

# IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR:

PROPOSED CATTLE
FEEDLOT ON PORTION 38 OF
THE FARM STERKFONTEIN
423-IR, MEYERTON,
GAUTENG PROVINCE.

Environmental Management Group (Pty) Ltd.

# Prepared for:

Applicant:	River Valley Beef (Pty) Ltd
Contact person:	Stef Valle
Number:	082 374 4475
E-mail:	Stefvalle1@gmail.com
Address:	113 Klipplaatdrift, Vereeniging, 1939

# Prepared by:

EAP:	Chantelle Barendze Junior Environmental Practitioner Environmental Management Group
E-mail:	cb@envmgp.com
Postal Address:	P.O Box 37473
	Langenhovenpark
	9330
Signature	Conde

# Reviewed by:

Specialist:	Ricus Nel  Ecologist and Environmental Specialist  Environmental Management Group
E-mail:	rnel@envmgp.com
Postal Address:	P.O Box 37473
	Langenhovenpark
	9330
Signature	Roed

Specialist:	Sampie van Rooyen Director and Senior Environmental Practitioner Environmental Management Group
E-mail:	svr@envmgp.com
Postal Address:	P.O Box 37473
	Langenhovenpark
	9330
Signature	Mogen

# **Contents**

1. Int	roduction:	3
2. Me	ethodology:	3
2.1.	Determination of consequence:	3
2.2.	Determination of overall environmental significance:	6
3. Im	pact assessment for the preferred alternative:	8
3.1.	Ecological impacts:	8
3.2.	Heritage:	13
3.3.	Water resources:	15
3.4.	Aesthetics:	17
3.5.	Air quality and noise:	19
3.6.	Socio-economic:	21
3.7.	Waste:	23
3.8.	No go alternative:	26

## 1. Introduction:

The social and environmental impacts assessment generated by the proposed **cattle feedlot in Meyerton**, **Gauteng Province** is presented as the risk assessment methodology and associated results. This process aims to identify possible impacts associated with the proposed development and evaluate their significance to ensure appropriate mitigation is applied. The recommendations of suitable mitigation measures that should be implemented to reduce the consequences of likely impacts associated with the project have been formulated by industry best practice principles, professional experience, and relevant legislation.

# 2. Methodology:

Management and risk assessment plays a key role in the proponent's business. Managing the risks must be integrated into day-to-day business-related processes to ensure that both operational and strategic decisions are risk-based. The risk management system provides a framework to identify both threats and opportunities. The system then compensates and initiates resources that are allocated to treat the risks. It is required to review the risks as an ongoing process and then proceed to review the efficacy of the controls.

The risk assessment comprises quantifying the magnitude of potential impacts and the likelihood of these impacts to occur. The Consequence (C) and Likelihood (L) matrix combine the qualitative and or semi-quantitative ratings of consequence and the likelihood that a specific consequence will occur to calculate a risk score and risk rating (Equation 1). Essentially, the greater a probability of an adverse impact occurring, the greater the risk level associated with it will be.

C = Overall consequenceL = Likelihood of occurrence

**Equation 1**: Calculation of environmental significance.  $Environmental\ Significance = C \times L$ 

# 2.1. Determination of consequence:

Consequence analysis is a combination of quantitative and qualitative information, and the outcome can be positive or negative. Several factors can be used to determine consequence. For the purpose of determining the environmental significance in terms of consequence, the following factors were chosen: **Severity/Intensity, Duration** and **Extent/Spatial Scale**. Each factor is assigned a rating between 1 to 5, as described in the tables below.

## 2.1.1. Determination of intensity:

Intensity relates to the nature of the event, aspect or impact to the environment and describes how intense a given aspect's impact on the biophysical and socio-economic environment will be.

Table 1: Rating criteria describing the intensity of a given aspect.

Type of	Rating						
criteria	1 2 3		3	4	5		
Quantitative	0-20% 21-40%		41-60%	61-80%	81-100%		
Qualitative	Insignificant /	Small /	Significant /	Great / Very	Disastrous		
	Non-harmful	Potentially	Harmful	harmful	Extremely		
		harmful			harmful		
Social/	Acceptable /	Slightly	Intolerable/	Unacceptable	Totally		
Community	I&AP	tolerable /	Sporadic	/ Widespread	unacceptable		
response	satisfied	Possible	complaints	complaints	/ Possible		
		objections			legal action		
Irreversibility	Very low cost	Low cost to	Substantial	High cost to	Prohibitive		
	to mitigate/	mitigate	cost to	mitigate	cost to		
	High		mitigate /		mitigate /		
	potential to		Potential to		Little or no		
	mitigate		mitigate		mechanism		
	impacts to		impacts /		to mitigate		
	level of		Potential to		impact		
	insignificance		reverse		Irreversible		
	/ Easily		impact				
<u> </u>	reversible		01 10	.,	5.		
Biophysical	Insignificant	Medium	Significant	Very	Disastrous		
(Air quality,	change /	change /	change /	significant	change /		
water	deterioration	deterioration	deterioration	change /	deterioration		
quantity and	or	or	or	deterioration	or		
quality,	disturbance	disturbance	disturbance	or	disturbance		
waste				disturbance			
production,							
fauna and							
flora)							

#### 2.1.2. Determination of duration:

Duration refers to the amount of time the receiving environment will be exposed to a given aspect, risk or impact, given the absence of intervention/mitigation.

**Table 2**: Rating criteria for determination of duration

Rating	Description
1: Low	1 Month
2: Low-Medium	1 – 3 Months
3: Medium	More than 3 Months
4: Medium-High	5 – 10 Years
5: High	More than 10 Years

## 2.1.3. Determination of extent/spatial scale:

Extent refers to the spatial influence of an impact, be it contained to the immediate surroundings (site), extending to the surrounding area, regional (will have an impact on the region), national (will have an impact on a national scale) or international (impact across international borders).

Table 3: Rating criteria for the determination of extent/spatial scale

Rating	Description			
1: Low	Immediate, fully contained area (site)			
2: Low-Medium	Surrounding area			
3: Medium	Regional			
4: Medium-High	National			
5: High	International			

#### 2.1.4. Determination of overall consequence:

The overall consequence is determined by calculating the sum of all impact factors described above and those summarised below, divided by the total number of impact factors (three) (Equation 2).

*I* = Intensity

**D** = Duration

**E** = Extent

n = number of factors

**Equation 2**: Calculation of overall consequence.

Overal Consequence = 
$$\frac{\sum (I + D + E)}{n}$$

#### 2.1.5. Determination of likelihood:

Likelihood refers to the probability of a given aspect/impact to occur given that no mitigation measures are implemented.

**Table 4**: Rating Criteria for the determination of likelihood.

Rating Description		
1: Low	_ow < 30% chance of occurrence	
2: Low-Medium	30% - 50% chance of occurrence	
3: Medium	50% - 70% chance of occurrence	
4: Medium-High	70 – 90% chance of occurrence	
5: High	>90% of occurrence	

## 2.2. Determination of overall environmental significance:

## 2.2.1. Quantitative analysis of the overall environmental significance:

The overall environmental significance is determined by multiplying the overall consequence **(C)** by the likelihood of occurrence **(L)** (Equation 1). The rationale of the overall environmental significance relates to identifying and quantifying the sum of environmental impacts arising from the proposed development and the recommendation of appropriate mitigation measures.

Table 5: Environmental significance evaluation score sheet.

Aspect Specific Environmental significance	Low	Low- Medium	Medium	Medium- High	High
Overall Consequence x	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25
Overall Likelihood (Equation 1)	1-5	0-10	11-13	10-20	21-20

## 2.2.2. Qualitative description or magnitude of the environmental significance:

The qualitative description of environmental significance attempts to provide an indication of the nature and or magnitude associated with the proposed development. It also guides the prioritisation and decision-making process related to this event, aspect or impact.

Table 6: Rating criteria for impact significance.

Signific	Low	Low-Medium	Medium	Medium-	High	
Sig				High	J	
Impact Magnitude	Impact is of very low order and therefore likely to have very little real effect. Acceptable.	Impact is of low order and therefore likely to have little real effect. Acceptable.	Impact is real, and potentially substantial in relation to other impacts. Can pose a risk to the company	Impact is real and substantial in relation to other impacts. Pose a risk to the company and environment. Unacceptable	Impact is of the highest order possible. Unacceptable . Fatal flaw.	
Action Required	Maintain current management measures. Where possible improve.	Maintain current management measures. Implement monitoring and evaluate to determine potential increase in risk. Where possible improve	Implement monitoring. Investigate mitigation measures and improve management measures to reduce risk, where possible.	Improve management measures to reduce risk.	Implement significant mitigation measures or implement alternatives.	

## 3. Impact assessment for the preferred alternative:

## 3.1. Ecological impacts:

The ecological impact assessment takes into consideration the site's natural condition and any sensitivities, in terms of habitat diversity, species diversity and ecological diversity. The flora impact assessment refers to the vegetative component of the assessed area and focuses on the degree of infestation by exotics, vegetation structure, endemics, and protected species. The fauna impact assessment refers to the animal component and focuses on the available habitats, resources and protected species.

