

PTN 13 BOSCHKOP 543-JR **ORGANIC WASTE COMPOSTING AND PELLETING FACILITY** 

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND **CIVIL DESIGN REPORT**

**REPORT NO: C2868/SMR/001** RO BOX 38148, Mento Park, Pretoria, 0102 Tel: 012 460 0008, Fax 012 460 0005, Email: Mail@civilconcepts.co.za **REVISION 3** 

# STORMWA

CIVIL CONCEPTS CONSULTING ENGINEERS, CIVIL CONCEPTS (Pty) Lici, 30 7000 Street



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	WATER USE LICENCE – CIVIL DESIGN SUMMARY	
2.	INTRODUCTION	
2.1	General Information	
2.2	Property Owner / Developer Information	
2.3	Consulting Engineers	
2.4	Development Information	
3.	STUDY AREA	
3.1	General Site Information	
3.2	Existing Road Network	
3.3	Existing Stormwater Network	
4.	GENERAL DRAINAGE	
5.	SITE VERIFICATION	
6.	DESIGN STANDARDS	
7.	SMP DESIGN APPROACH	
8.	RUNOFF CALCULATION	
9.	PROPOSED STORMWATER SYSTEM	
9.1	Design Parameters	
9.2	Construction of Stormwater Infrastructure	
10.	MAJOR CATCHMENTS AND DRAINAGE PROPOSALS	
11.	Catchment and Stormwater Runoff Details	
11.1	Catchment D1.1 11	
11.2	Catchment D2	
11.3	Catchment D313	
12.	SERVITUDES	
13.	FLOODLINES	
14.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: City of Tshwane GIS Extract	5
Figure 2-1: Proposed Catchment Areas	6
Figure 9-1: Extract from Stormwater Management Plan	10
Figure 10-1: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D1.1	.11
Figure 10-2: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D2	.11
Figure 10-3: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D3	11

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Development Information	5
Table 1-2: Post-Development Land Uses	5
Table 7-1: Runoff Parameters Used in Hydrological Calculations	8
Table 10-1: Catchment D1.1 Information	. 11
Table 10-2: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D1.1	. 11
Table 10-3: Catchment D2 Information	. 11
Table 10-4: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D2	. 11
Table 10-5: Catchment D3 Information	. 11
Table 10-6: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D3	. 11



#### 1. WATER USE LICENCE - CIVIL DESIGN SUMMARY

The following information are provided in order to assist in assessing this report from a Civil Design perspective with specific reference to requirements as set out from a Water Use Licence perspective. Note that detailed information can be found under the applicable sections of the report.

Area Concerned:	Portion 13 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR
Quaternary Catchment:	A23B
Water Use applicable:	21 (b) Storing of water
Status of Application:	New application
Type of Facility:	Stormwater retention dams with earth embankments
Storage Capacity:	1764m <sup>3</sup> & 4096 m <sup>3</sup>
Maximum Height of wall:	1.5m
Spillway and Outlet:	Not applicable due to retention of all water
Instrumentation:	Not applicable
Sealing/Waterproofing of structure:	All embankments and retention dam floors to be compacted to 93% Maximum Dry Density, topsoiled and hydroseeded



#### 2. INTRODUCTION

#### 2.1 General Information

Civil Concepts (Pty) Ltd were appointed as the Civil Engineers for the proposed development of an Organic Waste Composting and Pelletizing/Pelleting Facility Stormwater Management Situated on Portion 13 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR. As part of the development process, a Stormwater Management Plan and Design Report is required for the area of the proposed development and surrounds. This report will address the details of the proposed Stormwater Management Plan and Design Report for Portion 13 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR.

The proposed development is bounded by:

- Portion 2, Portion 3 and Portion 4 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR to the north;
- An existing gravel road (proposed Class 3 District Distributor), intersecting with the R25 to the west;
- An existing gravel road (proposed Class 3 District distributer), Portion 18 and Portion R/1 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR to the south;
- Portion 14 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR and an existing gravel road (proposed Class 4 Residential Collector) to the east.

Refer to Annexure A for the Locality Plan.

#### 2.2 Property Owner / Developer Information

Astral Operations Ltd Reg. No: 1947/027453/06

Postal Address: PO Box 72216 Lynnwood Ridge Pretoria 0040

Tel:(012) 667 5468Mail:basson.viljoen@astralfoods.co.zaResponsible Person:Mr. Basson Viljoen.

#### 2.3 Consulting Engineers

Civil Concepts (Pty) Ltd Reg. No: 1995/124280/07

Postal Address: PO Box 36148 Menio Park Pretoria 0102

Tel:(012) 460 0008Mail:hannes@civilconcepts.co.zaResponsible Person:Mr. Hannes Welman (PrEng, Reg. No: 20180172).

Physical Address: 92 Koranna Avenue Doringkloof Centurion 0157

Physical Address: 50, 15<sup>th</sup> Street Menlo Park Pretoria

0081



#### 2.4 Development Information

Portion 13 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR has the current zoning as summarised in Table 1.1 below.

Portion	Land Use	Area (ha)
13	Undetermined	22.5

Table 2-1: Development Information

Below in Figure 1-1 is an extract from the City of Tshwane e-GIS system, confirming the existing zoning.



Figure 2-1: City of Tshwane GIS Extract

The e-GIS system illustrates that the entire area of the proposed development is currently zoned as "Undetermined". "Undetermined" zoning incorporates agricultural uses. Based on preliminary desk studies and site visits, the current pre-development land-use supports the majority of the development area to be zoned as "Agricultural." There are also a redundant chicken house that make up a portion of the development site, the current land-use is supportive of "agricultural" activities.

It is the intention of the owner that area be developed to accommodate the storage of compost and related manufacturing. The expected post-development land-uses are tabulated below in Table 1-2.

Catchment	Land Use	Area (ha)
D1.1	Composting	1.6378
D2	Pelletizing / Pelleting / Storage	2.9611
D3	Composting	4.9346
Total		9.5335



#### 3. STUDY AREA

#### 3.1 General Site Information

The total area of the development is 9.5335 ha.

The site is located on a part of Portion 13 of the Farm Boschkop 543-JR. It is a largely rural and undeveloped area with no existing formal (up to standard) civil services.

It is concluded from the large scale geological map that the site is underlain with shale with outcroppings of hard-rock at regular intervals. The site is covered with moderate grass cover and the ground was assumed to be varying from permeable to semi-permeable. This is based on expected clayey or residual shale conditions in the upper soil profile. The vegetation on site is split between light bush and farmlands.

The area of the proposed development is represented as Catchments D1.1, D2 and D3 as seen below in Figure 2-1. Catchment D1.1 and D3 currently consist of undeveloped farmlands and Catchment D2 is currently being used as a poultry farm.

As part of the stormwater master planning for development, the greater area contributing stormwater runoff was also analysed. The total study area is thus 63 ha, with Catchments D4, D4.1, D5 and D6 being included in addition to the development area.

It is the intention of the developer to convert the development area into an Organic Waste Composting and Pelletizing/Pelleting Facility. The proposed development strategy of the developer is to make use of the area demarcated as D2 for the industrial manufacturing of compost pellets. The operation is predicted to be relatively small, and therefore the area demarcated as D3 and a D1.1 will be used to store stockpiles of compost.



Figure 3-1: Proposed Catchment Areas



#### 3.2 Existing Road Network

As aforementioned, the development site is situated in a rural area with few existing civil services. Accordingly, the site is largely surrounded by informal gravel/dirt roads. The development currently gains access via the existing gravel road running adjacent to the site on the northern boundary. Below are the existing and proposed roads in the immediate vicinity of the site:

- An existing gravel road to the north (Class 5 Access Road);
- An existing gravel road (Class 3 District Distributor), intersecting with the R25 to the west;
- An existing gravel road (proposed Class 3 District distributer);
- An existing gravel road (proposed Class 4 Residential Collector) to the east.

Refer to **Annexure B** for the City of Tshwane Road Masterplan.

#### 3.3 Existing Stormwater Network

There are 2 x 600 mm diam. stormwater pipes located roughly in the middle of Catchment D1, adjacent to the gravel road on the southern boundary. These pipes accommodate the runoff generated within the western portion of Catchment D1 and portions of the surrounding upstream areas. There is another existing 600 mm diam. stormwater pipe located roughly 50 m to the east of the site. This pipe accommodates the runoff generated within the adjacent property to the east. Similarly, the pipe crosses the gravel road on the southern boundary, and then discharges via an undefined open channel southwards towards an existing earth dam with grasses banks. The Dam is the closest waterbody to the site but falls outside the scope of this investigation as it is not negatively affected by the proposed compost operations.

