AVIFAUNAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure, Mpumalanga Province 132kV Grid connection components



January 2023

AFRIMAGE Photography (Pty) Ltd t/a: Chris van Rooyen Consulting VAT#: 4580238113 email: vanrooyen.chris@gmail.com Tel: +27 (0)82 4549570 cell

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Background

This report presents the findings of an avifaunal impact assessment conducted during 2022 at the Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure (up to 132kV) subproject of the Hendrina Complex. The Hendrina Complex which is being developed by ENERTRAG South Africa (Pty) Ltd in the context of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMRE) Integrated Resource Plan, and the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPP).

The Hendrina Complex can be divided into five (5) subprojects, namely:

- Hendrina North Wind Energy Facility (WEF) (up to 200MW);
- Hendrina South Wind Energy Facility (up to 200MW);
- Hendrina North Grid Infrastructure (up to 132kV);
- Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure (up to 132kV); and
- Green Hydrogen and Ammonia Facility.

This specialist report concerns the Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure (up to 132V), hereafter referred to as the Project, which is intersects nine farm properties (see Table 1 below). A 2km buffer zone around the Project has been set up as the project area of impact (PAOI), totalling 10456 ha (see Figure 1). The PAOI is located approximately 15km west of Hendrina, within the Steve Tshwete Local Municipality, in the Nkangala District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province. The Hendrina Power Station is located approximately 17km northwest of Hendrina, near the small town of Pullens Hope which is encompassed by the PAOI (Figure 1). The proposed powerline (\leq 132kV) to Hendrina Power Station will be ~23.8km long (depending on the exact route). A 500m corridor is proposed (250m from the centre lines).

2. Avifauna

The SABAP2 data indicates that a total of 186 bird species could potentially occur within the broader area – Appendix 1 provides a comprehensive list of all the species, as well as all the species that were recorded during the preconstruction monitoring in the PAOI. Of these, 66 species are classified as powerline sensitive species and 10 of these are South African Red List species. Of the powerline sensitive species, 33 are likely to occur regularly in the PAOI.

3. Potential Impacts

The following potential impacts on powerline sensitive species have been identified:

3.1. Construction Phase

- Displacement due to disturbance associated with the construction of the grid connection power line.
- Displacement due to habitat transformation associated with the construction of the grid connection power line.

3.2. Operational Phase

• Collisions with the 132kV grid connection power line.

3.3. Decommissioning Phase

• Displacement due to disturbance associated with the decommissioning of the grid connection power line.

3.4. Cumulative Impacts

- Displacement due to disturbance associated with the construction and decommissioning of the grid connection power line.
- Displacement due to habitat transformation associated with grid connection power line.
- Collisions with the overhead power line.

4. Mitigations

The mitigation measures that are proposed for the proposed Project are listed below.

4.1. Pre-construction phase

- The authorised alignment must be inspected by an avifaunal specialist by means of a "walk-through" inspection i.e., through a combination of satellite imagery supplemented with in situ inspections by vehicle and where necessary, on foot, once the tower positions have been finalised. The objective would be to demarcate the sections of the powerline that need to be fitted with Bird Flight Diverters.
- Conduct an inspection prior to the commencement of the construction, to identify Red List species that may be breeding within the project footprint to ensure that the impacts on breeding species (if any) are adequately managed.

4.2. Construction phase

- Once the relevant spans have been identified, Bird Flight Diverters must be fitted according to the applicable Eskom Engineering Instruction (Eskom Unique Identifier 240 – 93563150: The utilisation of Bird Flight Diverters on Eskom Overhead Lines).
- Construction activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure as far as possible.
- Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of powerline sensitive species.
- Measures to control noise and dust should be applied according to current best practice in the industry.
- Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.
- Vegetation clearance should be limited to what is necessary.
- The mitigation measures proposed by the biodiversity specialist must be strictly enforced.

4.3. Operational phase

• Avifaunal specialist to conduct quarterly inspections of the power line for a period of two years, in order to identify additional areas where BFDs need to be fitted if need be.

4.4. De-commissioning phase

- Decommissioning activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure as far as possible.
- Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of powerline sensitive species.
- Measures to control noise and dust should be applied according to current best practice in the industry.
- Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.

5. Environmental sensitivities

The following specific environmental sensitivities were identified from an avifaunal perspective (see Figure 7 for the map of environmental sensitivities):

Very high sensitivity: drainage lines, dams, pans, and associated herbaceous wetlands.

Wetlands (including dam margins) are important breeding, roosting and foraging habitat for a variety priority species, particularly waterbirds, as well as seven Red List species, namely:

- 1. Crane, Grey Crowned (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- 2. Duck, Maccoa (Globally Endangered, Regionally Near Threatened)
- 3. Eagle, Martial (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- 4. Falcon, Lanner (Globally Least Concern, Regionally, Vulnerable)
- 5. Flamingo, Greater (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Near Threatened)
- 6. Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)
- 7. Stork, Yellow-billed (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered)

Birds commuting between these areas will be at risk of collision with the earth-wire if they must cross over the grid connection. Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walk-through inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

High sensitivity: undisturbed natural grassland

The natural grassland is vital breeding, roosting and foraging habitat for a variety of Red List powerline sensitive species and will therefore be associated with significant flight activity. These include the following five Red List species:

- 1. Eagle, Martial (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- 2. Falcon, Lanner (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable)
- 3. Ibis, Southern Bald (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable)
- 4. Korhaan, Blue (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern)
- 5. Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)

Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walk-through inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

Medium sensitivity: disturbed natural grassland/fallow agricultural land

Disturbed natural grassland and fallow agricultural land provide similar foraging, roosting, and potentially breeding opportunities for priority species which depend upon natural grassland, including the same five Red List species listed for natural undisturbed grassland.

Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walk-through inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

6. ASSESSMENTS OF ALTERNATIVES

The preferred option from a bird impact perspective would the HD South Option 1 132kV, as it intersects fewer environmentally sensitivity areas than Option 2 132kV (see Figure 9).

7. CONCLUSION AND IMPACT STATEMENT

According to the DFFE national screening tool, small sections of the habitat within the PAOI is classified as High sensitivity according to the Animal Species theme, due to the potential presence of species of conservation concern (SCCs), namely Yellow-billed Stork *Mycteria ibis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered). Most the habitat within the PAOI is classified as **medium** sensitivity due the presence of other SCCs, namely, White-bellied Korhaan *Eupoditis senegalensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable), African Grass Owl *Tyto capensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable) and Caspian Tern *Hydroprogne caspia* (Globally Least Concern Regionally Vulnerable).

The classification of **High** sensitivity for Yellow-billed Stork is supported based on the habitat recorded during surveys, but in addition the PAOI as a whole should be reclassified as **High** based on the recorded presence of SCCs recorded in the PAOI during monitoring, namely Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable), Martial Eagle (Globally Endangered, Locally Endangered), Lanner Falcon (Locally Vulnerable), Southern Bald Ibis (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable), Blue Korhaan (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern), and Grey Crowned Crane (Globally and Locally Endangered).

The proposed Project will have a range of pre-mitigation impacts from medium to high on priority avifauna, but it is expected to be reduced to acceptable low levels with appropriate mitigation. No fatal flaws were discovered during the investigations, therefore the authorisation of the project is supported, provided the recommendations in this report is strictly implemented.

CONTENTS

EXE	CL	JTIVE SUMMARY	I
1.	B	ACKGROUND	I
2.		AVIFAUNA	I
3.		POTENTIAL IMPACTS	I
4.		MITIGATIONS	
4.	2.	CONSTRUCTION PHASE	
5.		ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITIES	
6.	A	SSESSMENTS OF ALTERNATIVES	IV
7.	С	ONCLUSION AND IMPACT STATEMENT	IV
CON	ITE	ENTS	V
DET	All	LS OF THE SPECIALIST	7
1)	IN	NTRODUCTION	
1.	1.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION: HENDRINA SOUTH GRID INFRASTRUCTURE (UP TO 132KV)	9
2)	TI		
3)	0	SUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS	
4) 5)		SOMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS	12
5)	1		
5. E	ו. י		
э. г	Z.		
5.	3.		
6)	D		15
6)	B		
6) 6.	B. 1.	IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS	15
6) 6. 6.	В. 1. 2.	ASELINE ASSESSMENT	15 15 16
6) 6. 6.	В 1. 2. 3.	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS	15
 6) 6. 6. 6. 	В 1. 2. 3. 4.	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 6. 	B 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A¹ 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS. VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS. VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2.	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 2. 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 8) 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 2. IN 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING MPACT ASSESSMENT	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 8) 8. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 1. 1. 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS. VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS. VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING MPACT ASSESSMENT. GENERAL	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 8) 8. 8. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 1. 1. 2. 1. 2. 	IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING IPACT ASSESSMENT GENERAL ELECTROCUTIONS	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 8) 8. 8. 8. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 2. IN 1. 2. 3. 	IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 8) 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 2. 1. 1. 2. 1. 3. 4. 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING MPACT ASSESSMENT GENERAL ELECTROCUTIONS COLLISIONS DISPLACEMENT DUE TO HABITAT DESTRUCTION	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 8) 8. 9. <	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. A. 1. 2. 1. 1. 2. 1. 3. 4. 5. 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING MPACT ASSESSMENT GENERAL ELECTROCUTIONS COLLISIONS DISPLACEMENT DUE TO HABITAT DESTRUCTION DISPLACEMENT DUE TO DISTURBANCE	
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7. 8) 8. 9. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 1. 2. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. IN 	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS. VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS. VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING MPACT ASSESSMENT. GENERAL ELECTROCUTIONS. COLLISIONS DISPLACEMENT DUE TO HABITAT DESTRUCTION DISPLACEMENT DUE TO DISTURBANCE. MPACT RATING AND MANAGEMENT ACTIONS	15 15 16 18 18 18 20 25 25 25 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 31 33 34 34 35
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7) 8) 8. 9. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 1. 2. 1. 3. 4. 5. 1. <	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING MPACT ASSESSMENT GENERAL ELECTROCUTIONS COLLISIONS DISPLACEMENT DUE TO HABITAT DESTRUCTION DISPLACEMENT DUE TO DISTURBANCE MPACT RATING AND MANAGEMENT ACTIONS POTENTIAL IMPACTS	15 15 16 18 18 20 25 25 25 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 31 31 33 34 35
 6) 6. 6. 6. 7) 7. 7) 7. 8) 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 9. 9. 10. 	 B. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 1. <	ASELINE ASSESSMENT IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS DFFE NATIONAL SCREENING TOOL PROTECTED AREAS VEGETATION, CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY BIRD HABITATS VIFAUNA SOUTH AFRICAN BIRD ATLAS PROJECT 2 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING MPACT ASSESSMENT GENERAL ELECTROCUTIONS COLLISIONS DISPLACEMENT DUE TO HABITAT DESTRUCTION DISPLACEMENT DUE TO HABITAT DESTRUCTION POTENTIAL IMPACTS POTENTIAL IMPACTS MPACT RATING	15 15 16 18 18 18 20 25 25 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 31 31 33 34 33 34 35 35

12.	MITIGATION MEASURES	. 42
1	2.1. PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE	. 43
1	2.2. CONSTRUCTION PHASE	. 43
1	2.3. OPERATIONAL PHASE	. 44
1	2.4. DE-COMMISSIONING PHASE	. 44
13.	ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITIES	. 44
14.	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME	. 46
15.	CONCLUSIONS AND IMPACT STATEMENT	. 46
REF	ERENCES	. 47
APF	PENDIX 1: SABAP 2 SPECIES LIST FOR THE BROADER AREA	. 50
APF	PENDIX 2: HABITAT FEATURES AT THE PAOI	. 55
APF	PENDIX 3: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	. 61
APF	PENDIX 4: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	. 64
APF	PENDIX 5: SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION	. 68

DETAILS OF THE SPECIALIST

Chris van Rooyen (Bird Specialist)

Chris has 25 years' experience in the management of wildlife interactions with electricity infrastructure. He was head of the Eskom-Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT) Strategic Partnership from 1996 to 2007, which has received international acclaim as a model of co-operative management between industry and natural resource conservation. He is an acknowledged global expert in this field and has worked in South Africa, Namibia, Botswana, Lesotho, New Zealand, Texas, New Mexico and Florida. Chris also has extensive project management experience and has received several management awards from Eskom for his work in the Eskom-EWT Strategic Partnership. He is the author of 15 academic papers (some with co-authors), co-author of two book chapters and several research reports. He has been involved as ornithological consultant in numerous power line and wind generation projects. Chris is also co-author of the Best Practice for Avian Monitoring and Impact Mitigation at Wind Development Sites in Southern Africa, which is currently (2016) accepted as the industry standard. Chris also works outside the electricity industry and had done a wide range of bird impact assessment studies associated with various residential and industrial developments.

Jake Mulvaney (bird specialist)

Jake recently received a PhD in Zoology from Stellenbosch University and is the author of three academic papers involving bird population assessments and GIS modelling.

Albert Froneman (Bird and GIS Specialist)

Albert has an M. Sc. in Conservation Biology from the University of Cape Town and started his career in the natural sciences as a Geographic Information Systems (GIS) specialist at Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR). In 1998, he joined the Endangered Wildlife Trust where he headed up the Airports Company South Africa – EWT Strategic Partnership, a position he held until he resigned in 2008 to work as a private ornithological consultant. Albert's specialist field is the management of wildlife, especially bird related hazards at airports. His expertise is recognized internationally; in 2005 he was elected as Vice Chairman of the International Bird Strike Committee. Since 2010, Albert has worked closely with Chris van Rooyen in developing a protocol for pre-construction monitoring at wind energy facilities, and he is currently jointly coordinating pre-construction monitoring programmes at several wind farm facilities. Albert also works outside the electricity industry and had done a wide range of bird impact assessment studies associated with various residential and industrial developments.

1) INTRODUCTION

This report presents the findings of an avifaunal impact assessment conducted during 2022 at the Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure (up to 132kV) subproject of the Hendrina Complex. The Hendrina Complex which is being developed by ENERTRAG South Africa (Pty) Ltd in the context of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMRE) Integrated Resource Plan, and the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPP).

The Hendrina Complex can be divided into five (5) subprojects, namely:

- Hendrina North Wind Energy Facility (WEF) (up to 200MW);
- Hendrina South Wind Energy Facility (up to 200MW);
- Hendrina North Grid Infrastructure (up to 132kV);
- Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure (up to 275kV); and
- Green Hydrogen and Ammonia Facility.

This specialist report concerns the Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure (up to 132V), hereafter referred to as the Project, which is intersects ten farm properties (see Table 1 below). A 2km buffer zone around the Project has been set up as the project area of impact (PAOI), totalling 10456 ha (see Figure 1). The PAOI is located approximately 15km west of Hendrina, within the Steve Tshwete Local Municipality, in the Nkangala District Municipality, Mpumalanga Province. The Hendrina Power Station is located approximately 17km northwest of Hendrina, near the small town of Pullens Hope which is encompassed by the PAOI (Figure 1). The proposed powerline (\leq 132kV) to Hendrina Power Station will be ~26km long (depending on the exact route). A 500m corridor is proposed (250m from the centre lines). The proposed project (including site area and powerline corridors) will be located on the following properties / farm portions:

Portion No.	Farm No.	Farm Name					
12	153	Driefontein					
37	153	Driefontein					
2	153	Driefontein					
17	153	Driefontein					
14	151	Roodepoort					
13	151						
2	151	Roodepoort					
18	151	Roodepoort					
1	151	Roodepoort					
8	154	Boschmanskop					
3	185	Haartebeestkuil					
4	185	Haartebeestkuil					
1	25	Broodsneyerplaats					
0	162	Hendrina Power Station					
0	186	Gloria					
11	162	Hendrina Power Station					
1	158	Aberdeen					
0	189	Dunbar					
1	189	Dunbar					
3	189	Dunbar					

Table 1: FARM PROPERTIES WITHIN WHICH THE HENDRINA SOUTH GRID INFRASTURE WILL FALL



Figure 1: The lay-out of the proposed Hendrina South Grid Connection

1.1. Project description: Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure (up to 132kV)

The Project entails the development of electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure required to connect the proposed Hendrina South WEF to the National Grid via the existing Eskom substation, located at the Hendrina Power Station.

The Applicant intends to develop the Project under a self-build agreement with Eskom. Once construction is complete it is anticipated that the Grid Infrastructure, and associated Environmental Authorisation, will be transferred to the Grid Operator (Eskom). Eskom will be the ultimate owner of the Grid Infrastructure and will be responsible for the operation, maintenance and decommissioning (if applicable) thereof. The Project will make use of the authorised Hendrina South WEF (14/12/16/3/3/2/2131) for project laydown areas and construction camps.

The proposed grid connection infrastructure will include the following components:

 Up to 132kV powerline connecting the grid operator substation at Hendrina South WEF to the Hendrina Power Station. The 132kV powerline from the authorized grid operator substation on the Hendrina South WEF will lead to the Hendrina North collector substation (subject to a separate application for EA). Should the Hendrina North Wind Farm not be built, the connection will continue from the grid operator substation on Hendrina South all the way to the Hendrina Power Station. Power line towers being considered for this development include self-supporting suspension monopole structures for relatively straight sections of the line and angle strain towers where the route alignment bends to a significant degree. Maximum tower height is expected to be approximately 40m. The substation has been authorised as part of the Hendrina South WEF Environmental Authorisation and does not form part of this scope of work.

