



NOTIFICATION OF INTENT TO DEVELOP (NID FORM)

SUBMITTED TO THE EASTERN CAPE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY (EC PHRA) IN TERMS OF
THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT, ACT NO. 25 OF 1999 (NHRA 1999)

NID Submission Date	Y	Y	Y	Y	/	M	M	/	D	D
---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

NOTIFIER:

Name and Surname	Jenna Lavin	
Company Name / (Name of) Government Department	CTS Heritage	
Tel / Mobile	083 619 0854	
Email	jenna.lavin@ctsheritage.com	
Does the NID comprise clarification on heritage requirements for a Section 38(8) development? <small>[Please note that a heritage Site Sensitivity Verification (SSV), be it an archaeological and cultural heritage SSV or a palaeontological SSV, or both, does not constitute heritage compliance in terms of the NHRA 1999 for Section 38(1) or 38(8) developments.]</small>	Mark applicable with an X	
	Yes	X
	No	
	Unknown	

NHRA 1999 – Section 38(1) and 38(8) – Heritage Resources Management

	Mark applicable with an X
(1) Subject to the provisions of subsection (7), (8) and (9), any person who intends to undertake a development categorized as –	
(a) The Construction of a road, wall, powerline, pipeline, canal or other similar form of linear development or barrier exceeding 300m in length;	X
(b) The construction of a bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length;	
(c) Any development or other activity which will change the character of a site –	
(i) Exceeding 5,000m ² in extent; or	X
(ii) Involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions thereof; or	
(iii) Involving three or more erven or divisions thereof which have been consolidated within the past five years; or	
(iv) The costs of which will exceed a sum set in terms of regulations by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority;	
(d) The re-zoning of a site exceeding 10,000m ² in extent; or	
(e) Any other category of development provided for in regulations by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority	
(8) The provisions of this section do not apply to a development as described in subsection (1) if an evaluation of the impact of such development on heritage resources is required in terms of the Environment Conservation Act, 1989 (Act No. 73 of 1989), or the integrated environmental	X

management guidelines issued by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, or the Minerals Act, 1999 (Act No. 50. of 1991), or any other legislation: Provided that the consenting authority must ensure that the evaluation fulfills the requirements of the relevant heritage resources authority in terms of subsection (3), and any comments and recommendations of the relevant heritage resources authority with regard to such development have been taken into account prior to the granting of the consent.	
--	--

DEVELOPMENT / STUDY SITE INFORMATION:

Development Name	Mayogi 2 PV Facility					
Development Address [Rural Region / Farm Name & Number / Erf Number & Street Name]	Adjacent to the R75 approximately 13km south-west of Kirkwood, Eastern Cape Province					
Town / Nearest Town	Kirkwood					
Municipal District	Mark applicable with an X			Print name of relevant municipality		
	Metropolitan Municipality	<input type="checkbox"/>				
	District Municipality	<input type="checkbox"/>		Sarah Baartman District		
	Local Municipality	<input type="checkbox"/>		Dr Beyers Naude Municipality		
General Development Coordinate	33°28'52.30"S 25°18'54.04"E					
History of Heritage Impact Assessments (HIA) or heritage research conducted at the study site	Mark applicable with an X					
(1) Has a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) ever been conducted on the (whole or part of the) study site?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes (1), has the HIA been conducted within the past 5 years?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes (1), supply HIA details (SAHRIS CaseID number, other heritage reference number, or attach copies of the HIA reports)						
SAHRIS CaseID (or other) number						
(2) Has a heritage research permit ever been issued on the study site?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes (2), has this permit been issued within the past 5 years?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes (2), supply permit details (authoring body name and permit number / attach a copy of the permit)						
Heritage research permit details						
(3) Does the study site comprise, in whole or in part, a declared heritage resource in terms of the NHRA 1999 Section 7?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) Does the study site comprise, in whole or in part, a previously declared National Monument?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Unknown	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes (1), what is the declaration status of the site / resource?	Grade I		<input type="checkbox"/>	Grade II / III		<input type="checkbox"/>
Name of the declared heritage resource / National Monument						
SAHRA Identifier						

BASIC DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION:

JUWI is proposing to develop 2 x PV facilities and associated infrastructure on Farm No. 692 adjacent to the R75 approximately 13km southwest of Kirkwood. The site is located in the Sundays River Valley Municipality in the Sarah Baartman District Municipality of the Eastern Cape. This report is for the Mayogi 2 PV Facility.

