehabilitated areas.</li> </ul>  |
| phase and               | The areas must be rehabilitated.   |
| rehabilitation<br>phase | <ul> <li>Any excavated rock may not be left in heaps and must be removed or distributed evenly over the terrain to represent a natural environment. Compacted areas must be ripped. Construction roads not being utilised afterwards must be rehabilitated.</li> <li>After rehabilitation any excess soil or material should be removed and disposed of at a registered disposal facility.</li> <li>Erosion should be prevented as far as possible and attended to, as serious erosion may occur at barren areas.</li> <li>Vegetation should be allowed to re-establish naturally over rehabilitated areas.</li> </ul> |

| 3. IMPACT ON ANIM   | AL LIFE  |   |                  |                         |                   |                      |
|---|--|---|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| The impact that the                                       | e proposed pi  | ipeline will have o   | n the mamma      | I population in the are | ea is mainly cond | cerned with the loss |
| of habitat. The imp                                       | act will also k  | pe mostly tempor  | ary as long as o | adequate rehabilitatio  | on is undertaker  | ) <i>.</i>           |
| Assessment  |  |   | -                |                         |                   |                      |
| Mitigation Status   | Extent   | Duration  | Intensity        | Probability             | Significance      | Status               |
| Without Mitigation  | Local  | Permanent   | Medium           | Highly probable         | Medium            | Negative             |
| With Mitigation   | Local  | Medium-term   | Low              | Possible                | Low               | Neutral              |
| <b>Recommended Mit</b>                                    | igation Meas   | ures  |                  |                         |                   |                      |
| Phase   | Description  | of recommendat  | ion              |                         |                   |                      |
| Planning Phase  | None   |   |                  |                         |                   |                      |
| Construction<br>phase                                     | <ul> <li>offense a</li> <li>Open trebe daily</li> <li>In the experien</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The hunting, capturing and trapping of fauna should be prevented by making this a punishable offense during the construction phase of the development.</li> <li>Open trenches may act as pitfall traps to mammals, reptiles and amphibians and trenches should be daily monitored for trapped animals which should be removed promptly.</li> <li>In the event of poisonous snakes or other dangerous animals encountered on the site an experienced and certified snake handler or zoologist must remove these animals from the site and re-locate them to a suitable area.</li> </ul> |                  |                         |                   |                      |
| Post construction<br>phase and<br>rehabilitation<br>phase | • None   |   |                  |                         |                   |                      |

## **4. IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER**

The impact on the Orange River and associated riparian zone, as well as several small watercourses that drain toward the Orange River, needs to be limited. The Ecological and Wetland Assessment included as Appendix D1 in the BAR includes a Risk Assessment.

| Assessment            |   |   |           |             |              |          |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|-----------|-------------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Mitigation Status     | Extent  | Duration  | Intensity | Probability | Significance | Status   |  |  |  |
| Without Mitigation    | Regional  | Permanent   | High      | Possible    | High         | Negative |  |  |  |
| With Mitigation       | Site  | Medium-term   | Low       | Improbable  | Low          | Neutral  |  |  |  |
| <b>Recommended Mi</b> | Recommended Mitigation Measures   |   |           |             |              |          |  |  |  |
| Phase                 | Description   | n of recommenda   | tion      |             |              |          |  |  |  |
| Planning Phase        | <ul> <li>water find and fur</li> <li>The near (DWS).</li> <li>The aligned</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Given the scope of the abstraction works it is unlikely to involve the removal of large volumes of water from the river and should therefore not have any significant impact in terms of flow regime and functioning of the river.</li> <li>The necessary authorisations should be obtained from the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS).</li> <li>The alignment of the pipeline route should attempt to avoid being placed directly within the main</li> </ul> |           |             |              |          |  |  |  |
| Construction<br>phase | <ul> <li>channel of the southern drainage system as this will result in significantly higher impacts.</li> <li>The footprint of the abstraction pipeline and pump plinths should be retained, as far as possible, to a footprint of 100 m<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>Disturbance of the banks should be kept to a minimum and erosion remediated where it occurs.</li> <li>Where the pipeline will result in the disturbance of these small watercourses, disturbance should be kept to a minimum and the removal of vegetation should also be kept to a minimum.</li> <li>The disturbance caused by construction will also cause susceptible conditions for further establishment of exotics. It is therefore recommended that weed eradication be initiated where the pipeline will cross over these watercourses and continued until rehabilitation of the pipeline route has been completed.</li> <li>The geomorphology of the small watercourses (channel, banks and bedrock) should also be reinstated as far as possible, which will also speed up the stabilisation of these systems as it will</li> </ul> |   |           |             |              |          |  |  |  |