There is an existing berm and channel on the southern boundary of the site, the use of which is currently unknown. The berm and channel does not discharge towards the existing pipe culvert crossing below the road but stop short and abruptly near the eastern boundary of the property. In rain events the berm and channel will in effect cut of stormwater from the property draining southward towards the road until such time as run-off overtops the berm and channel. There is an existing earth channel on the northern side of the aforementioned road routing the runoff away from the road surface, towards the pipe culvert crossings.

There is no additional stormwater infrastructure in the vicinity of the proposed development. Stormwater runoff is generally accommodated via overland flow and natural channels towards existing watercourses. The closest waterbody is an existing dam located approximately 120 m south-east of the proposed development. The dam discharges into a perennial stream that flows towards the north-east direction, eventually discharging into the Bronkhorstspruit Dam.

Refer to Annexure C for the City of Tshwane Existing Stormwater Network.

#### 4. GENERAL DRAINAGE

The total area analyzed in the stormwater master planning is 63 ha. The general fall of the site towards the south-east at an average slope of 3%. The site is currently undeveloped and is not affected by any floodlines.

The total area contributing stormwater runoff was determined by analysing the higher-lying areas around the development. The existing natural ground contours were examined to identify key ridges and valleys that define the existing drainage basins in the area. It was determined that a ridge along the northern boundaries of D4 and D5 delineates the drainage basins such that: the area south of the ridge will contribute to the stormwater runoff in the development. The Catchment D6 to the east also generates runoff that flows towards the development site, which was also determined from the natural ground contours.

Runoff generated from the composting areas (D1.1 and D3) will be considered 'dirty water' and the runoff generated within the redundant chicken housing area (D2) will at present be considered 'clean water'. The developer has requested that all 'dirty water' runoff be self-isolated in each catchment by means of a retention pond. A system of channels and berms were therefore designed to isolate each catchment and retain the 1:50 year runoff. 'Clean water' run-off will discharge via an outlet structure designed to dissipate the concentrated flow into sheet flow.



The site lies between the rainfall stations, Welbekend (0513836W) and Blesbokfontein (0514537W). The Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP) was determined by interpolating between the stations, and was found to be is 710 mm. The number of days thunder is heard in this region is 60. The aforementioned is required to determine the stormwater runoff generated within the site using the Rational Method.

#### 5. SITE VERIFICATION

A site visit was conducted to identify stormwater routes and existing watercourses. The natural drainage routes have been taken into account during development of the stormwater master plan.

#### 6. DESIGN STANDARDS

The design principles as set out below were incorporated to determine the element sizes:

- All major systems were designed to accommodate the 1:50 year runoff;
- The major systems are a combination of berms, channels and retention ponds;
- The existing gravel roads were assumed to have no capacity;
- The pre-development and post-development design flow is determined via the Rational Method;
- All runoff must be delineated between 'clean water' and 'dirty water';
- All catchments generating 'dirty water' are to remain self-isolated and retain the entire 1:50 year runoff.

#### 7. SMP DESIGN APPROACH

This SMP consists of major stormwater drainage networks. The design was based on the following steps:

- · Selection of appropriate design standards;
- Determination of the major catchments for the area;
- · Capturing of topographical data for each catchment (slope, size, imperviousness, overland flow length);
- Determination of an anticipated stormwater drainage network and physical properties (length and slope);
- · Elements required to augment existing under-capacity networks;
- Determination of the required types and sizes of the drainage network elements through hydrological modelling using the Rational Method.

#### 8. RUNOFF CALCULATION

The pre-development and post-development runoff values for each catchment was calculated using the Rational Method. The parameters used in the calculations are provided in Table 7-1 below.

Parameter	Value
Contributing Area	63 Ha
Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP)	710 mm
Rainfall Hydrograph	Triangular
Type of Flow	Overland Flow
Storm Duration	240 min
Time of Concentration (T <sub>c</sub> )	Varies by Catchment
Return Periods (Year)	1:2, 1:20 and 1:50
Run-off Coefficients (C)	Varies by Catchment
Rainfall Intensity (I)	(7.5 + 0.034 x MAP)R <sup>0.3</sup> / (0.24 + T <sub>c</sub> ) <sup>0.89</sup>
Number of Days Thunder was Heard Annually	60

Table 8-1: Runoff Parameters Used in Hydrological Calculations



The run-off for the 1:2, 1:20 and 1:50 year frequency was calculated. A design flow and applicable element sizes were then determined based on a 2 year flow for minor systems (excluded from this report, but used to inform the major system) and 50 year flow for major systems. Design flows affecting any watercourses were designed at a 1:5 year flow for minor systems and 1:50 year flow for major systems.

Refer to Annexure D for the Stormwater Runoff Calculations.

#### 9. PROPOSED STORMWATER SYSTEM

#### 9.1 Design Parameters

From the results of the simulations for the 2 year, 20 year and 50 year recurrence periods, each element has been designed taking into consideration the following:

- Topographical elements, i.e. slope, type, length, etc.
- The element's category for minor or major systems;
- Any existing element with capacity that can reduce the flow in the new elements.

The final detail design, should it be requested, will be concluded with:

- Accurate slope calculation;
- Final contributing areas;
- Cost saving principles;
- Available construction space;
- Other services.

#### 9.2 Construction of Stormwater Infrastructure

All stormwater infrastructure will be in the form of earth channels, berm, retention ponds and outlet structures. Note that it is proposed that the channels, berms and retentions ponds be such that a cut-to-fill balance is achieved as far as possible. The infrastructure required to service each catchment will be discussed in further detail in the following chapter of the report.

#### 10. MAJOR CATCHMENTS AND DRAINAGE PROPOSALS

The catchments within the major network were delineated to represent separate portions of the development area with different land-uses, and the greater area contributing to the overall drainage.

As aforementioned, 'dirty water' generated within the compost storage areas, demarcated as Catchments D1.1 and D3, needs to be isolated and retained in retention ponds. The runoff generated within the Catchment D2 and the areas surrounding the development (Catchments D4, D4.1, D5 and D6) is considered 'clean water'. Areas generating 'clean water' are delineated from areas generating 'dirty water' via means of cutoff berms and channels, which are illustrated in the detail design drawings attached as **Annexure G**. Runoff generated in surrounding areas will be accommodated via overland flow, travelling in the direction of the existing ground contours. The exception to the aforementioned is Catchment D2, with the runoff generated within it being accommodated via a pipe network or an isolated earth channel, discharging via an outlet structure south of the proposed development.

The 'clean water' discharged from the catchments will eventually flow into an existing earth channel along the northern edge of the gravel road south of the proposed development. The runoff is then able to pass under the gravel road via the existing stormwater pipe and travel towards the existing Dam via an existing open earth channel.



#### PTN 13 BOSCHKOP 543-JR – ORGANIC WASTE COMPOSTING AND PELLETING FACILITY STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT



Figure 10-1: Extract from Stormwater Management Plan

PAGE 9



#### 11. Catchment and Stormwater Runoff Details

#### 11.1 Catchment D1.1

The Catchment D1.1 has the properties as tabulated below in Table 10-1:

Catchment	Proposed Land-Use	Area (ha)
D1.1	Storage of Compost	1.6378
Table 11-1: Catchment D1.1 Information		

The runoff generated within Catchment D1.1 at various recurrence intervals is seen below.

Pre-Develo	nment Runoff
	pincin Runon.

•	1:2 Year:	$Q_2 = CIA = 0.069 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
---	-----------	--

- 1:20 Year:  $Q_{20} = CIA = 0.189 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
- 1:50 Year: Q<sub>50</sub> = CIA = <u>0.236 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>

#### Post-Development Runoff:

•	1:2 Year:	$Q_2 = 0.172 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
•	1:20 Year:	$Q_{20} = 0.470 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
•	1:50 Year:	Q <sub>50</sub> = 0.586 m <sup>3</sup> /s

The following stormwater infrastructure is required to service this Catchment:

Stormwater Infrastructure Location		Length / Size / No.
Berm and Channel	North, south and western boundaries of D1.1	371 m
Retention Pond	South-eastern corner of D1.1	1 764 m <sup>3</sup>
Outlet Structure	Just outside the south-eastern corner of D1.1	1

Table 11-2: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D1.1

Refer to **Annexure D** for the Stormwater Runoff Calculations and **Annexure E** for the Retention Pond Calculations.



