

**REVIEW COMMENT ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

Lloyd Rossouw

January 2007, Received 05 September 2007

**Phase 1 AIA and PIA of 30 Gravel Quarries on the R354 between Calvinia and Sutherland, Northern Cape Province****INTRODUCTION**

An Archaeological Impact Assessment and a Palaeontological Impact Assessment were conducted for thirty-two shallow gravel quarries on the R354 between the Downes-turnoff on the R63 and Sutherland.

**SAHRA RECOMMENDATIONS**

All thirty quarries are of low archaeological significance. No fossil material was observed or located, but the geological context of the region suggests potential palaeontological impact for the quarries bearing shale and mudstone.

SAHRA supports the recommendations of the author and requires that:

The ongoing road construction process must be monitored regularly by a specialist.

**CONCLUSION**

As there is apparently no evidence of any significant archaeological material in this area, the SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite Unit has no objection to the development (in terms of the archaeological and palaeontological components component of the heritage resources) on condition that, if any new evidence of archaeological sites or artefacts, palaeontological fossils, graves or other heritage resources are found during development, construction or mining, SAHRA or an archaeologist must be alerted immediately.

Decisions on Built Environment (e.g. structures over 60 years) and Cultural Landscapes must be made by the Province SAHRA Provincial Heritage office/ Provincial Heritage Authority (whichever applies, please give contact name and email) to whom this Archaeological Review Comment will be copied.

SIGNATURE OF ARCHAEOLOGIST PROCESSING REPORT: .....

EMAIL: *swurz@sahra.org.za* .....

SIGNATURE OF SAHRA HEAD ARCHAEOLOGIST:

EMAIL: *p.p. e. M. M. Sheermeyer*  
*mleslie@sahra.org.za* .....

NAME OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY: *SAHRA* .....

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE COMMENT (ABOVE OR APPENDED) CONSTITUTES THE COMMENT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGIST AND THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INVOLVES DESTRUCTION OF ANY ARCHAEOLOGICAL/PALAEONTOLOGICAL SITE IS STILL SUBJECT TO A PERMIT/PERMISSION FOR DESTRUCTION OF SUCH SITE GIVEN TO THE DEVELOPER BY THE RELEVANT HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND PALAEONTOLOGICAL PERMIT COMMITTEE (THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE PHASE 2 OR ARCHAEOLOGICAL/PALAEONTOLOGICAL MITIGATION AS NECESSARY). THIS REPORT MAY BE TAKEN ONLY AS APPROVAL, IN PRINCIPLE, IN TERMS OF SECTION 35 OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY MUST ADVISE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE ISSUES ENCOMPASSED BY OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LEGISLATION, SUCH AS ISSUES OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT (STRUCTURES (E.G. FARM HOUSES), OVER 60 YEARS), INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS OR OF CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AS THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ARCHAEOLOGIST.

PLEASE NOTE THAT SAHRA IS NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE I HERITAGE RESOURCES (AND EXPORT) AND THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE II AND GRADE III HERITAGE RESOURCES, EXCEPT WHERE THERE IS AN AGENCY ARRANGEMENT WITH THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY.