

## **DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES**

### 1 IDENTIFYING ALTERNATIVES

The IEM procedure (Department of Environmental Affairs) stipulates that an environmental investigation needs to consider feasible alternatives for proposed developments.

This means that for any project that is proposed, there should be a number of possible proposals or alternatives for accomplishing the same objectives or meeting the same need. The developer should be encouraged to consider alternatives that would still meet the objectives of the original proposal, but which would also have an acceptable impact on the environment (referring to physical, biological, socio-economic and aesthetic/visual).

### 2 REASONABLE RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES

Possible alternatives were identified through discussions with authorities, discussions with I&AP's, reviewing of existing environmental data bases and the client (Vodacom).

Alternatives can be categorized into the following:

- Location alternatives;
- Activity alternatives;
- The "no-action" alternative.

#### **a) Location alternatives**

According to baseline information that was collected by the environmental consultant, it will not be necessary to consider location alternatives on account of the possibility that biological components might be disturbed significantly. The proposed site is located on a game farm to the south of the Lephale Army Base / Airfield, on the Remainder of the Farm Piquetberg 523 LQ, Lephale area, within the Lephale Municipality area.

Motivation for not assessing other alternative site locations is given as follow:

- Vodacom intends to erect a 30m lattice type mast with a container housing the electronic equipment. The size of the base station will measure approximately 10m x 12m (120m<sup>2</sup>) in extent. The area to be disturbed is therefore relatively small and limited natural vegetation will need to be disturbed (except for some shrubs and grass). No large trees will need to be removed.
- The erection of a 30m mast is required to improve the cellular coverage and capacity in the area the Lephale Army Base / Airfield.

TITLE: Assessment of Alternatives - Proposed Vodacom Base Station: Lephale Army Base	COMPILED BY: <b>TEKPLAN Environmental</b>	DATE: June 2022	Page 1
--	--	--------------------	--------

- The proposed mast will also provide opportunity for co-using (share sites) with other cellular companies.
- The location of the mast is determined by the area that it is intended to provide cellular coverage for.
- The current site is located in the area of the Lephalale Army Base / Airfield where Vodacom intends to provide improved cellular coverage/capacity.
- The topography of the area also determines the position where the mast can be located as obstacles like ridges or mountains can prevent certain areas from receiving coverage.
- The site for the base station is currently vacant and is not being used by the landowner (accept for grazing).
- The site is located on a game farm and consists of an open area (surrounded by trees), adjacent to the fence and access road, and limited natural vegetation will need to be cleared (except for some shrubs and grass).
- According to the Limpopo Conservation Plan (Version 2) the proposed base station is not located within a Critical Biodiversity Area but in an “Ecological Support Area 1”.
- No rare or endangered fauna or flora species were identified during the site visit.
- A Heritage Impact Assessment was conducted and no sites or findings of any Heritage significance were identified at the proposed site to be used for the base station.
- The proposed site is therefore the most suitable site for the proposed base station on the property.
- Electricity is already available in the vicinity of the site at the Army Base.
- No/limited road construction will be necessary to the proposed site as the site is located approx. 30m from to the fence and access road of the farm.
- Due to the fact that the infrastructure in question will be a 30m high mast located on a game farm, it is deemed important that the visual impact be minimized. The proposed mast will be a lattice mast and one can look “through” it. The mast is located approx. 100m to the south of the existing infrastructure of the Lephalale Army Base / Airfield. This will assist to lessen the visual impact. Vodacom will implement elements of good visual design.
- Unnecessary stressing/impacting of the environment can be mitigated through the implementation of the recommendations contained in the BAR. The impact on the environment will therefore not be significant. Other alternative sites are thus not feasible as the impact of the base station is bound to have the same environmental impact (or more), no matter where the mast is located.

## b) Activity alternatives

The proposed mast, in itself, represents an alternative to the

TITLE: Assessment of Alternatives - Proposed Vodacom Base Station: Lephalale Army Base	COMPILED BY: <b>TEKPLAN Environmental</b>	DATE: June 2022	Page 2
--	--	--------------------	--------

conventional telephone lines. Cell phone networks reduce the number of poles significantly, and circumvent the theft of copper wires.

Activity alternatives that were investigated include the type of mast to be constructed i.e. monopole versus lattice type mast versus a tree type mast.

The preferred alternative is that of a lattice structure. The advantages of a lattice type structure, is that it allows for many types of radio systems to be used i.e. also point-to-point microwave systems providing transmission to other masts in the area. If a mast is built with co-using/sharing in mind, less masts needs to be built as many of the sectional pole (mono-pole) type masts are not suitable for co-using and are unsuitable for many point-to-point systems as only one height is available for these systems at the crow's nest. On a lattice mast, point to point systems can be mounted on any platform with sufficient line of sight and for Omni directional purposes (coverage), the top 10 - 15m of the mast can be utilized. In general, maintenance is more simplified on a lattice mast, as there is more space to work on the mast with its different platforms.

A tree type mast was also investigated but was found not to be feasible due to the following reasons:

- A tree type mast will not have the capacity/mounting space to accommodate all the equipment (dishes and antennae) Vodacom intends to install than that compared to a lattice mast.
- It will also limit the possibility for sharing with other cellular companies.




Figure1: Different Mast types investigated: (a) Tree type mast (b) Lattice mast (c) Monopole

**c) No action alternative**

The Department of Environmental Affairs stresses the consideration of the “no development/no-action” option in cases where a proposed development is envisaged to have significant negative environmental impacts, or where such impacts cannot be mitigated against effectively or satisfactorily. The IEM procedure suggests that the “no action” option should be considered as an alternative. This option is normally considered during a full EIA where significant negative environmental impacts are expected or if the proposed site is considered to be ecologically sensitive or unique.

Due to the extremely limited extent of the proposed mast site (120m<sup>2</sup>), the impact upon fauna and flora will be minimal.

The cell phone coverage will remain problematic in the area should this activity not take place. Should the mast not be built on the proposed site, an alternative site must be planned.