



mineral resources

Department:
Mineral Resources
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT
And
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT

SUBMITTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATIONS IN TERMS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 AND THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT WASTE ACT, 2008 IN RESPECT OF LISTED ACTIVITIES THAT HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED BY APPLICATIONS IN TERMS OF THE MINERAL AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT, 2002 (MPRDA) (AS AMENDED).

NAME OF APPLICANT: MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD

TEL NO: 0782251127

FAX NO: 0865464469

POSTAL ADDRESS: 17 Binetilda Street, Huiwelsig, Bloemfontein, 9301

PHYSICAL ADDRESS: 17 Binetilda Street, Huiwelsig, Bloemfontein, 9301

FILE REFERENCE NUMBER SAMRAD: FS 30/5/1/3/3/2/1 (10268) EM

Contents

1. IMPORTANT NOTICE.....	1
2. Objective of the basic assessment process.....	2
PART A.....	3
SCOPE OF ASSSSMENT AND BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT	3
a) Details of	3
i) Details of the EAP	3
ii) Expertise of the EAP.....	3
b) Location of the overall Activity.....	3
c) Locality map	4
d) Description of the scope of the proposed overall activity.....	5
(i) Listed and specified activities	5
(ii) Description of the activities to be undertaken	6
e) Policy and Legislative Context.....	7
f) Need and desirability of the proposed activities.....	8
g) Motivation for the overall preferred site, activities and technology alternative.....	8
h) Description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred alternatives within the site.....	9
i) Details of the development footprint alternatives considered.....	9
ii) Details of the Public Participation Process Followed.....	10
iii) Summary of issues raised by I&Aps.....	11
iv) The Environmental attributes associated with the alternatives.(The environmental attributed described must include socio-economic, social, heritage, cultural, geographical, physical and biological aspects).....	15
vi) Methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks;.....	33
APPROACH TO THE BASIC ASSESSMENT	33
1. METHODOLOGY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT	33
2. SPECIALIST CRITERIA FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENT	33
vii) The positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity (in terms of the initial site layout) and alternatives will have on the environment and the community that may be affected.....	37
viii) The possible mitigation measures that could be applied and the level of risk.....	38
ix) Motivation where no alternative sites were considered.....	50
x) Statement motivating the alternative development location within the overall site. (Provide a statement motivating the final site layout that is proposed)	50
i) Assessment of each identified potentially significant impact and risk.....	51
j) Summary of specialist reports.....	57

k) Environmental impact statement.....	58
(i) Summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment;	58
(ii) Final Site Map	61
(iii) Summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and identified alternatives;	61
l) Proposed impact management objectives and the impact management outcomes for inclusion in the EMPr;	61
m) Aspects for inclusion as conditions of Authorisation.	62
n) Description of any assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge.	62
o) Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should or should not be authorised	63
i) Reasons why the activity should be authorized or not.	63
ii) Conditions that must be included in the authorisation	63
p) Period for which the Environmental Authorisation is required.....	63
q) Undertaking.....	63
r) Financial Provision.....	64
i) Explain how the aforesaid amount was derived.	64
ii) Confirm that this amount can be provided for from operating expenditure. (Confirm that the amount, is anticipated to be an operating cost and is provided for as such in the Mining work programme, Financial and Technical Competence Report or Prospecting Work Programme as the case may be).	64
s) Specific Information required by the competent Authority	64
i) Compliance with the provisions of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) read with section 24 (3) (a) and (7) of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998). the EIA report must include the:-	64
t) Other matters required in terms of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) of the Act.	65
PART B	66
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT	66
1) Draft environmental management programme.....	66
a) Details of the EAP, (Confirm that the requirement for the provision of the details and expertise of the EAP are already included in PART A, section 1(a) herein as required).	66
b) Description of the Aspects of the Activity (Confirm that the requirement to describe the aspects of the activity that are covered by the draft environmental management programme is already included in PART A, section (1)(h) herein as required).	66
c) Composite Map	66
d) Description of Impact management objectives including management statements.....	66
e) Impact Management Outcomes.....	72
f) Impact Management Actions	77
2) UNDERTAKING.....	92
Appendix A.....	94
EAP Curriculum Vitae	94
Appendix B.....	98

LOCALITY OF MINING PERMIT APPLICATION AREA.....	98
Definitions	104
Report on the results of consultation	104
1. Methodology applied to consultation	105
1.1 Name the community as defined or explain why no such community was identified.....	105
1.2 Specifically state whether or not the Community is also the landowner.....	105
1.3 State whether or not the Department of Land Affairs been identified as an interested and affected party.	105
1.4 State specifically whether or not a land claim is involved	105
1.5 Name the Traditional Authority identified	105
1.6 List the landowners identified by the applicant (Traditional and title Deed owners).....	105
1.7 List the lawful occupiers of the land concerned.	105
1.8 Explain whether or not other persons' (including on adjacent and non-adjacent properties) socio-economic conditions will be directly affected by the proposed prospecting or mining operation and if not, explain why not.....	105
1.9 Name the Local Municipality identified by the applicant	106
1.10 Name the relevant Government Departments, agencies and institutions responsible for the various aspects of the environment and for infrastructure which may be affected by the proposed project	106
1.11 Submit evidence that the landowner or lawful occupier of the land in question, and any other interested and affected parties including all those listed above, were notified.	106
2. Description of the existing status of the cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment, as the case may be, prior to the proposed prospecting or mining operation.....	106
2.1 Confirm that the identified and consulted interested and affected parties agree on the description of the existing status of the environment.	106
2.2 Describe the existing status of the cultural environment that may be affected	106
2.3 Describe the existing status of any heritage environment that may be affected.....	106
2.4 Describe the existing status of any current land uses and the socio-economic environment that may be directly affected	106
2.5 Describe the existing status of any infrastructure that may be affected.....	106
2.6 Describe the existing status of the biophysical environment that will be affected, including the main aspects such as water resources, flora, fauna, air, soil, topography etc.....	107
2.7 Provide any relevant additional information	107
3. The anticipated environmental, social or cultural impacts identified.....	107
3.1 Confirmation that the community and identified interested and affected parties have been consulted and that they agree that the potential impacts identified include those identified by them	107
3.1.1 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the cultural environment.....	107
3.1.2 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the heritage environment, if applicable.....	107
3.1.3 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the socio-economic conditions of any person on the property and on any adjacent or non-adjacent property who may be affected by the proposed prospecting or mining operation.....	107

3.1.4 Provide a list and description of potential impacts (positive and negative) identified on: employment opportunities, community health, and community proximity. Unemployment levels are relatively high and income levels are relatively low thus there is sure to be raised expectations due to the arrival of another project.....	107
3.1.5 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the biophysical environment including but not limited to impacts on: flora, fauna, water resources, air, noise, soil etc.	108
3.1.6 Provide a description of potential cumulative impacts that the proposed operation may contribute to considering other identified land uses which may have potential environmental linkages to the land concerned.	108
4. Land use or development alternatives, alternative means of carrying out the proposed operation, and the consequences of not proceeding with the proposed operation.	109
4.1 Provide a list of and describe any alternative land uses that exist on the property or on adjacent or non-adjacent properties that may be affected by the proposed mining operation.....	109
4.2 Provide a list of and describe any land developments identified by the community or interested and affected parties that are in progress and which may be affected by the proposed mining operation	109
4.3 Provide a list of and describe any proposals made in the consultation process to adjust the operational plans of the mine to accommodate the needs of the community, landowners and interested and affected parties.....	109
5. Description of the process of engagement referred to in 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 above with identified communities, landowners and interested and affected parties.....	109
5.1 Provide a description of the information provided to the community, landowners, and interested and affected parties to inform them in sufficient detail of what the prospecting or mining operation will entail on the land, in order for them to assess what impact the prospecting will have on them or on the use of their land.....	109
5.2 Provide a list of which of the identified communities, landowners, lawful occupiers, and other interested and affected parties were in fact consulted.	109
5.3 Provide a list of their views raised in regard to the existing cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment, as the case may be.	109
5.4 Provide a list of their views raised on how their existing cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment potentially will be impacted on by the proposed prospecting or mining operation.	110
5.5 Provide a list of any other concerns raised by the aforementioned parties.....	110
5.6 Provide the applicable minutes and records of the consultations as appendices	110
5.7 Provide information with regard to any objections received.	110
6. Describe the most appropriate means to carry out the proposed operation with due accommodation of the issues raised in the consultation process.	110
Appendix List.....	111
Frankfort.....	112

1. IMPORTANT NOTICE

In terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (Act 28 of 2002 as amended), the Minister must grant a prospecting or mining right if among others the mining “will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment”.

Unless an Environmental Authorisation can be granted following the evaluation of an Environmental Impact Assessment and an Environmental Management Programme report in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA), it cannot be concluded that the said activities will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment.

In terms of section 16(3)(b) of the EIA Regulations, 2014, any report submitted as part of an application must be prepared in a format that may be determined by the Competent Authority and in terms of section 17 (1) (c) the competent Authority must check whether the application has taken into account any minimum requirements applicable or instructions or guidance provided by the competent authority to the submission of applications.

It is therefore an instruction that the prescribed reports required in respect of applications for an environmental authorisation for listed activities triggered by an application for a right or a permit are submitted in the exact format of, and provide all the information required in terms of, this template. Furthermore please be advised that failure to submit the information required in the format provided in this template will be regarded as a failure to meet the requirements of the Regulation and will lead to the Environmental Authorisation being refused.

It is furthermore an instruction that the Environmental Assessment Practitioner must process and interpret his/her research and analysis and use the findings thereof to compile the information required herein. (Unprocessed supporting information may be attached as appendices). The EAP must ensure that the information required is placed correctly in the relevant sections of the Report, in the order, and under the provided headings as set out below, and ensure that the report is not cluttered with un-interpreted information and that it unambiguously represents the interpretation of the applicant.

2. Objective of the basic assessment process

The objective of the basic assessment process is to, through a consultative process—

- (a) determine the policy and legislative context within which the proposed activity is located and how the activity complies with and responds to the policy and legislative context;
- (b) identify the alternatives considered, including the activity, location, and technology alternatives;
- (c) describe the need and desirability of the proposed alternatives,
- (d) through the undertaking of an impact and risk assessment process inclusive of cumulative impacts which focused on determining the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage , and cultural sensitivity of the sites and locations within sites and the risk of impact of the proposed activity and technology alternatives on the these aspects to determine:
 - (i) the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration, and probability of the impacts occurring to; and
 - (ii) the degree to which these impacts—
 - (aa) can be reversed;
 - (bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and
 - (cc) can be managed, avoided or mitigated;
- (e) through a ranking of the site sensitivities and possible impacts the activity and technology alternatives will impose on the sites and location identified through the life of the activity to—
 - (i) identify and motivate a preferred site, activity and technology alternative;
 - (ii) identify suitable measures to manage, avoid or mitigate identified impacts; and
 - (iii) identify residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

PART A

SCOPE OF ASSESSMENT AND BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

3. Contact Person and correspondence address

a) Details of

i) Details of the EAP

Name of The Practitioner: Moses Malungisa Msitsini

Tel No.: 0719064780

e-mail address: malungisamoses@gmail.com

ii) Expertise of the EAP.

(1) The qualifications of the EAP

BSc Geology and Geography, See appendix A

(2) Summary of the EAP's past experience.

(In carrying out the Environmental Impact Assessment Procedure):

I have worked with the small scale miners in the region of Free State helping them with the application for Mining permit, prospecting right and comply reports with the legislation of the Department of Mineral Resource

b) Location of the overall Activity.

Farm Name:	Dorp Frankfort 72
Application area (Ha)	5.0
Magisterial district:	Franfort
Distance and direction from nearest town	About 2km south west of Frankfort
21 digit Surveyor General Code for each farm portion	F01400000000007400000


c) Locality map
(show nearest town, scale not smaller than 1:250000).

LOCALITY OF MINING PERMIT APPLICATION AREA

PROPOSED SITE PLAN

**MAP INDICATING
LOCALITY WHERE
MINING PERMIT IS
APPLIED FOR
HIGHLIGHTED WITH
BLACK CIRCLE SHAPE**

**APPLICANT: MDBS
TRADING (Pty) Ltd**



d) Description of the scope of the proposed overall activity.

Provide a plan drawn to a scale acceptable to the competent authority but not less than 1: 10 000 that shows the location, and area (hectares) of all the aforesaid main and listed activities, and infrastructure to be placed on site

MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD proposes to establish a small-scale sand mining operation. The total development area will be approximately 5.0 hectares, and will have a lifespan of 2 years. The process will include the excavation or removal of sand from the demarcated land. The sand will be stored in stockpiles within the 5.0 hectare area. The excavated sand will be transported using a Truck Load Backhoe (TLB) to the camp site, located within the 5.0 hectares. The following infrastructure will form part of this mining operation

- Stockpile area
- 1 x TLB
- Diesel storage tank
- Machinery/vehicle maintenance area
- Storage facility
- Septic toilets
- Security/Workers hut
- Office
- Excavator and Dump Truck

(i) Listed and specified activities

<p>NAME OF ACTIVITY</p> <p>(E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etc...etc...etc E.g. for mining,- excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc...etc.)</p>	<p>Aerial extent of the Activity</p> <p>Ha or m²</p>	<p>LISTED ACTIVITY</p> <p>(Mark with an X where applicable or affected).</p>	<p>APPLICABLE LISTING NOTICE</p> <p>(GNR 544, GNR 545 or GNR 546)</p>	<p>WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORISATION</p> <p>(Indicate whether an authorisation is required in terms of the Waste Management Act).</p> <p>(Mark with an X)</p>
Dredging, Digging and Removal of Sand	5.0 ha	X	GNR 327, Listed activity number 21	
Stockpile	On-site	X	GNR 327, Listed activity number 21	
Access Roads	Off-Site	X	GNR 327, Listed activity number 24	

(ii) Description of the activities to be undertaken

(Describe Methodology or technology to be employed, including the type of commodity to be prospected/mined and for a linear activity, a description of the route of the activity)

MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD plans to have an excavating mining operation for sand within a 5.0 ha area to be located on Dorp Frankfort 72 about 2km south west of Frankfort.

PROJECT PHASES:

Construction Phase

- Preparing an area of 900 m² for a portable camp site to accommodate infrastructure associated with stockpiling, septic toilets and offices etc.
- Clearing vegetation for mining operation.

Operational Phase

- Excavation and transportation of sand
- TLB activity and operation of mining equipment
- Storage of diesel and vehicle/machinery maintenance equipment
- Stockpiling of sand

Decommissioning Phase

- Demolition and/or removal of mobile camp site infrastructure/equipment and vehicles
- Rehabilitation and restoration of disturbed areas

e) Policy and Legislative Context

APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND GUIDELINES USED TO COMPILE THE REPORT (a description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process)	REFERENCE WHERE APPLIED	HOW DOES THIS DEVELOPMENT COMPLY WITH AND RESPOND TO THE LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONTEXT. (E.g. In terms of the National Water Act a Water Use License has/ has not been applied for)
The Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)	Mining activity	This BA and EMP report has been compiled in accordance with the Act.
National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998)	Mining activity	This BA is being undertaken in terms of NEMA in order to determine any possible impacts on the environment and to undertake mitigation measures that reduce any potential harm to the environment.
Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations: GNR 982 to 985 of 4 December 2014	Mining activity	Listed activities as per the NEMA EIA Regulations have been considered and authorisation is thus required with regards to the triggering activities. National
National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)	Not applicable	An application for a water use licence is not required.
National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) The National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999)	Not applicable	Listed activities as per the 2013 NEM:WA Regulations have been considered and it has been determined that a waste licence is not required.

f) Need and desirability of the proposed activities.

(Motivate the need and desirability of the proposed development including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location).

For years, mining has been the driving force behind South Africa's economy and continues to make a valuable contribution to the country's economy. Sand mining makes a valuable contribution to the local economy as this sand is used in many infrastructure projects such as the building of malls, roads, schools, hospitals, houses etc. In addition, it supports Small-medium and micro sized enterprises (SMME's) and it is also one of the sectors that provide employment opportunities for unskilled and semi-skilled people.

The South African mining industry has its origin in small-scale mining activities, with these operations offering much needed employment opportunities and entrepreneurship, as well as contributing to the mineral sector and local economy. Small-scale mining impact on employment is especially observed in the rural town where there are limited opportunities; providing significant livelihood for rural communities and a means of alleviating poverty. The proposed project is for a small-scale mining operation at Dorp Frankfort 72 located in the Mafube Local Municipality. The municipality is faced with challenges of high unemployment levels and poverty, making economic development one of the municipality's main priorities and general public needs. Economic sectors identified as important in the Mafube municipality include agriculture and mining, with these sectors making a significant contribution to the local economy, thus necessitating the need to prioritise and support these sectors.

The municipality's objective is to also create an enabling environment for job creation and businesses to thrive, with some of its specific strategies aimed at monitoring the implementation of Social Labour Plans by mining businesses in the municipal area in this period. MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd has thus identified an opportunity as the proposed project will add great socio-economic value. It could contribute to the local economic opportunities, the business, ultimately impacting socio-economic development of the area in support of the municipality and district's development opportunities and targets/goals.

g) Motivation for the overall preferred site, activities and technology alternative.

The sand mining industry is one of the important industries in the country and Dorp Frankfort 72 about 2km south west of Frankfort has an abundant supply of this resource.

The proposed method of excavating sand allows easy access of machinery to the site and does not require extensive machinery as other methods, making it feasible for small-scale miners. It reduces the overall costs associated with the mining process, thus allowing financial viability in small scale mining of deposits.

MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd would contribute towards local socio-economic development, as it aims to provide employment opportunities to the local people as far as possible, thus stimulating

development in the Frankfort community. The proposed project therefore is an effort to make use of available opportunities and development in the Mafube Municipality.

h) Description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred alternatives within the site.