Table 2: Technical details associated with proposed powerlines

Powerline capacity:	132kV powerlines (single circuit or double
Powerline corridor length	Approx. 23-26km (To be confirmed prior to construction)
Powerline corridors width	500m (250m on either side of centre line)
Powerline servitude	32m per 132kV powerline
Powerline pylons:	Monopole or Lattice pylons, or a combination of both where required
Powerline pylon height:	Maximum 40m height

1.1.1. Grid connection BA alternatives

The proposed grid connection infrastructure proposals include two (2) power line route alignment alternatives within a 500m wide corridor and a 33/132kV onsite substation (Figure 1). These alternatives will be considered and assessed as part of the BA process and will be amended or refined to avoid identified environmental sensitivities.

The two alternative grid connection solutions (within a 500m wide corridor) will include:

• Grid Connection Alternative 1 (Preferred):

The proposed powerline will be approximately 23.7km and will connect the Hendrina South WEF to the Hendrina Power Station. The 132kV powerline from the authorized grid operator substation on the Hendrina South WEF will lead to the Hendrina North collector substation (subject to a separate application for EA). Should the Hendrina North WEF not be built, the connection will continue from the grid operator substation on Hendrina South all the way to the Hendrina Power Station. This alternative spans over existing road and farm boundaries. This is the landowners preferred routing. The preferred pylon and powerline will be 132 kV Intermediate Self-Supporting single circuit or double circuit Monopole.

• Grid Connection Alternative 2:

The proposed powerline will be approximately 22.8km and will connect the Hendrina South WEF to the Hendrina Power Station. The 132kV powerline from the authorized grid operator substation on the Hendrina South WEF will lead to the Hendrina North collector substation (subject to a separate application for EA). Should the Hendrina North WEF not be built, the connection will continue from the grid operator substation on Hendrina South all the way to the Hendrina Power Station. This alternative spans over farm portions.

2) TERMS OF REFERENCE

The purpose of the specialist phase report is to determine the main issues and potential impacts of the proposed project/s based on existing information and field assessments. The terms of reference are as follows:

- Describe the affected environment from an avifaunal perspective.
- Discuss gaps in baseline data and other limitations and describe the expected impacts associated with the Project.

- Identify potential sensitive environments and receptors that may be impacted on by the proposed Project and the types of impacts (i.e., direct, indirect, and cumulative) that are most likely to occur.
- Determine the nature and extent of potential impacts during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases.
- Identify 'No-Go' areas, where applicable.
- Recommend mitigation measures to reduce the impact of the expected impacts.
- Provide an impact statement on whether the project should be approved or not.

3) OUTLINE OF METHODOLOGY AND INFORMATION REVIEWED

The following methodology was employed to conduct this study:

- Powerline sensitive species are defined as species which could potentially be impacted by powerline collisions
 or electrocutions, based on their morphology. Larger birds, particularly raptors and vultures, are more vulnerable
 to electrocution as they are more likely to bridge the clearances between electrical components than smaller
 birds. Large terrestrial species and certain waterbirds with high wing loading are less manoeuvrable than smaller
 species and are therefore more likely to collide with overhead lines.
- Bird distribution data of the South African Bird Atlas 2 (SABAP 2) was obtained from the University of Cape Town, as a means to ascertain which species occurs within the broader area of four pentad grid cells each within which the proposed projects are situated (see Figure 2). A pentad grid cell covers 5 minutes of latitude by 5 minutes of longitude (5'x 5'). Each pentad is approximately 8 x 7.6 km. To get a more representative impression of the birdlife, a consolidated data set was obtained for a total of 6 pentads which intersect with the development area, hereafter referred to as 'the broader area', namely (1) 2600_2930, (2) 2600_2935, (3) 2605_2930, (4) 2605_2935, (5) 2610_2930, (6) 2610_2935. From 2007-present, a total of 75 full protocol lists (i.e., surveys of at least two hours each) have been completed for this area. In addition, 34 *ad hoc* protocol lists (i.e., surveys lasting less than two hours but still yielding valuable data) have been completed. The SABAP2 data was therefore regarded as a reliable reflection of the avifauna which occurs in the area, but the data was also supplemented by data collected during the site surveys and general knowledge of the area and bird and habitat associations.
- The national threatened status of all powerline priority species was determined with the use of the most recent edition of the Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa (Taylor et al., 2015), and the latest authoritative summary of southern African bird biology (Hockey et al., 2005).
- The global threatened status of all priority species was determined by consulting the (2022.1) International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species (<u>http://www.iucnredlist.org/</u>).
- A classification of the vegetation habitat ecotypes within the PAOI was obtained from the National Vegetation Map (2018) from the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) BGIS map viewer (<u>http://bgisviewer.sanbi.org/</u>) (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006; SANBI, 2018). The PAOI is the area where the primary impacts on avifauna are expected and includes the land parcels where the project will be located.
- The Project Area of Impact (PAOI) was defined as a 2km buffer around the proposed grid connection.
- Avifaunal habitat usage within the PAOI by birds was informed by the Atlas of Southern African Birds 1 (SABAP 1) (Harrison et al., 1997a, 1997b).
- Land-cover and land-use within the PAOI was determined using the 2018 South African national land-cover surveys jointly conducted by the Department of Environmental Affairs, and the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform (DEA & DALRRD, 2019).

- The Important Bird Areas of Southern Africa (Marnewick et al., 2015) was consulted for information on potentially relevant Important Bird Areas (IBAs).
- Satellite imagery (Google Earth ©2022) was used to view the PAOI and broader area on a landscape level and to help identify sensitive bird habitat.
- The 2022 South Africa Protected Areas Database compiled by the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries (DFFE) was used to identify Nationally Protected Areas, National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) near the PAOI (DFFE, 2022).
- The Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) National Screening Tool was used to determine the assigned avian sensitivity of the PAOI.
- Data collected during previous site visits to the broader area was also considered as far as habitat classes and the occurrence of priority species are concerned.
- The following sources were used to determine the investigation protocol that is required for the site:
 - Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum criteria for reporting on identified environmental themes in terms of sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA when applying for Environmental Authorisation (Gazetted October 2020)
 - The Protocol for the specialist assessment and minimum report content requirements for environmental impacts on terrestrial animal species (Government Gazette No 43855, 30 October 2020).
- The sources of information on the avifaunal diversity and abundance at the PAOI was supplemented with the information gathered through an integrated pre-construction monitoring programme which was implemented at the Hendrina South Wind Energy Facility (WEF), and the field survey conducted in September 2022 for this proposed powerline.



Figure 2: The broader area and the Project Area of Impact (PAOI)

4) ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

This study made the basic assumption that the sources of information used are reliable and accurate. The following must be noted:

- The focus of the study was primarily on the potential impacts of the proposed 132kV overhead power line on powerline sensitive species.
- The assessment of impacts is based on the baseline environment as it currently exists in the PAOI.
- Conclusions in this study are based on experience of these and similar species in different parts of South Africa. Bird behaviour can never be entirely reduced to formulas that will be valid under all circumstances.
- Information on the proposed grid connections of renewable energy projects within a 30km radius around the project was sourced from public documents available on the internet. In some instances, information was not readily available, or specifications may have changed, therefore the confidence in the information is moderate.

5) LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

There is no legislation pertaining specifically to the impact of electrical grid infrastructure on avifauna. However, there is legislation aimed at the conservation of avifauna in general.

5.1. Agreements and conventions

Table 3 lists agreements and conventions which South Africa is party to, and which are relevant to the conservation of avifauna¹.

Convention name	Description	Geographic scope
African-Eurasian Waterbird Agreement (AEWA)	The Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds (AEWA) is an intergovernmental treaty dedicated to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats across Africa, Europe, the Middle East, Central Asia, Greenland, and the Canadian Archipelago. Developed under the framework of the Convention on Migratory Species (CMS) and administered by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), AEWA brings together countries and the wider international conservation community to establish coordinated conservation and management of migratory waterbirds throughout their entire migratory range.	Regional
Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Nairobi, 1992	The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) entered into force on 29 December 1993. It has 3 main objectives: The conservation of biological diversity The sustainable use of the components of biological diversity	Global

Table 3: Agreements and conventions to which South Africa abides, and which are relevant to the conservation of avifauna.

¹ (BirdLife International (2021) Country profile: South Africa. Available from:

http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/country/south_africa. Checked: 2021-09-20).

Convention name	Description	Geographic
	The fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilization	
	of genetic resources.	
Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, (CMS), Bonn, 1979	As an environmental treaty under the aegis of the United Nations Environment Programme, CMS provides a global platform for the conservation and sustainable use of migratory animals and their habitats. CMS brings together the States through which migratory animals pass, the Range States, and lays the legal foundation for internationally coordinated conservation measures throughout a migratory range.	Global
Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna, (CITES), Washington DC, 1973	CITES (the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora) is an international agreement between governments. Its aim is to ensure that international trade in specimens of wild animals and plants does not threaten their survival.	Global
Ramsar Convention on Wetlands of International Importance, Ramsar, 1971	The Convention on Wetlands, called the Ramsar Convention, is an intergovernmental treaty that provides the framework for national action and international cooperation for the conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources.	Global
Memorandum of Understanding on the Conservation of Migratory Birds of Prey in Africa and Eurasia	The Signatories will aim to take co-ordinated measures to achieve and maintain the favourable conservation status of birds of prey throughout their range and to reverse their decline when and where appropriate.	Regional

5.2. National legislation

5.2.1. Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996

The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa provides in the Bill of Rights that: Everyone has the right -

- (a) to an environment that is not harmful to their health or well-being; and
- (b) to have the environment protected, for the benefit of present and future generations, through reasonable legislative and other measures that
 - (i) prevent pollution and ecological degradation
 - (ii) promote conservation
 - (iii) secure ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources while promoting justifiable economic and social development.

5.2.2. The National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 (NEMA)

The National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 (NEMA) creates the legislative framework for environmental protection in South Africa and is aimed at giving effect to the environmental right in the Constitution. It sets out several guiding principles that apply to the actions of all organs of state that may significantly affect the environment. Sustainable development (socially, environmentally, and economically) is one of the key principles, and internationally accepted principles of environmental management, such as the precautionary principle and the polluter pays principle, are also incorporated. NEMA also provides that a wide variety of listed developmental activities, which may significantly affect the environment, may be performed only after an environmental impact assessment has been done and authorization has been obtained from the relevant authority. Many of these listed activities can potentially have negative impacts on bird populations in a variety of ways. The clearance of natural vegetation, for instance, can lead to a loss of habitat and may depress prey populations, while erecting structures needed for generating and distributing energy, communication, and so forth can cause mortalities by collision or electrocution.

Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum criteria for reporting on identified environmental themes in terms of sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA when applying for Environmental Authorisation (Gazetted October 2020). The Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Terrestrial Animal Species was published on 30 October 2020. This protocol applies also for the assessment of impacts caused by power lines on avifauna.

5.2.3. The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004 (NEMBA) and the Threatened or Protected Species Regulations, February 2007 (TOPS Regulations)

The most prominent statute containing provisions directly aimed at the conservation of birds is the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004 read with the Threatened or Protected Species Regulations, February 2007 (TOPS Regulations). Chapter 1 sets out the objectives of the Act, and they are aligned with the objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity, which are the conservation of biodiversity, the sustainable use of its components, and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits of the use of genetic resources. The Act also gives effect to CITES, the Ramsar Convention, and the Bonn Convention on Migratory Species of Wild Animals. The State is endowed with the trusteeship of biodiversity and has the responsibility to manage, conserve and sustain the biodiversity of South Africa.

5.3. Provincial Legislation

The current legislation applicable to the conservation of fauna and flora in Mpumalanga is the Mpumalanga Nature Conservation Act 10 of 1998. It consolidated and amended the laws relating to nature conservation within the province and provides for matters connected therewith. All birds are classified as Protected Game (Section 4 (1) (b)), except those listed in Schedule 3, which are classified as Ordinary Game (Section 4 (1)(c)).

6) BASELINE ASSESSMENT

6.1. Important Bird Areas

The PAOI is not located in an Important Bird Area (IBA). The nearest IBA to the PAOI is the Amersfoort-Bethal-Carolina IBA (SA018), located approximately 6.3km east of the site. The key species within this IBA is the Botha's Lark (Globally Page | 15

Endangered, Regionally Endangered); however, this species was neither detected within the SABAP2 monitoring broader area of PAOI, nor during the four seasons of pre-construction monitoring at the Hendrina South WEF which included large parts of the PAOI.

Additional trigger species for the Amersfoort-Bethal-Carolina IBA include highly mobile powerline sensitive species which may utilise the PAOI for dispersal, foraging, roosting, or nesting purposes given the shared grassland ecotypes between the PAOI and the IBA, and so these species could be impacted by the project. Such trigger species include:

- Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)
- Denham's Bustard (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Vulnerable)
- Martial Eagle (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- Lanner Falcon (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable)
- Southern Bald Ibis (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable)

6.2. DFFE National Screening Tool

According to the DFFE national screening tool (see Figure 3), the small sections of the habitat within the PAOI is classified as **high** sensitivity according to the Animal Species theme, due to the potential presence of species of conservation concern (SCCs), namely Yellow-billed Stork *Mycteria ibis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered). Most the habitat within the PAOI is classified as **medium** sensitivity due the presence of other SCCs, namely, White-bellied Korhaan *Eupoditis senegalensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable), African Grass Owl *Tyto capensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable) and Caspian Tern *Hydroprogne caspia* (Globally Least Concern Regionally Vulnerable).

The classification of **High** sensitivity for Yellow-billed Stork is supported based on the habitat recorded during surveys, but in addition the PAOI as a whole should be reclassified as **High** based on the recorded presence of SCCs recorded in the PAOI during monitoring, namely Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable), Martial Eagle (Globally Endangered, Locally Endangered), Lanner Falcon (Locally Vulnerable), Southern Bald Ibis (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable), Blue Korhaan (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern), and Grey Crowned Crane (Globally and Locally Endangered).

MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY



Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za</u> listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	X		200 0

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
High	Aves-Mycteria ibis
Medium	Aves-Eupodotis senegalensis
Medium	Aves-Hydroprogne caspia
Medium	Aves-Tyto capensis
Medium	Mammalia-Chrysospalax villosus
Medium	Mammalia-Crocidura maquassiensis
Medium	Mammalia-Hydrictis maculicollis
Medium	Mammalia-Ourebia ourebi ourebi

Figure 3: The National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool map of the PAOI, indicating sensitivities for the Animal Species theme. The classification is correct based on the presence of several Red List SCCs at the site. The High classification is linked to the potential presence of Yellow-billed Stork *Mycteria ibis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered). Most the habitat within the PAOI is classified as medium sensitivity due the presence of other SCCs, namely, White-bellied Korhaan *Eupoditis senegalensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable), African Grass Owl *Tyto capensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable) and Caspian Tern *Hydroprogne caspia* (Globally Least Concern Regionally Vulnerable).

6.3. Protected Areas

According to the South African Protected Areas database (SAPAD), the closest protected area is the Heyns Private Nature Reserve, which is located approximately 12km north-east of the PAOI. No further information could be obtained about the nature reserve. However, from an avifaunal perspective the state of the habitat and land use at the development areas is more important than the legal status. The habitat at the reserve has already been impacted by mining, which would have had a negative impact on the avifauna.

6.4. Vegetation, climate and topography

The Hendrina South Grid is situated in the Eastern Highveld Grassland (Gm12) vegetation ecotype within the Mesic Highveld Grassland Bioregion of the South African Grassland Biome (SANBI, 2018). This grassland ecotype is defined by a short, closed grassland cover comprising a typical Highveld grass species assemblage (*Aristida, Digitaria, Eragrostis, Themeda, Tristachya* etc.) over sandstone-derived soils of the Karoo supergroup (Mucina et al., 2006). Climax plant communities are dominated by *Themeda triandra* sward, although these are often severely grazed to form a short lawn (Mucina et al., 2006).

This vegetation type covers 12669 km² over Mpumalanga and Gauteng (SANBI, 2018), at altitudes ranging 1520-1780 m above sea level (Mucina et al., 2006), although occasionally as low as 1300 m. Eastern Highveld Grassland is classified as Vulnerable (SANBI, 2013), although this ecotype – and the Hendrina South Grid by extension – does not fall within a Centre of Endemism (Van Wyk & Smith, 2001).

Hendrina has a temperate climate with continental seasonality, experiencing warm, wet summers and mildly cold, dry winters. The mean temperatures range 17°C (January) to 3°C (July). The mean annual precipitation is 482 mm (<u>https://www.meteoblue.com/</u>, accessed October 2022), notably lower than the average for the Eastern Highveld Grassland (726 mm). Rainfall is lowest in July (1.74 mm), and highest in December (161 mm).

The proposed Hendrina South Grid transects gently topography of gently undulating grasslands and farmlands with low hills and pan depression, ranging 1592-1708m in altitude. There are several minor drainage lines which intersect the PAOI, with north-flowing tributaries associated with Woes-alleenspruit (a tributary of Klein-Olifantsrivier) in the north, and south-flowing tributaries of Olifantsriver in the south. There are numerous artificial dams associated with these drainage systems, as well as several natural pans (see Figure 4).