Habitat loss								
Impact	Loss of h	Loss of habitat and species diversity as a result of construction and the removal natural elements.						
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	<ul> <li>Physical clearance.</li> <li>Construction of new internal access roads.</li> <li>Trampling</li> <li>Off roading</li> <li>Habitat fragmentation leading to edge effects.</li> <li>Illegal harvesting of plant material.</li> <li>Habitat degradation.</li> </ul>							
		(	Construction	al Phase				
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce	
Mitigation	Negative	3	3	1	2	4	8	
Mitigation	Negative 3 3 1 2 4 8  Removal of indigenous vegetation should be kept to a minimum.  Disturbance related activities must be restricted to the authorised development site.  Prioritise the use of existing service roads.  No off-roading or reckless driving should be allowed.  Post-construction open areas should be rehabilitated and revegetated with indigenous vegetation.  No harvesting of plant material should be allowed.  No illicit fires may be allowed during construction.  A fire management plan should be drafted and kept on site for all phases of the development.  Littering should be prohibited.  No burning of any material is allowed on site.							
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce	
Mitigation	Negative	1	2	1	1	2	4	

			Operational	Phase						
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ	Likelihoo	Significa			
	Status	Seventy	Duration	LXIGIII	ences	d	nce			
Mitigation	Negative	3	3	2	3	3	9			
Mitigation	medium im during the during the	The operational phase of the cattle feedlot development is anticipated to generate medium impacts which may lead to habitat loss less than what was already lost during the construction phase. There will be movement of vehicles and / or people during the operational phase as this is associated with feedlot operational activities. Rehabilitation of disturbed areas should be implemented, where possible.								
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce			
Mitigation	Negative	2	3	1	2	2	4			
Additional Notes:	Construction	on footprint	to be demar	cated as pe	r the constru	iction phase	conditions			

The environmental impact on habitat loss during constructional phase will be **Low-Medium** without mitigation and **Low** when mitigation measures are applied. This risk assessment for the operational phase will be **Low-Medium** prior to mitigation and **Low** after mitigation and is described as having a low order impact. It is necessary to implement monitoring and evaluation procedures to determine the potential of increase in risk. It remains important for the applicant to be cognisant of activities which may cause damage to the natural environment which exceed the development area. Aspects which may cause damage outside the authorised development area include but are not limited to veld fire's, water pollution, plastic pollution etc. The applicant is to take steps which greatly limit the potential of such adverse impacts to occur. It is necessary to implement monitoring and evaluation procedures to determine the potential of increased risk throughout the phases of this development.

		lr	vasive plar	t species							
Impact	Prol	Proliferation of exotic plant species due to environmental disturbance.									
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	on • Ph op exc	<ul> <li>Improper eradication methods on existing exotics.</li> <li>Physical clearance providing opportunity for opportunistic exotics to proliferate.</li> <li>Accidental spread.</li> <li>Disruption of ecological balance due to habitat disturbance.</li> <li>Slow response to infestation eradication.</li> <li>Landscaping with exotics.</li> </ul>									
	Constructional Phase										
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significan ce				
Mitigation	Negative	4	3	2	3	3	9				
Mitigation	Disturb bounda The ap an alien Exotics All inva	<ul> <li></li></ul>									
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ	Likelihoo d	Significan ce				

	Negative	2	3	1	2	2	4				
			Operationa	l Phase							
Before	Status	Severity Duration		Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significan ce				
Mitigation	Negative	4	5	2	4	3	12				
Mitigation	1	All open spaces post-construction needs to be rehabilitated with indigenous species.									
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Likelihoo d	Significan ce					
Mitigation	Negative	3	3	1	2	2	4				
Additional Notes:	Contain the	e natural env		d ensure the adhered to.	e Environme	nt Managem	ent Plan is				

The impact that invasive plant species will have during constructional phase is estimated to be **Low-medium** without mitigation and **Low** when mitigation measures are implemented. This risk assessment for the operational phase is estimated to be **Medium** prior to mitigation, and **Low** after mitigation measures are implemented. It is necessary to implement monitoring and evaluation procedures to determine the potential of increase in risk.