NB!! – This section is about the determination of the specific site layout and the location of infrastructure and activities on site, having taken into consideration the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and the consideration of alternatives to the initially proposed site layout.

i) Details of the development footprint alternatives considered.

With reference to the site plan provided as Appendix 4 and the location of the individual activities on site, provide details of the alternatives considered with respect to:

- (a) the property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;
- (b) the type of activity to be undertaken;
- (c) the design or layout of the activity;
- (d) the technology to be used in the activity;
- (e) the operational aspects of the activity; and
- (f) the option of not implementing the activity.

(a) The property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity:

No property alternatives have been considered as the envisaged mining operations will occur in an area of existing mining operations, and also in close proximity to the access road and community in need of such a development.

(b) The type of activity to be undertaken;

No alternatives to the mining of sand have been considered.

(c) The design or layout of the activity;

The site layout was determined by considering the ease of access to roads and the desired resource.

(d) The technology to be used in the activity;

No alternative technology has been considered for the proposed mining activity.

(f) The option of not implementing the activity.

The option of not implementing the activity has been considered, and assumes that should the proposed activity not proceed then the status quo would remain. This includes no clearing of land, no digging of trenches, no mining operations on site and no decommissioning at the end of the project life cycle. The fact that this is an area of mineral potential and that the proposed mining would lead to job creation, contribution to the GDP of the municipality and the province, and be an opportunity to improve the local socio-economic situation, therefore the option of not implementing the activity will not be pursued at this stage.

ii) Details of the Public Participation Process Followed

Describe the process undertaken to consult interested and affected parties including public meetings and one on one consultation. NB the affected parties must be specifically consulted regardless of whether or not they attended public meetings. (Information to be provided to affected parties must include sufficient detail of the intended operation to enable them to assess what impact the activities will have on them or on the use of their land.

A Basic Assessment is required to obtain Environmental Authorisation for MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd's proposed small-scale sand mining operation. A public participation process was undertaken as part of the Basic Assessment process and was done in the following manner:

Notice of the Basic Assessment process has been given by:

- (1) Placing a Site Notice in public places such as stores, offices, town hall, site of the operation, public clinic, Mafube Police Station, Mafube Hospital, Mafube Municipality;
- (2) Posting and emailing written notice regarding the proposed development to interested and affected parties, including neighbours and community leader (representing the community in control of the farm), competent authority and other relevant Government departments;
- (3) A loud hailer was used in transit to announce the meeting.

iii) Summary of issues raised by I&As

(Complete the table summarising comments and issues raised, and reaction to those responses)

Interested and Affected Parties		Date	Issues raised	EAPs response to issues as mandated by the applicant	Section and paragraph reference in this report where the issues and or response were incorporated.
List the names of persons consulted in this column, and Mark with an X where those who must be consulted were in fact consulted.		Comments Received			
<u>AFFECTED PARTIES</u>					
Landowner/s					
No Landowner identified	X	No comments received	No comments received	N/A	N/A
Lawful occupier/s of the land					
Landowners or lawful occupiers on adjacent properties					
Municipal councillor					
Municipality					
Mafube Municipality	X	20/01/2019	In support of the proposed project	N/A	N/A
Organs of state (Responsible for infrastructure that may be				N/A	N/A

affected Roads Department, Eskom, Telkom, DWS					
Department of Public Works and Infrastructure	X	No comments received	No comments received	N/A	N/A
Department of Police, Roads and Transport	X	No comments received	No comments received	N/A	N/A
Eskom	X	No comments received	No comments received	N/A	N/A
Communities					
Mazibuko Phello	20/01/2019		Where will the mined sand be stored?	The sand will be stored on site within the demarcated 5 ha.	
Lebohang Tsotatsi	20/01/2019		How does this process work?	1. The process starts with an application to DMR for a mining permit. 2. An Environmental Assessment is conducted. 3. The community is engaged via Public Participation. 4. A Basic Assessment Report is compiled and sent to DMR who evaluate and approve or decline.	
Mike Mokoena	20/01/2019		How can we collaborate with company?	You can collaborate through an agreement with MDBS.	
Papi Moloji	20/01/2019		I am grateful for this project. Our municipality is struggling and so we thank MDBS for this contribution to help our community. We give our support.	N/A	
Tumelo Tsotsti	20/01/2019		We are grateful for this project. We hope the consultants will assist MDBS to succeed with their application. We are happy that we will be able to provide for our families through this project. We know that not everyone will get	N/A	

			employed but we are grateful the opportunity.		
Thabo Mohamotsa	20/01/2019		Mining was done previously on the other site and nothing was done even though it was illegal. So we as youth and business feel very strongly that this business (application) should succeed.	N/A	
Thabo Nkhabu	20/01/2019		We wish him well.	N/A	
Thato Skekhoto	20/01/2019		We wish him well that we may get jobs.	N/A	
Dept. Land Affairs					
Regional Land Claims Commissioner: Free State Province	X	No comments received	No comments received	N/A	N/A
Traditional Leaders	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dept. Environmental Affairs					
Department of Economic Small Business Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs	X	No comments received	No comments received	N/A	N/A
Other Competent Authorities affected				N/A	N/A
Heritage Affairs					
Ndaleneni Mkhawane	20/01/2019		Have you communicated with the Department of Environmental Affairs? What kind of sand is being mined? How can we contact you after this meeting for further concerns?	Yes. Plaster sand. Contact details of the EAP made available at the meeting.	
<u>OTHER AFFECTED PARTIES</u>					

N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
INTERESTED PARTIES				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

iv) **The Environmental attributes associated with the alternatives.**(The environmental attributed described must include socio-economic, social, heritage, cultural, geographical, physical and biological aspects)


(1) Baseline Environment

(a) Type of environment affected by the proposed activity.

(its current geographical, physical, biological, socio- economic, and cultural character).

Site description

The proposed project site is located approximately 2km south west of Frankfort. The area is a portion of land with no formal activities. There is no other infrastructure in project site and land is largely flat. The site is dominated with very fine sandy surfaces cover by very light dry grass and shrubs. The site is characterised by natural vegetation with a few trees occurring on site.




Geology

The geology of the study area and surroundings is dominated by the Karoo Supergroup. Frankfort is divided into the Eccca Group as well as the Beaufort Group. The prevailing conditions are dominated by Volksrust Formation of the Eccca Group. The additional lithology includes the Nordmandien Formation and the upper Tarkastad Subgroup of the Beaufort Group. Frankfort is chiefly composed of Eccca shales, Jurassic Dolerite and sediments of the Quaternary age. The dolerite formed as part of intrusions.


Climate

Generally, it is cold and temperate in Frankfort. The rainfall in Frankfort is significant, with precipitation even during the driest month. This location is classified as Dfa by Köppen and Geiger. The average annual temperature is 10.4 °C in Frankfort. Precipitation here averages 995 mm. The least amount of rainfall occurs in February. The average in this month is 52 mm. In July, the precipitation reaches its peak, with an average of 105 mm. The variation in the precipitation between the driest and wettest months is 53 mm. The variation in annual temperature is around 27.6 °C.

CLIMATE GRAPH // WEATHER BY MONTH FRANKFORT



AVERAGE TEMPERATURE FRANKFORT



Water Resources

The source of water in this area is the Vet River.

Soil and land capability

Soils of the general area are very fine and appear relatively deep with sections of prominent surface rock (dolerite and sand stone). Land capability of the area is described as non-arable, with moderate potential grazing land. The area is thus not very suitable for cultivation.

Biodiversity

The biome of the project site is Grassland and there are a number subset contingent vegetation's therein. The vegetation dominating the area is the Frankfort Highveld Grassland. The greatest variety in vegetation can be found the watercourses and this vegetation is typically exotic in nature. Exotic

trees that dominated included *Salix babylonica*, *Populous x canescens*, *Populous deltoidea*, *Populous nigra-italica*, *Robinia pseudo-acacia*, *Melia azedarach* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. The species within the greater area are mammalian species and include bats, rodents, and insectivores.

Socio-economic

The Mafube Metropolitan Municipality (Frankfort) falls under the Frankfort District Municipality. Agriculture is the main contributor to the local economy, of which meat production (sheep and cattle) and wool are the largest.

Frankfort Dorp 72 is situated approximately 2 km south west of Frankfort. The MDBS project will contribute work opportunities during the construction phases and operation of the proposed site. In addition, the project will strengthen the local economy of Frankfort.

Cultural Heritage

There are no archaeological, cultural or historical materials were found on site, if any are found they will be reported to SAHRA.

(b) Description of the current land uses.

The land is located 1 kilometre away from the railway. The site is covered with grass and minor dwarf shrub component. The site is devoid of large trees and this is considered natural to this vegetation type.

(c) Description of specific environmental features and infrastructure on the site.

There is a pathways road and, with no infrastructure occurring on site and general area. The proposed mining site is predominantly covered by mixed shrub land/grassland

(d) Environmental and current land use map.
(Show all environmental, and current land use features)



Legend

 Proposed site

Coordinate Latitude and Longitude

S27.29154	E28.50488
S27.29349	E28.50708
S27.29462	E28.50612
S27.29413	E28.50514
S27.29365	E28.50419
S27.29238	E28.50462

(v) Impacts and risks identified including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts

(Provide a list of the potential impacts identified of the activities described in the initial site layout that will be undertaken, as informed by both the typical known impacts of such activities, and as informed by the consultations with affected parties together with the significance, probability, and duration of the impacts. Please indicate the extent to which they can be reversed, the extent to which they may cause irreplaceable loss of resources, and can be avoided, managed or mitigated).

1. Construction Phase

1.1. Site preparation and Vehicular activities

Nature of potential impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated
Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat	Site	Short-term	Low	Very likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Exposed soil susceptible to erosion	Site	Medium-term	Low	Likely	Low	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Noise generation	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Dust emissions	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Erosion of cultural landscape	Site	moderate-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	High (with rehabilitation)	Moderate	No	Yes
Soil and water resources contamination	Local	Medium-term	Moderate	likely	low	High (with rehabilitation)	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on health, and safety of workers	Site	short-term	Low	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes

1.2 Site clearing and topsoil removal for mining operation, and construction of a mine

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat	Site	short-term	Low	Very likely	Low	Moderate (rehabilitation after Construction)	Low	No	Yes
Exposed soil susceptible to erosion	Site	Short-term	Low	Likely	Low	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Low	No	Yes
Noise generation	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Dust emissions	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Soil and water resources contamination	local	Short-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes

Topography and visual alteration	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	High (rehabilitation during closure)	Low	No	Yes
Soil disturbance resulting in the spread of alien plant species	Site and Local	Long-term	Substantial	Likely	Low	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Loss of Species of Special Concern	Site and Local	Long-term	Substantial	Very likely	Moderate	Low (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Disturbance of fauna	Site and Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Destruction of archaeology	Site	Permanent	Slight	Unlikely	Very low	Non-reversible	High	Yes	Yes
Destruction of palaeontology	Site	Permanent	Moderate	Unlikely	Low	Non-reversible	High	No	Yes

1.3 Construction of pollution control and storm water management facilities

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat	Site	Long-term	Moderate	Very likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Exposed soil susceptible to erosion	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Noise generation	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Dust emissions	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Soil and water resources contamination and siltation	Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Topography and visual alteration	Site	Medium-term	Substantial	Likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation during closure)	Low	No	Yes

Soil disturbance resulting in the spread of alien plant species	Site and Local	Long-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Low (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Loss of Species of Special Concern	Site and Local	Long-term	Substantial	Very likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Disturbance of fauna	Site and Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

1.4 Preparing an area of 4.9 ha for a portable camp site to accommodate infrastructure associated with stockpiling, and offices etc.

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat	Site	Short-term	Low	Very likely	Low	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Exposed soil susceptible to erosion	Site	Short-term	Low	Likely	Low	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes

Noise generation	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Dust emissions	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Soil and water resources contamination and siltation	Local	Medium-term	Low	Likely	Low	Moderately-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Topography and visual alteration	Site	Short-term	Substantial	Likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation during closure)	Low	No	Yes
Soil disturbance resulting in the spread of alien plant species	Site and Local	Long-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Low (rehabilitation after construction)	Low	No	Yes
Loss of Species of Special Concern	Site and Local	Long-term	Substantial	Unlikely	Moderate	Low (rehabilitation after construction)	Low	No	Yes
Disturbance of fauna	Site and Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Destruction of archaeology	Site	Permanent	Slight	Unlikely	Very low	Non-reversible	High	Yes	Yes
Destruction of palaeontology	Site	Permanent	Moderate	Very likely	Low	Non-reversible	High	No	Yes
Erosion of cultural landscape	Local	Long-term	Slight	Unlikely	Very low	Low (with rehabilitation)	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on health, and safety of workers	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	High	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

2. Operation Phase

2.1 Extraction and transportation of sand

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Impact on groundwater and aquifer	Local	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on upstream tributaries and water in the catchment	Local	Short-term	Slight	Unlikely	Very low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Noise generation	Site	Long-term	Substantial	Very likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Air quality and dust emissions	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Soil and water resources contamination	Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Destruction of Archaeology	Site	Permanent	Slight	Unlikely	Very low	Non-reversible	High	Yes	Yes
Destruction of palaeontology	Site	Permanent	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	High	No	Yes
Erosion of cultural landscape	Local	short-term	Slight	Likely	Low	Low (with rehabilitation)	Low	No	Yes
Impact on health, and safety of workers	Site	Short-term	Moderate	Unlikely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

2.2 TLB activity and operation of mining equipment

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Very likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Exposed soil susceptible to erosion	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	Low (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Noise generation	Site	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Dust emissions	Site	Long-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Soil and water resources contamination and siltation	Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Topography and visual alteration	Site	Medium-term	Substantial	Likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation during closure)	Low	No	Yes

Soil disturbance resulting in the spread of alien plant species	Site and Local	Long-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Low (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Loss of Species of Special Concern	Site	Medium-term	Substantial	Very likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation after construction)	Moderate	No	Yes
Disturbance of fauna	Site and Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on health, and safety of workers	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

2.3 Storage of diesel and vehicle/machinery maintenance equipment

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Air quality	Site	Medium-term	Slight	Likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Surface water impacts	Local	Medium-term	Substantial	Likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

Impact on hydrogeology and soil contamination due to spills or seepage	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Visual impact	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	High	Low	No	Yes

2.4 Waste generation and disposal

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Air quality	Local	Medium-term	Slightly Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Surface water impacts	Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on hydrogeology and soil contamination due to spills, seepage or hazardous substances	Site	Short-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Topography and visual alteration	Site	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	High	Low	No	Yes

3. Decommissioning phase

3.1 Demolition and/or removal of mobile camp site infrastructure/equipment

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Destruction of vegetation	Site	Short-term	Substantial	Likely	Moderate	Moderate (rehabilitation post closure)	Moderate	Yes	Yes
Establishment and spread of alien plant species	Site and Local	Long-term	Substantial	Very likely	Moderate	Low (rehabilitation post closure)	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on groundwater and aquifer	Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on upstream tributaries and water in the catchment	Local	Medium-term	Moderate	Likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Topography and visual impact	Site	Short-term	Moderate	Likely	Neutral	None-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Noise generation	Site	Short-term	Moderate	Very likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Air quality and dust emissions	Local	Short-term	Slight	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Impact on health, and safety of workers	Site	Short-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

3.2 Rehabilitation and restoration of disturbed areas

Nature of potential Impact/risk	Extent	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Reversibility of impact	Irreplaceability of receiving environment/resource	Can impact be avoided?	Can impact be managed or mitigated?
Impact on groundwater and aquifer	Local	Long-term	Moderate	Very likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Impact on upstream tributaries and water in the catchment	Local	Long-term	Moderate	Likely	Low	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes
Topography and visual impact	Site	Long-term	Moderate	Very likely	Low	Non-reversible	Low	Yes	Yes
Noise generation	Site	Short-term	Low	Very likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Air quality and dust emissions	Site	Short-term	Moderate	Very likely	Very low	Non-reversible	Low	No	Yes
Impact on land capability	Site	Medium-term	Substantial	Likely	Moderate	Non-reversible	Moderate	No	Yes

vi) Methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks;

(Describe how the significance, probability, and duration of the aforesaid identified impacts that were identified through the consultation process was determined in order to decide the extent to which the initial site layout needs revision).

APPROACH TO THE BASIC ASSESSMENT

1. METHODOLOGY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

According to the DEA IEM Series guideline on "Impact Significance" (2002), there are a number of quantitative and qualitative methods that can be used to identify the significance of impacts resulting from a development. The process of determining impact significance should ideally involve a process of determining the acceptability of a predicted impact to society. Making this process explicit and open to public comment and input would be an improvement of the EIA/BA process. The approach to determining significance is generally as follows:

- Use of expert opinion by the specialists ("professional judgement"), based on their experience, a site visit and analysis, and use of existing guidelines and strategic planning documents and conservation mapping (e.g. SANBI biodiversity databases);
- Review of specialist assessment by all stakeholders including authorities such as nature conservation officials, as part of the report review process (i.e. if a nature conservation official disagreed with the significance rating, then we could negotiate the rating); and
- Our approach is more a qualitative approach - we do not have a formal matrix calculation of significance as is sometimes done.

2. SPECIALIST CRITERIA FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Assessment of Potential Impacts

The assessment of impact significance is based on the following conventions:

Nature of Impact - this reviews the type of effect that a proposed activity will have on the environment and should include "what will be affected and how?"

Spatial Extent - this should indicate whether the impact will be:

- Site specific;
- Local (<2 km from site);
- Regional (within 30 km of site); or
- National.

