

APPENDIX F

Impact Assessment

METHODOLOGY

Impact assessment must take into account the nature, scale and duration of effects on the environment whether such effects are positive (beneficial) or negative (detrimental). Each issue / impact is also assessed according to the project stages from planning, through construction and operation to the decommissioning phase. Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimization of an impact is noted. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance has also been included.

A rating system is applied to the potential impact on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the mitigation of the impact. In assessing the significance of each issue the following criteria (including an allocated point system) is used:

Table: Criteria for the classification of an impact		
Nature	A brief description of the environmental aspect being impacted upon by a particular action or activity is presented.	
Extent (Scale)	Considering the area over which the impact will be expressed. Typically, the severity and significance of an impact have different scales and as such bracketing ranges are often required. This is often useful during the detailed assessment phase of a project in terms of further defining the determined significance or intensity of an impact.	
	Site	Within the construction site
	Local	Within a radius of 2 km of the construction site
	Regional	Provincial (and parts of neighbouring provinces)
	National	The whole of South Africa
Duration	Indicates what the lifetime of the impact will be.	
	Short-term	The impact will either disappear with mitigation or will be mitigated through natural process in a span shorter than the construction phase
	Medium-term	The impact will last for the period of the construction phase, where after it will be entirely negated
	Long-term	The impact will continue or last for the entire operational life of the development, but will be mitigated by direct human action or by natural processes thereafter
	Permanent	The only class of impact which will be non-transitory. Mitigation either by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or in such a time span that the impact can be considered transient
Intensity	Describes whether an impact is destructive or benign.	
	Low	Impact affects the environment in such a way that natural, cultural and social functions and processes are not affected.
		It is important to note that the status of an impact is assigned based on the status quo – i.e. should the project not proceed. Therefore not all negative impacts are equally significant.
	Medium	Effected environment is altered, but natural and social functions and processes continue albeit in a

Table: Criteria for the classification of an impact

		modified way, cultural
	High	Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they temporarily cease
	Very high	Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they permanently cease
Probability	Describes the likelihood of an impact actually occurring.	
	Improbable	Likelihood of the impact materializing is very low
	Possible	The impact may occur
	Highly probable	Most likely that the impact will occur
	Definite	Impact will certainly occur
Significance	Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics. It is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required.	
	Low impact	No permanent impact of significance. Mitigatory measures are feasible and are readily instituted as part of a standing design, construction or operating procedure
	Medium impact	Mitigation is possible with additional design and construction inputs
	High impact	The design of the site may be affected. Mitigation and possible remediation are needed during the construction and/or operational phases. The effects of the impact may affect the broader environment
	Very high impact	The design of the site may be affected. Intensive remediation as needed during construction and/or operational phases. Any activity which results in a "very high impact" is likely to be a fatal flaw
Status	Denotes the perceived effect of the impact on the affected area.	
	Positive	Beneficial impact
	Negative	Deleterious or adverse impact
	Neutral	Impact is neither beneficial nor adverse

The suitability and feasibility of all proposed mitigation measures will be included in the assessment of significant impacts. This will be achieved through the comparison of the significance of the impact before and after the proposed mitigation measure is implemented.

DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSING OF POSSIBLE IMPACTS, ISSUES AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Developments such as these do have, like many other types of developments, various direct but also indirect impacts on the environment. These impacts have to be managed in order to have the minimum environmental impact and the maximum benefit to man.

Issues identified during the Basic Assessment process are discussed and assessed below:

1. VEGETATION DESTRUCTION						
Assessment						
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status
Without Mitigation	Local	Permanent	Very high	Definite	High	Negative
With Mitigation	Site	Long term	High	Definite	Medium	Negative
Recommendation						
Phase	Description of recommendation					
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please refer to the Specialist Reports in Appendix D for more recommendations 					
Planning Phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None 					
Construction phase and operational phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishment of alien / invader vegetation will be monitored and these species will be removed by hand or by an approved chemical before gestation thereof. Vegetation clearance will be limited to the required area. A permit for the removal of protected plant species will be obtained before the removal of these species (if any). Care should be taken to limit unnecessary destruction of the natural vegetation. All human movement and activities must be contained within designated construction areas and the planned site access road in order to prevent peripheral impacts on surrounding natural habitat. No fire-wood may be collected in the veld without permission from the landowner. Alien control and monitoring programme must be developed. Visual inspections should be undertaken regularly to ensure environmental compliance. If erosion is evident, proper erosion control measures should be implemented as soon as possible. 					
Post construction phase and rehabilitation phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The alien control and monitoring programme used during the construction and operational phase must be carried over into the post construction and rehabilitation phase. Erosion should be prevented as far as possible and attended to, as serious erosion may occur at barren areas. Return and spread topsoil cover (to original depth) over rehabilitated area. Vegetation should be allowed to re-establish naturally over disturbed area to be rehabilitated. Areas which show no vegetation growth nine months after completion of the rehabilitation work, must be ripped, additional topsoil spread and seeded with indigenous grass species. 					

