Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which comment was received	Comment submitted via	Comment(s) raised	Response to comment(s) raised
Jacobus Frederick van Dyk	Marais Basson Inc.	6-09-2018	Email	RE: JACOBUS FREDERICK VAN DYK	EAP's response:
Dyk				Your email dated the 6th of August 2018 to our client refers.	Comments noted.
				1. We are acting on behalf of Mr. van Dyk and have been instructed to address this letter to you.	Adequate sanitation infrastructure will be provided for the proposed development. Mitigation measures will also be
				2. It is our instructions that Mr van Dyk is worried about the following:	recommended for implementation to ensure that potential spillages from sanitation infrastructure will be minimised and mitigated.
				2.1 Our client's land mainly consists of wetland and he is worried about the sanitation.2.2 Secondly our client requests and undertaking that a wall must be erected	It is not known at this stage what kind of wall/fence will be constructed around the proposed development.
				between his property and the development. Our client is making use of a servitude road and his request is that the servitude road be protected. 2.3 Please see our clients objections as per the attached annexure. 2.4 We would like to hear from you.	Further response from EAP: It is proposed that sewage from the proposed development will be treated in the municipal sewage treatment works.
					A permanent fence will be erected around the proposed development. The fence will be a concrete palisade fence or something similar to such a fence.
Sobandla Projects PTY LTD	Nomsombuluko Mdluli (Winne Mdluli)	13-08-2018	Email	We: Sobandla Projects PTY LTD hereby wish to request registration forms as per above mentioned subject as advertised on Witbank new for the projects Pine Ridge. We are a new company seeking to supply services in construction & building material, flood/street lights and we are also in partnership with a company that purifies sea water to be usable.	 EAP's response: The Interested and Affected Party Registration Form was sent to Sobandla Projects PTY LTD. Labesh is only involved in the Environmental Impact Assessment process and not with the procurement of contractors for construction activities.
				Kindly please let us know if there's any documents that you need from us and we will gladly submit	
Nokukhanya Khumalo	South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA)	14-08-2018	SAHRIS website	Interim Comment In terms of Section 38(8), 38(4) of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999)	The Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment and Palaeontological Impact Assessment: Desktop studies have been loaded onto SAHRIS for review and commenting by SAHRA. SAHRA has issued Final
				Attention: Sarovic Investments CC	Comments in response to the submitted reports.
				Township Establishment on the Remaining Extent of Portion 79 of the farm Blesboklaagte 296 JS and Portion 0 (remaining extent) of the farm Leeuwpoort 283 JS, Mpumalanga	
				Sarovic Investments cc is proposing to construct a new township development located in Emalahleni Local Municipality of Mpumalanga Province. The township development will consist of four phases of construction that all include mixed density residential development, commercial units, business parks, government avenues, roads, sewerage,	

Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which	Comment submitted	Comment(s) raised	Response to comment(s) raised
		comment was received	via		
				electricity and water pipelines. Labesh Environmental Consulting (Pty) Ltd is	
				undertaking an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process on behalf	
				of Sarovic Investments cc, in respect of listed activities in the National	
				Environmental Management Act, 1998 (NEMA), NEMA Environmental	
				Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2017, and a Water Use License in	
				terms of the Water Use Licence Regulations, March 2017 in terms of the	
				National Water Act, 36 of 1998. A BID document has been submitted to	
				SAHRA in terms of section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 25	
				of 1999 for commenting. In terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, no	
				25 of 1999 (NHRA), heritage resources, including archaeological or	
				palaeontological sites over 100 years old, graves older than 60 years,	
				structures older than 60 years are generally protected. They may not be disturbed without a permit from the relevant heritage resources authority. In	
				contexts of development applications, the developer must ensure that no	
				heritage resources will be impacted by the proposed development, by	
				lodging an application to SAHRA and submitting detailed development	
				specifications as a notification of intent to develop. If the application is made	
				in terms of s. 38 (8) of the NHRA then it is incumbent on the developer to	
				ensure that a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is undertaken, as s. 38(2)a	
				does not apply. Such a study should follow the SAHRA 2007 impact	
				assessment guidelines and section 38(3). Any earth moving activities pose a	
				threat to palaeontological and heritage resources, particularly in relatively	
				undisturbed areas and in areas of Very High Palaeontological Sensitivity	
				such as coal mines. Although the proposed development is located within an	
				urban area the development may still impact on heritage resources,	
				particularly as it is located close to stream which may have exposed	
				fossilferous rocks which must be assessed by a suitably qualified	
				palaeontologist. Therefore, SAHRA requires a Palaeontological Impact	
				Assessment undertaken by a suitably qualified palaeontologist, along with a	
				HIA because the area is underlain by moderately sensitive palaeontological	
				rocks.	