Figure 4: Land cover in the PAOI (2018 South African National Landcover Data)

6.5. Bird habitats

While the dominant vegetation, topography, and hydrology largely explain the distribution and abundance of the bird species within the PAOI, it is also important to examine the modifications which have changed the natural landscape, and which may impact the distribution of avifauna. These are sometimes evident at a much smaller spatial scale than the biome or vegetation types and are determined by a host of factors such as land use and man-made infrastructure.

Most the native grassland biome within the PAOI has been replaced by commercial crop agriculture, and remnant grassland tracts are utilised for livestock grazing. Agricultural activity and its relevance to local avifauna is detailed in section 6.5.4. The PAOI also includes the town of Pullen's Hope as associated residential areas in the northern sections, as a well as large industrial area comprising the Hendrina Power Station. Additionally, commercial Mining activity is practiced in the northeast of the PAOI - east of Pullen's Hope – as well as 8 km west of the PAOI, near Komati (see Figure 4). This mining activity has resulted in opencast quarries, material waste dumps, and flooded mine pits within the PAOI that have likely impacted the grassland and riparian/aquatic ecology within the PAOI.

Finally, several high voltage powerlines intersect the PAOI, most of which originating from the Hendrina Power Station. These include the six 132kV powerlines: the Hendrina-Optimum1 132kV, the Hendrina-Optimum2 132kV, the Hendrina-Witkloof 132kV, the Hendrina-Aberdeen Traction 132kV, the Hendrina-Sar Botha 132kV, and the Aberdeen Traction-Ysterkop 132kV. Additionally, there are five 400kV powerlines: the Hendrina-Kriel 1 400kV, the Hendrina-Vulcan 2 400kV, the Hendrina-Gumeni 1 400kV, the Arnot-Hendrina 1 400kV, and the Camden-Duvha 1 400kV. The relevance of powerlines to priority species are detailed in Section 6.5.6.

The following six habitat classes were identified as relevant to priority bird species in the PAOI (see Appendix 2 for examples of the habitat classes):

6.5.1. Grassland

The native grassland biome, detailed in Section 6.4, has largely been replaced by commercial agriculture, with remnant grassland tracts occurring fragmentedly across the PAOI (see Figure 5), typically adjacent to drainage lines. These grasslands within the PAOI range from rank vegetation bordering herbaceous wetlands (detailed in Section 6.5.2), and dense stands of relatively high grasses in less disturbed areas, to short grasslands in heavily grazed areas.

The following twenty-one powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> utilise the natural grasslands in the PAOI:

- Bustard, Denham's
- Buzzard, Common
- Buzzard, Jackal
- Crow, Pied
- Eagle, Black-chested Snake
- Eagle, Long-crested
- Eagle-Owl, Spotted
- Egret, Western Cattle
- Falcon, Amur
- Falcon, Lanner

- Guineafowl, Helmeted
- Harrier, Montagu's
- Harrier-Hawk, African
- Heron, Black-headed
- Ibis, Southern Bald
- Kestrel, Greater
- Kestrel, Rock
- Korhaan, Blue
- Owl, Marsh
- Secretarybird

• Stork, White

The following three additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the natural grasslands in the PAOI:

- Eagle, Martial
- Heron, Black-crowned Night
- Owl, Western Barn

6.5.2. Drainage lines and wetlands

Fairly extensive herbaceous wetlands (marshlands/vleis) mainly surrounding drainage lines (and dams and pans) within the PAOI, interrupting the grassland-cropland mosaic (see Figure 5).

The following twenty-one powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> utilise the wetlands in the PAOI:

- 1. Crane, Grey Crowned
- 2. Duck, Fulvous Whistling
- 3. Duck, White-faced Whistling
- 4. Duck, Yellow-billed
- 5. Egret, Great
- 6. Egret, Intermediate
- 7. Egret, Little
- 8. Goose, Egyptian
- 9. Goose, Spur-winged
- 10. Hamerkop
- 11. Heron, Black-headed

- 12. Heron, Grey
- 13. Ibis, African Sacred
- 14. Ibis, Glossy
- 15. Ibis, Hadada
- 16. Kite, Black-winged
- 17. Moorhen, Common
- 18. Owl, Marsh
- 19. Shoveler, Cape
- 20. Spoonbill, African
- 21. Teal, Red-billed

The following five additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the wetlands in the PAOI:

- 1. Duck, African Black
- 2. Heron, Black-crowned Night
- 3. Heron, Purple
- 4. Heron, Squacco
- 5. Swamphen, African

6.5.3. Dams and pans

The PAOI contains many earth-embankment dams located along drainage lines. Additionally, there are also several small pans which are a potential drawcard for many powerline-sensitive species. Lesser and Greater Flamingos could use pans for foraging and roosting. Large raptors could use the dams and pans for bathing and drinking.

The following thirty powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> utilise the dams and pans in the PAOI:

Coot, Red-knobbed

Cormorant, Reed

- Cormorant, White-breasted
- Darter, African
- Duck, Fulvous Whistling
- Duck, White-faced Whistling
- Duck, Yellow-billed
- Eagle, African Fish
- Eagle, Black-chested Snake
- Eagle, Long-crested
- Egret, Great
- Egret, Intermediate
- Falcon, Lanner
- Flamingo, Greater
- Flamingo, Lesser
- Goose, Egyptian

- Goose, Spur-winged
- Grebe, Great Crested
- Grebe, Little
- Hamerkop
- Heron, Grey
- Kite, Black-winged
- Moorhen, Common
- Pochard, Southern
- Secretarybird
- Shoveler, Cape
- Spoonbill, African
- Stork, Yellow-billed
- Teal, Cape
- Teal, Red-billed

The following eleven additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the dams and pans in the PAOI:

- Duck, African Black
- Duck, Knob-billed
- Duck, Maccoa
- Duck, White-backed
- Eagle, Martial
- Grebe, Black-necked
- Heron, Black-crowned Night
- Heron, Goliath
- Heron, Purple
- Heron, Squacco
- Shelduck, South African
- 1. Shelduck, South African

6.5.4. Agricultural lands

The dominant land-use within the PAOI is commercial crop agriculture of maize, peanuts, sunflowers, and soya beans, with livestock farming (sheep, cattle, and pigs) also present (see Figure 4). Some fields are lying fallow or are in the process of being re-vegetated by grass.

The following eleven powerline sensitive species are likely to regularly utilise the dams and pans in the PAOI:

- Crane, Grey Crowned
- Crow, Pied
- Egret, Western Cattle
- Falcon, Amur
- Falcon, Lanner
- Goose, Egyptian
- Goose, Spur-winged
- Guineafowl, Helmeted
- Heron, Black-headed
- Ibis, Hadada
- Ibis, Southern Bald

The following two additional powerline sensitive species could occasionally use the dams and pans in the PAOI:

- Eagle, Martial
- Owl, Western Barn

6.5.5. Alien trees and (native woodland)

The PAOI contains restricted tree cover. Typical of Eastern Highveld Grassland, sporadic natural woody vegetation (very small tracts of woodland and thicket) are present over rocky outcrops and occasionally along the drainage lines (see Figure 4). Additionally, alien tree species have also become established within the PAOI, particularly *Eucalyptus*, Australian *Acacia* (Wattle), and *Salix* (Willow) species. Alien trees are often planted as wind breaks next to agricultural lands and around homesteads. Some of the drainage lines also have alien trees growing alongside, some of which were originally planted to protect earth-embankment dams. Alien trees both supplement the indigenous tree cover for priority species, as well as proving novel nesting and roosting opportunities.

The following twenty-four powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> utilise the native and alien tree cover in the PAOI:

- 1. Cormorant, White-breasted
- 2. Crane, Grey Crowned
- 3. Crow, Pied
- 4. Eagle, African Fish
- 5. Eagle, Black-chested Snake
- 6. Eagle, Long-crested
- 7. Eagle-Owl, Spotted
- 8. Egret, Little
- 9. Egret, Western Cattle

- 10. Falcon, Amur
- 11. Falcon, Lanner
- 12. Guineafowl, Helmeted
- 13. Harrier-Hawk, African
- 14. Heron, Black-headed
- 15. Heron, Grey
- 16. Ibis, African Sacred
- 17. Ibis, Hadada
- 18. Ibis, Southern Bald

- 19. Kestrel, Greater
- 20. Kestrel, Rock
- 21. Secretarybird

22. Sparrowhawk, Black

- 23. Spoonbill, African
- 24. Stork, White

The following two additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the native and alien tree cover in the PAOI:

- 1. Eagle, Martial
- 2. Heron, Black-crowned Night

6.5.6. High voltage lines

Numerous high voltage powerlines intersect the PAOI, and several reticulation lines – most of which originating from the Hendrina Power Station. These include the six 132kV powerlines: the Hendrina-Optimum1 132kV, the Hendrina-Optimum2 132kV, the Hendrina-Witkloof 132kV, the Hendrina-Aberdeen Traction 132kV, the Hendrina-Sar Botha 132kV, and the Aberdeen Traction-Ysterkop 132kV. Additionally, there are five 400kV powerlines: the Hendrina-Kriel 1 400kV, the Hendrina-Vulcan 1 400kV, the Hendrina-Vulcan 2 400kV, the Hendrina-Gumeni 1 400kV, the Arnot-Hendrina 1 400kV, and the Camden-Duvha 1 400kV.

The following eleven powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> perch, and roost on the transmission towers and powerlines in the PAOI:

- Egret, Little
- Falcon, Amur
- Falcon, Lanner
- Goose, Egyptian
- Guineafowl, Helmeted
- Heron, Black-headed
- Ibis, Hadada
- Ibis, Southern Bald
- Kestrel, Greater
- Kestrel, Rock
- Stork, White

The following one additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> perch, and roost on the transmission towers and powerlines in the PAOI:

1. Eagle, Martial

See Appendix 2 for photographic record of habitat features in the PAOI and immediate surroundings.

7.1. South African Bird Atlas Project 2

The SABAP2 data indicates that a total of 186 bird species could potentially occur within the broader area – Appendix 1 provides a comprehensive list of all the species, as well as all the species that were recorded during the preconstruction monitoring at the Hendrina South WEF which includes a large portion of the PAOI. Of these, 66 species are classified as powerline sensitive species (see definition of powerline sensitive species in section 3) and 10 of these are South African Red List species. Of the powerline sensitive species, 33 are likely to occur regularly in the PAOI (see Table 44).

Table 4 lists all the powerline sensitive species that are likely to occur <u>regularly</u> and the possible impact on the respective species by the proposed Project. The following abbreviations and acronyms are used:

• LC = Least Concern NT = Near Threatened VU = Vulnerable EN = Endangered

Table 4: Powerline sensitive species potentially occurring <u>regularly</u> in the PAOI (Red List species are shaded).

Species name	Scientific name	Full protocol	Ad hoc protocol	Global status	Regional status	Recorded during monitoring	Grassland	Drainage lines and wetlands	Pans and dams	Agriculture	Alien trees	High voltage lines	Displacement - habitat transformation	Displacement - disturbance	Powerline - collision
Bustard, Denham's	Neotis denhami	4.00	2.94	NT	VU	х	х						х	х	x
Buzzard, Common	Buteo buteo	22.67	2.94	LC	LC	х	х			х	х	х			
Buzzard, Jackal	Buteo rufofuscus	0.00	2.94	LC	LC	х	х			х	х	х			
Coot, Red-knobbed	Fulica cristata	78.67	26.47	LC	LC	х			х						х
Cormorant, Reed	Microcarbo africanus	73.33	20.59	LC	LC	х			х						х
Cormorant, White-breasted	Phalacrocorax lucidus	26.67	14.71	LC	LC	х			х		х				х
Crane, Grey Crowned	Balearica regulorum	0.00	2.94	EN	EN	х		х		х	х		х	х	х
Crow, Pied	Corvus albus	14.67	2.94	LC	LC	х	х			х	х	х			
Darter, African	Anhinga rufa	26.67	5.88	LC	LC	х			х						х
Duck, Fulvous Whistling	Dendrocygna bicolor	1.33	0.00	LC	LC	х		х	х						х
Duck, White-faced Whistling	Dendrocygna viduata	9.33	2.94	LC	LC	х		х	х						x
Duck, Yellow-billed	Anas undulata	81.33	17.65	LC	LC	х		х	х						x
Eagle, African Fish	Haliaeetus vocifer	5.33	0.00	LC	LC	х			х		х				
Eagle, Black-chested Snake	Circaetus pectoralis	6.67	0.00	LC	LC	х	х		х		х	х			
Eagle, Long-crested	Lophaetus occipitalis	4.00	2.94	LC	LC	х	х		х		х	х			
Eagle-Owl, Spotted	Bubo africanus	2.67	0.00	LC	LC	х	х				х			х	x
Egret, Great	Ardea alba	5.33	2.94	LC	LC	х		х	х						x
Egret, Intermediate	Ardea intermedia	30.67	5.88	LC	LC	х		х	х						x
Egret, Little	Egretta garzetta	17.33	5.88	LC	LC	х	х				х	х			

Species name	Scientific name	Full protocol	Ad hoc protocol	Global status	Regional status	Recorded during monitoring	Grassland	Drainage lines and wetlands	Pans and dams	Agriculture	Alien trees	High voltage lines	Displacement - habitat transformation	Displacement - disturbance	Powerline - collision
Egret, Western Cattle	Bubulcus ibis	62.67	17.65	LC	LC	х	х			х	х				х
Falcon, Amur	Falco amurensis	5.33	0.00	LC	LC	х	х			х	х	х			
Falcon, Lanner	Falco biarmicus	4.00	0.00	LC	VU	х	х		х	х	х	х		x	
Flamingo, Greater	Phoenicopterus roseus	22.67	2.94	LC	NT	х			х						х
Flamingo, Lesser	Phoeniconaias minor	9.33	0.00	NT	NT	х			х						х
Goose, Egyptian	Alopochen aegyptiaca	88.00	23.53	LC	LC	х		х	х	х		х			х
Goose, Spur-winged	Plectropterus gambensis	58.67	0.00	LC	LC	х		х	х	х					х
Grebe, Great Crested	Podiceps cristatus	10.67	2.94	LC	LC	х			х						х
Grebe, Little	Tachybaptus ruficollis	61.33	14.71	LC	LC	х			х						х
Guineafowl, Helmeted	Numida meleagris	54.67	14.71	LC	LC	х	х			х	х	х		х	
Hamerkop	Scopus umbretta	9.33	5.88	LC	LC	х		х	х						х
Harrier, Montagu's	Circus pygargus	1.33	0.00	LC	LC	х	х								
Harrier-Hawk, African	Polyboroides typus	5.33	0.00	LC	LC	х	х				х				
Heron, Black-headed	Ardea melanocephala	65.33	11.76	LC	LC	х	х	х		х	х	х			х
Heron, Grey	Ardea cinerea	36.00	8.82	LC	LC	х		х	х		х				х
Ibis, African Sacred	Threskiornis aethiopicus	45.33	5.88	LC	LC	х		х			х				х
Ibis, Glossy	Plegadis falcinellus	24.00	5.88	LC	LC	х		х							х
Ibis, Hadada	Bostrychia hagedash	86.67	14.71	LC	LC	х		х		х	х	х			х
Ibis, Southern Bald	Geronticus calvus	2.67	0.00	VU	VU	х	х			х	х	х			х
Kestrel, Greater	Falco rupicoloides	1.33	0.00	LC	LC	х	х				х	х		 	
Kestrel, Rock	Falco rupicolus	4.00	0.00	LC	LC	х	х				х	х			

Species name	Scientific name	Full protocol	Ad hoc protocol	Global status	Regional status	Recorded during monitoring	Grassland	Drainage lines and wetlands	Pans and dams	Agriculture	Alien trees	High voltage lines	Displacement - habitat transformation	Displacement - disturbance	Powerline - collision
Kite, Black-winged	Elanus caeruleus	82.67	20.59	LC	LC			х	х						х
Korhaan, Blue	Eupodotis caerulescens	20.00	0.00	NT	LC	х	х						х	х	х
Moorhen, Common	Gallinula chloropus	21.33	5.88	LC	LC			х	х						
Owl, Marsh	Asio capensis	20.00	0.00	LC	LC	х	х	х					х	х	х
Pochard, Southern	Netta erythrophthalma	21.33	2.94	LC	LC	х			х						х
Secretarybird	Sagittarius serpentarius	8.00	0.00	EN	VU	х	х		х		х		х	х	х
Shoveler, Cape	Spatula smithii	52.00	5.88	LC	LC	х		х	х						х
Sparrowhawk, Black	Accipiter melanoleucus	12.00	0.00	LC	LC	х					х				
Spoonbill, African	Platalea alba	32.00	20.59	LC	LC	х		х	х		х				х
Stork, White	Ciconia ciconia	5.33	0.00	LC	LC	х	х				х	х			х
Stork, Yellow-billed	Mycteria ibis	4.00	0.00	LC	EN	х			х						х
Teal, Cape	Anas capensis	16.00	0.00	LC	LC				х						х
Teal, Red-billed	Anas erythrorhyncha	58.67	11.76	LC	LC	х		х	х						х