Duration - The timeframe during which (lifetime of) the impact will be experienced:

- Temporary (less than 1 year);
- Short term (1 to 4 years);
- Medium term (5 to 10 years);
- Long term (the impact will cease after the operational life of the activity); or
- Permanent (mitigation will not occur in such a way or in such a time span that the impact can be considered transient).

Intensity - it should be established whether the impact is destructive or innocuous and should be described as either:

- High (severe alteration of natural systems, patterns or processes such that they temporarily or permanently cease);
- Medium (notable alteration of natural systems, patterns or processes; where the environment continues to function but in a modified manner); or
- Low (negligible or no alteration of natural systems, patterns or processes); can be easily avoided by implementing appropriate mitigation measures, and will not have an influence on decision-making.

Probability - this considers the likelihood of the impact occurring and should be described as:

- Improbable (little or no chance of occurring);
- Probable (<50% chance of occurring);
- Highly probable (50 – 90% chance of occurring); or
- Definite (>90% chance of occurring).

Reversibility - this considers the degree to which the adverse environmental impacts are reversible or irreversible. For example, an impact will be described as low should the impact have little chance of being rectified to correct environmental impacts. On the other hand, an impact such as the nuisance factor caused by noise impacts from wind turbines can be considered to be highly reversible at the end of the project lifespan. The assessment of the reversibility of potential impacts is based on the following terms:

- High - impacts on the environment at the end of the operational life cycle are highly reversible;
- Moderate - impacts on the environment at the end of the operational life cycle are reasonably reversible;
- Low - impacts on the environment at the end of the operational life cycle are slightly reversible; or
- Non-reversible - impacts on the environment at the end of the operational life cycle are not reversible and are consequently permanent.

Irreplaceability - this reviews the extent to which an environmental resource is replaceable or irreplaceable. For example, if the proposed project will be undertaken on land that is already transformed and degraded, this will yield a low irreplaceability score; however, should a proposed development destroy unique wetland systems for example, these may be considered irreplaceable and thus be described as high. The assessment of the degree to which the impact causes irreplaceable loss of resources is based on the following terms:

- High irreplaceability of resources (this is the least favourable assessment for the environment);
- Moderate irreplaceability of resources;
- Low irreplaceability of resources; or
- Resources are replaceable (this is the most favourable assessment for the environment).

The status of the impacts and degree of confidence with respect to the assessment of the significance is stated as follows:

Status of the impact: A description as to whether the impact will be:

- Positive (environment overall benefits from impact);
- Negative (environment overall adversely affected); or
- Neutral (environment overall not affected).

Degree of confidence in predictions: The degree of confidence in the predictions, based on the availability of information and specialist knowledge. This should be assessed as:

- High;
- Medium; or
- Low.

Based on the above considerations, the specialist provides an overall evaluation of the significance of the potential impact, which should be described as follows:

- **Low to very low:** the impact may result in minor alterations of the environment and can be reduced or avoided by implementing the appropriate mitigation measures, and will only have an influence on the decision-making if not mitigated;
- **Medium:** the impact will result in moderate alteration of the environment and can be reduced or avoided by implementing the appropriate mitigation measures, and will only have an influence on the decision-making if not mitigated; or
- **High:** Where it could have a “no-go” implication for the project unless mitigation or re-design is practically achievable.

Furthermore, the following must be considered:

- Impacts should be described both before and after the proposed mitigation and management measures have been implemented.
- All impacts should be evaluated for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the project, where relevant.
- The impact evaluation should take into consideration the cumulative effects associated with this and other facilities which are either developed or in the process of being developed in the region, if relevant.

Management Actions:

- Where negative impacts are identified, migratory measures will be identified to avoid or reduce negative impacts. Where no migratory measures are possible this will be stated.
- Where positive impacts are identified, augmentation measures will be identified to potentially enhance these.
- Quantifiable standards for measuring and monitoring migratory measures and enhancements will be set. This will include a programme for monitoring and reviewing the recommendations to ensure their ongoing effectiveness.

Monitoring:

Specialists should recommend monitoring requirements to assess the effectiveness of mitigation actions, indicating what actions are required, by whom, and the timing and frequency thereof.

Cumulative Impact: Consideration is given to the extent of any accumulative impact that may occur due to the proposed development. Such impacts are evaluated with an assessment of similar developments already in the environment. Such impacts will be either positive or negative, and will be graded as being of negligible, low, medium or high impact.

Mitigation:

The objective of mitigation is to firstly avoid and minimise impacts where possible and where these cannot be completely avoided, to compensate for the negative impacts of the development on the receiving environment and to maximise re-vegetation and rehabilitation of disturbed areas. For each impact identified, appropriate mitigation measures to reduce or otherwise avoid the potentially negative impacts are suggested. All impacts are assessed without mitigation and with the mitigation measures as suggested.

vii) The positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity (in terms of the initial site layout) and alternatives will have on the environment and the community that may be affected.

(Provide a discussion in terms of advantages and disadvantages of the initial site layout compared to alternative layout options to accommodate concerns raised by affected parties)

Kindly see Section h (i) above; the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed site layout have been discussed in the reasons provided in this section, inclusive of the reasons for not considering alternatives.

viii) The possible mitigation measures that could be applied and the level of risk.

(With regard to the issues and concerns raised by affected parties provide a list of the issues raised and an assessment/ discussion of the mitigations or site layout alternatives available to accommodate or address their concerns, together with an assessment of the impacts or risks associated with the mitigation or alternatives considered).

CONSTRUCTION PHASE			
Potential impact Description	Significance Rating (positive or negative)	Proposed Mitigation	Significance Rating after Mitigation
Proposal (preferred alternative)			
Direct Impacts			
Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development planning must ensure loss of vegetation and disturbance is restricted to within the minimum and designated areas only. - Vegetate and irrigate open areas to limit erosion, but take care not to promote erosion by irrigating. - Removal of vegetation during construction and operation will be minimised to reduce the risk of excessive open areas occurring. - Adhere to existing roads, and if new roads are constructed, these must not cross sensitive areas such as the ridges or drainage lines. - Protected plant or animal species encountered must be managed in accordance with an accepted management plan for these species. 	Low
Loss of Conservation Important (CI) or medicinally important flora.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preconstruction walk through the facility in order to locate species of conservation concern that can be translocated as well as comply with permitting conditions. - If removing CI species such as the Protected Poison bulb or Orange/Vaal River Lily then submit permits for their removal. - Prior to construction any CI and medicinally important floral specimens that may occur within the site layout should be collected and replanted in the surrounding areas. 	Low

Soil and water resources contamination	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prevent any spills from occurring; If a spill occurs it is to be cleaned up immediately and Reported to the appropriate authorities. - All vehicles are to be serviced in a correctly bunded area or at an off-site location. - Ensure that spillage control kits are available during transport and on storage sites in case of any accidental leakages of spillages, which can then be cleared immediately. -The temporary storage facilities of fuel, lubricants and explosives must be a hard park, roofed and bunded facility. This will prevent contamination of soils and the possibility of contamination of the surface water resources. -Machinery should be maintained properly. Diesel and other chemicals should be handled appropriately. Refuelling protocols must be followed to ensure no diesel is spilled during filling. - Clean and dirty surface water channels should be constructed to divert runoff separately to appropriate storage dams (dirty water to the PCD to avoid eroded soils entering the clean water areas). 	Low
Potential of soil erosion due to exposed soil	Low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Removal of topsoil should be done systematically, only clearing the necessary areas at a time. - The topsoil stockpiles should be vegetated as soon as possible to prevent erosion, which might cause siltation of the water resources. - Erosion berms are to be put in. 	Very low
Noise disturbances as a result of construction activities.	Very low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The noise created by the proposed development is not expected to be problematic. If required, noise reduction measures will have to be implemented in compliance with Noise standards and Regulations. - No sound amplification equipment to be used on site, except in emergency situations. - Limit vehicles travelling to and from the site to minimise traffic noise to the surrounding environment. 	Very low

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Limit construction activities to day time hours. - Mining related machines and vehicles to be serviced on a regular basis to ensure noise suppression mechanisms are effective. - Activities that will generate the most noise should be limited to during the day, where viable, in order minimise disturbance. - Equipment that is not in use should be switched off. - A complaints register should be kept on site, with records of complaints received and manner in which the complaint was addressed. 	
Sensory disturbance of fauna due to noise	Low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Limit construction activities to day time hours. - Minimize or eliminate security and construction lighting, to reduce the disturbance of nocturnal fauna. - All outside lighting should be directed away from sensitive areas. 	Low
Impact on health, and safety of workers.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Training of workers in the correct use of the machinery and/or equipment so as to avoid incidents. - Workers to wear Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). - Hazardous material must be correctly labelled and handled in a safe manner. 	Low
Potential deterioration of the existing gravel road due to use by heavy vehicles.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Limit vehicles coming to the site and limit to a temporary minimal duration. - Maintain and/or upgrade the gravel road. 	Moderate
Generation of waste	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Any waste generated during construction must be stored in such a manner that it prevents pollution and amenity impacts. - Waste to be disposed of at a licenced landfill site. - Hazardous waste to be correctly stored and disposed of in terms of relevant legislation and guidelines. 	Low

Topography and visual alteration.	Moderate (Negative)	- Limit the footprint area of the construction where possible. - Topsoil stockpiles should be vegetated and positioned to reduce visual disturbance where possible.	Low
Degradation of ambient air quality as a result of dust and other emissions generated.	Very low (Negative)	-Exposed areas should be revegetated with locally indigenous flora. If the soil is compacted, it should be ripped, and fertilised. -Implement effective and environmentally-friendly dust control measures, such as mulching or periodic wetting of the entrance road. -A complaints register should be kept on site, with records of complaints received and manner in which the complaint was addressed.	Very low
Indirect Impacts			
Introduction and increase in alien vegetation	Moderate (Negative)	- Keep the footprint of the disturbed area to the minimum and designated areas only. - Vegetate and irrigate open areas to limit erosion, but take care not to cause erosion by irrigating. Removal of vegetation during construction and operation will be minimised to reduce the risk of excessive open areas occurring. - Adhere to existing roads, and if new roads are constructed, these must not cross sensitive areas such as the ridges or drainage lines.	Low
The creation of new employment opportunities and skills development	Moderate (Positive)	Ensure maximisation of job creation and promote local employment and skills training.	High
NO-GO ALTERNATIVE			
DIRECT IMPACTS:			

- None of the impacts mentioned above will occur.
- The site will remain with existing structures, no new clearance will occur which will result in no clearance of indigenous vegetation and no clearance of present alien species.

INDIRECT IMPACTS:

There are no indirect impacts during the construction phase for the No-go Option.

If the proposed project does not proceed, increased income and economic benefits associated with the project will not be realised.

No employment opportunities will be created.

If the proposed project does not proceed, the potential to produce and supply minerals to industrial and commercial establishments and the subsequent contribution to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the municipality and Province will not be realised; thus, hindering economic growth potential.

OPERATIONAL PHASE			
Potential Impact Descriptio	Significance Rating (Positive or Negative)	Proposed Mitigation	Significance Rating after Mitigation
PROPOSAL (preferred alternative)			
Direct Impacts			
Impact on aquifers and groundwater quality.	Low (Negative)	- Portable toilets must be set up correctly and emptied regularly to prevent any leaks and potential contamination of the aquifer. - Fuel needs to be stored in a specified lined area to prevent any chance of contamination to the underlying soil/aquifer.	Low

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Waste generated from the operation of the mine to be stored in an appropriate and designated storage and be disposed of in a permitted designated waste disposal site. - Mining equipment is regularly maintained to prevent any fuel or oil leaks. - Correct lining of any tailings dam facilities, as well as ensuring correct dam wall heights, in order to prevent infiltration of potential contaminants and overflow respectively. - Tailings piles should be lined covered, to reduce exposure to the atmosphere and prevent infiltration of potential contaminants. - Funnelling of all drainage from mining operations to lined tailings dam facilities via lined channels with bund walls and swales, in order to reduce infiltration of potential Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) water into the aquifer. - Funnelling of all drainage from mining operations to lined tailings dam facilities via lined channels with bund walls and swales, in order to reduce infiltration of potential AMD water into the aquifer. 	
Impact on groundwater recharge and run-off alteration	Very low (Negative)	<p>Implement measures to collect and store clean water that falls within the Project area for use on site e.g. watering of gardens, wash bays and dust suppression. Although the hard surfaces on site will increase runoff thereby reducing recharge of the aquifer.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monitor changes in water levels and quality around the Project area, so as to be aware of changes in groundwater conditions. 	Very Low
Impact on upstream tributaries and water in catchment	Very low (Negative)	-A surface water management plan must be implemented to minimise the volume of dirty water produced thereby reducing the probability of contamination of groundwater from infiltration of dirty surface water.	Very low

Impact on ambient air quality and dust emissions	Low (Negative)	<p>Vehicles operating on the mine must keep at minimum speed to reduce dust generation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Vehicles that are used must be roadworthy and regularly inspected in order to prevent unwanted emissions and/or leaks. - In order to reduce emissions from stockpiles, mitigation measures such as spraying must be implemented as well as regular re-vegetation of topsoil stockpile to avoid or minimise wind erosion from exposed surfaces. - Waste management plans must be developed and implemented to reduce negative impact on the ambient air quality. 	Low
Noise generation	Low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The noise created by the proposed development is not expected to be problematic. If required, noise reduction measures will have to be implemented in compliance with Noise Regulations. - No sound amplification equipment to be used on site, except in emergency situations. - Limit vehicles travelling to and from the site to minimise traffic noise to the surrounding environment. - Mining related machines and vehicles to be serviced on a regular basis to ensure noise suppression mechanisms are effective. - Activities that will generate the most noise should be limited to day-time hours, where viable, in order to minimise disturbance. - Equipment that is not in use should be switched off. - A complaints register should be kept on site, with records of complaints received and manner in which the complaint was addressed. 	Very low
Construction activities may disturb or destroy	Low – Very low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Should any features of heritage be identified on site, these should not be disturbed. They should be safeguarded, preferably in situ, and immediately reported to a Heritage specialist and/or SAHRA. 	Very low

sites or features of heritage importance			
Impact on health, and safety of workers.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Training of workers in the correct use of the machinery and/or equipment so as to avoid incidents. - Workers to wear Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). - Hazardous material must be correctly labelled and handled in a safe manner. - Hazardous waste to be correctly disposed of. 	Low
Topography and visual alteration.	Low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Limit the footprint area where possible. - Roads used for hauling of ore should be regularly contoured. 	Very low
Impact of operational activities on fauna	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Minimize noise to limit its impact on sensitive fauna. - Operational areas to be demarcated and workers to stay within these areas. - Create awareness on the importance of fauna and ecosystem functioning. - Workers to stay within demarcated operational areas. 	Low
Possible soil and water contamination from diesel storage on site.	Low (Negative)	<p>Appropriate storage of hazardous material such as diesel must be implemented.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The areas where hazardous substances are stored should be bunded to avoid soil and water contamination. - Fuel must be stored in a secure designated room. - The ground where refuelling takes place must be protected and refuelling to be handled in a cautious manner. - In the event of spills, the area is to be cleaned immediately using bioremediation products. - Ensure that any accidental spills do not move beyond the designated storage area. - Ensure appropriate and safe disposal of hazardous chemicals. 	Low

		- Ensure training of staff to handle hazardous chemicals.	
Indirect Impacts			
Impact on vegetation and faunal habitat.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Vegetation cover must be reinstated through rehabilitation. - Removal of vegetation during operation will be minimised to reduce the risk of excessive open areas occurring. - Adhere to existing roads, and if new roads are constructed, these must not cross sensitive areas such as the ridges or drainage lines. - Continuously manage the establishment of alien invasive species through removal. - Protected plant or animal species encountered must be managed in accordance with an accepted management plan for these species. 	Low
The proposed project is a job creation initiative with the potential to create local employment and skill development.	Moderate (Positive)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Maximise job creation and promote local employment and skills training. - Promote employment of women and youth. 	High
The proposed project will contribute to the short term growth of the local economy.	Moderate (Positive)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Explore opportunities for mineral markets. - Development of skills in mining Small-Medium Micro Enterprises (SMMEs) as part of Municipal Local Economic Development initiatives. - Development of contractual agreements to supply local beneficiation markets. 	High
NO-GO ALTERNATIVE			

Potential Impact Description	Significance Rating (Positive or Negative)
Direct Impacts	
DIRECT IMPACTS:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None of the impacts mentioned above will occur. • The status quo of the site and area will remain with existing structures • No new clearance will occur which will result in no clearance of indigenous vegetation and no clearance of present alien species. 	
Indirect Impacts	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the proposed project does not proceed, increased income and economic benefits associated with the project will not be realised. • No new employment opportunities will be created. 	