Farm No. 692 (hereafter referred to as the property) is located adjacent to the R75 approximately 13km south-west of Kirkwood, Eastern Cape Province. The Skilpad Substation is located within the property. The intention is to develop one or more PV facilities and associated infrastructure on the property, depending on site sensitivities. The associated infrastructure would include a BESS, site camp, substation and OHL, and O&M building. Based on the site visit and desktop analysis, the focus area for PV development is the northern section of the property.

DEVELOPMENT MAP / SITE LAYOUT PLAN:

[You can also attach a Google Earth file of the study site]

See attached desktop assessment

STUDY SITE PHOTOGRAPHS:

Study Site Photographs	Mark applicable with an X		
(1) Has a site visit been conducted at the study site?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If yes (1), please attach photographs of the site below			



NID – EC PHRA RESPONSE

ISSUED IN TERMS OF THE NHRA 1999 SECTION 38(2), 38(3), AND 38(8)

DATE: NID RECEIVED YYYY/MM/DD
 EC PHRA RESPONSE YYYY/MM/DD

ATTENTION: **[Insert Notifier Name and Surname]**
 [Insert Notifier Company Name / (Name of) Government Department]
 Email [Insert Notifier email]

RE: **[Insert Development Name]**

[Write the HIA requirements for AIA and PIA here, including additional studies if required, etc.]

- NOTES:**
1. The developer / appointed responsible person must open an online SAHRIS case for the development (<https://sahris.sahra.org.za/>).
 2. The above requested specialist heritage impact assessment reports must be submitted on the SAHRIS case.
 3. The NID must be submitted on the SAHRIS case under "Additional Documents".
 4. EC PHRA will issue a formal HIA Comment in terms of the NHRA 1999 Section 38(4) / 38(8) for the development upon receipt of all requested specialist heritage impact assessment reports.

Yours sincerely,
[Insert your Name and Surname]

[Insert your signature]
[EC PHRA: Designation]

EC PHRA Reference:

SAHRIS CaseID:

NHRA 1999 – Section 38(2) and 38(3) – Heritage Resources Management

- (1) The responsible heritage resources authority must, within 14 days of receipt of a notification in terms of subsection (1) –
- (a) If there is reason to believe that heritage resources will be affected by such development, notify the person who intends to undertake the development to submit an impact assessment report. Such report must be compiled at the cost of the person proposing the development, by a person or persons approved by the responsible heritage resources authority with relevant qualifications and experience and professional standing in heritage resources management; or
 - (b) Notify the person concerned that this section does not apply.
- (2) The responsible heritage resources authority must specify the information to be provided in a report required in terms of subsection (2)(a): Provided that the following must be included:
- (a) The identification and mapping of all heritage in the area affected;
 - (b) An assessment of the significance of such resources in terms of the heritage assessment criteria set out in section 6(2) or prescribed under section 7;
 - (c) An assessment of the impact of the development on such heritage resources;
 - (d) An evaluation of the impact of the development on heritage resources relative to the sustainable social and economic benefits to be derived from the development;
 - (e) The results of consultation with communities affected by the proposed development and other interested parties regarding the impact of the development on heritage resources;
 - (f) If heritage resources will be adversely affected by the proposed development, the consideration of alternatives; and
 - (g) Plans for mitigation of any adverse effects during and after the completion of the proposed development.