Figure 11-1: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D1.1

Refer to Annexure F for the Stormwater Masterplan and Annexure G for the Stormwater Design.



#### 11.2 Catchment D2

The Catchment D2 has the properties as tabulated below in Table 10-3:

Catchment	Proposed Land-Use	Area (ha)
D2	Pelletizing / Pelleting	2.9611

Table 11-3: Catchment D2 Information

The runoff generated within Catchment D2 at various recurrence intervals is seen below.

Pre-Development	Runoff:
1 10 Dovolopinon	I Callolli

#### Post-Development Runoff:

 $Q_2 = 0.344 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ 

 $Q_{20} = 0.938 \text{ m}^{3/s}$ 

 $Q_{50} = 1.172 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ 

1:2 Year:

1:20 Year:

1:50 Year:

- 1:2 Year:  $Q_2 = CIA = 0.344 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
- 1:20 Year: Q<sub>20</sub> = CIA = <u>0.938 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>
- 1:50 Year: Q<sub>50</sub> = CIA = <u>1.172 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>

The following stormwater infrastructure is required to service this Catchment:

Stormwater Infrastructure	Location	Length / Size / No.		
Berm and Channel	North, south, east and western boundaries of D2	724 m		
Pipe Network or Channel	South-eastern corner of D2 travelling south	125 m		
Outlet Structure	Just outside D3, perpendicular to the inlet at D2	1		

Table 11-4: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D2

Refer to Annexure D for the Stormwater Runoff Calculations.



Figure 10-2: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D2

Refer to Annexure F for the Stormwater Masterplan and Annexure G for the Stormwater Design.



#### 11.3 Catchment D3

The Catchment D3 has the properties as tabulated below in Table 10-5:

Catchment	Proposed Land-Use	Area (ha)
D3	Storage of Compost	4.9346

Table 11-5: Catchment D3 Information

The runoff generated within Catchment D3 at various recurrence intervals is seen below.

#### Pre-Development Runoff:

- 1:2 Year: Q<sub>2</sub> = CIA = <u>0.183 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>
- 1:20 Year: Q<sub>20</sub> = CIA = <u>0.493 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>
- 1:50 Year: Q<sub>50</sub> = CIA = <u>0.617 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>

Post-Development Runoff:

- 1:2 Year:  $Q_2 = 0.399 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
- 1:20 Year: Q<sub>20</sub> = <u>1.077 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>
- 1:50 Year: Q<sub>50</sub> = <u>1.347 m<sup>3</sup>/s</u>

The following stormwater infrastructure is required to service this Catchment:

Stormwater Infrastructure	Location	Length / Size
Berm and Channel	North, east and southern boundaries of Catchment D3	940 m
Retention Pond	South-eastern corner of D3	4 096 m <sup>3</sup>
Outlet Structure	Just outside the south-eastern corner of D1.1	1

Table 11-6: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D3

As an alternative, Catchments D1.1 and D3 may be combined, and a single retention pond with increased capacity can be implemented. As aforementioned, 'clean water' from Catchment D2 will have to be kept separate from the 'dirty water' generated in Catchments D1.1 and D3.

Refer to **Annexure D** for the Stormwater Runoff Calculations and **Annexure E** for the Retention Pond Calculations.



Figure 11-3: Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure for Catchment D3

Refer to Annexure F for the Stormwater Masterplan and Annexure G for the Stormwater Design.



#### 12. SERVITUDES

Where infrastructure will be constructed outside of private property or road reserves, servitudes will be registered in favour of the applicable service and authority.

#### 13. FLOODLINES

There are no floodlines that are affecting the development.

#### 14. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The SMP determined the proposed sizing for all elements of the study area. Proposed upgrades and sizing are only indicative, upgrades and new stormwater elements should be implemented as development progresses in the catchments.

The information provided is sufficient to enable the developer to service the proposed development without affecting the surrounding area negatively. We trust the report addresses the requirements necessary.

Compiled By:

Keanen Dass Candidate Engineer 2020202712 Civil Concepts (Pty) Ltd April 2021 Checked By:

Hannes Welman Professional Engineer 20180172 Civil Concepts (Pty) Ltd April 2021



## LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure A	Locality Plan
Annexure B	Road Masterplan
Annexure C	Existing Stormwater Network
Annexure D	Stormwater Runoff Calculations
Annexure E	Retention Pond Calculations
Annexure F	Stormwater Masterplan
Annexure G	Stormwater Detailed Design



## ANNEXURE A: LOCALITY PLAN



CIVIL Concepts (Pty) Ltd Consulting Civil & Structural Engineers PO Box 36148, Menlo Park, 0102 Office: +27 12 460 0008 www.civilconcepts.co.za

PTN. 13 BOSCHKOP 543-JR LOCALITY PLAN



## ANNEXURE B: ROAD MASTERPLAN



#### Road Masterplan



Kilometers 0,2 0,4 0,6 0,8

Date: 2020/11/17

Compiled & Issued by: Corporate GIS, (egis@tshwane.gov.za) Whilst every care has been taken in compiling the information on this document, the CITY OF TSHWANE cannot accept responsibility for any inaccuracies. The information in this document assumes no legal status; and is not intended to replace legally recorded data and documentation.

Copyright © City of Tshwane 2018



# ANNEXURE C: EXISTING STORMWATER NETWORK





## ANNEXURE D: STORMWATER RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

	CATCHMENTS PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF												
CATCHMENT	DRAIN TO	AREA (ha)	LENGTH (m)	SLOPE (m/m)	1:2 YR FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	1:20 YR FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	1:50 YR FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)						
D1	R1	14.33	666	0.0152	0.38	1.06	1.32						
D1.1	R1.1	1.64	223	0.0269	0.07	0.19	0.24						
D2	R2	2.96	280	0.0210	0.34	0.94	1.17						
D3	R3	4.93	515	0.0233	0.18	0.49	0.62						
D4	R4	9.47	554	0.0304	0.38	1.06	1.33						
D4.1	R4.1	8.24	395	0.0505	0.41	1.12	1.40						
D5	R5	15.07	447	0.0329	0.62	1.67	2.09						
D6	R6	6.17	483	0.0183	0.19	0.52	0.65						

	CATCHMENTS POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF												
CATCUMENT					1:2 YR FLOW	1:20 YR FLOW	1:50 YR FLOW						
CATCHIMENT	DIAINTO	ANEA (IId)	LENGTH (III)	SLOPE (m/m)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m³/s)						
D1	R1	14.33	666	0.0152	0.38	1.06	1.32						
D1.1	R1.1	1.64	223	0.0269	0.17	0.47	0.59						
D2	R2	2.96	280	0.0210	0.34	0.94	1.17						
D3	R3	4.93	515	0.0233	0.40	1.08	1.35						
D4	R4	9.47	554	0.0304	0.38	1.06	1.33						
D4.1	R4.1	8.24	657	0.0285	0.32	0.87	1.08						
D5	R5	15.07	447	0.0329	0.62	1.67	2.09						
D6	R6	6.17	483	0.0183	0.19	0.52	0.65						

	PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOWS												
ROUTE NO.	1:2 YEA	R FLOW	1:20 YEA	AR FLOW	1:50 YEAR FLOW								
	INLET PEAK (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	PEAK FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	s) INLET PEAK (m <sup>3</sup> /s) PEAK FLOW (		INLET PEAK (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	PEAK FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)							
P1	0.38	1.17	1.06	3.24	1.32	4.05							
P1.1	0.07 0.07		0.19 0.19		0.24	0.24							
P2	0.34	0.34	0.94 0.94		1.17	1.17							
Р3	0.18	0.18	0.49 0.49		0.62	0.62							
P4	0.38	0.79	1.06	2.18	1.33	2.73							
P4.1	0.41 0.41		1.12	1.12	1.40	1.40							
P5	0.62	0.62	1.67	1.67	2.09	2.09							
P6	0.19	0.81	0.52	2.19	0.65	2.75							

	POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOWS												
	1:2 YEA	R FLOW	1:20 YEA	AR FLOW	1:50 YEAR FLOW								
ROUTE NO.	INLET PEAK (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	PEAK FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	INLET PEAK (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	PEAK FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	INLET PEAK (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	PEAK FLOW (m <sup>3</sup> /s)							
P1	0.38	1.08	1.06	2.99	1.32	3.73							
P1.1	0.17 0.17		0.47 0.47		0.59	0.59							
P2	0.34	0.34	0.94 0.94		1.17	1.17							
P3	0.40	0.40	1.08	1.08	1.35	1.35							
P4	0.38	0.70	1.06	1.92	1.33	2.41							
P4,1	0.32 0.32		0.87 0.87		1.08	1.08							
P5	0.62 0.62		1.67 1.67		2.09	2.09							
P6	0.19	0.81	0.52	2.19	0.65	2.75							

Description of Catc	hment:				CATCHMENT D1									
Project:					C2868									
Calculated By:					K DASS									
Date:					8-Mar-21									
						elopment								
Physical Characteri	stics						_							
Mean annual rainfal	I (P)			P =	= 710 mm			Area Di	stribution	Factors	_			
Size of Catchment A =					143342	m²		o	ι+β+γ=	1		Table 3: Suggested	values of	r
Longest water cour	se			L =	666	m		Rural	Urban	Lakes		Paved area		0.02
Height Difference		=	10.15 <b>m</b>					α =	β =	γ =		Clean soil		0.1
Average slope of wa	ater cours			S =	0.0152	m/m		1.00	0.00	0.00		Sparse grass		0.3
							-				•	Moderate grass		0.4
Overland flow		=	0.865 Hour	s		lles	т.	0.005	Union			Thick bush		0.8
Watercourse		=	0.243 Hou	s		Use	IC =	0.865	Hours			Use r =		0.4
										1		L		
					Rural C1							Urba	n C2	
Surface Slope	%	Cs	Permeabilit	y	%	C <sub>p</sub>		Vegetation	ı	%	Cv	Use	%	<b>C</b> <sub>2</sub>
Vlei's and pans	100%	0.03	Very Permeabl	е			Thick bus	sh and plar	ntation			Lawns and parks		
Flat areas			Permeable		50%	0.08	Light bus	h and farm	n-lands	50%	0.11	Industrial areas		
Hilly			Semi-Permeab	le	50%	0.16	Grass-lan	lds		50%	0.21	City/Residential		
Steep areas			Impermeable				No vegeta	ation				Streets		
TOTAL	1.00		TOTAL		1.00		TOTAL			1.00		TOTAL	0.00	
												•		
		Table	1: Rural runoff-	coeff	icients						т	able 2: Urban runoff	coefficien	ts
					MAR (mm)							Use	Coeff	icient
Component		Classi	rication		600	600	600-900					Lawns & Pa	'ks	
	Vleis and pans (<3%)				0.01	0.	.03	0.05			Sandy, fla	at (<2%)	0.05	0.10
	Flat areas	(3-10%)		0.06	0.	.08	0.11			Sandy, steep (>7%)		0.15-0.20		
Surface slope Cs	Hilly (10-30%)				0.12	0.	.16	0.20		Heavy soil, steep (>7%)		il, steep (>7%)	0.13-0.17	
	Steep are	as (>30%)			0.22	0.26		0.30			Heavy soil, flat (<2%)		0.25	0.35
	Very perm	neable			0.03	0.	.04	0.05		Residential an		eas		
	Permeable	e			0.06	0.	.08	0.10		Houses		0.30-	0.50	
Surface slope C <sub>p</sub>	Semi perr	neable			0.12	0.	.16	0.20			Flats		0.50-0.70	
	Impermea	ble			0.21	0.	.26	0.30				Industry		
	Thick bus	h and pla	ntation		0.03	0.	.04	0.05			Light Ind	ustry	0.50-0.80	
	Light bus	h and farm	n-lands		0.07	0.	.11	0.15			Heavy Inc	lustry	0.60	0.90
Surface slope $C_v$	Grass-lan	ds			0.17	0.	.21	0.25				Business	<u></u>	
	No vegeta	tion			0.26	0.	.28	0.30			City cent	re	0.70-	0.95
						1		1			Surburba	n	0.50-	0.70
Summer rainfall reg	ion										Streets		0.70-	0.95
Return period T (ye	ars)		:	2	5	10	20	50	100					
Point rainfall (mm)			2	7	46	60	74	93	107		Notes:			
Point intensity (mm	/h)		3	1	53	69	86	107	124					
Run-off factor														
Return period T (ye	ars)		:	2	5	10	20	50	100	]				
Rural C1 0.31				31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31					
Urban C2			0.	00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
Lakes C3 1.00					1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					
Combined C = C1 +	C2 + C3		0.	31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31					
										•				
Peak flow -Catchme	ents													
Return period T (ye	ars)		:	2	5	10	20	50	100					
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	600 (l/s)		38	33	654	852	1062	1321	1531					

Description of Catchment:				CATCHMENT D1.1											
Project:					C2868										
Calculated By:					K DASS										
Date:					8-Mar-21										
					Post - Develop	oment									
Physical Characteristics								1							
Mean annual rainfall (P) P =					710	mm	1	Area D	Distributio	n Factors					
Size of Catchment A =					- 16378 m²				$\alpha + \beta + \gamma =$	= 1	1	Table 3: Suggested	values of r		
					223	 m	-	Rural	Urban	- Lakes		Paved area		0.02	
Height Difference		-	6.00				-		8 -			Clean soil		0.1	
Average slope of w	ater cours		0.00	···· s -	0.0269	m/m		0.30	<b>p</b> =	1-	-	Clean soli 0.1			
Average slope of w				0-	0.0203		J	0.50	0.70	0.00	J	Moderate grass		0.0	
Overland flow		_	0.454	Heuro						l		Thick buch		0.4	
Weters sures		=	0.454	Hours		Use	Tc =	0.454	Hours					0.6	
watercourse		=	0.084	Hours								Use r =		0.4	
					Dural C4								00		
0					Rural C1							Urc	ban C2	•	
Surface Slope	%	C <sub>s</sub>	Perme	ability	%	C <sub>p</sub>		vegetation	1	%	C <sub>v</sub>	Use	%	C <sub>2</sub>	
Viei's and pans	100%	0.03	Very Pern	neable			Thick bus	sh and pla	ntation			Lawns and parks			
Flat areas			Permeabl	le			Light bus	sh and farr	n-lands			Industrial areas	100%	0.90	
Hilly			Semi-Peri	meable	100%	0.16	Grass-lar	nds				City/Residential			
Steep areas			Impermea	able			No vegeta	ation		100%	0.28	Streets			
TOTAL	1.00		1.00		TOTAL			1.00		TOTAL	1.00				
		Table	1: Rural r	unoff-coef	ficients							Table 2: Urban runo	ff-coefficient:	6	
						MAR (r	nm)					Use	Coeffic	ient	
Component		Classifi	cation		600	600	-900	900			Lawns & Parks				
	Vleis and pa	leis and nans (~3%)			0.01	0.03		0.05			Sandy, fl	Sandy, flat (<2%)		0.05-0.10	
	Elat areas (3	-10%)			0.06	0.08		0.11			Sandy s	teen (>7%)	0.15-0.20		
Surface slope $C_s$	Lilly (10-20%)	Hilly (10 20%)				0.16		0.11			Heavy soil steen (>7%)		0.10 0	17	
	Filling (10-30 /	•) (- 209/ )			0.12	0	26	0.20			Heavy soil, steep (27 %)		0.15-0	25	
	Steep areas	(>30%)			0.22	0	.20	0.30			neavy su	Besidential a		.55	
	very permea	DIE			0.03	0	.04	0.05				Residential	areas	50	
Surface slope $C_p$	Permeable				0.06	0	.00	0.10			Houses		0.30-0	.50	
	Semi permea	able			0.12	0	.16	0.20			Flats		0.50-0	.70	
	Impermeable	9			0.21	0	.26	0.30				Industr	у		
	Thick bush a	and planta	ation		0.03	0	.04	0.05			Light Ind	ustry	0.50-0	.80	
Surface slope C <sub>v</sub>	Light bush a	ind farm-l	ands		0.07	0	.11	0.15			Heavy In	dustry	0.60-0	.90	
	Grass-lands				0.17	0	.21	0.25				Busines	s		
	No vegetatio	n			0.26	0	.28	0.30			City cent	re	0.70-0	.95	
											Surburba	an	0.50-0	.70	
Summer rainfall reg	jion					1					Streets		0.70-0	.95	
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100						
Point rainfall (mm)				22	38	49	61	76	88		Notes:				
Point intensity (mm	1/h)			49	83	108	134	167	193						
Run-off factor					-										
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100						
Rural C1 0.47				0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47						
Urban C2 0.90					0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90						
Lakes C3				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Combined C = C1 +	C2 + C3			0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77						
Peak flow -Catchme	ents														
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100						
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	3600 (l/s)			172	291	379	470	586	677						
								I							