DECOMMISSIONING			
Potential Impact Descriptio	Significance Rating (Positive or Negative)	Proposed Mitigation	Significance Rating after Mitigation
PROPOSAL (preferred alternative)			
Direct Impact			
Soil and water resources contamination.	Low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prevent any spills from occurring; If a spill occurs it is to be cleaned up immediately and reported to the appropriate authorities. - Accredited contractors must be used for disposal and transport of demolition material. 	Very low
Destruction of vegetation.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Special care must be taken not to destroy rehabilitated areas. - All disturbed areas must be rehabilitated. 	Low
Impact on land capability.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Topsoil replacement should be done systematically; slopes should be kept low to prevent run-off and erosion, and replaced according to the soil types. - The topsoil stockpiles should be vegetated as soon as possible to prevent erosion, which might cause siltation of the water resources. - Avoid compaction of topsoil. 	Very low

Noise disturbances as a result of decommissioning activities.	Very low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The noise created by the proposed development is not expected to be problematic. If required, noise reduction measures will have to be implemented in compliance with Noise Regulations. - No sound amplification equipment to be used on site, except in emergency situations. - Mining related machines and vehicles to be serviced on regular basis to ensure noise suppression mechanisms are effective. - Activities that will generate the most noise should be limited to during the day, where viable, in order minimise disturbance. - Equipment that is not in use should be switched off. - A complaints register should be kept on site, with records of complaints received and manner in which the complaint was addressed. 	Very low
Impact on health, and safety of workers.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Training of workers in the correct use of the machinery and/or equipment so as to avoid incidents. - Worker to wear Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). - Hazardous material must be correctly labelled and handled in a safe manner. 	Low
Topography and visual alteration.	Low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure that all infrastructure installed pre-mining is removed from the site. - Roads should be regularly maintained. - Topsoil stockpiles should be vegetated and positioned to reduce visual disturbance where possible. 	Very low
Degradation of ambient air quality as a result of dust and other emissions generated.	Very low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Demolition and removal of structures and rubble to be done cautiously. - Exposed areas should be revegetated with locally indigenous flora. If the soil is compacted, it should be ripped, and fertilised. - Limit the area of exposure to minimise wind erosion. - Implement effective and environmentally-friendly dust control measures, such as mulching or periodic wetting of the entrance road. -Vehicles must keep at minimum speed to reduce dust generation. 	Very Low

		- A complaints register should be kept on site, with records of complaints received and manner in which the complaint was addressed.	
Indirect Impact			
Establishment and increase in alien vegetation.	Moderate (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Reinstate vegetation cover through rehabilitation. - Keep the footprint of the disturbed area to the minimum and designated areas only. - Adhere to existing roads, and if new routes are used, these must not cross sensitive areas such as the ridges or drainage lines. - All alien plant species should be removed, preferably as juveniles, before they become established and bear seed and flowers. - Alien plant monitoring should take place for 2-3 years. 	Very low
Restoration of water quality and quantity	Low (Negative)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -If the site is not rehabilitated post mining operations then impacts on the water resources may occur, therefore rehabilitation will have a positive impact on the water resources. - Disturbed areas should be vegetated and contoured to allow for good drainage. - Associated potential soil erosion post rehabilitation should be mitigated. - Regular inspection and monitoring of water quality should be implemented for a period of at least 3 years post closure of the mine, in order to determine any negative residual impacts that could occur years later. 	Low (Positive)
NO-GO ALTERNATIVE			
DIRECT IMPACTS:			
-None of the impacts mentioned above will occur.			
INDIRECT IMPACTS:			
-There are no indirect impacts during the decommissioning phase for the No-go Option.			

ix) Motivation where no alternative sites were considered.

No property alternatives have been considered as the envisaged mining operations will occur in an area of existing mining operations, and also in close proximity to the access road.

x) Statement motivating the alternative development location within the overall site. (Provide a statement motivating the final site layout that is proposed)

The site layout was determined by considering both spatial and practical mining operation aspects. The proposed layout is more of a security measure, allowing for more effective management of mined sand.

(i) Full description of the process undertaken to identify, assess and rank the impacts and risks the activity will impose on the preferred site (In respect of the final site layout plan) through the life of the activity. (Including (i) a description of all environmental issues and risks that were identified during the environmental impact assessment process and (ii) an assessment of the significance of each issue and risk and an indication of the extent to which the issue and risk could be avoided or addressed by the adoption of mitigation measures.)

The identified risks and impacts for this study, specifically the proposed site layout, were informed by the environmental studies undertaken for this site, the socio-economic need of the surrounding area, as well as the evidence of historical sand on site and the landscape.

i) Assessment of each identified potentially significant impact and risk

(This section of the report must consider all the known typical impacts of each of the activities (including those that could or should have been identified by knowledgeable persons) and not only those that were raised by registered interested and affected parties).

NAME OF ACTIVITY (E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablation facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etc...etc...etc E.g. For mining,- excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablation, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc...etc.)	POTENTIAL IMPACT (Including the potential impacts for cumulative impacts) (e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etc....etc...)	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE In which impact is anticipated (e.g. Construction, commissioning, operational Decommissioning, closure, post-closure)	SIGNIFICANCE if not mitigated	MITIGATION TYPE (modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, storm-water control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc. etc) E.g. Modify through alternative method. Control through noise control Control through management and monitoring through rehabilitation..	SIGNIFICANCE if mitigated
Vehicular activities.	Dust emissions.	Air Quality	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures.	Very low (Negative)

	Soil and water resources Contamination.	Surface and Groundwater	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Monitor and remedy through Emergency Response Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Noise generation.	Noise Receptors	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Manage through Noise Reduction Measures and Regular Vehicle Inspections.	Very low (Negative)
Site clearing and topsoil removal for mining operation, and construction of a mine	Soil erosion due to exposed soil.	Soils	Construction Phase Operation Phase	Low (Negative)	Manage and control through Soil Rehabilitation Plan and Stormwater Management Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat.	Fauna and Flora	Construction Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Remedy through Rehabilitation Plan, Conservation Management Plan and Alien Invasive Management Plan.	Low (Negative)
	Dust emissions.	Air Quality	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures.	Very low (Negative)
	Noise generation.	Noise Receptors	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Manage through Noise Reduction Measures and Regular Vehicle Inspections.	Very low (Negative)
	Soil and water resources contamination.	Surface and Groundwater	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Monitor and remedy through Emergency Response Plan and Stormwater Management Plan.	Low (Negative)

	Topography and visual alteration.	Topography and Visual Environment	Construction Phase Operation Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Minimise through Mine Design and Management Plan.	Low (Negative)
	Destruction of features of heritage importance.	Heritage	Construction Phase	Low – Very low (Negative)	Manage and avoid through Environmental Conservation Management Plan.	Very low (Negative)
Preparing an area of 900 m2 for a portable camp site to accommodate infrastructure associated with stockpiling, crushing, washing, sorting and offices).	Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat	Flora and Fauna	Construction Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Remedy through Rehabilitation Plan, Conservation Management Plan and Alien Invasive Management Plan.	
	Exposed soil susceptible to erosion.	Soils	Construction Phase Operation Phase	Low (Negative)	Manage and control through Soil Rehabilitation Plan and Stormwater Management Plan.	
	Dust emissions.	Air quality	Construction Phase	Very low (Negative)	Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures.	Very low (Negative)
	Noise generation	Noise receptors	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Manage through Noise Reduction Measures.	Very low (Negative)
	Soil and water resources contamination and siltation.	Surface water and Groundwater	Construction Phase	Low (Negative)	Monitor and manage through Stormwater Management Plan and Groundwater Monitoring Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Topography and visual alteration.	Topography and Visual Environment	Construction Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Minimise through Mine Design and Management Plan.	Low (Negative)
	Soil disturbance	Fauna and Flora	Construction Phase	Low (Negative)	Monitor and manage through Rehabilitation	Very low (Negative)

	resulting in the spread of alien plant species.				Plan, Conservation Management Plan and Alien Invasive Management Plan.	
	Destruction of features of heritage importance.	Heritage	Construction Phase	Very low (Negative)	Manage and avoid through Environmental Conservation Management Plan.	Very low (Negative)
Extraction and transportation of sand. TLB activity and operation of mining equipment.	Impact on upstream tributaries and water in the catchment.	Surface water	Operation Phase	Very low (Negative)	Control through Stormwater Management Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Noise generation.	Noise receptors	Operation Phase	Low (Negative)	Manage through Noise Reduction Measures and Regular Vehicle Inspections.	Very low (Negative)
	Air quality and dust emissions.	Air quality	Operation Phase	Low (Negative)	Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures.	Low (Negative)
	Soil and water resources contamination	Surface water and Groundwater	Operation Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Monitor and remedy through Emergency Response Plan.	Low (Negative)
	Destruction of features of Heritage importance.	Heritage	Operation Phase	Low (Negative)	Manage and avoid through Environmental Conservation Management Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Topography and visual alteration.	Topography and Visual Environment	Operation Phase	Moderate (Negative)	N/A	N/A
	Storage of diesel and vehicle/machinery maintenance equipment.	Impact on ambient air quality.	Air quality	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Manage through Regular Inspection and Management Plan.

Waste generation and disposal.	Surface water contamination.	Surface water	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Monitor and remedy through Emergency Response Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Hydrogeology and soil contamination.	Hydrogeology Soils	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Low (Negative)	Monitor and remedy through Emergency Response Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Visual impact.	Visual Environment	Construction Phase Operation Phase Decommissioning Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Manage and Minimise through Management Plan and Rehabilitation Plan.	Low (Negative)
Demolition and/or removal of mobile camp site infrastructure/equipment	Establishment and spread of alien plant species.	Fauna and Flora	Decommissioning Phase Post Closure	Moderate (Negative)	Manage and control through Alien Invasive Management Plan.	Low (Negative)
	Destruction of vegetation.	Fauna and Flora	Decommissioning Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Manage and Minimise through Management Plan and Rehabilitation Plan	Low (Negative)
Rehabilitation and restoration of disturbed areas	Soil and water resources contamination.	Soils Groundwater	Decommissioning Phase	Low (Negative)	Monitor and remedy through Emergency Response Plan.	Very low (Negative)
	Impact on upstream tributaries and water in the catchment.	Surface water	Decommissioning Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Manage and Minimise through Management Plan and Rehabilitation Plan.	Low (Negative)
	Topography and visual alteration.	Topography and Visual Environment	Decommissioning Phase	Low (Negative)	Remedy through Rehabilitation and Closure Plan.	Very low (Negative)

	Noise generation.	Noise receptors	Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Manage through Noise Reduction Measures and Regular Vehicle Inspections.	Very low (Negative)
	Air quality and dust emissions.	Air quality	Decommissioning Phase	Very low (Negative)	Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures.	Very low (Negative)
	Land capability reduction.	Soils Vegetation	Decommissioning Phase Post Closure	Moderate (Negative)	Manage, minimise through Post-closure Management Plan and Rehabilitation Plan.	Low (Negative)
Employment of workers, and acquiring mining vehicles, machinery, equipment and materials.	Creation of local employment and skills development.	Socio-economic	Construction Phase Operation Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Promote through Local Based Employment Strategy, and Women and Youth Employment Initiatives.	High (Positive)
	Contribution to the short term growth of the local economy.	Socio-economic	Construction Phase Operation Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Promote through Local Beneficiation Markets Support to SMME Initiatives.	High (Positive)
	Impact on health and safety of workers.	Socio-economic	Construction Phase Operation Phase	Moderate (Negative)	Prevent through Awareness Campaigns and Training.	Low (Negative)

j) Summary of specialist reports.

(This summary must be completed if any specialist reports informed the impact assessment and final site layout process and must be in the following tabular form):-

LIST OF STUDIES UNDERTAKEN	RECOMMENDATIONS OF SPECIALIST REPORTS	SPECIALIST RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE EIA REPORT (Mark with an X where applicable)	REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE SECTION OF REPORT WHERE SPECIALIST RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN INCLUDED.
Fauna and Flora Impact Assessment	The proposed development will result in the loss of moderate ecologically sensitive habitat in the form of disturbed grassland. It is recommended that species of special concern be managed and specific mitigation measures described in this assessment are adhered to. The overall impact of the proposed small-scale mining facility will be moderate to low. Since the majority of the site is of moderate ecological sensitivity, it is the specialist's opinion that should the project proceed then the ecological aspects related to the impact assessment can be managed accordingly. Mitigation and management measures described in this report should be followed.	X	The mitigation measures, as well as the environmental management programme included in this report is informed by the Flora and Fauna Specialist study undertaken, including the recommendations provided therein. The Report produced as part of the study is included in Appendix D

k) Environmental impact statement

(i) Summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment;

The proposed mining area is a single section, which is transformed and degraded land, mostly as a result of alien plant species, and possible past clearing activities that have occurred in the area. The transformed areas contain few or no indigenous species, whereas the degraded areas are mainly made up of indigenous species with some invasive species in disturbed areas. The main potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed project include:

Noise generation

Noise generation as a result of machinery and vehicles operated on site is likely to impact on the surrounding receptors in the nearby location. All reasonable measures need to be implemented to minimise noise levels to the nearby receptors throughout the life cycle of the proposed mine. Due to the small-scale nature and size of the proposed mining activity, and therefore basic machinery and equipment, this impact is expected to be of very low significance.

Air quality and dust emission

Vehicles transporting mining material to and from the site, as well site preparation activities, excavation, processing and decommissioning activities will result in the generation of fugitive dust. Air quality emissions will also include the evaporation of fuels stored on site. Air quality emissions will be of low to very low significance. The recommended mitigation measures in this report should reduce the potential for these impacts on the ambient air quality.

Topography and Visual Alteration

Storage of material and equipment on site, vehicular activities, stockpiling of topsoil and dredging will alter the visual environment in the area. The impacts will be of moderate to low significance at the different phases and activities of the project. All reasonable measures need to be implemented to minimise and limit these impacts where possible, incorporating the recommended mitigation measures of the specialists included in this report. Rehabilitation of the disturbed areas to return the site to its similar visual state prior mining will have a neutral visual impact on the area.

Soil erosion

Mining activities on site will result in exposed soil, which could result in soil erosion. Erosion can lead to destruction of natural habitats and sedimentation of the watercourse. This impact will have a low probability of occurrence with implemented mitigation measures and ultimately low impact.

Soil and water resources contamination

The potential impact of contamination will arise throughout the life cycle of the proposed mine as a result of contaminants such as fuels, waste material on site, seepage of waste water, spills etc. These possible contaminants need to be managed and prevented through an effective Emergency Response Plan and Storm water Management Plan in order to reduce the significance of these impacts.

Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat

Vegetation loss is unavoidable during the activities of the proposed mining project. The majority of the site has been transformed and is degraded; however these degraded areas contain some indigenous vegetation thus necessitating high consideration of the vegetation on site. The developmental footprint of the proposed small-scale mining will impact on the natural vegetation and faunal habitats. Recommended mitigation measures described in the assessment must be adhered to in order to reduce the impacts from moderate to low and special care must be taken to manage any species of special concern.

Destruction of features of heritage importance

It is of the opinion of the heritage study undertaken that any heritage resources (palaeontology, possible archaeology and the cultural landscape) that are affected by the proposed development would be impacted during the construction and operation phases when the site is cleared. The impacts would be direct but because of their very low significance would not require any further studies or mitigation work prior to the commencement of development. It is recommended that the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) and mine staff should be made aware of the possibility of uncovering fossils such as wood in the gravels. With this plan in place the significance of impacts would be reduced from low to very low.

Groundwater quantity and quality

It is expected that environmental impacts on groundwater will occur as result of potential contaminants being on site. The significance is expected to be of low significance and thus low risk of groundwater contamination on a local scale; however this impact may increase to moderate at a regional scale.

Mining operations may also influence groundwater recharge as a result of Dredging. Monitoring and the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures can reduce the potential hydrogeological impacts to the environment.

Surface water

Surface is running on site, and the mine operation has a potentially moderate to low significance. Monitoring and the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures can reduce the potential hydrogeological impacts to the environment.

Land capability reduction

Removal of soil for site preparation during the construction and operation phase will impact the land capability in that it will prevent the support of vegetation growth thereof. The removed soil should be stockpiled and managed correctly to minimise this impact. Soil replacement during rehabilitation has the potential to impact on the land capability as it will support the growth of vegetation, potentially returning land capability to its pre-mining state such as arable and/or grazing land.

Establishment and spread of alien plant species

Alien plant invasion is expected to occur in disturbed areas, however with the implementation of mitigation measures this impact can be reduced from moderate to low. This should be mitigated through the establishment of an alien invasive management plan to ensure the establishment of indigenous vegetation.

Socio-economic

Based on the environmental assessment presented in this report and the specialists' reports, it is the conclusion of this Basic Assessment that the proposed project will have relatively low impacts on the environment. With effective implementation management and mitigation measures, as well as recommended monitoring plans suggested in this report and those of the specialists', the significance of most potential environmental impacts on site from an environmental perspective will be reduced to low-very low. There will be potential impacts on vegetation and habitat, groundwater, soil, dust, air quality and visual environment as a result of earthworks associated with the activity, influx and movement of vehicles, infrastructure, waste and waste water generated by the project as a whole. The Environmental Management Programme supporting this BA outlines adequate methods and mitigation measures that need to be implemented in order for the identified impacts to not pose any environmental flaws associated with the proposed establishment of a small-scale mining operation.

(ii) Final Site Map

Provide a map at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed overall activity and its associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the preferred site indicating any areas that should be avoided, including buffers.

Attached as Appendix B

(iii) Summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and identified alternatives;

A summary of the positive and negative potential impacts associated with the project has been outlined in Section I (i) above.

I) Proposed impact management objectives and the impact management outcomes for inclusion in the EMPr;

Based on the assessment and where applicable the recommendations from specialist reports, the recording of proposed impact management objectives, and the impact management outcomes for the development for inclusion in the EMPr as well as for inclusion as conditions of authorisation.

The EMPr addresses the environmental impacts associated with the project during Construction, Operation, Decommissioning and Post Closure Phases of the proposed project. The objectives of the EMPr will be to provide detailed information that will advise the planning design of MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd mining activities in order to avoid and/or reduce impacts that may be detrimental to the environment.

The following environmental management objectives are recommended for the proposed mining development and associated infrastructure:

- Alien plant monitoring should take place after construction, throughout the lifecycle of the mine, as well as post closure of the mine.
- Development planning must restrict the area of impact to a minimum and designated areas only.
- Monitor and prevent contamination and undertake appropriate remedial actions.
- Limit the visual and noise impact on receptors.
- Avoid impact on possible heritage finds.
- Promote health and safety of workers.
- Limit dust and other emissions to within allowable limits.
- Manage soils to prevent erosion.
- Limit the impact on the watercourse.

m) Aspects for inclusion as conditions of Authorisation.

