P.O.BOX73703 LYNNWOOD RIDGE 0040 Tel: 083 459 3091 Fax: 086 695 7247 Email: pelseranton@gmail.com



Comprehensive and Professional Solutions for all Heritage Related Matters **CK 2006/014630/23 VAT NO.: 4360226270**

2016-09-15

To: Me. Nokukhanya Khumalo South African Heritage Resources Agency P.O.Box 4637 CAPE TOWN 8001

RE: HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT (HIA) REPORT FOR THE RE CAPITAL 2 PROJECT GRID CONNECTION FOR A PROPOSED 75MW PHOTOVOLTAIC SOLAR FACILITY ON PORTIONS OF THE FARMS KAMEELDOORN 271JP & KRUISRIVIER 270JP, ZEERUST, NORTHWEST PROVINCE -APAC015/47B – Interim Comments Case 9194 on Amended CHMP for the RE Capital Solar Project.

Dear Me.Khumalo,

This letter refers to the above and the related documents uploaded onto the Case in SAHRIS in answer to the comments provided in the SAHRA letter dated 23 August 2016. Please take note of the following below:

- 1. Site specific information must be provided that looks at the history of the archaeological site: **This information is provided in the CHMP already**
- 2. Map each site in detail, in recognised standard stone walled site mapping methodology: This will be done when the CHMP is implemented and is discussed in the document. A Land Surveyor will do this in conjunction with the archaeologist/heritage specialist before the site fencing is undertaken which will also be done when the specialist is on site
- 3. A SWOT analysis must be conducted for all the sites;

Identify the key issues faced in long term conservation of the sites within the solar plant by assessing the current conservation conditions of the sites, what are the steps that should be taken to better preserve them: **Discussed and handled in the newly amended CHMP Document**

4. What materials will be used for the fencing, how will it affect the sense of place of the sites, is it aesthetically appealing? This is indicated in the new CHMP Document. The Visual Impact Assessments conducted for the project is also provided

AJ Pelser BA (UNISA), BA (Hons) (Archaeology) [WITS], MA (Archaeology) [WITS]

- 5. Who is the landowner, the responsibility of managing the heritage resources in the plant will fall to whom (Is it the Company?) **RE Capital 2 (Pty) Ltd and the Contractor they appoint (See discussion in CHMP)**
- 6. A cost implications analysis must be done for the long term preservation of the sites: **RE Capital 2** (Pty) Ltd has provided a Financial Commitment Letter (uploaded on to the case in SAHRIS) and will include funds in their annual budgets for this

I hope that this answers the comments and questions raised in the Interim Comments Letter. Should there be any questions or further comments please contact the author as soon as possible.

Kind regards

Anton Pelser

per