Description of Catc	tion of Catchment:					ENT D2								
Project:					C2868									
Calculated By:					K DASS									
Date:					8-Mar-21									
24101					Pro Dovr	lonmont								
Bhusiaal Characteri	otioo				The Berre	Siopmont		l						
Physical Characteri	Stics			_		<u> </u>	1							
Mean annual rainfal	I (P)			P =	710	mm		Area Dis	stribution	Factors	l			
Size of Catchment				A =	29611	m²		a	ι+β+γ=	1		Table 3: Suggested	values of	r
Longest water cour	se			L =	280	m		Rural	Urban	Lakes		Paved area	0.02	
Height Difference		=	5.89 r	n				α =	β =	γ =		Clean soil	0.1	
Average slope of wa	ater cours			S =	0.0210	m/m		0.00	1.00	0.00		Sparse grass		0.3
												Moderate grass		0.4
Overland flow		=	0.535 H	lours		Use	Tc =	0.535	Hours			Thick bush		0.8
Watercourse		=	0.110 <b>I</b>	lours								Use r =		0.4
		Rural C1							Urba	n C2				
Surface Slope	%	Cs	Permea	bility	%	Cp		Vegetation	1	%	Cv	Use	%	C <sub>2</sub>
Vlei's and pans	pans 100% 0.03 Very Permeable						Thick bus	sh and plar	ntation			Lawns and parks		
Flat areas	Permeable					0.08	Light bus	h and farm	n-lands	50%	0.11	Industrial areas		
Hilly			Semi-Perm	eable	50%	0.16	Grass-lan	lds		50%	0.21	City/Residential		
Steep areas			Impermeat	ole			No vegeta	ation				Streets	100%	0.95
TOTAL	1.00		TOTAL		1.00	•	TOTAL			1.00		TOTAL	1.00	
		Table	1: Rural rur	noff-coeff	icients						т	able 2: Urban runoff-	coefficien	ts
						MAR	(mm)					Use	Coeff	icient
Component		Classi	fication		600	600	-900	900				Lawns & Par	·ks	
	Vleis and	pans (<3%	6)		0.01	0.	03	0.05			Sandy, fla	at (<2%)	0.05	-0.10
	Flat areas	(3-10%)	-		0.06	0.	08	0.11			Sandv. st	eep (>7%)	0.15	-0.20
Surface slope C <sub>s</sub>	Hilly (10-3	(0 , . ,			0.12	0.	16	0.20			Heavy so	il steen (>7%)	0.13	-0.17
	Steen are	as (530%)			0.22	0	26	0.30			Heavy so	il flat (<2%)	0.25	-0.35
	Voru porr				0.02	0		0.05			neavy se	Residential a	reas	
	Permaski				0.05	0.	09	0.05				Residential a	0.30-0.50	
Surface slope $C_p$	Comineable				0.00	0.	46	0.10			Houses		0.50-0.70	
	Semi peri	neable			0.12	0.	.10	0.20			Flats		0.50-0.70	
	Impermea				0.21	0.	20	0.30				Industry	1	
	Thick bus	h and pla	ntation		0.03	0.		0.05			Light Ind	ustry	0.50	-0.80
Surface slope $C_v$	Light bus	h and farr	n-lands		0.07	0.	.11	0.15			Heavy Inc	lustry	0.60	-0.90
	Grass-lan	ds			0.17	0.	21	0.25				Business		
	No vegeta	ition			0.26	0.	28	0.30			City cent	re	0.70	-0.95
											Surburba	n	0.50	-0.70
Summer rainfall reg	lion						1				Streets		0.70	-0.95
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100					
Point rainfall (mm)		_		24	40	52	64	80	93		Notes:			
Point intensity (mm	/h)	_		44	74	97	120	150	173					
Run-off factor					_					1				
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100					
				0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31					
Urban C2				0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95					
Lakes C3 1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					
Combined C = C1 + C2 + C3 0.95				0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95					
Peak flow -Catchme	ents													
Return period T (ye	Return period T (years) 2				5	10	20	50	100					
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	600 (l/s)			344	578	758	938	1172	1352					

					o									
Description of Catc	hment:				CATCHM	ENT D3		-						
Project:					C2868									
Calculated By:					K DASS									
Date:					8-Mar-21									
					Pre - Deve	elopment		J						
Physical Characteri	stics													
Mean annual rainfal	ll (P)			P =	710	mm		Area Di	stribution	Factors	_			
Size of Catchment				۹ =	49346	m²		c	x + β + γ =	1		Table 3: Suggested	values of	r
Longest water cour	se			L =	515	m		Rural	Urban	Lakes		Paved area		0.02
Height Difference		=	12.01 <b>m</b>					α =	β =	γ =		Clean soil		0.1
Average slope of wa	ater cours			5 =	0.0233	m/m		1.00	0.00	0.00		Sparse grass		0.3
					1						-	Moderate grass		0.4
Overland flow		=	0.695 Hours					0.005		]		Thick bush		0.8
Watercourse		=	0.169 Hours			Use	IC =	0.695	Hours			Use r =		0.4
						<b></b>		•	1	1		L		
					Rural C1							Urba	n C2	
Surface Slope	%	Cs	Permeability		%	C <sub>p</sub>		Vegetatior	ı	%	Cv	Use	%	C <sub>2</sub>
Vlei's and pans			Very Permeable				Thick bu	sh and pla	ntation			Lawns and parks		
Flat areas	100% 0.08 Permeable					0.08	Light bus	h and farn	n-lands	50%	0.11	Industrial areas		
Hilly	lly Semi-Permeable					0.16	Grass-la	nds		50%	0.21	City/Residential		
Steep areas			Impermeable				No veget	ation				Streets		
TOTAL	1.00		TOTAL		1.00	<b></b>	TOTAL			1.00		TOTAL	0.00	
		Table	1: Rural runoff-c	oeff	icients						т	able 2: Urban runoff	-coefficien	ts
					MAR (mm)							Use	Coefficient	
Component		Classi	fication		600	600	-900	900				Lawns & Par	rks	
	Vleis and	nans (<3%	(a)		0.01	0.	.03	0.05			Sandy, fla	at (<2%)	0.05	-0.10
	Flat areas	(3-10%)	~		0.06	0	.08	0.11			Sandy st	een (>7%)	0.15	-0.20
Surface slope $C_s$		200/)			0.00	0	16	0.20			Home co	il stoop (>7%)	0.13	0.17
	Stoop are	25 (> 20%)			0.12	0	26	0.20				il, flat (<2%)	0.10	0.35
	Steep are	as (>30%)			0.22	0.	.20	0.30			neavy so	n, nat (<2%)	0.23	-0.35
	very perm	ieable			0.03	0.	.04	0.05				Residential a	eas 0.20	0.50
Surface slope $C_p$	Permeabl	e			0.06	0.	.00	0.10			Houses		0.30	0.50
	Semi perr	neable			0.12	0.	.16	0.20			Flats		0.50-0.70	
	Impermea	able			0.21	0.	.26	0.30				Industry	y 0.50.0.00	
	Thick bus	sh and pla	ntation		0.03	0.	.04	0.05			Light Ind	ustry	0.50	-0.80
Surface slope $C_v$	Light bus	h and farr	n-lands		0.07	0.	.11	0.15			Heavy Inc	dustry	0.60-	-0.90
	Grass-lan	ds			0.17	0.	.21	0.25				Business		
	No vegeta	ation			0.26	0.	.28	0.30			City cent	re	0.70	-0.95
											Surburba	in	0.50	0.70
Summer rainfall reg	jion				-	40	20	50	400	1	Streets		0.70	-0.95
Return period 1 (ye	ars)		2		5	10	20	50	100		Neter			
Point intersity (mm)	/h)		26		43	94	100	105	145	•	Notes:			
Foint intensity (min	/11)		37		62	01	100	125	145	J				
Bun off footor														
Run-on factor	<b>ar</b> a)		2		F	10	20	50	100	1				
Recall period 1 (ye	aisj				0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26					
Urban C2			0.30	_	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00					
Urban C2 0.00					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-				
Lakes C3 1.00				'	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					
Combined C = C1 +	C2 + C3		0.36	)	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	J				
Deals (I) Contract														
Peak flow -Catchme	ents				-				400	1				
Return period 1 (ye	Return period T (years) 2				5	10	20	50	100					
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	000 (I/S)		183		306	400	493	617	/16	J				