Any aspects which must be made conditions of the Environmental Authorisation

The following aspects as recommended by the specialist studies are emphasised to be included as conditions in the Environmental Authorisation:

- Since the majority of the site is of moderate ecological sensitivity, it is of the specialist's opinion should the project proceed then the ecological aspects related to the impact assessment can be managed accordingly.
- Mitigation and management measures described in the flora and fauna report should be followed.
- If any archaeological or palaeontological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist or palaeontologist as appropriate. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution. The project EMPr should make reference to this possibility so that appropriate action can be taken as and when necessary.
- Workers should be educated about the importance of wildlife and the environment.

n) Description of any assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge.

(Which relate to the assessment and mitigation measures proposed)

Uncertainties form part of any proposed development with regards to the actual degree of impact that the development will have on the immediate environment. Any actual and/or site-specific results will only be determined once development has commenced and throughout the life cycle of the proposed project

The following assumptions have been made for this study in terms of the specialists' reports:

- The flora and fauna field investigation took place after drought conditions, although this was during the expected rainy season. As not all plant species on site were identifiable during the flora survey, it is possible that Red Data species could have been missed. It is strongly recommended that an additional flora Red Data survey is conducted prior to the clearing of any habitat associated with the site.
- The faunal sampling assessment was intended to document any faunal activity or evidence thereof on site. It is likely that some elusive, shy, nocturnal or migrant species may not have been recorded during the faunal survey.

o) Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should or should not be authorised

i) Reasons why the activity should be authorized or not.

Mining is the most important economic sector in the Free State and the area proposed for the project is an area of existing sand mining activities. The proposed project is thus an initiative in meeting and/or addressing this socio-economic need.

Specialists' studies were conducted as part of this BAR, providing mitigation measures and recommendations to ensure that environmental aspects of the site and surrounding area are not impacted severely. The site is composed of degraded and disturbed areas, and the undertaken ecological study did not identify any areas of high significance that could pose a fatal flaw prohibiting the proposed development. It is the opinion of the EAP that the proposed project will comply with current relevant legislation, and that with the implementation of the mitigation measures suggested in this BAR, there are no environmental impacts identified as highly detrimental to the environment. It is therefore recommended that following the above, the proposed development be granted Environmental Authorisation.

ii) Conditions that must be included in the authorisation

The EMPr of this proposed project must form part of the contractual agreement and be adhered to by both the contractors and the applicant. The applicant must also ascertain that there is representation of the applicant on site, at all times of the project, ensuring compliance with the conditions of the EMPr and specialist reports, and Environmental Authorisation thereof.

p) Period for which the Environmental Authorisation is required.

The proposed MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd mining project will have a Life of Mine of approximately 2 years upon commencement of operation.

q) Undertaking

Confirm that the undertaking required to meet the requirements of this section is provided at the end of the EMPr and is applicable to both the Basic assessment report and the Environmental Management Programme report.

The undertaking is provided at the end of the EMPr.

r) Financial Provision

State the amount that is required to both manage and rehabilitate the environment in respect of rehabilitation.

i) Explain how the aforesaid amount was derived.

The amount required manage and rehabilitate the environment is R24 899.00 This amount was calculated according to the guideline for the Calculation of the Quantum for rehabilitation as provided by DMR. The mining operation will entail the excavating of sand in sections, where after excavation each section will be closed/rehabilitated and a different/new section will be excavated within the 5.0 hectares area. The open cast rehabilitation fee is thus calculated on a pit size of rehabilitated concurrently, camp site area.

ii) Confirm that this amount can be provided for from operating expenditure. (Confirm that the amount, is anticipated to be an operating cost and is provided for as such in the Mining work programme, Financial and Technical Competence Report or Prospecting Work Programme as the case may be).

Mr Duduza Ntombela (the Project Applicant), has confirmed that this amount can be provided for from operating expenditure.

s) Specific Information required by the competent Authority

i) Compliance with the provisions of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) read with section 24 (3) (a) and (7) of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998). the EIA report must include the:-

(1) Impact on the socio-economic conditions of any directly affected person. (Provide the results of Investigation, assessment, and evaluation of the impact of the mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting on any directly affected person including the landowner, lawful occupier, or, where applicable, potential beneficiaries of any land restitution claim, attach the investigation report as an Appendix .

The proposed MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd mining operation is proposed on property under the jurisdiction of the municipality.

(2) Impact on any national estate referred to in section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act. (Provide the results of Investigation, assessment, and evaluation of the impact of the mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting on any national estate referred to in section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999)

with the exception of the national estate contemplated in section 3(2)(i)(vi) and (vii) of that Act, attach the investigation report as Appendix 2.19.2 and confirm that the applicable mitigation is reflected in 2.5.3; 2.11.6.and 2.12.herein).

There are no significant heritage resources present on the site and significant impacts are thus not expected.

t) Other matters required in terms of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) of the Act.

(the EAP managing the application must provide the competent authority with detailed, written proof of an investigation as required by section 24(4)(b)(i) of the Act and motivation if no reasonable or feasible alternatives, as contemplated in sub-regulation 22(2)(h), exist. The EAP must attach such motivation as **Appendix 4**).

No other matters required.

PART B

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT

1) Draft environmental management programme.

- a) **Details of the EAP, (Confirm that the requirement for the provision of the details and expertise of the EAP are already included in PART A, section 1(a) herein as required).**

The requirements for the provision of the details and expertise of the EAP are included in Part A,

Section a) and as **Appendix A.**

- b) **Description of the Aspects of the Activity (Confirm that the requirement to describe the aspects of the activity that are covered by the draft environmental management programme is already included in PART A, section (1)(h) herein as required).**

The requirement to describe the aspects of the activity that are covered by the environmental management programme is included in PART A, **Section d).**

c) **Composite Map**

(Provide a map (**Attached as an Appendix**) at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed activity, its associated structures, and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the preferred site, indicating any areas that any areas that should be avoided, including buffers)

The composite plan is included in **Appendix B.**

d) **Description of Impact management objectives including management statements**

The proposed impact management objectives and management statements are informed by the environmental setting of the proposed mining site, as well as the desired state during closure and post closure of the mine.

i) **Determination of closure objectives.**

(Ensure that the closure objectives are informed by the type of environment described)

The proposed mining area is a single section, which is transformed and excavated land, possible past clearing activities that have occurred in the area. The excavated areas contain few or no indigenous species, whereas the transformed areas are mainly made

up of indigenous species with some invasive species in disturbed areas. The main potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed project include:

- Noise generation
- Air quality and dust emission
- Topography and Visual Alteration
- Soil erosion
- Soil and water resources contamination
- Loss of vegetation and faunal habitat
- Land capability reduction
- Establishment and spread of alien plant species
- Socio-economic

Therefore, effective and practical measures need to be implemented to prevent, reduce or control and remedy any impacts that may be detrimental to the environment, as well as to rehabilitate the site to a desired state similar to that of the pre-mining state. These measures include:

- Rehabilitate the site in accordance with a detailed closure plan and implement an alien invasive management plan to ensure the establishment of indigenous vegetation.
- Rehabilitation of the disturbed areas to return the site to its similar visual state prior mining.
- Identify and attend to possible areas of erosion.
- Implement an effective waste management plan to contain waste on site, as well as any spills that may occur.

ii) Volumes and rate of water use required for the operation.

Not applicable to this project.

iii) Has a water use licence has been applied for?

No application for a water use licence has been made. The required mine operation volume falls within the General Authorisation, in terms of water use, of the farm portion, and therefore a Section 21 (a) water use licence will not be required by the mine.

iv) Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases

Measures to rehabilitate the environment affected by the undertaking of any listed activity

<p>ACTIVITIES</p> <p>(E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etc...etc...etc)</p> <p>E.g. For mining, - excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc...etc.)</p>	<p>PHASE</p> <p>(of operation in which activity will take place.</p> <p>State; Planning and design, Pre-Construction, Construction, Operational, Rehabilitation, Closure, Post closure).</p>	<p>SIZE AND SCALE of Disturbance</p> <p>(volumes, tonnages and hectares or m²)</p>	<p>MITIGATION MEASURES</p> <p>(describe how each of the recommendations in herein will remedy the cause of pollution or degradation and migration of pollutants)</p>	<p>COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS</p> <p>(A description of how each of the recommendations herein will comply with any prescribed environmental management standards or practices that have been identified by Competent Authorities)</p>	<p>TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION</p> <p>Describe the time period when the measures in the environmental management programme must be implemented when required. With regard to Rehabilitation specifically this must take place at the earliest opportunity. With regard to Rehabilitation, therefore state either: - Upon cessation of the individual activity or. Upon the cessation of mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting as the case may be.</p>
<p>Vehicular activities.</p>	<p>Construction Operational Decommissioning</p>	<p>Site</p>	<p>-Adhere to existing roads, and if new roads are constructed, these must not cross sensitive areas such as the ridges or drainage lines. -Limit vehicles travelling to and from the site to minimise traffic noise to the surrounding environment. -Effective signage and traffic control measures along the route.</p>	<p>-Manage and avoid through Environmental Conservation Management Plan. -Minimise through Mine Design and Management Plan. -Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures. - Implement noise reduction measures in compliance with Noise standards and Regulations.</p>	<p>Daily and on-going during the Life of Mine.</p>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Implement effective and environmentally-friendly dust control measures, such as mulching or periodic wetting of the entrance road. -Vehicles operating on the mine must keep at minimum speed to reduce dust generation. 		
Site clearing and topsoil removal for mining operation, and construction of a mine	Construction	Site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Development planning must ensure loss of vegetation and disturbance is restricted to within the minimum and designated areas only. -Revegetate exposed areas to prevent soil erosion and the establishment of alien invasive species. -Manage any encountered protected plant or animal species. -Implement dust suppression measures. -Prevent any spillages from hauling vehicles. -Report any identified features of heritage. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Manage and avoid through Environmental Conservation Management Plan. -Implement in accordance with the rehabilitation plan and standards. -Comply with the Alien invasive Management Plan in accordance with NEM:BA. -Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures to ensure that the acceptable standards as set out in Regulation 3 of NEMAQA National Dust Control Regulations. - Manage through Emergency Response Plan. -Manage through Best Practice Guidelines. 	On-going during the construction and operational phase.

Construction of infrastructure.	Construction	Site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Implement effective Storm-water Management measures. -Vegetate soil stockpiles and prevent soil erosion. -Avoid contamination and divert any dirty water to suitable storage facility. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Manage through Stormwater Management Plan. -Manage in accordance with the rehabilitation plan. -Manage through Stormwater Management Plan and Groundwater Monitoring Plan. 	On-going during the construction phase.
Preparing an area of the Offsite land for a portable camp site to accommodate infrastructure associated with stockpiling, and offices).	Construction	Site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Development planning must ensure loss of vegetation and disturbance is restricted to within the minimum and designated areas only. -All disturbed areas must be rehabilitated. -Vegetation cover must be reinstated through rehabilitation. -Implement effective and environmentally-friendly dust control measures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Minimise through Mine Design and Management Plan. -Manage in accordance with the Rehabilitation Plan. -Dust Monitoring Measures to ensure that the acceptable standards as set out in Regulation 3 of NEMAQA National Dust Control Regulations. 	Daily during construction in accordance with the Management Plan.
Extraction and transportation of ore. TLB activity and operation of mining equipment.	Operational	Site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Avoid contamination and divert any dirty water to suitable storage facility. -Implement noise minimisation measures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Control through Stormwater Management Plan. -Regular vehicle and machinery inspection. 	Ongoing during the Life of Mine.

Stockpiling	Operational		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Implement effective and environmentally-friendly dust control measures. -Undertake closure and rehabilitation of pits when activities are completed in those pits. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Implement in accordance with the rehabilitation plan and standards. -Monitor and manage through Dust Management Plan and Measures to ensure that the acceptable standards as set out in Regulation 3 of NEMAQA National Dust Control Regulations. 	
Waste generation and disposal.	Construction Operational Decommissioning	Municipal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Waste must be stored in demarcated storage facilities and disposed of in terms of relevant legislation and guidelines. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Manage in accordance with Best Practice Guidelines. 	Weekly during Life of Mine.
<p>Demolition and/or removal of mobile camp site infrastructure/equipment.</p> <p>Rehabilitation and restoration of disturbed areas.</p>	Decommissioning Post Closure	Local Site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -All disturbed areas must be rehabilitated. -Limit activity footprint and avoid disturbance of rehabilitated areas. -Implement an effective Alien Invasive Management Plan. -Demolition and removal of structures and rubble to be done cautiously. -Monitoring to be undertaken for a long enough period post closure, eg, 2-3 years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Manage in accordance with the Rehabilitation Plan, Environmental Conservation Plan, Alien Invasive Management Plan, NEM:BA and Best Practice Guidelines 	Ongoing during Decommissioning and Post Closure Phase.

e) Impact Management Outcomes

(A description of impact management outcomes, identifying the standard of impact management required for the aspects contemplated in paragraph ());

ACTIVITY whether listed or not listed (E.g. Excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc..etc.)	POTENTIAL IMPACT (e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etc...etc..)	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE In which impact is anticipated (e.g. Construction, commissioning, operational Decommissioning, closure, post-closure))	MITIGATION TYPE (modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, storm-water control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc...etc..) E.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify through alternative method. • Control through noise control • Control through management and monitoring • Remedy through rehabilitation. 	STANDARD TO BE ACHIEVED (Impact avoided, noise levels, dust levels, rehabilitation standards, end use objectives) etc.
Demarcation of site with visible beacons	No impact could be identified other than the beacons being outside the boundaries of the approved processing area.	N/A	Site Establishment phase	Control through management and monitoring	Mining of sand is only allowed within the boundaries of the approved area. <input type="checkbox"/> MPRDA, 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> NEMA, 1998

WINNING OF SAND	Visual intrusion associated with the sand mining activities	The visual impact may affect the aesthetics of the landscape.	Operational phase	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping	Management of the mining activities must be in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> MPRDA, 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> NEMA, 1998
WINNING OF SAND	Noise nuisance generated by Excavation equipment.	Should noise levels become excessive it may have an impact on surrounding landowners	Operational phase	<u>Control:</u> Noise control measures	Noise generation on site must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:AQA, 2004 Regulation 6(1) <input type="checkbox"/> NRTA, 1996
WINNING OF SAND	Contamination of surface or groundwater with hydrocarbons or hazardous waste material.	Contamination may cause surface or ground water pollution if not addressed.	Operational phase	<u>Control & Remedy:</u> Implementation of waste management	Mining related waste must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:WA, 2008
WINNING OF SAND	Negative impact on the aquatic fauna of the area	This may have a negative impact on the biodiversity of the area.	Operational phase	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Site specific fauna and flora must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:BA, 2004

ACTIVITY whether listed or not listed (E.g. Excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc..etc.)	POTENTIAL IMPACT (e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etc...etc..)	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE In which impact is anticipated (e.g. Construction, commissioning, operational Decommissioning, closure, post-closure))	MITIGATION TYPE (modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, storm-water control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc...etc..) E.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify through alternative method. • Control through noise control • Control through management and monitoring • Remedy through rehabilitation. 	STANDARD TO BE ACHIEVED (Impact avoided, noise levels, dust levels, rehabilitation standards, end use objectives) etc.
WINNING OF SAND	Loss of mining equipment due to unexpected flooding.	This impact may have financial impacts on the Applicant.	Operational phase	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	The mining area must be managed in accordance with the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> MPRDA, 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> NEMA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Negative impacts on the riparian vegetation.	This may have a negative impact on the biodiversity of the area.	Operational phase	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper site management.	Site specific flora must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:BA, 2004

STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Dust nuisance from loading and vehicles transporting the material.	An increase in dust levels may lead to complaints received from surrounding landowners and road users.	Operational phase	<u>Control:</u> Dust suppression	Dust generation on site must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:AQA, 2004 Regulation 6(1) <input type="checkbox"/> National Dust Control Regulations, GN No R827 <input type="checkbox"/> ASTM D1739 (SANS 1137:2012)
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Degradation of access road.	If the road is not maintained it will negatively affect all road users.t only the landowner.	Operational phase	<u>Control & Remedy:</u> Road condition management	The access road must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NRTA, 1996
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Negative impact on the fauna (aquatic and terrestrial) of the area.	This may have a negative impact on the biodiversity of the area.	Operational phase	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Site specific fauna and flora must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:BA, 2004
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Contamination of area with hydrocarbons or hazardous waste material.	Contamination may cause surface or ground water pollution if not addressed.	Operational phase	<u>Control & Remedy:</u> Implementation of waste management	Mining related waste must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:WA, 2008

<p>STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE</p>	<p>Overloading of trucks having an impact on the public roads.</p>	<p>Overloading will negatively affect the roads in the vicinity of the mining area.</p>	<p>Operational phase</p>	<p><u>Control:</u> Proper site management</p>	<p>Load weights must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NRTA, 1996</p>
<p>SLOPING AND LANDSCAPING UPON CLOSURE OF THE MINING AREA</p>	<p>Impact on the flow regime of the river.</p>	<p>A negative impact on the flow regime of the river may lead to erosion of banks, and impact on the downstream users.</p>	<p>Operational phase</p>	<p><u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.</p>	<p>The aquatic aspects at the site and rights of downstream users must be managed in terms of: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998</p>
<p>SLOPING AND LANDSCAPING UPON CLOSURE OF THE MINING AREA</p>	<p>Contamination of area with hydrocarbons or hazardous waste material.</p>	<p>Contamination may cause surface or ground water pollution if not addressed.</p>	<p>Operational phase</p>	<p><u>Control & Remedy:</u> Implementation of waste management</p>	<p>Mining related waste must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:WA, 2008</p>

f) Impact Management Actions

(A description of impact management actions, identifying the manner in which the impact management objectives and outcomes contemplated in paragraphs (c) and (d) will be achieved).