1. VEGETATION DESTRUCTION

- Species, especially grasses, trees and shrubs occurring in the region must be used to rehabilitate disturbed areas.
- Keep animals away from the site, at least until the vegetation has re-established sufficiently.

2. LOSS OF SOIL						
Assessment						
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Negative
Recommendation						
Phase	Description of recommendation					
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please refer to the Specialist Reports in Appendix D for more recommendations 					
Planning Phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 					
Construction phase and operational phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Store stripped topsoil in an approved location and in an approved manner for later re-use in the rehabilitation process, for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bricks may be placed around the stockpiles, to limit the loss thereof due to rainy events. - Stockpiles should not be higher than 1.5 m. - The gradient of stockpiles should not be greater than 1:1.5. Speed limit will be enforced on the construction vehicles and these vehicles will only make use of designated roads / pathways. Dust control measures will be implemented if nuisance dust generation occurs during the construction period. All human movement and activities must be contained within designated construction areas and the planned site access road in order to prevent peripheral impacts on surrounding natural habitat. Visual inspections should be undertaken regularly to ensure environmental compliance. Storm water measures will be implemented in order to manage storm water and this will also prevent erosion. Visual inspections for the occurrence of erosion should be undertaken on a weekly basis during the construction phase. If erosion is evident, proper erosion control measures should be implemented as soon as possible. 					

2. LOSS OF SOIL

Post construction phase and rehabilitation phase

- Erosion should be prevented as far as possible and attended to, as serious erosion may occur at barren areas.
- Return and spread topsoil cover (to original depth) over rehabilitated area.
- Vegetation should be allowed to re-establish naturally over disturbed area to be rehabilitated.
- Areas which show no vegetation growth nine months after completion of the rehabilitation work, must be ripped, additional topsoil spread and seeded with indigenous grass species.

3. POLLUTION CONTROL						
Assessment						
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	High	Definite	High	Negative
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Negative
Recommendation						
Phase	Description of recommendation					
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please refer to the Specialist Reports in Appendix D for more recommendations 					
Planning Phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 					
Construction phase and operational phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visual inspections for the occurrence of pollution should be undertaken daily during the construction phase and regularly during the operational phase. Best practices should be implemented in the case of spillages / pollution / erosion. No waste (general / construction / potential hazardous / etc.) may be dumped in the veld / water features. Waste classification should be undertaken. Suitable waste bins etc. will be available on site for the temporary disposal of waste. Waste will be removed from site and disposed of at an authorised landfill site. DWS should be notified of any spillage / pollution within 24 hours of occurrence within water resources. Record should be kept on site to indicate date of visual inspection, any spillages observed, and manner in which spill was treated. Water to be disposed of from the WWTW should adhere to the DWS standards. An emergency plan should be developed in case the water to be disposed of does not conform to the DWS standards. Visual inspections should be undertaken at least every 6 months to investigate the occurrence of sedimentation and erosion. Proper erosion mitigation measures should be implemented. 					

3. POLLUTION CONTROL

Post construction phase and rehabilitation phase

- Maintenance and repair will be undertaken when necessary.
- All temporary infrastructure related to the construction phase will be removed from site.
- Temporary concrete surfaces (if any) will be removed and compacted areas ripped.
- No waste will be dumped on site and any waste occurring on site will be removed and disposed of according to best practices.