Description of Catc	ption of Catchment:					ENT D4									
Project:					C2868										
Calculated By:					K DASS										
Date:					8-Mar-21										
					Pre - Deve	lopment									
Physical Characteri	stics							I							
Mean annual rainfal	I (P)			P =	710	mm	]	Area Di	stribution	Factors					
Size of Catchment	. ,			A =	94735	m²		0	ι + β + γ =	1		Table 3: Suggested values of r			
Longest water cour	se			L =	554	m		Rural	Urban	Lakes		Paved area		0.02	
Height Difference		-	16.82 m	_				<i>a</i> -	ß -	~ -		Clean soil	0.1		
Average slope of wa	ater cours		10.02	s -	<b>5</b> = 0.0304 m/m			0.90	0 10	0.00		Sparse grass		0.3	
				<u> </u>	0.0004		]	0.00	0.10	0.00	]	Moderate grass		0.0	
Overland flow		_	0.676 Hours							1		Thick bush		0.4	
Wetereeuroe		_	0.162 Hours			Use	Tc =	0.676	Hours					0.0	
watercourse			0.162 Hours	•								User =		0.4	
												Urba	- 00		
Surface Slene					Venotatia		0/	<u> </u>	Urba	11 UZ	~				
Surface Slope	%	U <sub>s</sub>	Fermeability		%	U <sub>p</sub>	This!	vegetation		%	U <sub>v</sub>	Use	%	U <sub>2</sub>	
viers and pans			very Permeable		-		I NICK bus	in and plan	itation			Lawns and parks		4.51	
Flat areas	100%	0.08	Permeable		50%	0.08	Light bus	h and farm	n-lands	50%	0.11	Industrial areas	100%	0.70	
Hilly	Semi-Permeable						Grass-lan	ds		50%	0.21	City/Residential			
Steep areas			Impermeable				No vegeta	ation				Streets			
TOTAL	1.00		TOTAL		1.00		TOTAL			1.00		TOTAL	1.00		
		Table	1: Rural runoff-c	oefi	ficients						т	able 2: Urban runoff-	f-coefficients		
Component		Classi	liantian			MAR	(mm)					Use	Coefficient		
Component		Classi	lication		600	600	-900	900	900			Lawns & Par	rks		
	Vleis and	pans (<3%	6)		0.01	0.	03	0.05			Sandy, fla	at (<2%)	0.05	-0.10	
	Flat areas	(3-10%)			0.06	0.	08	0.11			Sandy, st	eep (>7%)	0.15	-0.20	
Surface slope C <sub>s</sub>	Hilly (10-3	60%)			0.12	0.	16	0.20			Heavy so	il, steep (>7%)	0.13	-0.17	
	Steep are	as (>30%)			0.22	0.	26	0.30			Heavy so	il, flat (<2%)	0.25	-0.35	
	Verv perm	neable			0.03	0.03 0.04						Residential ar	eas		
	Permeable	e			0.06	0.	08	0.10			Houses		0.30-0.50		
Surface slope $C_p$	Semi pern	neable			0.12	0.	16	0.20			Flats		0.50-0.70		
	Impermea	hle			0.21	0	26	0.30				Industry	0.50-0.70		
	Thick bus	b and pla	ntation		0.02	0	04	0.00			Light Ind	uetov	0.50.0.90		
	Light bus	h and farr			0.03	0	11	0.05				lustry	0.00	0.00	
Surface slope $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{v}}$			n-ianus		0.07	0.	24	0.15			neavy inc	Business	0.00	-0.30	
	Grass-lan	as			0.17	0.	21	0.25			<b>C</b> ity, <b>e e u t</b>	Business	0.70	0.05	
	No vegeta	tion			0.26	0.	20	0.30			City cent	re	0.70	0.95	
• • • • • •											Surburba	n	0.50	0.05	
Summer rainfall reg	lion				-	40		50	400	1	Streets		0.70	-0.95	
Return period 1 (yes	ars)		2		5	10	20	50	100		N				
Point rainfall (mm)	<i>I</i> ->		25		43	dc	69	86	100		Notes:				
Point intensity (mm	/n)		37		63	83	102	128	147	l					
Run-off factor					1		1			1					
Return period T (ye	ars)		2		5	10	20	50	100						
Rural C1			0.3	6	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36						
Urban C2			0.7	0	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70						
Lakes C3 1.00				0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Combined C = C1 +	C2 + C3		0.3	9	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39						
Peak flow -Catchme	ents														
Return period T (ye	ars)		2		5	10	20	50	100						
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	600 (l/s)		38	4	653	861	1058	1327	1524						

Description of Catchment:					CATCHMENT										
Project:					C2868										
Calculated By:					K DASS										
Date:					8-Mar-21										
					Post - Develop	oment									
Physical Characteri	istics							1							
Mean annual rainfa	II (P)			P =	710	mm	1	Area D	Distribution	n Factors					
Size of Catchment				A =	82388	m²			α+β+γ=	= 1	1	Table 3: Suggested	l values of r		
Longest water cour	rse			L =	657	m		Rural	Urban	Lakes		Paved area		0.02	
Height Difference		=	18.72	m		1		α =	β =	γ =		Clean soil	0.1		
Average slope of w	ater cours			S =	0.0285	m/m		0.90	0.10	0.00		Sparse grass 0.3		0.3	
							1				1	Moderate grass		0.4	
Overland flow		=	0.743	Hours						Thick bush			0.8		
Watercourse		=	0.189	Hours		Use	Tc =	0.743	Hours			Use r =		0.4	
							I								
					Rural C1							Urban C2			
Surface Slope	%	Cs	Perme	ability	%	Cp		Vegetation	ı	%	Cv	Use	%	C <sub>2</sub>	
Vlei's and pans			Very Perm	neable			Thick bus	sh and pla	ntation			Lawns and parks			
Flat areas	100%	0.08	Permeable	e	50%	0.08	Light bus	h and farr	n-lands	50%	0.11	Industrial areas	100%	0.70	
Hilly	Semi-Permeable					0.16	Grass-lar	nds		50%	0.21	City/Residential			
Steep areas	ep areas Impermeable						No vegeta	ation				Streets			
TOTAL	1.00		TOTAL		1.00		TOTAL			1.00	1	TOTAL	1.00		
					L										
		Table	1: Rural ru	inoff-coef	ficients							5			
						nm)					Use	Coeffic	ient		
Component		Classifi	cation		600 600-900			900				Lawns & P	arks		
	Vleis and pa	ns (<3%)			0.01	0.	03	0.05			Sandy, fl	at (<2%)	0.05-0.10		
	Flat areas (3	-10%)			0.06	0.	08	0.11			Sandy, s	teep (>7%)	0.15-0	.20	
Surface slope C <sub>s</sub>	Hilly (10-30%	.)			0.12	0.	16	0.20			Heavy so	pil, steep (>7%)	0.13-0	.17	
	Steep areas	(>30%)			0.22	0.	26	0.30			Heavy so	oil, flat (<2%)	0.25-0	.35	
	Very permea	ble			0.03	0.	04	0.05			-	Residential	areas		
	Permeable				0.06	0.	08	0.10			Houses		0.30-0	.50	
Surface slope C <sub>p</sub>	Semi permea	able			0.12	0.	16	0.20			Flats		0.50-0	.70	
	Impermeable	•			0.21	0.	26	0.30				Industr	v		
	Thick bush a	and planta	ation		0.03	0.	04	0.05			Liaht Ind	lustrv	0.50-0	.80	
	Light bush a	nd farm-l	ands		0.07	0.	11	0.15			Heavy In	dustrv	0.60-0	.90	
Surface slope $C_v$	Grass-lands				0.17	0.	21	0.25				Busines	is		
	No vegetatio	n			0.26	0.	28	0.30			City cent	re	0.70-0	.95	
	Ū								l		Surburba	an	0.50-0	.70	
Summer rainfall reg	jion										Streets		0.70-0	.95	
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100						
Point rainfall (mm)	-			26	44	57	71	89	102		Notes:				
Point intensity (mm	ı/h)			35	59	77	96	120	138						
	,				ļ										
Run-off factor															
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100						
Rural C1				0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36						
Urban C2				0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70						
Lakes C3 100					1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Combined C = C1 +	C2 + C3			0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39						
Peak flow -Catchme	ents														
Return period T (ve	Peak flow -Catchments Return period T (years) 2				5	10	20	50	100						
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	3600 (l/s)			316	532	694	866	1082	1244						
	eak flow Q = CIA/3600 (I/s) 316				l	!									