7.2. Pre-construction monitoring

Bird counts were conducted in representative habitat in the PAOI and immediate environment in the following sampling periods:

- 1) 04 15 July 2020
- 2) 29 October 03 November 2020
- 3) 09 February, 15 19 February, 09 11 March 2021
- 4) 30 April 11 May 2022
- 5) 27 September 2022

Table 5: Powerline sensitive species recorded during surveys in the PAOI and immediate environment

Species name	Scientific name
Bustard, Denham's	Neotis denhami
Buzzard, Common	Buteo buteo
Buzzard, Jackal	Buteo rufofuscus
Coot, Red-knobbed	Fulica cristata
Cormorant, Reed	Microcarbo africanus
Cormorant, White-breasted	Phalacrocorax lucidus
Crane, Grey Crowned	Balearica regulorum
Crow, Pied	Corvus albus
Darter, African	Anhinga rufa
Duck, Fulvous Whistling	Dendrocygna bicolor
Duck, White-faced Whistling	Dendrocygna viduata
Duck, Yellow-billed	Anas undulata
Eagle, African Fish	Haliaeetus vocifer
Eagle, Black-chested Snake	Circaetus pectoralis
Eagle, Long-crested	Lophaetus occipitalis
Eagle-Owl, Spotted	Bubo africanus
Egret, Great	Ardea alba
Egret, Intermediate	Ardea intermedia
Egret, Little	Egretta garzetta
Egret, Western Cattle	Bubulcus ibis
Falcon, Amur	Falco amurensis
Falcon, Lanner	Falco biarmicus
Flamingo, Greater	Phoenicopterus roseus
Flamingo, Lesser	Phoeniconaias minor
Goose, Egyptian	Alopochen aegyptiaca
Goose, Spur-winged	Plectropterus gambensis
Grebe, Great Crested	Podiceps cristatus
Grebe, Little	Tachybaptus ruficollis
Guineafowl, Helmeted	Numida meleagris
Hamerkop	Scopus umbretta

Species name	Scientific name
Harrier, Montagu's	Circus pygargus
Harrier-Hawk, African	Polyboroides typus
Heron, Black-headed	Ardea melanocephala
Heron, Grey	Ardea cinerea
Ibis, African Sacred	Threskiornis aethiopicus
Ibis, Glossy	Plegadis falcinellus
Ibis, Hadada	Bostrychia hagedash
Ibis, Southern Bald	Geronticus calvus
Kestrel, Greater	Falco rupicoloides
Kestrel, Rock	Falco rupicolus
Korhaan, Blue	Eupodotis caerulescens
Owl, Marsh	Asio capensis
Pochard, Southern	Netta erythrophthalma
Secretarybird	Sagittarius serpentarius
Shoveler, Cape	Spatula smithii
Sparrowhawk, Black	Accipiter melanoleucus
Spoonbill, African	Platalea alba
Stork, White	Ciconia ciconia
Stork, Yellow-billed	Mycteria ibis
Teal, Red-billed	Anas erythrorhyncha

8) IMPACT ASSESSMENT

8.1. General

Negative impacts on avifauna by electricity infrastructure generally take two main forms namely electrocution and collisions (Hobbs & Ledger, 1986b, 1986a; Jenkins et al., 2010; Kruger, 1999; Kruger & Van Rooyen, 1998; Ledger, 1983, 1984; Ledger et al., 1992; Ledger & Annegarn, 1981; van Rooyen, 2004; Van Rooyen, 2000; van Rooyen, 2000; Van Rooyen & Taylor, 1999; Verdoorn, 1996). Displacement due to habitat destruction and disturbance associated with the construction of the electricity infrastructure is another impact that could potentially impact on avifauna.

8.2. Electrocutions

Electrocution refers to the scenario where a bird is perched or attempts to perch on the electrical structure and causes an electrical short circuit by physically bridging the air gap between live components and/or live and earthed components (van Rooyen, 2004). The electrocution risk is largely determined by the pole/tower design. In the case of the proposed 132kV grid connection, the electrocution risk is envisaged to be negligible because of the clearance distances between the live and earthed components inherent in the design of such powerlines.

The 132kV grid connection power line should not pose an electrocution threat to the powerline sensitive species which are likely to occur in the PAOI and immediate surrounding environment.

8.3. Collisions

Collisions are arguably the biggest threat posed by transmission lines to birds in southern Africa (van Rooyen, 2004). Most heavily impacted upon are bustards, storks, cranes, and various species of waterbirds, and to a lesser extent, vultures. These species are mostly heavy-bodied birds with limited manoeuvrability, which makes it difficult for them to take the necessary evasive action to avoid colliding with transmission lines (van Rooyen, 2004). In a PhD study, Shaw (2013) provides a concise summary of the phenomenon of avian collisions with transmission lines:

"The collision risk posed by power lines is complex and problems are often localised. While any bird flying near a power line is at risk of collision, this risk varies greatly between different groups of birds, and depends on the interplay of a wide range of factors described these factors in four main groups – biological, topographical, meteorological, and technical. Birds at highest risk are those that are both susceptible to collisions and frequently exposed to power lines, with waterbirds, gamebirds, rails, cranes, and bustards usually the most numerous reported victims.

The proliferation of man-made structures in the landscape is relatively recent, and birds are not evolved to avoid them. Body size and morphology are key predictive factors of collision risk, with large-bodied birds with high wing loadings (the ratio of body weight to wing area) most at risk. These birds must fly fast to remain airborne, and do not have sufficient manoeuvrability to avoid unexpected obstacles. Vision is another key biological factor, with many collision-prone birds principally using lateral vision to navigate in flight, when it is the lower-resolution, and often restricted, forward vision that is useful to detect obstacles. Behaviour is important, with birds flying in flocks, at low levels and in crepuscular or nocturnal conditions at higher risk of collision. Experience affects risk, with migratory and nomadic species that spend much of their time in unfamiliar locations also expected to collide more often. Juvenile birds have often been reported as being more collision-prone than adults.

Topography and weather conditions affect how birds use the landscape. Power lines in sensitive bird areas (e.g., those that separate feeding and roosting areas, or cross flyways) can be very dangerous. Lines crossing the prevailing wind conditions can pose a problem for large birds that use the wind to aid take-off and landing. Inclement weather can disorient birds and reduce their flight altitude, and strong winds can result in birds colliding with power lines that they can see but do not have enough flight control to avoid.

The technical aspects of power line design and siting also play a big part in collision risk. Grouping similar power lines on a common servitude or locating them along other features such as tree lines, are both approaches thought to reduce risk. In general, low lines with short span lengths (i.e., the distance between two adjacent pylons) and flat conductor configurations are thought to be the least dangerous. On many higher voltage lines, there is a thin earth (or ground) wire above the conductors, protecting the system from lightning strikes. Earth wires are widely accepted to cause most collisions on power lines with this configuration because they are difficult to see, and birds flaring to avoid hitting the conductors often put themselves directly in the path of these wires."

From incidental record keeping by the Endangered Wildlife Trust, it is possible to give a measure of what species are generally susceptible to power line collisions in South Africa (see Figure 6).



Figure 5: The top 10 collision prone bird species in South Africa, in terms of reported incidents contained in the Eskom/Endangered Wildlife Trust Strategic Partnership central incident register 1996 - 2014 (EWT unpublished data).

Several factors are thought to influence avian collisions, including the manoeuvrability of the bird, topography, weather conditions and power line configuration. An important additional factor that previously has received little attention is the visual capacity of birds, i.e., whether they are able to see obstacles such as power lines, and whether they are looking ahead to see obstacles with enough time to avoid a collision. In addition to helping explain the susceptibility of some species to collision, this factor is key to planning effective mitigation measures. Recent research provides the first evidence that birds can render themselves blind in the direction of travel during flight through voluntary head movements (Martin et al., 2010). Visual fields were determined in three bird species representative of families known to be subject to high levels of mortality associated with power lines i.e. Kori Bustards, Blue Cranes and White Storks. In all species the frontal visual fields showed narrow and vertically long binocular fields typical of birds that take food items directly in the bill under visual guidance. However, these species differed markedly in the vertical extent of their binocular fields and in the extent of the blind areas which project above and below the binocular fields in the forward-facing hemisphere. The importance of these blind areas is that when in flight, head movements in the vertical plane (pitching the head to look downwards) will render the bird blind in the direction of travel. Such movements may frequently occur when birds are scanning below them (for foraging or roost sites, or for conspecifics). In bustards and cranes pitch movements of only 25° and 35°, respectively, are sufficient to render the birds blind in the direction of travel; in storks, head movements of 55° are necessary. That flying birds can render themselves blind in the direction of travel has not been previously recognised and has important implications for the effective mitigation of collisions with human artefacts including wind turbines and power lines. These findings have applicability to species outside of these families especially raptors (Accipitridae) which are known to have small binocular fields and large blind areas like those of bustards and cranes and are also known to be vulnerable to power line collisions.

Despite doubts about the efficacy of line marking to reduce the collision risk for bustards (Jenkins et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2010), there are numerous studies which prove that marking a line with PVC spiral type Bird Flight Diverters (BFDs) generally reduce mortality rates (Alonso & Alonso, 1999; Barrientos et al., 2011; Bernardino et al., 2018; Jenkins et al., 2010; Koops & De Jong, 1982; Sporer et al., 2013), including to some extent for bustards (Barrientos et al., 2012; Hoogstad 2015 pers.comm). Beaulaurier (1981) summarised the results of 17 studies that involved the marking of earth wires and found an average reduction in mortality of 45%. Barrientos et al. (2011) reviewed the results of 15 wire marking experiments in which transmission or distribution wires were marked to examine the effectiveness of flight diverters in reducing bird mortality. The presence of flight diverters was associated with a decrease of 55–94% in bird mortalities. Koops and De Jong (1982) found that the spacing of the BFDs was critical in reducing the mortality rates - mortality rates are reduced up to 86% with a spacing of 5m, whereas using the same devices at 10m intervals only reduces the mortality by 57%. Barrientos et al. (2012) found that larger BFDs were more effective in reducing Great Bustard collisions than smaller ones. Line markers should be as large as possible, and highly contrasting with the background. Colour is probably less important as during the day the background will be brighter than the obstacle with the reverse true at lower light levels (e.g. at twilight, or during overcast conditions). Black and white interspersed patterns are likely to maximise the probability of detection (Martin et al., 2010).

Using a controlled experiment spanning a period of nearly eight years (2008 to 2016), the Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT) and Eskom tested the effectiveness of two types of line markers in reducing power line collision mortalities of large birds on three up to 400kV transmission lines near Hydra substation in the Karoo. Marking was highly effective for Blue Cranes, with a 92% reduction in mortality, and large birds in general with a 56% reduction in mortality, but not for bustards, including the endangered Ludwig's Bustard. The two different marking devices were approximately equally effective, namely spirals and bird flappers, they found no evidence supporting the preferential use of one type of marker over the other (Shaw et al., 2017).

The following eighteen powerline sensitive which occur regularly in the PAOI are potentially vulnerable to powerline collision impacts:

1)	Hamerkop	10) Owl, Marsh
2)	Heron, Black-headed	11) Pochard, Southern
3)	Heron, Grey	12) Secretarybird
4)	Ibis, African Sacred	13) Shoveler, Cape
5)	Ibis, Glossy	14) Spoonbill, African
6)	Ibis, Hadada	15) Stork, White
7)	Ibis, Southern Bald	16) Stork, Yellow-billed
8)	Kite, Black-winged	17) Teal, Cape
9)	Korhaan, Blue	18) Teal, Red-billed

8.4. Displacement due to habitat destruction

During the construction of power lines, service roads (jeep tracks) and substations, habitat destruction/transformation inevitably takes place. The construction activities will constitute the following:

- Site clearance and preparation
- Construction of the infrastructure (i.e., the on-site substation and overhead power line)

- Transportation of personnel, construction material and equipment to the site, and personnel away from the site
- Removal of vegetation for the proposed on-site substation and overhead power line, stockpiling of topsoil and cleared vegetation
- Excavations for infrastructure

These activities could impact on birds breeding, foraging, and roosting in or in proximity of the proposed substation and/or powerline through **transformation of habitat**, which could result in temporary or permanent displacement. Unfortunately, very little mitigation can be applied to reduce the significance of this impact as the total permanent transformation of the natural habitat within the construction footprint of the Project is unavoidable. The loss of habitat for powerline sensitive species due to direct habitat transformation associated with the construction of the proposed Project is likely to be moderate due to the small size of the footprint, but ideally high-quality grassland should be avoided if possible.

The following five powerline sensitive species which occur regularly in the PAOI are potentially vulnerable to displacement due to habitat transformation:

- 1) Bustard, Denham's
- 2) Crane, Grey Crowned
- 3) Korhaan, Blue
- 4) Owl, Marsh
- 5) Secretarybird

8.5. Displacement due to disturbance

Apart from direct habitat destruction, the above-mentioned activities also impact on birds through **disturbance**; this could lead to breeding failure if the disturbance happens during a critical part of the breeding cycle. Construction activities near breeding locations could be a source of disturbance and could lead to temporary breeding failure or even permanent abandonment of nests. A potential mitigation measure is the timeous identification of nests and the timing of the construction activities to avoid disturbance during a critical phase of the breeding cycle, although in practice that can admittedly be very challenging to implement. Terrestrial species and owls are most likely to be affected by displacement due to disturbance in the PAOI.

The following eight powerline sensitive species which occur regularly in the PAOI are potentially vulnerable to displacement due to disturbance:

- 1) Bustard, Denham's
- 2) Crane, Grey Crowned
- 3) Eagle-Owl, Spotted
- 4) Falcon, Lanner
- 5) Guineafowl, Helmeted
- 6) Korhaan, Blue
- 7) Owl, Marsh
- 8) Secretarybird

9. IMPACT RATING AND MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

9.1. Potential impacts

The following potential impacts on powerline sensitive species have been identified:

9.1.1. Construction Phase

- Displacement due to disturbance associated with the construction of the grid connection power line.
- Displacement due to habitat transformation associated with the grid connection power line.

9.1.2. Operational Phase

• Collisions with the up to 132kV grid connection power line.

9.1.3. Decommissioning Phase

• Displacement due to disturbance associated with the decommissioning of the grid connection power line.

9.1.4. Cumulative Impacts

- Displacement due to disturbance associated with the construction and decommissioning of the
- grid connection power line.
- Displacement due to habitat transformation associated with the grid connection power line.
- Collisions with the overhead power line.

10. IMPACT RATING

See Appendix 3 for the assessment criteria employed to assess the impacts of the proposed Project.

Tables 6 contains a summary of the impact assessment and proposed mitigation measures for the identified impacts:
Table 6: Environmental impact ratings and mitigation recommendations for the construction, operation, decommissioning phases of the Hendrina South Infrastructure, as well as the cumulative impacts this project and related developments within a 30km radius on priority species avifauna.

			HEN	DRII	NA S	OUT	FH 1 :	32kV	GRI		TION									
			E	ENVII		/IEN ORE	TAL S	SIGNI IGATI	FICA ON	NCE			EN	IVIR		ΛEN ΓER	TAL MIT	. SIGI IGAT	VIFIC ION	CANCE
ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETER	ISSUE / IMPACT / ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT/ NATURE	E	Р	R	L	D	I/ M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S	S RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES		Р	R	L	D	I / M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S
Construction Phase	_														1					
Noise pollution and environmental disruption from construction activity	Displacement of priority species from breeding/feeding/roosting areas	1	4	2	3	1	3	33	_	Medium	Conduct a walkthrough inspection to identify Red List species that may be breeding within the project footprint to ensure that the impacts to breeding species (if any) are adequately managed.	1	2	1	2	1	2	14		Low

HENDRINA SOUTH 132kV GRID CONNECTION																				
			E	NVIF	RONN BEF	IEN ORE	TAL S MITI	BIGN GAT	IFICA ION	NCE			EN	IVIR	ONI AF	MEN TER	TAL MIT	. SIGI Igat	NIFIC ION	CANCE
ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETER	ISSUE / IMPACT / ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT/ NATURE	E	Ρ	R	L	D	I/ M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S	RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES	E	Ρ	R	L	D	I / M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S
											Construction activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure as far as possible. Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of powerline sensitive species. Dust suppression must be administered regularly based on visual inspection by ECO Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.									
Habitat transformation resulting from the 132kV powerline	Displacement of priority species from breeding/feeding/roosting areas	1	3	1	2	3	3	33	-	Medium	Vegetation clearance should be limited to what is necessary. The mitigation measures proposed by the biodiversity specialist must be strictly enforced. Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads	1	3	1	2	2	2	18	-	Low

HENDRINA SOUTH 132kV GRID CONNECTION																				
			E	INVI	RONN BEF	/IEN ORE	TAL : MIT	SIGN IGAT	IFIC/ ION	NCE			EN	IVIR	ONI AF	MEN TER	TAL MIT	. SIGN	NIFIC	CANCE
ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETER	ISSUE / IMPACT / ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT/ NATURE	E	Р	R	L	D	I/ M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S	RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES	E	Р	R	L	D	I / M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S
											should be kept to a minimum.									
Operational Phase																				
Bird mortality and injury resulting from collisions with the 132kV powerline	Population reduction of priority species	3	4	2	3	3	3	45	-	High	The authorised alignment must be inspected by an avifaunal specialist by means of a "walk-through" inspection i.e. through a combination of satellite imagery supplemented with in situ inspections by vehicle and where necessary, on foot, once the pole positions have been finalised. The objective would be to demarcate the sections of the powerline that need to be fitted with Bird Flight Diverters Once the relevant spans have been identified, Bird Flight Diverters must be fitted according to the applicable Eskom Engineering Instruction (Eskom Unique Identifier 240 – 93563150: The utilisation of Bird Flight Diverters on Eskom Overhead Lines).	3	2	1	2	3	2	22	-	Low
Decommissioning Phase	1		1	1		1		1	1							1	1			

HENDRINA SOUTH 132kV GRID CONNECTION																				
			E	INVI	RONN	/IEN1 ORE	TAL S MITI	GAT	IFICA	NCE	ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFI			NIFIC ION	ANCE					
ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETER	ISSUE / IMPACT / ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT/ NATURE	E	Ρ	R	L	D	I/ M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S	RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES	E	Ρ	R	L	D	I / M	TOTAL	STATUS (+ OR -)	S
Noise pollution and environmental disruption during the decommissioning phase.	Total/partial displacement of priority species from breeding/feeding/roosting areas	1	4	2	3	1	3	33	-	Medium	Decommissioning activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure as far as possible. Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of powerline sensitive species. Measures to control noise and dust should be applied according to current best practice in the industry. Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.	- 1	2	1	2	1	2	14	-	Low
Cumulative impact of each proje	ct phase																			
Broad-scale ecological processes	Transformation and presence of the facility will contribute to cumulative habitat loss and impacts on broad-scale ecological processes, namely population declines and displacement of priority bird species	3	4	2	3	3	3	45	-	High	Combined mitigation measures against each environmental parameter associated with the construction, operation, and decommissioning phases of the project.	3	2	1	2	3	2	22	-	Low

11. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

"Cumulative Impact", in relation to an activity, means the past, current, and reasonably foreseeable future impact of an activity, considered together with the impact of activities associated with that activity, that may not be significant, but may become significant when added to existing and reasonably foreseeable impacts eventuating from similar or diverse activities.