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	MITIGATION TYPE	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS
<p>whether listed or not listed (E.g. Excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc..etc.)</p>	<p>(e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etc...etc..)</p>	<p>(modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, stormwater control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc... etc.)</p> <p>E.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify through alternative method. • Control through noise control • Control through management and monitoring • Remedy through rehabilitation. 	<p>Describe the time period when the measures in the environmental management programme must be implemented Measures must be implemented when required. With regard to Rehabilitation specifically this must take place at the earliest opportunity. With regard to Rehabilitation, therefore state either: Upon cessation of the individual activity Or. Upon the cessation of mining bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting as the case may be.</p>	<p>(A description of how each of the recommendations in 2.11.6 read with 2.12 and 2.15.2 herein will comply with any prescribed environmental management standards or practices that have been identified by Competent Authorities)</p>
<p>Demarcation of site with visible beacons</p>	<p>No impact could be identified other than the beacons being outside the boundaries of the approved processing area.</p>	<p>Control through management and monitoring</p>	<p>Beacons need to be in place throughout the life of the mine.</p>	<p>Mining of sand is only allowed within the boundaries of the approved area. <input type="checkbox"/> MPRDA, 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> NEMA, 1998</p>

WINNING OF SAND	Visual intrusion associated with the sand mining activities	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping	Throughout operational phase	Management of the mining activities must be in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> MPRDA, 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> NEMA, 1998
WINNING OF SAND	Noise nuisance generated by excavation equipment.	<u>Control:</u> Noise control measures	Throughout operational phase	Noise generation on site must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:AQA, 2004 Regulation 6(1) <input type="checkbox"/> NRTA, 1996

ACTIVITY whether listed or not listed (E.g. Excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc..etc.)	POTENTIAL IMPACT (e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etc...etc..)	MITIGATION TYPE (modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, stormwater control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc... etc.) E.g <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify through alternative method. • Control through noise control • Control through management and monitoring 	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION Describe the time period when the measures in the environmental management programme must be implemented Measures must be implemented when required. With regard to Rehabilitation specifically this must take place at the earliest opportunity. With regard to Rehabilitation, therefore state either: Upon cessation of the individual activity Or. Upon the cessation of mining bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting as the case may be.	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS (A description of how each of the recommendations in 2.11.6 read with 2.12 and 2.15.2 herein will comply with any prescribed environmental management standards or practices that have been identified by Competent Authorities)
WINNING OF SAND	Contamination of surface or groundwater with hydrocarbons or hazardous waste material.	<u>Control & Remedy:</u> Implementation of waste management	Throughout operational phase	Mining related waste must be managed in accordance with the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:WA, 2008
WINNING OF SAND	Negative impact on the aquatic fauna of the area	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Throughout operational phase	Site specific fauna and flora must be managed in accordance with the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:BA, 2004

WINNING OF SAND	Impact on the flow regime of the river.	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Throughout operational phase	The aquatic aspects at the site and rights of downstream users must be managed in terms of: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998
WINNING OF SAND	Impact on downstream water users.	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Throughout operational phase	The aquatic aspects at the site and rights of downstream users must be managed in terms of: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998
WINNING OF SAND	Loss of mining equipment due to unexpected flooding.	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Throughout operational phase	The mining area must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> MPRDA, 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> NEMA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Negative impacts on the riparian vegetation and banks of the river.	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper site management.	Throughout operational phase	Site specific flora must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:BA, 2004
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Dust nuisance from loading and vehicles transporting the material.	<u>Control:</u> Dust suppression	Throughout operational phase	Dust generation on site must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:AQA, 2004 Regulation 6(1) <input type="checkbox"/> National Dust Control Regulations, GN No R827 <input type="checkbox"/> ASTM D1739 (SANS 1137:2012)

ACTIVITY whether listed or not listed (E.g. Excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etc...etc..etc.)	POTENTIAL IMPACT (e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etc...etc..)	MITIGATION TYPE (modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, stormwater control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc... etc.) E.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modify through alternative method. • Control through noise control • Control through management and monitoring • Remedy through rehabilitation. 	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION Describe the time period when the measures in the environmental management programme must be implemented Measures must be implemented when required. With regard to Rehabilitation specifically this must take place at the earliest opportunity. With regard to Rehabilitation, therefore state either: Upon cessation of the individual activity Or. Upon the cessation of mining bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting as the case may be.	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS (A description of how each of the recommendations in 2.11.6 read with 2.12 and 2.15.2 herein will comply with any prescribed environmental management standards or practices that have been identified by Competent Authorities)
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Degradation of access road.	<u>Control & Remedy:</u> Road condition management	Throughout Operational phase	The access road must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NRTA, 1996

STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Negative impact on the fauna (aquatic and terrestrial) of the area.	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Throughout Operational phase	Site specific fauna and flora must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:BA, 2004
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Contamination of area with hydrocarbons or hazardous waste material.	<u>Control & Remedy:</u> Implementation of waste management	Throughout Operational phase	Mining related waste must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:WA, 2008
STOCKPILING AND TRANSPORTING MATERIAL FROM SITE	Overloading of trucks having an impact on the public roads.	<u>Control:</u> Proper site management	Throughout Operational phase	Load weights must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NRTA, 1996
SLOPING AND LANDSCAPING UPON CLOSURE OF THE MINING AREA	Impact on the flow regime of the river.	<u>Control:</u> Implementation of proper housekeeping and site management.	Throughout Operational phase	The aquatic aspects at the site and rights of downstream users must be managed in terms of: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998
SLOPING AND LANDSCAPING UPON CLOSURE OF THE MINING AREA	Contamination of area with hydrocarbons or hazardous waste material.	<u>Control & Remedy:</u> Implementation of waste management	Throughout Operational phase	Mining related waste must be managed in accordance with the: <input type="checkbox"/> NWA, 1998 <input type="checkbox"/> NEM:WA, 2008

i) Financial Provision

(1) Determination of the amount of Financial Provision.

(a) Describe the closure objectives and the extent to which they have been aligned to the baseline environment described under the Regulation.

MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd will be using a mobile camp site for its processing activities, and therefore no infrastructure associated with the camp site will require breaking down or demolishing at closure. The areas disturbed as a result of the mining operation will be rehabilitated by maintaining the general topography of the surrounding area, ensuring that there are no remnants of the structures. The closure objectives aim to return the affected area to a land use condition or desired state similar to that of the pre-mining state. Closure and rehabilitation of land will be undertaken during the operational phase when the activities are completed in that area, to achieve a desired land condition as early as possible. Post-closure monitoring will assist in determining the success of the rehabilitation and also identify whether any additional measures need to be taken to ensure the area is restored to a reasonable and acceptable condition.

Rehabilitation measures and objectives will be undertaken in compliance with legislation and policy governing the requirements for rehabilitation such as the National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 and the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 of 2002.

(b) Confirm specifically that the environmental objectives in relation to closure have been consulted with landowner and interested and affected parties.

This Report highlights the rehabilitation and management objectives with regards to mitigating negative environmental impacts associated with the proposed mining operation. These environmental objectives related to the closure of the mining operation contained in this report will be subjected to a 30-day review period by Interested and Affected Parties.

(c) Provide a rehabilitation plan that describes and shows the scale and aerial extent of the main mining activities, including the anticipated mining area at the time of closure.

The rehabilitation plan for the proposed MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd mining operation aims to mitigate the negative impacts associated with the mining activities, and ultimately to return the affected land to its desired land use standard. The objectives of the plan are to ensure

that the condition of the site post mining operation are suitable to and in agreement with the affected neighbouring community and the competent authority, that there is minimal loss to the biodiversity of the area, and that rehabilitation restores the land use and capability of the area/site.

The rehabilitation process will commence during the mining operation throughout the life of mine; involving concurrent rehabilitation of excavated land when activities are completed in that excavated land and thereafter the final rehabilitation will be undertaken during the mine closure phase. A more detailed closure plan will be developed during the life of mine, prior to the cessation of mining activities; adapted to the developed information and environmental impact status of the project in order to achieve a site-specific closure plan.

A map showing the site layout and aerial extent of the proposed mining activities, depicting the anticipated mining permit area at the time of closure is included as Map 2 in Appendix B.

(d) Explain why it can be confirmed that the rehabilitation plan is compatible with the closure objectives.

The closure plan will assist the proposed mining operation to achieve the following objectives:

- Comply with relevant legislation and policy requirements with regards to mine rehabilitation.
- Avoid or mitigate impacts associated with the project which may be detrimental to the environment.
- Land rehabilitation to a predetermined and agreed upon state that allows sustainable land use and capability of the site, that is to return the site to the condition that existed prior to mining or an agreed upon state.
- Cost effective and efficient closure of mining operations.
- Management and monitoring of the area post-closure.

The rehabilitation plan will thus be aligned to the closure objectives and tailored to the project to achieve these objectives. It will include information about the site prior to the mining operation and provide information on the maintenance of resources required for the rehabilitation process, as well as detail plan on how rehabilitation will be undertaken. It will also provide information on the management and monitoring of disturbance to avoid or minimise detrimental impacts, as well as an estimate of the financial closure provision.

It will also include information associated with post-closure environmental monitoring of the site to ensure that the rehabilitation plan is followed and its objectives are achieved.

(e) Calculate and state the quantum of the financial provision required to manage and rehabilitate the environment in accordance with the applicable guideline.

This amount was calculated according to the guideline for the Calculation of the Quantum for rehabilitation as provided by DMR. The mining operation will entail the excavating of land in sections, where after the excavated section will be closed/rehabilitated and a different/new section will be excavated, within the 5.0 hectares area. The open cast rehabilitation fee is thus calculated on a general surface. General surface rehabilitation and grassing at R5 946.18.

Refer to the table below for the Calculated Quantum Rehabilitation Financial Provision

Table 1: Calculation of the financial provision required for the proposed MDBS Trading (PTY) LTD

CALCULATION OF THE QUANTUM (REAL RATES)

Applicant: **MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd**

Ref No.: **FS 30/5/1/3/3/2/1 (10268) EM**

Evaluators:

Date: **08 Feb 2019**

No.	Description	Unit	A	B	C	D	E=A*B*C*D
			Quantity	Master Rate	Multiplication factor	Weighting factor 1	Amount (Rands)
1	Dismantling of processing plant and related structures (including overland conveyors and powerlines)	m3	0	15,42	1	1	0
2 (A)	Demolition of steel buildings and structures	m2	0	214,79	1	1	0
2(B)	Demolition of reinforced concrete buildings and structures	m2	0	316,53	1	1	0
3	Rehabilitation of access roads	m2	50	38,44	1	1	1922
4 (A)	Demolition and rehabilitation of electrified railway lines	m	0	373,05	1	1	0
4 (A)	Demolition and rehabilitation of non-electrified railway lines	m	0	203,48	1	1	0
5	Demolition of housing and/or administration facilities	m2	0	429,57	1	1	0
6	Opencast rehabilitation including final voids and ramps	ha	0	218629,41	1	1	0
7	Sealing of shafts adits and inclines	m3	0	115,31	1	1	0
8 (A)	Rehabilitation of overburden and spoils	ha	0,05	150124,02	1	1	7506,201

8 (B)	Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits and evaporation ponds (non-polluting potential)	ha	0	186976,76	1	1	0
8 (C)	Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits and evaporation ponds (polluting potential)	ha	0	543069,13	1	1	0
9	Rehabilitation of subsided areas	ha	0,02	125706,26	1	1	2514,1252
10	General surface rehabilitation	ha	0,05	118923,55	1	1	5946,1775
11	River diversions	ha	0	118923,55	1	1	0
12	Fencing	m	0,05	135,66	1	1	6,783
13	Water management	ha	0	56328,08	1	1	0
14	2 to 3 years of maintenance and aftercare	ha	0	15826,33	1	1	0
15 (A)	Specialist study	Sum	0	0	1	1	0
15 (B)	Specialist study	Sum	0	0	1	1	0
Sub Total 1							17895,287

1	Preliminary and General	2147,434404	weighting factor 2	2147,4344
			1	
2	Contingencies	1789,52867		1789,5287
Subtotal 2				21832,25

VAT (15%)	3056,51
------------------	----------------

Grand Total	24889
--------------------	--------------

(f) Confirm that the financial provision will be provided as determined.

MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd confirms that the financial provision will be provided as determined.

Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with and performance assessment against the environmental management programme and reporting thereon, including

- (g) Monitoring of Impact Management Actions**
- (h) Monitoring and reporting frequency**
- (i) Responsible persons**
- (j) Time period for implementing impact management actions**
- (k) Mechanism for monitoring compliance**

SOURCE ACTIVITY	IMPACTS REQUIRING MONITORING PROGRAMMES	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MONITORING	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES (FOR THE EXECUTION OF THE MONITORING PROGRAMMES)	MONITORING AND REPORTING FREQUENCY and TIME PERIODS FOR IMPLEMENTING IMPACT MANAGEMENT ACTIONS
Site clearing and topsoil removal.	Air quality.	Set up PM ¹⁰ Monitoring sites in the area to monitor dustfall, using method ASTM D1739: 1970 (or equivalent).	Environmental Control Officer	Ongoing during the Life of Mine. Compile monthly reports.
Construction of infrastructure (Offices and storage)	Soil	Management and monitoring of soil stockpiles. Soils must be stored properly and revegetated to prevent erosion and to enable	Environmental Control Officer	Monitor and inspect on a daily basis. Compile monthly reports.
TLB activity and operation of mining equipment.			MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd Management	

		re-use during rehabilitation.		
Demolition and/or removal of mobile camp site infrastructure /equipment.	Surface water.	Monitor and manage through Stormwater Management Plan	Environmental Control Officer MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd Management	Ongoing during the Life of Mine, as well as for at least a year post mine closure.
Rehabilitation and restoration of disturbed areas.	Establishment and spread of alien plant species.	Alien invasive vegetation monitoring and control through Alien Invasive Management Plan	Environmental Control Officer	Ongoing during the Life of Mine. Monitor and control on a monthly basis.

(l) Indicate the frequency of the submission of the performance assessment/ environmental audit report.

The Environmental Control Officer will undertake audits in compliance with the provided EMP contents and guidelines and will compile audit reports, which will ultimately be submitted to the DMR every year.

(m) Environmental Awareness Plan

(1) Manner in which the applicant intends to inform his or her employees of any environmental risk which may result from their work.

MBDS Trading (Pty) Ltd Management has to appoint an independent Environmental Control Officer whose duty is to also implement an effective environmental awareness plan aimed to educate workers and contractors in terms of the biodiversity on site, environmental risks associated with the proposed development and land management of the site. Training and/or awareness should be raised and effectively communicated prior to the commencement of the construction phase. Training sessions should incorporate the management plans addressed in this EMP as well as any new information and documentation provided by the ECO, as well as that of the Environmental Health & Safety Officer. The ECO would be the most suitable person to conduct these training sessions, identifying sensitive environments as well as all the risks and impacts associated with the mining operation and the methods in which to deal with the impacts in order to avoid environmental degradation. Training sessions can be monitored by providing an attendance register indicating the workers that received training as well as evidence of the training and/or awareness received. These sessions would also need to be carried out throughout the Life of Mine, at least once a year, or as new information becomes available.

(2) Manner in which risks will be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment.

Kindly refer to the table of possible mitigation measures that could be applied in **section (viii) of Part A** for an indication of the manner in which risks will be dealt with

(n) Specific information required by the Competent Authority

(Among others, confirm that the financial provision will be reviewed annually).

No specific information requirements have been made by the competent authority at this stage.

2) UNDERTAKING

The EAP herewith confirms

- a) the correctness of the information provided in the reports
- b) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APs ;
- c) the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports where relevant; and
- d) that the information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested and affected. parties are correctly reflected herein.

MM Msitsini

Signature of the environmental assessment practitioner:

Azatitrax (Pty) Ltd

Name of company:

04/03/2019

Date:

-END-

APPENDICES

Appendix A	CV of the EAP's
Appendix B	Locality map, Site Layout Plan, land Use Map
Appendix C	Public participation information: including a copy of the register of interested and affected parties, the comments and responses report, proof of notices, advertisements and any other public participation information as required.

Appendix A

EAP Curriculum Vitae

CURRICULUM VITAE

MSITSINI Moses Malungisa

Date of Birth: 1994/02/15

ID Number: 9402155510083

Email: malungisamoses@gmail.com

Mobile: 0719064780

I am an individual who works very hard to deliver goods result, I have developed great combination of key skills that allow me to do my best in everything I do. I am a critical thinker, able to work under pressure and work in group. I give my level best in everything I do, I am an intellectual who is every innovative. Most of the time I am always up for a challenge and this helps me to improve my critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

PERSONAL DETAILS

Surname : Msitsini
Name(s) : Moses Malungisa
ID Number : 9402155510083
Date of Birth : 1994/02/15
Gender : Male
Nationality : South African
Marital Status : Single
Home Language : SiSwati
Residential Address : Stand no 424 Ekulindeni 1301

EDUCATION

SECONDARY EDUCATION

Qualification : Matric
School Attended : Highveld Secondary School
Year Completed : 2013

TERTIARY EDUCATION

Qualification : Bsc Geology and Geography

Institution : University of the Free State
Year Completed : 2018

WORKING EXPERIENCE

I have worked with the small scale miners in the region of Free State helping them with the application for Mining permit, prospecting right and comply reports with the legislation of the Department of Mineral Resource.

University of the free State-Student Assistance

Worked as a Student lab assistance (Information Technology Assistance). Worked as a student assistance in the Department of Geology and Geography.

Vocational work

I worked as a student geologist at Nkomati mine, doing strata-control and mineral separation. I also work as a student geologist at Exxaro mine, doing soil sampling.

Attribute acquired during Job experience

Interpersonal skill: I managed to acquire skills that very important when assisting people of different personalities, amongst the skills is communication skill.

Time Management: I managed to work with time when I was assisting student and also in the mine I manage to work with time during the period of time give to complete the given task.