Description of Catc	hment:				CATCHM	ENT D5		-							
Project:					C2868										
Calculated By:					K DASS										
Date:					8-Mar-21										
					Pre - Deve	elopment									
Physical Characteri	stics							_							
Mean annual rainfal	ll (P)			P =	710	mm		Area Di	stribution	Factors					
Size of Catchment				A =	150661	m²		c	ι + β + γ =	1		Table 3: Suggested	values of	r	
Longest water cour	se			L =	447	m	1	Rural	Urban	Lakes		Paved area		0.02	
Height Difference		=	14.72 <b>m</b>					α=	β=	γ =		Clean soil		0.1	
Average slope of wa	ater cours			S =	<b>6 = 0.0329 m/m</b>			1.00	0.00	0.00		Sparse grass 0.			
							J	L		I	1	Moderate grass		0.4	
Overland flow		=	0.600 Hours	5						1		Thick bush		0.8	
Watercourse		-	0 133 Hours			Use	Tc =	0.600	Hours			Use r =		0.4	
			0.100 1.00			I		I		]		0001-		0.1	
					Rural C1							Urba	n C2		
Surface Slope	Surface Slope % C <sub>s</sub> Permeability					C.		Vegetation	<u> </u>	%	C.	Use	%	C.	
Viei's and nans	70	σş	Very Permeable		70	ο <sub>ρ</sub>	Thick bu	sh and play	ntation	70	0,	Lawns and parks	70	•2	
	100% 0.08 Permeable					0.08				E09/	0.11	Lawits and parks	100%	0.70	
	as 100% 0.08 Permeable					0.08			I-Ianus	50%	0.11		100%	0.70	
Hilly	lly Semi-Permeable					0.16	Grass-lai	nds		50%	0.21	City/Residential			
Steep areas			Impermeable			l	No veget	ation				Streets			
TOTAL	1.00		TOTAL		1.00		TOTAL			1.00		TOTAL	1.00		
		Table	1: Rural runoff-	oefi	icients						т	able 2: Urban runoff-	coefficien	ts	
					MAR (mm							Use	Coefficient		
Component		Classi	fication		600	600	-900	900				Lawns & Par	arks		
	Vleis and	nans (<3%	(j)		0.01	0.	.03	0.05			Sandy, fla	at (<2%)	0.05	-0.10	
	Flat areas	(3-10%)	•)		0.06	0	08	0.11			Sandy et	een (>7%)	0.15	.0.20	
Surface slope $C_s$		09/ )			0.00	0	16	0.20			Heaver as	il ataon (+ 7%)	0.10	0.17	
	Filly (10-3	oo (+ 20%/ )			0.12	0	26	0.20				il, steep (>7 %)	0.10	0.25	
	Steep are	as (>30%)			0.22	0.	.20	0.30			neavy so	n, nat (<2%)	0.23	-0.35	
	very perm	ieable			0.03	0.	.04	0.05				Residential ar	eas	0.50	
Surface slope $C_p$	Permeable	e			0.06	0.	.08	0.10			Houses		0.50-0.70		
	Semi pern	neable			0.12	0.	.16	0.20			Flats		0.50-0.70		
	Impermea	ıble			0.21	0.	.26	0.30				Industry	v		
	Thick bus	h and pla	ntation		0.03	0.	.04	0.05			Light Ind	ustry	0.50	-0.80	
Surface slope C,	Light bus	h and farn	n-lands		0.07	0.	.11	0.15			Heavy Inc	lustry	0.60	-0.90	
	Grass-lan	ds			0.17	0.	.21	0.25				Business			
	No vegeta	tion			0.26	0.	.28	0.30			City cent	re	0.70	-0.95	
											Surburba	n	0.50	-0.70	
Summer rainfall reg	jion								-	,	Streets		0.70	-0.95	
Return period T (ye	ars)		2		5	10	20	50	100						
Point rainfall (mm)			24	Ļ	41	54	67	83	96		Notes:				
Point intensity (mm	/h)		41		69	90	111	139	160						
<b>D</b>															
					_					1					
Return period I (ye	ars)		2	_	5	10	20	50	100						
Rural C1			0.3	6	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36						
Urban C2 0.70					0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70						
Lakes C3 1.00				0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Combined C = C1 + C2 + C3 0.36				6	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36						
Peak flow -Catchme	ents									1					
Return period T (years) 2					5	10	20	50	100						
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	8600 (l/s)		61	8	1040	1356	1672	2094	2411						

Description of Catc	hment:				CATCHME	ENT D6									
Project:					C2868										
Calculated By:					K DASS										
Date:					8-Mar-21										
					Pre - Deve										
Physical Characteri	etice														
Maan annual nainfal	31103				740		1	Area Dia		Factors					
Mean annual raintai	I (P)			P=	710	mm		Area Dis	sinbution	Factors	1				
Size of Catchment				A =	61740	m²		α	$+\beta +\gamma =$	1		Table 3: Suggested	values of	r	
Longest water cour	se		r 1	L =	483	m		Rural	Urban	Lakes		Paved area		0.02	
Height Difference		=	8.84 r	n				α =	β =	γ =		Clean soil		0.1	
Average slope of wa	ater cours			S =	0.0183	m/m		1.00	0.00	0.00			0.3		
										1		Moderate grass		0.4	
Overland flow		=	0.713 <b>H</b>	lours		Use	Tc =	0.713	Hours			Thick bush		0.8	
Watercourse		=	0.177 <b>h</b>	lours								Use r =		0.4	
					Rural C1							Urba	n C2		
Surface Slope	%	Cs	Permea	bility	%	Cp		Vegetation		%	Cv	Use	%	C <sub>2</sub>	
Vlei's and pans	/lei's and pans 100% 0.03 Very Permeable						Thick bus	sh and plar	ntation			Lawns and parks			
Flat areas	areas Permeable					0.08	Light bus	h and farm	-lands	50%	0.11	Industrial areas			
Hilly	lly Semi-Permeable						Grass-lan	ds		50%	0.21	City/Residential			
Steep areas			Impermeat	ole			No vegeta	ation				Streets			
TOTAL	1.00		TOTAL		1.00		TOTAL			1.00	!	TOTAL	0.00		
			<b></b>		. <u></u>										
		Table	1: Rural rur	noff-coeff	icients						т	able 2: Urban runoff	coefficien	ts	
						MAR	(mm)					Use	Coefficient		
Component		Classi	fication		600	600	-900	900				Lawns & Par	arks		
	Vieis and	nane (~3º	<i>(</i> -)		0.01 0.03			0.05			Sandy fl		0.05	-0.10	
			<i>(</i> 6 <i>)</i>		0.01	0.	00	0.05			Sandy, na	at (<2 /0)	0.05	0.20	
Surface slope $C_s$	Flat areas	(3-10%)			0.06	0.	40	0.11			Sandy, st	eep (>/%)	0.15	-0.20	
	Hilly (10-3	60%)			0.12	0.	16	0.20			Heavy so	II, steep (>7%)	0.13	-0.17	
	Steep are	as (>30%)			0.22	0.	26	0.30			Heavy so	il, flat (<2%)	0.25	-0.35	
	Very perm	neable			0.03	0.	04	0.05				Residential ar	eas		
Surface slope Cp	Permeable	e			0.06	0.	08	0.10			Houses		0.30	-0.50	
	Semi pern	neable			0.12	0.	16	0.20			Flats		0.50-0.70		
	Impermea	ıble			0.21	0.	26	0.30				Industry	,		
	Thick bus	h and pla	ntation		0.03	0.	04	0.05			Light Ind	ustry	0.50	-0.80	
Surface slope C	Light bus	h and farr	n-lands		0.07	0.	11	0.15			Heavy Inc	dustry	0.60	-0.90	
	Grass-lan	ds			0.17	0.	21	0.25				Business			
	No vegeta	tion			0.26	0.	28	0.30			City cent	re	0.70	-0.95	
											Surburba	in	0.50	-0.70	
Summer rainfall reg	ion										Streets		0.70	-0.95	
Return period T (ye	ars)			2	5	10	20	50	100						
Point rainfall (mm)				26	43	57	70	88	101		Notes:				
Point intensity (mm	/h)			36	61	80	98	123	142						
						1				1					
Run-off factor															
Return period T (ye	ars)		[	2	5	10	20	50	100	1					
Rural C1				0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31						
Urban C2 0.00					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
1 akes C3 1 00					1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Lakes C3 1.00				0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31						
	02 + 03			0.01	0.01	0.31	0.51	0.31	0.51	l					
Peak flow Cotal															
Peak flow -Catchine	ants			2	-	40	20	50	400	1					
Return period I (ye	Return period T (years) 2				5	10	20	50	100						
Peak flow Q = CIA/3	6600 (l/s)			191	324	425	521	654	755						



