APPENDIX G2 - SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

The following sections present the outcome of the significance rating exercise. The results suggest that almost none of the key issues identified as part of the scoping process had a negative high environmental significance after mitigation. Instead the overall score indicates a low environmental significance score.

1. Impacts that may result from the construction phase

Direct impacts: During the construction phase minor negative impacts are foreseen over the short term. The latter refers to a period of months. The installation of services may result in the loss or fragmentation of indigenous natural fauna and flora, loss or fragmentation of habitats, , impacts of the geology on the proposed development, soil erosion, hydrology, temporary noise disturbance, generation of waste, impacts on heritage objects, visual intrusions, increase in construction vehicle traffic, impact of construction workers on local communities, influx of job seekers, risk to safety, livestock and farm infrastructure, and increased risk of veld fires. It is obvious that the construction phase will also have a direct positive impact through the provision of employment opportunities for its duration and technical advice for local farmers and municipalities. The abovementioned impacts are discussed in more detail below:

Loss or fragmentation of habitats – In terms of he vegetation type to which the site belongs, Ghaap Plateau Vaalbosveld (SVk 7), is not listed as threatened ecosystem according to the National List of Threatened Ecosystems (2011). Most of the site consists of vegetation at the site is in fairly natural condition for the vegetation type, but in general the vegetation appears distubed with some bare areas, apparent bush encroachment where conspicuously dense cover of Tarchonanthus camphoratus is observed and a rectangular area of the site had been cleared in the past and secondary savanna is present at this area. Pioneer grass species are conspicuous at this disturbed area and also shrublets that often favour disturbed conditions such as Hertia pallens (Springbokbos) which is less visible elsewhere in the study area. Most of the vegetation at the site is a savanna characterised by a shrub-height layer of indigenous woody plant species with Tarchonanthus camphoratus (Camphor Bush) and Grewia flava (Wild Raisin) in particular conspicuous at many parts of the proposed footprint. The ecological habitat survey (refer to Appendix H2) confirmed no loss of particularly sensitive or localised habitat type of particular conservation importance is anticipated if the site is developed. No loss of corridors or connectivity of ecosystems is anticipated if the proposed footprint is developed. Ecological sensitivity at the site is medium to low. Camel Thorn tree occur in low densities and small numbers at the proposed footprint area.

Loss or fragmentation of habitats	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Permanent (4)	Permanent (4)

Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)		
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)		
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of	Marginal loss of resource		
	resource (2) (2)			
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (Low cumulative impact (2), since the condition of		
	the natural vegetation a	ppears to be moderate.		
Significance	Negative medium (36)	Negative low (18)		
Significance Can impacts be mitigated?	Negative medium (36) If the development is a ensure that no mamma trapped, hunted or kill phase. If the development is allocated for the development is allocated in the possible edge effects on the possible edge effects on the feature provides number in the potential impacts as loss of farmland should aspects that should be considered as a prosible is approved, especial species such as prosible is approved to conserve. The site should commencement of construction platforms, workshow the fenced off are possible; An Environmental Compassible is appointed to a phase of the construction platforms, such as construction platforms.	Negative low (18) approved, contractors must alian species are disturbed, led during the construction ent is approved, every effort me the footprint to the blocks opment and have the least in the surrounding area. The rerous mitigation measures. sociated with damage to and be effectively mitigated. The overed include: plant species should not be sh, if the development is ly an alien invasive tree opis. res are located within close and a 200m buffer is being we the wetland features be fenced off prior to construction activities. Stated with the construction access roads, construction access roads, construction access roads, construction access roads and minimised where		
	construction phase; The implementation of a rehabilitation			
		be included in the terms of e contractor/s appointed.		

Specifications for the rehabilitation are provided					
throughout the EMPr.					
•	The	implementation	of	the	Rehabilitation
Programme should be monitored by the ECO.					

Destruction of Avifaunal Habitat: Destruction of approximately 240ha of grassland which is presently in a reasonably good condition and which provides habitat for a variety of widespread bird species—no Red Data species are known, or is suspected, to utilize this piece of grassland frequently. Numerous birds will be displaced and active nests will be destroyed during the habitat clearance process. This displacement may cause temporary upheaval in the surrounding area (or places further afield) as the displaced males/pairs compete with established individuals elsewhere for territories.

Loss of avifaunal habitat	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
habitats	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Site (1)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (1)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
	(2)	(2)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (2),	since no other projects are
	proposed in the area	,
Significance	Negative low (15)	Negative low (9)
Can impacts be mitigated?	should be limited to where possible. Clearance of the had outside the main brown the affected species and species and scrubs easies be examined for knowledgeable per approved. If no not should be removed at the species and scrubs easies easies and scrubs easies easie	bitat should be timed to fall eeding season of as many of as as possible. The Breeding dicates the period from April be the most suitable period f habitat. Irmarked for removal should or active nests by a son as soon is the project is ests are found, the plants red immediately, even if rea is scheduled for a later ive approach is followed, it dis from nesting in the and if any active nests are

found it will allow sufficient time for the birds	
to complete their breeding cycle before the	
plants must eventually be removed.	

Loss of sensitive species – Sensitive species are regarded here as those listed and constitutes the flora and fauna that are threatened or of other particular high conservation importance. The presence or not of all the species listed in the tables were investigated during the survey. None of the threatened and near-threatened plant species are likely to occur on the site. Apart from one Declining plant species and a Protected Tree species (also listed as Declining), none of the other plant species of particular conservation priority are likely to occur on the footprint proposed for development. At the zone of conspicuous high density of Camel Thorn tree occur in low densities and small numbers at the proposed footprint area at an average of 0.028 individuals per hectare and approximately 7 individuals taller than 2 m for the entire footprint area. Very few Camel Thorn trees less than 2 m tall have been seen which points to low recruitment at the footprint area

Loss of sensitive species	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)	
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)	
Duration	Permanent (4)	Permanent (4)	
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource	
	(2)	(2)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (2), since no threatened species		
	occur on site.		
Significance	Negative low (15)	Negative low (9)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	Recommendation for Vache	ellia erioloba:	
	Recommendation for Came	el Thorn trees at the site if	
	the development is approv	ed. It is recommended that	
	a permit should be app	olied for at the relevant	
	authorities in case any rer	moval or damage of Camel	
	Thorn trees. If Vachellia erioloba is impacted upon it is		
	also recommended that new (from nursery) Camel		
	Thorn trees could be planted on site outside the		
	present footprint.		

Impacts to habitat connectivity and open space – The Ecological Fauna & Flore Habitat Survey (Appendix H2) confirm that Corridors and linkages of areas with similar habitat are present in the local district where a number of solar power plants are planned. No particular habitats of threatened species that are easily isolated (e.g. beetles with flightless females) are known to be impacted locally in the larger study area where a number of solar power plants are planned to be developed.