		Loss	of floral an	d faunal SC	С								
Impact	The loss	of floral and	•	cies of conse		ern as a res	ult of the						
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	<ul> <li>Physical clearance</li> <li>Poaching</li> <li>Reckless / off road driving</li> <li>Isolation of sub-populations</li> <li>Behavioural disruption</li> <li>Construction of internal roads</li> <li>Habitat disturbance, fragmentation.</li> <li>Road collisions</li> <li>Electrification</li> <li>Constructional Phase</li> </ul>												
		Consegu Likelihoo Significan											
Before	Status	Severity Duration Ex			ences	d	ce						
Mitigation	Negative	4	3	2	4	3	12						
Mitigation	All prot Demar relevar Staff sl relevar Develo Monito monthl No unr Wildlife to cons special No hur Animal enviror handlir	<ul> <li>Demarcation netting around protected flora need to be maintained until the relevant permits for removal/ relocation are obtained.</li> <li>Staff should immediately inform the on-site environmental representative and a relevant specialist if any floral SCC are observed.</li> <li>Development may only occur within the authorised development boundary.</li> <li>Monitoring for the emergence of exotic species should be conducted on a three-monthly basis.</li> <li>No unnecessary destruction or removal of vegetation may be allowed.</li> <li>Wildlife elements such as nests and burrows should carefully be inspected prior to construction. Such elements may only be responsibly removed by a relevant specialist.</li> <li>No hunting, trapping, or killing of fauna is allowed.</li> <li>Animals that get trapped in trenches need to be removed by the on-site environmental officer.</li> <li>The on-site environmental officer should be in possession of the relevant animal handling certificates.</li> </ul>											
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significan ce						
Mitigation	Negative	3	3	1	2	2	4						
			Operationa	l Phase									
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significan ce						
Mitigation	Negative	4	5	1	3	2	6						
Mitigation	of protecte	rds should b d species. I ecialist shou	During cons	truction, if a		species is o	bserved, a						
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significan ce						
Mitigation	Negative	4	5	1	3	1	3						
Additional Notes:	During the	site visit <i>Hyp</i>	ooxis hemero	ocallidea hav	e been obse	erved.							

The impact assessment related to the loss of protected fauna and flora during the constructional phase prior to mitigation is considered to be **Medium** and **Low** after mitigation. The loss of protected fauna and flora during the operational phase prior to mitigation is considered **Low-medium** and **Low** after mitigation. The impact

assessment considers the related loss of protected species as a low order impact due to the already degraded condition of the site.

## 3.2. Heritage:

The heritage theme involves culturally significant finds including, but not limited to fossils, artefacts and certain culturally relevant infrastructure. Details concerning the heritage theme is discussed in detail within the Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment.

		A	rtefacts and	l Fossils								
Impact		Destruc	tion of any a	rchaeologica	al artefacts o	or fossils						
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)			nin lower ged g of loose ch	•		ge artefacts)	)					
		C	onstructiona	al Phase								
Before Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce					
willigation	Negative	3	5	1	3	1	3					
Mitigation	acciden In the un should s No loose blades e The on- regardir All cons	accidental archaeological exposure.  In the unlikely event of accidental archaeological exposure, all excavations should stop immediately.  No loose chance finds such as stone age artefacts (arrow heads, stone flake blades etc.) may be collected.  The on-site environmental representative should consult the appointed ECO regarding any such discoveries.										
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce					
	Negative	2	3	1	2	1	2					
			Operational	Phase								
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Negative	2	2	1	2	1	2					
Mitigation	blades e The on- regardir	etc.) may be site environ ng any such	ds such as s collected. mental repre discoveries. cavations, p	sentative sh	ould consul	t the appoint	ed ECO					
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce					
	Negative	2	2	1	2	1	2					
Additional Notes:	cognisant of activities w	According to the Phase 1 HIA report: The applicant and contractor should remain cognisant of this statement. Responsible excavation and other construction related activities which reduces the likelihood of impacting heritage resources should always be implemented.										

The Paleoanthropological specialist indicated that the potential of finding any paleoanthropological resources of significant concern (Fossils and associated artefacts) is very low. The specialist further requested exemption from further investigation relating to this aspect. The overall impact on these historical resources is considered **Very Low**.

The odd chance of finding loose surface scatters such as stone age arrow heads and stone flake knifes were regarded by the HIA specialist as being of **Low significance**. Nonetheless, a conservative approach needs to be retained as this prevents heritage resources from being viewed in a casual light.

The overall impacts on archaeological components will be of **Low** order prior to any mitigation and **Low** after mitigation. These low scores are attributed to the low likelihood of finding fossils and artefacts of historical significance and the absence of above ground evidence of historically significant structures. Mitigation measures as indicated should be implemented.

#### Heritage cumulative impacts

The overall cumulative impact associated with the archaeological aspect of the proposed development are negative due to the anthropogenic disturbances during mostly during the constructional phase. The proposed development will not generate any positive impacts towards the heritage aspect. The significance impact score was overall **Low** which can be attributed towards the location of the development which is not near any areas of archaeological importance.