4. LOSS OF ANIMAL LIFE						
Assessment						
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status
Without Mitigation	Local	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Neutral
Recommendation						
Phase	Description of recommendation					
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please refer to the Specialist Reports in Appendix D for more recommendations 					
Planning Phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 					
Construction phase and operational phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No animals may be captured / harmed / killed on site. Specialists should be appointed to remove / translocate species, if required. The necessary permits should also be obtained. Any occurrences of harmed animals should be reported to the ECO, the required steps should be taken and should be recorded as such. 					
Post construction phase and rehabilitation phase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No animals may be captured / harmed / killed on site. Specialists should be appointed to remove / translocate species, if required. The necessary permits should also be obtained. Any occurrences of harmed animals should be reported to the ECO, the required steps should be taken and should be recorded as such. 					

5. VISUAL IMPACT

The visual impact of the proposed development in the landscape is the function of several factors of which the viewing distance, visual absorption capacity and landform are measurable. Other factors are difficult to categorize because they are subjective viewpoints.

The visual impact for the proposed development is largely due to:

- The topography in terms of elevation and aspect;
- The vegetative cover in terms of its extent and height;
- The extent of the proposed development;
- Distance from point of origin; and
- The low visual absorption capacity of the surrounding landscape.

Factors of visual impact

Visual character:

The visual character of an area has different elements that provide an overall perceived ambience. In the consideration of the visual character of a site, it is important to include not only the internal land use but that of the surrounding land as well.

At this site, the visual character is mainly the community of Ganspan as well as the agricultural areas adjacent to Ganspan.

Scale of landscape:

Visual scale is the apparent size relationships between landscape components and their surroundings (Smardon, et al. 1986).

Visual analysis:

In this section the intensity of the visual impact of the development on the surrounding area is described. Aspects such as viewshed, visual absorption capacity and the appearance of the development from critical viewpoints will be used to determine this impact.

The topography of the site consists of a low hill with a moderate slope to the surrounding plains areas. A small water treatment facility is also located on top of the low hill. A drainage area is located to the south of the hill and dense woodland areas to the east. Agricultural small holdings and crop fields are also situated to the north east and west of the site. The soils are shallow with a high percentage rock. Soils surrounding the low hill are much deeper and sandy enabling the establishment of tall trees. The vegetation on the site consists of short grassland with scattered shrubs. The species composition is still considered as largely natural although overgrazing has altered the vegetation structure to some degree. The site will be situated on top of the low hill with a portion along the slope of the hill and the adjacent plain area. A large drainage area occurs to the south of the hill. This area also contains earthen berms which act as an artificial impoundment. Water drains from this impoundment in a north eastern direction. The drainage area does not form a distinct channel and drains in a diffuse surface pattern. The drainage area itself is dominated by the sedge, *Scirpoides dioecus*. A few exotic tree species has also established in this drainage area. These are *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (Bluegum) and *Melia azedarach* (Syringa). Other scattered shrubs include *Lycium hirsutum*, *Searsia lancea*, *Asparagus suaveolens* and *Ziziphus zeyheriana*. This drainage area has been transformed by the earthen berms causing an alteration to the flow regime of the area. However, it still remains sensitive and construction of WWTW in this area should be avoided. The construction of the WWTW on top of the low hill is however unlikely to affect this system.

Dense woodland vegetation occurs to the east of the site. It is dominated by large specimens of *Vachellia erioloba* (Camel Thorn) and *Vachellia tortilis* (Umbrella Thorn). *V. erioloba* is a protected species and is also listed as a Declining species in the National Red List. As a result these large specimens are of conservation significance. They cannot be transplanted and construction in this area will require their removal. The site proposed for the WWTW will however be situated on top of the low hill and will not require the removal of any large specimens. It is still likely that small specimens occur on the site and for these permits will have to be obtained to remove them.

The top of the hill consists of shallow soils and vegetation consisting of a short grass layer with scattered shrubs and small trees. Grass species were not easily identifiable due to overgrazing and the drought at the time of the site visit. Two identifiable species consist of *Aristida congesta* and *Eragrostis lehmanniana*. The low suffrutex, *Elephantorrhiza elephantina*, is common on the hill. Shrubs are dominated by *Tarchonanthus camphoratus* and other shrubs and trees include

Grewia flava, *Vachellia tortilis* and *V. erioloba*. As mentioned previously *V. erioloba* is a protected species and where specimens will be affected by construction permits must be obtained to remove them. The site however, excludes the portion of large Camel Thorn trees and therefore the number of specimens and size of those which will be affected will be low. Several small succulents and herbs occur on top of the hill. These include *Crassula capitella*, *Aloe grandidentata*, *Anancampseros filamentosa*, *Pellaea calomelanos* and *Portulaca kermesina*. Of these species the succulents *C. capitella*, *A. grandidentata* and *A. filamentosa* are listed as protected species in the Northern Cape Province. They are all relatively widespread and common and therefore not of high conservation significance. However, they are protected and transplant easily and permits can be obtained to transplant them to areas adjacent to the site.