Impacts to habitat connectivity	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
and open space	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Permanent (4)	Permanent (4)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource
	(2)	(2)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (2)	
Significance	Negative low (16)	Negative low (16)
Can impacts be mitigated?	exotic and invasive plan and where these hav continuous eradication Alien invasive spec conservation corridors and declared alien invasive	approved, establishment of at species should be avoided to been found at the site should take place. Cies could compromise and buffers. It is in particular to species such as <i>Prosopis</i> esquite) that should not be

<u>Loss of topsoil</u> – Caused by: poor topsoil management (burial, erosion, etc) during construction related soil profile disturbance (levelling, excavations, disposal of spoils from excavations etc.) And having the effect of: loss of soil fertility on disturbed areas after rehabilitation. (Refer to Appendix H5 for the Agricultural and Soils Impact Assessment).

Loss of topsoil	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Geographical extent	Site (1)	Site (1)	
Probability	Possible (2)	Unlikely (1)	
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)	
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal (2)	Marginal (2)	
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative impact (1).		
Significance	Negative low (22)	Negative low (20)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	The Agricultural and Soils I	mpact Assessment (refer to	
	Appendix H5) provides the following mitigation or		
	management measures:		
	If an activity will mechanically disturb below		
	surface in any way, then any available topsoil		

- should first be stripped from the entire surface and stockpiled for re-spreading during rehabilitation.
- Topsoil stockpiles must be conserved against losses through erosion by establishing vegetation cover on them.
- Dispose of all subsurface spoils from excavations where they will not impact on undisturbed land.
- During rehabilitation, the stockpiled topsoil must be evenly spread over the entire disturbed surface.
- Erosion must be controlled where necessary on top soiled areas.

Establish an effective record keeping system for each area where soil is disturbed for constructional purposes. These records should be included in environmental performance reports, and should include all the records below.

- Record the GPS coordinates of each area.
- Record the date of topsoil stripping.
- Record the GPS coordinates of where the topsoil is stockpiled.
- Record the date of cessation of constructional (or operational) activities at the particular site.
- Photograph the area on cessation of constructional activities.
- Record date and depth of re-spreading of topsoil.
- Photograph the area on completion of rehabilitation and on an annual basis thereafter to show vegetation establishment and evaluate progress of restoration over time.
- Impacts of the geology on the proposed development A brief preliminary geotechnical assessment was conducted in order to determine the area's suitability for the proposed development of a photovoltaic plant. Large parts of the site are covered by shallow hardpan carbonate, which is likely to be approximately 1-meter-thick, and likely to be underlain by dolomite. The loamy, unconsolidated soil cover overlying the hardpan varies between 0 and 60 cm. In places (Mispah soil form in figure 3), there is no hardpan carbonate and the loamy soil is underlain directly by dolomite at a depth of 20-40cm. The foundations for mounting structures will therefore need to be erected in unconsolidated, loamy material at the surface

with underlying hardpan or rock at between 0 and 70 cm below surface. According to the specialist the site should be regarded as suitable for the proposed development – refer to Appendix H5.

Geological impacts	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
Geological Impacts	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)	
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)	
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible (1)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)	
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative impa	ct (1).	
Significance	Negative low (16)	Negative low (16)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	It is recommended that	t a detailed engineering	
	geological investigation	be conducted prior to	
	construction and that	site-specific precautionary	
	measures be implemented		

Soil erosion – Erosion due to alteration of the land surface run-off characteristics. Alteration of run-off characteristics may be caused by construction related land surface disturbance, vegetation removal, presence of panel surfaces, and the establishment of hard standing areas and roads. Erosion will cause loss and deterioration of soil resources. The erosion risk is low due to the low slope gradients and low to moderate erodibility of the soils. (Refer to Appendix H5 for the Agricultural and Soils Impact Assessment).

Soil erosion	Pre-mitigation	Post mitigation	
	impact rating	impact rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Geographical extent	Site (1)	Site (1)	
Probability	Possible (2)	Unlikely (1)	
Duration	Medium term (2)	Medium term (2)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)	
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal (2) Marginal (2)		
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative impact (1).		
Significance	Negative low (20)	Negative low (18)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	The Agricultural and Soils I	mpact Assessment (refer to	
	Appendix H5) provides th	ne following mitigation or	
	management measures:	Implement an effective	
	system of run-off control,	where it is required, that	
	collects and safely disseming	nates run-off water from all	

hardened surfaces and prevents potential down slope	
erosion.	
Include periodical site inspection in environmental	
performance reporting that inspects the effectiveness	
of the run-off control system and specifically records	
the occurrence any erosion on site or downstream.	

• <u>Generation of alternative land use income</u> – Generation of alternative land use income through rental for energy facility. This will provide the farming enterprise with increased cash flow and rural livelihood, and thereby improve its financial sustainability. (Refer to Appendix H5 for the Agricultural and Soils Impact Assessment).

Generation of alternative land	Pre-mitigation	Post mitigation
use income	impact rating	impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	
Geographical extent	Site (1)	
Probability	Definite (4)	
Duration	Long term (3)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	None (1)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (1).	
Significance	Positive low (24)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	N/A	

• <u>Impacts on the sites hydrology</u> – The ecological habitat survey confirmed that there are no water features found on the site. For this reason, it is not foreseen that there will be any significant impacts on the hydrology of the site.

Hydrological impacts	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
nyurological impacts	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)	
Probability	Unlikely (1)	Unlikely (1)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Barely reversible (3)	Barely reversible (3)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource	
	(2)	(2)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (2). Should these impacts		
	occur, there may be a cumulative impact on the		
	hydrology of the area.		
Significance	Negative low (10)	Negative low (10)	

Can impacts be mitigated?	The EMPr provides mitigation measures for the
	management of surface and groundwater – refer to
	tables 9, 14, and 15 of the EMPr in Appendix F.

• <u>Temporary noise disturbance</u> - Construction activities will result in the generation of noise over a period of months. Sources of noise are likely to include vehicles, the use of machinery such as drills and people working on the site. The noise impact is unlikely to be significant; but construction activities should be limited to normal working days and hours (7:00 – 17:00).

Temporary noise disturbance	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)	
Probability	Definite (4)	Probable (3)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Completely reversible	Completely reversible	
	(1)	(1)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)	
Cumulative impact	The impact would result in negligible to no		
	cumulative effects (1).		
Significance	Negative low (20) Negative low (9)		
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, management actions related to noise pollution		
	are included in the EMPr.		

• Generation of waste - general waste, construction waste, sewage and grey water - The workers on site are likely to generate general waste such as food wastes, packaging, bottles, etc. Construction waste is likely to consist of packaging, scrap metals, waste cement, etc. The applicant will need to ensure that general and construction waste is appropriately disposed of i.e. taken to the nearest licensed landfill. Sufficient ablution facilities will have to be provided, in the form of portable/VIP toilets. No pit latrines, French drain systems or soak away systems shall be allowed.