				SAHRA APM unit will process the case further once the above requested	
				reports are submitted to the case.	
				Should you have any further queries, please contact the designated official	
				using the case number quoted above in the case header.	
Land Use Administration:	Mabule Ramodike	31-08-2018	Email	Comments were illegible.	Good day Mr Ramodike
Department of					
Agriculture, Forestry and					I hereby acknowledge receipt of your email, your
Fisheries					completed Interested and Affected Party Registration
					Form and your comments for the following proposed
					project: Township Establishment on the Remaining Extent
					of Portion 79 of the farm Blesboklaagte 296 JS and
					Portion 0 (remaining extent) of the farm Leeuwpoort 283
					i order o (remaining extent) or the lamin book book

Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which	Comment submitted	Comment(s) raised	Response to comment(s) raised
		comment was received	via		
					I furthermore confirm that you have been added to the Interested and Affected Party Register for this project, and that you will be notified of future public participation opportunities.
					Could you kindly please submit your comments, included your attached Interested and Affected Party Registration Form, in an email (typed) as we can unfortunately not clearly read the comments.
None (Private interest)	Mr. Frik van Dyk	04-09-2018	Email	Eerstens is ek bekommerd oor waar die sanitasie-aanleg gaan wees omdat ons 'n vleiland op ons eiendom het en dat ons water besoedel sal word. Tweedens versoek ek dat daar 'n behoorlike beton-heining opgerig word op die grens van die area wat ontwikkel gaan word en die serwitiet-pad wat na my eiendom toegang verleen. My woon-huis is ±30 meter van die grens van hierdie serwitiet-pad. As eienaar is ek bekommerd oor die impak wat die ontwikkeling op die onmiddellike omgeweing sal hê asook die veiligheid van mens en dier. Met dank. Translation into English Firstly, I'm worried about where the sanitation plant will be because we have a wetland on our property and that our water will be polluted. Secondly, I request that a proper concrete fence be erected on the border of	I hereby acknowledge receipt of your email, your completed Interested and Affected Party Registration Form and your comments for the following proposed project: Township Establishment on the Remaining Extent of Portion 79 of the farm Blesboklaagte 296 JS and Portion 0 (remaining extent) of the farm Leeuwpoort 283 JS, Mpumalanga. I furthermore confirm that you have been added to the Interested and Affected Party Register for this project, and that you will be notified of future public participation opportunities. Your comments will be responded to in the reports that will in due course be compiled and provided to you for review and commenting.
				the area to be developed and the servitude road that gives access to my property. My home is ± 30 meters from the boundary of this servitude road. As an owner, I am concerned about the impact the development will have on the immediate environment as well as the safety of humans and animals. With thanks.	Deiner's contact details so that we can ensure that they are also informed of this proposed project? Further response from EAP: Adequate sanitation infrastructure will be provided for the proposed development. Mitigation measures will also be recommended for implementation to ensure that potential spillages from sanitation infrastructure will be minimised and mitigated.
					It is not known at this stage what kind of wall/fence will be constructed around the proposed development.
					Further response from EAP: It is proposed that sewage from the proposed development will be treated in the municipal sewage

Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which	Comment submitted	Comment(s) raised	Response to comment(s) raised
		comment was received	via		treatment works.
					A permanent fence will be erected around the proposed development. The fence will be a concrete palisade fence or something similar to such a fence.