### 3.3. Water resources:

The water resource theme includes all aspects of freshwater including surface and groundwater resources. Water quality and quantity are two crucial components that are evaluated.

		Surface	and groun	d water qua	lity							
Impact	The p	ollution of su		roundwater r development		ie to the prop	posed					
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	• So • Ma	<ul> <li>Soil erosion</li> <li>Manure runoff and other forms of water pollution (refer to organic waste in 3.5)</li> </ul>										
Constructional Phase												
Before	Status	Status Severity Duration Extent Consequ Likelihoo d										
Mitigation	Negative	4	3	1	3	2	6					
Mitigation	<ul> <li>A stormwater management plan should be implemented to avoid the increased runoff from eroding soils.</li> <li>Soil erosion prevention should be implemented.</li> <li>Feedlot infrastructures may not be placed within watercourses.</li> <li>Chemical toilets must be available during construction.</li> </ul>											
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Negative	2	2	1	2	1	2					
			Operational	Phase								
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Negative	4	3	2	3	4	12					
Mitigation	<ul> <li>A monitoring programme should be drafted and maintained by the proponent or responsible person operating the feedlot. This monitoring programme should monitor changes in the various watercourses which'll be affected by the development.</li> <li>A baseline groundwater test should be conducted, and annual tests thereafter should be implemented to assess the possibility of groundwater pollution.</li> <li>No pollution causing activity may occur near streams/rivers.</li> </ul>											
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Negative	3	3	1	2	2	4					
Additional Notes:												

The major risk to groundwater quality will be associated with activities on the surface such as manure runoff which could infiltrate over a period of time into the aquifer, which, depending on the size of the runoff and if mitigation measures are adhered to, can contaminate the whole aquifer. It is thus crucial to exercise mitigation measures during such incidents to avoid other groundwater users in the area being negatively affected by poor quality water. During the construction phase of the development, it estimated that the impact on surface and groundwater quality is of **Low-medium** order prior to mitigation and **Low** after mitigation. During the operational phase it is

calculated that the impact on water resources will be of **Medium** order prior to mitigation and **Low** after mitigation. A comprehensive stormwater plan should be implemented to prevent concentrated organic waste (manure) from entering lower soil strata. The mitigation measures included in this impact assessment and those identified in the BAR should be followed.

### Water resources cumulative impacts

The overall cumulative impact generated by the proposed development on water resources prior to implementing mitigation measures is calculated to be of **Medium** order significance. Adequate mitigation measures will lower the overall environmental impact to a **Low** impact significance. The proposed development will not lead to any positive impacts associated regarding water resources aspect due to the disturbance of a natural functioning aquatic ecosystem. The overall impact of the proposed development is negative in nature, although the development would not occur close to a watercourse.

#### 3.4. Aesthetics:

The aesthetic theme is focused on the alteration of the visual characteristics of the area and overall impact on landscape appreciation. Landscape appreciation is inherently subjective with few metrics allowing for an objective impact assessment. However, several aspects concerning visual impacts associated with feedlot developments may be objectively assessed. These include development size, aesthetic deterioration due to construction, and line of sight distance.

		Constr	uction of In	frastructur	е						
Impact	The alterat	ion of lands	cape appred	ciation, visua eedlot deve		on and visua	al impacts				
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	<ul><li>Genera</li><li>Tempor</li><li>Visual in</li><li>Remova</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Generation of construction debris / waste</li> <li>Temporary waste dump areas</li> <li>Visual impairments from feedlot structure</li> <li>Removal of vegetation</li> <li>Alteration of the overall landscape perspective</li> </ul>									
Constructional Phase											
Before Mitigation	Status	Status Severity Duration Extent Consequ Likelihoo Significa nce									
willigation	Negative	4	3	3	4	5	20				
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Construction debris should be removed regularly and not allowed to pile up.</li> <li>A designated construction waste area should be placed.</li> <li>All domestic waste and construction debris should be removed to a designated waste landfill site.</li> <li>A Complaints register needs to remain on site in which all complaints raised by the general public is to be filed.</li> <li>Construction should finish as quickly as possible.</li> <li>All open spaces after construction need to be revegetated.</li> </ul>										
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce				
	Negative	3	3	3	4	3	12				
		(	Operational I	Phase							
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce				
Mitigation	Negative	3	4	2	4	4	16				
Mitigation	<ul><li>Rehabilit</li><li>A Compl</li></ul>	tation of all	ties should sopen spaces er needs to reto to be filed.	after const	ruction.						
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihoo d	Significa nce				
	Negative	2	4	2	3	3	9				
Additional Notes:											

The risk to the aesthetic value of the surrounding environment during the constructional phase of the development is rated to be **Medium-High** before mitigation and **Medium** after mitigation. The low scores were assigned due to the placement of the new feedlots on farm ground with the nearest neighbour being more than 1 km

from the physical footprint of the development. The operational phase of the proposed development generates a slightly higher visual impact. During the operational phase the impact on the surrounding aesthetic value of the area is considered **Medium-High** prior to mitigation and **Medium** after mitigation.

