





| Purpose of | Majuba & Tutuka Continuous |
|------------|----------------------------|
| Meeting: | Ashing EIAs |
| | Key Stakeholders Workshop |
| Venue: | Highveld Visitor Centre |
| | 59 Kerk Street, Ermelo |
| Date: | 21 November 2012 |

| Attendance Register | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Attendees: | | | | |
| <u>Name</u> | <u>Company</u> | <u>Name</u> | <u>Company</u> | |
| Ashlea Strong | Lidwala SA | Bongi Mhlanga (BM) | Lidwala SA | |
| (AS) | | | | |
| Nicolene Venter | Imaginative Africa | Tobile Bokwe (TB) | Eskom – EIA Centre of | |
| (NV) | | | Excellence | |
| Belinda Roos (BR) | Eskom – EIA Centre of | Bianca Wernecke (BW) | Eskom – Graduate in | |
| | Excellence | | training | |
| William Mogwase | Eskom – Tutuka | Zama Mkhize (ZM) | Eskom – Majuba | |
| (WM) | Environmental | | Environmental (GIT) | |
| D.J Du Plessis | TAUSA | Johan Celliers (JC) | TAUSA | |
| (DdP) | | | | |
| Martin Struthers | DARDLA | Jan Venter (JV) | DARDLA | |
| (MS) | | | | |
| Hendrik Mills (HM) | EPA | Feziwe Ludidi (FL) | Mpumalanga Dept of | |
| | | | Environmental Health | |

| Item | Actions | Action by | Action by |
|------|---|-----------|-----------|
| | | whom | when |
| 1. | INTRODUCTION / WELCOME | | |
| | NV welcomed everyone present for taking the time to | | |
| | attend the meeting. | | |
| | The team members introduced themselves, and briefly | | |
| | described their roles and responsibilities associated | | |
| | with this proposed project. | | |
| 2. | PRESENTATIONS (Due to size of the Presentation | | |
| | Document (9.5MB), it is not attached. Should you | | |
| | be able to receive a 9.5MB file by e-mail, please | | |
| | request your copy from the Public Participation | | |
| | Consultants) | | |
| 2.1 | NV presented the draft Agenda, the purpose of the | | |
| | meeting and the conduct of the meeting. | | |
| 2.2 | AS presented the need for the proposed project, and a | | |
| | brief summary of the environmental findings as per | | |
| | the draft Scoping Report. | | |

| 2.3 | NV presented the Public Participation Process and | | |
|-----|---|----|----------------|
| | opened the floor for discussion. | | |
| 3. | DISCUSSIONS | | |
| 3.1 | JV informed the project team that cumulative impacts | | |
| | should be assessed during the EIA as there are a | | |
| | number of projects taking place in the area. e.g. | | |
| | Tutuka and proposed prospecting activity | | |
| | AS responded that cumulative impacts are being | | |
| | taken into account as it is a requirement in terms of | | |
| | EIA legislation. e.g. existing infrastructure, future | | |
| | applications, etc. It is accepted that the limitation is | | |
| | on what is known about future planning. | | |
| 3.2 | JV raised the following questions: | | |
| | When Eskom establish an ash disposal facility, | | |
| | does it have an impact on the topsoil; will the | | |
| | topsoil be removed before the ash is disposed of | | |
| | on that site; and will the ash disposal facility be | | |
| | lined. | | |
| | AS responded as follows: | | |
| | Before Eskom can dispose of the ash, the topsoil is | | |
| | removed, and stockpiled; | | |
| | In terms of the new legislation, the Authorities, | | |
| | e.g. DWA and DEA, tend to require that waste | | |
| | facilities should be lined, but they make a decision | | |
| | on each case based on its merits. | | |
| | WM informed the attendees that topsoil is used to | | |
| | rehabilitate the ash disposal facility as they continue | | |
| | with the ashing process. | | |
| 3.3 | MS asked how thick is the topsoil that is removed | | |
| | when establishing a ash disposal facility. This question | | |
| | relates to agricultural soil classification. | | |
| | WM replied that when Eskom (Tutuka Power Station) | | |
| | establishes an ash disposal facility that Eskom | | |
| | removes about 25 to 30 cm of topsoil. | | |
| 3.4 | JC asked can concrete be made from the ash and if so | | |
| | why can't it be used to fix gravel roads? | | |
| | TB responded that Eskom has just established a | ТВ | Once |
| | contract with a consultant who specialises in waste, to | | information is |
| | investigate whether there are uses for the ash. Once | | available |
| | these results are available, it will be made available to | | |
| | the attendees. It was also mentioned that this study is | | |
| | being undertaken to inform the Eskom-Mpumalanga | | |
| | Forum. | | |
| | Post meeting Note: | | |
| | The Majuba Power Station Team confirmed that they | | |
| | currently sell approximately 10% of their fly ash to | | |
| | external companies for alternative uses. | | |
| 3.5 | JC asked can ash be back-ashed to mine. | | |
| | TB responded that suggestions such as this that could | | |
| | | | |