Generation of waste	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
Generation of waste	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Local/district (2)	Local/district (2)	
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)	
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative impact (3) - An additional demand		
	for landfill space could result in significant cumulative		

	impacts if services become unstable or unavailable,		
	which in turn would negatively impact on the local		
	community.		
Significance	Negative medium (13) Negative low (13)		
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, it is therefore important that all management		
	actions and mitigation measures included in the EMPr		
	are implemented.		

• Impacts on heritage objects — In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was therefore appointed to conduct a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the area where it is planned to develop the photovoltaic power plant. The Heritage Impact Assessment (Refer to Appendix H7) concluded that a small pan area where tools and flakes dating to both the Middle Stone Age and Later Stone Age were identified. They were made either from hardened shale (MSA) or fine- grained silicates. The density is approximately one tool/flake per 20m². According to the Paleaontological Heritage Assessment, (refer to Appendix H7) Field assessment suggests that Given the generally low palaeontological sensitivity of the Dwyka Group as well as its poor surface exposure within the study area, significant impacts on fossils in these bedrocks are not anticipated here

Impacts on heritage objects	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)	
Probability	Probable (3)	Possible (2)	
Duration	Permanent (4)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Very high (4)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of resource	
	(2)	(2)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (2). Should these impacts		
	occur, there may be a cumulative impact on the		
	preservation of heritage objects in the area.		
Significance	Negative high (64)	Negative low (12)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	If archaeological sites or	graves are exposed during	
	construction work, it should immediately be reported		
	to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and		
	evaluation of the finds can be made. Also refer to the		
	mitigation measures provid	ded in the EMPR.	

• Temporary employment and other economic benefits (business opportunities and skills development) – Approximately 350 temporary job opportunities will be created to undertake the construction activities. It is likely that local construction companies with the necessary expertise to construct solar facilities will be partnered with. The construction period is expected to extend over a period of 18-24 months. During that period security personnel will

also be required to work at the site particularly after working hours. It is also likely that some materials such as fencing, and other construction related consumables will be sourced locally.

Temporary employment and	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
other economic benefits	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive	
Extent	Province (3)	Province (3)	
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	High (3)	
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A	
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative impact (3) - The community will have an opportunity to better their social and economic well-being, since they will have the opportunity to upgrade and improve skills levels in the area.		
Significance	Positive Medium (30)	Positive Medium (45)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	opportunities associated phase the following implemented: Employment Where reasonable as Power Plant should and implement a 'loo for semi and low-skills the low skills levels in skilled posts are like from outside the area. Where feasible, efforemploy local contactors are been been been been been been been be	employment and business I with the construction measures should be and practical Protea Solar appoint local contractors als first' policy, especially ed job categories. Due to the area, the majority of ly to be filled by people contractors should be made to the state are compliant with Economic Empowerment attion phase commences Plant should meet with the NLM to establish the database for the area. If the sists it should be made tractors appointed for the thorities, community I organisations on the	

interested and affected party database should be informed of the final decision regarding the project and the potential job opportunities for locals and the employment procedures that Protea Solar Power Plant intends following for the construction phase of the project.

- Where feasible a training and skills development programmes for local workers should be initiated prior to the initiation of the construction phase.
- The recruitment selection process should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible.

Business

- Protea Solar Power Plant should liaise with the NLM with regards the establishment of a database of local companies, specifically BBBEE companies, which qualify as potential service (e.g. construction providers companies, catering companies, waste collection companies, security companies etc.) prior to the commencement of the tender process for construction contractors. These companies should be notified of the tender process and invited to bid for project-related work;
- Where possible, Protea Solar Power Plant should assist local BBBEE companies to complete and submit the required tender forms and associated information.
- The NLM, in conjunction with the local business sector and representatives from the local hospitality industry, should identify strategies aimed at maximising the potential benefits associated with the project.
- <u>Visual intrusion</u> The Visual Impact Assessment (Refer to Appendix H5) concluded that the The main town of Vryburg is located within a basin like landform and 11km from the proposed development, thus limited visibility. Huhudi, one of Vryburg's low cost residential areas will be the most sensitive area of Vryburg. It is located approximately 8km from the proposed development with an amsl of approximately 1206m. Regarding service development, the N18 national road, the Cape to Cairo railway line and Tiger Kloof Educational Institution will be most sensitive to the proposed development due to close proximity to site. The majority of the affected area falls within the agricultural

development area. A small amount of nearby farmsteads will be affected for the duration of the construction period and the lifespan of the development.

Visual intrusion	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Barely reversible (1)	Partly reversible (1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resources (1)	No loss of resources (1)
Cumulative impact	High cumulative impact (4). The construction of the PV facility may increase the cumulative visual impact together with farming activities, dust on gravel roads, existing Eskom power infrastructure and the 16 proposed solar power facilities in the area.	
Significance	Negative medium (30)	Negative low (28)
Can impacts be mitigated?	the main factor/probler phase. Due to the rather measures will only solve extent. Measures include Dust suppression role to minimist Contractors must relevant to the Construction version nearby road peak hours where Contractors should be Construction version used that of Vryburg. Construction version surrounding during peak hours where Construction version surrounding during peak hours possible. New road construction versible. Good house implemented.	on will play an important the the visibility of dust. It is avoid using roads not project. Thicke must limit travelling its and in Vryburg during in possible. The production is a possible in the project of the projec

•	Risk as	ssessments re	elating to	fire haz	zards,
	"No	Smoking"	signs	and	the
	impler	mentation of	smoking a	areas.	
•	Prope	r firefighting	equipme	nt shou	ld be
	availal	ole on sit	e. Not	only	fire
	exting	uishers but a	also equi _l	pment l	like a
	water	truck whi	ch can	store	large
	amour	nts of water.			
•	Partia	I screening	is possibl	e by a	dding
	indige	nous flora.			

Indirect impacts: The nuisance aspects generally associated with the installation of infrastructure will also be applicable to this development, which relates primarily to the increase in construction vehicle traffic, impacts of construction workers on local communities, the influx of job seekers to the area, risk to safety, livestock and farm infrastructure, and increased risk of veld fires.

Technical advice for local farmers and municipalities - The establishment of a Solar PV plant in the area creates an opportunity for the technical staff involved in the project to provide local farmers in the area with advice regarding the installation of solar energy technology to supplement their current and future energy needs. A number of farmers indicated that they would appreciate assistance in this regard in the form of expert opinion as to what type of solar technologies would be best suited to meet their needs and how best to install solar energy installations on their farms. This could be achieved via a workshop / discussion with the local farmers in the area. Local municipalities would also benefit from the knowledge of technical staff involved in the establishment of the project.