#### Aesthetics cumulative impacts

A negative impact arises from the overall significant impact due to the proposed development altering the natural landscape features of the area. The significance impact ranges from **Medium-high to** – **Low medium** providing that the correct mitigation measures be implemented. There will be no positive impacts generated for the aesthetic aspect due to the alteration of the natural features of the area.

# 3.5. Air quality and noise:

Noise and air quality assessments are based upon the type of equipment being used during a specific activity and the degree of disturbance that will occur. Air quality is further impacted by emissions emanating from the proposed development.

			Air qua	llity							
Impact	Addition				mobilisation o		and land				
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	• Veg	getation clea	arance and th	ne construction ociated smel	ed vehicle mo on of internal I.						
Defere	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Conseque	Likelihoo	Significa				
Before Mitigation	Negative	2	3	2	nces 2	d 3	nce 6				
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Watering</li> <li>Enforce vehicle</li> <li>Limit th</li> <li>The coroads.</li> <li>Develo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Watering bare surfaces and excavations to promote dust suppression</li> <li>Enforce speed limit of 30km/h and optimization of working schedule to reduce vehicle mobilization.</li> <li>Limit the amount of vegetation clearance.</li> <li>The construction of new dirt roads should be restricted by prioritising existing roads.</li> <li>Development should remain within the authorised area.</li> </ul>									
After	Status Severity Duration Extent Conseque Likeliho od ce										
Mitigation	Negative	2	3	1	2	2	4				
Operational Phase											
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Conseque nces	Likeliho od	Significan ce				
Mitigation	Negative	3	4	2	3	3	9				
Mitigation	<ul> <li>♣ Enforce speed limit of 30km/h and optimization of working schedule to reduce vehicle mobilization.</li> <li>♣ Parking areas should be demarcated and strictly controlled so that vehicles are limited to specific areas only.</li> <li>♠ Implement biofilters or vegetative buffers around the feedlot to help capture and absorb odorous compounds. Planting trees, shrubs, and other vegetation can help filter and neutralize odours.</li> <li>♠ Promptly remove and properly manage manure to minimize the contact with air. This can be achieved through frequent manure removal which reduces the production of odorous gases.</li> <li>♠ Keep the feedlot clean by regularly removing manure and waste materials. Cleaning pens assists in the prevention of the buildup of odour-causing compounds.</li> <li>♠ Use proper manure storage facilities such as lagoons to reduce odour</li> </ul>										
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Conseque nces	Likeliho od	Significan ce				
Mitigation	Negative	2	4	1	2	2	4				
Additional Notes:											

Air quality will be impacted due to the movement and activities of construction vehicles and the increased production of manure during the operational phase of the proposed development. Due to the distance of the nearest neighbour, the nature of these activities confirms that it is not foreseen that these impacts will significantly alter the air quality of the environment. Air quality and the risks involved will have an insignificant impact on the environment. The impacts for the constructional phase of the proposed development are considered **Low-medium** prior to mitigation and **Low** after mitigation measures have been implemented. The impacts for the operational phase are considered to be **Low-medium** before mitigation and **Low** after mitigation. It is important that all the necessary mitigation measures are implemented, especially during the operational phase of the development. It remains the responsibility of the applicant to frequently investigate and assess the implementation of industry best practice mitigation measures to limit the overall impact on air quality.