South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA)		24-04-2019	SAHRIS website	CaseID: 12766 Interim Comment In terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) Attention: Sarovic Investments CC Township Establishment on the Remaining Extent of Portion 79 of the farm Blesboklaagte 296 JS and Portion 0 (remaining extent) of the farm Leeuwpoort 283 JS, Mpumalanga. Sarovic Investments cc is proposing to construct a new township development located in Emalahleni Local Municipality of Mpumalanga Province. The township development will consist of four phases of construction that all include mixed density residential development, commercial units, business parks, government avenues, roads, sewerage, electricity and water pipelines. Labesh Environmental Consulting (Pty) Ltd is undertaking an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) application process on behalf of Sarovic Investments cc, in respect of listed activities in the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (NEMA), NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2017, and a Water Use License in terms of the Water Use Licence Regulations, March 2017 in terms of the National Water Act, 36 of 1998. A BID document was been submitted to SAHRA in terms of section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 25 of 1999 for commenting. An Interim Comment was issued on 14/08/2018, requesting that a Heritage Impact Assessment and a Palaeontological Impact Assessment is undertaken as part of the specialist studies in the EIA application. Both these reports have been submitted to the case for commenting. Fourie, H. June 2015. The establishment of a mixed residential township, Sarovic Investments eMalahleni Local Municipality, Mpumalanga Province Farm: Remaining extent of Portion 79 Blesboklaagte 296 JS and Portion 0 (remaining extent) Leeuwpoort 283 JS. Palaeontological Impact Assessment: Desktop Study. The study area is underlain by Late Carboniferous to Early Permian clastic rocks, varved shale, conglomerates and pebbly sandstone of the Dwyka Group, Karoo Supergroup. These rocks are of moderate palaeonto	Comments noted. The draft Scoping Report and annexures, including the Town Planning reports and proposed layout plan, were submitted to the South African Heritage Resources Agency via the SAHRIS website for review and commenting.

Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which	Comment submitted	Comment(s) raised	Response to comment(s) raised
		comment was received	via		
				examined by palaeontologist. It is also underlain by conglomerates, reddish sandstone rocks of the Wilgerivier Formation, Waterberg Group, Transvaal	
				Supergroup. Fossils have not been recorded within this formation. The	
				potential impact on fossil heritage is considered low and the author does not	
				recommend a field survey and no further mitigation measures.	
				Pelser, A. May 2014. A Phase 1 HIA Report for a Proposed Township	
				Development on the Remainder of the Farm Leeupoort283JS & Ptn 79 of	
				Blesboklaagte 296JS, eMalahleni, Mpumalanga.	
				The author undertook a field survey of the proposed development and	
				identified 4 heritage sites. Site 1 is an unfenced cemetery containing 10	
				graves, it is considered to be of high local significance. Sites 2-4 are 3	
				historical remains consisting of 2 house foundations, and a livestock	
				enclosure. They are all low local significance.	
				The author recommends that the grave site is fenced and incorporated into	
				the planned township development.	
				Interim Comment	
				It is noted that this case is a re-application of a case created on SAHRIS in	
				2015 (Case ID 7557), whereby SAHRA approved the development.	
				However, the environmental consulting company has changed, and only the	
				Background Information Document has been submitted to SAHRA, it is not	
				clear if there are changes to the scope of the project. Therefore, all	
				environmental documents and the finalised town plan must be submitted to	
				the case for commenting.	
				The South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) Archaeology,	
				Palaeontology and Meteorites (APM) Unit requires the environmental	
				documents to be submitted to the case before commenting further on the	
				development application.	
				Chauld you have any further works also as a 1,111, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11	
				Should you have any further queries, please contact the designated official using the case number quoted above in the case header.	
South African Heritag	e Nokukhanya Khumalo	10-06-2019	SAHRIS website	CaseID: 12766	Comments noted and the requirements will be attended to.
Resources Agenc				Final Comment	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(SAHRA)				In terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act	The Final Comments from SAHRA have been submitted to
				25 of 1999)	the Competent Authority (the Mpumalanga Department of
					Agriculture, Rural Development, Land and Environmental
				Attention: Sarovic Investments CC	Affairs), as required, and proof thereof has been uploaded
				Township Fetablishment on the Demoining Future of Buston 70, 54	to the specific case for this project on the SAHRIS
				Township Establishment on the Remaining Extent of Portion 79 of the	
				farm Blesboklaagte 296 JS and Portion 0 (remaining extent) of the farm Leeuwpoort 283 JS, Mpumalanga	Appendix E of this report.