Technical advice for local farmers	Pre-mitigation impact Post mitigation im		
and municipalities	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive	
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)	
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Low (1)	Medium (2)	
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (2) – Positive cumulative		
	impact associated with reduced reliance on coal		
	generated energy and move towards renewable		
	energy.		
Significance	Positive Low (10) Positive Low (20)		
Can impacts be mitigated?	Protea Solar Power Plant in consultation with the		
	contractor should hold a workshop/s with local		
	farmers and representatives from NLM to discuss		

options for installing solar energy facilities and the
technology and costs involved.

Increase in construction vehicle traffic — Building materials and infrastructure will be transported to site on a daily basis and there will be an increase in construction vehicles on access roads. The movement of heavy construction vehicles during the construction phase has the potential to damage local farm roads and create dust and safety impacts for other road users in the area. Access will be obtained via a local gravel road of the N18. While the volume of traffic along this road is low, the movement of heavy vehicles along this road is likely to damage the road surface and impact on other road users. The contractor should be required to ensure that damage to the road is repaired before the handover of the project.

Increase in construction vehicle	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact	
traffic	rating	rating	
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative	
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)	
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)	
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)	
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible (1)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)	
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative impact	(3). If damage to roads is	
	not repaired, then this will a	ffect the farming activities	
	in the area and result in high	her maintenance costs for	
	vehicles of local farmers an	d other road users. The	
	costs will be borne by ro	oad users who were no	
	responsible for the damage.		
Significance	Negative low (22)	Negative low (11)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	The potential impacts associated with heavy vehicles can be effectively mitigated. The mitigation measures include:		
	caused by construct gravel access road before the comple phase. The costs a must be borne by th Dust suppression implemented for wetting of gravel road.	ist ensure that damage tion related traffic to the off the N18 is repaired tion of the construction ssociated with the repair e contractor; measures must be heavy vehicles such as ads on a regular basis and es used to transport sand	

and building materials are fitted with
tarpaulins or covers;
 All vehicles must be road-worthy and drivers
must be qualified and made aware of the
potential road safety issues and need for strict
speed limits.

Impact of construction workers on local communities - The presence of construction workers
poses a potential risk to family structures and social networks. While the presence of
construction workers does not in itself constitute a social impact, the manner in which
construction workers conduct themselves can impact on local communities. The most
significant negative impact is associated with the disruption of existing family structures and
social networks.

Impacts of construction	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
workers on local communities	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Short term for community	Short term for
	as a whole (1)	community as a whole
	Long term-permanent for	(1)
	individuals who may be	Long term-permanent
	affected by STDs etc. (4)	for individuals who may
		be affected by STDs etc.
		(4)
Magnitude	Low for the community as a	Low for the community
	whole (4)	as a whole (4)
	High-Very High for specific	High-Very High for
	individuals who may be	specific individuals who
	affected by STDs etc. (10)	may be affected by STDs
		etc. (10)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible
	but not in case of HIV and	(1) but not in case of HIV
	AIDS	and AIDS
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource	Marginal loss of
	(2)	resource (2)
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative effects	
	community relations that m	ay, in some cases, persist
	for a long period of tim	
	unplanned / unwanted pregnancies occur or members	
	of the community are infected by an STD, specifically	
	HIV and or AIDS, the impacts may be permanent and	
	have long term to permane	nt cumulative impacts on

	the affected individuals and/or their families and the community.	
Significance	Low for the community as a whole (13) Medium for specific individuals who may be affected by STDs etc. (52)	Low for the community as a whole (13) Medium for specific individuals who may be affected by STDs etc. (52)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, the potential risks associated with construction workers can be effectively mitigated. The detailed mitigation measures are outlined in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) for the Construction Phase. Aspects that should be covered include:	
	Management Programme (EMPr) for the Construction	

- The construction area should be fenced off before construction commences and no workers should be permitted to leave the fenced off area;
- The contractor should provide transport to and from the site on a daily basis for low and semiskilled construction workers. This will enable the contactor to effectively manage and monitor the movement of construction workers on and off the site;
- Where necessary, the contractors should make the necessary arrangements to enable low and semiskilled workers from outside the area to return home over weekends and/ or on a regular basis. This would reduce the risk posed to local family structures and social networks;
- It is recommended that no construction workers, with the exception of security personnel, should be permitted to stay over-night on the site.
- Influx of job seekers Large construction projects tend to attract people to the area in the hope that they will secure a job, even if it is a temporary job. These job seekers can in turn become "economically stranded" in the area or decide to stay on irrespective of finding a job or not. While the proposed Protea facility on its own does not constitute a large construction project, other facilities are proposed near Vryburg. When considered together these facility projects may attract job seekers to the area. As in the case of construction workers employed on the project, the actual presence of job seekers in the area does not in itself constitute a social impact. However, the manner in which they conduct themselves can impact on the local community.

Influx of job seekers	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible
		(1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative effects (3), Impacts on family and	
	community relations that may, in some cases, persist	
	for a long period of time. Also in cases where	
	unplanned / unwanted pregnancies occur or members	
	of the community are infected by an STD, specifically	

	HIV and or AIDS, the impacts may be permanent and	
	have long term to permanent cumulative impacts on	
	the affected individuals and/or their families and the	
	community.	
Significance	Negative low (22) Negative low (11)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	It is not possible to prevent job seekers from coming to	
	the area in search of a job. The potential influx of job	
	seekers to the area as a result of the proposed Protea	
	Solar Power Plant facility and other projects is likely to	
	be low. The following mitigation measures are	
	proposed:	
	Protea Solar Power Plant should implement a	
	"locals first" policy, specifically with regard to	
	unskilled and low skilled opportunities; • Protea Solar Power Plant should implement a	
	policy that no employment will be available at the	
	gate.	

• Risk to safety, livestock and farm infrastructure - The presence on and movement of construction workers on and off the site poses a potential safety threat to local famer's and farm workers in the vicinity of the site threat. In addition, farm infrastructure, such as fences and gates, may be damaged and stock losses may also result from gates being left open and/or fences being damaged or stock theft linked either directly or indirectly to the presence of farm workers on the site.