Study Methods: Manage to introduce learners to different study methods that helped students to be successful to their studies.

Report writing: I learned to write different types of reports in different activities or events when I was doing strata control and soil sampling in the mine industry.

INVOLVED ORGANIZATIONS AND CERTIFICATES OBTAINED

GSA Geography Student Association

University of the Free State Hospital Ministry

PARTICIPATION

Hostel Soccer Team (University of the Free State)

Hostel Cricket Team (University of the Free State)

KEY SKILLS

Statistical Analysis

Good Communication

Information Evaluation

Interpretation Results

Software Skills: Map production (ArcGis), Microsoft Word, Microsoft Excel, Microsoft PowerPoint, Microsoft Access.

PERSONAL ATTRIBUTES

Communication Skill: I am an individual who communicate with an appreciation for different communication style requires when working with team members.

Honest and Reliable: I have a strong moral and ethics ensuring honest, reliability and ability and ability to responsibly undertake task.

Time Management: Dedication to effective prioritization and management of time by allowing tasks.

REFERENCES

Mr KEOAGILE MOTSHOANE

Contact No: 0761584286

Mr Desmond Knonco

Contact No: 0843040445

Mr Ngae Richard Tshabalal

Contact No: 0835461379

MRS Rinae Makhadi

Contact No: 0618817149

Mr Lwazi Msithini

Contact No: 0822620925



UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE
UNIVERSITEIT VAN DIE VRYSTAAT
YUNIVESITHI YA FREISTATA

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE DEGREE

Bachelor of Science

majoring in Geology and Geography

HAS BEEN CONFERRED UPON

MSITSINI, Moses Malungisa

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STATUTES AND
RULES OF THE UNIVERSITY. AS WITNESS
OUR RESPECTIVE SIGNATURES
AND SEAL OF THE
UNIVERSITY BELOW.

VICE-CHANCELLOR



ACTING REGISTRAR

BLOEMFONTEIN
5 DECEMBER 2018
2013167552

84984


Appendix B

LOCALITY OF MINING PERMIT APPLICATION AREA

PROPOSED SITE PLAN

MAP INDICATING
LOCALITY WHERE
MINING PERMIT IS
APPLIED FOR
HIGHLIGHTED WITH
BLACK CIRCLE SHAPE

APPLICANT: MDBS
TRADING (Pty) Ltd




MDBS Trading (PTY) LTD Proposed Site Layout

APPENDIX 3 SITE PLAN

The figure F1 to F6 a represents the mining area situated on the portion of the remaining extent of the farm Dorp Frankfort 72 in the Magisterial District of Frankfort for which MDBS Trading is applying for a Mining Permit in terms of Section 27(2) of the MPRDA.

Coordinate Latitude and Longitude

F1	S27.29154	E28.50488
F2	S27.29349	E28.50708
F3	S27.29462	E28.50612
F4	S27.29413	E28.50514
F5	S27.29365	E28.50419
F6	S27.29238	E28.50462



REGULATION 2.2 SKETCH PLAN APPROX 5.0 HA

Current Land Use



Legend

 Proposed site

Coordinate Latitude and Longitude

S27.29154	E28.50488
S27.29349	E28.50708
S27.29462	E28.50612
S27.29413	E28.50514
S27.29365	E28.50419
S27.29238	E28.50462

APPENDIX C: CONSULTATION REPORT



mineral resources

Department:
Mineral Resources
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

NAME OF APPLICANT: MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd

REFERENCE NUMBER: FS 30/5/1/3/3/2/1 (10268) EM

REPORT ON THE RESULTS OF CONSULTATION WITH COMMUNITIES AND INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES

**AS REQUIRED IN TERMS OF SECTIONS, 16(4)(b) OR 27(5)(b) OF THE MINERAL AND
PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT (ACT 28 OF 2002), AND IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARD DIRECTIVE FOR THE COMPILATION
THEREOF AS PUBLISHED ON THE OFFICIAL WEBSITE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
MINERAL RESOURCES**

Contents

Definitions	104
Report on the results of consultation.....	104
1. Methodology applied to consultation	105
1.1 Name the community as defined or explain why no such community was identified.....	105
1.2 Specifically state whether or not the Community is also the landowner.....	105
1.3 State whether or not the Department of Land Affairs been identified as an interested and affected party.	105
1.4 State specifically whether or not a land claim is involved	105
1.5 Name the Traditional Authority identified	105
1.6 List the landowners identified by the applicant (Traditional and title Deed owners).....	105
1.7 List the lawful occupiers of the land concerned.	105
1.8 Explain whether or not other persons' (including on adjacent and non-adjacent properties) socio-economic conditions will be directly affected by the proposed prospecting or mining operation and if not, explain why not.....	105
1.9 Name the Local Municipality identified by the applicant	106
1.10 Name the relevant Government Departments, agencies and institutions responsible for the various aspects of the environment and for infrastructure which may be affected by the proposed project	106
1.11 Submit evidence that the landowner or lawful occupier of the land in question, and any other interested and affected parties including all those listed above, were notified.	106
2. Description of the existing status of the cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment, as the case may be, prior to the proposed prospecting or mining operation.....	106
2.1 Confirm that the identified and consulted interested and affected parties agree on the description of the existing status of the environment.	106
2.2 Describe the existing status of the cultural environment that may be affected	106
2.3 Describe the existing status of any heritage environment that may be affected.....	106
2.4 Describe the existing status of any current land uses and the socio-economic environment that may be directly affected	106
2.5 Describe the existing status of any infrastructure that may be affected.	106
2.6 Describe the existing status of the biophysical environment that will be affected, including the main aspects such as water resources, flora, fauna, air, soil, topography et	107
2.7 Provide any relevant additional information	107
3. The anticipated environmental, social or cultural impacts identified.....	107
3.1 Confirmation that the community and identified interested and affected parties have been consulted and that they agree that the potential impacts identified include those identified by them.....	107
3.1.1 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the cultural environment.	107
3.1.2 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the heritage environment, if applicable.....	107
3.1.3 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the socio-economic conditions of any person on the property and on any adjacent or non-adjacent property who may be affected by the proposed prospecting or mining operation.	107

3.1.4 Provide a list and description of potential impacts (positive and negative) identified on: employment opportunities, community health, and community proximity. Unemployment levels are relatively high and income levels are relatively low thus there is sure to be raised expectations due to the arrival of another project.....	107
3.1.5 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the biophysical environment including but not limited to impacts on: flora, fauna, water resources, air, noise, soil etc.	108
3.1.6 Provide a description of potential cumulative impacts that the proposed operation may contribute to considering other identified land uses which may have potential environmental linkages to the land concerned.	108
4. Land use or development alternatives, alternative means of carrying out the proposed operation, and the consequences of not proceeding with the proposed operation.	109
4.1 Provide a list of and describe any alternative land uses that exist on the property or on adjacent or non-adjacent properties that may be affected by the proposed mining operation.....	109
4.2 Provide a list of and describe any land developments identified by the community or interested and affected parties that are in progress and which may be affected by the proposed mining operation	109
4.3 Provide a list of and describe any proposals made in the consultation process to adjust the operational plans of the mine to accommodate the needs of the community, landowners and interested and affected parties.....	109
5. Description of the process of engagement referred to in 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 above with identified communities, landowners and interested and affected parties.....	109
5.1 Provide a description of the information provided to the community, landowners, and interested and affected parties to inform them in sufficient detail of what the prospecting or mining operation will entail on the land, in order for them to assess what impact the prospecting will have on them or on the use of their land.....	109
5.2 Provide a list of which of the identified communities, landowners, lawful occupiers, and other interested and affected parties were in fact consulted.	109
5.3 Provide a list of their views raised in regard to the existing cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment, as the case may be.	109
5.4 Provide a list of their views raised on how their existing cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment potentially will be impacted on by the proposed prospecting or mining operation.	110
5.5 Provide a list of any other concerns raised by the aforementioned parties.....	110
5.6 Provide the applicable minutes and records of the consultations as appendices	110
5.7 Provide information with regard to any objections received.	110
6. Describe the most appropriate means to carry out the proposed operation with due accommodation of the issues raised in the consultation process.	110
Appendix List.....	111

Definitions

‘**Consultation**’ means a two-way communication process between the applicant and the community or interested and affected party wherein the former is seeking, listening to, and considering the latter’s response, which allows openness in the decision-making process.

‘**community**’ means a group of historically disadvantaged persons with interest or rights in a particular area of land on which the members have or exercise communal rights in terms of an agreement, custom or law: Provided that, where as a consequence of the provisions of the Act negotiations or consultations with the community, directly affected by prospecting or mining, on land occupied by such members or part of the community:

‘**Interested and affected**’ parties include, but are not limited to: -

- (i) Host Communities
- (ii) Landowners (Traditional and Title Deed owners)
- (iii) Traditional Authority
- (iv) Land Claimants
- (v) Lawful land occupier
- (vi) The Department of Land Affairs
- (vii) Any other person (including on adjacent and non-adjacent properties) whose socio economic conditions may be directly affected by the proposed prospecting or mining operation)
- (viii) (viii) The Local Municipality
- (ix) The relevant Government Departments, agencies and institutions responsible for the various aspects of the environmental and for infrastructure which may be affected by the proposed project

Report on the results of consultation

The Frankfort land was identified for the proposed prospecting activities by MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd, to compile and submit an Environmental Management Plan (EMP), pursuant to an application for a mining permit, in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002) (MPRDA) to the Free State Department of Mineral Resources (DMR). The Project is situated on portion a land in Frankfort, situated in the Free State Province.

The Mining Permit Application (MPA) has been accepted by the Regional Manager Free State Region, of the DMR under Reference FS 30/5/1/3/3/2/1 (10268) EM and MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd has been instructed to prepare an EMP, which will include various specialist investigations, and a Public Participation Process (PPP) will be undertaken, **see Appendix B.**

1. Methodology applied to consultation

1.1 Name the community as defined or explain why no such community was identified.

Within the applicable MPA area communities were identified. A landowner was identified and consulted within the MPA area.

1.2 Specifically state whether or not the Community is also the landowner.

No communities are landowners within the MPA area. A field visit was conducted by the EAP on the 20th January 2019 in order to identify affected communities or residents together with traditional authorities, see **Appendix B**.

1.3 State whether or not the Department of Land Affairs been identified as an interested and affected party.

No the Department of Land Affairs was not identified as an interested or affected party.

1.4 State specifically whether or not a land claim is involved

A request for land claims information was submitted to the Department of Mineral Resources of which the outcome of any land claims over the applicable land are detailed below.

A directive from the DMR stated that consultation needs to be undertaken with the Department of Land Affairs, if the affected land is state-owned, or the office of Commission of Land Rights if the affected land is subject to land restitution.

Through the process to establish landownership it was found that the property is owned by the Mafube Municipality.

1.5 Name the Traditional Authority identified

There is no traditional Authority identified.

1.6 List the landowners identified by the applicant (Traditional and title Deed owners)

Mafube Municipality

1.7 List the lawful occupiers of the land concerned.

Mafube Municipality

1.8 Explain whether or not other persons' (including on adjacent and non-adjacent properties) socio-economic conditions will be directly affected by the proposed prospecting or mining operation and if not, explain why not.

The proposed mining operations will be conducted using invasive and non-invasive methods. Because of the open cast mining, invasive methods will result in land disturbances. However, the socio-economic conditions of land occupants will not be directly impacted since site rehabilitation will be undertaken and mitigation measures implemented throughout the mining process.

1.9 Name the Local Municipality identified by the applicant

The Local Municipality identified and included in the PPP is the Mafube Municipality, which falls under the Magisterial District of Frankfort in the Free State Province.

1.10 Name the relevant Government Departments, agencies and institutions responsible for the various aspects of the environment and for infrastructure which may be affected by the proposed project

The various Government Departments, agencies and institutions included in the PPP are set out below:
Provincial

- Free State Department of Mineral Resources (DMR).

District and Local

- Mafube Municipality
- Magisterial District of Frankfort

1.11 Submit evidence that the landowner or lawful occupier of the land in question, and any other interested and affected parties including all those listed above, were notified.

See Appendix B and H.

2. Description of the existing status of the cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment, as the case may be, prior to the proposed prospecting or mining operation.

2.1 Confirm that the identified and consulted interested and affected parties agree on the description of the existing status of the environment.

The interested parties made comment to the status of the existing environment and there was a clear understanding between them.

2.2 Describe the existing status of the cultural environment that may be affected

The dominant ethnicity of the area is seSotho. No current sites of cultural significance such as initiation sites or other culturally significant sites were identified.

2.3 Describe the existing status of any heritage environment that may be affected

There are no heritage resources that were identified.

2.4 Describe the existing status of any current land uses and the socio-economic environment that may be directly affected

There is no land use and the socio-economic environment affected as the targeted site is secluded.

2.5 Describe the existing status of any infrastructure that may be affected.

There is an access road to the site that may be affected but the extent of the impact is uncertain and possibly negligible, at least in the early stage of the Life of Mine.

2.6 Describe the existing status of the biophysical environment that will be affected, including the main aspects such as water resources, flora, fauna, air, soil, topography etc.

Soil

The study area is dominated by very fine sand clay soil texture which was deposited years ago from the Beaufort Group. In addition to the very fine sandy top soil the site is relatively flat with no slopes. Grass and shrubs cover the extent of the subsurface.

Fauna and Flora

The biome of the project site is Grassland and there are a number of subset contingent vegetation's therein. The vegetation dominating the area is the Frankfort Highveld Grassland. The vegetation is a complex mix of grass and shrub-dominated vegetation types, which are subject to dynamic changes in species composition dependent on seasonal rainfall events.

2.7 Provide any relevant additional information

No additional information.

3. The anticipated environmental, social or cultural impacts identified.

3.1 Confirmation that the community and identified interested and affected parties have been consulted and that they agree that the potential impacts identified include those identified by them

Please refer to Sections 1.11 and 2.1 above. With availability of the EMP to stakeholders, it is envisaged that further comments will be obtained.

3.1.1 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the cultural environment.

Please refer to Section 2.2.

3.1.2 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the heritage environment, if applicable.

Please refer to Section 2.3.

3.1.3 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the socio-economic conditions of any person on the property and on any adjacent or non-adjacent property who may be affected by the proposed prospecting or mining operation.

Please also refer to Sections 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5

3.1.4 Provide a list and description of potential impacts (positive and negative) identified on: employment opportunities, community health, and community proximity.

Unemployment levels are relatively high and income levels are relatively low thus there is sure to be raised expectations due to the arrival of another project.

Please also refer to Sections 2.4 and 2.5 for supporting information.

3.1.5 Provide a list and description of potential impacts identified on the biophysical environment including but not limited to impacts on: flora, fauna, water resources, air, noise, soil etc.

Construction Phase

During the construction phase the work carried out will include the construction of the mine and supporting infrastructure. This will entail the clearing of areas and the disturbance of the topsoil through excavations as well as the construction of a soil stockpile. The topography and natural drainage lines will be disturbed. The overall impact will be loss of topsoil as a result of erosion and possible contamination of the soil by fuel, and oils due to the excavation activities. Soil compaction caused by heavy vehicles and machinery surrounding the open cast areas could also be a problem. The Construction activities will change the land use from natural/subsistence grazing to mining.

Operation Phase

Soil erosion through wind and storm water run-off, and soil pollution by means of hydrocarbon contamination may be encountered during the operational phase. Water runoff from roads must be controlled and managed by means of proper storm water management facilities in order to prevent soil erosion. Diesel and oil spills are common at mine sites due to the large volumes of diesel and oil consumed by mining vehicles, also vehicles must be maintained to reduce the chances of any leaks occurring. Pollution may however be localised. Small pockets of localised pollution may be cleared up easily using commercially available hydrocarbon emergency clean-up kits. Air pollution may occur in the form of dust due to the disturbance of top soil and digging. The clearing of vegetation will also occur during the operational phase. The movement of heavy vehicles will cause noise pollution which will be localised to the site which is secluded from busy environment.

Decommissioning Phase

During the decommissioning phase all infrastructure will be demolished and removed, which will entail vehicle movement in the infrastructure area. The potential impacts associated with these activities will include the risk of hydrocarbon spills, and compaction. The rehabilitation of the mining area and infrastructure area should have a positive impact if done correctly.

Fauna and Flora / Ecology the following impacts from the proposed project on ecology have been identified:

- Removal of vegetation;
- Disturbance of the soil;
- Vehicle operation;
- Machinery and vehicle operation (noise).

A Land survey was conducted and showed that not much of the ecosystem will be damaged and only the 5.0 ha that was requested in the mine permit will affect the ecosystem.

3.1.6 Provide a description of potential cumulative impacts that the proposed operation may contribute to considering other identified land uses which may have potential environmental linkages to the land concerned.

This will be discussed in detail in the EMP developed for the MPA and will be submitted to the DMR.

4. Land use or development alternatives, alternative means of carrying out the proposed operation, and the consequences of not proceeding with the proposed operation.

4.1 Provide a list of and describe any alternative land uses that exist on the property or on adjacent or non-adjacent properties that may be affected by the proposed mining operation.

No alternative land use will be affected by the mining as the proposed will be mining will be conducted on use not in use.

4.2 Provide a list of and describe any land developments identified by the community or interested and affected parties that are in progress and which may be affected by the proposed mining operation

No land developments were identified by the landowner during the consultation undertaken for the prospecting rights application.

4.3 Provide a list of and describe any proposals made in the consultation process to adjust the operational plans of the mine to accommodate the needs of the community, landowners and interested and affected parties.

No suggestions were made by the landowner through the consultation process relating to operational plans.

5. Description of the process of engagement referred to in 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 above with identified communities, landowners and interested and affected parties.

5.1 Provide a description of the information provided to the community, landowners, and interested and affected parties to inform them in sufficient detail of what the prospecting or mining operation will entail on the land, in order for them to assess what impact the prospecting will have on them or on the use of their land.