The role of the cumulative assessment is to test if such impacts are relevant to the proposed project in the proposed location (i.e., whether the addition of the proposed project in the area will increase the impact). This section addresses whether the construction of the proposed development will result in:

- Unacceptable risk
- Unacceptable loss
- Complete or whole-scale changes to the environment
- Unacceptable increase in impact

When considered in isolation, the Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure is expected to have a low impact on the priority avifauna (see Section 10, Table 6) following recommended mitigation measures (detailed in Section 12); without appropriate mitigations measures, this development poses a moderately high impact risk on priority avifauna (see Section 10, Table 6).

However, the potentially low impact of this development should be contextualised alongside related local/regional developments. According to the official database of DFFE and other documents in the public domain, there are currently at least four planned wind and solar energy facilities within a 30km radius around the proposed development (see Figure 5). These are the following:

- Solar photovoltaic power plant at ESKOM Duvha power station (DFFE Reg Nr. 14/12/16/3/3/2/759)
- Halfgewonnen Solar PV facility (DFFE Reg Nr. 14/12/16/3/3/2/2068)
- Hendrina North Wind Energy Facility (DFFE Reg Nr. 2017/143710/07)
- Arnot Solar PV facility (DFFE Reg Nr. 14/12/16/3/3/2/760)

The combined length of the grid connections for the proposed Arnot and Halfgewonnen PV facilities and Hendrina North Wind Energy Facility renewable energy projects listed above is approximately 26km. The PV plant at the Duvha Power Station will be on the premises of power station. The proposed Hendrina South grid connection will be a maximum of 23.8km long. The existing high voltage lines in the 30km radius around the proposed Hendrina South grid connection extend for several hundred kilometres (see Figure 6).

The Hendrina South Grid Infrastructure represents a comparatively **Low** contribution towards the total length of high voltage power lines within a 30km radius. However, this project will increase the density of planned and existing high voltage lines within a 30km radius, and this cumulative effect represents a potentially **Moderate** impact risk to priority avifauna.



Figure 6: Planned renewable energy projects and existing high voltage lines within a 30km radius

12. MITIGATION MEASURES

The impact significance without mitigation measures is assessed with the design controls in place. Impacts without mitigation measures in place are not representative of the proposed development's actual extent of impact and are included to facilitate understanding of how and why mitigation measures were identified. The residual impact is what remains following the application of mitigation and management measures and is thus the final level of impact associated with the proposed Project. Residual impacts also serve as the focus of management and monitoring activities during Project implementation to verify that actual impacts are the same as those predicted in this report.

The mitigation measures chosen are based on the mitigation sequence/hierarchy which allows for consideration of five (5) different levels, which include avoid/prevent, minimise, rehabilitate/restore, offset and no-go in that order. The idea is that when project impacts are considered, the first option should be to avoid or prevent the impacts from occurring in the first place if possible, however, this is not always feasible. If this is not attainable, the impacts can be allowed, however they must be minimised as far as possible by considering reducing the footprint of the development for example so that little damage is encountered. If impacts are unavoidable, the next goal is to rehabilitate or restore the areas impacted back to their original form after project completion. Offsets are then considered if all the other measures described above fail to remedy high/significant residual negative impacts. If no offsets can be achieved on a potential impact, which results in full destruction of any ecosystem for example, the no-go option is considered so that another activity or location is considered in place of the original plan.

The mitigation sequence/hierarchy is shown in Figure 7.

Avoid or preve	ent Refers to considering options in project location, nature, scale, layout, technology and phasing to avoid impacts on biodiversity, associated ecosystem services, and people. Where environmental and social factors give rise to unacceptable negative impacts the projects should not take place, as such impacts are rarely offsetable. Although this is the best option, it will not always be feasible, and then the next steps become critical.
Minimise	Refers to considering alternatives in the project location, scale, layout, technology and phasing that would minimise impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem services. Every effort should be made to minimise impacts where there are environmental and social constraints.
Rehabilitate Restore	Refers to the restoration or rehabilitation of areas where impacts were unavoidable and measures are taken to return impacted areas to an agreed land use after the project. Restoration, or even rehabilitation, might not be achievable, or the risk of achieving it might be very high, and it might fall short of replicating the diversity and complexity of the natural system, and residual negative impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem services will invariably still need to be offset.
Offset Refers to on biodin then reh offsets significal	o measures over and above restoration to remedy the residual (remaining and unavoidable) negative impacts versity and ecosystem services. When every effort has been made to avoid or prevent impacts, minimise and abilitate remaining impacts to a degree of no net loss of biodiversity against biodiversity targets, biodiversity can – in cases where residual impacts would not cause irreplaceable loss - provide a mechanism to remedy nt residual negative impacts on biodiversity.
No Go Refers to 'fatal t because the der meet biodiversity	law' in the proposed project, or specifically a proposed project in an area that cannot be offset, velopment will impact on strategically important Ecosystem Services, or jeopardise the ability to y targets. This is a fatal flaw and should result in the project being rejected.

Figure 7: Mitigation Sequence/Hierarchy

The mitigation measures that are recommended for the proposed Project is listed below.

12.1. Pre-construction phase

- Conduct an inspection to identify Red List species that may be breeding within the project footprint to ensure that the impacts to breeding species (if any) are adequately managed.
- The authorised alignment must be inspected by an avifaunal specialist by means of a "walk-through" inspection i.e., through a combination of satellite imagery supplemented with in situ inspections by vehicle and where necessary, on foot, once the pole positions have been finalised. The objective would be to demarcate the sections of the powerline that need to be fitted with Bird Flight Diverters.

12.2. Construction phase

- Once the relevant spans have been identified, Bird Flight Diverters must be fitted according to the applicable Eskom Engineering Instruction (Eskom Unique Identifier 240 – 93563150: The utilisation of Bird Flight Diverters on Eskom Overhead Lines).
- Conduct an inspection to identify Red List species that may be breeding within the project footprint to ensure that the impacts to breeding species (if any) are adequately managed.

- Construction activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure as far as possible.
- Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of powerline sensitive species.
- Measures to control noise and dust should be applied according to current best practice in the industry.
- Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.
- Vegetation clearance should be limited to what is necessary.
- The mitigation measures proposed by the biodiversity specialist must be strictly enforced.

12.3. Operational phase

• Avifaunal specialist to conduct quarterly inspections of the power line for a period of two years, in orer to identify additional areas where BFDs need to be fitted if need be.

12.4. De-commissioning phase

- Decommissioning activity should be restricted to the immediate footprint of the infrastructure as far as possible.
- Access to the remainder of the site should be strictly controlled to prevent unnecessary disturbance of powerline sensitive species.
- Measures to control noise and dust should be applied according to current best practice in the industry.
- Maximum use should be made of existing access roads and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum.

13. ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITIES

The following specific environmental sensitivities were identified from an avifaunal perspective (see Figure 9 for the map of environmental sensitivities):

Very high sensitivity: drainage lines, dams, pans, and associated herbaceous wetlands.

Wetlands (including dam margins) are important breeding, roosting and foraging habitat for a variety priority species, particularly waterbirds, as well as seven Red List species, namely:

- 8. Crane, Grey Crowned (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- 9. Duck, Maccoa (Globally Endangered, Regionally Near Threatened)
- 10. Eagle, Martial (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- 11. Falcon, Lanner (Globally Least Concern, Regionally, Vulnerable)
- 12. Flamingo, Greater (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Near Threatened)
- 13. Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)
- 14. Stork, Yellow-billed (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered)

Birds commuting between these areas will be at risk of collision with the earth-wire if they must cross over the grid connection. Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walk-through inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

High sensitivity: undisturbed natural grassland

The natural grassland is vital breeding, roosting and foraging habitat for a variety of Red List powerline sensitive species and will therefore be associated with significant flight activity. These include the following five Red List species:

- 1. Eagle, Martial (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- 2. Falcon, Lanner (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable)
- 3. Ibis, Southern Bald (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable)
- 4. Korhaan, Blue (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern)
- 5. Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)

Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walkthrough inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

Medium sensitivity: disturbed natural grassland/fallow agricultural land

Disturbed natural grassland and fallow agricultural land provide similar foraging, roosting, and potentially breeding opportunities for priority species which depend upon natural grassland, including the same five Red List species listed for natural undisturbed grassland.

Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walkthrough inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters. The preferred option from a bird impact perspective would the HD South Option 1 132kV, as it intersects fewer environmentally sensitivity areas than would the HD South Option 2 132kV (see Figure 8).



Figure 8: Environmental sensitivities within the Hendrina South Grid PAOI

14. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME

Please see Appendix 4 for the monitoring requirements to be included in the EMPr for the grid project.

15. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPACT STATEMENT

According to the DFFE national screening tool, small sections of the habitat within the PAOI is classified as High sensitivity according to the Animal Species theme, due to the potential presence of species of conservation concern (SCCs), namely Yellow-billed Stork *Mycteria ibis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered). Most the habitat within the PAOI is classified as **medium** sensitivity due the presence of other SCCs, namely, White-bellied Korhaan *Eupoditis senegalensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable), African Grass Owl *Tyto capensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable) and Caspian Tern *Hydroprogne caspia* (Globally Least Concern Regionally Vulnerable).

The classification of **High** sensitivity for Yellow-billed Stork is supported based on the habitat recorded during surveys, but in addition the PAOI as a whole should be reclassified as **High** based on the recorded presence of SCCs recorded in the PAOI during monitoring, namely Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally

Vulnerable), Martial Eagle (Globally Endangered, Locally Endangered), Lanner Falcon (Locally Vulnerable), Southern Bald Ibis (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable), Blue Korhaan (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern), and Grey Crowned Crane (Globally and Locally Endangered).

The proposed Project will have a range of pre-mitigation impacts from medium to high on priority avifauna, but it is expected to be reduced to acceptable low levels with appropriate mitigation. No fatal flaws were discovered during the investigations, therefore the authorisation of the project is supported, provided the recommendations in this report is strictly implemented.

REFERENCES

- Alonso, J. A., & Alonso, J. C. (1999). Collision of birds with overhead transmission lines in Spain. *Birds and Powerlines, Quercus, Madrid, Pp57-82*.
- Barrientos, R., Alonso, J. C., Ponce, C., & Palacin, C. (2011). Meta-analysis of the effectiveness of marked wire in reducing avian collisions with power lines. *Conservation Biology*, *25*(5), 893–903.
- Barrientos, R., Ponce, C., Palacín, C., Martín, C. A., Martín, B., & Alonso, J. C. (2012). Wire marking results in a small but significant reduction in avian mortality at power lines: a BACI designed study. *PLoS One*, 7(3), e32569.
- Beaulaurier, D. L. (1981). *Mitigation of bird collisions with transmission lines*. Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education, Boulder, CO (USA).
- Bernardino, J., Bevanger, K., Barrientos, R., Dwyer, J. F., Marques, A. T., Martins, R. C., Shaw, J. M., Silva, J. P., & Moreira, F. (2018). Bird collisions with power lines: State of the art and priority areas for research. *Biological Conservation*, 222, 1–13.
- Bevanger, K. (1994). Bird interactions with utility structures: collision and electrocution, causes and mitigating measures. *Ibis*, *136*(4), 412–425.
- Chief Directorate: National GeoSpatial Information. (2017). *Topographical Vector Data of South Africa*. South African Department of Rural Development and Land Reform. http://www.sasdi.net/sresults.aspx?text=Land+cover&offset=60&f_institution=
- DEA, & DALRRD. (2019). South African national land-cover (SANLC) 2018. Department of Environmental Affairs, and Department of Rural Development and Land Reform, Pretoria, South Africa. https://www.environment.gov.za/projectsprogrammes/egis_landcover_datasets
- DFFE. (2022). South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD_OR_2021_Q4). http://egis.environment.gov.za
- Harrison, J. A., Allan, D. G., Underhill, L. G., Herremans, M., Tree, A. J., Parker, V., & Brown, C. J. (Eds.). (1997a). *The atlas of southern African birds. Vol. 1: Non-passerines*. BirdLife South Africa, Johannesburg, SA.
- Harrison, J. A., Allan, D. G., Underhill, L. G., Herremans, M., Tree, A. J., Parker, V., & Brown, C. J. (Eds.). (1997b). *The atlas of southern African birds. Vol. 2: Passerines*. BirdLife South Africa, Johannesburg, SA.
- Hobbs, J., & Ledger, J. (1986a). Power lines, Birdlife and the Golden Mean. Fauna and Flora, 44(23–27), 12.
- Hobbs, J., & Ledger, J. (1986b). The Environmental Impact of Linear Developments; Power lines and Avifauna. *Third International Conference on Environmental Quality and Ecosystem Stability. Israel.*
- Hockey, P. A. R., Dean, W. R. J., & Ryan, P. G. (Eds.). (2005). *Roberts Birds of Southern Africa, VIIth edition* (7th ed.). Cape Town, SA: The Trustees of the John Voelcker Bird Book Fund.
- Janss, G. F. E. (2000). Avian mortality from power lines: A morphologic approach of a species-specific

mortality. Biological Conservation, 95(3), 353-359. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00021-5

- Jenkins, A., Smallie, J. J., & Diamond, M. (2010). Avian collisions with power lines: A global review of causes and mitigation with a South African perspective. *Bird Conservation International*, *20*(3), 263–278. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959270910000122
- Koops, F. B. J., & De Jong, J. (1982). Vermindering van draadslachtoffers door markering van hoogspanningsleidingen in de omgeving van Heerenveen. Het Vogeljaar, 30(6), 308–316.
- Kruger, R. (1999). *Towards solving raptor electrocutions on Eskom distribution structures in South Africa.* MSc thesis, University of Free State, Bloemfontein.
- Kruger, R., & Van Rooyen, C. S. (1998). Evaluating the risk that existing power lines pose to large raptors by using risk assessment methodology: the Molopo Case Study. *5th World Conference on Birds of Prey and Owls*, 4–8.
- Ledger, J. (1983). Guidelines for Dealing with Bird Problems of Transmission Lines and Towers. *Escom Test and Research Division Technical Note TRR N*, 83.
- Ledger, J. (1984). Engineering solutions to the problem of vulture electrocutions on electricity towers. *The Certificated Engineer*, *57*(6), 92–95.
- Ledger, J., & Annegarn, H. (1981). Electrocution hazards to the cape vulture Gyps coprotheres in South Africa. *Biological Conservation*, *20*(1), 15–24.
- Ledger, J., Hobbs, J., & Smith, T. (1992). Avian interactions with utility structures: southern African experiences. *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Avian Interactions with Utility Structures, Miami, Florida*, 13–15.
- Marnewick, M. D., Retief, E. F., Theron, N. T., Wright, D. R., & Anderson, T. A. (2015). Important bird and biodiversity areas of South Africa. *Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa*. http://www.birdlife.org.za/conservation/importantbird-areas/documents-and-downloads
- Martin, G. (2011). Understanding bird collisions with man-made objects: A sensory ecology approach. *Ibis*, 153(2), 239–254. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2011.01117.x
- Martin, G., Portugal, S. J., & Murn, C. P. (2012). Visual fields, foraging and collision vulnerability in Gyps vultures. *Ibis*, *154*(3), 626–631. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2012.01227.x
- Martin, G., Shaw, J., Smallie, J., & Diamond, M. (2010). *Bird's eye view–How birds see is key to avoiding power line collisions*. Eskom Research Report. Report Nr: RES/RR/09/31613.
- Mucina, L., Hoare, D. B., Mervyn, C., Preez, P. J., Rutherford, M. C., Scott-shaw, C. R., Bredenkamp, G. J., Powrie, L. W., Scott, L., Camp, K. G. T., Cilliers, S. S., Bezuidenhout, H., Theo, H., Siebert, S. J., Winter, P. J. D., Burrows, J. E., Dobson, L., Ward, R. A., Stalmans, M., ... Kobisi, K. (2006). Chapter 8 -Grassland Biome. In L. Mucina & M. C. Rutherford (Eds.), *The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland* (pp. 348–437). Strelitzia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- Mucina, L., & Rutherford, M. C. (Eds.). (2006). *The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Strelitzia 19, South African National Biodiversity Institute: Pretoria, South Africa.
- SANBI. (2013). Grasslands Ecosystem Guidelines: landscape interpretation for planners and managers (M. Cadman, C. DeVilliers, R. Lechmere-Oertel, & D. McCulloch (Eds.)). South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- SANBI. (2018). The Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (L. Mucina, M. C. Rutherford, & L. W. Powrie (Eds.); Version 20). South African National Biodiversity Institute. http://bgis.sanbi.org/Projects/Detail/186
- Shaw, J. (2013). Power line collisions in the Karoo: Conserving Ludwig's Bustard. University of Cape Town.
- Shaw, J., Pretorius, M. D., Gibbons, B., Mohale, O., Visagie, R., Leeuwner, J. L., & Ryan, P. G. (2017). The

effectiveness of line markers in reducing power line collisions of large terrestrial birds at De Aar, Northern Cape. Eskom Research, Testing and Development. Research Report. RES/RR/17/1939422.