Risk to safety, livestock and	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
farm infrastructure	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible
		(1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative effects (1), provided losses are	
	compensated for.	
Significance	Negative low (22)	Negative low (11)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Key mitigation measures include:	
	Protea Solar Power Plant should enter into an	
	agreement with the local farmers in the area	
	whereby damages to farm property etc. during the	
	construction phase will	be compensated for. The

- agreement should be signed before the construction phase commences;
- The construction area should be fenced off prior to the commencement of the construction phase.
 The movement of construction workers on the site should be confined to the fenced off area;
- Contractors appointed by Protea Solar Power Plant should provide daily transport for low and semiskilled workers to and from the site. This would reduce the potential risk of trespassing on the remainder of the farm and adjacent properties;
- Protea Solar Power Plant should consider the option of establishing a MF (see above) that includes local farmers and develop a Code of Conduct for construction workers. This committee should be established prior to commencement of the construction phase. The Code of Conduct should be signed by the proponent and the contractors before the contractors move onto site;
- Protea Solar Power Plant should hold contractors liable for compensating farmers in full for any stock losses and/or damage to farm infrastructure that can be linked to construction workers. This should be contained in the Code of Conduct to be signed between the proponent, the contractors and neighbouring landowners. The agreement should also cover loses and costs associated with fires caused by construction workers or construction related activities (see below);
- The Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) should outline procedures for managing and storing waste on site, specifically plastic waste that poses a threat to livestock if ingested;
- Contractors appointed by Protea Solar Power Plant must ensure that all workers are informed at the outset of the construction phase of the conditions contained on the Code of Conduct, specifically consequences of stock theft and trespassing on adjacent farms.
- Contractors appointed by Protea Solar Power Plant must ensure that construction workers who are found guilty of trespassing, stealing livestock and/or damaging farm infrastructure are dismissed and charged. This should be contained in the Code

of Conduct. All dismissals must be in accordance
with South African labour legislation;
• The housing of construction workers on the site
should be strictly limited to security personnel.

Increased risk of veld fires - The presence of construction workers and construction-related activities on the site poses an increased risk of grass fires that could in turn pose a threat to livestock, crops, wildlife and farmsteads in the area. In the process, farm infrastructure may also be damaged or destroyed and human lives threatened. The potential risk of grass fires was heightened by the windy conditions in the area, especially during the dry, windy winter months from May to October. In terms of potential mitigation measures, fire-breaks should be constructed around the perimeter of the site prior to the commencement of the construction phase. In addition, fire-fighting equipment should be provided on site during the construction phase.

	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
Increased risk of veld fires	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Region (3)	Local (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Medium term (2)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	High (3)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Completely reversible (1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative effects (1), provided losses are compensated for.	
Significance	Negative medium (33)	Negative low (9)
Can impacts be mitigated?	agreement with the lowhereby damages to far construction phase will agreement should be construction phase common A fire-break should be perimeter of the site priof the construction phase. Contractor should ensure the site of the construction phase common the construction phase. Contractor should ensure the site of the construction of the construction phase in designated areas; Contractor to ensure the activities that pose a prior construction phase contractor to ensure the construction of the cons	ant should enter into an ocal farmers in the area of property etc. during the be compensated for. The e signed before the mences; constructed around the or to the commencement

areas where the risk of fires has been reduced. Measures to reduce the risk of fires include avoiding working in high wind conditions when the risk of fires is greater. In this regard special care should be taken during the high risk dry, windy winter months;

- Contractor to provide adequate firefighting equipment on-site, including a fire fighting vehicle;
- Contractor to provide fire-fighting training to selected construction staff;
- No construction staff, with the exception of security staff, to be accommodated on site over night;
- As per the conditions of the Code of Conduct, in the advent of a fire being caused by construction workers and or construction activities, the appointed contractors must compensate farmers for any damage caused to their farms. The contractor should also compensate the firefighting costs borne by farmers and local authorities.

2. Impacts that may result from the operational phase

Direct impacts: During the operational phase the study area will serve as an electricity generation facility and the impacts are generally associated with soil erosion, change in land use, impacts associated with the surrounding land uses, increase in storm water runoff, increased consumption of water, visual intrusion, the generation of general waste, leakage of hazardous materials, and the change in the sense of place. The operational phase will also have a direct positive impact through the provision of permanent employment opportunities, the generation of additional electricity, the establishment of a community trust, financial implication to tourism in the area, and the development of infrastructure for the generation of clean, renewable energy. The abovementioned impacts are discussed in more detail below:

• <u>Avifaunal fatalities:</u> Operational PV facilities are known to cause fatalities among birds (Walston et al. 2015). One of the possible mechanism involve the glare, in combination with other characteristics, of PV panels which is interpreted by birds as coming from a wetland; this hypothesis still needs to be tested experimentally. Furthermore, PV panels, a known source of polarized light pollution (PLP), attract polarotactic insects, especially aquatic taxa, which in turn is known to attract terrestrial, aquatic and aerial avian predators. This is likely to cause birds to come into close contact with the PV arrays and may result in collisions with the PV arrays and associated infrastructure. Ecological light pollution (ELP) caused by security lighting may have a similar effect. In addition, ELP is known to attract nocturnal migrating birds and at least one of the species which could potentially occur in the Protea Solar Power Plant, the Harlequin Quail R201 are known for this (Taylor 2005).

Avifaunal fatalities	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Regional (3)	Regional (3)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Barely reversible (3)	Barely reversible (3)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of resource (2)	Marginal loss of resource (2)
Cumulative impact	are proposed in the area	(2), since no other projects
Significance	Negative medium (34)	Negative medium (34)
Can impacts be mitigated?	for Protea Solar Portea Solar P	ting, a continuous set of PV te likely to be confused with by than would panels with tem. The latter option would should be included in the of the final design of the ays. Agmentation of polarizing white shown to reduce the shown to reduce the shown to reduce the solar panels to polarotactic fold. This could potentially sess attractive to predators didition, the white markings cient to alerting birds of the anels, especially if they are ther (10 cm). Therefore, if solar panels actions should tion of non-polarizing white

• Nesting for birds: Birds may use the PV arrays and fencing for nesting, perching and shade. Nests can potentially interfere with the workings of the panels or create a fire hazard, and bird droppings may have a negative impact on the effectiveness of the PV panels. The Common Myna R758 and House Sparrow R801 are both Category 3 introduced invasive species (National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (10 of 2004): Alien and Invasive Species List (2014). The proposed PV facility, which falls well within their respective current ranges, is likely to provide suitable nesting habitat for them. PLP & ELP both attract insect

which in turn can be a good food source for birds. However, this can ultimately have a negative impact on the birds.

Avifaunal fatalities	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Regional (3)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	Barely reversible (3)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss (1)	Marginal loss of resource
irreplaceable loss of resources	. ,	(2)
Cumulative impact	· ·	: (3), since no other projects
	are proposed in the area	
Significance	Positive low (24)	Negative medium (30)
Can impacts be mitigated?	to minimize opportunities; Minimize standing of difficult for the two mud for their nests minimize the risk birds near the PV a Inspect each PV monthroughout the yeactivity. This can be working at the famaintenance activity need basic training know what to look incident Forms Remove nesting monly if it would into the PV module and where a species of above is involved, obtained from the BMP or local nature the surveys for ne least once a monthrough the position of the pos	tice-type structures in order perching and nesting water. This will make it more is swallow species to obtain is. In addition, it will help to of large congregations of trays. In addition, it will help to of large congregations of trays. In addition, it will help to of large congregations of trays. In addition, it will help to of large congregations of trays. In addition, it will help to of large congregations of trays. In addition, it will help to of large congregations of trays. In addition, it will help to of large congregations of the person help of eggs or nestling being the swall of the large conservation authorities. If eggs or nestling being

Soil erosion – The largest risk factor for soil erosion will be during the operational phase when storm water run-off from the surfaces of the photovoltaic panels will cause erosion. Erosion will be localised within the site boundary but will have a permanent effect that would stretch into the operational phase of the project. This will ultimately lead to the irretrievable commitment of this resource. The measurable effect of reducing erosion by utilizing mitigation measures may reduce possible erosion significantly (refer to Appendix H5 for the Agricultural and Soils Impact Assessment).