| | reduce the footprint of an ash disposal facility and are | | |
|-----|---|---------|-------------|
| | always appreciated, but this specific question has its | | |
| | challenges. Typical challenges include liability with | | |
| | respect to groundwater pollution between the mining | | |
| | houses and Eskom, and these are never sorted out. | | |
| | Eskom will continue to keep this option under | | |
| | investigation to ensure the reduction of footprint. | | |
| 3.6 | JV asked whether the area where coal is discarded is | | |
| | also lined. | | |
| | TB responded that Tutuka Power Station is in the | | |
| | planning process to line waste facilities, as informed | | |
| | by WULs and Duty of Care from Eskom. | | |
| 3.7 | DdP asked does Lidwala have access to information of | | |
| | ash disposal facilities which were closed in the past | | |
| | and the impacts they have on the environment. | | |
| | AS responded that Lidwala is not aware of any ash | | |
| | disposal facilities that have been closed. | | |
| | DdP asked has Lidwala looked at existing information | | |
| | on Eskom's business. | | |
| | AS responded that information was received from | | |
| | Eskom regarding their existing ashing facilities and | | |
| | processes and Eskom will continue to forward the | | |
| | required information as and when needed. | | |
| 3.8 | JV raised the following questions / comments: | | |
| | When Eskom rehabilitate ash disposal facilities, do | | |
| | they look at the land use? | | |
| | AS responded that this issue would be addressed | | |
| | under the land capability study. | | |
| | JV commented the rehabilitation should be done in | | |
| | such a way that the same land capability is | | |
| | established after rehabilitation has taken place. | | |
| | When the top soil is removed it is left outside for a | | |
| | long period of time and this sterilizes the soil. Also, | | |
| | when excavation is done, the topsoil is removed | | |
| | horizontally and tipped overturned over and during | | |
| | rehabilitation it is not replaced in the correct soil | | |
| | condition order. | | |
| | WM replied the stockpiling of topsoil is for a short | | |
| | period of time (up to a month), by which time the | | |
| | soil is used for rehabilitation. | | |
| | JV commented that it is believed that Eskom | | |
| | manages its stock pile in such a manner as to | | |
| | prevent erosion. | | |
| | WM thanked this comment, and it was well | | |
| | received. | | |
| 3.9 | HM asked what is the process involved for the | | |
| | underground coal gasification. | | |
| | AS responded the project team is not able to answer | AS | 21 November |
| | the question as that project does not form part of this | | 2012 |
| | the question as that project does not form part of this | | 2012 |

| | EIA. She committed to providing him with contact | | |
|------|--|------------|---|
| | | | |
| 2.10 | details to the team dealing with the UCG project | | |
| 3.10 | HM commented that Majuba Power Station's ash sites | | |
| | are moving over a wetland. | | |
| | AS responded that that is exactly the reason why | | |
| | alternatives sites have been identified and what will | | |
| | be assessed in the Impact Phase. | | |
| 3.11 | HM informed the project team that the Association | | |
| | does not have a major concern regarding the | | |
| | proposed expansion of the ash disposal facilities, but | | |
| | the Association's other main issue is mining. The | | |
| | heavy metals that end up in the water systems, for | | |
| | e.g the Vaal river, and this is unacceptable and a | | |
| | great concern. He enquired whether the project team | | |
| | is aware of the negative effects heavy metals have on | | |
| | humans i.e. it affects ones brain, behaviour and | * * | w |
| | emotions. Women become infertile, people get brain | 1 | |
| | damaged. The biggest irrigation system is in the Vaal | | |
| | River. If the water is polluted it affects the agriculture | | |
| | sector, hence food production which in the end we as | | |
| | humans take in. | | |
| 3.12 | HM noted that looking at the diagram presented, that | | |
| | the surface of the ash disposal facility is flat and ask | | |
| | whether it will remain flat. If so, it would look | | |
| | unnatural and will also cause erosion. | | |
| | TB responded that the delegate is correct, a flat | | |
| | surface would cause erosion problems due to water | | |
| | seepage. It is expected that the concept design would | | |
| | provide a design that would be more visually | | |
| | acceptable, avoid standing water, and would allow | | |
| | collection of any run-off water. | | |
| 3.13 | HM asked if heavy metal present in the ash and if the | | |
| | ash is toxic. | | |
| | AS replied samples from the most recent ash were | | |
| | taken and the preliminary results will be in the Draft | | |
| | Environmental Impact (DEIR). | | |
| 3.14 | JC commented that it is sometimes difficult to work | | |
| | through these Reports on the websites as they are not | | |
| | properly named, especially the Appendices and one | | |
| 4 | needs to open them all to find the one that you are | | |
| | looking for. | | |
| | AS assured this proposed project's Report is properly | | |
| | indexed with a Table of Context and the Appendices | | |
| | clearly indicates which specialists' report it is. This | | |
| | demarcation is clearly presented even on the | | |
| | websites. | | |
| 3.15 | JC said it is Lidwala Environmental responsibility to | | |
| | advise Eskom as to why they are not the most famous | | |
| | neighbour and that they must, at all times, adhere to | | |

| | environmental standards. Eskom is damaging the | | |
|------|---|---|--|
| | country with their environmental impacts. | | |
| 3.16 | HM enquired as to whether Eskom is looking at other | | |
| | energy sources than coal. | | |
| | TB responded that Eskom does have a Research and | | |
| | Development Department and Eskom is looking at | | |
| | renewable energy i.e. wind farm, hydro and solar. | | |
| 3.17 | WM commented there are different regulations that | | |
| | Eskom must adhere to e.g. Eskom has to adhere to | | |
| | sewerage, air quality standards, etc, and if Eskom | | |
| | does not adhere to these standards they are | | |
| | penalised. | | |
| | TB added Eskom (Generation) has an ISO 14001 EMS | | |
| | Certification. Therefore, should landowners notice any | | |
| | non-compliance; it needs to be communicated with the station. | | |
| | TB provided the attendees with the name and contact | 4 | |
| | details of Eskom's Environmental Manager, Ms Deidre | | |
| | Herbst, and said if there are any issues that they have | | |
| | reported to the station, and are not getting attention, | | |
| | they are most welcome to give her a call. | | |
| 4. | Way Forward & Closure | | |
| -T- | NV presented the way forward and thanked everyone | | |
| | for their valuable comments raised and closed the | | |
| | meeting. | | |
| | | | |

Minuted by: Nicolene Venter and Bongi Mhlanga