			N	oise	and vik	rati	ions				
Impact	١	/ehicle	es and	equ	ipment ι	utiliz	ed, no	ises	associate	d with cattle	
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	<ul> <li>Vibration</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vibrations generated due to the utilisation of construction equipment.</li> <li>Noises of cattle in the feedlot pens.</li> </ul>									
Constructional Phase											
Before	Status	Sev	erity	Dι	ıration	Ex	ktent	Со	nsequen ces	Likelihoo d	Significa nce
Mitigation	Negative   2   3   2   2   3								6		
Mitigation	Vel noi Se un Wo	<ul> <li>✓ Vehicles must be maintained in such a manner as to not cause excessive noise when operating them</li> <li>✓ Select 'quiet' construction equipment ad working methods by avoiding unnecessary revving and hooting</li> <li>✓ Working schedule for activities with high noise levels will be limited to 08:00 AM to 17:00 PM, machinery should be serviced regularly during the construction stage. Equipment should be regularly serviced.</li> </ul>									
After Mitigation	Status		Seve	iity	rity Duratio		Exte	111	quence s	d	nce
	Negativ	е	1		3		1		2	2	4
				Ope	rational	Pha	se				
Before	Status	Sev	erity	Dι	ıration	Ex	ktent	Со	nsequen ces	Likelihoo d	Significa nce
Mitigation	Negative	2		4		1		2		3	6
Mitigation	↑ Ve no ↑ No ↑ Im ac	<ul> <li>No loud music allowed</li> <li>Vehicles must be maintained in such a manner as to not cause excessive noise when operating them</li> <li>No unnecessary hooting and revving</li> </ul>									

	de ac Uti an Cr Th Pro co	velopment li tivities. lize natural l d absorb so eate buffer ese areas co operly mana uld involve unsider grou	barriers like und. zones betwan be plante use animal husing quieter	ehicle move trees, shrunders, shr	must be proving the most be proving the must be proving the must be and the must be and equipment to the must be an arranged to the must be a must be	oid simultan en berms to ighbouring ts as a nois o minimize ent.	help block properties. e barrier. noise. This				
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequen ces	Likelihoo d	Significa nce				
7 ii.o. miigaion	Negative										
Additional Notes:											

Ambient noise will temporarily be impacted due to construction activities. It is considered unlikely that any significant environmental impact will arise due to these activities considering their temporary nature and the site's locality on a farm. During the construction phase the environmental impact on ambient noise is considered to be **Low-medium** before mitigation and **Low** after mitigation. During the operational phase the environmental impact on ambient noise is estimated to be of **Low-medium** grade before mitigation and **Low-medium** after mitigation.

The anticipated impact on local noise and air quality is calculated to be of **Medium** order significance without mitigation and **Low-medium** after the implementation of mitigation measures. The direct impacts on the local community concerning noise and air quality generated by cattle in the feedlot will not be significant due to the distance to direct neighbours.

#### Air quality and noise cumulative impacts

Overall, the cumulative impact generated from the proposed development is of negative nature as a result of anthropogenic activities causing disturbance and pollution of the natural environment. No positive impacts are expected to arise from the proposed development. The significance impact is considered to be **Medium** to **Low medium** if the proper mitigation measures are adhered to during the operational phase.

#### 3.6. Socio-economic:

Socio-economic impacts focus on the effects the development will have on the economic drivers in the surrounding area as well as emphasising the integration of economic development concerning the needs of the people.

		Job creation	on and the	influx of job	seekers							
Impact	Impacts ass workers.	ociated wit	h the nee	d for locally	appointed	construction/	operation					
			Construction	nal Phase								
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Conseque nces	Likelihood	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Positive	4	3	2	3	4	12					
Mitigation												
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Conseque nces	Likelihood	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Positive 4 3 2 3 4											
Operational Phase												
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Conseque nces	Likelihood	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Positive	3	5	2	3	3	9					
Mitigation												
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Conseque nces	Likelihood	Significa nce					
Mitigation	Positive	3	5	2	3	3	9					
Additional Notes:	Routine maintenance of feedlot and associated infrastructure creates job opportunities for the local businesses Construction creates job opportunities which can include the training of local youth											

The proposed cattle feedlot construction and operation provides for several socio-economic benefits such as local job creation, boosting local spending, skills training, and addresses the national food security of South Africa. It is therefore considered that construction phase of this project will have a **Medium** positive impact on the local socio-economic sphere and the operational phase whereas the operational phase of this development will have a **Low-Medium** positive impact.

#### Socio-economic aspect cumulative impacts

The overall cumulative impact generated from the proposed project is of positive nature due to the possible job opportunities, increasing local spending, food security for the growing local population, and economic growth, and addressing food security for the growing local population.

## 3.7. Waste:

Waste management refers to the types of waste being generated by the proposed development. This theme also investigates environmental impacts generated by the development concerning specific waste management strategies employed throughout all phases of the project.