Soil erosion	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Geographical extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Unlikely (1)
Duration	Medium term (2)	Medium term (2)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal (2)	Marginal (2)
Cumulative impact	Negligible cumulative impact (1).	
Significance	Negative low (20)	Negative low (18)
Can impacts be mitigated?	The Agricultural and Soils Impact Assessment (refer to	
	Appendix H5) provides the following mitigation or	
	management measures: Implement an effective	
	system of run-off control, where it is required, that	
	, and the second	nates run-off water from all
	hardened surfaces and pre	vents potential down slope
	erosion.	
	Include periodical site inspection in environmental	
	performance reporting that inspects the effectiveness	
	of the run-off control system and specifically records	
	the occurrence any erosion on site or downstream.	

Generation of alternative land use income — Generation of alternative land use income
through rental for energy facility. This will provide the farming enterprise with increased cash
flow and rural livelihood, and thereby improve its financial sustainability. (Refer to Appendix
H5 for the Agricultural and Soils Impact Assessment).

Generation of alternative land	Pre-mitigation	Post mitigation
use income	impact rating	impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	
Geographical extent	Site (1)	
Probability	Definite (4)	
Duration	Long term (3)	
Magnitude	Medium (2)	

Reversibility	Completely reversible (1)	
Irreplaceable loss of resources	None (1)	
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (1).	
Significance	Positive low (24)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	N/A	

• Change in land-use — The use of the area for the construction and operation of the PV plant will result in the area not being used for livestock grazing anymore. Land capability is the combination of soil suitability and climate factors. The site and surrounds has a land capability classification, on the 8 category scale, of Class 5 — non-arable, moderate potential grazing land. The limitations to agriculture are both climate and soil related. The moisture availability class 4 classification, with high variability of rainfall is a severe limitation to cultivation, which is not viable without irrigation. The low water holding capacity of the soils and their limited depth further limits the dryland potential. Potential maize yield on AGIS (Schulz) is given as low at 1.43 tons per hectare and (ISCW) is given as marginal. The grazing capacity is given as 14 to 17 hectares per large stock unit. Because of the climate limitations, lack of access to water for irrigation, and soils with limited depth and limited water holding capacity, the site is not suitable for cultivated crops, and viable agricultural land use is limited to grazing only.

Change in land use	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
Change in land use	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Completely reversible	Completely reversible
	(1)	(1)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of	Marginal loss of
	resource (2)	resource (2)
Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impa	cts (2). Overall loss of
	farmland could affect	the livelihoods of the
	affected farmers, their	families, and the workers
	on the farms and th	eir families. However,
	disturbed areas can be rehabilitated.	
Significance	Negative low (13)	Negative low (13)
Can impacts be mitigated?	The proponent should	investigate the option of
	establishing a Rehabilit	ation Fund to be used to
	rehabilitate the area once the proposed facility	
	has been decommissioned. The fund should be	
	funded by revenue	generated during the
	operational phase of the project. The motivation	
	for the establishment of a Rehabilitation Fund is	
	based on the experience	e from the mining sector

where many mines on closure have not set aside
sufficient funds for closure and decommissioning.

• Increase in storm water runoff – The development will potentially result in an increase in storm water run-off that needs to be managed to prevent soil erosion, especially where vegetation will be cleared. Storm water canals will be designed into which the water from the panels can be channeled. These canals should reduce the speed of the water and allow the water to drain slowly onto the land. Vegetation corridors should be maintained within the subject area.

Increase in storm water runoff	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Unlikely (1)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of	Marginal loss of
	resource (2)	resource (2)
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative in	npact (3) - Should these
	impacts occur, there wi	Il be a cumulative impact
	on the wider area.	
Significance	Negative medium (30)	Negative low (13)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes. It is therefore important that all management	
	actions and mitigation measures included in the	
	EMPr are implemented to ensure that these	
	impacts do not occur.	

• <u>Increased consumption of water</u> - Approximately 3,880,000 liters of water per annum will be required for the operation of the solar plant. Cleaning will take place once every quarter. The water will be sourced from groundwater sources.

Increased consumption of water	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Region (3)	Region (3)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of	Marginal loss of
	resources (2)	resources (2)

Cumulative impact	High cumulative impacts (4) - An additional	
	demand on water sources could result in a	
	significant cumulative impact with regards to the	
	availability of water.	
Significance	Negative medium	Negative medium (40)
	(40)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, management a	actions and mitigation
	measures related to the use of water are included	
	in the EMPr.	

<u>Visual intrusion</u> - The Visual Impact Assessment (Refer to Appendix H5) concluded that The industrial development is likely to be sensitive to the proposed development. Eskom staff doing maintenance work on the power lines will be most sensitive to the development due to the close proximity of the lines to site. Vryburg's industrial zone is 11km to the north with a high level of existing screening between the zone and proposed development. The town of Vryburg is a clear screening mechanism between the industrial zone and the proposed development. The main town of Vryburg is located within a basin like landform and 11km from the proposed development, thus limited visibility. Huhudi, one of Vryburg's low cost residential areas will be the most sensitive area of Vryburg. It is located approximately 8km from the proposed development with an amsl of approximately 1206m. Regarding service development, the N18 national road, the Cape to Cairo railway line and Tiger Kloof Educational Institution will be most sensitive to the proposed development due to close proximity to site. The majority of the affected area falls within the agricultural development area. A small amount of nearby farmsteads will be affected for the duration of the construction period and the lifespan of the development. The Visual Impact Assessment also stated that it is important to note that this facility has an advantage over other more conventional power generating plants (e.g. coal-fired power stations). The facility utilises a renewable source of energy (considered as an international priority) to generate power and is therefore generally perceived in a more favorable light.