- Sporer, M. K., Dwyer, J. F., Gerber, B. D., Harness, R. E., & Pandey, A. K. (2013). Marking power lines to reduce avian collisions near the Audubon National Wildlife Refuge, North Dakota. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *37*(4), 796–804.
- Taylor, M., Peacock, F., & Wanless, R. M. (Eds.). (2015). *The 2015 Eskom Red Data Book of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. BirdLife South Afric, a Johannesburg.
- van Rooyen, C. S. (2000). An overview of vulture electrocutions in South Africa. Vulture News, 43, 5-22.
- van Rooyen, C. S. (2004). The Management of Wildlife Interactions with overhead lines. In *The fundamentals* and practice of Overhead Line Maintenance (132kV and above), (pp. 217–245). Eskom Technology, Services International, Johannesburg.
- Van Rooyen, C. S. (2000). Raptor mortality on power lines in South Africa. Raptors at Risk: Proceedings of the 5th World Conference on Birds of Prey and Owls. Hancock House Publishers and the World Working Group on Birds of Prey and Owls, Blaine, Washington, 739–750.
- Van Rooyen, C. S., & Taylor, P. V. (1999). Bird Streamers as probable cause of electrocutions in South Africa. *EPRI Workshop on Avian Interactions with Utility Structures*, 2–3.
- Van Wyk, A. E., & Smith, G. F. (2001). *Regions of floristic endemism in southern Africa: a review with emphasis on succulents.* Umdaus press.
- Verdoorn, G. (1996). Mortality of Cape Griffons Gyps coprotheres and African Whitebacked Vultures Pseudogyps africanus on 88kV and 132kV power lines in Western Transvaal, South Africa, and mitigation measures to prevent future problems. 2nd International Conference on Raptors, 2–5.

APPENDIX 1: SABAP 2 SPECIES LIST FOR THE BROADER AREA

NT = Near threatened, VU = Vulnerable, EN = Endangered, LC = Least Concern

Species name	Scientific name	ull protocol	vd hoc protocol	Regional status	èlobal status
Bokmakierie	Telophorus zevlopus	2.67	0.00		
Hamerkop	Scopus umbretta	9.33	5.88		
Neddicky	Cisticola fulvicapilla	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Quailfinch	Ortygospiza atricollis	49.33	0.00	LC	LC
Ruff	Calidris pugnax	12.00	0.00	LC	LC
Secretarybird	Sagittarius serpentarius	8.00	0.00	VU	EN
Avocet, Pied	Recurvirostra avosetta	16.00	0.00	LC	LC
Barbet, Black-collared	Lybius torquatus	9.33	2.94	LC	LC
Barbet, Crested	Trachyphonus vaillantii	5.33	0.00	LC	LC
Bee-eater, European	Merops apiaster	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Bishop, Southern Red	Euplectes orix	93.33	14.71	LC	LC
Bishop, Yellow	Euplectes capensis	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Bishop, Yellow-crowned	Euplectes afer	24.00	0.00	LC	LC
Bulbul, Dark-capped	Pycnonotus tricolor	16.00	2.94	LC	LC
Bustard, Denham's	Neotis denhami	4.00	2.94	VU	NT
Buzzard, Common	Buteo buteo	22.67	2.94	LC	LC
Buzzard, Jackal	Buteo rufofuscus	0.00	2.94	LC	LC
Canary, Black-throated	Crithagra atrogularis	74.67	32.35	LC	LC
Canary, Cape	Serinus canicollis	52.00	2.94	LC	LC
Canary, Yellow	Crithagra flaviventris	32.00	5.88	LC	LC
Canary, Yellow-fronted	Crithagra mozambica	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Chat, Ant-eating	Myrmecocichla formicivora	65.33	14.71	LC	LC
Cisticola, Cloud	Cisticola textrix	28.00	0.00	LC	LC
Cisticola, Desert	Cisticola aridulus	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Cisticola, Levaillant's	Cisticola tinniens	92.00	38.24	LC	LC
Cisticola, Pale-crowned	Cisticola cinnamomeus	16.00	0.00	LC	LC
Cisticola, Wailing	Cisticola lais	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Cisticola, Wing-snapping	Cisticola ayresii	45.33	14.71	LC	LC
Cisticola, Zitting	Cisticola juncidis	44.00	5.88	LC	LC
Coot, Red-knobbed	Fulica cristata	78.67	26.47	LC	LC
Cormorant, Reed	Microcarbo africanus	73.33	20.59	LC	LC
Cormorant, White-breasted	Phalacrocorax lucidus	26.67	14.71	LC	LC
Crake, Black	Zapornia flavirostra	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Crane, Grey Crowned	Balearica regulorum	0.00	2.94	EN	EN
Crow, Pied	Corvus albus	14.67	2.94	LC	LC

Cuckoo, Diederik	Chrysococcyx caprius	10.67	0.00	LC	LC
Darter, African	Anhinga rufa	26.67	5.88	LC	LC
Dove, Cape Turtle	Streptopelia capicola	96.00	32.35	LC	LC
Dove, Laughing	Spilopelia senegalensis	84.00	41.18	LC	LC
Dove, Namaqua	Oena capensis	16.00	0.00	LC	LC
Dove, Red-eyed	Streptopelia semitorquata	74.67	29.41	LC	LC
Dove, Rock	Columba livia	25.33	5.88	LC	LC
Duck, African Black	Anas sparsa	1.33	2.94	LC	LC
Duck, Fulvous Whistling	Dendrocygna bicolor	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Duck, Knob-billed	Sarkidiornis melanotos	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Duck, Maccoa	Oxyura maccoa	13.33	0.00	NT	EN
Duck, White-backed	Thalassornis leuconotus	8.00	2.94	LC	LC
Duck, White-faced Whistling	Dendrocygna viduata	9.33	2.94	LC	LC
Duck, Yellow-billed	Anas undulata	81.33	17.65	LC	LC
Eagle, African Fish	Haliaeetus vocifer	5.33	0.00	LC	LC
Eagle, Black-chested Snake	Circaetus pectoralis	6.67	0.00	LC	LC
Eagle, Long-crested	Lophaetus occipitalis	4.00	2.94	LC	LC
Eagle, Martial	Polemaetus bellicosus	1.33	0.00	EN	EN
Eagle-Owl, Spotted	Bubo africanus	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Egret, Great	Ardea alba	5.33	2.94	LC	LC
Egret, Intermediate	Ardea intermedia	30.67	5.88	LC	LC
Egret, Little	Egretta garzetta	17.33	5.88	LC	LC
Egret, Western Cattle	Bubulcus ibis	62.67	17.65	LC	LC
Falcon, Amur	Falco amurensis	5.33	0.00	LC	LC
Falcon, Lanner	Falco biarmicus	4.00	0.00	VU	LC
Finch, Red-headed	Amadina erythrocephala	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Fiscal, Southern	Lanius collaris	80.00	26.47	LC	LC
Flamingo, Greater	Phoenicopterus roseus	22.67	2.94	NT	LC
Flamingo, Lesser	Phoeniconaias minor	9.33	0.00	NT	NT
Flycatcher, Fiscal	Melaenornis silens	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Francolin, Grey-winged	Scleroptila afra	5.33	0.00	LC	LC
Francolin, Orange River	Scleroptila gutturalis	13.33	0.00	LC	LC
Francolin, Red-winged	Scleroptila levaillantii	4.00	0.00	LC	LC
Goose, Egyptian	Alopochen aegyptiaca	88.00	23.53	LC	LC
Goose, Spur-winged	Plectropterus gambensis	58.67	0.00	LC	LC
Grassbird, Cape	Sphenoeacus afer	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Grebe, Black-necked	Podiceps nigricollis	9.33	0.00	LC	LC
Grebe, Great Crested	Podiceps cristatus	10.67	2.94	LC	LC
Grebe, Little	Tachybaptus ruficollis	61.33	14.71	LC	LC
Greenshank, Common	Tringa nebularia	10.67	2.94	LC	LC
Guineafowl, Helmeted	Numida meleagris	54.67	14.71	LC	LC
Gull, Grey-headed	Chroicocephalus cirrocephalus	8.00	0.00	LC	LC
Harrier, Montagu's	Circus pygargus	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Harrier-Hawk, African	Polyboroides typus	5.33	0.00	LC	LC
Heron, Black-crowned Night	Nycticorax nycticorax	2.67	0.00	LC	LC

Heron, Black-headed	Ardea melanocephala	65.33	11.76	LC	LC
Heron, Goliath	Ardea goliath	6.67	0.00	LC	LC
Heron, Grey	Ardea cinerea	36.00	8.82	LC	LC
Heron, Purple	Ardea purpurea	13.33	8.82	LC	LC
Heron, Squacco	Ardeola ralloides	5.33	8.82	LC	LC
Ibis, African Sacred	Threskiornis aethiopicus	45.33	5.88	LC	LC
lbis, Glossy	Plegadis falcinellus	24.00	5.88	LC	LC
Ibis, Hadada	Bostrychia hagedash	86.67	14.71	LC	LC
Ibis, Southern Bald	Geronticus calvus	2.67	0.00	VU	VU
Kestrel, Greater	Falco rupicoloides	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Kestrel, Rock	Falco rupicolus	4.00	0.00	LC	LC
Kingfisher, Malachite	Corythornis cristatus	4.00	0.00	LC	LC
Kingfisher, Pied	Ceryle rudis	13.33	2.94	LC	LC
Kite, Black-winged	Elanus caeruleus	82.67	20.59	LC	LC
Korhaan, Blue	Eupodotis caerulescens	20.00	0.00	LC	NT
Lapwing, African Wattled	Vanellus senegallus	34.67	5.88	LC	LC
Lapwing, Blacksmith	Vanellus armatus	93.33	35.29	LC	LC
Lapwing, Crowned	Vanellus coronatus	68.00	14.71	LC	LC
Lark, Eastern Clapper	Mirafra fasciolata	4.00	0.00	LC	LC
Lark, Red-capped	Calandrella cinerea	70.67	8.82	LC	LC
Lark, Rufous-naped	Mirafra africana	6.67	0.00	LC	LC
Lark, Spike-heeled	Chersomanes albofasciata	26.67	2.94	LC	LC
Longclaw, Cape	Macronyx capensis	88.00	26.47	LC	LC
Martin, Banded	Riparia cincta	36.00	2.94	LC	LC
Martin, Brown-throated	Riparia paludicola	56.00	14.71	LC	LC
Martin, Rock	Ptyonoprogne fuligula	6.67	0.00	LC	LC
Moorhen, Common	Gallinula chloropus	21.33	5.88	LC	LC
Moorhen, Lesser	Paragallinula angulata	4.00	0.00	LC	LC
Mousebird, Speckled	Colius striatus	4.00	0.00	LC	LC
Myna, Common	Acridotheres tristis	28.00	14.71	LC	LC
Owl, Marsh	Asio capensis	20.00	0.00	LC	LC
Owl, Western Barn	Tyto alba	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Pigeon, Speckled	Columba guinea	60.00	14.71	LC	LC
Pipit, African	Anthus cinnamomeus	77.33	5.88	LC	LC
Pipit, Nicholson's	Anthus nicholsoni	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Pipit, Plain-backed	Anthus leucophrys	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Plover, Common Ringed	Charadrius hiaticula	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Plover, Kittlitz's	Charadrius pecuarius	18.67	5.88	LC	LC
Plover, Three-banded	Charadrius tricollaris	50.67	5.88	LC	LC
Pochard, Southern	Netta erythrophthalma	21.33	2.94	LC	LC
Prinia, Black-chested	Prinia flavicans	65.33	8.82	LC	LC
Prinia, Tawny-flanked	Prinia subflava	6.67	8.82	LC	LC
Quail, Common	Coturnix coturnix	38.67	8.82	LC	LC
Quelea, Red-billed	Quelea quelea	69.33	20.59	LC	LC
Rail, African	Rallus caerulescens	2.67	0.00	LC	LC

Robin-Chat, Cape	Cossypha caffra	24.00	2.94	LC	LC
Sandpiper, Common	Actitis hypoleucos	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Sandpiper, Curlew	Calidris ferruginea	4.00	0.00	LC	NT
Sandpiper, Marsh	Tringa stagnatilis	2.67	0.00	LC	LC
Sandpiper, Wood	Tringa glareola	14.67	2.94	LC	LC
Shelduck, South African	Tadorna cana	10.67	0.00	LC	LC
Shoveler, Cape	Spatula smithii	52.00	5.88	LC	LC
Snipe, African	Gallinago nigripennis	30.67	0.00	LC	LC
Sparrow, Cape	Passer melanurus	88.00	32.35	LC	LC
Sparrow, House	Passer domesticus	17.33	2.94	LC	LC
Sparrow, Southern Grey-headed	Passer diffusus	42.67	2.94	LC	LC
Sparrowhawk, Black	Accipiter melanoleucus	12.00	0.00	LC	LC
Spoonbill, African	Platalea alba	32.00	20.59	LC	LC
Spurfowl, Swainson's	Pternistis swainsonii	76.00	14.71	LC	LC
Starling, Pied	Lamprotornis bicolor	40.00	5.88	LC	LC
Starling, Red-winged	Onychognathus morio	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Starling, Wattled	Creatophora cinerea	2.67	2.94	LC	LC
Stilt, Black-winged	Himantopus himantopus	29.33	8.82	LC	LC
Stint, Little	Calidris minuta	8.00	0.00	LC	LC
Stonechat, African	Saxicola torquatus	92.00	32.35	LC	LC
Stork, White	Ciconia ciconia	5.33	0.00	LC	LC
Stork, Yellow-billed	Mycteria ibis	4.00	0.00	EN	LC
Sunbird, Amethyst	Chalcomitra amethystina	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Sunbird, Malachite	Nectarinia famosa	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Swallow, Barn	Hirundo rustica	44.00	8.82	LC	LC
Swallow, Greater Striped	Cecropis cucullata	37.33	23.53	LC	LC
Swallow, South African Cliff	Petrochelidon spilodera	37.33	0.00	LC	LC
Swallow, White-throated	Hirundo albigularis	28.00	8.82	LC	LC
Swamphen, African	Porphyrio madagascariensis	4.00	0.00	LC	LC
Swift, African Black	Apus barbatus	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Swift, African Palm	Cypsiurus parvus	4.00	5.88	LC	LC
Swift, Little	Apus affinis	29.33	8.82	LC	LC
Swift, White-rumped	Apus caffer	28.00	0.00	LC	LC
Teal, Cape	Anas capensis	16.00	0.00	LC	LC
Teal, Red-billed	Anas erythrorhyncha	58.67	11.76	LC	LC
Tern, Whiskered	Chlidonias hybrida	30.67	5.88	LC	LC
Tern, White-winged	Chlidonias leucopterus	4.00	2.94	LC	LC
Thick-knee, Spotted	Burhinus capensis	26.67	0.00	LC	LC
Thrush, Groundscraper	Turdus litsitsirupa	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Thrush, Karoo	Turdus smithi	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Thrush, Olive	Turdus olivaceus	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Wagtail, Cape	Motacilla capensis	73.33	26.47	LC	LC
Warbler, African Reed	Acrocephalus baeticatus	10.67	2.94	LC	LC
Warbler, Lesser Swamp	Acrocephalus gracilirostris	16.00	11.76	LC	LC
Warbler, Little Rush	Bradypterus baboecala	2.67	0.00	LC	LC

Warbler, Willow	Phylloscopus trochilus	1.33	2.94	LC	LC
Waxbill, Blue	Uraeginthus angolensis	0.00	2.94	LC	LC
Waxbill, Common	Estrilda astrild	58.67	14.71	LC	LC
Waxbill, Orange-breasted	Amandava subflava	40.00	2.94	LC	LC
Weaver, Southern Masked	Ploceus velatus	96.00	23.53	LC	LC
Weaver, Village	Ploceus cucullatus	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Wheatear, Capped	Oenanthe pileata	38.67	5.88	LC	LC
Wheatear, Mountain	Myrmecocichla monticola	0.00	2.94	LC	LC
White-eye, Cape	Zosterops virens	6.67	0.00	LC	LC
Whydah, Pin-tailed	Vidua macroura	64.00	23.53	LC	LC
Widowbird, Fan-tailed	Euplectes axillaris	40.00	0.00	LC	LC
Widowbird, Long-tailed	Euplectes progne	73.33	5.88	LC	LC
Widowbird, Red-collared	Euplectes ardens	1.33	0.00	LC	LC
Widowbird, White-winged	Euplectes albonotatus	13.33	0.00	LC	LC
Wood Hoopoe, Green	Phoeniculus purpureus	1.33	2.94	LC	LC
Wryneck, Red-throated	Jynx ruficollis	2.67	0.00	LC	LC

APPENDIX 2: HABITAT FEATURES AT THE PAOI

Grassland



Figure S1: Undisturbed grassland within the PAOI



Figure S2: Remnant grassland within the PAOI preserved along a drainage line

Drainage lines and wetlands



Figure S3: Well-established herbaceous wetland (vlei) along a drainage line in the PAOI.