## ANNEXURE E: RETENTION POND CALCULATIONS







## ANNEXURE F: STORMWATER MASTERPLAN



ATCHMENT	DRAIN TO	AREA (ha)	LENGTH (m)	SLOPE (m/m)	1:2 YEAR FLOW (m³/s)	1:20 YEAR FLOW (m³/s)	1:50 YE FLO\ (m³/s
D1	R1	14.33	666	0.0152	0.38	1.06	1.32
D1.1	R1.1	1.64	223	0.0269	0.07	0.19	0.24
D2	R2	2.96	280	0.0210	0.34	0.94	1.17
D3	R3	4.93	515	0.0233	0.18	0.49	0.62
D4	R4	9.47	554	0.0304	0.38	1.06	1.33
D4.1	R4.1	8.24	395	0.0505	0.41	1.12	1.40
DE	D.5	45.07	4.47	0.0000	0.00	4.07	0.00

	CATCHMENTS POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF						PRE-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF AND INDICATIVE PIPE SIZES									POST-DEVEL	ELOPMENT RUNOFF AND IND			
									1:2 YEAR	FLOW	1:20 YEAR FLOW		1:50 YEA	R FLOW			1:2 YEAR	FLOW	1:20 YEAF	R FLOW
ATCHMENT	DRAIN TO	AREA (ha)	LENGTH (m)	SLOPE (m/m)	FLOW (m³/s)	FLOW (m³/s)	FLOW (m³/s)	ROUTE No.	INLET PEAK (m³/s)	PEAK FLOW (m³/s)	INLET PEAK (m³/s)	PEAK FLOW (m³/s)	INLET PEAK (m³/s)	PEAK FLOW (m³/s)		ROUTE No.	INLET PEAK (m³/s)	PEAK FLOW (m³/s)	INLET PEAK (m³/s)	PEA FLO (m³/s
D1	R1	14.33	666	0.0152	0.38	1.06	1.32	P1	0.38	1.17	1.06	3.24	1.32	4.05		P1	0.38	1.08	1.06	2.99
D1.1	R1.1	1.64	223	0.0269	0.17	0.47	0.59	P1.1	0.07	0.07	0.19	0.19	0.24	0.24		P1.1	0.17	0.17	0.47	0.47
D2	R2	2.96	280	0.0210	0.34	0.94	1.17	P2	0.34	0.34	0.94	0.94	1.17	1.17		P2	0.34	0.34	0.94	0.94
D3	R3	4.93	515	0.0233	0.40	1.08	1.35	P3	0.18	0.18	0.49	0.49	0.62	0.62		P3	0.40	0.40	1.08	1.08
D4	R4	9.47	554	0.0304	0.38	1.06	1.33	P4	0.38	0.79	1.06	2.18	1.33	2.73		P4	0.38	0.70	1.06	1.92
D4.1	R4.1	8.24	657	0.0285	0.32	0.87	1.08	P4.1	0.41	0.41	1.12	1.12	1.40	1.40		P4.1	0.32	0.32	0.87	0.87
D5	R5	15.07	447	0.0329	0.62	1.67	2.09	P5	0.62	0.62	1.67	1.67	2.09	2.09		P5	0.62	0.62	1.67	1.67
D6	R6	6.17	483	0.0183	0.19	0.52	0.65	P6	0.19	0.81	0.52	2.19	0.65	2.75		P6	0.19	0.81	0.52	2.19



## ANNEXURE G: STORMWATER DETAILED DESIGN



	NOTE				
	NOTES: RUN-OFF CALCULATION FOLLOWING CONSTANT a) RETURN PERIOD: - MAJOR SYSTEM : : : b) MAP = 710mm/h LEGEND: 	I PERFORMED W S: 20YR / 50YR 2YR EXISTING ROAI STORMWATER BERM AND CH4 BERM AND CH4 BERM AND CH4 DRAINAGE DIRI TYPICAL DISPE PIPE / ISOLATE	DS CATCHMENTS PHA RETENTION STRUC ANEL FOR DIRTY W ECTION RESEMENT STRUCT D EARTH CHANNEL	. METHOD, USIN ASE 1 CTURE VATER ATER URE	3 THE
N.T.S.		ΔΜΕΝΟ	MENTS		
1470m 1470m	NR. DATE APPROVE		DESCRIPTION		PAR.
Tarom Bom	B         03-12-2020         J.P. WELM           C         11-03-2021         J.P. WELM	AN ISSUED FOR IN AN REVISED CATC	IFORMATION CHMENTS		-
1470m 1470m					
14 cm	DESIGNED		DRAWN		
a de la Regional de l	K. DASS		R. WILLERS		
	SIGNATURE: DESIGN CHECKED BY	DATE:	INFRASTRUCTURE TECH	INICAL INFORMATION	1
	J.P. WELMAN		MANAGE	MENT	
	SIGNATURE:	11-03-2021	SIGNATURE:	DATE:	
1465m	3	PROJECT	T STATUS		
1405	CONCEPT				
140576 1985m	DRAWING PROJECT ENGINEER (CONSULTAN	T)	CONSTRUCTION DRAWING	DRAWING	
	J.P. WELMAN	,	20180172	11-03-2021	
- AA	INITIALS AND SURNAME	SIGNATURE OF TSHWANE)	E AND Pr. No.	DATE	
	INITIALS AND SURNAME		E AND Pr. No.	DATE	
		of formane,			
	INITIALS AND SURNAME	SIGNATURE	E AND Pr. No.	DATE	
	CONSULTANT DETAIL	-			
1460m 1460m 1460m	CIVIL CONCE	Civil Consul PTS	Concepts ting Civil & Struct O Box 36148, Me Office: +2 www.civile mail@civile	(Pty) Ltd ural Engineers nlo Park, 0102 7 12 460 0008 concepts.co.za concepts.co.za	ļ
	CITY	OF T	SHW	ANE	
		) TRANS	PORT DE	PARTME	NT
	GROUP HEAD Mr.Letlonkane P. (Pheko)			DIVISION	
	P.O. BOX 1409 PRETORIA			P.O. B	OX 1409 RETORIA
1455m 1455m 1455	0001		BY DIVISIONAL HEAD		0001
14/543 - 14/543 - 14/543		Mr Tlhagale M.ł	K.P. (Mavern)		
Constant Som	LOCATION OF PROJECT				
The second se	B				
1450m - 1450m		PORTI	ON 13		
S Ren					
1450m 1450m	DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT				
				K	
2					
			PROJECT NO		
	C2868		. ROULOT NU:	C2868	
VARIES	DATE:	SCALE:	0	ORIGINAL PAPER SIZE	
	NOVEMBER 2020		1:2000	A1	
	DRAWING NO: C2868-SMP-0	02	SHEET NO:		<u>c</u>
				RE	ISION

W:\CC-Projects\C PROJECTS\C2868 (HW) PTN 13 BOSCHKOP 543-JR SMP\CAD Drawings\C2868-SMP - 2021-03-11.dwg