Visual intrusion	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Barely reversible (3)	Barely reversible (3)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resources	No loss of resources (1)
	(1)	
Cumulative impact	High cumulative impact (4). The operation of the	
	plant may increase the	cumulative visual impact
	together with dust from	n the nearby gravel roads,
	farming activities,	existing Eskom power

	infrastructure and the 16 proposed solar power	
	facilities in the area.	
Significance	Negative medium (34) Negative low (34)	
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, mitigation measures are included in the visual	
	impact assessment study and the EMPr. The VIA	
	recommends the following mitigation measures:	
	 Mitigation of lighting impacts includes the pro-active design, planning and specification lighting for the facility by a lighting engineer. Security lighting should make use of down-lights to minimise light spill, and motion detectors where possible so that lighting at night is minimised. Good housekeeping should be implemented. Risk assessments relating to fire hazards, "No Smoking" signs and the implementation of smoking areas. Proper firefighting equipment should be available on site. Not only fire extinguishers but also equipment like a water truck which can store large amounts of water. 	
	 Partial screening is possible by adding and maintaining indigenous flora. 	

• Generation of waste - Security guards will be stationed at the solar facility 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. Sources of general waste will be waste food, packaging, paper, etc. General waste will be stored on the site and removed on a weekly basis. The proposed development will use the municipality for waste removal. The Local Municipality still has to confirm that the dumping site has the capacity to accommodate the additional waste generated by the employees working at the Solar Power Plant

Generation of waste	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)

Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative impact (3) - An additional	
	demand for landfill space could result in significant	
	cumulative impacts with regards to the availability	
	of landfill space.	
Significance	Negative low (15)	Negative low (15)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes, management act	tions related to waste
	management are included in the EMPr.	

 <u>Leakage of hazardous materials</u> - The proposed development will comprise of a distribution substation and will include transformer bays which will contain transformer oils. Leakage of these oils can contaminate water supplies and must be prevented by constructing oil bunds to ensure that any oil spills are suitably attenuated and not released into the environment.

Leakage of hazardous materials	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation
	rating	impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Possible (2)	Unlikely (1)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	High (3)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	Marginal loss of	Marginal loss of
	resource (2)	resource (2)
Cumulative impact	The impact would resu	ılt in negligible to no
	cumulative effects (1)	
Significance	Negative medium (36)	Negative low (22)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes. It is therefore important that all management	
	actions and mitigation measures included in the	
	EMPr are implemented to ensure that these	
	impacts do not occur.	

 <u>Permanent employment</u> - Based on information from estimated global employment ratios per MW of solar PV installed (viz. 0.7 direct long term opportunities/ MW), the proposed development would create ~ 50 employment opportunities for over a 20-year period.

Permanent employment	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
remanent employment	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A

Cumulative impact	Low cumulative impact (2) – Creation of
	permanent employment and skills and
	development opportunities for members of the
	local community and creation of additional
	business and economic opportunities in the area.
Significance	Negative Medium (30) Negative Medium (30)
Can impacts be mitigated?	The enhancement measures listed for the
	temporary employment opportunities during the
	construction phase to enhance local employment
	and business opportunities, also apply to the
	operational phase. In addition:
	Protea Solar Power Plant should implement a
	training and skills development programme
	for locals during the first 5 years of the
	operational phase. The aim of the programme
	should be to maximise the number of South
	African's and locals employed during the
	operational phase of the project;
	 Protea Solar Power Plant, in consultation with
	the NLM, should investigate the options for
	the establishment of a Community
	Development Trust.
	Development must.

• <u>Generation of additional electricity</u> - The photovoltaic effect of the panels will generate electricity that will be fed into the Mookodi-Magopela 132.0 [kV] line. The evacuation of generated electricity into the Eskom grid will strengthen and stabilize the grid (especially in the local area).

Generation of additional electricity	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
Generation of additional electricity	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A
Cumulative impact	generated electricity in	(2) - The evacuation of nto the Eskom grid will the grid (especially in the
Significance	Positive medium (30)	Positive medium (30)
Can impacts be mitigated?	No mitigation measure i	required.

• Establishment of a Community Trust - In terms of the Request for Proposal document prepared by the Department of Energy all bidders for operating licences for renewable energy projects must demonstrate how the proposed development will benefit the local community. This can be achieved by establishing a Community Trust which is funded by revenue generated from the sale for energy. Community Trusts provide an opportunity to generate a steady revenue stream that is guaranteed for a 20-year period. This revenue can be used to fund development initiatives in the area and support the local community. The long term duration of the revenue stream also allows local municipalities and communities to undertake long term planning for the area. The revenue from the proposed plant can be used to support a number of social and economic initiatives in the area.

Establishment of a community trust	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
Establishment of a community trust	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Medium (2)	High (3)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A
Cumulative impact	social and economimprovement in the community.	overall well-being of the
Significance Can impacts be mitigated?	Positive medium (30)	Positive medium (48) benefits and minimise the
	funds the following implemented: • The NLM should structure and ide trustees to sit of departments in the consulted include Office, IDP Manager • Clear criteria for community projects	be consulted as to the entification of potential on the Trust. The key see NLM that should be the Municipal Managers and LED Manager. identifying and funding and initiatives in the aread. The criteria should be
	aimed at maximisi	ng the benefits for the hole and not individuals

•	Strict	financ	ial ma	anagement	cont	trols,
	includi	ng annua	al audits	, should be in	stitut	ed to
	manag	e the	funds	generated	for	the
	Commi	unity Trเ	ıst from	the plant.		

Indirect impacts: The operational phase will have an indirect negative impact through the change in the sense of place and an indirect positive impact through the provision of additional electrical infrastructure.

• Change in the sense of place – The components associated with the proposed facility will have a visual impact and, in so doing, impact on the landscape and rural sense of the place of the area. The industrial development is unlikely to be sensitive to the proposed development because of its small scale. Regarding service development, the N18 national road, the Cape to Cairo railway line and Tiger Kloof Educational Institution will be most sensitive to the proposed development due to close proximity to site. The majority of the affected area falls within the agricultural development area. A small amount of nearby farmsteads will be affected for the duration of the construction period and the lifespan of the development. The impact of the proposed SPP on the areas sense of place with mitigation is therefore likely to be low.

Change in sense of place	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Reversible (2)	Reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	plant may increase the together with dust from farming activities, einfrastructure and the facilities in the area.	16 proposed solar power
Significance	Negative low (16)	Negative low (16)
Can impacts be mitigated?	impacts are included recommendations cont	ures relating to visual d in the EMPr. The ained in the VIA should I – refer to previous pacts.

 <u>Potential impact on tourism</u> – The tourism sector is regarded as an important economic sector in the NWP and NLM. The tourism potential of the area is linked to the areas natural resources, including the relatively undisturbed scenery and landscape. The proposed SPP on the areas sense of place with mitigation is likely to be low. In addition, the site will not be visible from or impact on the Treasure Corridor associated with the N12, 14 and 18. The impact of the proposed SPP on the tourism potential of the area and the NLM and NWP is therefore likely to be low. In some instances, the SPP may attract tourists to the area. However, the significance of this potential benefit is also rated as low positive.