See Appendix G.

5.2 Provide a list of which of the identified communities, landowners, lawful occupiers, and other interested and affected parties were in fact consulted.

See Appendix B and H.

5.3 Provide a list of their views raised in regard to the existing cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment, as the case may be.

See appendix I.

5.4 Provide a list of their views raised on how their existing cultural, socio-economic or biophysical environment potentially will be impacted on by the proposed prospecting or mining operation.

See Appendix G and I.

5.5 Provide a list of any other concerns raised by the aforementioned parties.

No comments were raised.

5.6 Provide the applicable minutes and records of the consultations as appendices.

See Appendix I.

5.7 Provide information with regard to any objections received.

No objections to the project were raised.

6. Describe the most appropriate means to carry out the proposed operation with due accommodation of the issues raised in the consultation process.


As far as possible local people should be employed to assist with the prospecting process. Community infrastructure should be avoided as far as possible, where these cannot be avoided discussions should take place with the affected community and mitigation measures put in place.

Appendix List

Appendix A: Regional Locality Plan

MAP INDICATING
LOCALITY WHERE
MINING PERMIT IS
APPLIED FOR
HIGHLIGHTED WITH
BLACK CIRCLE SHAPE

APPLICANT: MDBS
TRADING (Pty) Ltd



ATTENDANCE REGISTER – MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING WITH INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES FOR MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD DMR REF NO. FS 30/5/1/3/2/10268 MP HELD AT FRANKFORT, FREE STATE PROVINCE.

20TH JANUARY 2019

NAME AND SURNAME	CELL PHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Raymond Krumwals	071 120 9430	krumwalovaw4@gmail.com	
Kelaphe Makhala	071123 4610	-	K.S. Makhala
Mikhele Makhala	0740411798	-	
Mike Makwana	060 426 7514	mikemakwana@gmail.com	
Melanie Tsoelike	0670849156	tlokaamj@gmail.com	
Melanie Tsoelike	065 8252 383	kekamantse@gmail.com	
Leu Mqokony	078 5235 117	leu@phoka789@gmail.com	
ZARINA MOKOENI	060 320 6778	ZARIN.MOKOENI@gmail.com	
Kleinodé Mokoening	063 5880 762	-	Mokoening
Papi Molo	071 4847 013	-	
LEBOHANG TSOELIKE	073 777 6281	tsoeliketsoelike@gmail.com	
MARLENE MATHEWANE	062 0441 188	CONTEM24@gmail.com	
Melton Poo	062 5458 435	-	
Yvonne ISOITSEI	082 969 3913	MBSUMANO.Constr.co.za	
Gawie Johnson	076 859 2519	gawievalentino@gmail.com	

ATTENDANCE REGISTER – MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD

NAME AND SURNAME	CELL PHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Lebohang Motang	0726769594	lebohangbfff@gmail.com	L.M.M.T.
Leato Motang	0795405340	kratomotangzagmail.com	L. Motang
Thato Sekhato	062 842 7340	thathato.sekhat@gmail.com	Thato Sekhato
THABO NATHAMOTSA	0768808614	thabo.nathamotsa@gmail.com	Thabo Nathamotsa
Papitie Kele	012 645 9970	tebeben.papitie@gmail.com	Papitie Kele
Meloye Sehele	0786749335	Sehele.meloye@gmail.com	Meloye Sehele
Mkumbulwa Mokwena	073 97432600	mumbuyisela@gmail.com	Mkumbulwa Mokwena
THABO NATHAMOTSA	061 8435163	thathabo347@gmail.com	Thabo Nathamotsa
Lebohang MABALA	092 817 6808		Lebohang MABALA
Sipho Hudebe	073 3038414	Sipho Hudebe.naidoo@gmail.com	Sipho Hudebe
Mhahle Motisi	074 1505 255	mhahle.motisi.01@gmail.com	Mhahle Motisi
Nzima Makumbi	064 909 9086	Makumbi.nzima@gmail.com	Nzima Makumbi
Vusi MOTSISI	083 802 8729	vusimotisi@gmail.com	Vusi Motisi
Andile Ghebeka	091 2646 046	andileghebeka@gmail.com	Andile Ghebeka
Vusi Motang	081 266 5163	V.Motang@gmail.com	Vusi Motang
Secuabe Motang	0799776277	secuabe.motang@gmail.com	Secuabe Motang
Neo Nathang	084 258 0737	neonathang@gmail.com	Neo Nathang
Mbuyiswa SHABANGU	079 699 4705	mbuyiswa.shabangu@gmail.com	Mbuyiswa Shabangu
MOTANG FARM	083 6688638	FANA.MJ97@gmail.com	Motang Farm

Appendix C: Background Information Document (BID)

Project Description

The purpose of the impact is to explore the property below for sand and to develop successful mining site.

Project Location

The image below shows the 5.0 ha that MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd has applied for a mining permit. This is located in Frankfort.

Frankfort



Geological Background of the area

The area is part of the Beaufort group and the Karoo Supergroup, the area is dominated by sand which is deposited over a large area. The entire development and surrounding area is underlain by the Elliot (Tre) Formation which forms part of the Stormberg Group of the Karoo Supergroup. Some sandstones of the Clarens (Trc) Formation are visible on the higher elevated areas around the site, while sandstones of the Molteno (Trm) Formation occur in the lower lying areas.

The development site is underlain by the upper Triassic to lower Jurassic Elliot Formation that consists of brown red siltstones and mudstones with subordinate very fine-grained sandstone. Soils are derived from the underlying rock and are generally deep and relatively high in fertility.

Stratigraphy of the Upper Karoo Supergroup			
Period	Group	Formation	Main rock types
Jurassic 200-145 MYA	Drakensberg 190-160 MYA	Drakensberg	Basaltic lava flows, fed by Karoo dolerite dykes
	Stormberg	Clarens 198-196 MYA	Buff to orange coloured fine-grained sandstone and siltstone
Elliot 215-200 MYA		Red-maroon to green mudstones, with interbedded sandstone	
Molteno 240 MYA		Alternating sandstone, mudstone and shale, minor coal beds	
Upper Triassic 257-201 MYA	Upper Beaufort		

Appendix E: DMR Derivate



mineral resources

Department:
Mineral Resources
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X33, Welkom, 9460, Tel: 057 391 1385, Fax: 057 357 6003
The Strip Building, 314 Stateway Street, Welkom, 9459

Enquiries: Ms. N. Mhlarhi **E-Mail:** Nkateko.Mhlarhi@dmr.gov.za
Sub-Directorate: Mine Environmental Management **Ref No.:** FS 30/5/1/3/3/2/1 (10268) EM

The Directors

MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd

17 Binetilda Street

Huiwelsig, Bloemfontein

9301

Attention: Duduza Ntombela

Fax: 086 546 4469

E-mail: duduza.ntombela@gmail.com

Cc: Moses Malungisa Msitsini

E-mail: azatitrax@mail.com

EVALUATION OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION FOR MINING LODGED IN TERMS OF REGULATION 17 OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESMENT REGULATIONS, 2014 AS AMENDED (HEREIN REFERRED TO AS THE EIA REGULATIONS) IN RESPECT OF FARM DORP FRANKFORT 72, SITUATED IN THE MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT OF FRANKFORT, IN THE FREE STATE PROVINCE. APPLICANT: MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD.

1. The abovementioned application lodged on the 14th of November 2018 and acknowledged on the 6th of December 2018 refers.
 2. You are hereby kindly advised that only those activities listed on the application form will be considered for authorisation. The onus is on the applicant to ensure that all
-

activities related to the proposed project are included on the application. Your attention is brought to the provisions of regulation 15(1)-(3) of the EIA Regulations 2014 as amended.

3. Your application has been checked as required in terms of regulation 17 of the EIA Regulations 2014 as amended and the following issues which need to be addressed by the **6th of January 2019** have been noted;
 - a) Kindly provide the financial provision estimate as requested under section 9 of the EA application form.
4. Based on paragraph 3 above, you are hereby requested to submit by the **6th of January 2019**, a revised application form which addresses all the requirements of regulation 16(b) of the EIA Regulations 2014 as amended, putting more focus on the issues raised above. The revised form should be **uploaded** on the SAMRAD online application system as an Annexure using the same method the initial form was uploaded and a one copy must also be submitted **manually** to this office
5. In view of the aforementioned application form, you are hereby also requested to submit by the **6th of March 2019**, **three (3) copies manually and one (1) electronic copy through SAMRAD a basic assessment report and EMP, inclusive of specialist reports**. The aforementioned reports must have been **subjected to the public participation process of at least 30 days** incorporating the comments received, including all comments from the competent authority. Kindly refer to section 24N(2) of National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act 107 of 1998) as amended and Appendix 1, 4 and 6 of the EIA Regulations for the minimum requirements set for the aforementioned reports.
6. **The public participation process should be conducted as stipulated in chapter 6 of the EIA Regulations and taking into considerations any guidelines applicable for public participation**. The acceptable minimum requirements by this office for conducting public participation process are as follows:
 - 6.1 Fixing a notice board in terms of Regulation 41 (1) (a) of the EIA Regulations, the notice board must comply with Regulation 41 (3) & (4) and must also include the date, time and venue of the meeting.

written notice must comply with Regulation 41 (3) and must also include the date, time and venue of the meeting.

6.3 Placing a newspaper advert in terms of Regulation 41 (1) (c) or (d) of the EIA Regulations. The newspaper advert must comply with Regulation 41 (3) and must also include the date, time and venue of the meeting.

6.4 Using reasonable alternative methods in terms of Regulation 41 (1) (e) of the EIA Regulations.

7. **The results of public participation must include the following but not limited to:**

7.1 Agenda and minutes of the public meeting.

7.2 Date of the public meeting.

7.3 Original attendance register with names, contact details and signatures,

7.4 A copy of the presentation or information/reports presented,

7.5 A copy of the newspaper advert and notice. Note that the copy must be visible and in case of a newspaper advert, the date and the name of the newspaper must be reflected,

7.6 All comments/concerns/responses raised by the interested and affected parties,

7.7 Any other correspondences relating to public participation process must be submitted together with the BAR.

8. You should also take into account the minimum requirements with regard to specific specialist studies which should be undertaken for any development or projects such as a **Heritage Impact Assessment Studies, Biodiversity/Ecological Studies and Wetland delineation**. It is the EAP's responsibility to identify the specialist studies required for this environmental authorisation in order to avoid delays in processing and finalisation of the application.

9. The basic assessment report and an EMPr to be submitted as mentioned on paragraph 3 above must also first be subjected to a 30 days consultation process with every state department which administers a law relating to a matter affecting the environment, **and this include this department (Free State Regional Office)**.

state owned or in the event the land is subject to land restitution, consult the office of the Commission on Restitution and Land Permits and submit the proof and result of such consultation as part of the reports requested on paragraph 6 above.

11. You should also note that commencement with a listed activity without an environmental authorisation contravenes the provisions of section 24F (1) of National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act 107 of 1998), as amended (NEMA) and constitutes an offence in terms of section 49A (1) (a) of NEMA.

12. Further note that in terms of regulation 45 of the EIA Regulations; your failure to submit the documents or meet any timeframes prescribed in terms of the said Regulations will result in your application deemed to have lapsed. The provisions of regulation 19(1)(b) of the EIA Regulations should be used where deemed necessary as once this application lapses, the department will not process any documentation submitted outside the prescribed timeframe.

Yours faithfully

pp. 

ACTING REGIONAL MANAGER: MINERAL REGULATION

FREE STATE REGION

DATE 12/12/2018

Please quote this office file number as reference for any correspondence regarding this application.



Appendix G: Public Participation

MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd will be mining sand Frankfort Land. Sand will be excavated from the land and the sand will be used for construction. The proposed site of mining is 5.0 ha

The proposed project is initiated by MDBS Trading (Pty) Ltd nearby the Frankfort community. The propose project will provide opportunity to the community in the form of labour

20 January 2018

Frankfort Agenda

- The site plan.
 - Dorp Frankfort 72
 - 5.0 ha
 - About 2km south west of Frankfort

- List of activities to be authorised
 - Mining Permit sand. Sand will be removed from the portion of land.

- Scale and extent of activities to be authorised
 - 5.0 ha

- Typical impacts of activities to be authorised (e.g.surface disturbance, dust, noise, fly rock etc.)
 - Noise (trucks)
 - Open cast excavation
 - Dust
 - Surface disturbance
 - Project will contribute to the economy of Frankfort
 - Going to provide sand for the community. (Reasonable price)
 - Job/Employment opportunities
 - Local company owns business
 - NB. Black owned.

- The duration of the activity.
 - Medium term

- Sufficient detail of the intended operation to enable them to assess what impact the activities will have on them or on the use of their land)
 - Summary

QUESTIONS

1. How do feel about the project?
2. How do think this project will contribute to the Frankfort community?
3. What would you like see happen with this project, What are the needs of community.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION MEETING WITH INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES FOR MDBS TRADING (PTY) LTD DMR REF NO. FS 30/5/1/3/2/10268 MP HELD AT FRANKFORT, FREE STATE PROVINCE.

20TH JANUARY 2019

NAME AND SURNAME	CELL PHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Raymond Khumalo	071 120 9430	khumaloray16@gmail.com	
KR. Gophi Makhala	071123 4610	-	
M. V. Makhala	0740411793	-	
M. V. Makhala	060 426 7514	mikemakhala@gmail.com	
M. V. Makhala	0670849156	flokangmj@gmail.com	
M. V. Makhala	065 8252 383	kekantane@gmail.com	
LETO Makhala	078 5235 117	letaphoka789@gmail.com	
ZAKARIA Makhala	060 320 6778	ZAKARIA.MAKHALA@gmail.com	
Kleinboes Makhala	0635880762	-	
Papi Molei	071 4847 013	-	
LEBATHARY Tsofaty	073 777 6281	tsolatitsoat@gmail.com	
MARENI Mkhawane	062 0111 188	Content24@gmail.com	
Makhala Papi	069-8458 435	-	
Mareni Mkhawane	082 969 3913	MARENI.MKHAWANE@constr.co.za	
Gawie Johnson	076 859 2519	gawie.vakusino@gmail.com	

ATTENDANCE REGISTER – MBBS TRADING (PTY) LTD

NAME AND SURNAME	CELL PHONE NUMBER	EMAIL ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Lebohlang Motauung	0726769594	lebohlangff@gmail.com	L.M.A.P.
Lerato Motauung	0795405340	leratomotauungz@gmail.com	L.Motauung
Thato Sekhake	062.842.7340	thathosekha.c@yahoo.com	Thato Sekhake
THABO MATHAMOTSA	0768808614	thabo.motamatso@gmail.com	Thabo Motamatso
Papikie Kele	012 645 9970	telebenpapikie@gmail.com	Papikie Kele
Makase Sekhake	0786749335	sekasekase@gmail.com	Makase Sekhake
Mkanyisela Mokwena	073 97432600	wumbuyisela@yahoo.com	Mkanyisela Mokwena
THABO MATHAMOTSA	061 8435 163	thabomathamo@gmail.com	Thabo Motamatso
Lebohlang MAKALA	0422 817 6508		Lebohlang Makala
Siphon Hadebe	073 3038414	Siphonhadebe.nad@gmail.com	Siphon Hadebe
Nkhahla Motlatsi	074 1505 255	nkhahlamotlatsi01@gmail.com	Nkhahla Motlatsi
Nzima Makhubu	064 909 9086	Makhubunzima.makhubu@gmail.com	Nzima Makhubu
Vusi MOTLATSI	083 302 3729	vusimotlatsi@gmail.com	Vusi Motlatsi
Andile Ghebeke	081 4646 046	andileghebeke@gmail.com	Andile Ghebeke
Vusi Motauung	081 266 9163	V.Motauung@gmail.com	Vusi Motauung
Sekhake Motauung	0799776277	sekhake.motauung@gmail.com	Sekhake Motauung
Neo Mthuping	084 258 0737	neo.mthuping@gmail.com	Neo Mthuping
Mbuyiswa SHABANGU	079 699 4705	mbuyiswa.shabangu@gmail.com	Mbuyiswa Shabangu
Motauung Fani	083 6688638	FANAMJ97@gmail.com	Motauung Fani

20 January 2019

FRANKFORT

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Mazibuko Phello

1. Where will the stand be stored.

Nduleni Mkhizane

2. ~~Have~~ Have you spoken to Environmental affairs?
- What kind of sand?

How can we contact you after this meeting?
- for further concerns.

Lebohlang Tsotetsi

3. How does this process work?

4. Mike Mokena

- How can we collaborate with the company

Papi Mabi

5. Grateful for the project. Municipality is struggling. So we thank MDBS for this contribution to help our community.

6. We give them permission.

Tumelo Tsotetsi

6. We are grateful for this project. We hope the consultants will assist MDBS to succeed with their application. We are happy that we will be to provide for our families through ~~our~~ this project. We know that not everyone ^{will} get employment but we are grateful for their opportunity.

7. ^{Thabo Mchamotse} Mining was done previously on the site and nothing was done even though it was illegal. So we as we youth and business feel very strongly that this ^(Application) business to succeed.
8. ^{Thabo Nkhabu} We wish him well.
9. ^{Thabo Skokhot} We wish him that we may get jobs.
- 10.

C. IDENTIFICATION OF THE REPORT

The report on the results of consultation must, at the end of the report include a certificate of identification as follows:

Herewith I, the person whose name and identity number is stated below, confirm that I am the person authorised to act as representative of the applicant in terms of the resolution submitted with the application, and confirm that the above report comprises the results of consultation as contemplated in Section 16 (4) (b) or 27 (5) (b) of the Act, as the case may be.

Full Names and Surname	Moses Malungisa Msitsini
Identity Number	9402155510083