Figure 9: Herbaceous wetland alongside a dam in the PAOI.



Figure S5: Aerial view of a drainage line (with artificial dams adjoining) in the PAOI.

Dams and pans



Figure 10: Aerial view of an artificial dam in the PAOI.



Figure S7: Ground view of a large natural pan within the PAOI.

Agricultural land



Figure S8: Recently sown maize field within the PAOI.



Figure 11: Aerial view of an agricultural field.



Figure S11: Cattle grazing in natural grassland within the PAOI.

Alien trees (and natural woodland)



Figure S12: Alien trees near an earth-embankment dam and residential area within the PAOI.



Figure S13: Several stands of alien trees near a drainage line within the PAOI.

High voltage powerlines



Figure S14: The Hendrina Power Station, situated within the PAOI.



Figure S15: High voltage powerlines within the PAOI.

APPENDIX 3: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The impact assessment followed criteria stipulated by the SiVest environment impact Assessment methodology, as provided below:



	ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETER								
A brief	description of the environmental aspect	ct likely to be affected by the proposed activity (e.g. Surface Water).							
ISSUE / IMPACT / ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT / NATURE									
Include	e a brief description of the impact of env	vironmental parameter being assessed in the context of the project.							
This cr	riterion includes a brief written stateme	nt of the environmental aspect being impacted upon by a particular							
action	action or activity (e.g. oil spill in surface water).								
		EXTENT (E)							
This is	defined as the area over which the in	npact will be expressed. Typically, the severity and significance of							
an imp	act have different scales and as such t	pracketing ranges are often required. This is often useful during the							
detaile	d assessment of a project in terms of f	urther defining the determined.							
1	Site	The impact will only affect the site							
2	Local/district	Will affect the local area or district							
3	Province/region	Will affect the entire province or region							
4	International and National	Will affect the entire country							
		PROBABILITY (P)							
This de	escribes the chance of occurrence of a	in impact							
The chance of the impact occurring is extremely low (Less than a									
1 Unlikely 25% chance of occurrence).									
	The impact may occur (Between a 25% to 50% chance of								
2	Possible	occurrence).							
		The impact will likely occur (Between a 50% to 75% chance of							
3	Probable	occurrence).							
		Impact will certainly occur (Greater than a 75% chance of							
4	Definite	occurrence).							
		REVERSIBILITY (R)							
This de	escribes the degree to which an impact	on an environmental parameter can be successfully reversed upon							
comple	etion of the proposed activity.								
		The impact is reversible with implementation of minor mitigation							
1	Completely reversible	measures							
		The impact is partly reversible but more intense mitigation							
2	Partly reversible	measures are required.							
_		The impact is unlikely to be reversed even with intense mitigation							
3	Barely reversible	measures.							
4	Irreversible	The impact is irreversible and no mitigation measures exist.							
	IRREPLACE	ABLE LOSS OF RESOURCES (L)							
This de	This describes the degree to which resources will be irreplaceably lost as a result of a proposed activity.								
1	No loss of resource.	The impact will not result in the loss of any resources.							
2	Marginal loss of resource	The impact will result in marginal loss of resources.							
3	Significant loss of resources	The impact will result in significant loss of resources.							
4	Complete loss of resources	The impact is result in a complete loss of all resources.							
	`	DURATION (D)							
This de	escribes the duration of the impacts on	the environmental parameter. Duration indicates the lifetime of the							
impact	impact as a result of the proposed activity.								



		The impact and its effects will either disappear with mitigation or
		will be mitigated through natural process in a span shorter than
		the construction phase $(0 - 1 \text{ years})$, or the impact and its effects
		will last for the period of a relatively short construction period and
		a limited recovery time after construction, thereafter it will be
1	Short term	entirely negated $(0 - 2 \text{ years})$.
•		ontholy hogator (o 2 years).
		The impact and its effects will continue or last for some time after
		the construction phase but will be mitigated by direct human
2	Medium term	action or by natural processes thereafter (2 – 10 years).
		The impact and its effects will continue or last for the entire
		operational life of the development, but will be mitigated by direct
3	Long term	human action or by natural processes thereafter ($10 - 50$ years).
		The only class of impact that will be non-transitory. Mitigation
		either by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or
		such a time span that the impact can be considered transient
4	Permanent	(Indefinite).
	INTEN	ISITY / MAGNITUDE (I / M)
Descrit	bes the severity of an impact (i.e. whe	ther the impact has the ability to alter the functionality or quality of
a syste	m permanently or temporarily).	
		Impact affects the quality, use and integrity of the
1	Low	system/component in a way that is barely perceptible.
		Impact alters the quality, use and integrity of the
		system/component but system/ component still continues to
		function in a moderately modified way and maintains general
2	Medium	integrity (some impact on integrity).
		Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component
		and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or
		component is severely impaired and may temporarily cease. High
3	High	costs of rehabilitation and remediation.
		Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component
		and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or
		component permanently ceases and is irreversibly impaired
		(system collapse). Rehabilitation and remediation often
		impossible. If possible rehabilitation and remediation often
		unfeasible due to extremely high costs of rehabilitation and
4	Very high	remediation.
	1	SIGNIFICANCE (S)

Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics. Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. This describes the significance of the impact on the environmental parameter. The calculation of the significance of an impact uses the following formula:

Significance = (Extent + probability + reversibility + irreplaceability + duration) x magnitude/intensity.



The summation of the different criteria will produce a non-weighted value. By multiplying this value with the magnitude/intensity, the resultant value acquires a weighted characteristic which can be measured and assigned a significance rating.

Points	Impact Significance Rating	Description
5 to 23	Negative Low impact	The anticipated impact will have negligible negative effects and
		will require little to no mitigation.
5 to 23	Positive Low impact	The anticipated impact will have minor positive effects.
24 to 42	Negative Medium impact	The anticipated impact will have moderate negative effects and
		will require moderate mitigation measures.
24 to 42	Positive Medium impact	The anticipated impact will have moderate positive effects.
43 to 61	Negative High impact	The anticipated impact will have significant effects and will require
		significant mitigation measures to achieve an acceptable level of
		impact.
43 to 61	Positive High impact	The anticipated impact will have significant positive effects.
62 to 80	Negative Very high impact	The anticipated impact will have highly significant effects and are
		unlikely to be able to be mitigated adequately. These impacts
		could be considered "fatal flaws".
62 to 80	Positive Very high impact	The anticipated impact will have highly significant positive effects.

APPENDIX 4: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

Management Plan for the Pre-Construction Phase

Impact	Mitigation/Management Objectives and Outcomes	Mitigation/Management Actions	Monitoring		
impact			Methodology	Frequency	Responsibility
Avifauna: Displacement due to di	sturbance				
The noise and movement associated with the construction activities at the development footprint will be a source of disturbance which would lead to the displacement of avifauna from the area	Prevent unnecessary displacement of avifauna by ensuring that contractors are aware of the requirements of the Construction Environmental Management Programme (CEMPr.)	Conduct an inspection to identify Red List species that may be breeding within the project footprint to ensure that the impacts to breeding species (if any) are adequately managed.	 Walk-through by avifaunal specialist to record any Red Lis species nests. 	1. Once-off	1. Developer
Avifauna: Mortality due to collisio	on with the overhead power line	e			
Mortality of avifauna due to collisions with the overhead power line.	Reduction of avian collision mortality	Demarcate sections of the overhead power line to be marked with Eskom approved Bird Flight Diverters (BFDs).	 Walk-through by avifaunal specialist. Fit Bird Flight Diverters on the earth-wire at the demarcated sections of the OHL according to the applicable Eskom Engineering Instruction (Eskom Unique Identifier 240 – 93563150: The utilisation of Bird Flight Diverters on Eskom Overhead Lines). 	1. Once-off 2. Once-off	 Developer Contractor and ECO

Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) for the Construction Phase

Impact	Mitigation/Management	Mitigation/Management Actions		Monitoring	
impact	Objectives and Outcomes	mitgator management Actions	Methodology	Frequency	Responsibility
Avifauna: Displacement due to di	sturbance				
The noise and movement associated with the construction activities at the development footprint will be a source of disturbance which would lead to the displacement of avifauna from the area	Prevent unnecessary displacement of avifauna by ensuring that contractors are aware of the requirements of the Construction Environmental Management Programme (CEMPr.)	 A site-specific CEMPr must be implemented, which gives appropriate and detailed description of how construction activities must be conducted. All contractors are to adhere to the CEMPr and should apply good environmental practice during construction. The CEMPr must specifically include the following: No off-road driving Maximum use of existing roads, where possible Measures to control noise and dust according to latest best practice Restricted access to the rest of the property Strict application of all recommendations in the biodiversity specialist report pertaining to the limitation of the footprint. 	 Implementation of the CEMPr. Oversee activities to ensure that the CEMPr is implemented and enforced via site audits and inspections. Report and record any non-compliance. Ensure that construction personnel are made aware of the impacts relating to off-road driving. Construction access roads must be demarcated clearly. Undertake site inspections to verify. Monitor the implementation of noise control mechanisms via site inspections and record and report non-compliance. Ensure that the construction area is demarcated clearly and that construction personnel are made aware of these demarcations. Monitor via site inspections and report non- compliance. 	 On a daily basis Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly 	 Contractor and ECO

EMPr for the Operational Phase

Impact	Mitigation/Management Objectives and	Mitigation/Management Actions	Monitoring		
	Outcomes		Methodology	Frequency	Responsibility
Avifauna: Displacement	due to habitat transformation in the subst	ations			
Total or partial displacement of avifauna due to habitat transformation associated with vegetation clearance in the onsite substation area.	Prevent unnecessary displacement of avifauna by ensuring that rehabilitation of transformed areas is implemented where possible by an appropriately qualified rehabilitation specialist, according to the recommendations of the biodiversity specialist study.	 Develop a Habitat Rehabilitation Plan (HRP) and ensure that it is approved. Monitor rehabilitation via site audits and site inspections to ensure compliance. Record and report any non-compliance. 	 Appointment of rehabilitation specialist to develop HRP. Site inspections to monitor progress of HRP. Adaptive management to ensure HRP goals are met. 	 Once-off Once a year As and when required 	1. Facility operator
Avifauna: Mortality of av	ifauna due to collision with the overhead	power line			
Mortality of avifauna due to collisions with the overhead power line.	Reduction of avian collision mortality	 Monitor the collision mortality on the power line. Apply additional BFDs if additional collision hotspots are discovered. 	 Avifaunal specialist to conduct quarterly inspections of the power line for a period of two years. Apply additional BFDs if additional collision hotspots are discovered. 	 Quarterly As and when required 	1. Facility operator

EMPr for the Decommissioning Phase

Impact	Mitigation/Management	Mitigation/Management Actions	Monitoring
impuor	Objectives and Outcomes		Methodology Frequency Responsibility
Avifauna: Displace	ement due to disturbance		
The noise and movement associated with the decommissioning activities will be a source of disturbance which would lead to the displacement of avifauna from the area.	Prevent unnecessary displacement of avifauna by ensuring that contractors are aware of the requirements of the Decommissioning EMPr.	 A site-specific Decommissioning EMPr (DEMPr) must be implemented, which gives appropriate and detailed description of how construction activities must be conducted. All contractors are to adhere to the DEMPr and should apply good environmental practice during decommissioning. The DEMPr must specifically include the following: No off-road driving; Maximum use of existing roads during the decommissioning phase and the construction of new roads should be kept to a minimum as far as practical; Measures to control noise and dust according to latest best practice; Restricted access to the rest of the property; Strict application of all recommendations in the botanical specialist report pertaining to the limitation of the footprint. 	 Implementation of the DEMPr. Oversee activities to ensure that the DEMPr is implemented and enforced via site audits and inspections. Report and record any non-compliance. Ensure that decommissioning personnel are made aware of the impacts relating to off-road driving. Access roads must be demarcated clearly. Undertake site inspections and record and report non-compliance. Ensure that the decommissioning area is demarcated clearly and that personnel are made aware of these demarcations. Monitor via site inspections and report non-compliance. In Contractor and ECO Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly Monthly In Contractor and ECO Contractor and ECO

1 Introduction

Prior to commencing with the specialist assessment in accordance with Appendix 6 of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended) (NEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations of 2014, a site sensitivity verification was undertaken to confirm the current land use and environmental sensitivity of the proposed project area as identified by the National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool (Screening Tool). NEMA makes provision for the prescription of procedures for the assessment and minimum criteria for reporting on identified environmental themes (Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44) when applying for environmental authorisation. The Protocol for the specialist assessment and minimum report content requirements for environmental impacts on terrestrial animal species (Government Gazette No 43855, 30 October 2020 is applicable in the case of solar PV developments.

The details of the site sensitivity verification are noted below:

Date of Site Visits	27 September 2022
Supervising Specialist Name	Albert Froneman
Professional Registration Number	MSc Conservation Biology (SACNASP
	Zoological Science Registration number
	400177/09)
Specialist Affiliation / Company	Chris van Rooyen Consulting

2 Methodology

The following methodology was employed to conduct this study:

- Powerline sensitive species are defined as species which could potentially be impacted by powerline collisions or electrocutions, based on their morphology. Larger birds, particularly raptors and vultures, are more vulnerable to electrocution as they are more likely to bridge the clearances between electrical components than smaller birds. Large terrestrial species and certain waterbirds with high wing loading are less manoeuvrable than smaller species and are therefore more likely to collide with overhead lines.
- Bird distribution data of the South African Bird Atlas 2 (SABAP 2) was obtained from the University of Cape Town, as a means to ascertain which species occurs within the broader area of four pentad grid cells each within which the proposed projects are situated (see Figure 2). A pentad grid cell covers 5 minutes of latitude by 5 minutes of longitude (5'x 5'). Each pentad is approximately 8 x 7.6 km. To get a more representative impression of the birdlife, a consolidated data set was obtained for a total of 6 pentads which intersect with the development area, hereafter referred to as 'the broader area', namely (1) 2600_2930, (2) 2600_2935, (3) 2605_2930, (4) 2605_2935, (5) 2610_2930, (6) 2610_2935. From 2007-present, a total of 75 full protocol lists (i.e., surveys of at least two hours each) have been completed for this area. In addition, 34 *ad hoc* protocol lists (i.e., surveys lasting less than two hours but still yielding valuable data) have been completed. The SABAP2 data was therefore regarded as a reliable reflection of the avifauna which occurs in the area, but the data was also supplemented by data collected during the site surveys and general knowledge of the area.
- The national threatened status of all powerline priority species was determined with the use of the most recent edition of the Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa (Taylor et al., 2015), and the latest authoritative summary of southern African bird biology (Hockey et al., 2005).