Potential impacts on tourism	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
	(Potential to distract	(Potential to distract
	from the tourist	from the tourist
	experience of the	experience of the area)
	area) Positive	Positive
	(Potential to attract	(Potential to attract
	people to the area)	people to the area)
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Low (2) (Applies to	Low (2) (Applies to both
	both – and +)	– and +)
Reversibility	Partly reversible (2)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/a	N/a
Cumulative impact	Cumulative impact (4) T	he proposed SPP is one of
	16 SPPs proposed, lo	cated in the vicinity of
	Vryburg in the NLM.	
Significance	Negative & Positive	Negative & Positive
	medium (30)	medium (30)
Can impacts be mitigated?	The recommendations	contained in the VIA
	should be implemente	ed – refer to previous
	discussions on visual imp	pacts.

Development of infrastructure for the generation of clean, renewable energy - South Africa currently relies on coal-powered energy to meet more than 90% of its energy needs. Much of the coal used has high sulphur content. As a result, South Africa is the nineteenth largest per capita producer of carbon emissions in the world, and Eskom, as an energy utility, has been identified as the world's second largest producer carbon emissions. The overall contribution to South Africa's total energy requirements of the proposed facility is relatively small. However, the 115 MW produced will help to offset the total carbon emissions associated with energy generation in South Africa. Given South Africa's reliance on Eskom as a power utility, the benefits associated with an IPP based on renewable energy are regarded as an important contribution.

relopment of infrastructure for generation of clean, renewable	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
energy		

Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive
Extent	National (4)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Low (1)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Irreversible (4)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative	impact (3) Reduce carbon
	emissions via the use	e of renewable energy and
	associated benefits in	n terms of global warming
	and climate change.	
Significance	Positive low (18)	Positive low (18)
Can impacts be mitigated?	 mitigation measure in the benefits of the proposer Plant should: Use the project to contribution of national energy so Maximise the public an extension advertising programe Implement a train programme for loof the operation programme should 	lic's exposure to the project ive communication and imme; ning and skills development cals during the first 5 years al phase. The aim of the ald be to maximise the African's employed during

3. Impacts that may result from the decommissioning and closure phase

Direct impacts: Typically, the major social impacts associated with the decommissioning phase are linked to the loss of jobs and associated income. This has implications for the households who are directly affected, the communities within which they live, and the relevant local authorities. However, in the case of the proposed facility the decommissioning phase is likely to involve the disassembly and replacement of the existing components with more modern technology. This is likely to take place in the 20 - 25 years post commissioning. The decommissioning phase is therefore likely to create additional, construction type jobs, as opposed to the jobs losses typically associated with decommissioning. If infrastructures are removed after a 20/25-year period, the site will be returned to its natural state. Therefore, the physical environment will benefit from the closure of the solar facility.

• Rehabilitation of the physical environment – The physical environment will benefit from the closure of the solar facility since the site will be restored to its natural state.

Rehabilitation of the physical	Pre-mitigation impact	Post mitigation impact
environment	rating	rating
Status (positive or negative)	Positive	Positive
Extent	Site (1)	Site (1)
Probability	Possible (2)	Probable (3)
Duration	Long term (3)	Long term (3)
Magnitude	Low (1)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	N/A	N/A
Irreplaceable loss of resources	N/A	N/A
Cumulative impact	The impact would re	sult in negligible to no
	cumulative effects (1)	
Significance	Negative low (7)	Negative low (16)
Can impacts be mitigated?	No mitigation measures	required.

• Generation of waste - The panels contain material that may be hazardous in nature if released into the environment. If the panels are intact, there will be no risk of exposure. The removal of the supporting infrastructure such as the concrete foundations, cabling, fencing and control rooms, etc. will generate waste. Some of the waste will where possible be recycled, for example steel support structures can be re-used elsewhere or melted down to form new products. The amount of waste will be limited and is not expected to significantly reduce the capacity of the local landfill. However, the project is estimated to last for 20-25 years and the current licensed landfill sites near Vryburg (such as Hoopstad, Vryburg, Wolmaranstad, Wesselsbron, Warrenton or Welkom), may at that stage (or sooner) reach its capacity. The applicant will need to assess the project lifespan and make suitable arrangements for waste disposal when the site is decommissioned.

Generation of waste	Pre-mitigation impact rating	Post mitigation impact rating
Status (positive or negative)	Negative	Negative
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Probability	Definite (4)	Definite (4)
Duration	Short term (1)	Short term (1)
Magnitude	High (3)	Medium (2)
Reversibility	Irreversible (4)	Partly reversible (2)
Irreplaceable loss of resources	No loss of resource (1)	No loss of resource (1)
Cumulative impact	Medium cumulative impact (3) - An additional demand on municipal services could result in significant cumulative impacts with regards to the availability of landfill space.	
Significance	Negative medium (45)	Negative low (26)
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes –there are mitigation	measures in the EMPr.

<u>Loss of employment</u> - Given the relatively large number of people employed during the
operational phase, the decommissioning of the facility has the potential to have a negative
social impact on the local community. However, the potential impacts associated with the

decommissioning phase can also be effectively managed with the implementation of a retrenchment and downscaling programme.

rm (2) rsible (2) esource (1) et would resu effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitigal	rating Negative Local (2) Possible (2) Short term (1) Medium (2) Partly reversible (2) No loss of resource (1) alt in negligible to no Negative low (18) ation measures are
rsible (2) esource (1) et would resu effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitiga	Local (2) Possible (2) Short term (1) Medium (2) Partly reversible (2) No loss of resource (1) Ilt in negligible to no Negative low (18)
rsible (2) esource (1) et would resu effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitiga	Possible (2) Short term (1) Medium (2) Partly reversible (2) No loss of resource (1) Ilt in negligible to no Negative low (18)
rsible (2) esource (1) et would resu effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitiga	Short term (1) Medium (2) Partly reversible (2) No loss of resource (1) Ilt in negligible to no Negative low (18)
rsible (2) esource (1) et would resu effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitiga	Medium (2) Partly reversible (2) No loss of resource (1) Ilt in negligible to no Negative low (18)
esource (1) It would resurce (1) effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitigation	Partly reversible (2) No loss of resource (1) Ilt in negligible to no Negative low (18)
esource (1) It would resurce (1) effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitigation	No loss of resource (1) ult in negligible to no Negative low (18)
effects (1) nedium (30) owing mitiga	Ilt in negligible to no Negative low (18)
owing mitiga	
0 0	ation measures are
chment package retrenched versioned. Suctures and in the proposed the	•
i i i i	missioning; Solar Power Intion of establication Trust Foundation Trust Foundation The areas. The latest from the latest from the latest facility. The solution of the latest from the latest facility.

Indirect impacts: No indirect impacts are anticipated from the decommissioning phase of the proposed development.