|   | <ul> <li>Given that these watercourses drain by means of flash floods, substantial erosion may also occur and where this is found to be problematic, the appropriate structures should also be implemented which may include rock-packs, gabions or contouring.</li> <li>Only removed vegetation and topsoil should be utilised to rehabilitate the bed of the affected watercourses.</li> <li>Storm water measures will be implemented in order to manage storm water and this will also prevent erosion.</li> <li>Daily inspections for the occurrence of surface water pollution and soil pollution are to be undertaken, during the construction phase.</li> <li>Best practices should be implemented in the case of spillages / pollution / erosion, especially at or near watercourses.</li> </ul> |
|---|--|
| Post construction<br>phase and<br>rehabilitation<br>phase | <ul> <li>After construction has ceased all construction materials should be removed from the area.</li> <li>All waste is to be removed from site.</li> </ul>   |

| 5. POLLUTION  |   |   |  |  |                                    |                              |
|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| The risk of pollution                                     | is to be limited  | d at all times. Th  | is includes litter                             | and other waste, as w  | ell as the use of                  | chemicals, cement            |
| mixing etc., that co                                      | ould pose a ris   | sk to the environ   | ment.  |  |                                    |                              |
| Assessment  |   |   |  |  | -                                  |                              |
| Mitigation Status   | Extent  | Duration  | Intensity                                      | Probability  | Significance                       | Status                       |
| Without Mitigation  | Local   | Long-term   | High   | Highly probable  | High                               | Negative                     |
| With Mitigation   | Site  | Short-term  | Low  | Possible   | Low                                | Neutral                      |
| <b>Recommended Mi</b>                                     | tigation Meas   | ures  |  |  |                                    |                              |
| Phase   | Description   | of recommendo   | ation  |  |                                    |                              |
| Planning Phase  | None  |   |  |  |                                    |                              |
| Construction<br>phase                                     | <ul> <li>Visual inspections for the occurrence of pollution should be undertaken regularly.</li> <li>Best practices should be implemented in the case of spillages / pollution / erosion.</li> <li>No waste (general / construction / potential hazardous / etc.) may be dumped in the veld / water features.</li> <li>Suitable waste bins etc. will be available on site for the temporary disposal of waste.</li> <li>Waste will be removed from site and disposed of at an authorised landfill site.</li> <li>DWS should be notified of any spillage / pollution within 24 hours of occurrence within water resources.</li> <li>Record should be kept on site during the construction phase to indicate date of visual inspection, any spillages observed, and manner in which spill was treated.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |                                    |                              |
| Post construction<br>phase and<br>rehabilitation<br>phase | <ul> <li>Temporc</li> <li>No waste of accor</li> </ul>  | ary concrete surf<br>e will be dumped<br>ding to best pra | aces (if any) wi<br>d on site and a<br>ctices. | ne construction phase<br>III be removed and cor<br>Iny waste occurring on<br>JId be implemented, w | npacted areas<br>site will be remo | ripped.<br>oved and disposed |

| 6. VISUAL IMPACT       |  |                    |                    |                     |                     |                        |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| The visual impact o    | f the propose  | d abstraction w    | orks and pipelin   | e in the landscape  | e is expected to be | low as the pipeline    |
| will be placed und     | er the ground  | d and the abstro   | action works is n  | ot of a large scale | nor expected to I   | ce visually intrusive, |
| considering the visu   | ual character  | of the area.       |                    |                     |                     |                        |
| Assessment             |  |                    |                    |                     |                     |                        |
| Mitigation Status      | Extent   | Duration           | Intensity          | Probability         | Significance        | Status                 |
| Without Mitigation     | Local  | Permanent          | Medium             | Definite            | Medium              | Negative               |
| With Mitigation        | Local  | Long-term          | Medium             | Definite            | Low                 | Negative               |
| <b>Recommended Mit</b> | igation Meas   | ures               |                    |                     |                     |                        |
| Phase                  | Description  | of recommende      | ation              |                     |                     |                        |
| Planning Phase         | None   |                    |                    |                     |                     |                        |
| Construction           | No waste   | e may be dump      | ed in the veld / v | watercourses.       |                     |                        |
| phase                  |  |                    |                    |                     |                     |                        |
| Post construction      | All tempo  | orary infrastructu | ure related to the | e construction pha  | se will be removed  | from site.             |
| phase and              | • Temporary concrete surfaces (if any) will be removed and compacted areas ripped. |                    |                    |                     |                     |                        |
| rehabilitation         |  |                    |                    |                     |                     |                        |
| phase                  |  |                    |                    |                     |                     |                        |