- The global threatened status of all priority species was determined by consulting the (2022.1) International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species (<u>http://www.iucnredlist.org/</u>).
- A classification of the vegetation habitat ecotypes within the PAOI was obtained from the National Vegetation Map (2018) from the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) BGIS map viewer (<u>http://bgisviewer.sanbi.org/</u>) (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006; SANBI, 2018). The PAOI is the area where the primary impacts on avifauna are expected and includes the land parcels where the project will be located.
- Avifaunal habitat usage within the PAOI by birds was informed by the Atlas of Southern African Birds 1 (SABAP 1) (Harrison et al., 1997a, 1997b).
- Land-cover and land-use within the PAOI was determined using the 2018 South African national land-cover surveys jointly conducted by the Department of Environmental Affairs, and the Department of Rural Development and Land Reform (DEA & DALRRD, 2019).
- The Important Bird Areas of Southern Africa (Marnewick et al., 2015) was consulted for information on potentially relevant Important Bird Areas (IBAs).
- Satellite imagery (Google Earth ©2022) was used to view the PAOI and broader area on a landscape level and to help identify sensitive bird habitat.
- The 2022 South Africa Protected Areas Database compiled by the Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries (DFFE) was used to identify Nationally Protected Areas, National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) near the PAOI (DFFE, 2022).
- The Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) National Screening Tool was used to determine the assigned avian sensitivity of the PAOI.
- Data collected during previous site visits to the broader area was also considered as far as habitat classes and the occurrence of priority species are concerned.
- The following sources were used to determine the investigation protocol that is required for the site:
 - Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum criteria for reporting on identified environmental themes in terms of sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA when applying for Environmental Authorisation (Gazetted October 2020)
 - The Protocol for the specialist assessment and minimum report content requirements for environmental impacts on terrestrial animal species (Government Gazette No 43855, 30 October 2020).
- The sources of information on the avifaunal diversity and abundance at the PAOI was supplemented with the information gathered through an integrated pre-construction monitoring programme which was implemented at the Hendrina South Wind Energy facility which included a large portion of the PAOI.

3 Results of site assessment

According to the DFFE national screening tool, small sections of the habitat within the PAOI is classified as High sensitivity according to the Animal Species theme, due to the potential presence of species of conservation concern (SCCs), namely Yellow-billed Stork Mycteria ibis (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered). Most the habitat within the PAOI is classified as **medium** sensitivity due the presence of other SCCs, namely, White-bellied Korhaan *Eupoditis senegalensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable), African Grass Owl *Tyto capensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable) and Caspian Tern *Hydroprogne caspia* (Globally Least Concern Regionally Vulnerable).

The classification of **High** sensitivity for Yellow-billed Stork is supported based on the habitat recorded during surveys, but in addition the PAOI as a whole should be reclassified as **High** based on the recorded presence of SCCs recorded in the PAOI during monitoring, namely Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable), Martial Eagle (Globally Endangered, Locally Endangered), Lanner Falcon (Locally Vulnerable), Southern Bald Ibis (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable), Blue Korhaan (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern), and Grey Crowned Crane (Globally and Locally Endangered).

MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY



Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at <u>eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za</u> listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
	X		207 - 27

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
High	Aves-Mycteria ibis
Medium	Aves-Eupodotis senegalensis
Medium	Aves-Hydroprogne caspia
Medium	Aves-Tyto capensis
Medium	Mammalia-Chrysospalax villosus
Medium	Mammalia-Crocidura maquassiensis
Medium	Mammalia-Hydrictis maculicollis
Medium	Mammalia-Ourebia ourebi ourebi

Figure 1: The National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool map of the PAOI, indicating sensitivities for the Animal Species theme. The classification is correct based on the presence of several Red List SCCs at the site. The High classification is linked to the potential presence of Yellow-billed Stork *Mycteria ibis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered). Most the habitat within the PAOI is classified as medium sensitivity due the presence of other SCCs, namely, White-bellied Korhaan *Eupoditis senegalensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable), African Grass Owl *Tyto capensis* (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable) and Caspian Tern *Hydroprogne caspia* (Globally Least Concern Regionally Vulnerable).
4 Avifauna

The SABAP2 data indicates that a total of 186 bird species could potentially occur within the broader area – Appendix 1 provides a comprehensive list of all the species, as well as all the species that were recorded during the preconstruction monitoring in the PAOI. Of these, 66 species are classified as powerline sensitive species (see definition of powerline sensitive species in section 3) and 10 of these are South African Red List species. Of the powerline sensitive species, 33 are likely to occur regularly in the PAOI

Eight Red List species of conservation concern (SCC) were recorded during the site surveys:

- Crane, Grey Crowned (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- Falcon, Lanner (Globally Least Concern, Regionally, Vulnerable)
- Flamingo, Greater (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Near Threatened)
- Flamingo, Lesser (Globally Near Threatended, Regionally Near Threatened)
- Ibis, Southern Bald (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable)
- Korhaan, Blue (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern)
- Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)
- Stork, Yellow-billed (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered)

4.1 Receiving environment

The Hendrina Aouth Grid is situated in the Eastern Highveld Grassland (Gm12) vegetation ecotype within the Mesic Highveld Grassland Bioregion of the South African Grassland Biome (SANBI, 2018). This grassland ecotype is defined by a short, closed grassland cover comprising a typical Highveld grass species assemblage (*Aristida, Digitaria, Eragrostis, Themeda, Tristachya* etc.) over sandstone-derived soils of the Karoo supergroup (Mucina et al., 2006). Climax plant communities are dominated by *Themeda triandra* sward, although these are are often severely grazed to form a short lawn (Mucina et al., 2006).

This vegetation type covers 12669 km² over Mpumalanga and Gauteng (SANBI, 2018), at altitudes ranging 1520-1780 m above sea level (Mucina et al., 2006), although occasionally as low as 1300 m. Eastern Highveld Grassland is classified as Vulnerable (SANBI, 2013), although this ecotype – and the Hendrina South Grid by extension – does not fall within a Centre of Endemism (Van Wyk & Smith, 2001).

The Hendrina South Grid has a temperate climate with continental seasonality, experiencing warm, wet summers and mildly cold, dry winters. The mean temperatures range 17°C (January) to 3°C (July). The mean annual precipitation is 482 mm (<u>https://www.meteoblue.com/</u>, accessed October 2022), notably lower than the average for the Eastern Highveld Grassland (726 mm). Rainfall is lowest in July (1.74 mm), and highest in December (161 mm).

The proposed Hendrina South Grid transects gently topography of gently undulating grasslands and farmlands with low hills and pan depression, ranging 1592-1708m in altitude. There are several minor drainage lines which intersect the PAOI, with north-flowing tributaries associated with Woes-alleenspruit (a tributary of Klein-olifantsrivier) in the north, and south-flowing tributaries of Olifantsriver in the south. There are numerous artificial dams associated with these drainage systems, as well as several natural pans.

While the dominant vegetation, topography, and hydrology largely explain the distribution and abundance of the bird species within the PAOI, it is also important to examine the modifications which have changed the natural landscape, and which may impact the distribution of avifauna. These are sometimes evident at a much smaller spatial scale than the biome or vegetation types and are determined by a host of factors such as land use and man-made infrastructure.

Most the native grassland biome within the PAOI has been replaced by commercial crop agriculture, and remnant grassland tracts are utilised for livestock grazing. Agricultural activity and its relevance to local avifauna is detailed below. The PAOI also includes the town of Pullen's Hope as associated residential areas in the northern sections, as a well as large industrial area comprising the Hendrina Power Station. Additionally, commercial Mining activity is practiced in the northeast of the PAOI - east of Pullen's Hope – as well as 8 km west of the PAOI, near Komati. This mining activity has resulted in opencast quarries, material waste dumps, and flooded mine pits within the PAOI that have likely impacted the grassland and riparian/aquatic ecology within the PAOI. Finally, several high voltage powerlines intersect the PAOI, most of which originating from the Hendrina Power Station. These include the six 132kV powerlines: the Hendrina-Optimum1 132kV, the Hendrina-Optimum2 132kV, the Hendrina-Witkloof 132kV, the Hendrina-Aberdeen Traction 132kV, the Hendrina-Sar Botha 132kV, and the Aberdeen Traction-Ysterkop 132kV. Additionally, there are five 400kV powerlines: the [30] 400kV, the [146] 400kV, the [147] 400kV, the [148] 400kV, and the [295] 400kV. The relevance of powerlines to priority species are detailed below.

The following six habitat classes were identified as relevant to priority bird species in the PAOI:

- Grassland
- Drainage lines and wetlands
- Dams and pans
- Agricultural lands
- Alien trees (and natural woodland)
- High voltage powerlines

4.2 Grasslands

The native grassland biome, as detailed above, has largely been replaced by commercial agriculture, with remnant grassland tracts occurring fragmentedly across the PAOI, typically adjacent to drainage lines. These grasslands within the PAOI range from rank vegetation bordering herbaceous wetlands (detailed below), and dense stands of relatively high grasses in less disturbed areas, to short grasslands in heavily grazed areas.

The following twenty-two powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> utilise the natural grasslands in the PAOI:

- Bustard, Denham's
- Buzzard, Common
- Buzzard, Jackal
- Crow, Pied
- Eagle, Black-chested Snake
- Eagle, Long-crested
- Eagle-Owl, Spotted
- Egret, Western Cattle
- Falcon, Amur
- Falcon, Lanner
- Guineafowl, Helmeted
- Harrier, Montagu's
- Harrier-Hawk, African
- Heron, Black-headed
- Ibis, Southern Bald
- Kestrel, Greater
- Kestrel, Rock
- Korhaan, Blue
- Owl, Marsh
- Secretarybird
- Stork, White

The following three additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the natural grasslands in the PAOI:

- Eagle, Martial
- Heron, Black-crowned Night
- Owl, Western Barn

4.3 Drainage lines and wetlands

Fairly extensive herbaceous wetlands (marshlands/vleis) mainly surrounding drainage lines (and dams and pans) within the PAOI, interrupting the grassland-cropland mosaic.

The following twenty powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> utilise the wetlands in the PAOI:

- Crane, Grey Crowned
- Duck, Fulvous Whistling
- Duck, White-faced Whistling

- Duck, Yellow-billed
- Egret, Great
- Egret, Intermediate

- Egret, Little
- Goose, Egyptian
- Goose, Spur-winged
- Hamerkop
- Heron, Black-headed
- Heron, Grey
- Ibis, African Sacred
- Ibis, Glossy

- Ibis, Hadada
- Kite, Black-winged
- Moorhen, Common
- Owl, Marsh
- Shoveler, Cape
- Spoonbill, African
- Teal, Red-billed

The following five additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the wetlands in the PAOI:

- Duck, African Black
- Heron, Black-crowned Night
- Heron, Purple
- Heron, Squacco
- Swamphen, African

4.4 Dams and pans

The PAOI contains many earth-embankment dams located along drainage lines. Additionally, there are also several small pans which are a potential drawcard for many powerline-sensitive species. Lesser and Greater Flamingos could use pans for foraging and roosting. Large raptors could use the dams and pans for bathing and drinking.

The following thirty powerline sensitive species are likely to regularly utilise the dams and pans in the PAOI:

- Coot, Red-knobbed
- Cormorant, Reed
- Cormorant, White-breasted
- Darter, African
- Duck, Fulvous Whistling
- Duck, White-faced Whistling
- Duck, Yellow-billed
- Eagle, African Fish
- Eagle, Black-chested Snake
- Eagle, Long-crested
- Egret, Great
- Egret, Intermediate
- Falcon, Lanner

- Flamingo, Greater
- Flamingo, Lesser
- Goose, Egyptian
- Goose, Spur-winged
- Grebe, Great Crested
- Grebe, Little
- Hamerkop
- Heron, Grey
- Kite, Black-winged
- Moorhen, Common
- Pochard, Southern
- Secretarybird
- Shoveler, Cape

- Spoonbill, African
- Stork, Yellow-billed

- Teal, Cape
- Teal, Red-billed

The following eleven additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the dams and pans in the PAOI:

- Duck, African Black
- Duck, Knob-billed
- Duck, Maccoa
- Duck, White-backed
- Eagle, Martial
- Grebe, Black-necked
- Heron, Black-crowned Night
- Heron, Goliath
- Heron, Purple
- Heron, Squacco
- Shelduck, South African

4.5 Agricultural lands

The dominant land-use within the PAOI is commercial crop agriculture of maize, peanuts, sunflowers, and soya beans, with livestock farming (sheep, cattle, and pigs) also present. Some fields are lying fallow or are in the process of being re-vegetated by grass.

The following eleven powerline sensitive species are likely to regularly utilise the dams and pans in the PAOI:

- Crane, Grey Crowned
- Crow, Pied
- Egret, Western Cattle
- Falcon, Amur
- Falcon, Lanner
- Goose, Egyptian
- Goose, Spur-winged
- Guineafowl, Helmeted
- Heron, Black-headed
- Ibis, Hadada
- Ibis, Southern Bald

The following two additional powerline sensitive species could occasionally use the dams and pans in the PAOI:

- Eagle, Martial
- Owl, Western Barn

4.6 Alien trees (and natural woodland)

The PAOI contains restricted tree cover. Typical of Eastern Highveld Grassland, sporadic natural woody vegetation (very small tracts of woodland and thicket) are present over rocky outcrops and occasionally along the drainage lines. Additionally, alien tree species have also become established within the PAOI, particularly *Eucalyptus*, Australian *Acacia* (Wattle), and *Salix* (Willow) species. Alien trees are often planted as wind breaks next to agricultural lands and around homesteads. Some of the drainage lines also have alien trees growing alongside, some of which were originally planted to protect earth-embankment dams. Alien trees both supplement the indigenous tree cover for priority species, as well as proving novel nesting and roosting opportunities.

The following twenty-four powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> utilise the native and alien tree cover in the PAOI:

- Cormorant, White-breasted
- Crane, Grey Crowned
- Crow, Pied
- Eagle, African Fish
- Eagle, Black-chested Snake
- Eagle, Long-crested
- Eagle-Owl, Spotted
- Egret, Little
- Egret, Western Cattle
- Falcon, Amur
- Falcon, Lanner
- Guineafowl, Helmeted

- Harrier-Hawk, African
- Heron, Black-headed
- Heron, Grey
- Ibis, African Sacred
- Ibis, Hadada
- Ibis, Southern Bald
- Kestrel, Greater
- Kestrel, Rock
- Secretarybird
- Sparrowhawk, Black
- Spoonbill, African
- Stork, White

The following two additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> use the native and alien tree cover in the PAOI:

- Eagle, Martial
- Heron, Black-crowned Night

4.7 High voltage powerlines

Numerous high voltage powerlines intersect the PAOI, and several reticulation lines – most of which originating from the Hendrina Power Station. These include the six 132kV powerlines: the Hendrina-Optimum1 132kV, the Hendrina-Optimum2 132kV, the Hendrina-Witkloof 132kV, the Hendrina-Aberdeen Traction 132kV, the Hendrina-Sar Botha 132kV, and the Aberdeen Traction-Ysterkop 132kV. Additionally, there are five 400kV powerlines: the [30] 400kV, the [146] 400kV, the [147] 400kV, the [148] 400kV, and the [295] 400kV

The following eleven powerline sensitive species are likely to <u>regularly</u> perch, and roost on the transmission towers and powerlines in the PAOI:

- Egret, Little
- Falcon, Amur
- Falcon, Lanner
- Goose, Egyptian
- Guineafowl, Helmeted
- Heron, Black-headed
- Ibis, Hadada
- Ibis, Southern Bald
- Kestrel, Greater
- Kestrel, Rock
- Stork, White

The following one additional powerline sensitive species could <u>occasionally</u> perch, and roost on the transmission towers and powerlines in the PAOI:

• Eagle, Martial

5 Environmental sensitivities

The following specific environmental sensitivities were identified from an avifaunal perspective:

Very high sensitivity: drainage lines, dams, pans, and associated herbaceous wetlands.

Wetlands (including dam margins) are important breeding, roosting and foraging habitat for a variety priority species, particularly waterbirds, as well as seven Red List species, namely:

- Crane, Grey Crowned (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- Duck, Maccoa (Globally Endangered, Regionally Near Threatened)
- Eagle, Martial (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- Falcon, Lanner (Globally Least Concern, Regionally, Vulnerable)
- Flamingo, Greater (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Near Threatened)
- Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)

• Stork, Yellow-billed (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Endangered)

Birds commuting between these areas will be at risk of collision with the earth-wire if they must cross over the grid connection. Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walk-through inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

High sensitivity: undisturbed natural grassland

The natural grassland is vital breeding, roosting and foraging habitat for a variety of Red List powerline sensitive species and will therefore be associated with significant flight activity. These include the following five Red List species:

- Eagle, Martial (Globally Endangered, Regionally Endangered)
- Falcon, Lanner (Globally Least Concern, Regionally Vulnerable)
- Ibis, Southern Bald (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable)
- Korhaan, Blue (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern)
- Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable)

Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walkthrough inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

Medium sensitivity: disturbed natural grassland/fallow agricultural land

Disturbed natural grassland and fallow agricultural land provide similar foraging, roosting, and potentially breeding opportunities for priority species which depend upon natural grassland, including the same five Red List species listed for natural undisturbed grassland.

Spans crossing these areas, or situated between two or more such areas, must be identified during the walkthrough inspection once the final tower positions have been determined and marked with Bird Flight Diverters.

6 Conclusions

The classification of **High** sensitivity for Yellow-billed Stork is supported based on the habitat recorded during surveys, but in addition the PAOI as a whole should be reclassified as **High** based on the recorded presence of SCCs recorded in the PAOI during monitoring, namely Secretarybird (Globally Endangered, Regionally Vulnerable), Martial Eagle (Globally Endangered, Locally Endangered), Lanner Falcon (Locally Vulnerable), Southern Bald Ibis (Globally Vulnerable, Regionally Vulnerable), Blue Korhaan (Globally Near Threatened, Regionally Least Concern), and Grey Crowned Crane (Globally and Locally Endangered).