				Lecumpoort 200 00, impunialanga	

Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which	Comment submitted	Comment(s) raised	Response to comment(s) raised
Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which comment was received	Comment submitted via	Sarovic Investments cc is proposing to construct a new township development located in Emalahleni Local Municipality of Mpumalanga Province. The township development will consist of four phases of construction that all include mixed density residential development, commercial units, business parks, government avenues, roads, sewerage, electricity and water pipelines. Labesh Environmental Consulting (Pty) Ltd is undertaking an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) application process on behalf of Sarovic Investments cc, in respect of listed activities in the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (NEMA), NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2017, and a Water Use License in terms of the Water Use Licence Regulations, March 2017 in terms of the National Water Act, 36 of 1998. A BID document was been submitted to SAHRA in terms of section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 25 of 1999 for commenting. An Interim Comment was issued on 14/08/2018, requesting that a Heritage Impact Assessment and a Palaeontological Impact Assessment is undertaken as part of the specialist studies in the EIA application. Another	Response to comment(s) raised
				Interim Comment was issued on 24/04/2019, once the specialist studies were uploaded to the case. SAHRA stated that they await the submission of the finalised town plan and the Environmental reports and its appendices before commenting on the case. A Scoping Report has been submitted the case along with its appendices and SAHRA has reviewed the heritage in put into the report. Final Comment	
				The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology, and Meteorites Unit accepts the recommendations provided in the PIA and Heritage Impact Assessment. Upon analysis of the finalised township plan, site 1 can be retained in situ with a fence around the graves. This must be included in the mitigation measures in the EMPr and EIA reports.	
				A comment in terms of section 34 of the NHRA, for sites 2-4, must be sort from the Mpumalanga Heritage Resources Authority (MPHRA). Please contact Benjamin Moduka at bmoduka@mpg.gov.za or call the offices at 013 766 5196.	
				The Burial Grounds and Graves (BGG) Unit accepts the recommendations for the burial grounds identified in the HIA report and further recommends that Site 1 must be fenced with an access gate. Before fencing may occur, permission from the family members must be sort. This must be done by undertaking a social consultation process to identify the family members of the site. This must be done in terms of Chapter IX of the NHRA Regulations and section 36(3) of the NHRA.	

Entity represented	Name and Surname	Date upon which comment was received	Comment submitted via	Comment(s) raised	Response to comment(s) raised
				The following conditions must be included in the EMPr report: In the event that fossils are uncovered during construction then construction must cease within the immediate vicinity, a buffer of 30 m must be established, and a palaeontologist called in to inspect the finds. The palaeontologist must obtain a section 35(4) permit in terms of NHRA and Chapter IV NHRA Regulations, before any fossils are collected. If there are any new heritages resources are discovered during construction and operation phases of the proposed development, then a professional archaeologist or palaeontologist, depending on the nature of the finds, must be contracted as soon as possible to inspect the findings at the expense of	
				If the newly discovered heritage resources prove to be of archaeological or palaeontological significance, a Phase 2 rescue operation may be required at the expense of the developer. Mitigation will only be carried out after the archaeologist or palaeontologist obtains a permit in terms of section 35 of the NHRA (Act 25 of 1999). You may contact SAHRA APM Unit for further details: (Nokukhanya Khumalo/Phillip Hine 021 202 8654).	
				in to inspect the finds and/or the police find them to be heritage graves, then mitigation may be necessary and the SAHRA Burial Grounds and Graves (BGG) Unit must be contacted for processes to follow (Thingahangwi Tshivase/Mimi Seetelo 072 802 1251).	
				The EIA report and its appendices must be submitted to the case for record purposes and once a Record of Decision from the competent authority is issued, it must also be submitted to the case.	
				This comment must be forwarded to the competent authority and proof of submission must be uploaded to the case.	
				Should you have any further queries, please contact the designated official using the case number quoted above in the case header.	