			General s	olid waste						
Impact	General solid waste pollution									
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	<ul> <li>General construction waste such as plastic items, cement bags, construction scrap etc.</li> <li>Designated temporary construction waste dump area.</li> <li>General operational waste (plastic items, paper, broken panels / equipmen etc.)</li> <li>Waste removal management.</li> </ul>									
Constructional Phase										
Before Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
	Negative	3	3	2	3	3	9			
Mitigation	<ul> <li>Reduce, reuse and recycle strategy needs to be implemented.</li> <li>Waste receptacles must be made available, and all waste shall be adequately stored and removed.</li> <li>All waste management strategies employed by the contractor should comply with environmental / waste management legislation.</li> <li>Waste that can easily be dispersed by wind should be appropriately discarded in bins with lids.</li> <li>Waste should be regularly removed from the site to a registered landfill.</li> <li>The contractor should develop and comply to a on-site specific waste management plan.</li> <li>No waste may be buried in an on-site waste pit.</li> <li>No burning of waste material on site.</li> </ul>									
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
	Negative	2	3	1	2	2	4			
Operational	Phase									
Before Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
willigation	Negative	3	4	2	3	3	9			
Mitigation	<ul> <li>⚠ General waste generated during routine maintenance should be transported to a designated waste storage area and may not be burned.</li> <li>⚠ Waste should be transported to a registered landfill site.</li> <li>⚠ General waste should also be removed from the site and not pile up.</li> </ul>									
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
	Negative	2	4	1	2	2	4			
Additional Notes:										

The impact that general waste production will have during construction is estimated to be **Low-medium** before mitigation and **Low** when mitigation measures are implemented. The impact general waste production will have during the operational phase is considered **Low-medium** before mitigation and **Low** after mitigation measures have been implemented. It is necessary to implement monitoring and evaluation procedures to determine the potential of increase in risk over the duration of the facilities operation.

Organic waste (manure)										
Impact	Land contamination									
Activities (Not an all- inclusive list)	<ul> <li>General construction waste such as plastic items, cement bags, construction scrap etc.</li> <li>Designated temporary construction waste dump area.</li> <li>Waste removal management.</li> </ul>									
Constructional Phase										
Before Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
wiitigation				N/A						
Mitigation	The new feedlots will only start to generate organic waste (manure) once the competent authority approves the project, and the construction thereof has been completed. Therefore, the construction phase does not generate any impacts related to manure production.									
After	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
Mitigation				N/A						
Operational	Phase									
Before	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
Mitigation	Negative	4	4	1	3	4	12			
Mitigation	A comprehensive stormwater management network should be implemented and regularly inspected for faults. The stormwater channels should be lined with clay to prevent seepage. The sedimentation pond, evaporation pond and the temporary storage/drying area should be lined with concrete. Dried manure should not be unutilised for more than four months. Unutilised manure stockpiles should be transported to the nearest landfill site.									
After Mitigation	Status	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequ ences	Likelihood	Significan ce			
	Negative	3	4	1	3	2	6			
Additional Notes:		•	t strategy mo		ne reduce, re	euse and rec	ycle model.			

## Waste cumulative impacts

Overall, the cumulative impact generated by the proposed project on the waste aspect of the environment is negative in nature. The main cause of this is rooted in

the anthropogenic activity during the construction phase resulting in the increase of waste generated and the generation of manure during the operational phase of the proposed development. A comprehensive stormwater plan should be implemented to prevent concentrated organic waste (manure) from entering lower soil strata The overall significance of this development ranges from **Low medium** to **Low** which generates a negative impact associated with this development. Most of these impacts may be easily mitigated resulting in a **Low-medium** impact significance.

## 3.8. No go alternative:

The no-go alternative assumes that the proposed project will not go ahead i.e. it is the option of not constructing the proposed development. This alternative would result in no environmental impacts on the site or surrounding local area. It provides the baseline against which other alternatives were compared. The following implications will occur if the "no go" alternative is implemented:

- The cattle feedlot will not provide additional food security to South Africa.
- This will further enforce more strain on the local communities.
- Socio-economic benefits such as job creation, skills development, and local economic growth will be lost.

Besides the above mentioned, the following benefits might occur if the no go alternative is implemented:

- No vegetation will be removed and or disturbed.
- The ecology will remain largely intact.
- No change/ alteration to the existing landscape.
- No additional waste will end up in landfill sites and within the local municipal sewage treatment system.

While the no go alternative will not generate any negative environmental impacts, it will surely remove any socio-economic benefit the local community will receive. The no go alternative will also not aid the government in addressing climate change, reaching its greenhouse gas emission targets, and will further place more strain on the existing electrical grid. Therefore, the no go alternative is not considered the preferred alternative.

#### 3.9. Conclusion

The overall aspects associated with the proposed development is considered to be of negative nature although implementing adequate mitigation measures generates a lower significance impact on the various aspects.