The site is situated adjacent to the agricultural small holdings of Ganspan and the land use on the site is primarily associated with these agricultural areas. As a result the site is subjected to significant levels of overgrazing. This is notable in the grazing of grasses on the site and the stunting of shrubs. Several indicators of overgrazing are also abundant. These include the proliferation of species such as *T. camphoratus*, *V. tortilis* and *E. elephantina*. This impact leads to some degradation of the vegetation layer. Observations on the site were also likely exacerbated by the current drought which has further decreased the percentage vegetation cover. The cutting of trees on the site for use as firewood is also common and likely to have a significant impact. Large stumps and resprouting stumps are common on the site and indicate significant tree cutting occurring.

The site does not contain a significant weed infestation but this is likely to increase after recent rains in the area, annual weeds being dormant at the time of the site visit.

In conclusion, the drainage area to the south of the site is transformed to a large degree but should still be considered as sensitive. This area is excluded from the development and is therefore unlikely to be affected by the proposed WWTW. The woodland area to the east of the site contains dense and large stands of the protected Camel Thorn (*V. erioloba*) and is therefore considered as undesirable for the development as impacts on these trees will be high. This area is however also excluded from the development. The hilltop, slope and plain portion which is proposed for the site does not contain such sensitive landscape elements as discussed above, the diversity of habitat and species are not significant and is not considered to have a high conservation value. The site does however still contain several protected species which although they are widespread still retain a conservation value and the required mitigation should be implemented as discussed above.

Site evaluation in terms of visual impact

Visual assessment ratings rates each criterion listed in the table from, high, medium to low according to specific characteristics of those criteria.

Visual assessment criteria used to determine the degree of visual impact of the proposed activities on the environment (adapted from Klapwijk 1998)			
CRITERIA	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW
Visibility	Very visible from many places beyond 1km	Visible from within 1km zone but partially obscured by intervening objects	Only partially visible within the 1km zone and beyond due to screening by intervening objects
Visual quality	A very attractive setting	A setting with some aesthetic and visual merit	A setting which has little aesthetic merit
Visible man-made structures	Buildings as a dominant visual element	Buildings as a partial visual element	Buildings as a minor visual element
Surrounding landscape compatibility	Cannot accommodate proposed development without appearing totally out of place.	Can accommodate the proposed development without appearing totally out of place	Usually suits or matches the proposed development
Character of site or surrounding area	Exhibits a definite character	Exhibits some character	Little or no character
Contrast between human scale and vertical & horizontal elements in the landscape	There is high contrast	Landscape with some contrast	Limited vertical variation. Most elements are related to human and horizontal scale
Visual absorption capacity (VAC)	Inability of landscape to visually absorb a development because of a limited vegetation cover, flat slope and uniform texture	The lower ability of the landscape to visually absorb the development due to less diverse landform, vegetation & texture	The ability of landscape to easily accept visually a particular development because of its diverse landform, vegetation and texture
View distance (uninterrupted)	More than 5km	Between 5km & 1km	Between 1km & 500m
Critical views	Views of the development are to be seen by many people passing on road routes and from prominent areas	Some views of the development from surrounding routes and housing	Limited views to the development from roads and housing

Results and conclusions on visual impact of development assessment

Aspect	Result
Visibility	HIGH
Visual quality	MEDIUM
Visible man-made structures	MEDIUM
Surrounding landscape compatibility	MEDIUM
Character of site or surrounding area	MEDIUM
Contrast between human scale, vertical & horizontal elements in the landscape	MEDIUM
Visual absorption capacity (VAC)	MEDIUM
View distance (uninterrupted)	MEDIUM
Critical views	MEDIUM

The proposed development will have a medium visual impact. This is largely due to:

- The extent of the development
- The surrounding agricultural as well as residential areas, the locality of the proposed WWTW and pipeline.